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Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is associated with decline in functional capacity and ultimately leads to Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) in many of these patients. Exercise regimens prior to surgery may potentially enhance pre and post TKA functional performance. However, assessment of such performance should involve biomechanical factors that characterize the mechanisms with which tasks are performed, and not just the quantity of task performed. The present overall study investigated walking biomechanics of end stage knee OA and TKA patients. Throughout the three sub-studies that comprised the overall investigation, particular emphasis was placed on heelstrike and the loading response phase of gait, in addition to functional ability parameters.

The first sub-study investigated gait biomechanics and fatigue during a 6 minute walk for patients with end stage knee OA. Results demonstrated that even if patients were able to maintain their gait velocity throughout the walk, subtle but statistically significant differences at the ankle were present after the 6 minute walk. Knee OA patients may be experiencing higher loading conditions at the knee after 6 min. In order to adapt to fatigue, knee OA patients appear to adopt ankle strategies alleviating the load from a painful knee, rather than knee strategies, causing greater instability and reduced
performances. A single walking trial for gait analysis may be insufficient to assess gait compensations due to fatigue in daily activities.

In light of the initial results on end stage knee OA walking biomechanics, the second sub-study included investigation of the effects of a 4 to 6 weeks exercise program on TKA outcomes. Results demonstrated that exercise therapy was effective at improving function and reducing pain to a certain extent pre-surgery. However, assessment of the walking biomechanics raised the question of whether improving physical ability improved knee OA condition or caused further knee joint degeneration and possibly the onset of OA in the opposite leg. Control patients exhibited a more careful gait pattern with lower speed and gait parameters reflecting potentially lower impact at heelstrike which may be more beneficial for knee OA conditions. The exercisers’ walking characteristics showed evidence of an overstriding gait pattern with potentially reduced shock absorption mechanisms that can lead to lower leg injuries.

The third sub-study investigated walking biomechanics of prehab and non prehab subjects one month after surgery and results suggested that the effects of the pre-surgery exercise program did not remain post-surgery. Even if patients in the exercise group had increased physical ability performances and experienced less pain just prior to surgery compared to the control group, one month after surgery there was no difference between the groups. The lack of a significant effect of the exercise program on gait changes post surgery may indicate that the exercise regimen prior to surgery requires an additional component such as gait retraining. Adding a gait retraining component to the prehab protocol may improve the rate of recovery and help patients to maintain the prehab benefits even post TKA surgery.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Prevalence of Knee OA

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of arthritis. According to a report published by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (Praemer et al. 1999), arthritis affects more than 32 million Americans, or almost 1 in 8. It is a common, progressive health problem among adults (Phipps et al. 1994, Verbrugge et al. 1995). Current estimates indicate that there are over 27 million Americans affected by clinically symptomatic OA (Block and Shakoor 2009, Lawrence et al. 2008). It is estimated that 80% of all adults age 65 years and older exhibit radiographic evidence of OA (Burckhardt et al. 1990). The prevalence is expected to rise dramatically as the population is aging in the next few decades (Kalb et al. 1999). It is estimated that by the year 2020, 60 million Americans, or 18% of the population, will have to deal with the daily impact of OA on their life. A majority of these adults have OA related pain and OA related disability. OA in the knees and hips, which affects the weight bearing joints, has a greater clinical impact due to its greater disabling effects. Among U.S. adults 30 years of age and older, OA in the knee occurs in approximately 6% and OA in the hip in roughly 3% (Felson et al. 1998). Symptomatic knee OA affects an estimated 10-12% of the adult population aged 55 years and older (Peat et al. 2001). The knee is the most commonly affected joint (Brady et al. 2000) and knee OA is a leading cause of disability and functional limitations in adults (Guccione et al. 1994, Oliveria et al. 1995).
1.2 Pain and Knee OA

Pain in the affected joint is the most common symptom of OA and contributes to significant declines in functional ability including getting off of the floor, going up and down stairs and walking (McAlindon et al. 1993, Messier et al. 1992). The physiological reaction to pain introduces two obstacles to effective walking: deformity and muscular weakness (Perry 1992). Muscle weakness occurs secondary to the pain of joint swelling causing reduced activity. There is a feedback mechanism designed to protect the joint structure from destructive pressure. Patients display the cumulative effect of this protective reflex as disuse atrophy. During gait analysis, less available strength and increased protective posturing are expected when the joints are swollen.

A tool used for measuring pain is the visual analogue scale (VAS). It is a simple item which is easy to use and removes third person interpretation. The Visual Analogue Scale has been demonstrated to correlate well with physician assessments of pain (Jensen et al. 1986), and to have high test-retest reliability (Price et al. 1983). Consequently it is a valid and reliable tool for measuring pain intensity (Dixon et al. 1981, Kremer et al. 1981, Downie et al. 1978, Ohnhaus et al. 1975).

Pain is a symptom systematically reported in knee OA. Analgesic treatment is common to reduce pain and try to improve ability of daily living. However use of analgesics in knee OA is thought to result in the loss of the protective pain reflex, leading to an increased load on the joint and a more rapid progression of the disease. Clinical observations both supporting and refuting the occurrence of such mechanisms have been reported in the literature (Ronningen et al. 1979, Newman et al. 1985, Rashad et al. 1989, Hodgkinson et al. 1973, Doherty et al. 1986). Schnitzer et al. (1993) suggested that
reduction in pain tends to normalize gait but at the same time increase several of the parameters that can be associated with loading of the knee.

Rapid motion of a knee with intrinsic joint pathology increases tissue tension and, therefore, pain. This leads to limited knee flexion in the loading response phase. Loading response knee flexion is avoided by the same mechanisms used for quadriceps weakness. The purpose is to escape the shear force that accompanies joint motion. A second objective is to reduce the compressive force from a contracting quadriceps. Articular surface damages are the common causes. Knee OA patients normally experience pain which is thought to change their motor response and influence their walking pattern in order to minimize knee loading.

1.3 Quadriceps Weakness and Knee OA

Investigators have observed a decline in leg strength, particularly in the quadriceps of the knee affected with OA (Lewek et al. 2004, Hassan et al. 2001, Hurley et al. 1998, 1997). Strength in older adults with knee OA has been observed to be reduced by up to one third compared to age matched controls (Fisher et al. 1991). The relationship between joint pain and decline in muscle strength are beginning to be recognized as more complex than simply disuse due to joint pain contributing to muscle atrophy and muscle weakness surrounding the joint (Sharma et al. 1999, Hurley et al. 1999). Understanding of the role of the quadriceps is important to understand weakness repercussion on gait functions. The function of the quadriceps is to support a flexed knee. When there is insufficient strength to meet the demand, a variety of substitutions are used to preserve weight bearing stability (Perry et al. 1992). When analyzing gait pattern, absent loading response knee flexion is the most common sign of gait adaptation due to quadriceps
weakness. During loading response, knee flexion is avoided as a deliberate action to protect a weak quadriceps from the strain of decelerating a rapidly flexing knee (Perry et al. 1992). Premature ankle platarflexion blocks tibial advancement, so initial contact by the heel does not stimulate the normal rocker action. Patients use this substitution to preserve a good walking speed and endurance.

Quadriceps weakness has long been recognized as a feature of knee OA (Hurley et al. 1998). However, the question of whether quadriceps weakness is a cause or an effect of knee OA presents some controversy. It is unclear if quadriceps weakness develops after or prior to onset of knee OA. Women with reduced quadriceps strength have a greater risk of developing OA (Brandt et al. 1999). Sharma et al. (2003) concluded that greater quadriceps strength at baseline was associated with increased likelihood of OA progression in malaligned and lax knees. In a review paper, Teichtahl et al. (2003) highlighted the fact that, although a strong quadriceps has the potential to reduce the risk of developing OA, a strong quadriceps is also a risk factor for disease progression in people with malaligned and lax arthritic knees. It has been well established that individuals with knee OA have weaker quadriceps than age matched control subjects without knee OA (O’Reilly et al. 1998, Slemenda et al. 1997, Fisher and Pendergast 1997, Baker et al. 2004). The weakness associated with knee OA is largely thought to be the result of disuse atrophy secondary to joint pain. Slemenda et al. (1998) presented results suggesting otherwise. Quadriceps weakness occurred in knee OA when pain was absent. Also, weakness was present in women prior to the onset of radiographic evidence of knee OA (Slemenda et al. 1997, 1998). Other studies have suggested that quadriceps weakness
increases the risk of disability in person with knee OA (O’Reilly 1998 and McAlindon 1993).

Quadriceps contraction occurs before heelstrike and thereby absorbs impulse loads transmitted through the knee joint. In an attempt to remove the effect of pain on causing weakness Baker et al. (2004) performed an analysis among asymptomatic subjects. The association with muscle weakness was attenuated and remained significant only in patients with mixed disease. These results are in contradiction with Slemenda et al. (1997). Baker et al. 2004 results suggest that pain, in large part, is responsible for decreased strength. The latest statement can be reflected in 2 ways. First, painful knees cannot elicit a maximal contraction due to pain during testing, or second, painful knee OA comes first and cause disuse, muscle atrophy and muscle weakness. Once muscle weakness is present, it can set up a vicious cycle of decreased joint stability and altered proprioception that, in turn, alters the biomechanics of the joint causing further deterioration of the diseased joint. Baker et al. 2004 also found results suggesting that weakness propels severity. Weakness has less effect on disease incidence than on disease progression and/or severity. Weakness was not associated with medial patellofemoral disease suggesting that quadriceps weakness alters the balance of quadriceps contraction, leading to excessive lateral loading. The authors concluded that results in individuals without symptom suggest that pain may contribute to weakness and that the association of OA and weakness may reflect their mutual association with pain.

1.4 Measures of Functional Ability

OA related declines impair individuals and lead to difficulty ascending and descending stairs, rising from a chair, standing comfortably, walking, and completing
other activities of daily living (Jordan et al. 1997, Messier et al. 1992). Thus, physical function was identified by a consensus of research experts in OA as one of the most important dimensions to be measured when evaluating intervention effectiveness (Bellamy et al. 1997). Locomotor ability, which is defined as the aptitude of a person to walk, is one of the most important physical functions. Locomotor ability can be quantified in many different ways. Gait parameters and fatigability while performing walking, are some examples of pertinent walking functional ability quantifications. Locomotor ability reflects changes in physical function (Barr et al. 1994). Declines in functional capacity, measured both objectively and by self-report, have been reported to be a consistent symptom associated with OA of the knee (Sharma et al. 1999, Topp et al 2001).

Among patients over the age of 55, OA is one of the most disabling conditions (Brady et al. 2000). People with symptomatic knee OA have the clinical symptoms of pain, stiffness and decreased range of motion, causing an increased morbidity and a diminished quality of life. Because of its prevalence and the frequent disability that accompanies the disease in the knee and the hip, OA accounts for more trouble with climbing stairs and walking than any other disease (Guccione et al. 1994). Ettinger et al. (1994) reported that 50% of men with knee OA have difficulty ambulating and 44% have difficulty transferring. Of the women in their study with knee OA, 71% and 67% reported difficulty with ambulating and transferring, respectively.

Measures of functional ability include 6 minute walking test and assessment of walking speed. The secondary role of knee OA treatments (exercise regimen or TKA) is to restore function. Walking is the most important function for normal daily living and
activity and this function is altered in patients with knee OA. The distance covered in 6 minutes is used as a way of quantifying locomotor ability. Thus, the distance covered in 6 minutes was recognized as an appropriate variable when analyzing outcomes of TKA. A time-constant walking test such as the 6 minute walk test is capable of measuring the performance of all older adults who are ambulatory, regardless of ability level. Previous investigators have assessed the functional ability of TKA patient’s pre- and postoperatively using this protocol with minimal reports of injury (Hurwitz et al. 2000, Fransen et al. 2001). Sub maximal exercise has been shown to be effective in detecting a training effect in older adults following aerobic training (Stevenson et al. 1991), and poses less of a health risk to the older adult than a maximal exercise test. The 6-minute walk test has good test-retest reliability and has been demonstrated to be a valid indicator of sub maximal and maximal aerobic capacity among older adults (Stillwell et al. 1996). The distance covered in 6 minutes is perceived as an adequate measure of physical function and locomotor ability by patients and was recommended for this purpose in research projects (Langenfeld et al. 1990, Harada et al. 1999). Ouellet et al. (2002) found, using the distance covered in 6 minutes that patients with knee OA walked shorter distance than control normal subjects. They walked 72% before TKA and 58% 2 months after TKA of the control values. Validity, reliability, and responsiveness of the 6 minute walk test have been reported in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and OA (Guyatt et al. 1985, Peterson et al. 1993, Price et al. 1988). Reduced functional capacity of people with knee OA is a major characteristic of the disease and distance covered in 6 minute appears to be a good index of functional ability.
According to patients and rehabilitation specialists, locomotor ability is of the highest relevance when looking at outcomes of TKA surgery for patients with OA of the knee, since most patients reported difficulties walking. Moreover, this locomotor activity reflects changes in physical function (Barr et al. 1994).

