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ABSTRACT

APPROACHES IN THE TREATMENT OF PARKINSON’S DISEASE: A FOCUS ON STEM CELL-BASED THERAPIES

Tareq Al-maqtari
March 1, 2012

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a hypokinetic movement disorder resulting from the progressive neurodegeneration of the dopaminergic nigrostriatal system in the brain and the resulting imbalance between dopamine and acetylcholine in the basal ganglia motor circuitry. Although drug-based therapy approaches have shown dramatic symptomatic improvement in patients, they do not halt the progressive nature of the disease and their long-term use is associated with distressing adverse side effects. Consequently, several studies have aimed at discovering effective non-pharmacological strategies to reduce or to eliminate the need for drugs and possibly to halt or to reverse the neurodegenerative process in PD. Surgical deep brain stimulation, neurotrophic factor delivery, gene therapy and cell replacement therapy are potential candidates. Here, we review the latest advancements in the aforementioned therapeutic strategies paying special attention to regenerative stem cell- (SC)-based approaches in combating PD. Although intracerebrally transplanted SC-derived cells can replace degenerated neurons in PD and simultaneously secrete neurotrophic factors that can partly protect the viable ones, potential tumorigenicity and low survivability of these cells hinder the advancement of this novel therapeutic approach. Hence, more investigations are needed to resolve the associated safety and efficacy concerns in order to make SC-based therapy a feasible therapy for PD patients.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

Parkinson’s Disease

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive degenerative disorder of the central nervous system that primarily affects the elderly. It is named after the English doctor, James Parkinson, who first described the disease in 1817. PD affects around 18 per 100,000 person-years and has a higher prevalence in males (Corti et al., 2011). The degenerative symptoms of PD arise primarily from the progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc) of the midbrain (see figure 1) and result in motor system abnormalities such as bradykinesia, tremors, muscular rigidity and postural instability (Halliday and McCann, 2010).

PD-related symptoms progress in a time-dependent manner such that the presymptomatic stages are associated with smell and autonomic dysfunctions, the symptomatic stages with motor abnormalities, and the final stages with dementia in many cases. The slow progression of the disease results from neurodegeneration afflicting the olfactory bulb and the medulla oblongata in pre-symptomatic stages 1 and 2, the SNpc in stages 3 and 4, and the telencephalic cortex in stages 5 and 6 (Braak et al., 2002). The fact that PD patients also experience non-motor psychiatric, cognitive and autonomic symptoms indicates that non-dopaminergic neurons also become affected in the course of the disease (Chaudhuri et al., 2006).

Protein Aggregation and PD pathophysiology

Although the exact cause of PD remains largely unknown; inflammation, oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage, dysfunction of the ubiquitin proteosome degradation system, and altered activity of certain kinases, all appear to promote the formation of Lewy bodies, intracellular proteinaceous aggregates observed in PD-affected dopaminergic neurons, eventually resulting in neuronal cell death (McNaught et al., 2003; Schulz, 2008). The components of Lewy bodies include alpha-synuclein (SNCA) – the major fibrillar component of these bodies, neurofilament proteins and ubiquitin (Corti et al., 2011). SNCA is abundantly expressed in the brain especially at presynaptic
nerve terminals where it may modulate dopaminergic transmission (Li et al., 2004). Mutations in SNCA increase the tendency of the corresponding protein to aggregate into Lewy bodies, resulting in neuronal cell death (Goedert et al., 1998). Whereas the exact mechanistic relationship between Lewy bodies and cell death remains obscure, there is some evidence that SNCA oligomers, not the fibrillary form, are responsible for neuronal cell death (Goldberg and Lansbury, 2000), suggesting a possible detoxification role for the Lewy bodies. These conclusions are supported by observations that Lewy bodies exist in the surviving neurons of PD patients as well as in the neurons of healthy individuals (Parkkinen et al., 2005). Further, some studies postulate that dopamine plays a role in stabilizing SNCA oligomers, promoting the selective degeneration of dopaminergic neurons (Lansbury et al., 2001). Although the exact role of SNCA in PD remains largely unknown, the general consensus is that its accumulation disrupts multiple cellular events and induces distinctive neurodegeneration (Litvan et al., 2007b).

**Genetic causes of PD**

Most cases of PD are spontaneous or idiopathic in nature with a small percentage resulting from specific genetic mutations (de Lau and Breteler, 2006). In general, familial PD patients exhibits the same clinical picture as sporadic PD patients except for an earlier onset in many but not all inherited cases (Gasser, 2007). Genes coding for SNCA (SNCA), leucine rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) and ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCH-L1) are involved in autosomal dominant forms, whereas genes coding for parkin (PRKN), PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINKI), ATPase type 13A2 (ATP13A2), and the Parkinson’s disease associated protein DJ-1 (PRKN7) are involved in autosomal recessive forms of PD (Schulz, 2008). The identification of these genes has
shed light on the molecular pathophysiology of the disease, but the precise role of these genes in healthy and PD patients remains largely unknown (Hatano et al., 2009). Most of these genes appear to interact with one another and play roles in mitochondrial function, oxidative stress and/or protein ubiquitination.

Identification of point mutations and genomic multiplications in SNCA, the first PD gene to be mapped, led to the discovery that the encoded protein is the main component of Lewy bodies, the pathological hallmark in familial and sporadic PD (Corti et al., 2011). SNCA is a small protein (140 KDa) whose overexpression, through duplication and triplication of the encoding gene, is associated with neuronal toxicity in humans and in animal models, indicating that gain-of-function mutations also contribute to the pathogenesis of PD (Martin et al., 2011). Recent studies demonstrate that SNCA promotes assembly of soluble N-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptor complexes (that are required for fusion of vesicles to the presynaptic neuronal membrane), suggesting a potential role of SNCA in dopaminergic transmission (Martin et al., 2011).

LRRK2 is the other gene most commonly associated with late-onset, autosomal dominant form of familial PD (Gasser, 2007). Like SCNA, the exact function of LRRK2 in the brain remains obscure. However, LRRK2 kinase activity is associated with cellular toxicity and neuronal cell death and the gain-of-function point mutations of LRRK2, observed in familial and sporadic PD, result in enhanced kinase activity (Cookson et al., 2007). Mutations in LRRK2 are not necessarily associated with the formation of Lewy bodies (Funayama et al., 2002). Nonetheless, they constitute the major genetic mutations associated with familial PD.
Loss-of-function mutations in *PRKN* were first discovered among the Japanese to cause autosomal recessive, early-onset familial PD with no Lewy body accumulation (Hatano et al., 2009). PRKN is a protein localized primarily in the cytoplasm that tags proteins for proteasomal degradation. As an E3 ubiquitin ligase in the ubiquitin-proteasome degradation system, mutations in *PRKN* are postulated to impair its ability to interact with E2 ubiquitinating enzymes, leading to the buildup of nonubiquitinated substrates that leads eventually to neuronal cell death (Dawson and Dawson, 2010; Shimura et al., 2000). Like *PRKN*, *PINK1* and *DJ-1* are also responsible for autosomal recessive forms of PD. These three genes are involved in pathways that regulate mitochondrial morphology and function, corroborating the role of mitochondrial damage in the etiology of PD (Corti et al., 2011). It has been proposed that *PINK1* is a protein located in the mitochondria that interacts with DJ-1 to modulate the activity of PRKN in protein ubiquitination (Xiong et al., 2009). Hence, loss-of-function mutations in *PINK1* hinder the role of the encoded protein in a mitochondrial quality-control pathway, resulting in neuronal dysfunction and death (Martin et al., 2011). On the other hand, DJ-1 is thought to maintain the integrity and functionality of mitochondria against oxidative stress (Thomas et al., 2011). DJ-1 undergoes a modification at a conserved cysteine residue before relocating into the mitochondria where it exerts its protective effect (Canet-Aviles et al., 2004). However, further investigations are needed to confirm the precise role of *PINK1* and DJ-1 in patients and healthy individuals.

*UCH-L1* is expressed abundantly in the brain and appears to act as a deubiquitinating enzyme and has been observed as a component of Lewy bodies in sporadic PD (Larsen et al., 1998; Lowe et al., 1990). There is very little known about the physiological and
pathological roles of \textit{ATP13A2} although it appears to play a role in protein degradation via lysosomal pathways (Hatano et al., 2009). Other PD-related genes include \textit{Htr serine peptidase 2} (\textit{HTRA2/OMI}) that possibly plays a role in protecting neurons from oxidative stress in a common pathway that involves \textit{PINK1}, \textit{PRKN} (Tain et al., 2009), phospholipase A2 group VI (\textit{PLA2G6}) and F-box protein 7 (\textit{FBXO7}) (Lesage and Brice, 2009). Taken together, whereas substantial progress has been made in the identification of genes related to PD, relatively little is known regarding the function of these genes in the etiology of the disease. Therefore, further investigations are needed to help elucidate their role to pave the road for targeted therapies in the treatment of PD.

\textbf{Dopamine and PD}

Dopamine and acetylcholine are central components of the movement-regulating neuronal circuits in the basal ganglia. Onset of familial and sporadic PD is characterized by the death of dopaminergic neurons of the SNpc, resulting in diminished dopamine release into the striatum which in turn leads to the classical motor disturbances observed in PD. Therefore, restoring dopamine/acetylcholine balance is the primary goal of pharmacotherapy aimed at mitigating symptoms associated with PD.

\textbf{Pharmacological approaches in the treatment of PD}

Levo-dopa, the precursor of dopamine, is a highly effective drug in the treatment of PD, especially when used in the early stages. It crosses the blood-brain barrier, which separates systemic circulation from the brain extracellular fluid, and restores dopamine levels in the striatum of PD patients. However, levo-dopa is metabolized by the peripheral nervous system resulting in distressing side effects such as dyskinesia, shorter
duration of drug-induced benefit (wearing off), and on-off pulsatile response fluctuations associated with long-term levo-dopa. Peripheral decarboxylase inhibitors (carbidopa, benserazide) are usually administered with levo-dopa to decrease its peripheral metabolism and enhance its activity in the central nervous system (Olanow et al., 2006). Nonetheless, levo-dopa does not address the cause of PD and does not improve the non-motor autonomic, affective and cognitive symptoms accompanying the disease.

