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INTRODUCTION
INTRODUCTION

The general aim of the housing project is to provide housing for those who need it. The importance of providing housing for those who need it lies in its aid to physical, mental and moral health. The present nation-wide public interest in housing is unprecedented. Large housing projects have been and are being planned and built. Entire neighborhoods are being created with dwellings that will last for generations.

How will this widespread building activity affect the social life of the people to be housed? Certainly such projects are of value in providing opportunity for social contacts. From these social contacts a democratic ideal may develop. The development of this democratic ideal might be noted as a secondary objective of the United States Housing Authority and the housing project.

This study has been undertaken in order to interpret the effect of the public housing development on the group life of its residents. Resulting information may enable public housing in Louisville, Kentucky, to benefit by the experiences of an older development.

College Court, a housing project for Negroes, was built in Louisville, Kentucky, in 1937. On December 27 of that year the first tenant moved in. The $775,000 project includes one hundred and twenty-five modern dwelling units. Two, three, four and five room apartments are provided. College Court represents a housing project to which at
least a minimum of thought and effort had been given to incorporate
in its plans facilities providing the residents with opportunities for
recreation and social participation. The project is a small one com-
pared with most housing developments in larger cities and with newly
constructed projects in Louisville.

College Court has been studied by the writer because it is one
of the first of two planned housing developments in Louisville, and
because time and work capacity limitations made necessary the study of
a small project. It was evident in addition that considerable effort
had been made to meet the social and recreational needs of the resi-
dents in this particular housing development.

Newly constructed developments in Louisville will be larger
scale projects in which hundreds of families will dwell. Naturally the
question of their participation in social, recreational and group activ-
ities is a vital one. Does the housing of people together within one
common housing development have any effect upon the social relation-
ships and group life of these people? What are the possibilities for
the development of community life within the project? Do similarity
in dwelling units and a uniqueness in better and new living conditions
bring about a spirit of neighborliness and a sense of "belonging"? Do
similarity in income and former living conditions stimulate group life
and make for more concerted group and social action?

Does the comparative size of the housing project affect the
possibility of group and community life in any way? How large a part
does leadership play in the development of group activities? Are the
present recreational facilities provided in the project adequate for the carrying on of a social activities program? And finally, what is the effect of the group life on the lives of the residents?

The writer has found it necessary to present a brief account of housing in Louisville, Kentucky, as well as the place of such a program in general welfare work. This serves as a background for the actual social interpretation of College Court.

Cooperation was willingly given by the Louisville Housing Commission. Records and data regarding project construction in the city were provided at the Commission office. College Court itself was next approached through its resident manager. The management's files and data collected over a period of two years served as a basis for further research. The Municipal College for Negroes aided to some extent through its sociology department.

Contacts and acquaintances with College Court residents were made possible through the resident manager. Questionnaires were distributed to an adequate cross-section of tenants. Interviews with many residents followed the collection of the questionnaires. Club meetings were visited and activity programs attended. Minutes and records of the various clubs served as aids in activity analysis.
I. THE PLACE OF HOUSING IN A GENERAL WELFARE PROGRAM
THE PLACE OF HOUSING IN A GENERAL WELFARE PROGRAM

Housing as a problem of human welfare is as old as the human race itself. Although we have little idea of the manner of construction which was employed by the primitive races of the world, we are not wholly ignorant of the fact that from time to time the housing of the lower economic classes claimed the attention of the rulers. In this connection we might recall that "Egyptian hieroglyphic inscriptions dating as far back as 4000 B.C. record a sit-down strike of the workers who participated in the building of the pyramids. The result was the first attempt to construct a model town in exchange for a waterproof tomb so essential to the health of the immortal souls of the Pharaohs."¹

The housing problem is essentially that of finding the best means to make safe, wholesome and sanitary dwellings available to all families, irrespective of income. Since commercial enterprise can be relied upon to provide dwellings of adequate standards for that fraction of the population which can afford to pay $5,000 or more for house property or a rent of $40 or more a month for an apartment, a public housing policy is concerned primarily in making wholesome housing available to families with small incomes -- especially to unskilled and semi-skilled labor.

Like the proverbial skeleton in the family closet, our

¹Aronovici, Carol, Housing the Masses, New York, John Wiley & Son, 1939, Introduction, p. xi.
overcrowded tenements stand to remind American communities of their sins. We build marble halls for our city fathers, and on their steps receive foreign visitors, who exclaim about our beautiful cities. Tucked away in the very shadows of these halls may be found ugly tenements or shacks unfit for human habitation, yet serving as homes for generation after generation of American citizens and their offspring. We proudly boast of our skyscrapers. Yet, hidden behind them, as if ashamed of their time-worn facades, shabby old houses huddle together, propping each other up in their weakness. We build many mansions, but permit the slums to remain.

Students of the existing social order are realizing increasingly the importance of housing to social progress. The surveys of crime commissions, departments of health and the studies of experts in juvenile delinquency, probationary work and family welfare all point to a close correlation between housing and health, safety and the social behavior of the community.

The child is always the first and easy victim of a bad home environment. Sensitive to impressions, he forms during those early years spent in ugly physical surroundings, attitudes and habits which are usually modified only with the greatest difficulty -- and often through intense suffering. In the report of the Wickersham Crime Commission, we find the terms "delinquency areas" and "slum areas" used interchangeably. The New York Crime Commission submits the following testimony: "Urban sociologists claim that juvenile delinquency and crime, while not completely confined to any given areas
or social class, arise primarily in certain transition areas of poor housing popularly called "slums". Slum districts have not only been breeders of a good deal of crime, but have been noted for their high rate of infant mortality. In 1925 the United States Children's Bureau made an exhaustive study of the causal factors in infant mortality. It examined conditions in 8 cities, where widely different housing conditions prevailed. It discovered that, where families lived less than one person in a room, the infant death rate was 52.1 per thousand, as compared with 94.9 per thousand in families in which the average was between one and two persons per room, and 135.7 per thousand in families in which the average rose to two or more persons per room.

Slum districts are also conducive to high morbidity. It has been pessimistically stated that there is only one thing more costly to the worker than burial, and that is sickness. Teachers in slum areas are only too aware of the effect of ill-ventilated, overcrowded, noisy sleeping quarters on the health of school children. Visiting nurses know the easy susceptibility of these children to illness of all kinds. They have in general little reserve strength and resistance against the various illnesses and communicable diseases to which their home environment exposes them.

As with delinquency or infant mortality, it is difficult to measure in exact terms the degree to which long hours spent in dark,
damp rooms contribute to the high rate of sickness, tuberculosis and other diseases common among slum dwellers. It is an old adage that "where the sun does not enter in, the doctor does."

In the congested portions of our cities, large and small, we establish clinics and all manner of public and private welfare agencies, and yet we maintain housing conditions which breed disease far more rapidly than it can be wiped out. Block studies in the past have invariably disclosed the high rates of sickness and crime prevailing in bad housing areas. When they also include the cost to the community in dollars and cents of these social evils, we might be able to arouse our friends the "Taxpayer" and the "Rentpayer" to action.

The community is thus paying dearly for its slums and insanitary homes for the workers, in delinquency and crime, in sickness and death, and in terms of all the worthwhile values in social life.

The proponent of individual responsibility sees in the plight of the slum dweller evidence only of personal failure. He takes the position that those who live in broken-down, insanitary, old houses are probably there because they choose to be or because they do not know any better. He believes in placing the whole burden of the risk involved in the occupation of inadequate dwellings upon the individual wage-earner. He leaves the worker alone to meet a great social hazard over which the individual tenant can exert little or no control. The tenant can only adjust the needs of his family to a sub-standard house. He cannot replace the house with a better one.

Here and there through the years there have been certain individuals who have shown themselves sensitive to the implications of
a bad home environment. As philanthropists they have tried to do something about conditions, but the problem had now been put on a more scientific and practical basis. Social welfare work is becoming scientific, and social service a career and a profession. Neighborhood settlements are conducted with a greater degree of efficiency; health centers and hospitals have become a very important part of city governments. In New York City one of the symbols of an awakened social conscience about slum housing was the establishment of a Tenement House Department, created in the early part of this century for the sole purpose of enforcing the Tenement House Law -- then considered a drastic one -- and of compelling a responsibility of operation upon the owners of the old-law tenement houses which had been built in earlier years. These were great things in this day. Tremendous strides have been taken since then toward the acceptance of the social responsibility for conditions created by the slum, but so far they have resulted only in alleviation and not in the elimination of the deep-seated evils.

Until recently the problem has been recognized chiefly by


"It would be wrong to give the impression that the efforts of the social agencies or the city government itself have been useless, but it is certain that the results have not justified the costs. At the beginning of any reform human beings seem incapable of tackling an original social problem by going to its roots. Had society in Jacob Riis's time considered that the slums were actually uneconomic and unprofitable, or had it come to the conclusion that their elimination would have created profits, we would have no slums today. But so long as people felt that the battle against the slum was a moral one, to be led by the social reformer, society as a whole remained indifferent."
the social welfare worker and the philanthropist. The economics involved in the clearance of the slums has not yet been properly presented. Any progress that has been made has been in spite of the dominant economic elements in the cities, not with their help or consent. Human need has been the only weapon used to gain support for whatever progressive action has been taken. Persons like Lillian Wald, Jane Addams, Mary Simkhovitch have taken the lead. Because they had no stronger weapons, the history of New York's attempts to meet the ever-growing menace of the slum is largely a story of failure and futility and yet New York has accomplished more than any other city in this country.

We may rail about the slums of our great cities, but unless we do something to reduce the cost of building decent houses for the workers and to lower the rents of the houses, or unless we greatly increase the income of the average working class family, we will make little headway toward the solution of the housing problem. Edith Elmer Wood, author of Recent Trends in American Housing, and one of the foremost authorities on housing in the United States, was right when she said:

"The crux of the housing problem is economic. Under the ordinary laws of supply and demand, it is insoluble. In our modern industrial civilization, the distribution of income is such that a substantial portion of the population cannot pay a commercial rent, much less a commercial purchase price, for a home fulfilling the minimum health and decency requirements."

At all times, and in every part of the country, there has been a deadlock between the capacity of the average wage-earner to pay an economic rent for a new home and the speculative builder's failure to construct dwellings to meet workingmen's needs. Private enterprise finds it not an advantage, nor a responsibility, to enter non-competitive fields of home building. The speculative builder is interested neither in mass production nor in large-scale planning. He is concerned primarily with a quick return on his investment.

The builder is not alone responsible for this deadlock. He is frequently forced to pay a high price for land, and can get a return on his investment only by erecting high-rent apartments.

Under private landlordism no sure control of site value is possible. Property owners are constantly engaged in pressing for such changes in zoning ordinances as might yield them a higher immediate revenue. They are constantly found in opposition to city plans which have the health of the people rather than the wealth of industry and business as their basic consideration.

Catherine Bauer in her book, "Modern Housing", expresses some doubt as to the possibility of fitting a real housing program into our present economic system. Long ago and from another point of view, Fredrich Engels, Karl Marx's co-writer, dismissed the idea as nothing more nor less than a trick on the part of capitalism to maintain low wages.

Miss Bauer bases her doubts on the general theory that since there is no profit in properly housing most of the people in this
country, and since housing seems to be tied into the profit system, we cannot have good housing until we get rid of the profit system.

Engels believed that if you should give poor people good housing you would be taking away one of the most potent reasons for paying better wages. His objections sprang from two of his basic concepts. He felt that anything as socialistic as public housing could not possibly exist within a capitalistic structure, and to acknowledge that it could, would be the same as denying one of the chief premises of socialism. He was also aware that if capitalism could solve the problem of housing the poor it would undoubtedly delay the revolution.

Housing is not only an economic question, not only a question of supply and demand and of furnishing a sufficient number of homes, but one in which the kind of home is of vital importance.

From early colonial times to the present, building practices which have rendered homes grossly insanitary or unsafe have in increasing degree been curbed by legislation. The era of comprehensive, co-ordinated housing laws, however, practically began with the New York Tenement House Act of 1901. Its principles were extended to the rest of the country through the formation, in 1910, of the National Housing Association and the publication, in 1914, of Lawrence Veiller's "A Model Housing Law".

The majority of States still lack effective housing legislation. A large percentage of building and housing laws are antiquated in the sense that they fail to facilitate the use
of new materials and construction methods. Many of the smaller cities lack building laws. "The Real Property Inventory, conducted in 64 cities by the United States Department of Commerce in 1934, found 16.8 per cent of occupied dwelling units crowded, 13.5 per cent lacking private indoor toilets, 20.2 lacking bathtubs or showers, 8.1 per cent without modern lighting, and 5 per cent without running water." By these standards at least a fifth of our urban population dwell under unwholesome conditions; but experienced social workers are satisfied that fully a third of our population lacks adequate housing.

Housing legislation and competent administration are essential parts of a well-rounded housing policy, but in themselves will not provide a solution of the housing problem. In the main the best enforcement of existing laws is obtained in cities which have private housing associations that continuously inspect existing housing, report violations of the law to the appropriate department of the municipal government, and back public officials in the vigorous enforcement of existing legislation while working continuously for its improvement.

Beginning with the pioneer efforts of the Association for Improving the Conditions of the Poor in New York City, in the middle of the last century, attempts have increasingly been made to contribute to the solution of the housing problem by the construction of model

tenements, or model communities, financed by private philanthropic capital. Although the percentage of the total volume of dwelling construction ascribable to such means has always been very low, the movement has had definite importance because of the contributions which it has made to research on family needs and family standards, to improved design and construction, and, in the present century, to community planning with reference to the recreational, cultural, esthetic and social needs of the population thus housed.

Some governmental aid -- but very little -- was available for the encouragement of improved housing of wage-earners prior to the third decade of the present century. Prior to the World War our federal government had developed no systematic undertaking for the direct construction and operation of dwellings for wage-earners other than its own employees, or dependents. Then, to provide homes for our war workers, the War and Navy Departments, and later the United States Housing Corporation and Emergency Fleet Corporation built many industrial villages. Though the venture was temporary and the houses and villages subsequently disposed of (except for navy yards), experience had been developed in large-scale public housing.