1.5 Gait Speed as Functional Ability Measure

Several authors have demonstrated that free walking velocity is a consistent indicator of overall functional status. Ouellet et al. (2002) found a significantly reduced speed for knee OA patients before and 2 months after TKA compare to normal control subject. Among impairment in functional ability, gait speed has been widely studied among patients with OA of the knee, and is recognized as a significant parameter when analyzing gait deficiency. Gait speed was recognized as an important parameter when analyzing walking pattern. Gait of knee OA patients is characterized by a reduced velocity compared to normal control subject. Speed was hypothesized to be a strategy to reduce mechanical loading on the knee by patients with less severe knee OA.

Rapid motion of a knee with intrinsic joint pathology increases tissue tension and, therefore, pain. This leads to limited knee flexion in the loading response phase. Loading response knee flexion is avoided by the same mechanisms used for quadriceps weakness. The purpose is to escape the shear force that accompanies joint motion. A second objective is to reduce the compressive force from a contracting quadriceps.

1.6 Biomechanical Factors and OA

However, alone, measures of functional ability often offer limited information on how the task is performed. The initiation and progression of knee OA has been related to mechanical factors (Andriacchi et al. 2004). The initiation of OA occurs when the
cartilage experiences a traumatic or chronic condition which shifts the load bearing contact location of the knee to a location not conditioned and not capable of adapting to the new loading condition during load-bearing activities such as walking (Andriacchi and Mundermann 2006). Andriacchi (2006) provides a framework for explaining the role of kinematics and loads on the progression of knee OA: “The initiation phase is caused by abnormal kinematics while loading drives the progression phase.” Radin (2004) explains that the characteristic of OA is joint failure driven by mechanical factors. In OA, the affected joint tissue is excessively loaded. Loads that are applied too quickly damage joints and the supporting musculoskeletal structures, causing microdamage. Accumulation of microdamage causes degeneration of the joint structure. Individuals who have acquired cumulative microdamage have less effective shock-absorbing mechanisms. When the shock absorbers fail to function effectively, the result is cumulative microdamage leading to remodeling in the cartilage and the bone (Radin et al. 1995). In OA, the tissues are trying to heal but the damage is greater than the reparative capacity. The result is a loss of the articular cartilage surface.

1.7 Heelstrike and the Loading Response Phase of Gait

Recent studies have suggested that the mechanical environment of the knee during ambulation has a profound influence on the severity (Mundermann et al. 2004), progression (Miyazaki et al. 2002), and treatment outcomes for knee OA. Schipplein and Andriacchi (1991) demonstrated that high loads occur at the knee at heelstrike with the knee near full extension. Gill and O’Connor (2003) established the gait determinants responsible for producing large impulses at heelstrike. The authors reported that usual gait variables mask subtle variations that are responsible for large variations of heelstrike
impulse loading. They suggested the use of late swing ankle vertical height and pre-heelstrike ankle vertical velocity, as indicators of knee loading conditions. With these variables they were able to distinguish between people who “glide” into the ground at heelstrike avoiding high loads and those who “dig” into the ground at heelstrike and experience high loads. By ignoring these important gait variables one would be ignoring the mechanical origin of knee OA.

Astephen and Deluzio (2005) isolated the loading response phase as a very important predictor of knee OA characteristics and suggested that there are important gait pattern differences just after heelstrike in patients with severe knee OA. Loading response is the initial phase of the gait cycle that immediately follows heelstrike. Subjects are shifting their body mass from double support stance to single limb support stance (Perry 1992). Loading response represents one of the most demanding tasks during the gait cycle, requiring a great deal of coordination, shock absorbency and limb stability (Perry 1992), all of which can be greatly compromised by knee OA. The knee and ankle provide valuable shock absorption mechanisms to protect the knee joint from the deleterious forces of full floor contact with the heel. Impact knee angle is believed to have a considerable influence on the body’s ability to attenuate impact loading (Lafortune et al. 1996). Impact forces are mainly determined by ankle and knee angles at touchdown and impact velocity (Gerritsen et al. 1995). Hence, leg position at heelstrike is critical for understanding compensation used by end stage knee OA patient regarding loading of a painful knee.

Restrained ankle plantar flexion and knee flexion during the loading response phase of gait have the advantage to provide valuable shock absorption. During this time, the
quadriceps functions eccentrically to restrain, but not completely prevent knee flexion. Loading response knee flexion disrupts knee stability. Strength of the quadriceps is critical to establish a secure limb. Quadriceps weakness has been documented as a characteristic of knee OA patients. The relationship between joint pain and decline in muscle strength are beginning to be recognized as more complex than simply disuse due to joint pain contributing to muscle atrophy and muscle weakness surrounding the joint (Sharma et al. 1999, Hurley et al. 1999).

Gait parameters of interest when studying end stage knee OA patients appear to be those related to heelstrike phenomenon and the loading response phase of gait. Leg position at heelstrike, knee flexion and ankle plantarflexion during loading response, ankle height in late swing and vertical velocity of the ankle just prior heelstrike are of particular interest. They give a picture of the lower leg shock absorption capacity.

1.8 BMI and Its Relation to Joint Loading

Similar loading pattern during gait have been observed for obese patients and knee Osteoarthritis patients (Andriacchi and Mundermann 2006) suggesting that increased weight initiates a pathway to cartilage degeneration prior to the emergence of knee OA symptoms. Overweight is a strong risk factor for disabling knee OA (Kauffman et al. 2001, Manninen et al. 1996, McAlindon et al. 1996). Several studies have demonstrated that individuals with an increased BMI are at risk of developing knee OA (Schouten et al. 1992, Hochberg et al. 1995, Mannien et al. 1996, McAlindon et al. 1996, Felson et al. 1997). Kauffman et al. (2001) demonstrated that individuals with knee OA and increased BMI have gait patterns that deviated from normal. Knee loading is related to body mass,
and thus, biomechanical stresses are magnified in overweight subjects. The overweight subjects modified their gait pattern to minimize joint loading.

1.9 Fatigue and Knee OA

An increased fatigue is reported by OA patients. Muscle fatigue refers to a class of acute effects that impair performance (Enoka 1994). “A decrease in the muscle’s producing capability, over prolonged (sustained or intermittent) contractions, is a typical manifestation of muscle fatigue” (Caffier et al. 1992, Hagberg et al. 1981). Because joint movement is achieved by contractions of multiple muscles in action, fatigue-induced impairment of joint performance depends on the differences in fatigue responses of various muscles. In addition the forces generated by the muscles, and hence their contributions to torque, also change depending on joint positions due to physiological and architectural factors.

An increased fatigue is reported by patients with OA. However, to date this fatigue has not been characterized and quantified. When fatigue is related to a disease like osteoarthritis, affecting daily living activities, it is usually assessed by questionnaires. Questionnaires are not able to identify physiological changes associated with fatigue. The role of mechanical factors in the pathomechanics of osteoarthritis is well recognized. Syed et al. (2000) hypothesized that the changes in gait pattern due to fatigue in obese knee OA patients will lead to an altered knee kinematic pattern at heelstrike and consequently decrease the shock absorption. This scenario will result in an increased rate of loading causing articular cartilage damage (Radin et al. 1991). Knee OA patients exhibit significant quadriceps weakness (Slemenda et al. 1997, Nordesjo et al. 1983, Fisher et al. 1991)). Fatigue due to muscle weakness leads to shorter periods of eccentric
contraction and thus in decreased shock absorbency. Thus, it is reasonable to anticipate that fatigue will affect loading pattern in knee OA patients.

Gait alteration and loading pattern changes due to fatigue have been characterized in other population. Van Lent et al. (1994) reported that mild fatigue (10 minute) did not affect the gait pattern of control persons but did affect the gait pattern of patients with ACL ruptures. Compensatory mechanisms appeared while patients did not complain about being tired. However, muscle activity and gait patterns showed significant signs of fatigue. Johnston et al. (1998) results clearly supported the hypothesis that fatigue can significantly decrease balancing ability. They suggested that fatigued individuals may be at increased risk of injury. Perhaps, ligamentous laxity noted to occur with fatigue contributes to an increased incidence of injury. These results suggest that rehabilitation programs should not only concentrate on muscle strengthening but also on endurance. Mizrahi et al. (1997) indicated that failure of the EMG to detect fatigue is a sign that fatigue is coming from deterioration of coordinated muscle action with loss of synergistic control of muscles rather than failure of the individual muscle. The results of their study on fatigue during running showed that the amplitude of the acceleration is steadily increasing with running time in the fatigue group. Thus they concluded that the human musculoskeletal system becomes less capable to handle heel-strike induced shock waves when there is fatigue. The latest results emphasize the fact that fatigue may promote osteoarthritis. Verbitsky et al. (1998) find similar results but they also found a relationship with stride rate while velocity is preserved. In the fatigued group, subjects were maintaining a constant velocity but a decreased stride rate associated with an increase in heelstrike initiated shock waves. Bobbert et al. (1992) suggested that fatigue
in the runner may lead to modification of landing phase mechanics. Studies on knee OA patients have focused on deviation in gait pattern compared with normal able-bodied control subjects, but none have investigated preferred gait pattern selected by knee OA patients under mild fatigued condition and the consequences these choices may have on gait function, the musculoskeletal system and progression of the disease.

1.10 Exercise and Knee OA

For managing pain, exercise is among the most common conservative management approaches. It is important to recognize the involvement of muscle in OA because muscle can be exercised to improve strength, endurance, and skill, even in very old people. Therefore, rehabilitation programs that address muscle dysfunction can prevent or alleviate some of the problems associated with OA. In their review article, Fransen et al. (2002) demonstrated the accruing evidence of exercise benefits for osteoarthritic patients. Furthermore, regular participation in physical activity has been recognized for several years as being beneficial in the management of knee OA (Hochberg et al. 1995, American college of rheumatology subcommittee on osteoarthritis guidelines 2000, Hurley 2003). A substantial number of clinical trials have indicated that various exercise interventions can enhance measures of functional capacity among patients with OA of the knee. Most exercise interventions such as walking programs (Messier et al. 1997, Minor et al. 1989, Peterson et al. 1993), muscle strengthening programs (Fisher et al. 1997, Peterson et al. 1993, Fisher et al. 1993), aquatic programs (Messier et al. 1997), and general therapy (Fisher et al. 1993), have demonstrated global improvements in pain reduction, walking speed, distance, muscle strength, and gait kinematics.
1.11 TKA and OA

Conservative treatments for knee OA, including exercise as well as weight loss and medication, commonly delay but do not eliminate the need for surgical treatment. They do not provide cures for the disease, nor do they address the physiological cause of the symptoms. Commonly, these treatments provide only limited relief of the symptoms and surgical interventions are recommended (Brady et al. 2000). Knee replacement is one of the most common orthopaedic procedures performed. In 2001, 172,335 primary knee replacement and 16,896 revisions were performed (Orthopedic Network News 2002). Previous reports suggest that TKA improves functional status, relieves pain, and results in relatively low morbidity (Callahan 1994). As a result of conservative treatment limitations, the rate of TKAs is increasing. In 1990, over 129,000 TKAs were performed (NCHS 1992), while in 1998 266,000 TKAs were performed in the United States. Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) addresses the actual problem and replaces the nonfunctional joint with a prosthetic device. This procedure has been proven to be efficient in relieving pain and improving functional ability and quality of life (Fortin et al. 1999, Harris et al. 1990, Liang et al. 1990, Rissanen et al. 1995, Tierney et al. 1994, Van Essen et al. 1998, Williams et al. 1997). However, TKA commonly involves prolonged rehabilitation (Dieppe et al. 1999, Callahan et al. 1994).