Other anti-PD drugs include dopaminergic receptor agonists, inhibitors of dopamine degradation, and anticholinergic agents. Dopaminergic receptor agonists act by direct stimulation of the dopaminergic receptors in the brain and include bromocriptine, pergolide, pramipexole and ropinirole. If used before L-dopa, they delay the onset of drug therapy-induced dyskinesia but at the expense of poorer management of motor symptoms (Clarke and Guttman, 2002). Inhibitors of dopamine metabolism prolong the efficacy of levo-dopa and include monoamine oxidase B inhibitors (selegiline, rasagiline) and catechol-o-methyltransferase inhibitors (tolcapone, entacapone). Monoamine oxidase B inhibitors undergo extensive first-pass metabolism, resulting in production of active amphetamine metabolites and low bioavailability, and are associated with insomnia, psychosis and cardiac arrhythmias (Poston and Waters, 2007). Catechol-o-methyltransferase inhibitors can be added to the conventional levo-dopa/carbidopa combination to provide better symptomatic control in PD patients (Sethi et al., 2009). Anticholinergic agents are less effective and act by blocking the muscarinic receptors to restore the functional balance between dopamine and acetylcholine in the basal ganglia (Mestre and Ferreira, 2010). They may be used alone or in combination with levo-dopa to improve the motor functions in PD patients. However, They may induce
neuropsychiatric, cognitive and other typical anticholinergic side effects (dry mouth, blurred vision, urinary retention, and cardiotoxicity) (Katzenschlager et al., 2003).

All the aforementioned anti-PD drugs are accompanied with adverse side effects and do not halt or reverse disease progression (Toulouse and Sullivan, 2008). More importantly, the effectiveness of these drugs depends greatly on the functionality of the remaining dopaminergic neurons to synthesize and secrete dopamine which decreases as the degeneration worsens. In addition, a recent study showed that the total cost of PD were approximately 8640 Euros per patient over a 6-month period. Anti-PD drugs were the major component of costs paid by the health insurance companies (39.6%), constituting a quarter of PD direct costs (Winter et al., 2010). Another report estimated the annual cost of prescribed drugs post-treatment initiation at $3854. However, ~ 67% of patients do not adhere well to drug therapy due to the multiple dosing and regimen complexity of anti-PD drugs leading to disease worsening and ~ $3451 annual increase in all-cause PD associated costs (Davis et al., 2010). Hence, there is an urgent need for new and improved therapies that can replace or reduce the need for anti-PD medications in the treatment of PD.

As current pharmacotherapy does not prevent the progression of PD, it is imperative that new treatment strategies be developed that can replenish lost dopaminergic neurons and/or prevent the loss of these neurons in affected individuals. Development of drugs that enhance neurogenesis and/or help mobilize newly-formed neurons to the affected brain areas is an interesting approach for the treatment of PD that needs to be further investigated. For instance, serotonin stimulates brain-derived neurotrophic factor (a secreted protein that enhances neurons maturation and survival) expression and the
administration of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors enhances the activity of brain-derived neurotrophic factor and alleviates symptoms of Huntington’s disease in a mouse model (Duan et al., 2008). Similarly, dopaminergic receptor D2 and adrenergic receptor alfa-2 agonists and antagonists, respectively, have been shown to promote neurogenesis in murine animals (Borta and Hoglinger, 2007; Rizk et al., 2006). Both the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate and the inhibitory neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) play roles in neurogeneration and, hence, may be potential targets for pharmacological intervention to treat neurodegenerative diseases (Hagg, 2009). Further, nicotine is thought to enhance subventricular zone neurogenesis through the activation of cholinergic nicotinic receptors, resulting in downstream increase of fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF-2). Kainic acid, however, appears to enhance FGF-2-mediated neurogenesis through a cannabinoid receptor-1 dependent manner (Aguado et al., 2007).

Advantages of these pharmacological approaches include: (i) availability of many candidate drugs with established safety profiles, (ii) ease of administration, and (iii) the feasibility of withdrawing drugs in case adverse side effects and/or complications occur. However, several impediments still exist including: (i) possible failure of these drugs due to severe degeneration in their respective sites of action and/or due to down-regulation of their receptors, (ii) potential side effects and/or toxicities, and (iii) lack of knowledge regarding the precise site of action, the potentially-affected tissues and exact mechanism of action of the drugs (Hagg, 2009). Obviously, generating small molecules that can directly stimulate receptors of neurotrophic factors is likely to prove valuable.

Gene therapy, neurotrophic factor treatment and cell replacement therapy are all currently being developed as potential options in combating this degenerative disorder. A
combinatorial strategy may be the most effective approach for replacing the dopaminergic neurons that are lost during the course of the disease and protecting the remaining neurons. In the following chapters, we discuss the current status of non-pharmacological approaches with a special focus on stem cell (SC) based cell replacement therapy in the treatment of PD.
CHAPTER II

ALTERNATIVE THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES IN PD

The failure of pharmacological agents to halt the progressive neurodegeneration in PD has resulted in the development of alternative therapeutic approaches including brain stimulation, neurotrophic factor-based therapies, gene therapy, fetal midbrain graft transplantation, and SC-based therapy.

I. Deep brain stimulation

Deep brain stimulation is used in the advanced stages of PD and involves the surgical implantation of a brain pacemaker usually in the subthalamic nucleus or the globus pallidus pars interna. The pacemaker sends high frequency electrical impulses resulting in the mitigation of the symptoms of PD, which in turn helps in reducing the dose of anti-PD drugs. Deep brain stimulation is implemented only in advanced cases when drug-treatment is no longer effective or is accompanied by distressing side effects. Since its approval by the food and drug administration in 2002, deep brain stimulation has improved motor symptoms (bradykinesia, rigidity, tremors and dyskinesia) in many PD patients for up to 5 years and appears to induce better results in L-dopa-responsive patients with intact cognitive abilities (Bronstein et al., 2011). However, since deep brain stimulation requires a major surgery in the brain, and does not address or reverse the cause of neurodegeneration, it is viewed as a less attractive approach in the treatment of PD.
II. Neurotrophic factor-based therapy

Neurotrophic factors are secreted proteins that play a key role in the induction, specification, maturation, survival and protection of neurons (Sullivan and Toulouse, 2011). Therefore, lack of neurotrophic factors has been hypothesized to contribute to the pathophysiology of PD (Connor and Dragunow, 1998). Neurotrophic factors that act on dopaminergic neurons include: members of the classic neurotrophin family such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor, glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family such as GDNF and neurturin, and novel neurotrophic factors such as conserved dopamine neurotrophic factor and mesencephalic astrocyte-derived neurotrophic factor. Of all the identified neurotrophic factors, GDNF and neurturin have shown the most encouraging results as therapeutic targets for degenerative disorders. Whereas the advantage of delivering a combination of neurotrophic factors using single gene-delivery approach is enticing, albeit controversial (Sun et al., 2005; Zurn et al., 1996), delivering these factors into the brains of patients is problematic. This is because the neurotrophic factors cannot pass the blood brain barrier and undergo rapid metabolism, raising the need for long-lasting local delivery system. In addition to direct intracerebral infusion, transplantation of neurotrophic factor-expressing cells and in vivo gene therapy have all been implemented to deliver sufficient quantities of neurotrophic factors to the brain (Rangasamy et al., 2010).

**Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)**

GDNF is a member of the GDNF family of neurotrophic factors that also include neurturin, artemin and persephin. The GDNF family of proteins bind to
glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-linked receptors called GDNF family receptors alpha 1, 2, 3 and 4 (GFRα1, 2, 3 and-4), and activate a transmembranous tyrosine kinase, re-arranged during transfection (RET), to induce downstream signaling that includes mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phospholipase Cγ pathways (Sariola and Saarma, 2003). GDNF has been isolated from glial cells and was confirmed to exert neuroprotective effect on cultured dopaminergic neurons (Lin et al., 1993). Furthermore, GDNF has been shown to induce tyrosine hydroxylase, the rate-limiting enzyme in dopamine synthesis, in fetal human and rat cerebral cortical cultures (Theofilopoulos et al., 2001).

*In vivo* studies have demonstrated the ability of intracerebrally-injected GDNF to protect dopaminergic neurons and induce functional improvement in murine and primate models of PD (Gash et al., 1996; Tomac et al., 1995). To inject neurotrophic factors, a stereotactic surgery is performed after determining the precise delivery region in the brain by co-localization studies using positron emission tomography scanning of dopamine uptake and magnetic resonance imaging. Although open-label clinical studies showed positive results (Gill et al., 2003; Slevin et al., 2007), double-blind randomized clinical trials using intraventricular and intraputaminal infusion of recombinant GDNF showed mild or no therapeutic benefit and were even accompanied with distressing side effects such as nausea, vomiting, anorexia and paresthesias (Lang et al., 2006; Nutt et al., 2003). These disappointing results led the sponsor (Amgen) to terminate all GDNF clinical studies. The discrepancy between open-label and double-blind trials may be attributed to open-label study-associated subjective evaluation bias, the exact site of injection, variability of graft composition (the proportion of dopaminergic, serotonergic,
GABAergic, substance P-containing neurons and glial cells), tissue preparation (suspension, solid tissue or strands) and/or statistical analyses.

Alternative methods of delivering GDNF include *ex vivo* transfected cell therapy and *in vivo* gene therapy. The *ex vivo* transfected cell therapy approach is based on implanting GDNF-expressing cells into the SNpc or striatum. Encapsulated GDNF-producing cell lines have shown therapeutic results in animal models of PD (Yasuhara et al., 2005). Furthermore, transplantation of several primary cell lines, genetically modified to express GDNF, have shown beneficial effects during *in vivo* animal studies (Behrstock et al., 2006; Ericson et al., 2005). To our knowledge, no clinical trials have been conducted for GDNF-secreting cells. On the other hand, *in vivo* gene delivery is aimed at delivering GDNF continuously to the nigrostriatal system using viral vectors. Virus-based methods of delivering GDNF circumvents the rapid metabolism of GDNF and have been shown to induce behavioral recovery in 6-hydroxydopamine- (6-OHDA)-lesioned rats (Bilang-Bleuel et al., 1997; Mandel et al., 1997). Although some success has been achieved in animal models, clinical trials have not been warranted due to ethical and technical concerns.