In the period of extreme economic depression of the early thirties, three factors -- the developing housing shortage, the deterioration of old dwellings through the virtual cessation of repairs, and the need of finding employment for labor -- led to the revival of public housing as an emergency measure. Its beginnings
took the form of federal first mortgage loans to approved limited-dividend housing companies under the Reconstruction Finance Corporation established by the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932. Knickerbocker Village in New York City was the only housing project to receive such a loan, for in the following year the Public Works Administration was established under the National Industrial Recovery Act and applications for housing loans were thereafter transferred to its Housing Division. Altogether seven projects received its aid.

The next stage in the development of public housing was entered late in 1933 when the Housing Division of the Public Works Administration undertook to acquire land, whether in slums to be cleared or on the outskirts of the cities, and to build directly with federal funds, though with the advice of State or local housing authorities or citizens' committees.

Altogether 51 projects in 36 cities in the United States and two in its insular possessions were under active construction by September 7, 1937, at a total cost of $134,000,000. This represented accommodations for 21,800 families.

Within the 51 projects the population tends to represent families that have been in serious need of wholesome accommodation. The clientele is one which could not afford new housing in the absence of the government's program, since private enterprise would not find it profitable to build for them. The methods and standards of this branch of public housing have, however, been such as to
prevent the rehousing of the very poorest, or those who have previously endured the most wretched housing of the community.

After several years of intensive effort to establish a permanent federal policy for slum clearance and low-cost housing, the United States Housing Act was passed by Congress August 21, 1937. Its purpose is to establish a long-term permanent program of federal aid to State and local governments for slum clearance and housing for families of lowest income. A permanent United States Housing Authority under the direction of the Administrator was created within the Department of the Interior. The Authority is now under the Federal Works Agency. Loans may be made to local public housing agencies and are limited to 90 per cent of the cost of the projects. Interest on loans under the law is to be not less than the cost of the money to the Federal Government, plus one and one half per cent, and must be repaid in not more than 60 years. Bonds guaranteed by the United States may be issued by the Authority to raise funds for these loans: one hundred million dollars in 1937, two hundred million in 1938, and two hundred million on and after July 1, 1939. Such bonds and their interest are to be repaid from the proceeds of loans on the housing projects.

Two kinds of federal grants are made possible under the Act in addition to the loans. First, annual grants limited to amounts necessary to achieve low rents and to run for not more than 60 years; and second, capital grants as an alternative, which are limited to 25 per cent of the cost of the project, plus an additional 15 per
cent which the President may allocate from relief funds for the payment of labor. The dwellings constructed are in all cases to be available only to lowest income families, whose income must be less than five times the rental including utilities, or six times the rental in case of families with three or more children. In all cases where grants are made the local government must contribute at least 20 per cent of the federal annual contribution, either in cash, tax remissions, or exemptions. In case of capital grants the local government must contribute at least 20 per cent of the project’s cost in cash, land, community facilities, services, tax remissions, or exemptions. Grants are possible only in projects in which there is slum clearance, and housing must be provided in the new project equal in number to the accommodations demolished.

Thus $500,000,000 has been made available by the Act for low-cost housing. The present status of the United States Housing Authority is that of research, stimulation of appropriate organization of local housing authorities, and allocation of funds for approved projects.

Public housing in this country is largely an outgrowth of methods of combating the economic depression of the early thirties. It has grown even though it started under these not too favorable circumstances. Similarly, public responsibility for relief and welfare policies, with the exception of institutional care for various special groups, was recognized in a new way at about the same time and as a result of the same economic forces. Recently
some astonishment has been expressed, perhaps not entirely warranted, that public housing and public welfare activities have had little in common and, in many respects, seem to have been drifting further apart. About the middle of 1938, however, housing officials began to re-examine their orthodox attitude toward relief families. For some time the consensus of opinion among housing officials had been that the immediate objective of low-rent housing was the low-income, but more or less steadily employed wage-earner. They had no serious objections to housing families on relief, but felt that the responsibility lay with the relief officials to provide sufficient funds so that these families could pay the rent set for the low-income wage-earner. During the last few months, however, housing experts have begun to realize that the problem is not quite so simple as that. The U. S. Housing Authority now considers relief families as one of the markets for the housing it finances.

On the other hand, up until very recently, public relief officials generally paid very little attention to public housing. Some of them have experimented with direct construction, with Work Projects Administration labor, of housing for families on their own rolls. Almost without exception these experiments have been failures. Also in the early thirties many public relief agencies had no long-term policy with respect to the payment of rent. Many paid rent only as a last resort to prevent evictions. Gradually this has been changed until now a considerable proportion of the public agencies pay some amount for rental as part of the regular
family budget.

The administrations of public housing and of public welfare activities touch at other points. Those just cited, however, indicate the need for some common ground and some means of approaching the problems that are common to the two groups. During 1938 the governing boards of both the American Public Welfare Association and the National Association of Housing Officials approved the establishment of a joint Committee on Housing and Welfare. The Public Administration Clearing House has offered to sponsor a special conference of housing and relief officials to discuss at length their common problems and relationships. With the active cooperation of these agencies and their constituent members, there is reason to believe that some progress may be made in outlining administrative policies that will make public action more effective both in housing and in welfare.

American communities must accept the challenge of the bad conditions of housing which extend over the length and breadth of the continent. We have new forms of group life and new social responsibilities. The wage-earner of the lower income group today is continuously hovering between public assistance and independence. The hazard of bad housing is one over which he as an individual can exert little control. With the failure of private enterprise to meet the housing needs of the majority of the population, the community pays the heavy toll of disease, death and crime, due to indecent dwelling areas.
II. THE HISTORY OF HOUSING IN LOUISVILLE
THE HISTORY OF HOUSING IN LOUISVILLE

Why do we have slum and blighted areas in Louisville?

In general our slums are a result of a number of situations and factors. The exit of families from once well-established residential areas into less crowded quarters in outlying parts of the city has left its mark on Louisville's housing. The manner in which cities grow has materially changed since the advent of rapid and economical automobile transportation. Whereas the limits of close development were formerly fixed by the length of time it took to reach the central business district by electric street railway, these limits are now extended tremendously since the use of the automobile has become so widespread.

Practically all municipalities are experiencing a loss in population in the close-in, older parts of the city. Studies indicate that in Louisville between 1920 and 1930 the area bounded by the River, Shelby Street, Oak Street, and 14th Street has experienced a loss of 10,000 population or more than 14% in a ten year period. The population in the same area was practically stationary between the years 1910 and 1920, indicating that decentralization has only recently begun in Louisville.

Another factor contributing to slum conditions is the entrance of low-income groups into vacancies made by the exit of families able to pay higher rentals. The removal of all families able to afford
higher rentals, and complete occupancy by low-income groups whose
low rents make repairs by landlords unprofitable adds its contri-
bution to blighted areas. Because of his comparatively low economic
status and other reasons, many Negroes are forced to live in the
so-called blighted districts, the land of which is valued more than
that on which the newer residence sections are developed. Here taxes
are high, rents are low and consequently there is every incentive
to the property owner to avoid spending any money for improvements
so long as the tenants are willing to put up with the conditions.

There are further main factors contributing to the establish-
ment of slum and blighted areas. One is increased traffic in areas lying
close to our downtown business sections where our principal slums are
located. Two more factors are the age and obsolescence of buildings,
and the intrusion of industry and business incompatible to residential
areas.

As far back as 1909, steps were taken in Louisville to remedy
poor housing conditions. The Louisville Tenement House Commission
was created as a result of the need of tenement house reform, as
indicated by investigations undertaken in the autumn of 1908 by a
joint committee composed of members of the Civics Committee of the
Woman's Club and Advisory Board of the Associated Charities.

The members of this joint committee ascertained from the
Juvenile Court, the Social Settlement, the Colored Mission, the
Health Office, and other sources the locations of cases of bad
housing. They visited these locations and many others, making
partial records of what they saw and securing pictures displaying some of the conditions as they found them. They also obtained, through the aid of various city departments, some idea of the city water supply and sewerage facilities. They further investigated laws or ordinances that might apply to tenement or housing conditions.

In January, 1909, the Woman's Club brought Miss Emily Dinwiddie, Secretary of the Tenement House Commission of the New York Charity Organization Society, to lecture upon the housing problem. Miss Dinwiddie spent two days in visiting some of the tenement districts, and the facts and pictures gathered by the committee were used by her in the lectures delivered at the time of her visit. The serious conditions found, together with the impossibility of reform under the inadequate city laws upon the subject, presented a municipal problem that awakened much interest. It was realized that Louisville had reached a point in its growth where preventive measures were imperative in order to avoid conditions that render the tenements of some cities an almost hopeless problem. When the matter was brought to the attention of Mayor Grinstead he agreed to recommend to the General Council the creation and appointment of a Tenement House Commission to investigate conditions and recommend remedies for the evils found.

The result was that an ordinance was passed by the General Council and approved on February 16th, 1909, directing and authorizing the Mayor to appoint a Tenement House Commission for the purpose of investigating and reporting upon tenement conditions. This ordinance
carried an appropriation to the Commission of $500, which appropriation was afterwards increased to $1,500 to carry on the work.

The Commission decided to obtain the advice of an expert and the services of Mr. Charles B. Ball, Chief Sanitary Inspector of Chicago, were obtained. He made a visit to this city, completed a thorough preliminary investigation of the field, and advised the Commission to employ a professional investigator to make a house-to-house examination in the tenement districts and prepare data for a report, according to the most approved and scientific methods. Accordingly, the Commission employed Miss Janet E. Kemp, a professional investigator, and upon the 15th day of April, 1909, she entered upon her work.

Of the 44,912 families in Louisville, according to the 1900 census, a little fewer than two-thirds lived in separate houses, a little over one-third in tenements and apartment houses.

Unlike many of the large cities, Louisville's tenements were not found in one or two districts, but seemed to be scattered over a very large area of the city, somewhat more thickly in the heart of the city. They were also found in groups of a few blocks in the more outlying districts, in the alleys and small side-streets, and in isolated cases surrounded by one-family houses. The problems brought out in the study of the districts chosen were substantially

---

7. In some cities a tenement is defined as a house occupied by three or more families; in others the definition includes all houses occupied by more than one family.
the same for all tenement neighborhoods of the city.

The investigation conducted by the Tenement House Commission covered two hundred and twenty-eight houses in six districts. In addition to these six districts fourteen special studies were also made of individual tenements in different sections of the city. These districts were selected not as being necessarily the worst sections that could be found, but as being representative of the types of defective housing that were most prevalent in Louisville. It was not the purpose of the investigation merely to make sensational discoveries and present them in lurid language, but rather to give an impartial and uncolored statement of conditions found in sections which were recognized as offering typical examples of the housing conditions which Louisville provides for large numbers of its poorer citizens.

A study such as this, conducted in 1909, does not bring one in contact with the aristocracy of labor, the specialized and well paid mechanic or artizan who can afford to surround himself with all necessary comforts and conveniences of life. An investigation of this kind is made in behalf of the weaker elements of the community, the poorly paid, unskilled laborer, the newly arrived immigrant, and all of those who, by reason of inability to pay higher rentals, by the necessity for living near their work, or for the sake of the companionship of those of their own tongue and race, are forced to live in the more congested sections. These have not the political influence or the capacity for concerted action which would enable
them to secure for themselves the reforms and changes that are needed to make their environment healthful and attractive. It is only as the community is actually aroused to the need for such action that the evils from which they suffer will find a remedy.

The Tenement House Commission concluded its report in 1909 with the statement, "We would now summon all good citizens to the further task, the harder and never-ending task, of helping to make things right for everybody by wise legislation and by that eternal watchfulness which is the price of safety."

There have been public-spirited citizens in Louisville interested in better housing. Early efforts to secure regulatory legislation resulted in the Kentucky State Tenement House Law for First Class Cities, 1910, an advanced piece of legislation at that date. It was unfortunately repealed in 1922.

By 1910 the building trade in Louisville had reached significant proportions. The total value of building permits issued, including plumbing, electric light and wiring, the erection of street electric signs and the installation of elevators, amounted to $4,665,549.

An interesting comparison in the number of frame dwellings erected and their cost may be had by taking the figures for the fiscal years ending in 1906, 1909 and 1911.

### Year | Number of Frame Dwellings Erected | Cost
--- | --- | ---
1905-06 | 1,015 | $1,295,859
1908-09 | 770 | 1,030,687
1910-11 | 548 | 1,090,428

It may be seen that the number of frame dwellings erected had decreased to approximately one-half the 1905-06 figure during the five-year period, while the cost showed comparatively little fluctuation. The decrease in the number of frame dwellings erected may be attributed to the fact that brick construction was becoming popular.

The fact that 548 frame dwellings were erected in 1911 at a cost within approximately two hundred thousand dollars of the cost figure for 1,015 dwellings erected in 1906 is also pertinent. This comparison shows that a better type of frame dwelling was being built.

It may be interesting to examine the following chart prepared from records of the City Building Inspector showing the total value of building permits between 1913 and 1938. It should be emphasized that these figures include only construction within the corporate limits of the city, no information being available for the county.

The graph indicating total building since 1913 shows a rapid increase after the World War to a maximum in 1925 of about $30,000,000. Since that time with the single exception of 1927, when non-residential building was greatest, there has been a rapid and fairly uniform decrease to the depression period of little activity between 1932 and 1935. The minor crest shown in 1936 was the result of the two

---

10. Ibid., p. 24.
ALL BUILDING PERMITS IN LOUISVILLE, 1913 - 1938

Year Built 1913 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38

Source: Office of Building Inspector, Louisville, Ky.
Public Works Administration Housing Projects, La Salle Place and College Court. Residential construction increased rapidly between 1921 and 1925, after which a decline set in to the extremely low ebb of the depression years. Non-residential building has been much more irregular. It reached its peak in 1927, two years later than residential building, and while the decline since that date has been rapid, it has suffered less than residential construction.