1.12 Gait Characteristics and Functional Capacity among TKA Patients

Gait characteristics, which are important indicators of functional capacity, have been observed to differ in knee OA patients from normal subjects even after postoperative recovery from a TKA. Two months after TKA the locomotor capacity is far below the preoperative level (Ouellet et al. 2002). Two years after TKA, gait analysis
shows a slow gait, with a “stiff knee gait pattern” and an unphysiological gait pattern (Benedetti et al. 2003). The free gait velocity in TKA patients is reported to be lower than in normal controls (Lee et al. 1999, Berman et al. 1987, Chen et al. 1991, Kroll et al. 1989). Using a normal model accounting for velocity (Lee et al. 1999), cadence is faster and step length is shorter in TKA patients than in normal controls. Lee et al. (1999) also demonstrated that step time and single support time are shorter and double support time is longer in TKA patients compared with normal subjects. Initial vertical ground reaction forces are significantly lower for TKA patients than in normal subjects (Lee et al. 1999). The author concluded that TKA patients are believed to walk in such a manner as to soften the shock when the heel hits the ground. Brinkman et al. (1985) demonstrated a reduced knee speed and range of motion among TKA patients postoperatively compared to normal controls. Ouellet et al. (2002) found also a reduced excursion of motion for the TKA knee associated with a more flexed hip and a less plantar flexed ankle. Wilson et al. (1996) found a decreased knee range of motion in posterior stabilized prostheses, and two groups of flexion and extension knee moments, with an increased quadriceps and hamstring muscle activity. The abnormal characteristics of flexion-extension moments found during TKA gait were thought to be associated to abnormal phasing of quadriceps and hamstring (Andriacchi 1993). As possible causes for remaining abnormalities in gait of TKA patients, Andriacchi et al. (1982) suggested that there were lasting abnormal patterns learned preoperatively. In more recent studies, several authors agree with the hypothesis that the retention of pre surgery gait patterns accounts for the abnormal sagittal moment patterns observed post surgery (Smith et al. 2004, Hilding et al. 1996, Wilson et al. 1996). Assuming that knee pain and muscle weakness associated with OA
contribute to changes in gait characteristics preoperatively (Perry 1998), it seems likely that post-TKA gait abnormalities, including deficits in knee speed and range of motion, may also be attributed to preoperative knee pain and muscle weakness. Post-TKA muscle weakness may contribute to additional compensatory gait mechanisms, the result of which would be a gait pattern generated by “inadequate, excessive, inappropriately timed, or out-of-phase muscle actions” (Perry 1998). Furthermore, this remaining abnormal knee prosthetic biomechanics might have implications in long term failure of prosthesis (banks et al. 1997, Hilding et al. 1999).

1.13 Preoperative Predictors of TKA Outcomes

Measures of functional capacity have been shown to be significant predictors of outcomes following TKA. Prior to surgery, such knowledge is helpful in identifying patients at risk of poor locomotor recovery, identifying their expected level of independence, and predicting the need of postoperative locomotor rehabilitation and home support in the first months following TKA.

Anouchi et al. (1996) observed among TKA patients that preoperative knee flexibility, pain and functional capacity significantly predicted postoperative flexibility, pain and functional capacity respectively. In a similar descriptive study Sharma et al. (1996) studied TKA patients 1 month prior to and 3 months following their TKA procedure. All subjects were 55 years and older with OA of the knee. Significant predictors of postoperative TKA functional capacity have included preoperative pain and functional capacity but not preoperative knee strength. In another study, Escalante et al. (1997) attempted to identify predictors of length of stay following TKA and THA surgeries. The authors reported that preoperative functional capacity was an important
determinant of the rate of recovery of functional independence and length of stay following TKA and THA surgeries. They concluded that interventions, which optimize preoperative functional capacity among TKA and THA patients, could potentially enhance postoperative functional capacity and decrease postoperative length of stay.

In more recent studies, Fortin et al. (2002) found that improvements in pain and function at 2 years following TKA were similar to those observed at 6 months. Subjects with the worst function and pain at the time of surgery had comparatively worse function 2 years after surgery. They concluded that performing surgery earlier in the course of functional decline may be associated with better outcome. Fortin et al. (1999) found similar results with THA and TKA surgeries. Also, Parent et al. (2003) found that the preoperative profile of a patient at risk for poor postoperative locomotor recovery 2 months after TKA would be a patient with a high intensity pain, a restriction in flexion amplitude, a deficit in knee strength, and a poor preoperative locomotor ability measured by the 6 minute walk test. In addition, the preoperative gait power profiles, on the non operated side, would be characterized by low concentric push off work by the plantar flexors and low concentric action of the hip flexors during early swing.

A large number of studies have found association between capability variables (6 minute gait distance, gait speed, stride length) and measures of locomotor ability, in elderly people (Harada et al. 1999, Chandler et al. 1998), in persons with OA (Peterson et al. 1993, Rejeski et al. 1995), and in persons with TKA (Finch et al. 1998).

1.14 Prehabilitation Concept

The theory of prehabilitation states that by improve the functional capacity of the body in preparation for an anticipated stressful event such as orthopaedic procedure, the
functional capacity after surgery will be improved. Marcia et al. (2002) explain the foundation behind this theory.

The human body reacts positively to physical activity by performing more efficiently (Administration on aging, 2001). In response to physical activity, muscles, bones and joints rebuild and repair. Conversely, without physical activity, muscle weaken, bones become porous, and joints become less mobile resulting in dysfunction (Deschenes et al. 2001, Michael 2000). Weak muscles, frail bones, and joints are responsible for functional limitations such as difficulty climbing stairs, getting in and out of a chair, and walking.

Hence, weight-bearing activities are crucial to improving or maintaining physical functioning of the human body (Jorgensen et al. 2000, Bamman et al. 1998). Stressor of inactivity is associated with TKA procedure and thus put patients at risk for limited physical functioning due to lack of activity.

The human body has the potential to regain what was lost due to the immobilization. Strength training before immobilization has been found to retard the loss of strength (Akima et al. 2000, Bamman et al. 1997, Keays et al. 2000). Furthermore, the human body has the capacity to become stronger at any age, and even with many medical conditions. Physical activity has the potential for improving functional capacity, which prepares the body for external stressors.

Measure of functional capacity before orthopaedic surgery may directly affect postoperative functional capacity (Fortin et al. 1999). Patients with lower preoperative physical function do not improve as much in function and pain relief postoperatively compared to patients with a higher physical function preoperatively. Thus, it seems
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appropriate to attempt to enhance an individual’s functional capacity prior to encountering the stressor of TKA surgery through prehabilitation in an attempt to reduce postoperative pain as well as improve performance of functional ability.

The concept of prehabilitation has been employed by athletes in an effort to reduce the risk of injuries and help accelerate their rebound from any injuries. When applied properly the concept of prehabilitation results in fewer injuries and faster rehabilitation among athletes (Caraffa et al. 1996, Griffin et al. 1996, Heidt et al. 2000, Jones et al. 1994, Smith et al. 1994).

Similarly, by applying the concept of prehabilitation to improve the patient’s functional ability before encountering the stressor, such as TKA surgery, the individual would be more likely to withstand the stress better than one who is physically inactive.

1.15 Review of the Literature for TKA

Only 3 studies have examined effect of exercise programs prior to TKA on surgery outcomes. These studies used different treatment interventions, different outcome measures, and different sample sizes. They were all randomized controlled trial studies. We will review the specificities of each of them.

In the first study, Weidenhielm et al. (1993) used a population scheduled for unicompartamental knee replacement. The findings of this study cannot be extrapolated for total knee replacement as the degree of severity of knee OA and the surgical procedures are not the same. Patients had moderate OA of the knee. The sample size was 20 patients for the control group and 19 patients for the treatment group. The intervention program prior to surgery consisted of warm up, knee joint mobility exercises, and muscle strengthening of the lower limb. This 5 weeks physiotherapy program aimed at
preserving or improving range of motion and increasing strength. Before surgery the treatment group showed slight improvements in pain, perceived stability of the knee during walking, faster self selected and maximal walking speeds compared to the control group. However muscle strength, range of motion and oxygen cost were unchanged. After surgery, no differences were identified between groups. The conclusion of this study was that there were no benefits from preoperative physical exercise on the outcome of TKA surgery.

In the second study, D'Lima et al. (1996) studied the effect of 5 weeks of strength or aerobic training versus a control condition among 30 patients scheduled for TKA. Patients were evaluated with questionnaires only: Arthritis Impact Measurement Scale (AIMS), Quality of Well Being Instrument scale (QWBS), Hospital for Special Surgery Knee Rating (HSSKR). These self-reported instruments indicated no effect for either of the 2 modes of preoperative exercise training over the control condition. However, both groups, aerobic and strength training, tolerated their exercise program extremely well.

In the third study, Rodgers et al. (1998) examined the effect of 6 weeks of physical therapy among 10 subjects scheduled for TKA. The exercise program consisted of lower limb stretching, mobilizing and strengthening. Only the treatment group was testing at baseline 6 weeks prior surgery. All the subjects were tested just prior to surgery and post-surgery. The authors reported no difference in isokinetic strength decrease after surgery between groups. However, muscle area did not decrease significantly for the treatment group, but it did decrease for the control group after surgery. The conclusion of this study was that preoperative physical therapy preserved thigh muscle area after surgery but the
usefulness of a prehabilitation program in knee replacement surgery couldn't be demonstrated.

Similarly for Total hip replacement (THA), Whitney et al. (2002) reported that 31 THA patients, who engaged in strength training exercises preoperatively, were able to walk greater distances postoperatively than the THA controls. These investigators concluded that preoperative exercise might promote recovery and mobility after THA. These conclusions are also supported by the findings of Wijgman et al (1994) who reported that preoperative physical therapy improved the Harris Hip Scores among 31 THR patients at the time of discharge and 14 days after surgery.

Previously cited studies have been limited by a number of methodological flaws, which may have contributed to their findings, that preoperative exercise had inconclusive effects on postoperative recovery among TKA patients. The proposed study will address these flaws.

Pertinent choice of outcome measures must be done with regards to the concept of specificity. The major flaw in all the studies looking at effects of preoperative exercise on TKA outcomes is the choice of outcome measures. The three studies cited disregarded the concept of specificity of training. Thus, the outcome measures chosen were not related to the interventions used and would not be expected to change following intervention.

Weidenhielm et al. (1993) used an intervention program focused on knee mobilizing exercises and lower limb strengthening, and choose oxygen cost of walking and knee ROM, as outcome variables, which are not directly related to the exercise program. D'Lima et al. (1996) used two intervention programs based on basic
strengthening exercises and ergometry exercises. It is unlikely that the effects will translate to a change in function as measures by questionnaires such as the impact measurement scale. Specific functional exercise need to be targeted to achieve this aim. Rodgers et al. (1998) asserted that the measurement scales used in D’Lima et al. (1996) study are probably not sensitive enough to detect changes in strength and other parameters following physiotherapy as they have not been design for that purpose. Rodger et al. (1998) acknowledge also, that the choice of an isokinetic measurement tool may not detect changes in strength adequately after an isotonic training program.

Outcome measures assessing more global parameters such as physical function are more sensitive to changes after a physiotherapy program, which compromises other components in addition to muscle strengthening. Wang et al. (2002) used measures of gait parameters and general endurance as outcome variables for exercise program on total hip arthroplasty and showed that they were pertinent outcomes measures that can quantify changes in this population.

In the present study we propose also to use gait parameters and general endurance parameters that have been proven to be adequate measures for exercise program on the outcomes for TKA. In addition we propose to examine parameters related to the loading response phase that were shown to have a strong relationship with the progression of OA and functional impairment.

1.16 Specific Aims

The present overall study investigated the walking biomechanics of end stage knee OA and TKA patients. This work was divided into 3 sub-studies.
The first sub-study aim was to evaluate biomechanical and functional effects of a moderate duration walk among patients with severe knee OA scheduled for TKA surgery. The hypothesis tested was based on the presumption that a 6 minute walk represents a fatiguing activity for end stage knee OA patients. We hypothesized that end stage knee OA patients will exhibit an altered gait pattern after a 6 minute walk compared with the initial gait pattern at the beginning of the walk. Based on the fact that biomechanical factors are an important characteristic of knee OA, gait kinematics were analyzed with particular interest in phenomenon happening around heelstrike and loading response phase of gait, at the knee and ankle joints, initially and after a 6 minute walk. Pain, distance covered in 6 minute and body mass index were recorded to relate to function.

The 2nd sub-study aim was to determine whether an exercise program prior to surgery improves outcomes of patients seeking relief from knee OA. The hypothesis tested in the 2nd sub-study was based on the first sub-study hypothesis stating that gait adaptations appear after a 6 minute walk and on the hypothesis that exercise improves function in knee OA patients. We hypothesized that a 4 to 8 week exercise program will modify the gait pattern and measures of functional ability adopted by end stage knee OA patients at the beginning of the 6 minute walk as well as the compensatory mechanisms at the end of the walk compared to the initial walking trial. Gait variables used in the first sub-study were used in the second sub-study.

The aim of the 3rd sub-study was to assess the benefits of a prehabilitation program on post-TKA outcomes. The hypothesis tested in the 3rd sub-study was based on the first and second sub-studies stating that gait adaptations are present after a 6 minute walk and exercise improves knee OA patients’ outcomes. The hypothesis tested in the 3rd sub-study
was that at one month after TKA surgery, gait characteristics related to shock absorbency and functional status parameters (knee pain and distance covered in 6 minute) would be significantly improved for patients participating in the prehabilitation protocol compared with patients in the control group who had only usual care.
CHAPTER 2

BIOMECHANICAL EFFECTS OF PROLONGED GAIT AMONG END STAGE KNEE OA PATIENTS

2.1 Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of arthritis. Current estimates indicate that there are at least 27 million Americans affected by clinically symptomatic OA (Lawrence et al. 2008). The prevalence is expected to rise dramatically as the population ages during the next few decades. A majority of these adults have OA related pain and disability. Knee OA has a greater clinical impact since it affects a weight bearing joint. People with symptomatic knee OA have pain, stiffness and decreased range of motion which contribute to limited functional ability.