**Neurturin**

Neurturin belongs to the GDNF family of ligands and was identified as a survival-enhancing factor for sympathetic neurons (Kotzbauer et al., 1996). It shares 42% homology with GDNF and is part of a signaling pathway that involves RET-tyrosine autophosphorylation and activation of MAPK and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) (Creedon et al., 1997). Neurturin is expressed in the ventral midbrain and striatum and
has been shown to enhance the survival of dopaminergic neurons in a fashion similar to GDNF (Horger et al., 1998).

Studies have shown the ability of cerebrally-injected neurturin to induce functional recovery in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats (Oiwa et al., 2002) and 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine- (MPTP)-lesioned monkeys (Grondin et al., 2008). In addition, ex vivo transfected cell therapy, as another approach for neurturin delivery into the nigrostriatal system, via the intracerebral implantation of genetically-modified cells has been exploited. Neural stem cells (NSCs) overexpressing neurturin showed neuroprotective effects and induced functional recovery in a rat model of PD (Liu et al., 2007). Another approach to deliver neurturin continuously to the brain is in vivo viral-based neurturin gene delivery to the SNpc and has shown efficacy in protecting dopaminergic neurons of the nigrostriatal pathway in rats (Fjord-Larsen et al., 2005) and monkeys (Kordower et al., 2006).

In an open-label clinical trial, CERE120, an experimental adeno-associated virus 2 (AAV2) vector carrying the gene for human neurturin and developed by Ceregene Inc., was bilaterally injected into the putamen of 12 patients (Marks et al., 2008). No serious side effects were reported and improvement in off-medication Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) was observed. UPDRS is a common scoring system first developed in the 1980s that comprehensively examines the mood, mental state, daily activities, and motor symptoms in patients in order to quantify the severity of PD. Each question used in UPDRS, that has to be answered by the patient or by the caregiver, assesses the severity of a PD symptom on a scale of 0-4 (0 = normal, 1 = slight, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = severe). A newer version of UPDRS, revised by the movement
disorder society, rates 65 items with a 0-4 value whereas the older version rates 55 items, 47 with a 0-4 value and 7 items with yes or no (Goetz et al., 2008).

Following the CERE120-open label study, a double-blind randomized multicenter trial of 58 patients showed failure of bilateral intraputaminal injection of CERE-120 to reach the primary endpoint with no therapeutic differences observed between controls and treated PD patients at 12 months post-therapy. However, a longer-term follow-up study on a subgroup of the same patients revealed a modest clinical improvement at 18 months post-therapy. Additionally, subsequent post-mortem investigation on 2 patients, who died for reasons unrelated to the treatment, revealed that neurturin expression was limited to the putamen, indicating limited retrograde transport of neurturin through the degenerated nigrostriatal neurons to the SNpc. To circumvent the deficiency in retrograde transport, Ceregene has decided to initiate another CERE-120-based phase II trial that targets both the putamen and the SNpc (Marks et al., 2010). The results of the trial have not been revealed at the time of writing.

**Brain-derived neurotrophic factor**

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor is widely expressed in the brain and plays a critical role in the axonal growth and neuronal regeneration (Lykissas et al., 2007). Whereas several studies report brain-derived neurotrophic factor to have neuroprotective effects in chemically-induced nigrostriatal dopaminergic neuron injury; it also supports neuronal maturation under homeostatic conditions (Baker et al., 2005). It acts by interacting with two receptors abundantly expressed in the nigrostriatal system, tyrosine kinase B (TrkB) and p75 neurotrophin receptor (p75NTR) (Yan et al., 1997).
Ex vivo and in vivo strategies, for delivering brain-derived neurotrophic factor into the brain, have been investigated. Astrocytes and fibroblasts, genetically modified to express brain-derived neurotrophic factor and injected intrastratally in a rat model of PD, resulted in functional motor improvement (Leprivier et al., 1995; Yoshimoto et al., 1995). However, in vivo gene transfer of brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene into the SNpc of parkinsonian rats failed to exhibit neuroprotective properties (Klein et al., 1999; Sun et al., 2005), indicating the insufficiency of brain-derived neurotrophic factor single-gene delivery. Moreover, supraphysiological amounts of brain-derived neurotrophic factor could be associated with deleterious effect on neuronal circuits, impaired learning, and increased susceptibility to seizures (Croll et al., 1999). The mixed results observed with brain-derived neurotrophic factor therapy were not convincing enough to warrant conducting clinical studies. Besides GDNF, neurturin and brain-derived neurotrophic factor, the utility of several other growth factors, including vascular endothelial growth factor, pigment epithelium-derived factor, growth/differentiation factor 5, neurotrophin-3, neurotrophin-4/5, conserved dopamine neurotrophic factor and mesencephalic astrocyte-derived neurotrophic factor, in the treatment of PD has been and/or is being explored (Falk et al., 2010; Lindholm et al., 2007; Sullivan et al., 1997; Ventimiglia et al., 1995; Voutilainen et al., 2009).

Lately, the focus is shifting to implanting genetically-engineered neurotrophic factor-expressing SCs to replace dead dopaminergic neurons and simultaneously protect the remaining neurons in patients. Another potentially-effective approach is the use of small molecules to enhance the activity of endogenous neurotrophic factors. For instance, it was demonstrated that intracerebral infusion of peroxovanadium, an inhibitor of protein
tyrosine phosphatases, can protect neurons in the SNpc of 6-OHDA-lesioned rats by enhancing the activity of endogenous neurotrophic factors on their respective tyrosine kinase-linked receptors (Yang et al., 2007). Creating small molecules that could directly activate neurotrophic factor receptors would be of great interest as well.

III. Gene therapy

Gene therapy in PD offers the advantage of delivering therapeutic genes into specific loci in the brain using a one-time stereotaxic surgical procedure, resulting in robust long-term gene expression. Gene therapy primarily aims at achieving one or more of the following three goals. The first goal is to boost the production of dopamine into the striatum by over-expressing dopamine synthesizing enzymes such as tyrosine hydroxylase (Zhang et al., 2004) and aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase (AADC) (Li et al., 2006). The second goal is to protect the remaining dopaminergic neurons in the basal ganglia by utilizing genes of neurotrophic factors such as GDNF (Akerud et al., 2001) and neurturin (Fjord-Larsen et al., 2005). The third goal is to modulate basal ganglia circuits through the introduction of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD), the enzyme that converts glutamic acid into inhibitory GABA, in the subthalamic nucleus (Emborg et al., 2007). AAV and lentiviruses (LV) are typically used to introduce the genes of interest into the striatum, SNpc or subthalamic nucleus cells of PD patients, using direct stereotaxic injections. AAV vectors are preferred in PD clinical trials due to their low immunogenicity and ability to induce potent, long-term expression of genes (Zaiss and Muruve, 2005). Similarly, LV constructs offer a safe clinical-grade alternative to deliver genes into non-dividing cells in neurodegenerative disorders (Lundberg et al., 2008).
The gene coding for tyrosine hydroxylase is the first gene to be investigated in the quest for a gene therapy of PD, and has shown promising results \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} (Kang et al., 2001). Further, levels of AADC, the enzyme that catalyzes the decarboxylation of levo-dopa and converts it to DA, are diminished in the brains of PD patients. Indeed, AADC gene therapy has been shown to improve motor functions in MPTP-lesioned primates (Bankiewicz et al., 2006). These results led to the initiation of phase I clinical trials. Ten PD patients were treated with bilateral intraputaminal injection of human AADC-carrying AAV vector and displayed approximately 30\% improvement in the on-medication (at day times of drug peak plasma concentration such as one hour after taking a drug dose) and off-medication (at day times of lowest drug plasma concentration such as early morning) states based on the UPDRS. However, headaches and increased risks of cerebral hemorrhage were reported as side effects (Christine et al., 2009). Another phase I clinical study employing AAV-mediated intraputaminal delivery of AADC carried out in 6 PD patients were well tolerated and 46\% improvement in functional performance in the off-medication state, based on the UPDRS scores was reported (Muramatsu et al., 2010).

A combinatorial approach involving infusion of the three main enzymes required in dopamine synthesis, viz. TH, guanosine triphosphate (GTP) cyclohydrolase 1, and AADC using a tricistronic LV vector resulted in significantly higher motor recovery in primate models of PD, compared to single gene delivery (Jarraya et al., 2009; Muramatsu et al., 2002). GTP cyclohydrolase 1 is an enzyme needed to convert GTP to tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4), a cofactor involved in the tyrosine hydroxylase-catalyzed production of levo-dopa from L-tyrosine (Levine et al., 1981). Pre-published reports on a
currently ongoing phase I/II clinical trial, that implements a similar combinatorial strategy, revealed motor improvement in UPDRS scores in all cohorts at 6 months post therapy (Oxford BioMedica, 2011), highlighting the advantage of combinatorial approaches over single gene delivery. A schematic representation of the tricistronic approach is shown in figure 2.

Figure 2. A tricistronic approach using the three genes responsible for dopamine synthesis, tyrosine hydroxylase, aromatic amino acid decarboxylase and GTP cyclohydrolase 1, has been investigated in PD patients. (TH: tyrosine hydroxylase, AADC: aromatic amino acid decarboxylase, GCH1: GTP cyclohydrolase 1, LV: lentivirus, Prosavin: the brand name of the preparation)

Since PD pathology is associated with overactivity of the subthalamic nucleus and the globus pallidus pars interna (Hammond et al., 2007), it was hypothesized that inhibiting the subthalamic nucleus through introduction of the GAD gene that converts glutamic acid into GABA, the major inhibitory transmitter in the CNS, will alleviate the symptoms of PD (figure 3). Indeed, functional improvements have been reported following AAV2-mediated delivery of GAD gene in murine (Lee et al., 2005) and primate (Emborg et al., 2007) models of PD, leading to the commencement of clinical trials. In a phase I trial of unilateral infusion of the GAD-viral vector into the subthalamic nucleus, no adverse effects were reported and significant motor improvements were observed up to 12 months post-treatment (Kaplitt et al., 2007). In addition, a recent double-blinded clinical trial implementing the AAV2-mediated bilateral subthalamic nucleus delivery of GAD showed positive results with a 23.1% mean improvement of UPDRS motor score in the
off-medication state, compared to 12.7% in the control group at 6 months post-treatment (LeWitt et al., 2011). These results are probably the most promising results observed in a controlled double-blinded trial in the search for an effective gene therapy for PD. However, the improvement shown in the GAD-delivery study seem to be approximately half of what was observed with surgical deep brain stimulation (Limousin et al., 1998), raising skepticism about the future of gene-therapy approaches in PD.