Comparison of residential building during the past ten years with that prior to 1929 indicates that Louisville is failing to provide sufficient new structures within the corporate limits and that new residential construction is advancing in the county area adjacent to Louisville. There is at present little replacement of obsolete buildings with new residences.

A study of the need for housing in Louisville reveals the following facts.

| Population | 
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Population of Louisville, 1920 | 234,891 |
| Population of Louisville, 1930 | 307,745 |
| Population of Louisville, 1935 (estimated) | 317,500 |
| Population increase, 1920 - 1930 | 31.0% |
| Population increase, 1930 - 1933 | 3.2% |
| Population increase, 1930 - 1936 | 6.4% |
| Number of families in Louisville, 1930 | 80,079 |
| Increase in families, 1930 - 1936 | 6,125 |

**Housing**

Number of new dwellings needed to care for increase in families: 5,125

New dwellings actually built in Louisville, 1931 through April, 1936: 701

Shortage: 4,424

Number of dwellings demolished, same period: 677

Numerical shortage as of May 1, 1936: 5,101

With regard to quantity, then, it would appear that a very real housing shortage existed in Louisville in 1936.

We learn a number of important items from the Louisville Real Property Inventory which we may compare with the average of the 64 cities where Real Property Inventories had been carried out by the Department of Commerce using the same schedules and methods which were subsequently used in Louisville. The best record of any of the 64 cities in each respect will also be shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Louisville per cent</th>
<th>Average for 64 cities per cent</th>
<th>Best Record per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structures in need of major repairs</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfit for use</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling units without running water</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling units without bath or shower</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling units without gas or electric light</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling units without indoor water closet</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Dwelling Units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowded or worse</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consisting of 1, 2 or 3 rooms</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

13. Real Property Inventory of 1934, City Planning and Zoning Commission, Louisville, Ky., October, 1936.
Since the average housing standards of the 64 cities are far from satisfactory, the conclusion is that Louisville has a very considerable amount of sub-standard housing which needs to be replaced. Louisville's more than average share of older houses accounts for some of her worn-out housing, but there is no necessary correlation. Four of the eight cities in the column of best records have substantially higher percentages of old houses than Louisville.

The City Planning and Zoning Commission made a study of living conditions in Negro slum areas in 1931. The survey covered 165 blocks throughout the city. Such extensive investigations led to the conclusion that it was impossible to replace the dilapidated living quarters in congested districts with new housing by the use of private capital alone even when the present low cost of land and construction is taken into consideration.

Health regulations now in effect such as the Housing Law, Building Code, and Zoning Ordinance will largely prevent the future creation of such deplorable conditions as now exist in the Negro sections. Alley dwellings may no longer be built, the density of population is subject to close supervision and sufficient open spaces must be preserved around dwelling to insure adequate ventilation.

Conditions that have been brought about during the course
of many years cannot be remedied in a short time. However, the whole question of housing began to receive more public attention than ever before, and various influences went to work to devise ways and means for bettering the conditions.

The City Planning and Zoning Commission's study of living conditions in Negro slum areas in 1931 (to be discussed in another chapter) resulted in the formation of the Citizens' Housing Committee. Later the City Planning Committee of the Board of Trade was appointed, and the three worked together.

Large-scale limited dividend operations with Federal loans were the first objective. Louisville was among the early applicants for loans from the Housing Division (September, 1933) for two white and two Negro slum clearance projects. The projects, as submitted, were not in form for immediate acceptance, and the Federal Emergency Housing Corporation having been set up to act directly on the basis of grants, the Louisville sponsoring groups set out January 1, 1934, to prepare an application for a Federal slum clearance project, which was accepted by the Housing Division and progressed rapidly until the familiar adverse decision of the Federal District Court in December, 1934, abruptly ended not only this much-needed project, but all others throughout the country which involved Federal condemnation proceedings.

Meanwhile, in connection with their application, the

14 United States v. Certain Lands in the City of Louisville, 9 F. Supp. 137.
sponsoring groups in Louisville had also sponsored a P.W.A. "Study of Housing Conditions in Certain Areas of the City of Louisville, Ky.", which was completed in February, 1934.

For these four areas, two on each side of and close to the central congested district of the city, very full information was made available in a well-prepared report, which also contained convincing photographs of typical bad housing conditions. Ground plan maps and aerial photographs showed how the land was overcrowded by buildings. The population of these areas taken together was about two-thirds Negro, though the proportion varies. The population has decreased in recent years as families sought better housing farther away.

Population of the 4 areas . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7,354
Proportion of total city population about . 3 1/3 %

These four areas were, therefore, samples of slum areas in Louisville, but could not be regarded as including more than a small fraction of such. This fact probably accounted for the apparently inconclusive results of the infant mortality and communicable disease studies. In spite of this handicap, the study showed the following differentials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio of Cases to Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis cases . . . . . . . . . . . . 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis deaths . . . . . . . . . . . . 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Nursing Cases . . . . . . . . . . 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Hospital cases . . . . . . . . . . . . 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquency and dependent children . . . . . . 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major crimes (1933) . . . . . . . . . . . . 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor crimes (1933) . . . . . . . . . . . . 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis deaths . . . . . . . . . . . . 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health Nursing Cases . . . . . . . . . . 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Hospital cases . . . . . . . . . . . . 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquency and dependent children . . . . . . 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major crimes (1933) . . . . . . . . . . . . 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor crimes (1933) . . . . . . . . . . . . 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Structural Condition of Houses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilapidated</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proportion of adults in population ............... 73.6%

Number of young children especially low.

Tenants paying from $3 to $5 per room per month ........ 77.9%
Dwelling units of 1, 2 or 3 rooms ................. 77.6%

Having no water in the house ....................... 28.0%
Having no toilet in the house ..................... 64.6%

In the case of water and of toilets, both indoors and out, they were sometimes private and sometimes shared with 1, 2 or 3 families. Of outdoor toilets, 49% were not connected with sewers.

Having neither bathtub nor shower .................. 87.5%
Dependent on oil lamps ............................ 39.3%

Thus we have a carefully documented statement prepared in 1934 in Louisville itself, by official and civic groups, showing the city's great need of slum clearance and low-rent housing.

The Louisville Advisory Committee on Housing was appointed by the Administrator of Public Works, October 13, 1934, under the chairmanship of Dr. Kenneth P. Vinsel, uniting leading members of the three earlier groups active in the housing movement, to be the connecting link between Louisville and the Housing Division in Washington, D. C. This was done until State legislation was put into effect and a local Housing Authority appointed.

Due to the Federal District Court decision, voluntary purchase had to be substituted for condemnation. The Louisville
Advisory Committee thus turned its efforts to finding sites which met the new conditions. With their aid, representatives of the Housing Division chose the sites of the two present projects, La Salle Place and College Court, in May, 1935. Land purchases were concluded by September and the first contracts were let in December, 1935.

In 1934 the General Assembly of the State of Kentucky passed a law known as House Bill No. 585, allowing cities of the first and second class to set up municipal housing commissions with the power to establish, erect, maintain, and operate municipal housing projects. With this as a background, a resolution relating to the creation of a Housing Commission was drawn in December, 1936, and presented to the Board of Aldermen of the City of Louisville. On January 4, 1937, this resolution was passed, and three days later, Neville Miller, then Mayor of the City of Louisville, appointed the following commission: A. J. Stewart, Chairman; Harry W. Schacter, Vice-Chairman; Murray P. Nicol, Mrs. William Kirwan, Mayor Neville Miller, Ex-Officio. Mr. A. J. Stewart formed the connecting link between the new agency, the Advisory Committee, and the earlier civic groups interested in housing betterment.

The Louisville Municipal Housing Commission was allotted for the fiscal year of 1937-38, $9,933. This was the only cash amount set up for the operation of the local commission and its staff.

Although the Commission received no other cash contribution or appropriation, the services of the technical staff of the Planning
and Zoning Commission were available to the Housing Commission. Today, there is also available to the Housing Commission all the valuable data and statistics that have been collected and summarized by the personnel of the Planning and Zoning Commission since its origin in 1927.

The Commission is a member of the American Federation of Housing Authorities, and a member of the National Association of Housing Officials.

In January, 1937, the Louisville Municipal Housing Commission made application to the Housing Division of P.W.A. for a $5,000,000 grant for a slum clearance-low-cost housing project. Although no funds were available at that time, the application was given favorable consideration. In early December, 1937, Louisville began preparation of a new application for a similar project, and, on December 16, 1937, the Administrator of the United States Housing Authority earmarked for the City of Louisville $4,000,000 to be spent in the construction of a slum clearance-low-cost housing project. On February 14, 1938, the application, begun the previous December, was finished and forwarded to the United States Housing Authority. The buildings were planned and are being erected at the time of this writing.

On February 6, 1938, Louisville's two low-cost housing projects, begun under the Housing Division of P.W.A. and more recently transferred to the United States Housing Authority, were formally dedicated. Leases between the United States Housing Authority and the Local Commission were formally signed, and the
Commission is now operating La Salle Place and College Court. La Salle Place offers 210 completely equipped units to white families of the low income groups while College Court affords 125 similar units to members of the Negro race. It is interesting to know that the Louisville Municipal Housing Commission was among the first to sign such leases under the new Authority. College Court will be more specifically discussed in the next chapter.

Mr. J. Austin Latimer, assistant to Nathan Straus, Administrator of the United States Housing Authority, was principal speaker at both dedicatory ceremonies. Prior to the dedication, local newspapers were extremely cooperative in publicizing the work of the Municipal Housing Commission and the Planning and Zoning Commission, which led to the construction of these two projects.

On February 1, 1938, the Commission entertained Captain Richard L. Reiss, of London, England, at a dinner speaking. A large number of Louisville's leading citizens interested in the city's housing problem attended the dinner.

A forward step in the State of Kentucky was taken in March, 1938, when representatives of seven cities interested in housing gathered in Louisville at a meeting sponsored by the Local Commission, and organized the Kentucky Housing Association.

Later in March, the Housing Commission, along with the City Planning and Zoning Commission, gave its approval as co-sponsors to the current Real Property and Low Income Housing Area Surveys. The Work Projects Administration agreed to supply the necessary
workers to serve in the capacity of enumerators and tabulators incidental to the field survey and final compilation of statistics. Through the survey the two Commissions expected to have a complete and up-to-date picture not only of all structures, but a thorough knowledge of the families that occupy them as well.

On Friday, May 13, 1938, several thousand people gathered on a site soon to become our first slum clearance low-rent housing project, to witness the beginning of demolition of all structures in this area.

Mr. Warren J. Vinton, Chief Economist and Planning Officer from the United States Housing Authority, made the principal address. Other speakers included Mayor Scholtz, Chairman, and Vice-Chairman of the local Commission, all of whom pointed out the progress Louisville has made in attempting to find a solution for its urgent housing problems.

As the purchase of properties in this nine block area began, the Commission found it necessary to locate on this site a district office, to aid the tenants in moving from the area in finding suitable quarters during the construction period. Every effort was made to find comparable or better housing at such rents as these families could afford. Social agencies were asked to cooperate, lists of available vacancies prepared and advice was offered by the district personnel.

Louisville received its third earmarking of $5,000,000 on September 30, 1938, when U. S. Housing Authority officials announced that this amount had been set aside for a third project
in Louisville.

On October 12, 1938, the City of Louisville Municipal Housing Commission advertised for bids for the construction of a project known as KY. 1-1 to house 786 white families, and on November 16 bids were formally opened, read and tabulated in the presence of several hundred local and out-of-town contractors who had submitted bids for the various branches of construction, incidental to the proposed project.

December 29, 1938, is a significant date in the history of the local Commission, as it marks the actual beginning of Louisville's first slum clearance low-rent housing project. On that day several hundred people gathered on the site of the proposed Negro project as Mayor Scholtz turned over the first soil in that area.

The interest of civic organizations, labor groups, and social agencies is increasing steadily. The Commission, in a final statement, maintains that although the building of some 890 new units and the demolition of approximately 990 definitely substandard will not entirely solve Louisville's housing problem, it will be a most significant start in meeting the local situation.
III. COLLEGE COURT--A HISTORY AND INTERPRETATION
According to the sociology department of the Municipal College for Negroes, Negroes live in practically every part of the city. In many instances each small Negro area is a cross section of the various social classes. In the older sections of the city, alleys divide each block into two or four parts. Quite frequently these alleys are unpaved and the houses merely shacks. This means a class difference in nearly every block. Since, according to the 1932 City Directory, 90% of the alley dwellers are Negroes, Negroes may be found living in most of the better residential areas.

The concentrated Negro area in Louisville is a strip seven blocks wide, beginning just west of the central business district and extending for twenty-five blocks (6th to 31st Streets). The east-west streets begin with Broadway on the south and include Magazine, Chestnut, Madison, Walnut, Cedar, Liberty, and Jefferson. In this area Negroes constitute 65% of the population (19,630 Negroes to 10,678 whites, according to 1930 Census. The movement of Negroes has been chiefly westward, and toward the extreme west end of the area the Negro population thins out appreciably. West of 31st Street there are very few Negroes except those who live in alleys.

The western end of this area is the most desirable residential district for Negroes in the city. A decade ago to live on Chestnut
Street, west of 21st Street, was indicative of high social position. Walnut Street is only slightly below Chestnut in prestige. Magazine and Madison Streets are next in importance. The cross streets do not rank with the streets previously mentioned in desirability for residential purposes.

Negro business and professional life centers around 6th and Walnut Streets. This area (6th to 14th--Broadway to Jefferson) includes also the concentrated vice district. Between this congested district (6th - 14th) and the residential area previously mentioned (21st - west) lies an area (14th - 21st) not quite so desirable for residential purposes yet free from most of the undesirable features of the slum area. Many old and respected Negro families live in this area, but the area itself does not now have the prestige of the district farther west.