Functional ability measures include 6 minute walking test and assessment of walking speed. The distance covered in 6 minutes is perceived as an adequate measure of physical function and locomotor ability by patients and has been recommended for this purpose in research projects (Langenfeld et al. 1990, Harada et al. 1999). Several authors have demonstrated that free walking velocity is a consistent indicator of overall functional status. Among impairment in functional ability, gait speed has been widely studied among patients with knee OA, and is recognized to be a significant parameter when analyzing knee OA gait deficiency. However, alone, measures of functional ability often offer limited information on how the task is performed.
The initiation and progression of knee OA has been related to mechanical factors (Andriacchi et al. 2004). Andriacchi (2006) provides a framework for explaining the role of kinematics and loads on the progression of knee OA: “The initiation phase is caused by abnormal kinematics while loading drives the progression phase.” Radin (2004) explains that the characteristic of OA is joint failure driven by mechanical factors. Recent studies have suggested that the mechanical environment of the knee during ambulation has a profound influence on the severity (Mundermann et al. 2004), progression (Miyazaki et al. 2002), and treatment outcomes for knee OA. Schipplein and Andriacchi (1991) demonstrated that high loads occur at the knee at heelstrike with the knee near full extension. Gill and O’Connor (2003 a and b) established the gait determinants responsible for producing large impulses at heelstrike. The authors reported that usual gait variables mask subtle variations that are responsible for large variations of heelstrike impulse loading. They suggested the use of late swing ankle vertical height and pre-heelstrike ankle vertical velocity, as indicators of knee loading conditions. With these variables they were able to distinguish between people who “glide” into the ground at heelstrike avoiding high loads and those who “dig” into the ground at heelstrike and experience high loads. By ignoring these important gait variables one would be ignoring the mechanical origin of knee OA.

Astephen and Deluzio (2005) isolated the loading response phase as a very important predictor of knee OA characteristics and suggested that there are important gait pattern differences just after heelstrike in patients with severe knee OA. Loading response represents one of the most demanding task during the gait cycle, requiring a great deal of coordination, shock absorbency and limb stability (Perry 1992), all of which
can be greatly compromised by knee OA. The knee and ankle provide valuable shock absorption mechanisms to protect the knee joint from the deleterious forces of full floor contact with the heel. Impact knee angle is believed to have a considerable influence on the body’s ability to attenuate impact loading (Lafortune et al. 1996). Impact forces are mainly determined by ankle and knee angles at touchdown and impact velocity (Gerritsen et al. 1995). Hence, leg position at heelstrike is critical for understanding compensation used by end stage knee OA patient regarding loading of a painful knee.

Restrained ankle plantar flexion and knee flexion during the loading response phase of gait have the advantage to provide valuable shock absorption. During this time, the quadriceps functions eccentrically to restrain, but not completely prevent knee flexion. Loading response knee flexion disrupts knee stability. Strength of the quadriceps is critical to establish a secure limb. Quadriceps weakness has been documented as a characteristic of knee OA patients. The relationship between joint pain and decline in muscle strength are beginning to be recognized as more complex than simply disuse due to joint pain contributing to muscle atrophy and muscle weakness surrounding the joint (Sharma et al. 1999, Hurley et al. 1999).

Gait parameters of interest when studying end stage knee OA patients appear to be those related to heelstrike phenomenon and the loading response phase of gait. Leg position at heelstrike, knee flexion and ankle plantarflexion during loading response, ankle height in late swing and vertical velocity of the ankle just prior heelstrike are of particular interest. They give a picture of the lower leg shock absorption capacity.

An increased fatigue is reported by patients with OA. However, this fatigue has not been characterized and quantified. Syed et al. (2000) hypothesized that the changes in
gait pattern due to fatigue in obese knee OA patients will lead to an altered knee kinematic pattern at heelstrike and consequently decrease the shock absorption. This scenario will result in an increased rate of loading causing articular cartilage damage (Radin et al. 1991). Knee OA patients exhibit significant quadriceps weakness (Slemenda et al. 1997, Nordesjo et al. 1983, Fisher et al. 1991)). Fatigue due to muscle weakness leads to shorter periods of eccentric contraction and thus in decreased shock absorbency.

The hypothesis tested in the present study was based on the presumption that a 6 minute walk represents a fatiguing activity for end stage knee OA patients. We hypothesized that end stage knee OA patients will exhibit an altered gait pattern after 6 minute walk compared with initial gait pattern at the beginning of their walk. Gait kinematics were analyzed with particular interest to phenomenon happening around heelstrike and loading response phase of gait, at the knee and ankle joints, initially and after a 6 minute walk. Pain, distance covered in 6 minute and body mass index were recorded to relate to function. A better understanding of gait alterations due to prolonged walk might help identify mechanical factors related to the initiation and propagation of the disease and help design gait rehabilitation strategies and suggest meaningful outcome measures when evaluating rehabilitation protocol and TKA surgery effectiveness.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Population

The study population consisted of 26 community-residing subjects, aged 50 years or older, scheduled for unilateral TKA for treatment of end stage knee OA. There were 12 men and 14 women in the sample. The subjects ranged in age from 50 to 75 years and
had a mean age of 62.5 years (±7.4). Their mean height was 170.5 cm (±10.1) and their mean weight was 94.2 kg (±23.0). Subjects’ anthropometric data are presented in Table1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62.5 (± 7.4)</td>
<td>170.5 (±10.1)</td>
<td>94.2 (± 23.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Subjects’ anthropometric data.

Subjects were recruited on a volunteer basis from an orthopaedic practice. Subjects were excluded if they reported any of the pre-established exclusion criteria. Patient without significant neuromuscular or musculoskeletal pathology other than osteoarthritis, and who agreed to participate was asked to sign a consent form approved by the IRB.

2.2.2 Experimental Protocol

Each subject, who was eligible and agreed to participate, performed a preoperative baseline 6 minute walk, 4 to 8 weeks prior to their scheduled TKA. Motion data during each 6 minute walk were acquired with an 8-camera optoelectronic motion tracking system (Hawk system; Motion Analysis Corp.). This type of system digitally records image plane coordinates of reflective markers and determines their three-dimensional coordinates in a global coordinate system (i.e. the data capture volume) at each sampling interval. The spatial distribution of the cameras was made to obtain reliable motion data of the lower body and obtain at least one complete stride even for subjects with larger step lengths. The cameras were arranged such that the data capture volume corresponds with a portion of the path along which subjects were performing six-minute walks. Whereas the path for these walks was a "loop," each trial included several passes through the data capture volume. The experimental set up is presented in Figure 1.
Prior to performing each session's six-minute walk, each subject had reflective, spherical markers placed as described by the Helen Hayes marker set (Figure 2) on each side of the body at the second metatarsal heads, heels, lateral malleoli, lateral femoral epicondyles, and anterior superior iliac spines. Two additional markers were located, one at the sacrum and the other as an offset for reconstruction purposes, on the left shoulder blade. Wands with markers at the ends were located at the mid-tibias and mid-thighs. Two markers were added to the standard Helen Hayes marker set, one in each side of the outer hip to make reconstruction easier.

The markers placed on the bony landmarks were used to calculate anatomical coordinate systems for the pelvis, thigh, shank and foot. The motion analysis system was calibrated prior to each testing session. One trial in a standing T-pose position (static trial), was recorded in order to calculate the location of the joint centers. Data were recorded at 100Hz.

After a short set up and adaptation routine, subjects were requested to cover as much distance as they can in 6 minutes, in a 100 to 180 feet long walkway constituted by two semi circles linked by two straight lines (Figure 1). Thus, patients should not experience pain due to a sharp turn angle.

Figure 1. Experimental setup.
Patients were wearing their own preferred comfortable low rise shoes. When needed, a walking aid was used, except on the motion analysis acquisition part of the walkway. In this case, the walking aid was taken from the patient before entering the motion analysis capture volume, and given back when exiting the motion analysis capture volume. At each cycle, motion data were recorded along one of the straight lines of the walkway.

Subjects started walking and were instructed that they may stop during the test if they experience fatigue or pain and may resume walking once they feel they are capable. No verbal encouragement was offered to the subject during this evaluation.
2.2.3 Data Processing

The motion data recorded were tracked to obtain the 3D coordinates of the markers using a tracking analysis software package (EVaRT, Motion analysis Corp.). The 3D marker trajectories were smoothed using a low pass Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 6Hz. The 3D marker coordinates were then input in a post processing analysis software (OrthoTrack, Motion Analysis Corp.) to calculate the joint kinematics, the joint center position approximations, and the spatiotemporal parameters.

Variables have been chosen from the literature review that were considered to relate to functional deficits exhibited by individuals candidates for TKA or relevant biomechanical variables typically related to initiation and propagation of osteoarthritis.

Variables were classified into 2 categories. Parameters that were suspected to influence gait characteristics included body mass index (BMI), knee pain during walking (KP) and distance covered in 6 minutes (D6). Knee pain (KP) while performing a 6 minute walk test was measured in the knee undergoing surgical intervention using a 10 cm visual analog scale (VAS) completed by each subject immediately following completion of the 6 minute walk test. Subjects were requested to indicate on the VAS the severity of knee pain they experienced in the affected knee while performing the walking task. The VAS was anchored at the terminal points of the scale by the terms “No Pain” and “Extreme Pain”. At the end of the 6 minutes, the test administrator recorded the distance (D6) covered by the subject to the nearest 1 foot mark. The value for this functional task was determined by the total distance walked in feet. The weight and height measures of each subject was used to calculate the Body Mass Index (BMI), defined as $\text{BMI} = \frac{\text{Weight (in kg)}}{\text{Height}^2 \text{(in meters)}}$. This index provides a relative index
of obesity (Whitney and Rolfes, 1999). Normal weight is define as $18 < \text{BMI} < 25$, overweight as $25 < \text{BMI} < 30$, obese as $30 < \text{BMI} < 40$ and severely obese as $\text{BMI} > 40$.

Gait characteristics constituted the second category of parameters and included selected knee and ankle kinematic variables as well as selected spatiotemporal parameters related to heelstrike event and the loading response phase of gait. Gait speed (GS) was calculated as an average across 2 strides in order to eliminate the effect of differences that can exist between both limbs due to unilateral impairment. The offset marker (located on the shoulder blade) horizontal displacement over a two strides period was divided by the time elapsed to perform these two strides. Gait speed was expressed in meter per second (m/s). The maximum vertical displacement of the ankle marker occurring during swing phase of gait was termed ($Z_{ank}$) and was expressed in millimeters (mm). The vertical velocity of the ankle marker was obtained using the central difference method on 3 data points. The pre-heelstrike vertical velocity ($\dot{Z}_{ank}$) was obtained by reading the maximum prior to heelstrike on the ankle marker velocity curve over time and was expressed in meter per second (m/s). The posture at heelstrike was characterized by the impact ankle and knee angles, ($\text{IAA}$) and ($\text{IKA}$) respectively expressed in degree. Loading response maximum ankle dorsi-plantar flexion angle ($\text{LRAA}$) and loading response maximum knee flexion angle ($\text{LRKA}$) were also considered for analysis. Timing of the previous two events ($T_{LRAA}$) and ($T_{LRKA}$) was expressed in percentage of the gait cycle. Range of ankle motion from heelstrike to loading response maximum plantar flexion ($\text{ROMA}$) and range of knee motion from heelstrike to maximum loading response knee flexion ($\text{ROMK}$) were retained and expressed in degrees. All gait characteristic parameters were calculated.
at the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk for the affected limb (e.g. limb scheduled for surgery).

2.2.4 Data summarization

Data selected for the study are summarized in this section. Table 1 presents the functional ability parameters retained, Table 3 presents the gait characteristics and fatigue indicators retained, calculated at the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk and Figure 3-Figure 5 plots the gait characteristics variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Abbreviation</th>
<th>Parameter Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KP</td>
<td>Knee pain experienced during the 6 minute walk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>Distance covered in 6 minute walk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Functional ability parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Abbreviation</th>
<th>Parameter Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>Gait speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_{ank}</td>
<td>Swing ankle maximum height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_{ank}</td>
<td>Pre-heelstrike ankle vertical velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>Impact ankle dorsi-plantar flexion angle at heelstrike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRAA</td>
<td>Loading response maximum ankle plantar flexion angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{LRAA}</td>
<td>Timing of ankle peak during loading response phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROMA</td>
<td>Ankle range of motion during loading response phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKA</td>
<td>Impact knee angle at heelstrike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRKA</td>
<td>Loading response maximum knee flexion angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{LRKA}</td>
<td>Timing of knee peak during loading response phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROMK</td>
<td>Knee range of motion during loading response phase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Gait characteristic parameters.
Figure 3. Ankle vertical trajectory and vertical velocity profile, definition of selected parameters.