Figure 3. Inhibiting the subthalamic nucleus (STN) and/or the globus pallidus interna via deep brain stimulation or via delivery of glutamic acid decarboxylase encoding gene is useful to treat PD.

Taken together, these studies indicate the potential for using gene therapy to treat PD in the future. However, improved long-term stable expression of target gene as well as confirmation of their safety are required for the success of effective and safe gene-therapy approaches in the treatment of PD.
CHAPTER III

CELL REPLACEMENT THERAPY FOR PD

The failure of known pharmacological and surgical measures to halt and/or reverse the progressive loss of neurons and the associated pathophysiology in PD patients makes it imperative to search for novel therapeutic approaches. Cell replacement therapy appears to be promising in this regard as it offers a means to replace degenerative dopaminergic neurons, especially in the SNpc, where the initial neurodegeneration occurs in PD (Chiu and Hall, 2006). Double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trials that implemented the intracerebral implantation of human dopaminergic neurons-containing grafts in several PD patients (Freed et al., 2001; Olanow et al., 2003) provided proof-of-principle for the potentials of cell replacement therapy in PD, albeit with a few remaining challenges.

I. Tissue grafts

The earliest cell replacement therapy for PD employed autologous chromaffin cell-containing adrenal medulla tissue and was reported as a success when grafted in 2 PD patients (Madrazo et al., 1987). Subsequently, hundreds of patients were grafted based on the same approach. However, the grafts were found to be a failure due to the associated morbidity and the lack of significant behavioral recovery (Goetz et al., 1991).

The trend then shifted toward grafting fetal mesencephalic tissue collected from 8- to 9-week-old fetuses (Lindvall et al., 1990). The first clinical trials implementing fetal tissue
grafting was carried out more than twenty years ago and showed promising recovery in some patients (Lindvall et al., 1990). Furthermore, fetal mesencephalic graft neurons survived in the host striatum for a long period of time and induced positive motor improvement (Hagell et al., 1999; Hauser et al., 1999). In fact, some patients improved satisfactorily for more than ten years after the transplantation (Mendez et al., 2008). However, double-blind fetal midbrain grafting studies sponsored by the National Institute of Health (NIH) showed variable effects as well as induction of distressing side effects such as graft-induced dyskinesia. Indeed, graft-induced dyskinesia was reported in 56% of the treated subjects and some cases necessitated surgical intervention by deep brain stimulation (Freed et al., 2001; Olanow et al., 2003). Advanced PD patients with widespread neurodegeneration outside the nigrostriatal system and those with a history of levo-dopa-induced dyskinesia are more likely to experience graft-induced dyskinesia. Hence, it is imperative to apply strict inclusion criteria that avoid patients with high risk of developing graft-induced dyskinesia (Wakeman et al., 2011). The cause of the dyskinesia is likely the “overload” due to excess dopamine secretion into the striatum and/or the unavoidable presence of serotonergic neurons within the fetal ventral mesencephalic grafts (Lane et al., 2010). In fact, serotonergic neuron-rich grafts do not exert therapeutic effect and cause deterioration of levo-dopa-induced dyskinesia in a rat model of PD (Carlsson et al., 2007). Moreover, a 2:1 ratio of dopaminergic neurons to serotonergic neurons markedly reduced levo-dopa induced dyskinesia, indicating that the relative ratio of dopaminergic neurons to serotonergic neurons (not the absolute number of serotonergic neurons) is what drives dyskinesia (Carlsson et al., 2009). Interestingly, systemic administration of buspirone, a serotonergic receptor 5-HT1A agonist that
dampen transmitter release from serotonergic neurons, markedly attenuated graft-induced
dyskinesia (Politis et al., 2010). Hence, it is recommended to exclude, as much as
possible, serotonergic neurons from transplants. Moreover, standardization of the
parameters for graft collection, preparation and storage is needed to control the
dopaminergic: serotonergic composition of grafted tissues.

Importantly, detection of cellular PD-related pathological features in a few grafted cells
in the brains of treated patients, ten years after transplantation (Kordower et al., 2008; Li
et al., 2008), led to the conclusion that the surrounding brain microenvironment has a
deleterious effect on the survival of grafted and endogenous dopaminergic neurons in PD
patients. This report was contradicted by another study which did not detect any
pathological features in the grafted neurons (Mendez et al., 2008). Nevertheless, ethical
concerns as well as issues associated with the availability of 8-9 week old fetal tissue
made it impractical to continue investigating fetal midbrain-derived grafts in clinical
therapy (Astradsson et al., 2008; Deierborg et al., 2008), raising the need for more
practical sources for cell replacement therapy in PD.

II. Stem cells (SCs) as a source of cell replacement therapy in the treatment of PD

The ethical and practical impediments associated with fetal grafting in PD shifted the
attention of the cell replacement therapy field to the use of SCs, widely viewed as a
therapeutic strategy with immense potential in the treatment of neurodegenerative
diseases. The general strategy is to harvest SCs and induce them to differentiate into
dopaminergic neurons that could be transplanted in the brain to replace, protect or repair
endogenous dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc in order to halt and/or reverse the progressive nature of the disease.

Over the past decade, several types of SCs have been investigated as potential candidates for cell replacement therapy in PD, including pluripotent embryonic SCs (ESCs), induced pluripotent SCs (iPSCs), and multipotent neural (N) and mesenchymal (M) SCs. Pluripotent SCs, such as ESCs, give rise to all cell types within the three germ layers, whereas multipotent tissue-specific SCs such as NSCs give rise to all cell types within their respective tissue. There is some evidence that multipotent SCs possess the ability to transdifferentiate when given the right conditions, albeit with little efficiency (Cogle et al., 2004; Priller et al., 2001), indicating the possibility of using non-neural multipotent SCs, such as MSCs, to induce dopaminergic neuronal differentiation in the treatment of PD. A summary of the different types of stem cells investigated in the treatment of PD and their advantages and disadvantages are presented in table 1.
Table 1. Summary of the advantages and disadvantage of stem cells investigated in the treatment of PD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>potency</th>
<th>Main source</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>embryonic stem cells</td>
<td>pluripotent</td>
<td>embryonic blastocyst</td>
<td>- high dopaminergic yield</td>
<td>- tumorigenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- effective in animal models</td>
<td>- ethical issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- poor survival in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>induced pluripotent stem cells</td>
<td>pluripotent</td>
<td>reprogrammed somatic cells</td>
<td>- autologous transplantation</td>
<td>- low dopaminergic yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- no ethical issues</td>
<td>- time consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- effective in animal models</td>
<td>- labor intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- tumorigenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- poor survival in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mesenchymal stem cells</td>
<td>multipotent</td>
<td>bone marrow and umbilical cord</td>
<td>- autologous transplantation</td>
<td>- low dopaminergic yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- possess anti-inflammatory properties</td>
<td>- poor survival in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- not tumorigenic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- safe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- effective in animal models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neural stem cells</td>
<td>multipotent</td>
<td>adult brain</td>
<td>- autologous transplantation</td>
<td>- low dopaminergic yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- differentiate into the three lineages of the nervous system</td>
<td>- poor survival in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- effective in animal models</td>
<td>- hard to identify and isolate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- tumorigenic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IIa. Embryonic Stem Cells

ESCs are derived from the inner cell mass of the blastocyst (figure 4) and are characterized by self-renewal (indefinite proliferation while maintaining their identity), and pluripotency (ability to differentiate into all cells of the three germ layers). The main advantages of ESCs as a source for cell replacement therapy in PD are their pluripotency and high rate of proliferation (Newman and Bakay, 2008). So far, among all types of SCs, ESCs have yielded the most promising results in terms of their efficiency to differentiate into dopaminergic neurons. In fact, protocols for inducing dopaminergic neuronal differentiation of SCs have mostly been developed using ESCs (Perrier et al., 2004; Schulz et al., 2004; Yan et al., 2005).

![Figure 4. When the zygote is formed during conception, it starts to divide rapidly and forms the blastocyst. Embryonic stem cells are derived from the inner cell mass of the blastocyst.](image)

To achieve best results, ESCs must give rise to A9-subtype midbrain dopaminergic neurons that could replace or prevent the progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons in the SNpc region of PD patients (Mendez et al., 2005). Hence a major focus has been placed on inducing ESCs to differentiate into dopaminergic neurons before injecting them into
the patient midbrain. The differentiation of SCs into dopaminergic neurons is promoted by culturing them on stromal feeder layers (Kawasaki et al., 2000), in the presence of specific dopaminergic neuronal fate-driving growth factors such as sonic hedgehog (SHH) (a regulator of cell division and organogenesis) and FGF8 (Lee et al., 2000), and/or through the expression of transcription factors such as Lmx1a and Nurrl (transcription factors that play an active role in the differentiation of midbrain precursors into dopaminergic neurons) (Andersson et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2002). Not only do growth factors promote neuronal differentiation but they also potentiate the survival rate of grafted cells, thus inducing better functional recovery in animal models of PD. For instance, adding FGF2, FGF20 and Wnt5a to parthenogenetic primate SCs at their final stages of differentiation enhance survival of the grafted cells and consequently, improve motor function in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats (Sanchez-Pernaute et al., 2008). The highest differentiation efficiency has been achieved using genetically-modified Nurrl-overexpressing mouse ESCs, co-cultured with PA6 stromal cells in a medium enriched with shh, fgf8 and ascorbic acid (Kim et al., 2006). The study resulted in ~90% dopamine-secreting neurons that integrated successfully upon transplantation in the brain of a rat model of PD.