All of these Negro districts west of 6th Street and between Broadway and Jefferson are referred to as "Downtown". The "East End", or "Uptown", begins with 1st Street and extends east nine blocks to Beargrass Creek. Negroes constitute about 40% of the population. Broadway divides the "East End" into two sections. The northern section (Broadway to Market) is only 28% Negro. It has been perhaps the worst slum area in the city. Within this area is the site for the four million dollar slum clearance project for whites. (A corresponding project for Negroes has been provided for in the area between 9th Street and 14th Street, Walnut and Jefferson Street.) Most of the Negroes live in the alleys in this "East End" section.
There has been no movement into this area of Negroes of the higher income brackets. In fact, it is maintained that it is probably an area of decreasing Negro population. The white slum clearance project has necessitated the removal of a large number of Negro families which makes the area no longer important in the Negro community.

The southern section of the "east End" is familiarly known as "Smoketown". This is an old and respectable residential section, although in recent years its respectability has declined somewhat because of the migration of some of the old settlers to other parts of the city. This area is almost a self-contained community and its inhabitants are strongly group conscious. Within this area are located, among other things, one of the two junior high schools for Negroes, a branch library, a recreation center, a day nursery, and a neighborhood theater. No other Negro area outside the central area has any of these things.

There is a Negro Community of 2,500 people living in that section of the city lying south of Broadway and between 15th and 18th Streets. This community is separated from the larger Negro area by an intervening area of underprivileged whites. This area is commonly known as "California". The name perhaps arose during the early period of the city's history when the area was near the south-west corporation limit. At the present time the most desirable residential streets are Dumesnil and Ormsby which are near the southern boundary of the district.
NEGRO AREAS IN LOUISVILLE

Legend

- "Downtown"
- "East-end" (North)
- "East-end" (South)
- "California"
- "Limerick"
- College Court
Between 7th and 15th Street, and south of Broadway are several adjacent Negro areas. Toward the southern city boundary are Bingham and Cabbage Patch. Between Broadway and Oak Street is Limerick, an area formerly dominated by the Irish but now taken over by Negroes. This is the area in which College Court is located. Railroads and factories make the greater part of this area undesirable for residential purposes. However, the presence of the Louisville Municipal College for Negroes and of College Court itself is bringing about a change in the residential quality of the eastern section of this area.

Although it was fully realized that conditions were bad among all races, the Louisville Planning and Zoning Commission decided, in 1931, that it would be expedient to study the Negro situation first. The necessity for the determination of actual conditions led to an investigation of all Negro sections. The survey covered 165 blocks throughout the city and disclosed very interesting and significant facts. For example, it was found that 24% of all the buildings investigated were in bad repair and that only 21% were in good repair. Only 21% of the houses had inside toilets, whereas 35% had toilets in the yard and 44% had open vaults. From a health standpoint these figures are of great importance.

Investigation of the size of families disclosed the fact that Negro families are materially smaller than whites. Sixty-nine per cent of the occupants of single family dwellings were adults. Twenty-two per cent were children of school age and nine per cent were children of less than school age. Of the occupants of multiple family dwellings,
76% were adults, 16% children of school age and 8% were children below school age. The average size family occupying single family houses was 3.9 persons as compared with 4.4 persons per family for corresponding white groups. In the multiple family groups, there were only 2.1 persons per family compared with 3.5 persons in white families occupying multiple dwellings. For various reasons the natural increase in population among Negroes is much less than among white persons, in fact the annual Negro death rate in Louisville (16.9 in the year 1939) is greater than the birth rate (13.5).

In the 165 blocks studied, 95% of the dwellings rented for less than $25 per month, a great majority of the houses renting for about $4 per room per month. Rentals paid in multiple family houses were approximately the same when reduced to a rent-per-room basis. The economic situation among the Negroes is disclosed by the fact that 90% of all the families have incomes less than $75 a month. Even under normal conditions more than one-half of the families are in this low income group.

From the results of the survey it is apparent that in order to reach the bulk of the Negro population, any new housing which is provided must rent for not more than $5 per room per month. The well-to-do Negro who can afford higher rental does not constitute a serious problem as there are many places available that will provide him with comfortable living quarters.

The total Negro population in Louisville, according to the

15 Health Calendar, Health Council of Louisville, April, 1940.
1930 census, is approximately 47,350. In the fifteen areas studied by the City Planning and Zoning Commission the Negro population was 40,470.

In the area with which we are concerned the Negro population previous to the establishment of College Court was 2,765, 12.58% Negro. This area lies from 5th Street to 10th Street and from Broadway to Oak Street. It included 2.67% of the total Negro population in all areas.

An analysis of Table I reveals that the "Limerick" area (5th to 10th - Broadway to Oak) has not a relatively high percentage of Negro population. The per cent of structures unfit for use is not exceptionally high in this area. The congestion rate for the areas considered does not seem to be influenced by the per cent of Negro population. The congestion rate for the "Limerick" area is not alarmingly high in comparison with areas 4, 6, 9 and 10. It will be noted that the Negro tuberculosis death rate is higher for the "Limerick" area than for any other area. It is to be remembered that factories and railroads are prevalent in the area. It is a matter of opinion as to whether this fact influences the tuberculosis death rate.

Table II indicates that the presence of College Court had some statistical effect on the area. The total number of families in the immediate four-block area considered (a more limited district than that dealt with in Table I) is considerably increased. This might well reveal that College Court drew its tenants from neighborhoods other than its own. The per cent of families having residence
## TABLE I

**COMPARISON OF NEGRO HOUSING (1934) AND TUBERCULOSIS DEATH RATE (1931-36)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Per cent Negro</th>
<th>Per cent Structures Unfit for Use</th>
<th>Congestion 1934</th>
<th>Negro T.B. Death Rate</th>
<th>Per cent of Negro Population in all areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 6th-14th Bdwy-Jeff</td>
<td>93.21</td>
<td>29.16</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>15.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 14th-21st Bdwy-Market</td>
<td>76.88</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>14.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 21st-West Bdwy-Market</td>
<td>34.78</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>11.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 10th-15th Bdwy-Ormsby</td>
<td>63.97</td>
<td>18.24</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>8.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. &quot;California&quot;</td>
<td>31.21</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. &quot;Cabbage Patch&quot;</td>
<td>40.01</td>
<td>13.29</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. South Louisville</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Grand Avenue</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Little Africa</td>
<td>77.36</td>
<td>22.27</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. &quot;Smoketown&quot;</td>
<td>54.88</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>10.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Fort Hill</td>
<td>35.91</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. &quot;Limerick&quot;</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Highland Park</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Portland</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Dr. Charles Parrish, Professor of Sociology, Municipal College for Negroes.

16. Per cent of all (Negro and white) occupied dwelling units with two or more persons per room.

17. Average yearly rate per 100,000 population includes city deaths at Waverly Hills.
TABLE II
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF NEGRO FAMILIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without College Court</th>
<th>With College Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of inhabitants</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of family units</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent ownership</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent multiple dwellings</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent tenants paying rent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over $10 per month</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent tenants paying rent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>under $5 per month</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>9.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent adolescents</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent of families having</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of residence under 1 year</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent of families having</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of residence over 10 years</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Dr. Charles Parrish, Professor of Sociology, Municipal College for Negroes.

under one year is also increased by College Court's presence. This increase may diminish as College Court grows older.

In turning to the question of juvenile delinquency in relation to a neighborhood's need for housing, it can be said that in the United States the increase in delinquency during the past few years among Negroes in large cities probably has been largely due to the segregation
of Negroes into areas of deterioration. This segregation has hindered the Negro in his readjustment from a rural life to an urban life. Studies of juvenile delinquency in the representative communities have frequently noted that the Negro race contributes delinquents in numbers far out of proportion to its ratio in the whole population. The existence of high concentration of delinquency among Negroes in areas of worst housing indicates that the high delinquency rates among Negroes are due, at least in part, to the congestion and unfavorable aspects of housing common in their homes.

For the years 1925-1930 the juvenile delinquency rate for Negroes in Louisville was 547 per 100,000 population. For the area with which we are concerned, Broadway - Oak; 5th - 10th, the rate was 656. In 1935 there were 33 juvenile delinquents, 27 male and 6 female, coming from an area bounded by 7th Street on the east, Broadway on the north, 15th Street on the west, and Oak Street on the south. This same area contributed 25 delinquents in 1936, 22 male and 3 female.

There were no juvenile delinquents who moved into College Court upon its establishment. The principal of the elementary school opposite the project has stated in no uncertain terms that the children from College Court, with but one or two exceptions, are the best disciplined children in the school. From an observation made by the staff of teachers of the school, the children's general appearance and morning health inspection have a higher rating than those of surrounding

---

communities. In only a few short months after the completion of College Court there appeared a tendency on the part of the associates of College Court children to imitate the better qualities of these children.

However, it is the expressed desire of those concerned with housing that more "so-called" juvenile delinquents actually move into the projects. Only then can accurate measurement be taken of the effects of better housing on children with behavior problems.

In our discussion of a neighborhood's need for housing we might well say that the area in which College Court is located was no more in need of better housing than any other area populated by Negroes. However, College Court is a low-rent housing project and not a slum clearance project. Land for better housing construction had to be obtained where it was available.

In the early part of 1935, following a meeting with the Director of the Housing Division of the National Recovery Act in Washington, the Citizen's Committee on Housing filed a preliminary application for approval of a loan for a low-cost Negro Housing Project in Louisville. As was pointed out in Chapter II, due to the Louisville Court decision, voluntary purchase had to be substituted for condemnation. The Louisville advisory committee was compelled to turn its efforts to finding sites which met the new conditions. With the aid of the members of this committee, representatives of the Housing Division in Washington chose the site of College Court in May, 1935. Land purchases were concluded by
September and the first contracts were let in December, 1935.

College Court had been endorsed by the Board of Directors of the Louisville Board of Trade, and by the Board of Directors of the Real Estate Board. The Housing Committee had selected the site of the old Eclipse Ball Park, located on Kentucky Street between 7th and 8th Streets, on which it proposed to erect a group of model dwellings housing 140 Negro families. College Court was estimated to cost $375,000 and to provide seven months' work for one hundred and fifty laborers. The project was in accordance with the Federal program for the coming fall and winter season.

Under the National Recovery Act, the Government loaned money to limited-dividend housing corporations to the amount of 85% of the cost of the project, the remaining 15% being raised locally. Labor employed on the work was paid wages ranging from $1.10 per hour for skilled to 45¢ per hour for unskilled labor, based on a 30-hour week.

In the case of College Court, the Federal Government paid in back taxes $2,020.45. In current taxes, $972.11 was paid. $23,500 was paid in mortgages and other liens. No expense was incurred either by the city or the Federal Government for street paving, sewer or water mains. These were already adequate.

Registration for potential tenants of College Court began on December 23, 1935. Registrations for application for residency had been accepted months in advance. These registrations accumulated. However, when notices were sent to those registered stating the time for formal application, many did not respond. Some had moved, others
had lost interest, others were no longer in a family situation. The
tenant selection procedure is designed to provide a method of deter-
mining from a large number of applicants the families who best comply
with the particular standards of eligibility and conditions of
occupancy established for each Housing Division project.

Tenant selection procedure falls into three major subdivisions:

1. Taking of applications.
2. Investigation of eligible applicants.
3. Certification of eligible applicants to the Renting
   Department subsequent to investigation.

These three principal subdivisions are broken down into the individual steps through which a prospective tenant's application must pass before he is eligible to sign a lease.

Formal application began for College Court on September 25, 1937. On December 27, 1937, the first tenant moved in. The maximum income allowed for those with two minor dependents requesting five-room apartments was $1,800 per year. For those with three minor dependents requesting five-room apartments, $2,218 was the maximum income.

For those needing four-room apartments, $1,600 per year was allowed as the maximum income. Three-room apartments necessitated not more than $1,300 per year. These maximum income figures were fixed as not more than five times the rent figure.

Where lower incomes were concerned there was more flexibility. A normal family budget was arranged. The actual budget of a family under consideration was estimated. If it was found that the budget of this given family was below the normal and yet
the family was still able to pay the rent in its present circumstances, that family might be admitted to residency.

Visits to families applying for apartments are required in the tenant selection procedure. Observation is made in regard to the family's present housing situation. Housekeeping techniques are observed. Neatness, cleanliness and sanitation all serve to make a general impression on the visitor. If the type dwelling in which the family lives is found already quite satisfactory, the case is excluded from among those applicants to be considered for the project. This is the second basis upon which an applicant may be rejected, the first being the question of income.

Family references and prompt payment of bills are investigated. Former neighbor relationships are checked. It is found during the course of this type study that people are usually prone to speak in favor of the applicant concerned in order to avoid incriminating evidence. It is doubtful whether this method of reference investigation is to be justified because of its apparent "back-door" technique. Further, it is scarcely probable that much authentic information can be obtained due to the indirectness of the method. Such questions as "Do the applicants quarrel?" and "Do the children play well together?" are very relevant to the subject, but are often not answered very satisfactorily when answered by mere acquaintances of the applicant. "Are they poor financial risks?" is a question which can be answered only by persons who have had business relations with the applicant.

All applicants are scored up to 100 points. Those receiving
below 60 points are rejected.

When certified, the tenants, now newly selected, are sent notice to come and sign the necessary papers.

When the new tenants move into the new project they are visited by a "staff member" and "made to feel at home." The visitor asks each tenant for suggestions and criticisms which would influence life within the project. Many tenants make some very sane and valuable suggestions.

A list of waiting applicants must be checked from time to time and kept up to date. Each housing project has a social worker on call whose duty it is to check this waiting list at intervals.

One hundred and twenty-five modern dwelling units are provided in College Court, a $776,000 project. The project includes two, three, four and five-room individual homes. In each dwelling is a table top gas stove, mechanical refrigeration, adequate kitchen cabinets, composition flooring, steel frames and casement windows, individual back yards and central heating system. Playgrounds and other recreational features are provided for children and adults, and more than 80% of the project is laid out in landscaped courts and gardens.

One rule of the project is that repairs to things broken by tenants will be charged to them, but breakage not the fault of the tenant will be paid for by the person responsible.

Approximately 3,000 persons were conducted through College Court on November 14, 1937. Two dwellings were completely furnished to show how a family can decorate a home simply and at an economical
cost. Because of the enthusiasm and the keen interest in College Court displayed by Louisville citizens, another inspection tour was held on November 21st, and yet another on November 28th. Visitors heard in detail the workings of the plan.