Figure 4. Knee joint angle and definition of selected knee parameters.

Figure 5. Ankle joint angle and definition of selected ankle parameters.
2.2.5 Statistical Analysis

Paired T-tests were used to assess the differences in gait characteristic parameters between the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk, for the involved limb.

2.3 Results

2.3.1 Functional Ability Parameters

Knee pain (KP), distance covered in 6 minute (D6) and body mass index (BMI) mean and standard deviation values are reported in Table 4. These parameters are used in this study to characterize the functional ability of our sample population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (Mean ± Standard Deviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knee pain (VA scale 0-10 cm)</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance covered in 6 min (ft)</td>
<td>1399 ± 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>32.3 ± 6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Knee pain, distance covered in 6 minute and body mass index values.

Patients experienced levels of pain during walking ranging from no pain (0) to severe pain (8.2). The average (4.3 ± 2.5) was similar to other studies (Smith et al. 2004) reporting pain while walking experienced pre-surgery by knee OA patients scheduled for TKA.

Out of 26 patients, 15 were considered obese (30 < BMI < 40), 10 were overweight (25 < BMI < 30) and 1 was in the normal range of weight (18 < BMI < 25). The average BMI (32.3 ± 6.5) was comparable to the average found in a population of 83 knee OA patients scheduled for TKA surgery (Kennedy et al. 2006).

Patient’s functional ability performance represented by the distance covered in 6 minute ranging from 1001 feet to 2117 feet. The average (1399 ft ± 267) was comparable to results found in a study of overweight and obese adults with knee OA (Brian et al. 2005) and in patients scheduled for TKA surgery preoperatively (Kennedy et al. 2006).
2.3.2 Gait Characteristic Parameters

A summary of mean, standard deviation, and P values for gait characteristic parameters at the beginning and end of the 6 minute walk are reported in Table 5. Each parameter is discussed in the following sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>At start</th>
<th>After 6 min</th>
<th>T-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gait speed (GS)</td>
<td>1.26 m/s ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.22 m/s ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swing ankle maximum height (Z_{ank})</td>
<td>223 mm ± 22</td>
<td>220 mm ± 21</td>
<td>0.005**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-heelstrike ankle vertical velocity (Z_{ank})</td>
<td>-0.211 m/s ±0.088</td>
<td>-0.185 m/s ± 0.063</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact ankle dorsi-plantar flexion angle (IAA)</td>
<td>-0.6 ° ± 5.1</td>
<td>-2.0 ° ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR maximum ankle plantar flexion (LRAA)</td>
<td>-9.4 ° ± 4.6</td>
<td>-10.4 ° ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of ankle peak during LR phase (T_{LRAA})</td>
<td>7.8 (%GC) ± 1.6</td>
<td>7.1 (%GC) ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle ROM during LR phase (ROMA)</td>
<td>8.9 ° ± 3.0</td>
<td>8.4 ° ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact knee angle (IKA)</td>
<td>-2.3 ° ± 5.5</td>
<td>-2.1 ° ± 6.0</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR maximum knee flexion (LRKA)</td>
<td>9.3 ° ± 7.3</td>
<td>9.5 ° ± 7.9</td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of knee peak during LR phase (T_{LRKA})</td>
<td>14.8(%GC) ± 2.9</td>
<td>15.0 (%GC) ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee ROM during LR phase (ROMK)</td>
<td>11.6 ° ± 5.3</td>
<td>11.6 ° ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**significance (P < 0.005), *significance (P < 0.05)

Table 5. Summary of gait characteristic parameters (mean, St dev, and P values) at the beginning and end of the 6 minute walk.

2.3.2.1 Gait Speed

Walking velocities were somewhat higher at the beginning (1.26 m/s ± 0.26) and at the end (1.22 m/s ± 0.24) of the 6 minute walk than values commonly reported (Kaufman et al. 2001, McGibbon and Krebs 2002, Smith et al.2004) for knee OA patients. This difference is probably due to the fact that in our study, patients were asked to cover as much distance as they can in 6 minute instead of to walk at their preferred selected walking speed. The patients were able to maintain walking velocity throughout the 6 minute walk test and gait speed was not significantly different (P = 0.2) from the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk.
2.3.2.2 Ankle Trajectory and Pre-Heelstrike Vertical Velocity

The ankle trajectory exhibited clear characteristics of what was termed "loader" population (Radin et al. 1991, Gill and O’Connor 2003). Only one subject could be classified as a "glider" at the beginning of the 6 minute walk but fell in the category of loaders at the end of the 6 minute walk. Patients lifted slightly their ankle at the end of swing phase in preparation for heelstrike (Figure 6a). This characteristic in late swing is shown more clearly in the ankle vertical velocity profile (Figure 6b). Typical ankle trajectory and ankle vertical velocity profile are shown in Figure 6. At the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk values of $Z_{ank}$ and $Z_{ank}$ were comparable to those found by Gill et O’Connor (2003) for their "loader" group. However, $Z_{ank}$ and $Z_{ank}$ were significantly reduced after 6 minute walk ($P = 0.017$ and $P = 0.005$ respectively) compared with initial walking values.

![Ankle Vertical Trajectory and Vertical Velocity Profile](image)

Figure 6. (a) Ankle vertical trajectory and (b) vertical velocity profile.

2.3.2.3 Knee Kinematics

Knee parameter values are summarized in Table 5. Typical knee joint angles and selected knee parameters are presented in Figure 4. Patients landed with a slightly hyper extended knee at heelstrike at the beginning ($-2.3° ± 5.5$) and end ($-2.1° ± 6.0$) of the 6 minute walk. These values are comparable to those found in knee joint pain patients
(Radin et al. 1991) and in knee osteoarthritis patients of various severity (Mundermann et al. 2005). Patients exhibited a reduced stance knee flexion at the beginning (9.3° ± 7.3) and end (9.5° ± 7.9) of the 6 minute walk, characteristic of knee OA patients (Radin et al. 1991, Schnitzer et al. 1993, Kaufman et al. 2001, Gok et al. 2002). Knee range of motion from heelstrike to peak stance knee flexion was also within the range of typical values found in OA patients. Timing of stance peak flexion was in the range of normal gait (Perry 1992).

None of the knee gait characteristic parameters were significantly different at the beginning and at the end of the 6 minute walk. Patients landed with a similar knee impact angle at heelstrike (P = 0.704), exhibited similar loading response maximum knee flexion (P = 0.622), similar timing for this event (P = 0.423) and similar range of knee motion from heelstrike to maximum loading response knee flexion (P = 0.629) at the beginning and end of the 6 minute walk.

![Figure 7. Knee joint angle and definition of selected knee parameters.](image)

2.3.2.4 Ankle Kinematics

Ankle parameters values are summarized in Table 5. Typical Ankle joint angles and selected ankle parameters are presented in Figure 8. Initial ankle contact angle at
heelstrike and maximum loading response plantar flexion were consistent with values reported for normal gait (Perry 1992). Individuals landed with the ankle at neutral or slightly plantar flexed and then underwent an arc of around 10 degrees plantar flexion. Timing for this event was consistent with the literature (Perry 1992) and happened at about 7% of the gait cycle.

However, all gait characteristic parameters related to the ankle except ankle range of motion from heelstrike to maximum loading response plantar flexion ($P = 0.14$) were significantly different from the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk. Ankle position at heelstrike and at maximum during loading response phase of gait was significantly more plantarflexed ($P = 0.003$ and $P = 0.01$ respectively) after the 6 minute walk. Maximum loading response ankle platar flexion was reached significantly earlier in the gait cycle ($P = 0.004$) after 6 minute walk.

![Ankle Joint Angle (deg)](image)

*Figure 8. Ankle joint angle and definition of selected ankle parameters.*

### 2.4 Discussion

Overweight is a strong risk factor for knee OA (Manninen et al. 1996, McAlindon et al. 1996, Kaufman et al. 2001). Several studies have emphasized that individuals with a high BMI are at higher risk of developing knee OA (Schouten et al. 1992, Hochberg et al.
1995, Felson et al. 1997). The distribution of our study population confirms these previous studies since 96% of patients were overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 25).

Patients maintained their walking velocity throughout the 6 minute walk however subtle but statistically significant changes at the ankle were present after 6 minute walk. First, patients landed with a more plantar flexed posture at heelstrike after 6 minute than when they started walking. This variation was only 1.5° on average but results are consistent between patients (P = 0.003). Increase in initial plantar flexion at heelstrike reduced the potential for heel rocker and has been associated with compensatory mechanism for a weak quadriceps (Perry 1992) commonly seen in knee OA population (Lewek et al. 2004, Hassan et al. 2001, O’Reilly et al. 1998, Slemenda et al. 1997, Fisher and Pendergast 1997). The foot still contacts the floor by the heel but in a flatter posture. Gerritsen et al. (1995) have demonstrated that body configuration at initial contact has a considerable influence on the impact peak force and the loading rate. A higher loading rate at the tissue level may lead to the initiation (Ewers et al. 2002) or progression (Kerin et al. 2003) of the surface fissures in cartilage, similar to that seen in OA cartilage, which may ultimately lead to a faster rate of progression of OA. In their study on heel-toe running (Gerritsen et al. (1995)), foot angle had the greatest effect on both loading rate and impact forces (on average 85 N per degree of change). Both, peak force and loading rate increase when the initial foot angle was smaller, corresponding to a flatter landing. In our study, knee contact angle was unchanged from the beginning and end of the 6 minute walk while the ankle was more plantarflexed at heelstrike after 6 minutes. Based on Gerritsen et al. (1995) findings, it is reasonable to expect that our patients experienced higher loading rate and higher peak force due to this subtle change in ankle plantar
flexion at landing after 6 minutes. If left uncorrected, these changes associated with excessive repetitive loading will cause further joint damage (Miyazaki et al. 2002).

The second subtle but significant difference found in our study was in ankle vertical velocity at heelstrike. After 6 minute, ankle pre-heelstrike velocity was reduced even if the value was still in the range typically exhibited by “loaders” (Radin et al. 1991). Gerritsen et al. (1995) also demonstrated that touchdown velocity of the heel was an excellent predictor of both peak force and loading rate. In their study on heel-toe running, an increase of touchdown velocity of 0.1 m/s produced on average 212 N increase in peak force. Touchdown velocity of the heel is directly correlated to ankle vertical velocity at heelstrike since the ankle foot system corresponds to a rigid system at a given time. Hence, based on Gerritsen et al. (1995) findings, a reduced ankle vertical velocity at heelstrike after 6 minute walk will have the effect of reducing peak force experienced at heelstrike. Gill and O’Connor (2003) found comparable results in a population free of osteoarthritis symptoms. Increased vertical velocity of the ankle marker just prior to heelstrike was associated with higher loading rate and higher peak force at heelstrike. In our case, a lower ankle vertical velocity of the ankle marker after 6 minutes will result in reduced peak force and reduced loading rate at heelstrike.

If we combine the previous 2 findings in our study, results suggest that a weak quadricep tend to increase loading at the knee by letting the ankle land more plantar flexed at heelstrike but patients manage by some compensatory mechanisms to counteract these deleterious actions by reducing their impact velocity, in an attempt to reduce the loads across the knee. However without quantified data on loading rate and peak loading force we are missing important information on the magnitude of these compensations.
Patients did not lift their leg as high in preparation for heelstrike after 6 minute walk when compared to initial values when they started walking. O'Connor et al. (2003) explained in a simulation study that with a constant walking velocity, not lifting the ankle as high will cause the foot to overshoot the target ground if no compensatory mechanism were applied. In their study, loaders applied corrective moment at the end of swing phase to bring the foot into contact with the floor at the target position which leads to an increased approach velocity of the foot and then results in higher peak force and loading rate. Our subjects exhibited similar corrective compensation at the end of swing phase but reduced vertical approached velocity while the leg is not lifted as high in preparation for heelstrike. These differences might come from the fact that their experimental study was performed on asymptomatic younger subjects. End stage knee OA patients have symptoms of pain and have been described as adopting gait strategies attempting to reduce pain and loads across the knee (Kaufman et al. 2001). These characteristics add an additional level of gait compensation that needs to be accounted for while interpreting the results. In another study Radin et al. (1991) found no difference between intermittent knee pain group with no symptoms of osteoarthritis and no pain group for the maximal swing ankle height but significant difference in vertical velocity just prior heelstrike associated with higher loading rate and peak force. These results suggest that maximum ankle height reach during swing phase cannot explain alone loading rate and peak loading force at heelstrike, but may explain gait adaptation when combined with the previously cited parameters.