In vivo studies have revealed the ability of ESCs to differentiate efficiently into dopaminergic neurons which in turn could be used to treat parkinsonian symptoms in animal models. For instance, upon transplantation of ESC-derived dopaminergic neurons in the striatum of unilaterally 6-OHDA-lesioned rats, Rodriguez-Gomez et al. confirmed appropriate dopamine release and reuptake by the transplanted cells as well as their ability to stimulate postsynaptic dopaminergic D2 receptors (Rodriguez-Gomez et al.,
Importantly, the study showed that the implanted dopaminergic neurons survived for 32 weeks after transplantation and induced marked behavioral recovery. Similarly, over 70% reductions in chemical-induced rotational behavior and improved motor function were observed when ESC-derived dopaminergic neurons were implanted intrastriatally in a rat model of PD (Barberi et al., 2003). In primates, ESCs co-cultured with PA6 cells in the presence of FGF2 and FGF20 differentiated successfully into dopaminergic neurons, that survived in the brain and improved neurological deficits upon transplantation in the striatum of MPTP-lesioned monkeys (Takagi et al., 2005).

Whereas the aforementioned studies show marked behavioral recovery in animal models of PD when transplanted with non-human neurons, use of human (h) ESC-derived dopaminergic neurons have yielded less encouraging results, probably due to histocompatibility issues. Groups who transplanted dopaminergic neurons derived from hESCs into the brains of hemiparkinsonian rats have reported either no therapeutic effect (Brederlau et al., 2006; Park et al., 2005) or modest functional improvement (Ben-Hur et al., 2004). Although Roy et al. reported long-term survival of many brain-grafted hESC-derived cells in addition to a significant functional recovery in a rat model of PD (Roy et al., 2006), subsequent studies questioned the results and conclusions drawn from the study and have suggested that the behavioral improvement observed was not dopamine-dependent but probably due to graft-induced striatal damage (Morizane et al., 2008). More recently however, Kriks et al. were able to extend the in vivo survival of midbrain dopaminergic neurons derived from hESCs up to 5 months and these neurons were able to induce significant motor improvement in parkinsonian mice, rats and monkeys (Kriks et al., 2011). This group proposed a novel protocol for deriving human dopaminergic
neurons from ESCs that recapitulated physiological conditions of dopaminergic neuronal development, thereby enhancing their long-term survivability both in vitro and in vivo.

Several challenges are still facing the clinical application of ESC-based therapy in PD patients. To our knowledge, almost all previous investigations have reported poor survival of hESC-derived neurons following transplantation (Brederlau et al., 2006; Park et al., 2005), probably due to immunological rejection by the host tissues (Toriumi et al., 2009). To circumvent this issue, some studies emphasize the importance of identifying the optimal developmental stage of ESC-derived cells before transplantation. In this regard, dopaminergic neuronal precursors are thought to be more capable of avoiding the premature death of cells after transplantation as compared to the mature dopaminergic neurons (Jonsson et al., 2009). Moreover, ethical concerns associated with the use of cells obtained from human embryos for cell replacement therapy have made clinical application of ESCs difficult (Fukuda and Takahashi, 2005).

In addition to the aforementioned impediments, the risk of teratoma (potentially fatal benign tumors containing a mixture of tissue types, formed after injection of SCs in animal models) formation after transplanting ESC-derived transplants poses the greatest challenge to clinical cell replacement therapy for PD patients. In fact, human ESCs share many cellular and molecular similarities with cancer cells such as high proliferative potential (Amit et al., 2000), genomic instability (Harrison et al., 2007), epigenetic status (Calvanese et al., 2008), and high telomerase activity (Hiyama and Hiyama, 2007). Therefore, it is generally accepted that in vitro differentiation of ESCs into more mature dopaminergic precursors or neurons must be carried out before grafting. Furthermore,
excluding all undifferentiated ESCs before transplantation is essential to avoid the formation of teratomas.

A number of approaches have been proposed to eliminate undifferentiated ESCs. For instance, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) techniques have been implemented to exclude undifferentiated SCs and neural precursors based on cell surface markers (Fukuda et al., 2006). A second approach to eliminate pluripotent cells involves killing them through the use of cytotoxic antibodies (Tan et al., 2009) or induction of selective apoptosis of undifferentiated cells through the use of the ceramide analogue, N-oleoyl serinol (S18) (Bieberich et al., 2004). A third approach involves Cripto, a protein known to inhibit ESCs differentiation and enhances tumorigenicity after transplantation. Indeed, Cripto knockout ESCs have been shown to differentiate successfully into dopaminergic neurons without inducing tumorigenesis when implanted into 6-OHDA-lesioned rats (Parish et al., 2005). Novel approaches based on studies addressing the molecular basis of tumorigenesis will enable suppression of tumorigenic genes in ESC-derived neurons in transplantation therapy.

IIb. Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells

The discovery of iPSCs has presented an invaluable source for cell replacement therapy that avoids the ethical issues associated with the use of ESCs. The general concept of using iPSCs in the treatment of PD is to reprogram the patient’s own adult somatic cells into a pluripotent state and then induce them to differentiate into dopaminergic neurons that could be transplanted into the patient’s brain (figure 5). Mouse somatic fibroblasts were the first to be reprogrammed into iPSCs through retroviral transduction of four
transcription factors, namely octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (Oct4), SRY box-containing gene 2 (Sox2), Kruppel-like factor 4 (Klf4), and c-Myc (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006; Wernig et al., 2007). In addition to fibroblasts, several other cell lineages have subsequently been reprogrammed into a pluripotent state by overexpressing some or all of the aforementioned four transcription factors (Golestaneh et al., 2009; Kunisato et al., 2010; Yamanaka et al., 2008). Newer reprogramming protocols such as methods requiring no viral integration as well as strategies using small molecules to promote reprogramming efficiency are being developed to enhance the clinical potential of iPSCs, raising the possibility that they may replace ESCs in cell replacement therapy in the near future (Nishikawa et al., 2008; Wernig et al., 2008; Yamanaka, 2008).

The iPSCs are similar but not identical to ESCs in morphology, proliferative potential, telomerase activity, cell surface markers, gene expression, and epigenetic status (Kim et al., 2010; Takahashi et al., 2007). Although microarray studies have shown that iPSCs exhibit a gene-expression profile that is similar to ESCs (Hochedlinger and Plath, 2009), they are less robust than ESCs in terms of differentiation potential and effectiveness in cell replacement therapy. In fact, an increased body of literature shows that iPSCs exhibit their own unique gene expression and DNA methylation pattern that are different from ESCs (Chin et al., 2009; Doi et al., 2009). These small differences might be attributed to the genomic integration of reprogramming transcription factor genes or to the unique genetic makeup of the cells prior to reprogramming.
Figure 5. Transduction of four transcription factors into fibroblasts results in the formation of induced pluripotent stem cells which can subsequently be induced to differentiate into dopaminergic neurons to replace degenerated neurons in Parkinson's disease.

The iPSC technology offers a number of advantages for cell replacement therapy in PD. First, it avoids the ethical controversies associated with the use of ESCs in cell replacement therapy for PD. Furthermore, the use of iPSCs makes it feasible to carry out autologous transplantation, thereby eliminating the risk of graft rejection by the recipient immune system (Dimos et al., 2008; Park et al., 2008b). In fact, iPSCs obtained from PD patients have been shown to differentiate as efficiently as healthy individual-derived iPSCs and displayed no PD-related pathological features in vitro (Soldner et al., 2009). When PD patient's iPSC-derived cells were intrastriatally transplanted in a murine model of PD, substantial attenuation of motor deficits were observed up to 16 weeks after transplantation (Hargus et al., 2010). Although further studies are needed to establish their long-term functionality, these exciting observations highlight the curative potential of iPSCs and justify their use as a viable cell replacement strategy in the treatment of PD. Several in vivo studies have shown promising results with the use of iPSCs in the treatment of PD. For instance, Wernig et al. managed to promote the differentiation of
iPSCs into neuronal precursors and dopaminergic neurons in vitro. Following subsequent in vivo implantation, iPSC-derived cells migrated into several brain tissues, integrated properly, and improved behavioral recovery in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats. Although initial observations showed the occurrence of teratomas in the grafted areas, depletion of all undifferentiated cells using FACS resulted in functional improvement without tumor formation up to 8 weeks after transplantation (Wernig et al., 2008). More recently, Swistowski et al. were successful in generating dopaminergic neurons from human (h)iPSCs, and the intracerebrally transplanted neurons survived and improved parkinsonian symptoms in a rat model of PD (Swistowski et al., 2010). Similar results were obtained by Cai et al. by transplantation of commercially available hiPSCs line-derived dopaminergic progenitor cells into the brains of 6-OHDA-lesioned rats (Cai et al., 2010).

As with any new emergent technology, the iPSC technology also suffers from several technical and safety limitations that hinder its clinical use in patients with PD. For example, progenitors derived from hiPSCs are prone to earlier senescence than those from hESCs (Feng et al., 2010). Furthermore, compared to hESCs, hiPSCs differentiate into midbrain dopaminergic neurons with very low efficiency, rendering the clinical application of iPSCs costly and impractical. The low dopaminergic yield obtained from iPSCs may be attributed to the activation of anti-reprogramming or tumor-suppressive cellular mechanisms (Chen et al., 2011). In addition, integration of viral genomic material in the iPSCs may also play a role in altering their differentiation potential.