On February 6, 1938, the impressive public dedication exercises took place in the center court of College Court. The assembled crowd covered all available walks. Mr. J. A. Thomas, Chairman of the Louisville Urban League and the dedication committee, served as master of ceremonies during the program of music and addresses.

The Reverend W. P. Offutt, pastor of the Calvary Baptist Church, opened the program with an invocation. This was followed by musical selections from the Booker T. Washington Community Center band.

Mr. A. J. Stewart, then chairman of the Municipal Housing Commission, delivered an address on the purposes and progress of Louisville's housing program. Chairman Thomas followed with a talk on the significance of College Court for the Negro population of the city.

Mr. J. A. Latimer, Washington representative of the National Housing Authority, appropriately turned over to Mayor Scholtz the keys to College Court, and with the Mayor's acceptance at 4:25 P.M., the Municipal Housing Commission assumed control of the project.

Opening the second part of the program, the Louisville Municipal College chorus sang several selections. The Negro Boy Scouts, who acted as ushers during the services, were in charge of
the flag raising. The audience sang "America" and "The Star-Spangled
Banner" during the raising, and with the benediction by the Reverend
C. L. Russell, pastor of the Chestnut Street Methodist Episcopal
Church, the dedication came to a close.

Immediately upon taking up residency, the residency beginning
several weeks previous to the dedication, the new tenants of College
Court, according to their own statements and information received
from workers in close contact with them, began to acquire a feeling
of sociability. In the beginning they were rather lonesome, and
suggestions were made by the social worker as to the neighboring
tenants with whom they could most conveniently and enjoyably make
friends.

Answers to questionnaires distributed among the tenants
revealed that a few of the residents limited their closest friends
to College Court. That is, out of seventy-five answers to the
question, "Would you say that your closest friends were inside
College Court or outside?", thirty-two designated outside, thirty
both inside and outside, and only thirteen stated that their friends
were primarily inside. This implies that College Court residents
were not and are not solely dependent upon neighboring tenants for
social relationships and companionships. It might be said that the
new tenants' main problem was becoming adjusted to a totally new
housing arrangement together with a very limited number of regula-
tions.

In any tenant adjustment situation there is no doubt that
the resident manager plays a leading and important role. The
strangeness and the regulatory features of a housing project are more familiar to the manager than to the tenants who are about to assume residency. It is natural, then, that the resident manager should be most helpful in aiding the tenants in their understanding of a new environment. Day by day many problems involving both the physical building and its inhabitants arise. These problems are unpredictable. They emerge unexpectedly, and they are insistent in their demand for a quick intelligent answer. To supply such answers is the job of the housing manager. The effectiveness of his answers will depend upon his ingenuity, his resourcefulness, and his understanding of people.

As far as tenant adjustment in College Court is concerned, interviews with tenants never revealed any difficulty. It must be understood that the residents of College Court were and are rather well educated and were not and are not from the very low income group. This assures a more natural and easy adjustment. The more socially adjusted a prospective tenant may be before residency the more easily he becomes adjusted after residency.

We have stated that the residents of College Court did not come from the neighborhood in which College Court is located. Of ninety-one residents answering the question concerning previous address, seventy-four were found to have lived in other areas previous to College Court residency, as against only seventeen having lived in the College Court or "Limerick" area previous to residency.
Seventy-nine recorded occupations of College Court residents revealed twenty-six porters, sixteen domestic servants, nine waiters, six laborers, five pressers, three insurance agents, two ministers, two moulders, one postman, one linotype operator, one pharmacist, one bartender, one U. S. deputy collector of internal revenue, one messenger, one registered nurse, one park policeman, one visiting teacher and one landscape attendant. However, College Court residents are, for the most part, occupied as porters, domestics and waiters. Thus a high proportion of late afternoon and evening work is required of these people. Late working hours afford them a minimum of time for cultivating neighborliness and friendships within College Court.

Eighty-three recorded answers to the question, "Did you belong to any clubs, fraternal organizations, unions, etc., before College Court residency?" revealed that forty-two residents or fifty per cent had belonged. Numerous social clubs were listed among the organizations to which they had belonged. Other organizations included various church groups, several unions, the Masons, the Central Democratic Club, a ministerial association, a sewing circle, several fraternities, the Eastern Star and several choruses. These organizations and clubs received the tenants' attentions before College Court residency. Needless to say, the affiliations were not, except in rare cases, discontinued because of residency in a housing project. Of the forty-two residents stating that they belonged to various organizations before College
Court residency, only twelve no longer belong to any organizations outside College Court at the present time. These twelve may have discontinued their membership in the above mentioned organizations and clubs due to change in address or other reasons. The remaining thirty retained their previous affiliations, and four of these thirty joined an additional organization outside College Court. Of those residents stating that they did not belong to any organization or club previous to College Court residency, only three joined such an organization outside of College Court after moving into the project. This is not a significant change. Those belonging to organizations within College Court will be considered in another chapter.

Eighty-five out of ninety-six residents interviewed attend church regularly outside the project. These church affiliations present opportunities for social relationships outside College Court. The church plays a prominent part in the lives of most of the College Court residents.

Personal interviews with residents of College Court revealed several interesting statements in regard to environmental adjustment. One tenant stated that she had no time for clubs until she moved into the project. For one reason, her children were not as adequately provided for as they are now in College Court. Thus she has more leisure time of her own at present. The same resident stated that at first the children moving into the project were timid and easily antagonized in their play activities, but now they play together
quite easily and readily. "There are so many different natures in people that you don't realize it until you live together with them," philosophized this interviewee.

Another resident stated that, "One is able to save by living in the project. The rent is paid more easily and when the rent is paid a good many things are paid. My husband and I wouldn't live any place else now."

"It was winter when College Court first opened for residency," explained another tenant, "therefore the tenants remained indoors for the most part. However, as the weather improved and became warmer, the residents began to see one another more often and friendships developed."

No quarrels or open displays of misunderstanding have ever been evident at College Court. One such example of tenants' failure to adjust properly is seen in New York's Lavanburg Homes and noted by Mr. Abraham Goldfeld, the resident manager.


"Mr. Dubin complained about Mrs. Marcus, who had created a commotion in the hall of her section. It all began from quarrels among the children. Others joined the quarrel and it seems that Mrs. Marcus cursed Mrs. Dubin. The latter, superstitious by nature, feared some evil might befall her. Mr. Dubin was careful to report that Mrs. Marcus in her fury had even included me in her denunciations. Later, when Mrs. Marcus called at the office in reference to another matter, I asked her about the incident. She stated that Mrs. Dubin had made insulting remarks to her child about the manner in which she was dressed, and Mrs. Marcus felt justified in retaliating as she had. She keenly feels the slurs cast upon the appearance of her children's clothes but is helpless because she has not known economic security for a long time. In addition, she had been very ill for the past week and had found it difficult even to feed her children properly. Her husband had assisted by preparing food in the morning before going out to look for work. She was very bitter in her attitude toward her neighbors, who, she declared, are unfriendly."
Tenant problems and adjustment can become a very serious matter especially in the life of the resident manager. Only one resident interviewed in College Court seemed to feel that some of the tenants were unfriendly toward her. This one tenant's attitude can probably be explained by the fact that she further states that the people in Louisville as a whole are unfriendly and difficult to get along with.

It must be remembered that the fundamental purpose of a housing programme is not to provide emergency employment, nor to satisfy the ego of professional "housers." The purpose is to provide housing for those who need it.

Thus the success of a housing programme can only be judged after the carpenters and plumbers have locked their tool boxes and gone their way. Their work is completed when the tenants have moved into the apartments. It is only then that we may begin to judge fairly the value of the labor that has gone to make the housing projects.

The place of College Court in the community surrounding it is not phenomenal. A Negro school composed of portable buildings is immediately across the street from the project and can expand its facilities if necessary. In the near future the School Board plans to put a modern building on this site with community facilities. We have already mentioned the part played by College Court children in setting an example for other children in attendance at this school.

The Municipal College for Negroes affords College Court residents splendid opportunity for higher education. Many adult tenants attend night classes, a few of college age attend full-time day classes, most of the few high school students in the project
have aspirations to attend the Municipal College. It might be said that the Municipal College is fortunate in having the Negro housing project placed where it is, directly across the street. The University of Louisville originally decided to purchase the property of Simmons University, and make it a center for college education for Negroes, particularly because of the desire of those in charge of that institution to sell their property due to the debt which was on it. The location was one which for many years had been associated with college education for Negroes in Louisville. It was well located with respect to its probable clientele. The purchase was made, therefore, in spite of the fact that its immediate environs at the time were not all that could be desired. Even then, however, it was hoped that in the not too distant future this latter situation could be materially improved. With the construction of College Court the Municipal College for Negroes finds its physical environment improved and its student body numerically increased.

Before the advent of College Court there was no park or playground for Negroes within two miles of the site where the project is now located. Housing authorities recognize recreation as an important phase of individual and community life and agree that provision must be made for recreation in every housing development. The play space and playground within College Court is not limited to the residents of the project alone. Thus, play space is available to neighborhood children outside the project, and is used accordingly.

The recreation room in the project is much in demand by
outside individuals and groups. Groups wishing to secure the College Court recreation room are requested to make reservations in advance. Each reservation and request must be approved by the manager so that no conflicts will occur. Outside groups requesting the use of the recreation room have included several church organizations, a beauticians' club, a West End relief club, several glee clubs and several purely social clubs.

Interest has been shown by the tenants throughout College Court and by residents in the community in bettering their living conditions in every respect. This attitude has tended to attract into the project members of the surrounding community who have expressed a desire to live in such a project, and who avail themselves of every opportunity to partake of the community activities which the project has to offer. College Court's social affairs, moving picture shows, health demonstrations and other programs are attended in a large measure by the neighboring community.

Actual clubs and leisure time activities touching the lives of the people outside College Court will be considered in the next chapter. At present it is enough to say that a neighborhood's need for housing has been partially, but only partially, answered in College Court; that the tenants were selected carefully and have adjusted well to a new environment, and that College Court has a part to play in the community in which it is located.
IV. GROUP LIFE AND LEISURE TIME WITHIN THE PROJECT
GROUP LIFE AND LEISURE TIME WITHIN THE PROJECT

Good housing is not an end in itself, but a means to attaining the good and abundant life which is, consciously or unconsciously, the objective of us all. Its importance lies in its aid to physical, mental and moral health, which are themselves the elements out of which a wholesome family life is built. Family life means more than its biological functions. Recreation and education are normal human needs. Children and adults need indoor and outdoor play space. They also need social contacts. Family life at its best expands into neighborhood life.

In the future the success of the public housing movement will be measured chiefly on the basis of its contribution toward making human life happier and richer. Fruitful and satisfying use of leisure time is one of the ways to be happy. Public housing bodies, therefore, cannot fail to take this opportunity to include in their plans facilities for leisure time activities.

To a man standing in line before a factory gate, begging for work at an employment agency, or tramping city streets in the drab and desperate search for a job of any sort, discussion of the use of leisure is touched with a tragic irony. There are in the United States millions of men and women for whom the word leisure has this ironic implication.

Leisure as used here, however, has nothing to do with the
enforced idleness of the unemployed or the activities of those accustomed to wealth.

Today for the child of the average city worker the street alone is the great outlet for recreation. An occasional settlement house or playground cannot meet the competition of the motion picture house, the pool room, the swarming life of the streets. There is little in the dingy home surroundings of the tenement child to keep him at home. To play, the chief concern of the growing child, he must be with his fellows, and the setting for his play is almost of necessity on the street, with its physical and moral hazards.

Cities exist for other and finer purposes than the mere sheltering of workers around factories and offices. Workers are human beings. We must provide for the human needs of city dwellers, over and above stark considerations of bread and butter. To make our cities homes rather than barracks we must hereafter include in our rebuilding a wide range of facilities for true communal living.

The outstanding pioneer in public housing, of course, was the city of Vienna under its socialist government. However, the world has been treated to the spectacle of the virtual destruction of the Vienna projects by the former Austrian Government. Early in February, 1934, the Dollfus forces, in attempting a complete supression of the Socialist Party, centered their armed attack upon the municipal houses. It was in these houses that group life developed into social action. Socialists the world over had held up the municipal housing projects of Vienna as brick-and-mortar models of what the cooperative commonwealth might look like.
They had pridefully shown spacious, sun-flooded apartments of genuine architectural beauty, comparable to any American apartments in exclusive residential districts, wherein workers, skilled and unskilled, might be housed at amazingly low rents. More than 50,000 families had been comfortably housed amid the beautiful surroundings of the 54 municipal housing developments in the city. They had the luxury of light and air, unknown to our slum dwellers; their children could play upon enclosed grounds away from traffic, and go to the best of kindergartens and schools. The houses included wading pools, play spaces, nurseries and gymnasiums. In cooperation with various city departments, the municipality provided libraries and medical and dental clinics for the people living in the new apartments.

There are factors that have bearing on the conduct and success of activities in housing projects. Homogeneity or the lack of it among the people who constitute the community is important. Another factor of import is the previous background of the residents. People who have been participants in settlement programs readily adopt similar activities when they are incorporated in the housing scheme. On the other hand, a suburban and higher income group demands a more costly, sophisticated and varied program of activities. College Court may find itself in this latter situation.

At any rate "all people have some leisure—that amount of time remaining after the necessary 'bread and water' things of life have been attended to—that time in which the individual may do the things he most enjoys. Whether this enjoyment takes the form of an organized game or a parlor trick determines the type of
the individual participant or his adaptability to supervised leisure direction."

In College Court the response to the work and effort of the management and recreation leaders, both paid and volunteer, has been somewhat gratifying. However, there have been times when those efforts seemed in vain. This was due first to the limited number of tenants and the small number who availed themselves of the opportunity to engage in volunteer leadership. Again, over fifty per cent of the tenants are domestics and have little time to devote to social activities. Therefore such activities have been carried on with a "faithful few." In many cases some of the same people take part in several types of activities.