Among other findings in our study, the maximum loading response peak ankle plantar flexion was significantly higher and happened, earlier in the gait cycle after 6
minute walk compared with values for patients starting the 6 minute walk. Premature ankle plantar flexion is a compensatory mechanism used by people with weak quadriceps and/or people with knee pain to block tibial advancement, so initial contact by the heel does not stimulate the normal rocker action and loading response knee flexion is avoided (Perry et al. 1992). Similarly, patients voluntarily increase plantar flexion as a mean of protecting a weak quadriceps from loading response knee flexion. Our results suggest that, after 6 minute walk, patients increase their mechanisms aimed at reducing pain in the knee and protect weak quadriceps.

Knee motion was characterized by a reduced loading response knee flexion and a hyper extended knee posture at heelstrike. Loading response knee flexion is avoided by the same mechanisms used for quadriceps weakness or pain. The purpose is to escape the shear force that accompanies joint motion (Perry et al. 1992). A second objective is to reduce the compressive force from a contracting quadriceps. Patients use this substitution to preserve a good walking speed and endurance (Perry et al. 1992). This strategy appears to be efficient as they were able to maintain their gait speed throughout the 6 minute walk. Knee flexion that follows loading the limb provides valuable shock absorption and reduced loading response knee flexion also means reduced shock absorption capability. Andriacchi and Mundermann (2006) found a strong relationship between anterior-posterior cartilage thickness ratio and knee hyperextension at heelstrike suggesting that knee hyperextension may be critical. Knee hyperextension at heelstrike is a common symptom present with quadriceps weakness and a compensatory mechanism to stabilize the knee. Knee OA patient frequently have an increased knee laxity (Fitzgerald et al. 2004, Sharma et al. 1999) which can cause increased instability. Locking the knee at the
The critical moment of heelstrike when high loads are transmitted is a mechanism that aims at increasing safety and stability. Although a reduced knee flexion at heelstrike will diminish shock absorption capability of the lower limb, it is not the primary requirement of end stage knee OA patients.

The knee exhibited typical knee OA pattern, but no significant differences were found in any of the knee parameters between the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk. This could have happened for two reasons. First, our study population was constituted of 96% overweight or obese people. Marker placements are more difficult on large individuals and reconstitution of joint centers are less accurate because markers are further away from anatomical landmarks. Also, wands placed on the shank experienced oscillations due to movement of the large thigh mass. This could have induced errors and inaccuracy. Hence, small differences in knee motion might have been overshadowed by these errors. Another explanation could be, as suggested by Lewek et al. (2006), that because of the presence of instability in knee OA patients the central nervous system is unable to deviate from a few similar joint positions. Recent work has demonstrated that knee instability is a significant problem in patients with knee OA (Fitzgerald et al. 2004), as instability can result as an inability to control excessive joint laxity. Heiderscheit (2000) stated that a reduction in knee motion variability could lead to an inability to adequately adjust to perturbations (i.e. fatigue) and attenuate impact shocks. Lewek et al. (2006) found also a reduced stride to stride variability of knee motion in knee OA patients compared with normal subjects. They hypothesized that subjects may have learned to use only knee motions that minimized pain, effectively eliminating movement options. Similarly Hamill et al. (1999) suggested that pain could contribute to the overuse
of similar, less painful knee joint motion. Heiderscheit (2000) demonstrated that runners exposed to external (high grass) or internal (fatigue) changes land with a more flexed knee at heelstrike to be able to adjust more easily to changes, and increase their shock absorption capability in preparation to uncertain changes. In our study, patients would be unable to adapt to fatigue by adopting a more flexed knee position at heelstrike because this will create a greater instability and increase the risk of fall due to the difficulty to control the knee due to laxity problem. An inability to change knee motion from the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk may be interpreted as an inability to adapt to fatigue. As the knee seem unable to adapt (possibly because of pain, or joint laxity and requirement of stability during loading response phase of gait), adaptation may be present at the adjacent joint (the ankle). As the knee and the ankle are the two most efficient mechanisms to absorb shock at heelstrike, if patients cannot adapt at the knee they adapt at the ankle as reflected by our ankle parameters. They seem to choose ankle strategies to adapt to fatigue after 6 minute walk and try to relieve the load at a painful knee rather than adopt knee strategies in an attempt to maintain stability and performance.

2.5 Conclusion

The findings of this study indicate that compensatory mechanisms are present after a 6 minute walk in end stage knee OA patients. Even if individuals were able to maintain gait speed throughout the 6 minutes, subtle changes in vertical velocity of the ankle marker just prior to heelstrike, and maximum height reached by the ankle during swing are indicators of loading pattern changes which in turn impacts OA evolution. Results suggest that a weak quadriceps tend to increase loading at the knee by letting the ankle land more plantar flexed at heelstrike but patients manage by some compensatory
mechanisms to counteract these deleterious actions by reducing their impact velocity, in an attempt to reduce the loads across the knee. After 6 minute walk, patients increase their mechanisms aimed at reducing pain in the knee and protect weak quadriceps. However without quantified data on loading rate and peak loading force we are missing important information on the magnitude of these compensations. No variability in knee parameters from the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk may suggest an inability of the knee to adapt possibly because of laxity and pain. Patients are using ankle strategies to maintain speed rather than knee strategies in an attempt to stabilize a painful and unstable knee at heelstrike and reduce the load across the knee.

The results of this study may need to be interpreted with caution, at this time, since the sample size is somewhat small. Future investigation in this area hopes to establish means for utilizing these biomechanical variables as fatigue indicators, which could help assess end stage knee OA treatment outcomes (exercise program efficacy and TKA outcomes).
CHAPTER 3

EXERCISE EFFECTS ON PROLONGED
GAIT AMONG END STAGE KNEE OA PATIENTS

3.1 Introduction

Information related to functional ability, mechanical factors, loading response phase of gait, ankle and knee parameters and fatigue, are presented in chapter 2 introduction.

For managing pain, exercise is among the most common conservative management approaches. It is important to recognize the involvement of muscle in OA because muscle can be exercised to improve strength, endurance, and skill, even in very old people. Therefore, rehabilitation programs that address muscle dysfunction can prevent or alleviate some of the problems associated with knee OA. A substantial number of clinical trials have indicated that various exercise interventions can enhance measures of functional capacity among patients with knee OA. Most exercise interventions such as walking programs (Messier et al. 1997, Minor et al. 1989, Peterson et al. 1993), muscle strengthening programs (Fisher et al. 1997, Peterson et al. 1993, Fisher et al. 1993), aquatic programs (Messier et al. 1997), and general therapy (Fisher et al. 1993), have demonstrated global improvements in pain reduction, walking speed, distance, muscle strength, and gait kinematics. Also, incorporated into rehabilitation programs, exercise aimed at improving reaction time, balance and coordination, can improve functional joint stability and proprioception (Hurley et al. 1998), restore shock absorption capacity, and

Moreover, exercise programs aimed at improving quadriceps strength and overall physical performance can potentially reduce compensations due to the onset of mild fatigue appearing after a 6 minute walk (chapter 2).

The hypothesis tested in the present study was based on the presumption that a 6 minute walk represents a fatiguing activity for end stage knee OA patients. We hypothesized that a 4 to 8 week exercise program will modify the gait pattern and measures of functional ability adopted by end stage knee OA patients at the beginning of the 6 minute walk as well as the compensatory mechanisms at the end of the walk compared to the initial walking trial. Gait kinematics were analyzed with particular interest to phenomenon happening around heelstrike and loading response phase of gait, at the knee and ankle joints, initially and after a 6 minute walk. Functional ability measures included, pain and distance covered in 6 minute.

3.2 Methods

The methods utilized in the first sub-study (chapter 2) were employed in the present sub-study. Only additional components specific to this study and not already reported in the previous study are presented in this section. Refer to method section in chapter 2 for experimental protocol, population, study design, and variables.

3.2.1 Population

The study population described in chapter 2 was used in the present study. Subjects were also excluded if they reported any health problem that prohibits moderate exercise or if they indicated, on their background questionnaire, involvement in an exercise program more than one time per week during the previous month. From the 26 subjects
initially recruited in the first study, 5 subjects dropped out. The remaining 20 subjects were randomized into an Exercise group and a Control group.

3.2.2 Design

A trial was conducted in which subjects who were eligible and agreed to participate underwent baseline testing at (T0) (described in chapter 2) and then were randomly assigned to either an Exercise group or a usual care group (control). Subjects in the exercise group were directed to participate in three sessions of exercise per week, one supervised and 2 unsupervised, for 4 to 8 weeks prior to their TKA surgery. Each subject’s knee pain during walking, distance covered in 6 minutes and selected gait kinematic and kinetic characteristics were collected 4 to 8 weeks preoperatively (baseline testing T0) and 1 week prior to their scheduled TKA (T1). The testing times have been chosen for the following reason: 4 to 8 weeks of exercise has been demonstrated to result in significant improvements in functional capacity, muscle strength and performance of functional tasks among older adults with OA of the knee (Deyle et al. 2000, Fransen et al. 2001).

3.2.2.1 Exercise Treatment Group

Subjects assigned to the exercise treatment group were given a prehabilitation training booklet which explained all 5 components of the exercise training program including warm up, resistance exercises, flexibility exercises, step training and a cool down.

The exercise training booklet was based upon previous guidelines for older adult exercise programs (Topp et al. 1996). Each of the 5 components in the exercise training has, in previous investigations, been demonstrated to improve one or more of the

Each session of prehabilitation consisted of 5 minutes of warm up exercises, 20 minutes of resistance training exercises, 15 minutes of flexibility exercises, 15 minutes of step training and 5 minutes of cool down exercises. Previous studies with older functionally limited adults and subjects with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee indicated that this initial level of training is within the training capacity of the anticipated sample of adults with OA of the knee (Topp et al. 2002, Topp et al. 2001, Topp et al. 1993, Topp et al. 1996, Woolley et al. 1999, Topp et al. 2000, King et al. 2001). In the unlikely event that a subject could not achieve this initial level of training the program intensity and progression were adjusted to be consistent with their initial level of strength, flexibility and ability to complete the exercises.

One of the critical components of any exercise training program is the principle of specificity. This principle of training stipulates that the more closely the training mimics the evaluation method the greater the improvement in the evaluation method as a result of the training (Fleck et al. 1997). None of the components of the exercise program were the same as the functional tasks that was evaluated. The exercise training program involved exercises designed to improve strength, flexibility and coordination which are critical components of the functional task being evaluated as outcome variables. Subjects in the exercise treatment group were included in the analysis regardless of their compliance with the exercise program which is consistent with the intent-to-treat analysis plan.
3.2.2.2 Control Group

Subjects assigned to the control group were not given any intervention other than their usual pre-operative care over the duration of the study. Control subjects were told not to change their usual level of activity prior to their TKA surgery.

3.3 Statistical Analysis

Univariate analysis of variance for T1 values with co variation on T0 values and group effect are used to evaluate the effects of exercise program on pain, functional ability and selected gait parameters. Results are presented for tests of between subjects effects (group effect).

3.4 Results

3.4.1 Functional Ability Parameters and Gait Parameters at the Beginning of the Walk

Results of between subjects tests of significance (group effect) are presented in Table 6 for D6, KP and gait parameters at the beginning of the walk. Three parameters showed significant differences from T0 (baseline testing) to T1 (testing prior to surgery) between the groups when accounted for initial values at T0. These parameters are distance covered in 6 minute (D6, \( P = 0.005 \)), gait speed (GS_start, \( P = 0.003 \)) and vertical velocity of the ankle marker just prior heel strike at the beginning of the walk (\( \dot{Z}_{\text{ank, start}} \), \( P = 0.020 \)). Two parameters showed a trend, however significant differences could not be established. Those parameters are knee pain experienced during walking (KP, \( P = 0.071 \)) and time (normalized to gait cycle) from heel strike to maximum knee angle during loading response, measured at the beginning of the walk (TLRKA_start, \( P = 0.059 \)). All the other parameters do not show significant differences.
Parameters | P
--- | ---
Distance covered in 6 minute (D6) | 0.005**
Knee pain (KP) | 0.071
Gait Speed at start (GS_start) | 0.003**
Swing Ankle maximum height @start (Zank_start) | 0.901
Pre-heelstrike Ankle vertical velocity @start (Zank_start) | 0.020*
Impact Ankle dorsi-plantar flexion angle @start (IAA_start) | 0.187
LR maximum Ankle plantarflexion @start(LRAA_start) | 0.268
Timing of ankle peak during LR phase @start (T_{LRAA_start}) | 0.272
Ankle ROM during LR phase @start (ROMA_start) | 0.580
Impact Knee angle @start (IKA_start) | 0.960
LR maximum Knee flexion @start (LRKA_start) | 0.283
Timing of knee peak during LR phase @start(T_{LRKA_start}) | 0.059
Knee ROM during LR phase @start (ROMK_start) | 0.220

Table 6. Tests of between subject effects significance for D6, KP, and gait parameters at the beginning of the walk.