Due to their pluripotent potential, engrafted iPSCs also exhibit a tendency to generate teratomas comprised of the three fetal germ layers (Takahashi et al., 2007; Takahashi and
Yamanaka, 2006). In fact, several recent reports indicate a higher tumorigenicity risk associated with iPSCs than with ESCs (Gutierrez-Aranda et al., 2010; Ohm et al., 2010). In addition to pluripotency-related tumorigenesis, tumor formation may also occur as a result of genomic integration of the viral vector DNA into the iPSCs and/or due to the mitogenic properties of the transcription factors used in reprogramming (Gottweis and Minger, 2008). In fact, two of the four reprogramming transcription factors, namely c-Myc and Klf4, are oncogenic and several protocols have been proposed to minimize or avoid their use in reprogramming. Fortunately, c-Myc-free reprogramming protocols have been tested and proven successful in generating iPSCs, albeit slower and less efficient (Nakagawa et al., 2008). Furthermore, Oct4 and Sox2 are sufficient for reprogramming human fibroblasts without the need for c-Myc or Klf4, when valproic acid, a histone deacetylase inhibitor, was present (Huangfu et al., 2008). These observations, together with the potential use of small molecules in generating iPSCs, raise the possibility of minimizing or eliminating the need for oncogenic factors in cell reprogramming.

Since the use of integrating retroviruses in reprogramming is also associated with tumorigenicity (Yu et al., 2007), attempts have been made to generate iPSCs through the use of nonviral or nonintegrating viral vectors, albeit with lower efficiency. Jia et al. used DNA minicircle vectors, supercoiled molecules primarily composed of eukaryotic expression cassette, to generate transgene-free iPSCs from human adipose SCs (Jia et al., 2010). The resulting minicircle iPSCs subclones showed a high degree of similarity to hESCs in global gene expression with no genomic integration of the transgenes. However, the reprogramming efficiency achieved (~0.005%) was lower than that of viral-
based reprogramming (~0.01%). In addition, removing reprogramming transcription factors after reprogramming using Cre-recombinase excisable viruses has been accomplished (Soldner et al., 2009). The resultant factor-free hiPSCs maintained a pluripotent state and showed an overall transcription profile closely related to hESCs rather than that of transgenic hiPSCs. More recently, induction of iPSCs was achieved through the use of protein-based programming without the need for gene-manipulation (Cho et al., 2010). The study proposed that transfer of ESC-derived proteins into mouse fibroblasts could result in reprogramming to a pluripotent state without the risk of tumorigenicity associated with the use of exogenous transcription factors. Other nonviral or nonintegrating viral vectors recently used to generate iPSCs include non-integrating adenoviruses (Stadtfeld et al., 2008), plasmids (Okita et al., 2008), episomal vectors (Yu et al., 2009), synthetic modified mRNA (Warren et al., 2010), piggyBac transposition (Woltjen et al., 2009), and miRNA (Anokye-Danso et al., 2011). Selected methods for reprogramming cells into iPSCs are presented in table 2.

Table 2. Some methods for reprogramming human and murine somatic cells into iPSCs and their efficiencies, if available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of iPSCs</th>
<th>Reprogramming method</th>
<th>Reprogramming efficiency</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>human and embryonic somatic cells</td>
<td>miRNA-mediated reprogramming</td>
<td>≥ 0.1%</td>
<td>Anokye-Danso et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human adipose stem cells</td>
<td>nonviral DNA minicircle vectors carrying OCT4, SOX2, NANO, and LIN28</td>
<td>0.005%</td>
<td>Jia et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiple human cell types</td>
<td>synthetic modified mRNA</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>Warren et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse cardiac fibroblasts</td>
<td>protein-based reprogramming</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cho et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Type/Fibroblasts</td>
<td>Method/Reprogramming</td>
<td>Transduction Efficiency</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse adult bone marrow mononuclear cells</td>
<td>Retroviral transduction of oct4, sox2, klf4, and c-myc</td>
<td>0.067%</td>
<td>Kunistao et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human adult testes</td>
<td>Embryonic stem cell medium enriched with appropriate growth factors (basic FGF)</td>
<td>0.5-1%</td>
<td>Golestan et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human PD patients fibroblasts</td>
<td>Cre-recombinase excisable lentiviral viruses transducing OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and CMYC</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>Soldner et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human PD patients fibroblasts</td>
<td>Cre-recombinase excisable lentiviral viruses transducing OCT4, SOX2, and KLF4</td>
<td>0.005%</td>
<td>Soldner et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human and murine embryonic fibroblasts</td>
<td>Doxycycline-inducible transcription factors delivered by PiggyBac transposition</td>
<td>&lt; 0.000029%</td>
<td>Wolfjen et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse embryonic fibroblasts</td>
<td>Plasmids containing the complementary DNA for oct4, sox2, klf4, and c-myc</td>
<td>&lt; 0.000029%</td>
<td>Okita et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse fetal liver cells</td>
<td>Nonintegrating adenoviruses expressing oct4, sox2, klf4, and c-myc</td>
<td>0.0001-0.001%</td>
<td>Stadtfeld et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human fibroblasts</td>
<td>Retroviral transduction of OCT4, SOX2, and KLF4, in the presence of valproic acid</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Huangfu et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human fibroblasts</td>
<td>Retroviral transduction of OCT4, SOX2, and KLF4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001%</td>
<td>Nakagawa et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human PD patients fibroblasts</td>
<td>Retroviral transduction of OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and CMYC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Park et al., 2008b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse somatic fibroblasts</td>
<td>Retroviral transduction of oct4, sox2, klf4, and c-myc</td>
<td>0.05-0.1%</td>
<td>Wernig et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another interesting approach to eliminate tumorigenicity of iPSCs is the direct reprogramming of somatic fibroblasts into dopaminergic neurons, thereby avoiding the formation of potentially tumorigenic pluripotent SCs (figure 6). To that end, mouse fibroblasts were directly reprogrammed in vitro to become neurons, without passing...
through a potentially tumorigenic pluripotent state, by employing the transduction of three neural-lineage-specific transcription factors *Ascl1, Myt1l* and *Brn2* (Vierbuchen et al., 2010). The resultant neurons exhibited excitatory phenotype with cortical identity and formed functional synapses. Although the efficiency of reprogramming reached 19.5%, tyrosine hydroxylase-expression was not detected, indicating that dopaminergic neurons were not induced. Nonetheless, the study paves the road for more investigations to use neural cell-fate determining proteins to directly reprogram somatic cells into dopaminergic neurons.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 6.** Direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into neurons has the advantage of avoiding the formation of tumorigenic induced pluripotent stem cells.

The iPSC technology is labor-intensive, time-consuming and costly. Increasing the efficiency of reprogramming could help reduce the cost of generating iPSCs. To that end, more efficient protocols must be developed in the near future to advance the role of iPSC-based therapy in degenerative disorders. Interestingly, a recent report has indicated that miRNA could influence cellular reprogramming and generation of iPSCs. Consequently, small molecules promoting or inhibiting specific miRNAs may prove valuable in enhancing the efficiency of cellular programming (Mallanna and Rizzino,
In addition to reducing the cost of iPSC technology, it is also imperative to understand and to circumvent the early senescence and tumorigenicity associated with iPSC-derived cells.

IIc. Mesenchymal Stem Cells

The use of MSCs in the quest for a cell replacement therapy-based treatment for PD is relatively new and less well-characterized. MSCs are responsible for generating tissues of the mesoderm and reside in adult (e.g. bone marrow, adipose tissue, peripheral blood, and liver), fetal (e.g. bone marrow, lung, spleen, liver, brain, and peripheral blood), and extra-embryonic tissues (e.g. umbilical cord blood, amniotic fluid, and placenta). However, the main sources for cell replacement therapy are the umbilical cord and the adult bone marrow (Zhao and Xu, 2011). The multipotent MSCs normally differentiate into mesodermal osteocytes, adipocytes, chondrocytes, and myocytes and can also give rise to neuroectodermal neurons under the right conditions in vitro (Pittenger et al., 1999; Sanchez-Ramos et al., 2000; Woodbury et al., 2000). MSCs have been shown to have inherent ability to repair neuronal damage (Phinney and Isakova, 2005), paving the road for their potential use in the treatment of PD. The use of MSCs offers multiple advantages over using other types of SCs in the treatment of PD. For instance, MSCs can be readily harvested and cultured in vitro (Bianco et al., 2001). Moreover, no ethical limitations are associated with the use of autologous MSCs as opposed to hESCs or allogeneic NSCs. MSCs are poorly immunogenic due to the lack of major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-II expression, reducing the need for immunosuppressive therapy (Morandi et al., 2008). In fact, MSCs may possess inherent immunosuppressive activity, as shown by Zhang et al. that MSCs inhibit mitogen
stimulated T lymphocyte proliferation (Zhang et al., 2008). Importantly, no tumor formation or significant adverse side effects have been reported following the implantation of MSCs into the brains of PD animal models (Trzaska and Rameshwar, 2007).

A number of in vivo studies have shown the ability of MSCs to induce therapeutic behavioral improvement in murine animal models of PD (Bouchez et al., 2008; Li et al., 2001). Interestingly, human umbilical cord matrix-derived MSCs, transplanted into the brains of non-immunosuppressed murine models of PD, showed significant functional improvement with no tumor formation, confirming their immunomodulatory properties and potential for use in non-autologous transplantation (Weiss et al., 2006). Noteworthy, reports have also indicated that grafted MSCs could migrate to the site of injury and enhance the number of tyrosine hydroxylase--positive neurons in the brain (Jin et al., 2008; Sadan et al., 2009). Interestingly, even systemically-administered MSCs have been shown to promote behavioral recovery in a rat model of PD (Park et al., 2008a). This observation led to the conclusion that certain chemokines secreted from the damaged brain tissues attract MSCs to the site of brain damage.