According to the manager of College Court, one of the most difficult tasks of a housing manager in organizing various programs is to find material in the form of capable, interested and willing people. Such individuals must be developed into volunteer leadership. This must come about through the engendering of good will and educating the tenants to the idea of community life and activity.

The College Court Glee Club

The manager at College Court first discovered men and women who had the ability to sing and who had training not only in singing but in teaching as well. A meeting was organized with these individuals, and the manager discussed with them at length the purpose

and philosophy of the United States Housing Authority. This philosophy was readily grasped and an organization was formed known as the College Court Glee Club. This was on April 4, 1938. It was the first club, organization or leisure time activity organized in College Court.

The purpose of the Glee Club was to stimulate musical interest among the tenants and to find musical talent and help develop it. The group elected a president, secretary, a treasurer and business manager, and chose a pianist. Seventeen members belonged to the club with an average attendance of eleven. The Glee Club met four times each month in the social room with fifteen minutes devoted to business and one hour to rehearsal.

The Glee Club helped to "put over" programs with other clubs, both financially and with musical selections. The club's first public rendition was "Silhouette in Song," given in order to help one of the tenants, the pianist, with a final drive for one of the local churches. A trip to Elizabethtown, Kentucky, to aid in another project for a church in that community is included in the list of activities sponsored by the Glee Club. Money was raised for the purchase of club music through the sponsoring of a well-attended bingo game. During the Christmas season the College Court Sunday School gave a program in which the Glee Club was represented both financially and with musical contributions. Occasionally a soloist was provided by the club as an aid to programs elsewhere in the community.

Thus, we see that the College Court Glee Club had a very definite place in the housing project and in the community outside the project.
A glee club, if well organized and made up of talented members, can be of real service to a community and is usually much in demand. This seemed to be true of the College Court Glee Club. According to the manager of College Court, the club did a "splendid job" for over a year. However, several of the members decided to attend evening school at the Municipal College for Negroes nearby, a program which utilized all of their spare time. Then one of the remaining members died and his wife was forced to move from the project. This brought about the termination of the Glee Club. The club was formally disorganized with a party at which gifts were distributed to each of the members. The Glee Club of College Court is now extinct and was so at the time this study was begun. However, such a previous organization was deemed worthy of mention.

The manager maintains, "There are other people here on the project who desire to sing and we have had community singing of various kinds, but, as singing is an art, we find them incapable of making representative showing and we have deferred reorganization of the Glee Club until at some time we find other voices that can do credit to the name." However, singing is not such an art that it cannot be cultivated in people who may not realize their innate qualities and capabilities. This cultivation required volunteer leadership of a most talented and cooperative sort, and such is not easy to bring about.

It has been suggested that College Court may find itself inhabited by a well-educated, higher income group which demands a more costly, sophisticated program of activities. This suggestion seems somewhat well founded as we progress further into our study.
Ninety-six answers to the question, "Do you belong to any clubs within College Court at present?" revealed only twenty-one answering "yes" against seventy-five answering "no". It may well be that coincidence did not include the "joiners" and activists in the answers. However, the phrase, "Faithful Few," seems to apply. There is in evidence in College Court a nucleus group of volunteer "leisure time organizers" who are the sponsors and workers in each activity and organization. This is not particularly to be condemned. Always in any leisure time activity or organization there are those who assume leadership and responsibility. This may be due to additional talent, additional education and training, greater amount of leisure time, etc. It may be a wholesome situation provided that such leadership and assumed responsibility do not tend to dominate an entire program, thus discouraging any trend toward participation on the part of the many. In College Court such harmful domination on the part of a few tenants does not seem to be in evidence except in one or two cases.

Of the twenty-one residents stating that they were members of some organization within College Court at present, thirteen were members of the College Court Community Club (Men's Club), seven were members of the Women's Social Charity Club, four were members of the Recreation Council, three were interested in the sewing class, one was a member of the softball team (men's) and one listed the College Court Sunday School as her major interest. Duplication is somewhat in evidence. It has already been suggested that a good many of the more active residents belong to and participate in more than one or two of the organized groups. These groups will be discussed one by one.
Women's Social Charity Club

The Women's Social Charity Club was organized June 13, 1938. Because of several deaths and illnesses during the spring of 1938, the project manager suggested to a group of women that it would be well to have an organization in the Court that would give some emergency relief and aid to those who are in distress either through death or illness and, at the same time, form a social group that could entertain themselves with the facilities that the project had to offer. Thus the club was organized at the suggestion of the manager of the project with the purpose of doing charity work, such as cheering the sick with fruit and flowers, sending flowers to the deceased and giving financial aid to persons who are in need in the Court and in the community.

One of the present members and a former president of the club, though not the first president, was responsible for organizing the Women's Social Charity Club and arranging for its first meetings. This particular individual was chosen by the manager as organizer because of her interest in charity work. In the beginning of the organizing procedure, the organizer visited those women in College Court who she thought would be most interested in the prospects of a Social Charity Club. She had no difficulty in "selling the idea" to those with whom she talked. This charter member seemed to believe that the majority of the members of the club are such because they naturally like and believe in charity work. They are not members merely because of the entertainment afforded at social meetings.

The Women's Club elected a president, a secretary, a treasurer, and a business manager. At present the secretary and treasurer are one.
The original secretary and business manager are still holding office. The present president is the third to hold the position. The organization began with ten members and gradually increased to twenty-three members. The present membership is seventeen. The club meets the first Tuesday evening in each month for the purpose of transacting business. A meeting on the third Tuesday evening of each month is set aside for the entertainment of the members. Visitors are occasionally invited to the entertainment meeting. Refreshments are served, paid for each meeting by the members. Games are played interspersed by an occasional dance program.

Regular business meetings of the Social Charity Club are not too well attended as far as members are concerned. The average attendance is approximately nine out of a membership of seventeen. When visited, all the members appeared to be well known to all the other members and gave evidence of being good friends. All members appeared to be accepted by the group. The members of the group exhibited apparent congeniality. They cooperated and worked well together. Each member contributed toward the group purpose. There was a minimum of "bickering" and uncooperative conduct.

The impetus, initiative and responsibility for the life of the Women's Club appeared to come from within the group itself, but not always from the entire membership. The very fact that many of the members were continually absent gives evidence that not all the group are interested in giving impetus to group activities. While the whole group had opportunity for self-expression during the course of the meeting not every member present participated in the discussion at hand.
A few of the more active and responsible members did most of the talking. The members did give evidence of planning and carrying out their activities and facing issues which emerged from their experiences together.

The mood of the Women's Social Charity Club during the course of a meeting was characterized by the play spirit and was marked by occasional laughter rather than by overly purposeful and serious attitudes. There was an absence of strain, anxiety and striving.

The members of the club concern themselves with their own needs and interests rather than those of a leader or the prescriptions of a nationally planned program. The activities planned at the business meetings gave evidence of having grown out of programs or projects previously experienced by the group and related also to larger projects anticipated for the future. In addition to its charitable functions the Women's Club sponsors such activities as baby showers, baby contests, health lectures (e.g., a tuberculosis and heart lecture during the celebration of Negro Health Week, March 31 to April 7, 1940) and movies, cake raffles, a Gingham Dress Ball and bingo games. The group appears to be interested in most of the activities for the sake of the activity itself rather than in some extraneous awards or prizes, or points. Bingo games naturally would not be included in such a category. Bingo games are definitely money raising devices. Those participating in such do so with little thought to the pleasure derived therefrom, but with a great deal of thought to the financial reward.

The meetings of the Social Charity Club are usually opened and closed with a prayer by one of the members. This is indication of the church and religious influence prevalent in College Court gatherings.
Various statements from the members of the Women's Social Charity Club indicate the place of the club in the lives of these members. All of the members interviewed maintained that the club certainly aids in establishing friendships within College Court. The secretary of the club further stated that "we go into the home where there is sickness and meet and make friends with someone whom we have not met before." The educational value of such a club was also stressed by several members. "There's no other club giving both service and recreation," asserted one member. Another tenant emphasized the provision of inexpensive leisure time activity as one benefit derived from the Women's Social Charity Club.

Approximately half of the members interviewed stated that they would have belonged to such a club regardless of College Court residency. These interviewees have always been interested in various charitable and social organizations through their church work. One member went so far as to state that she could not live without membership in such a club. However, another half of the members interviewed maintained that it was due to residency in College Court that they belonged to such an organization as the Women's Social Charity Club.

The Women's Club is not lacking in proper relationship with the project itself. The business manager of the club stated that "the Social Charity Club is a valuable asset to the project. The Club receives full cooperation from the management, the manager visiting business meetings from time to time." Many of the women living in College Court would like to belong to the club but cannot do so because either they or their husbands work at night. This does not allow
these potential members to attend meetings. The activities, both charitable and social, sponsored by the Women's Social Charity Club command the respect of the entire project.

The Club functions to some extent outside the project. An example is service rendered to the Old Folks Home in the form of Christmas baskets. Outside visitors are invited to educational and health programs sponsored by the club. Community resources in persons, institutions or materials are used whenever possible.

It would be much more desirable and commendable if the Women's Club activities were carried on by a larger number of women in College Court rather than remaining the responsibility of only a few comprising a nucleus group around whom the club functions. However, the reasons for this lack of widespread membership have already been suggested.

Recreation Council

Within College Court there is an organization known as the Recreation Council. This was organized December 8, 1938, with a president, secretary, and a treasurer. The purpose of the Council is to assist with the recreational supervisors (Work Projects Administration) in their entertainment of the children on the playgrounds; to sponsor entertainments whereby money can be raised to pay for refreshments and social entertainment for the children; and to help keep discipline on the playgrounds. According to the president of the Recreation Council, who is perhaps the best informed person in the project on matters of leisure time activities and club organizations, the idea for a recreation council originated with a person who was previously assigned to College
Court as a worker by the City Department of Recreation. This young woman is no longer with the project, the city having discontinued activities in the recreation field within the housing projects at the end of the summer of 1938.

The Council started with about twenty-five members. There are now fifteen members on the Council, all of them in active service. Most of the members are mothers. The Recreation Council chooses as one of its necessary functions the raising of money for purposes of a Christmas fund and a recreation treasury. Proceeds from money-raising activities go jointly to these two funds. The recreation treasury is used for purchasing materials for the children.

The City Recreation Department worker was in the project such a short time that she was unable to organize the Council properly. Therefore the present chairman or president of the Council was designated as organizer. She selected people for the Council with whom she had the most influence. At first the Council members depended upon their president and organizer. When the Recreation Council was in its infancy no suggestion came from the members. However, Council activities have gradually given the members more self-confidence. There are more human contacts now among the membership. Each individual possesses a sense of belonging to the group and a feeling of responsibility. Further, more pleasures and greater value are obtained from various programs.

The membership of the Recreation Council at the present time includes the president of the Men's Club, the president and business manager of the Women's Social Charity Club, the wife of the resident
manager of the project, and the wife of the superintendent of the College Court Sunday School, together with the president of the Council itself and nine other members. The nine additional members are mostly mothers of children.

The Recreation Council meets the first and third Thursday evenings in each month. The members realize that their own children are a part of whatever plans are made for safer and more valuable recreation within College Court. This contributes to added interest and increased energy. It is true that such an incentive is not an ideal one. But the Council includes members without children so that a more social viewpoint is also present. One member stated that, "the Recreation Council keeps the members active as well as the children in College Court and the outside neighborhood. Then too, the members get acquainted with the 'higher ups'." By the "higher ups" this tenant meant the social worker for the projects and the Housing Commission officials.

There are definitely more activities in College Court due to the action of the Council. This increase in activities necessitates a planned schedule in order to prevent the various organizations from conflicting in regard to program meetings. Everything sponsored by the Recreation Council in the way of social functions and entertainment is given for the benefit of the College Court community at large. Few affairs are ever given merely for the enjoyment of the Council members. For special occasions visitors from outside are selected. Only friends in the neighborhood outside the project are invited to these special functions.
The Council works with the management of the project in regard to any planned program given for the community at large. The manager, according to the members, has always been most cooperative. The majority of the programs are open to everyone, including the outside neighborhood. Health movies and lectures and other programs of an educational nature are becoming more numerous. The lectures and movies are free. The president of the Recreation Council asserts that "the tenants just wouldn't attend such things in their former neighborhoods."

Various interviewees pointed out one factor as a contribution to full attendance at program gatherings, the fact that there is little necessity for "dressing up" or preparing in order to attend a program within the project. In former neighborhoods this need for "looking more presentable than usual" loomed as an item in decreasing interest.

Two hundred and fifty to three hundred people present at the last Christmas entertainment and celebration sponsored by the Recreation Council is further evidence of the place of the Council in the project and in the surrounding community. This also may serve to indicate the need for the Christmas fund which the Recreation Council maintains.

**College Court Community Club**

With the organization of various women's groups in College Court the men of the project felt that they had been left out of the picture. With the help of the W.P.A. male recreation leader in the project the men decided to form a Men's Social Night. Obtaining such good results with the weekly meeting, it was not long thereafter that they agreed it would be a good idea to form a permanent organization.
Thus the College Court Community Club (known to its members as the 4-C Club) or Men's Club was organized February 28, 1939. Its purpose is to furnish recreational facilities to the men of the Court and community and to contribute financially and with remembrances when needed to members and neighbors. The club was organized as an outgrowth of a group of friends who, at various times, found themselves thrown together for one reason or another. Upon enjoying each other's company, the idea of a club took form.

According to the president of the 4-C Club, Joe Louis was scheduled to fight Max Schmeling one night in the latter part of February, 1939. Several of the men in College Court planned to place a radio in the recreation room for the benefit of any men in the project who wished to listen to the fight that evening. This plan was carried out and quite a large number of would-be fight fans and Louis supporters turned out. At the conclusion of the Louis victory, the suggestion was made that a club be formed based on those in attendance that night at the radio fight program. The suggestion was met with enthusiasm and the club organized.

The 4-C Club started with only a few members and then grew rapidly. Due to a number in the club living outside the project and yet living in the immediate neighborhood, the club named itself the "College Court Community Club."