Detail results for parameters showing significant group effects or trends are presented in the following sections.

- **D6**

  Distance covered in 6 minute exhibited a significant group effect between T0 and T1 (P = 0.005). Patients in the exercise group were able to walk further distance on average after the exercise program than at baseline testing (Exercise group: D6 @ T0 = 1498 ft ± 89; D6 @ T1 = 1564 ft ± 73), while patients in the control group did not cover as much distance as they were able to at baseline testing (Control group: D6 @ T0 = 1394 ft ± 89; D6 @ T1 = 1303 ft ± 73), (Table 7, Figure 9).
Table 7. D6 mean, std error, lower and upper bound values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean D6 (ft)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>1394.450</td>
<td>89.090</td>
<td>1207.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1303.000</td>
<td>73.531</td>
<td>1148.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>1498.400</td>
<td>89.090</td>
<td>1311.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1564.750</td>
<td>73.531</td>
<td>1410.266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Mean variation of D6 between T0 and T1 for control and exercise group.

- KP

Patients in the exercise group experienced similar levels of pain in average between T0 and T1 (KP @ T0 = 3.425 ± 0.77, KP @ T1 = 3.685 ± 0.732), while patients in the control group seemed to experience more pain on average at T1 than they did at baseline testing T0 (KP @ T0 = 4.6 ± 0.77, KP @ T1 = 6.02 ± 0.732) (Table 8, Figure 10). However this difference was not significant (P = 0.071).
Mean Knee Pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean KP [0-10]</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Lower Bound</th>
<th>Upper Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>4.600</td>
<td>.770</td>
<td>2.981</td>
<td>6.219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>6.020</td>
<td>.732</td>
<td>4.482</td>
<td>7.558</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>3.425</td>
<td>.770</td>
<td>1.806</td>
<td>5.044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>3.685</td>
<td>.732</td>
<td>2.147</td>
<td>5.223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. KP mean, std error, lower and upper bound values.
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Figure 10. Knee pain mean variations between T0 and T1 for control and exercise group.

- **GS_start**

Gait speed at the beginning of the walk exhibited a significant group effect between T0 and T1 (P = 0.003). The exercise group increased their initial gait speed on average from T0 to T1 (Exercise group: GS_start @ T0 = 1.374 m/s ± 0.084, GS_start @ T1 = 1.496 m/s ± 0.066), while the control group decreased their initial gait speed on average from T0 to T1 (Control group: GS_start @ T0 = 1.249 m/s ± 0.084, GS_start @ T1 = 1.210 m/s ± 0.066) (table Table 9, Figure 11. GS_start mean variations between T0 and T1 for control and exercise group.).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean GS start (m/s)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>1.249</td>
<td>.084</td>
<td>1.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>1.374</td>
<td>.084</td>
<td>1.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1.496</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>1.357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. GS_start mean, std error, lower and upper bound values.

Mean Starting Gait Speed

![Graph showing mean starting gait speed](image)

Figure 11. GS_start mean variations between T0 and T1 for control and exercise group.

- Žank_start

Vertical velocity of the ankle marker just prior heelstrike exhibited a significant group effect between T0 and T1 (P = 0.02). Patients in the exercise group impacted the floor just prior heel strike at the beginning of the walk with a higher vertical velocity of the ankle marker after the exercise program compared to baseline values (Žank_start @ T0 = -0.225 m/s ± 0.028, Žank_start @ T1 = -0.252 m/s ± 0.027), while patients in the control group reduced their vertical velocity prior heelstrike at T1 compared with their T0 values (Žank_start @ T0 = -0.228 m/s ± 0.028, Žank_start @ T1 = 0.2176 m/s ± 0.027) (Table 1, Figure 12).
Table 10. Žank_start mean, std error lower and upper bound values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean Žank start (m/s)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>-0.228</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>-0.176</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>-0.225</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>-0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>-0.252</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.327</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12. Žank mean variations between T0 and T1 for control and exercise group.

- **TLRKA_start**

After the exercise program, patients in the exercise group increased their time (normalized to gait cycle) from HS to maximum LR knee flexion angle at the beginning of the walk compared to T0 values (TLRKA_start@T0 = 15.6 ± 0.98 %GC, TLRKA_start @ T1 = 16.1 ± 0.70 %GC), while patients in the control group decreased their time from T0 to T1 (TLRKA_start @ T0 = 14.6 ± 0.98 %GC, TLRKA_start @ T1 = 13.9 ± 0.70 %GC) (Table 11, Figure 13). However this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.059).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean TLRKA (%GC)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>15.600</td>
<td>.985</td>
<td>13.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>16.100</td>
<td>.698</td>
<td>14.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>14.600</td>
<td>.985</td>
<td>12.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>13.900</td>
<td>.698</td>
<td>12.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. TLRKA_start mean, std error, lower and upper bound values.
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Figure 13. TLRKA_start mean variation between T0 and T1 for control and exercise group.

3.4.2 Parameter Changes between Start and End of the Walk

Results for parameter changes between the beginning and the end of the walk are presented in Table 12. Two of the parameters changes between the beginning and the end of the walk exhibited significant differences between T0 and T1 between groups when accounted for initial values at baseline testing. Those parameters are loading response maximal ankle angle (LRAA_change, $P = 0.042$) and impact ankle angle (IKA_change, $P = 0.011$). One parameter showed a trend, time (normalized to gait cycle) from heel strike to maximum loading response knee angle (TLRKA_change, $P = 0.059$), however
significant differences could not be established. All the other parameter changes did not show any significant differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Changes (start-end)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gait Speed change (GS_change)</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swing Ankle maximum height change (Z_sck_change)</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-heelstrike Ankle vertical velocity change (Z_sck_change)</td>
<td>0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Ankle dorsi-plantar flexion angle change (IAA_change)</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR maximum Ankle plantarflexion change(LRAA_change)</td>
<td>0.042*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of ankle peak during LR phase change (T_LRAA_change)</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle ROM during LR phase change (ROMA_change)</td>
<td>0.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Knee angle change (IKA_change)</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR maximum Knee flexion change (LRKA_change)</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of knee peak during LR phase change (T_LRKA_change)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee ROM during LR phase change (ROMK_change)</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12. Tests of between subjects effects significance for fatigue indicators (parameters changes between the beginning and the end of the walk).

More detail results for parameter changes showing significant group effects or a trend are presented in the following sections.

- **LRAA_change**

  Loading response maximum ankle angle change between the beginning and the end of the walk exhibited a significant group effect from T0 to T1 (P = 0.042). At baseline testing both groups exhibited a similar increase in loading response plantar flexion between the beginning and the end of the walk (@T0: LRAA_change control = 0.9° ± 0.6, LRAA_change exercise = 1° ± 0.6), while at T1, the exercise and the control group exhibited a different pattern (Table 13, Figure 14). At T1, Patients in the exercise group exhibited a significantly greater increase in plantar flexion during the loading response phase of gait, between start and end of walk, than the control group who had only a minor decrease in plantar flexion (LRAA_change @ T1 control = 0.26° ± 0.5, LRAA_change @ T1 exercise = 1.46° ± 0.5) (Table 14).
Table 13. LRAA_change mean, std error, lower and upper bound values (positive values of LRAA_change indicates a decrease in plantar flexion).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean LRAA change (°)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>.903</td>
<td>.602</td>
<td>-.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>.259</td>
<td>.496</td>
<td>-.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>.602</td>
<td>-.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1.457</td>
<td>.496</td>
<td>.415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14. LRAA mean, std error, lower and upper bound values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Start/End</th>
<th>Mean LRAA (°)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>-7.945</td>
<td>1.312</td>
<td>-10.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>-8.848</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>-11.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>-9.580</td>
<td>1.252</td>
<td>-12.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>-9.839</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>-12.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>-10.387</td>
<td>1.312</td>
<td>-13.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>-11.392</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>-14.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>-9.311</td>
<td>1.252</td>
<td>-11.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>-10.768</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>-13.555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean Loading Response Ankle Angle at Beginning and End of Walk for T0 and T1

Figure 14. LRAA mean variations at T0 and T1 between start and end of walk.
**IKA change**

Between the beginning and the end of the walk, changes in impact knee angle exhibited a significant group effect between T0 and T1 \( (P = 0.011) \). Patients in the exercise group had on average, a more extended knee at heelstrike after the exercise program when compared to the control group. At T0 (IKA_change control = \(-0.24^\circ \pm 0.78\), IKA_change exercise = \(-0.78^\circ \pm 0.78\)), while at T1 (IKA_change control = \(-1.6^\circ \pm 0.91\), IKA_change exercise = \(1.67^\circ \pm 0.91\)) (Table 15). At T0, both group landed with a slightly less extended knee after 6 minute walk than when they started walking. At T1 after the exercise program, the control group kept the same pattern as at T0, while the exercise group changed pattern and landed with a more extended knee after the 6 minute walk (Table 16, Figure 15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Mean IKA change (°)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>-1.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>-1.597</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>-3.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>-0.773</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>-2.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15. IKA_change mean, std error, lower and upper bounds values (Negative values of IKA_change correspond to a decrease in knee hyperextension between the beginning and the end of the walk and positive values correspond to an increase in knee flexion between the beginning and the end the walk).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Start/End</th>
<th>Mean IKA (°)</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>-1.206</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>-3.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>-0.971</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>-3.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>-0.926</td>
<td>1.362</td>
<td>-3.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>-0.671</td>
<td>1.788</td>
<td>-3.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>-1.800</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>-4.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>-1.027</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>-3.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>start</td>
<td>-1.380</td>
<td>1.362</td>
<td>-4.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>end</td>
<td>-3.050</td>
<td>1.788</td>
<td>-6.807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16. IKA mean, std error, lower and upper bounds values.
3.5 Discussion

This study investigated the effects of a 4 to 8 week exercise program for end stage knee OA patients on knee pain, functional ability, gait parameters and fatigue gait indicators after a 6 minute walk. The exercise program improved functional ability reported as the distance covered in 6 minutes, gait speed, and to some extent pain level. Evidence is accruing that demonstrates the benefits of exercise as reducing pain and improving function in knee OA patients (Van Baar et al. 1999, Fransen et al. 2002, Hurley 2003, Sisto et al. 2006, Lange et al. 2008). Results of this study support these findings.

However studies investigating the effectiveness of exercise programs concentrate on outcome variables that relieve the symptoms of knee OA such as pain and difficulty performing daily activity. Nonetheless, these studies overlook a very important point: by what means is this improvement in physical ability achieved? By increasing their functional ability score (distance covered in 6 minute), patients intrinsically increased
their walking speed. Increasing gait speed has been shown to increase the biomechanical forces at the knee joint (Collins and Whittle 1989, Voloshin 2000, Schnitzer et al. 2003). Chen (1998), suggested that the easiest way to reduce loading rate is to slow down. Chen et al. (2003) reinforced the idea that walking slowly with lower heelstrike transient might be an effective preventive measure against knee OA. Based on the latest suggestions that faster walking speeds increase loading conditions at the knee, it is reasonable to question whether or not improved physical ability (walking speed), would be a beneficial outcome for end stage knee OA patients. This question remains unanswered without investigations of loading conditions at the knee.

A study by Gill and O’Connor (2003) has shown that subtle changes in the kinematics of the swing leg just prior to heelstrike has large implications on the impact velocity at heelstrike and thus, on the loading conditions at the knee. These differences in gait pattern which are not perceptible by the naked eye seem to have a substantial impact on the disease progression. Similarly in the present study, differences in ankle vertical velocity just prior to heelstrike are present between the exercise group and the control group after the exercise program. All patients could be classified as “loaders” as defined by Radin et al. (1991), based on their high vertical velocity of the ankle marker just prior to heelstrike. However, the exercise group exhibited higher ankle approach velocity after the exercise program (T1), than at baseline testing (T0), while the control group exhibited a reduced ankle approach velocity compared with their baseline testing values. The difference in pain level experienced by both groups might partly explain these differences. Patients were asked to stop their pain medications a week before surgery which corresponded to T1 testing. The exercise program might have had some beneficial
effect on pain management by strengthening the muscles used at controlling the knee stability. The exercise group did not experience a higher level of pain at T1 than at T0 while the control group tended to have an increased level of pain at T1. The difference in pain level at T1 may have contributed to an adaptation in gait strategy adopted by the control group. Pain acts as a protective mechanism to alleviate knee loads and reduce the deleterious effect of impulse loading at heelstrike. “Gliding” instead of “digging” into the ground at heelstrike will reduce the knee load and the pain level. Patients in the control group seem to have adopted a strategy characterized by a reduced walking velocity and a reduced ankle approach velocity in an attempt to alleviate the load and the pain at the knee due to heelstrike impact. Pain was probably not as much of a concern for the exercise group who did not change their “digger” gait pattern. Furthermore, it appears that without the pain protective mechanism and with the strengthening benefit of the exercise program, patients in the exercise group were able to walk faster and impacted the ground with a higher vertical velocity at heelstrike, putting their knees at higher risk for joint degeneration and with a faster rate of disease progression. In addition, research has shown that existing knee OA condition in one knee was an important risk factor for developing knee OA in the other knee. Thus, it seems reasonable to expect that with the gait pattern exhibited by patients in the exercise group they will have an increased risk of developing OA in the non affected leg.