More recently, genetically-modified MSCs have been investigated as a more robust therapeutic approach in the treatment of PD. For instance, intrastriatally transplanted vascular endothelium growth factor-expressing human umbilical cord-derived MSCs differentiated into dopaminergic neurons and ameliorated motor abnormalities in a rat model of PD (Xiong et al., 2011). Similarly, intrastriatal implantation of GDNF-expressing human bone marrow-derived MSCs in a rat model of PD resulted in the sprouting of rejuvenated tyrosine hydroxylase--positive neurons around the graft and
significant functional improvement in parkinsonian symptoms (Glavaski-Joksimovic et al., 2010). In addition, another group reported that transplantation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor-secreting MSCs into the SNpc of a murine model of PD resulted in enhanced grafting and neuroprotection (Somoza et al., 2010). In addition to neurotrophic factors, the dopamine synthesizing enzyme, tyrosine hydroxylase, has also been overexpressed in MSCs. When tyrosine hydroxylase-expressing bone marrow-derived MSCs were induced to differentiate into NSCs and injected into the brain lateral ventricle of parkinsonian rats, considerable behavioral recovery was observed, indicating the ability of injected modified NSCs to migrate through the cerebrospinal fluid brain barrier into the damaged SNpc (Zou et al., 2010). Consequently, the study also highlights the possibility of less invasive approaches such as ventricular or even subarachnoid transplantation of SCs. Recently, a combination of tyrosine hydroxylase-- and GDNF-expressing MSC preparations were shown to have superior therapeutic effect than either alone when implanted into the striatum of a rat model of PD (Shi et al., 2011). This study suggests synergism between tyrosine hydroxylase and GDNF in the treatment of PD. Similar synergy has been reported when using both tyrosine hydroxylase-- and brain-derived neurotrophic factor-expressing astrocytes in animal models of PD (Wang et al., 2002). However, more conclusive studies comparing the effectiveness of normal versus genetically-modified SCs are needed.

The exact mechanism of the therapeutic effects of MSCs in neurodegenerative disorders such as PD is still controversial. Some studies indicate that MSCs exert their beneficial effect by expressing neurotrophic factors that exert neuroprotective effects on
dopaminergic neurons (Pereira et al., 2011). Consistent with that, MSCs exhibit a unique genetic expression profile enriched in angiogenic and neurogenic genes (Secco et al., 2009). A number of reports have proposed that MSCs act by enhancing axonal growth of the dopaminergic neurons (Sasaki et al., 2009; Shen et al., 2006). In addition, the anti-inflammatory effect of MSCs by reducing microglial activation has been proposed as a means for their immunomodulatory activity and thus, therapeutic effects in PD (Park et al., 2008a). Interestingly, when transplanted into the striatum of a rat animal model, MSCs showed no differentiation into dopaminergic neurons (Blandini et al., 2010). This study also showed that whereas some of the grafted MSCs differentiated into glial-like cells, the grafted cells still exerted anti-parkinsonian effect. Taken together, these results indicate that MSCs do not necessarily act by replacing dopaminergic neurons but rather by protecting the remaining dopaminergic neurons or by reversing degeneration.

Although, in vitro and in vivo animal studies have reported promising results with the use of MSCs in the treatment of PD, preliminary human clinical trials have yielded controversial results. A recent study evaluated the use of autologous bone marrow MSC transplantation in the striatum of 7 patients (Venkataramana et al., 2010). After an open-label 12- to 36-month period of observation, only modest behavioral improvement was observed. This might have originated from a placebo effect because the magnetic resonance imaging scan did not show any differences in the brain pre- and post-operatively, indicating that the grafts may not have survived in the brain after the surgical procedure. Fortunately, no adverse effects or tumor-like formations were detected during the course of the study. This study indicates that more investigations are still needed before MSCs could be clinically employed to treat patients with PD.
IId. Neural stem cells

NSCs are multipotent SCs present in specific areas of the brain, including the subventricular zone and the subgranular zone of the hippocampal dentate gyrus (Eriksson et al., 1998; Roy et al., 2000). However, NSCs obtained from the ventral mesencephalon are thought to differentiate more efficiently into dopaminergic neurons as compared to those harvested from other parts of the brain (Jonsson et al., 2009). Utilizing fetal or adult NSCs is thought to be a promising approach in the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders due to their potential for self-renewal and differentiation into the three cell lineages of the nervous system, viz., neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes (Gu et al., 2009; Lie et al., 2002). More importantly, NSCs are associated with lower tumor-formation risks after transplantation as compared to ESCs (Morizane et al., 2008). In addition, the use of PD patient-derived NSCs paves the way for autologous transplantation and minimizes the need for immunosuppressive therapy (Arias-Carrion and Yuan, 2009). Of note, since embryonic NSCs are associated with serious ethical concerns, efforts have focused on developing methods to promote differentiation of adult NSCs into dopaminergic neurons that could be used in the treatment of PD (Trzaska and Rameshwar, 2007).

In 1998, Studer et al. first reported the generation of tyrosine hydroxylase--positive cells from embryonic rat mesencephalic precursors that exerted functional motor improvement when transplanted in a rat model of PD (Studer et al., 1998). Several subsequent studies have confirmed the potential therapeutic effects of NSCs in PD. The intrastriatally transplanted neuroepithelial SCs derived from mouse embryonic neural tube has been shown to differentiate into dopaminergic neurons and to promote functional recovery in
6-OHDA-lesioned rats (Sun et al., 2007). In addition to their ability to differentiate into dopaminergic neurons, NSCs have been suggested to exhibit neuroprotective effects in vivo (Yasuhara et al., 2006). The study showed that supernatants from human NSC cultures exhibited neuroprotective effects on embryonic rat ventral mesencephalon dopaminergic neurons when exposed to 6-OHDA toxicity in vitro. Following transplantation into the brains of animal models of PD, most implanted human NSCs either remain undifferentiated as neural progenitors or differentiate into non-neuronal glial cells (Bjugstad et al., 2008). To overcome low dopaminergic yield of differentiating NSCs, O'Keeffe et al. overexpressed the transcription factor Pitx3 in rat embryonic NSCs derived from the ventral mesencephalon and co-cultured them with developing rat ventral mesencephalon tissue (O'Keeffe et al., 2008). The experiment showed an enhancement in the yield of A9 dopaminergic neurons in vitro and a marked functional recovery following transplantation in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats, probably due to secretion of neurotrophic factors by the developing ventral mesencephalon.

Recently, genetically-engineered rat NSCs that overexpress neurotrophin-3 were shown to be superior to non-modified NSCs in terms of regenerating dopaminergic neurons and inducing functional recovery when transplanted in a rat model of PD (Gu et al., 2009). The study also demonstrated that neurotrophin-3-expressing NSCs were able to migrate towards the damaged areas of the brain. Similarly, GDNF-expressing NSCs were shown to enhance the survival of grafted dopaminergic neurons in vivo (Ostenfeld et al., 2002), and neurturin-expressing NSCs to improve functional recovery in parkinsonian rats (Liu et al., 2007).
However, the use of NSCs in cell replacement therapy of PD faces several practical and technical impediments. For instance, NSCs tend to lose their potential to give rise to dopaminergic phenotype following *in vitro* expansion and tend to differentiate primarily into glial cells *in vivo* (Bjugstad et al., 2008; Chung et al., 2006). Although it is generally accepted that the more differentiated SCs would exert better therapeutic effects as compared to undifferentiated SCs when transplanted in the brains of PD animal models, early senescence of mature dopaminergic neurons is still a significant issue that has to be resolved (Wang et al., 2008). In fact, when compared to murine NSCs, human NSCs have shorter telomeres indicating earlier senescence liability (Ostenfeld et al., 2000). Moreover, the effectiveness of NSCs transplantation is controversial since they already exist in the brains of PD patients. However, one could argue that they are not produced in sufficient quantities in the affected areas to halt the progressive degeneration of neurons in PD patients. Another argument is the inability of endogenous NSCs to migrate long distances to reach the damaged brain areas. Future studies should propose strategies to enhance the viability and efficiency of differentiation of NSCs into dopaminergic neurons to make their use more practical in the treatment of PD.
CHAPTER IV

DISCUSSION

The advent of iPSC technology, together with the improved purification and differentiation procedures, have made the potential for the therapeutic use of SCs in the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders such as PD more imminent than ever. However, several issues need to be addressed before SC-based cell replacement therapy can be a clinically feasible reality in the treatment of PD.

One major obstacle facing SC-based therapy is the senescence and/or loss of cell viability of transplanted cells within few weeks following transplantation. This may be attributed to several factors including hypoxia, apoptosis, immune rejection, deficiency of trophic factors, or oxidative stress (Sonntag et al., 2005). Although the exact cause of early loss of cell viability among transplanted neurons is not well understood, a few reports have suggested the addition of exogenous factors to promote the survival of dopaminergic neurons. For instance, Sanchez-Pernaute et al. reported that exposure of primate SCs to FGF2, FGF20 and Wnt5a (a signaling protein that regulates cell fate and patterning) at the final stages of in vitro differentiation process enhanced their survival and thus resulted in improved functional recovery in a rat model of PD (Sanchez-Pernaute et al., 2008). To overcome low survival of transplanted cell, further investigations are needed to determine the proper developmental stage of SC differentiation for transplantation,
identify the exact mechanism of early graft cell death, and propose methods to improve their survival in host tissues.

The induction of an immunological reaction against the graft could be one reason for the failure of SC therapy (Bradley et al., 2002; Drukker et al., 2002). It is therefore, useful to reduce immunological responses exerted by host tissues towards grafts by using autologous transplantation. Immune rejection may also arise from the presence of animal products during the manipulation of SCs or from allogeneic transplantation (Preynat-Seauve et al., 2009), indicating the necessity for animal product-free transplants. In order to reduce allogeneic transplantation-induced rejection in clinical therapy, blood group compatible transplants should be used. Any induced trauma during the surgical implantation of SCs could also trigger an inflammatory response that may amplify MHC expression of both transplanted and recipient tissue cells (McLaren et al., 2001). When needed, the use of immunosuppressive therapy during and after surgery must be applied to reduce immune rejection and the patients must be closely monitored for compromised immunity-triggered complications.

Protocols and instruments used for the preparation of SC implants should be improved in order to guarantee purity (dopaminergic neurons and/or precursors) and safety of the SC preparations to avoid potential undesirable adverse effects. Traditionally, mouse embryonic fibroblasts have been used as a supportive feeder layer for human pluripotent SCs as they offer a cellular substrate for SC adhesion, mimicking physiological milieu, and release suitable nutrients into the medium to support self-renewal and survival of SCs (Abraham et al., 2010). Animal-derived contamination of grafted cells may lead to the transmission of animal retroviruses and other pathogens to patients. Therefore, several
studies have proposed several protocols to avoid the use of animal products during the culture and differentiation of SCs (Abraham et al., 2010; Lei et al., 2007; Mallon et al., 2006; Unger et al., 2008). Furthermore, SC-derived implants, considered as a therapeutic product, must be prepared aseptically during manipulation and transplantation, to eliminate the risk of infection. Even the presence of fibroblasts in a human umbilical cord-derived MSC graft yielded undesirable results in animal models of PD (Pereira et al., 2011). This study showed that the presence of fibroblasts reversed the therapeutic effects of MSCs and promoted neuronal degeneration of the basal ganglia. These authors proposed that the presence or absence of fibroblasts in implants could be the reason for contradictory results achieved by different groups assessing the efficiency of MSCs in the treatment of PD.