In the beginning it was thought advisable to charge an entrance fee of $1 in order to discourage "undesirables" from joining the club. This system, however, tended to bar some very desirable individuals. Therefore, the plan was abandoned and the fee reduced to 25¢.
The Men's Club elected a president, a secretary, and a treasurer. In the beginning there were many "backward" individuals who said little and depended upon a small, nucleus group in the club to take the initiative. This small group of leaders were those who were well read, especially in the field of current events, and well adjusted socially and educationally. Thus, this "clique" endeavored to bear the responsibility for the club program until the majority of the members became accustomed to self-expression and cooperation.

The president of the College Court Community Club, a rather young man of social alertness and ability, revealed the fact that he sometimes resorts to personal contacts in creating interest in and support for a given suggestion, idea or program. Approaching various members before a meeting, he sees to it that they clearly understand an issue to be voted upon, and that they know how he stands on the subject. In fact, so thoroughly and pointedly do the president and two or three other officers and members express their own views that the majority of the members often have no part in what should be a "group idea." The whole group, while having opportunity for self-expression during the course of a meeting, often feels inadequate in making suggestions which are not in total agreement with or not consistent with those of the president, secretary, etc.

Several of the formerly active members have complained that the Men's Club has not developed as they originally had anticipated. They maintain that there is too much talk and coercion on the part of the president and a chosen few. One member asserted that elaborate plans were formulated at the first few meetings, but that little has
been done to develop these plans. It is true that during the course of the first year of the club's existence the membership had reached a peak of forty-one members. However, on the club's first birthday the membership totaled only nineteen. Of these nineteen only about half are really active and consistent in attendance. The inactive members merely pay dues in order to keep their status and membership in the club. The College Court Community Club meets the first and third Wednesday evenings of each month.

Along with this brief analysis we must realize that when the club was visited all the members appeared to be known to all the other members and gave evidence of being good friends. There was no uncooperative conduct within the meeting. As has been suggested, however, the impetus, initiative and responsibility for the life of the group appeared to come from the president of the group rather than from the group itself.

The question of a permanent meeting place was an early one. The men found that the project's recreation room was not sufficient to assure them privacy and regularity of meetings. With the cooperation of the management, the members succeeded in furnishing a basement storeroom into a convenient and comfortable meeting place. This clubroom has been improved gradually, leisure time equipment in the form of table games and reading material being added from time to time. The room is available at all times for recreational purposes and informal gatherings. Several of the men would rather frequent the clubroom than leave the premises of College Court for movies, dances and similar activities. This is one indication of what a program
of this sort can mean to the members of such an organization.

One aspiration of the Men's Club is the provision of a club library. At present there are a few books which have been contributed by members, but no shelves for their care. Many subscribers to various periodicals of current interest bring these periodicals to the clubroom for a magazine exchange system. In this way it is hoped that a few non-readers will become interested in subjects of current appeal.

One function of the College Court Community Club is the maintenance of a limited charity program, sometimes in cooperation with the Women's Social Charity Club. The men seek to aid their sick or otherwise unfortunate comrades. The president of the club maintains that there has never been any dissension concerning a program of that sort.

For Negro Health Week, 1940, the Men's Club sponsored a lecture, "Anti-Syphilis," by Dr. Rowntree, Assistant Health Director for the City of Louisville.

A Recreation Committee of the Men's Club was appointed in the spring of 1939 by the president of the club. This was and is a very vital and necessary committee, according to the president. Men's softball within the project was the activity which first made the committee necessary. The purchasing of equipment and recommendations for programs are the responsibilities of this recreation committee of chosen men. The president of the "4-C" Club reveals that the committee was carefully selected according to influence, interest, and ability.
Men's Softball

Men's softball began as a team chosen from the College Court Community Club. It was organized on June 28, 1939, with a manager and a captain. Softball activities grew to such proportions that the men's softball organization became a separate group aside from the Men's Club. During the summer of 1939 the team was composed of eighteen members. After playing together for a short while the members of the team decided to join the City Recreation Department's softball league. According to the manager of the team, even though it plays in competition, the primary purpose of the team is to furnish recreation to its members.

While softball team members are not obligated to belong to the Men's Club, the club, through its recreation committee, retains partial responsibility for the team. As has been mentioned, the purchasing of equipment is the function of the "4-C" Club and its committee.

The president of the College Court Community Club emphasized that the club is careful not to allow softball to dominate its activities or control the treasury. However, two members complained that the club is not run on a business-like basis. Money is taken at random from the treasury by the club officers. There is often little opportunity to vote on expenditures. The members are told about the expenditures at the next meeting. These individuals further stated that a large part of the money went for softball equipment used by only a part of the membership. Perhaps such was true during a particular phase of the club's development. Further interviewing found other members confident that, in regard to general expenditures, the members of the Men's Club are beginning to realize the significance and value of providing results
of a permanent nature for the project. That is, the club with its money strives to make a lasting, beneficial imprint in College Court rather than to waste money in a frivolous manner.

Since its organization the College Court Community Club has sponsored several bingo parties. The club has chosen this means as the best way to raise money. There is no doubt that a successful bingo game is a good money-raising venture. But there is again the same criticism, that the group is not interested in the activity for its own sake but rather for some extraneous reward. Further, the frequency and popularity of bingo parties in College Court supports the suggestion that a higher income group demands a more costly program of activities.

The "4-C" Club means a great deal to the members who do take an active and responsible part in its activities. One member stated that in his opinion there "is no equal to the '4-C' Club. I probably would be a member of some such club if not a resident of College Court, but it would not be as good a club. The residents of College Court are all of good character so that the club itself is of good quality."

"The Men's Club can't be beat," asserts another member. "We'd never see each other if it were not for the club. It keeps the men inside College Court for their recreation and they don't care to leave the premises." A third resident maintained that "half the members in the Men's Club don't want men in the club who live outside the project. I do; I belong to six clubs already!" Another member revealed that the club "helps one to learn the order of business procedure in meetings. I learn to speak and to express myself. I don't always agree with club policy. The Men's Club means union in College Court. It
means fellowship. Those residents who can't attend the meetings ask about the club."

The president of the College Court Community Club emphasized the possibilities for the success of such a club within a housing project. In regard to a clubroom there is no rent, heat or light to be maintained. Outside the project this would not be the case. The president praised the project manager's cooperative spirit. The manager is an honorary member of the club. "We don't know what we'd do here at College Court without him," asserted the "4-C" Club's president, referring to the resident manager.

**Kollege Kourt Juniors**

On February 3, 1939, another club, the Kollege Kourt Juniors, was organized at the home of one of the members. The club consists of ten girls, nine of whom are residents of College Court. The secretary of the club lives outside the project. She was allowed to join because of her interest and ability. It is not the general policy of the club to admit outsiders to membership, but such is the case when the outside candidate is deemed worthy by the resident members.

Originally the age limit for membership in the K. K. Juniors, as they call themselves, was restricted to 17 and 18 years. However, there were not enough girls of that age available in College Court. Therefore, the age limit was lowered to 15 years, thus attracting ten girls.

A glee club was the original purpose for organizing the K. K. Juniors. This idea developed into the organization of a service club.
Two or three girls desired to form a club and they approached those they assumed would be interested. The membership in the club is limited to ten members. However, this rule is not too rigid. If an additional girl sought membership and was well accepted by the present members, she would probably be admitted. There are really no other girls of this age living in College Court.

The charter members of the club had some difficulty in organizing. They requested one of the women residents in the project to aid them in the organization process. This resident has remained with the K. K. Juniors as club advisor. She suggests programs and aids in the business procedure.

The officers of the Kollage Kourt Juniors consist of a president, a vice-president, a secretary, a treasurer, an historian, and chairman for two committees. Thus almost every member of the club has some responsibility.

The K. K. Juniors meet the second and fourth Friday nights in each month. The second Friday evening is devoted to business, and the fourth is reserved for social activities. The president of the club asserted that the charity and service program of the group is similar to that of the Women's Social Charity Club. Social functions often include boys invited by the girls. At one time it was suggested that boys be included in the membership, but this plan was not adopted.

Occasionally the girls meet in the social room of College Court for their social programs. The adjoining kitchen is then used and refreshments are served. The girls take pleasure in dancing either with boys or among themselves.
The president of the K. K. Juniors maintains that there is very little quarreling among the members, although occasionally some disagreement arises. She described the club as "something to look forward to and something of meaning to the members." Several of the members stated that they had never belonged to a "worth-while" club until they moved into College Court.

Tallyho Bridge Club

The Tallyho Bridge Club is another spontaneously organized club of some seven women of College Court. The club originated at the suggestion of one of the members. Two of the members, one of them the president of the club, live outside College Court. The club is composed of younger married women. This group of young women were never interested in the Women's Social Charity Club. In fact, there is almost a marked degree of competition and rivalry evident within the Tallyho Bridge Club in regard to the older Women's Club. The secretary of the Bridge Club explained that "our club is planning some service work outside College Court in the future, this in addition to our bridge. We feel that such service will make us an even stronger club than the Women's Social Charity Club."

The secretary of the Tallyho Bridge Club emphasized that the club is independent of College Court. She asserted that all members would belong to such a club whether residents of the project or not. The Tallyho Bridge Club is typical of most bridge clubs. The members were rather intimate friends before the formation of the club. There is little doubt that they would have organized for bridge in any neigh-
hood or situation just as many such bridge clubs have organized.

Meetings of the Tallyho Bridge Club are held at the various apartments of the members every other Thursday afternoon. The meeting begins at 2 P.M. and adjourns at about 5:30 P.M. A discussion of club business, the serving of refreshments and bridge playing compose the program for an afternoon. Visitors at meetings are not desired by the members. Most of them are somewhat timid and would feel suppressed by the presence of a visitor. The manager of the project was at one time denied his request to visit during a meeting.

Sunday School

In May, 1938, the project manager suggested to some of the older tenants in College Court that an interdenominational Sunday School be organized, stressing no particular denomination. It was organized June 10, 1938, with a superintendent, a secretary, and a treasurer. The attendance averages from fifteen to twenty persons each Sunday. One College Court resident, the superintendent of the Sunday School, is responsible for the adults present and another for the young people.

The College Court Sunday School was able to purchase literature and song books. During the month of December in 1938 a Christmas program was sponsored by the Sunday School and approximately one hundred and ten children of College Court and vicinity were presented with gifts. As has been mentioned elsewhere, a similar program was sponsored in 1939 in connection with the various clubs.

In March, 1939, the Sunday School gave a silver tea. Negro churches throughout the city sent representatives.
Egg hunts at Easter are sponsored for the children of the community at large by the Sunday School and the Recreation Council.

On July 23, 1939, the College Court Community Sunday School sponsored its first carnival under the leadership of the chairman of the Recreation Council, who is also chairman of the entertainment committee of the Sunday School. According to the superintendent of the Sunday School, the residents of College Court and the community at large gave their hearty support to the carnival.

**Boy Scout Troop**

Troop 76, Boy Scouts of America, was formed in the late fall of 1938. The young men of College Court are very much interested in this troop. The scouts have on several occasions raised funds for their membership fees. They have pooled their interests in such a way that when one scout cannot pay his fee, the others pay it for him. The less fortunate scout must, of course, pay back at a later date. The scout troop did not receive its charter from the National Council until April, 1939.

The scoutmaster of the College Court scout troop is not a resident of the project. Several of the members also live in the community outside College Court. The troop meets each Wednesday evening. Approximately twenty members compose the membership of the troop.

* * * * * * * * * *

Aside from the clubs and activities organized by the management and the tenants themselves, College Court was aided in its recreational program for a time by the City Recreation Department. This aid began in May, 1938, in the form of a full-time staff member. This worker
outlined a recreational program which embraced the following activities: singing games, folk dancing, story telling, handicraft, short hikes and outings, drama, puppetry, clay modeling, handball, female calisthenics and other social games. These activities were regularly scheduled and met at certain periods each week. A puppet specialist of the City Recreation Department visited the playground during the summer of 1938 with his puppets. These frequent visits were an incentive to the young people of College Court in making puppets and presenting shows for themselves.

Eventually College Court was deprived of the services of the City Recreation Department. This change was due to a reduction in the budget of that particular department. However, the demand was so great for a recreational leader in College Court that the project has been given the services of two W.P.A. workers, one man and one woman, who carry out some of the activities begun by their predecessor.

At the present time in College Court the weekly schedule of activities is somewhat as follows: The pre-school age youngsters are provided for each morning from 9 A.M. to 12 noon. On Tuesday and Thursday afternoons twelve or thirteen girls, ages 6 years to 10 years, meet for weaving and singing games. On Monday and Wednesday afternoons from 2 P.M. to 4 P.M. two sewing classes, each with a membership of about eight women, meet together. These classes were formerly very actively engaged in weaving rugs. Such activity resulted in the formation of a "rug club" which has now developed into two rather talented sewing classes. On Tuesday evening ladies' ping-pong is in evidence in the recreation room from 6 to 8 P.M. About fifteen to
twenty adults are occupied with social dancing each Thursday evening from 7 P.M. to about 9:30 P.M. On Friday night at 8 o'clock about fifteen adults meet for folk dancing until around 9:30 P.M.

A great deal of the above leisure time program is subject to change from month to month. The pre-school age activities are usually quite regular as far as schedule is concerned. But the remaining activities are dependent upon the desires of the participants. Perhaps the interested individuals decide to meet at another period due to working hours, etc., or perhaps interest lags in one activity and is increased in another. Thus there is a continuous change in a flexible schedule and in program activities themselves. These changes refer only to W.P.A.-sponsored recreational activities and not to the organized clubs and groups in the project.