Transplantation of pluripotent SCs and dopaminergic progenitors is accompanied by the risk of tumorigenicity. Hence, depleting residual undifferentiated pluripotent and/or progenitor populations (figure 7) is crucial to prevent the potential for tumor formation (Allan et al., 2010). However, it has been shown that using FACS to enrich mouse dopaminergic neurons could result in diminished neuronal survival profile (Hedlund et al., 2008). Although it is generally accepted that the longer SCs are left to differentiate in vitro, the lower the potential for teratoma formation following transplantation (Preynat-Seauve et al., 2009), differentiated cells are less stable and more difficult to manipulate. Noteworthy, recent investigations reveal a significant level of overlap in gene expression between hESC- and hiPSC-derived cells and cancer cells, suggesting that pluripotent SC-derived cells may possess oncogenic potential even after differentiation (Ghosh et al.,
Therefore, carrying out studies to fully understand tumor-formation pathways and identify compounds that can inhibit these pathways would prove valuable.

As mentioned earlier, the brain microenvironment plays a major role in the pathology of endogenous and transplanted neurons. The microenvironment that initially led to PD-associated neuronal death may also promote degeneration of the transplanted cells. Recent investigations on patients with grafted fetal ventral mesencephalon showed that transplanted cells were affected by the brain surrounding microenvironment. In fact, pathological features typically seen in degenerating dopaminergic neurons in PD were also observed in grafted neurons (Kordower et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008). While a definitive understanding of the exact role of surrounding stroma in neurodegeneration is still lacking, a study by Redmond et al. suggests that the reciprocal relationship between transplanted NSCs, that express neuroprotective factors, and the pathological microenvironment, is responsible for the functional improvement observed in primate models of PD. The authors implanted undifferentiated NSCs into the brains of MPTP-treated primates and found that while only a few of the transplanted NSCs differentiated into tyrosine hydroxylase-positive dopaminergic neurons, the vast majority of the SCs
became growth factor-secreting astrocytes in the vicinity of the developing dopaminergic neurons (Redmond et al., 2007). These observations led to the conclusion that astrocytes secrete neurotrophic, angiogenic and anti-inflammatory factors that alter the toxic microenvironment in the damaged areas of the brain, thereby improving the parkinsonian symptoms. Consistent with these findings, NSCs have been shown to constitutively secrete specific neurotrophic factors that promote regeneration following spinal cord injury (Lu et al., 2003). Thus, the field of SC therapy should focus not only on replacing the dopaminergic neurons, but also on protecting the remaining endogenous neurons by altering the surrounding brain microenvironment.

Transplant preparation should contain enough dopaminergic progenitors and/or midbrain A9 dopaminergic neurons that would survive, communicate properly with the other neuronal circuits in the brain, and induce marked therapeutic effect for at least several months after surgery, to justify such an invasive SC-based therapy in the treatment of PD. Maintaining the right dopamine physiological levels in the basal ganglia is crucial since lower or higher concentrations will result in undesirable hypo- or hyper-kinetic manifestations. The possibility that SCs derived from PD patients may generate pathological neurons due to inherent genetic abnormalities (Park et al., 2008b) poses another major impediment for SC-based therapy. Furthermore, SC-derived preparations are permanently placed in the brain making it difficult to reverse the therapy in case the treatment resulted in serious adverse effects. The fact that other non-dopaminergic neurons such as the noradrenergic neurons of the locus coeruleus and the serotonergic neurons of the raphe nucleus could also degenerate particularly in the advanced stages of PD, further complicates cell-based therapy (Ahlskog, 2007).
Novel animal models and novel assessments of functional recovery should be designed to better reflect the different stages of the disease and the degree of recovery after cell replacement therapy. Typically, 6-OHDA treatment is thought to induce neuronal toxicity on monoamine-secreting neurons of the brain creating a somewhat reliable murine model of PD (Przedborski et al., 1995; Ungerstedt, 1968). On the other hand, non-human primate models of PD are typically induced by injecting MPTP (Eslamboli et al., 2005; Muramatsu et al., 2009; Takagi et al., 2005). However, these chemically-induced PD models are not progressive in nature and are not associated with the formation of proteinaceous Lewy bodies as observed in human PD, raising doubts about the reliability of these models. Development of genetic and/or slowly progressive animal models of PD will offer more robust and credible simulation of the disease that can be exploited to further understand the pathophysiology of the disease and identify novel therapeutic approaches (Litvan et al., 2007a).

Several questions remain to be addressed regarding the conditions and mode of delivery of SC-derived implants. For instance, how many cells should be transplanted? Is it better to inject SC-derived cells in the SNpc or the striatum or both? The two main plausible sites of injection are the SNpc and the corpus striatum. Intrastriatal implantation of SC preparations was adopted mostly because this is where the physiological dopamine is secreted. In addition, earlier fetal mesencephalic grafting studies in PD patients had adopted the intrastriatal rather than the intranigral placement of grafts. However, some studies have stated that intranigral injection resembles more closely the normal physiological state and thus it is superior to intrastriatal placement, provided that the resulting dopaminergic neurons would be able to extend axons to the striatum and
integrate properly with adjacent tissues (Preynat-Seauve et al., 2009). The main factors affecting the outcome of SC-based therapy in PD are shown in figure 8.

![Diagram showing factors affecting the outcome of stem cell-based replacement therapy in PD]

**Figure 8.** Factors influencing the outcome of stem cell-based replacement therapy in PD.

There is still much work to do regarding the design of clinical studies in terms of patient selection: whether these experiments should be carried out on early-, middle- or late-staged patients, and whether safety and/or efficacy are to be evaluated. Noteworthy, the possibility that transplanted SC-derived cells may dedifferentiate or migrate to unintended areas of the brain, which may affect patients’ mental cognition, poses an enormous ethical obstacle in warranting clinical trials. Therefore, SC-based therapy is still largely an experimental approach with MSCs being the only type of SCs to have been analyzed in a small-sized open-label clinical trial. Finally, a recent report goes
beyond the aforementioned concerns and limitations to suggest that the functional improvements observed in cell replacement therapy-treated PD patients are attributed to the surgery and/or the psychological effects associated rather than to the transplanted SC-derived preparations. In 2000, six PD patients enrolled in a Titan Pharmaceuticals-designed phase I clinical trial, known as Spheramine, in which cultured human retinal epithelial cells were implanted into the brain. A year later, the patients showed a 48% improvement in motor function that largely lasted for 4 years. Later on, investigators found out that Spheramine was no more effective than placebo, suggesting that the brain surgery rather than the transplanted dopamine-secreting cells was responsible for the reported motor improvement and indicating a potential therapeutic role for sham brain surgery in the treatment of PD (Katsnelson, 2011).

Consistent with that, the placebo effect plays a prominent role in the functional improvements observed in treated PD patients through a reward-expectation mechanism which apparently results in dopamine release into the striatum (de la Fuente-Fernandez and Stoessl, 2002). In fact, the placebo group and the dopamine agonist (pergolide) -treated group showed similar functional improvement (23% and 30% after 24 weeks respectively) (Diamond et al., 1985). Further, several articles reviewed by Shetty et al reported a functional improvement following placebo treatment in PD patients, ranging from ~10 to ~60% of that seen in the drug-treated group (Shetty et al., 1999). Interestingly, during a six-month study, 16% of PD subjects improved markedly on placebo-treatment, exhibiting 50% improvement or scoring a change in at least two motor items by ≥ 2, based on the UPDRS (Goetz et al., 2000). On the other hand, it is conceivable that the therapeutic efficacy of cell-based preparations in PD goes beyond
mere psychological effect since their use in clinical trials were accompanied with improvements in \(^{18}\text{F}\)-dopa uptake (an indicator of levo-dopa intake by dopaminergic neurons), profuse dopaminergic neuron re-innervation, and formation of functional synapses in the host striatum (Hagell and Brundin, 2001).

In summary, although SC-based cell replacement therapy is enticing in PD as it offers a replacement for dead neurons and a neuroprotection for the remaining functional ones, several obstacles hinder its clinical application in patients. Of all investigated impediments early senescence and the potential tumorigenicity of transplanted cells pose major challenges for the application of cell-based therapy. Moreover, the required good manufacturing practice (GMP) standards during the preparation and transplantation of the transplants in addition to the technical and practical issues associated with the application of such a sophisticated approach in an extremely sensitive organ (the brain) pose major challenges. Hence, it is obvious that SC-based therapy for PD is still at an early stage and that more investigations are needed to resolve the associated safety, efficacy and technical concerns.
CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

PD presents a major challenge because none of the existing therapies are capable of halting and/or reversing the progressive neurodegeneration. Newer approaches involving neurotrophic factors, gene therapy and SCs are advantageous and must be further developed since they offer a modifying potential to PD pathophysiology, either by replacing and/or protecting dopaminergic neurons. Among the newer approaches, only SC-based therapies actually provide a replacement for lost dopaminergic neurons in PD. It is encouraging that this relatively new field is advancing fast, achieving significant results in animal models of PD, albeit with a few unresolved concerns. Among these concerns, ethical issues, poor survivability of transplants and the inherent tumorigenicity of pluripotent SCs hinder further advancement of the field. It is thus imperative that intense investigations be carried out to circumvent tumorigenicity and prolong survivability. Placebo-controlled double-blind clinical trials should then be initiated in patients to establish the safety and efficacy of SC-based anti-PD therapies. Success of SC-based preparations will have implications not only in PD but also in other neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer’s disease. Hence it is conceiv able that once the current impediments facing SC-based cell replacement therapy are resolved, it will emerge as an efficacious treatment of choice in combating PD.
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