It must be pointed out here that the W.P.A. workers receive a great deal of aid from College Court residents in providing an open recreation program. Pre-school age children are often cared for by the mothers in the project. A minimum amount of folk dancing is handled by the chairman of the Recreation Council. The same person is also responsible for the formation of a Junior Glee Club consisting of members from 5 to 10 years of age. This group meets in the afternoon, although it has not been meeting on definite schedule for several months. The chairman of the Recreation Council conducts and instructs the group. It may be added that this Recreation Council chairman is seemingly the "mainstay" of recreational programs and group organization in College Court. The philosophy of "key people" is often justifiably subject to criticism, but here is one "key person" without whom College
Forty-two residents out of ninety-six answering the question, "Do you participate in any recreational activities offered in the recreation room?" indicated that they did. The remaining fifty-four were interested in movies and church organizations predominantly. Sixty-six out of ninety-six residents stated that they would like to have more space indoors available in College Court for clubrooms, recreation rooms, etc. It is significant to note that twenty-four of those tenants who went on record as not participating in recreation room activities stated that they would like more space for such. This may infer that a larger, better equipped social and recreation room would attract more participants. Perhaps those not frequenting the recreation room refrain from doing so due to overcrowded conditions when the room is occupied.

Leisure time activities in College Court definitely reach their maximum during the summer months. Playground facilities out-of-doors serve an entire community. Playground participation is regulated so as not to inconvenience College Court residents. Overcrowding is sometimes reduced by limiting the number of outside participants seeking playground facilities.

Out of ninety-six residents only four stated that they were in any way disturbed by children playing on the play space within College Court. Fifty-one out of the same number of tenants stated that they would like to have more outdoor space for play within College Court.

One mother living in the project maintained that "the children
learn to play together under supervised recreation in College Court. If it were not for supervised recreation, the children would just run wild." Another mother commented on the relation between play space for children and better health conditions in the family. She seemed certain that residence in College Court was responsible for improved health.

The chairman of the Recreation Council of College Court revealed a rather significant factor in the total leisure time situation. She maintained that there is a definite class distinction within College Court which sometimes operates at social gatherings. Tenants belonging to different economic levels and cultural backgrounds tend to "band together" within these social barriers.

Arbitrarily, the chief criteria for differences in social class among Negroes in Louisville are based on family background, apparent economic status, education, occupation and regard for the mores. To these objective criteria must be added the attitudes of superiority or inferiority which are held by the members of various groups toward other groups. Color enters in subtle ways. For the most part and except in private conversation, color prejudice is unvoiced. There are very few clearly differentiated cliques which may be suspected of color consciousness. There are no churches to which dark Negroes are not welcomed as members. However, it has been observed that an exclusive fraternity dance is "lighter in color" than a "pay" dance or even an invitational club dance.

Group life and leisure time activities within College Court cannot be completely discussed without further mention of the part played by the resident manager of the project. It has already been
mentioned that any project manager must occupy a place in the lives of the residents. Also some indication has been given of the place the manager fills in College Court's every day life. After weeks of searching for talented people in the early stages of College Court's development, it was then his task to recognize those capable of leadership. According to the manager, "this was a delicate job, for if you err in selecting leaders, it will disrupt a once started program and will retard group progress."

The manager summarizes his organizational techniques and difficulties by maintaining, "I find that there is no better group of my people to work with than the College Court group. Human nature is the same everywhere, and you will find in various groups those who love and understand the work, those who have the capacity to work and will work voluntarily, those who love the work but are incapable of leadership, and those who are capable but have a deficiency known as petty jealousy. However, results can be obtained if the sponsor can work with and overlook these deficiencies."

The project manager further states that what little success and cooperation he has had in College Court has been largely due to the fact that the tenants have been constantly instructed as to the philosophy of the United States Housing Act.
CONCLUSIONS
CONCLUSIONS

The foregoing social interpretation of College Court has been an attempt to show the effect of public housing on group life and leisure time activities. This much at least can be said for social, recreational, and educational activities in connection with housing; they have definitely taken root.

The general possibilities regarding community life in housing projects are not too definite, but they are present. Community life as such may be impossible in an urban environment. One's friends are likely to live in widely scattered parts of the city, and they constitute one's real community. Mere physical nearness of sleeping quarters can scarcely constitute a satisfactory basis for joint activities. It does not appear to be the case that people who buy houses in the same locality have the same tastes, desires and backgrounds. However, the residents of a housing project are living together in a development that represents an idealistic venture. That ideal may serve to stimulate a sense of community "belonging." We have seen that College Court residents, as much as possible, are becoming familiar with the philosophy of the United States Housing Act. This tends to prompt a community spirit within the project.

Housing project tenants naturally have approximately the same incomes. Similarity in income does not stimulate group life or promote community spirit. Income does not determine taste, desire, or background. Similarity in former living conditions is often based on
similarity in income. Like income, it does not influence community life in the housing project.

In College Court we do find a tenant group with similar occupational status. Since most of the College Court residents are domestics, they possess a feeling of "brotherhood" for the neighbors who are engaged in the same type work. Similarity in occupation seems to make community life more possible.

The housing developments throughout the country are new ventures. College Court is still relatively new even though it is more than two years old at the time of this writing. People do not spontaneously organize into a community within a larger urban environment. They must be educated to such a concept. This implies time and leadership.

Regarding community life, there is a marked similarity between the housing project and the neighborhood settlement. The manager of the project may be looked upon as a head worker attempting to organize the community and establish a pattern of group activities. Leading residents who act as volunteer group leaders may be compared to the worker employed by or the volunteer offering service to the settlement house. Such a comparison reveals the possibilities of community life within the housing project.

The Negro residents of College Court have responded to the community life and group life spirit in a rather satisfactory way. Yet we cannot say that group life in College Court includes the majority of the residents. Nor can we say that the existing groups are ideal. We can only emphasize that there is in the project a definite program around which further activity may be organized.
It is maintained that Negroes take readily to organized social activities. They are supposed to be natural "joiners." In College Court this has not proved true of the majority. It is true that several of the residents are quite social in their approach. But these several do not constitute the residents of College Court.

An innate religious attitude and a feeling for "charity work" are responsible for much of the success of group organization in College Court. This fact does not altogether decrease the value of such organization. It is merely responsible for most of the groups in College Court being motivated rather than voluntary.

The motivated group is that in which the membership may be voluntary, but influenced by external conditions. The individual is won over to motivated groups because he likes an activity or thinks he will like it. The need for group approval, the collective tendencies, and the social needs of the individual also play a part in arousing interest in such groups. Thus, members participate in their activities more cheerfully and more responsively than they do in the forced group, and are likely to gain more than they would from the latter, where membership is almost compulsory. They would not gain as much, however, as they would from a purely voluntary group.

Under good leadership and with a sound group work practice a motivated group can become educationally valuable. This occurs when the content of the program, the methods employed in introducing activities, learning and skills, and, above all, the relations between the leader and the members resemble those of the voluntary group, which attracts its membership because it satisfies some inner craving that may
be externally or subjectively motivated, but that represents a true interest.

We see, then, that a few of the motivated clubs and groups in College Court, the Women's Social Charity Club, the College Court Community Club, the Recreation Council, the Boy Scout Troop and several other leisure time groups may develop into valuable organizations, even more so than they are at present. A most effective means of personality development is participation in the life of the larger community. As the group grows older, the members must be made aware of the strivings of the community, the nation, and the world toward solving their problems and for improvement of life. Group work fails in its mission if it fails to develop in people a sense of social responsibility and a desire to participate actively, each in his own way, in world improvement.

In College Court we have observed the various clubs and groups. We have revealed the fact that leisure time activities do exist. We have not, however, seen any indication of interest in the social problems with which the Negro is faced. This interest, it would seem, should be in evidence among Negro tenants living together in a housing project. True the resident manager of the project is interested in such problems. But we see no social action groups composed of the residents themselves. This may well be due to the fact that College Court residents are not too concerned with the problems of their race as a whole, since they themselves are from a higher income group. This does not imply that College Court residents are not confronted with problems of race barriers and race prejudices. We may merely infer that perhaps the members of a self-satisfied group are not always
ready to spend their leisure discussing and taking action in regard to
the social problems which more seriously affect those who are less
fortunate.

The absence of any grievance committee in College Court is not
particularly surprising. College Court is small. One hundred and
twenty-five apartments do not constitute a major maintenance problem.
The complaints are such that they can be met on an individual basis.
The resident manager of the project is cooperative to the extent that
all grievances are adequately handled, and thus there is little need
for the formation of such a committee. Further, the majority of the
tenants may be so well satisfied with their present situation that
any suggestion of a grievance committee would be rather unpopular.

The size of College Court may have its effect on the response
to a community spirit and group life. A small project allows for a
more intimate, "face-to-face" relationship among tenants. That is,
one tenant can more easily become acquainted with all the other tenants
in a small project. There is just a short distance from one side of
the project to the other. This is true in College Court. Several of
the social leaders in the project are acquainted with at least the
majority of the residents. This makes for a sense of "belonging"
and community life as far as these few tenants are concerned. However,
the remaining residents do not experience this same feeling. They
haven't taken the trouble to "make friends" with everybody in the
project. It is left to the initiative of the resident as to whether
he will take advantage of the project being small, and "make friends."

Large housing projects would tend to have more activities and
organizations due to the fact that there would be a greater number of residents. Out of this greater number come more individuals interested in social activities who are prepared to act as volunteers. Naturally, the more people, the more chance for organized groups. The proportion of interested residents may not be any greater than that in a small project. College Court is a small project, and the number of volunteer leaders from among the residents may have reached its maximum. There is still possibility, however, of increasing the number of group participants in College Court. This depends again on the attitude of volunteer leadership and program content.

College Court's contribution to the neighborhood and community outside of its own boundaries is mostly in the way of inadequate facilities for recreation which the outside neighborhood can make use of, and some religiously motivated charity work. Unless a community spirit as such within a housing project is coordinated with a sense of social responsibility for the larger community outside the project, that community spirit is not one moving toward social progress. The group spirit in the project may tend to revert in upon itself rather than move out to the larger community. However, the community and group spirit has not developed in College Court to such an extent that it reacts in either way. Many individuals in the project are still not group conscious, project conscious, or socially conscious.

Leadership is definitely needed in every housing project. Group life within the project is dependent largely upon the leadership available. The groups do not seem to develop from the residents themselves merely because they live in close proximity. The group organization
that exists in College Court has been the result of leadership and
not due just to the fact that the group members live in College Court.
However, it must be admitted that these groups can be more easily
organized within a housing project where the residents are easily
available to the group leaders, and where the residents do have some­
thing in common in the way of occupation, and occasionally, ideals.

The cooperation between the management and the residents may
be very close and highly satisfactory or the opposite may be true. In
College Court the relationship is close. The importance of a harmon­
ious constructive attitude on the part of both the management and the
residents is obvious. There is no doubt that lack of cooperation or
tendency toward antagonism on the part of the person who is responsible
for the management of the housing development spells many difficulties
for healthy growth of community life. The question of selecting quali­
fied personnel for conducting housing developments is essential. The
training of this personnel must not only include knowledge of building
management and of housing problems but also the knowledge of social
problems and possibilities of their solution. In all housing develop­
ments where social activities are expected to flourish the people who
are in charge must have a social point of view.

Volunteer residents in the project should not be labeled as
"key people." It is true that they are residents with influence,
personality, and ability. But can they not be used as volunteer
leaders in the same way that leaders living outside the project would
be used? These leading residents have the same status as the volunteer
worker in the settlement house. In College Court the volunteer residents
are seen as Chairman of the Recreation Council, President of the Men's Club, President of the Women's Club, advisor to the Kollfle;e;e, and Superintendent of the Sunday School. The conflict arises when these volunteer leaders with ability, in turn, begin to select their own "key people" within their own group, forgetting the importance of group action and the group idea.

The leader guides the group and is at the same time himself guided by the group, is always a part of the group. No one can truly lead except from within. One danger of conceiving the leader as outside is that then what ought to be group loyalty will become personal loyalty. When we have a leader within the group these two loyalties merge.

It might be well at this point to present a summary of the basic physical requirements, as exemplified by College Court, for carrying on an adequate social activities program. These considerations are obviously minimal.

Of the errors and omissions in project plans, the lack of a large auditorium is the most serious. Some other details can be added after the building is presumably completed, but not a meeting hall or a gymnasium. The auditorium should be large enough to accommodate mass meetings, lecture audiences, parties, dances, and even a theatrical audience. It ought to be equipped with a stage and plenty of lights. Free play space, for both children and adults, is essential not only outdoors but indoors. All space allotted for social activities should be much larger than anyone not experienced in the field is likely to believe necessary. New needs arise constantly, and no one can possibly foresee every type of facility that may be required. Most of the
individual rooms should be suitable for use in more than one activity. In a word, the whole plan should be highly adaptable to changing needs.

Due to lack of facilities within a project, many residents look to outside commercial recreation. This obviously tends to hinder any development of group and community spirit.

What is it that a person seeks when he joins a group or a club? A number of motives suggest themselves. The need of affection, group acceptance and recognition, activity, security, friendship, admiration, a sense of belonging and communication. It is, therefore, necessary to create conditions and relations in the family, group and club where these needs may be satisfied.

Residents in College Court who are members of groups or clubs are beginning to benefit by their participation. There are numerous adults and young people who lack the essential patterns of behavior in a group relation. They do not possess elementary cultural tools for group life. Group experience with a purpose and whole-hearted interest is perhaps the most certain method of reaching such individuals, for they learn by experience rather than through abstract teaching. The group must therefore supply face-to-face contacts in an informal relation, where the conflicts, hostilities, friendships, and cooperation can occur and find expression. Personality is modified through interaction and first hand experiences.

Group members in College Court are learning to express themselves before the group, are making new friendships, and are learning to plan activities cooperatively. While those receiving group experience are in the minority numerically, they, at least, are examples of what
such experience might mean to all the residents of a project.

In College Court those who actively participate in group activities are the ones who take pride and interest and responsibility in the project venture in which they live. Group life produces a marked change in attitude of the tenant toward the new environment. Far from deteriorating the value of the property, the tenants working through the group have been steadily and intelligently enhancing its value.

The fundamental reason for emphasizing the value of group life is that no one can give us democracy; we must learn democracy. To be democratically inclined is not to decide on a certain form of human association. It is to learn how to live with other men. There is in man the spirit of mutual aid as well as the combative, competitive spirit. It is to this spirit that the pioneers of public housing appeal.
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