There are additional evidences in this present study’s results in favor of the current hypothesis that patients in the exercise group might be putting their knees at increased risk. A trend in the results showed that the control group took a longer time to reach the maximum of knee flexion during loading response (TLRKA) at testing prior to surgery.
(T1) than at baseline testing (T0), while the exercise group reduced this timing after the exercise period. This difference in TLRKA is possibly due to the difference in pain level experienced by the two groups and a level of confidence factor. The concept of functional instability has recently been identified as a problem in a significant proportion of individuals with knee OA (Fitzgerald et al. 2004). This idea was reinforced by the result in a study by Maly (2009) who stated that “pain indicated to participants that they should not trust their knees during challenging activities”. The author introduced the concept of “careful mobility” and showed qualitative and quantitative evidences that people with knee OA demonstrate a careful gait that goes beyond just reduced walking speed. The control group in the present study experienced a higher level of pain than the exercise group and exhibited a slower walking speed. Spending more time to reach the maximum of knee flexion during loading response might be another indication of a careful gait. Patients in the control group adopted a strategy to slow down the rate of knee loading by taking more time to reach the peak of knee flexion during loading response. Their priority was to reduce the pain that rapid loading rate produces. The loading response phase of gait requires a great deal of coordination and is a very challenging phase for stability. Knee OA patients have been described as “microklutzs” and they may be trying to unconsciously compensate for this micro-incoordination. By taking more time during the loading response phase of gait, they managed to reduce the speed at which they contacted the ground at heelstrike (Zank), and thus might have experienced reduced impact loading at the knee. The concept of careful mobility has been linked to the level of pain. While the control group demonstrated a careful gait, the exercise group might have felt more confident as they experienced less pain. This can be reflected by the shorter time
exhibited by patients in the exercise group after the exercise training to reach the maximum knee flexion during loading response. Patients attempted to load their knees faster in order to reach their goal of increased distance covered in 6 minute.

Variations of gait variables between the beginning and the end of the 6 minute walk (fatigue indicators) explained strategies adopted by end stage knee OA patients. Some fatigue indicators highlighted important adaptation mechanisms that were overlooked by a single walking trial. Additional indications that the exercise group exacerbated their condition were present after the 6 minute walk. Impact knee angle pattern of change (IKA_change) was different for the two groups. After 6 minute walk, patients in the exercise group landed with a considerably more extended knee angle at T1. Faster walking speeds require more stability at heelstrike. greater knee extension at heelstrike was thus adopted by patients in the exercise group in order to achieve the stability required to maintain faster walking speed. However a fully extended knee at heelstrike reduces shock absorption mechanisms. Gerritsen et al. (1995) found that a greater leg angle corresponding to a more extended knee at touchdown resulted in a higher impact peak force, and knee flexion was found to play a major role in shock absorption by the knee extensor muscles. The low level of pain experienced by the exercise group in the present study might have prevented the protective pain feedback mechanism that will allow preserving good shock absorption at heelstrike. Their priority was to maintain fast walking speed and cover as much distance as they could and not walk in a manner that will reduce pain and conserve shock absorption mechanisms. Furthermore, knee hyperextension is a characteristic of overstriding (Gutekunst et al. 2010). Overstriding is an abnormal gait pattern defined by a stride length greater than the preferred stride
length. When tired, an individual is more likely to develop an abnormal gait. Also muscular fatigue leads to decreased shock absorption, and may lead to injuries. After 6 minute walk, patients in the exercise group displayed the altered gait pattern of overstriding. Overstriding produces heelstrike with the knee extended and thus decreases shock absorption (Mercer et al. 2003). Decrease shock absorption leads to microtrauma. Thus it seems reasonable to believe that people in the exercise group while increasing their walking speed are forced to adopt a strategy aimed at locking the knee at heelstrike to preserve stability and walking speed. This mechanism has among its consequences increased loading conditions at the knee, and thus it exposes patients to faster rate of joint degeneration after the exercise program. In the other hand, at T1 the control group increased their knee flexion at heelstrike after the 6 minute walk. Their pain level was higher and they likely adopted a strategy aimed at reducing the load across the knee in an attempt to lower the pain experienced at heelstrike. This requirement predominated and patients in the control group adopted a strategy that could conciliate pain relief and stability which is slower walking speed.

Another gait parameter provided some additional information on the strategies used to cope with fatigue by patients in both groups after the 6 minute walk. After the exercise program (T1), patients in the exercise group reached a considerably more plantarflexed ankle position during loading response (LRAA_change) after the 6 minute walk than when they started walking. Patients voluntarily increased plantarflexion as a mean of protecting a weak quadriceps from loading response knee flexion. As they get fatigued, patients increased their mechanisms aimed at reducing pain in the knee and protect weak quadriceps. This more plantarflexed position during loading response adopted by the
exercise group could be described as a person trying to overstride. They attempted to land with their leg further out in front of their body. Overstriding has been described as a gait alteration that can cause lower leg injuries. Patients in the exercise group are also landing with a higher vertical velocity of the ankle marker prior to heelstrike which means that they are overshooting the target ground position. Such gait patterns are expected to produce higher loads at the knee and could exacerbate knee OA condition.

Results in Messier et al. (2008) study suggested that greater knee strength is associated with larger knee load. Thus, they raised the question of whether greater knee strength improves shock absorption or exacerbate knee joint loads and lead to injury. Similarly, in the present study, physical ability was improved and by that mean possibly knee strength. An accumulation of indices leaded us to believe that patients in the exercise group adopted a gait pattern that could increase loads at the knee. It seems thus reasonable to ask the question of whether improving physical ability will improve knee OA condition or will cause further knee degeneration and initiate the onset of the disease on the opposite leg. Further investigations with measures of the loading conditions at the knee will be necessary to answer this question. Patient gait retraining is emerging as a strategy to delay knee OA surgery, provide relief of pain and also delay the onset of OA in the adjacent joints. The results of the present study suggested that exercise programs should include a component of gait retraining in order to improve physical function along with changing gait pattern towards reduced loading conditions at the knee. Without this important component, exercise might be exacerbating phenomenon that caused OA initially since improvement in physical function and pain level by exercise regimen might be masking further joint degeneration. Radin et al. (2004) has gotten patients to stop
being "Microklutz" (as defined in Radin et al. 1991). They obtained preliminary results with the use of biofeedback to train patients to reduce the rate of loading at the knee. However gait retraining is not used widely and yet needs further investigation.

3.6 Conclusion

Results showed that a 4 to 8 weeks exercise program was effective at improving physical function in end stage knee OA patients and at reducing to some extent knee pain. However, gait pattern adopted by patients in the exercise group suggested that the improvement in physical function and pain level by exercise regimen might be masking further joint degeneration. Further investigations on knee loading conditions are needed to verify this suspicion. Investigation of gait pattern after a 6 minute walk suggested that investigation of fatigue gait adaptation could reveal important information that a single gait trial was unable to detect.

In order to reduce the load across the knee along with improving functional ability and reducing pain, exercise programs might need a gait retraining component with a feedback mechanism. There is an important amount of literature supporting that exercise improves functional ability and reduces knee pain. However consequences on loading conditions at the knee have been overlooked. There is a need for changing the way exercise training is done. Gait training with feedback should be a critical part of any exercise program for knee OA patients. Without this, there is evidence that patients suffering from severe knee OA are unable to deviate from a gait pattern exacerbating joint damage.
CHAPTER 4
PREHABILITATION EFFECTS ON PRONGUED
GAIT AMONG TKA PATIENTS

4.1 Introduction

Conservative treatments for OA of the knee include weight loss, exercise, and medication. These approaches, however, are means for managing OA. They do not provide cures for the disease, nor do they address the physiological cause of the symptoms. Commonly, these treatments provide only limited relief of the symptoms and surgical interventions are recommended (Brady et al. 2000).

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) addresses the actual problem and replaces the nonfunctional joint with a prosthetic device. This procedure has been proven to be efficient in relieving pain and improving functional ability and quality of life (Fortin et al. 1999, Harris et al. 1990, Liang et al. 1990, Rissanen et al. 1995, Tierney et al. 1994, Van Essen et al. 1998, Williams et al. 1997). However, TKA commonly involves prolonged rehabilitation (Dieppe et al. 1999, Callahan et al. 1994).

Physical function was identified by a consensus of research experts in OA as one of the most important dimensions to be measured when evaluating intervention effectiveness (Bellamy et al. 1997). Locomotor ability, which is defined as the aptitude of a person to walk, is one of the most important physical functions. Locomotor ability can be quantified in many different ways. Gait parameters and fatigability while performing walking, are some examples of pertinent walking functional ability quantifications.
According to patients and rehabilitation specialists, locomotor ability is of the highest relevance when looking at outcomes of TKA surgery for patients with knee OA since most patients reported difficulties walking. Moreover, this locomotor activity reflects changes in physical function (Barr et al. 1994).

The rate of recovery from a TKA is dependent upon a number of factors. Previous investigators have described preoperative pain and functional capacity including the ability to complete functional tasks to be consistent predictors of recovery from a TKA (Escalante et al. 1997, Sharma et al. 1996, Anouchi et al. 1996). The measures of knee pain and the ability to complete functional tasks, have been shown to be meaningful, and can be improved by various exercise interventions among patients with knee OA (Topp et al. 2002, Ettinger et al. 1997), even after as little as 4 to 8 weeks of moderate intensity training (Deyle et al. 2000, Ries et al. 1996). It is consequently speculated that a prehabilitation program, aimed at enhancing pre-surgical functional ability levels, will improve post-surgical outcomes.

A limited number of studies have examined the effects of preoperative exercise interventions upon surgical outcomes. Whitney et al. (2002) found that prehabilitation improves distance covered in 6 minute. Studies by D’Lima et al. (1996) and Rodgers et al. (1998), however, concluded that 6 weeks of preoperative physical therapy had no effect on measures of functional capacity following joint replacement surgery. Thus, the question of whether or not exercise, when directed towards improving functional capacity prior to orthopedic surgery, can enhance surgical recovery, remains unanswered.

An increased fatigue is reported by patients with OA. However, this fatigue has not been characterized and quantified. Syed et al. (2000) hypothesized that the changes in
gait pattern due to fatigue in obese knee OA patients will lead to an altered knee kinematic pattern at heelstrike and consequently decrease the shock absorption. This scenario will result in an increased rate of loading causing articular cartilage damage (Radin et al. 1991). Knee OA patients exhibit significant quadriceps weakness (Slemenda et al. 1997, Fisher et al. 1991). Fatigue due to muscle weakness leads to shorter periods of eccentric contraction and thus in decreased shock absorbency. Thus, it is reasonable to anticipate that fatigue will be reduced in knee OA patients who participate in an exercise program focusing on muscle strengthening.

Results from chapter 2 demonstrated that exercise improved functional ability (distance covered in 6 minute) and to some extent pain levels in end stage knee OA patients. The exercise program did affect gait characteristics of end stage knee OA patients prior to their elective TKA surgery.

The hypothesis tested in the present study was that at one month after TKA surgery, gait characteristics related to shock absorbency and functional status parameters (knee pain and distance covered in 6 minute) would be significantly improved for patients participating in the prehabilitation protocol compared with patients in the control group who had only usual care.

4.2 Methods

The testing protocol, population, experimental design, and outcome variables described in chapters 2 and 3 were implemented for the present study. Additional components that are specific to this study and not already reported in the previous two studies are presented in this section.
4.2.1 Design

The exercise program is termed prehabilitation, as it occurs prior to TKA surgery, whereas rehabilitation occurs after a clinical. Subjects who were eligible and agreed to participate underwent baseline testing 4 to 8 weeks prior to surgery (T0) (described in chapter II) and then were randomly assigned to either an Exercise group or a usual care group (control). Subjects underwent a subsequent testing one week prior to their TKA surgery (T1) (described in chapter III). Following the TKA surgery all subjects in the treatment and control groups participated in a standardized rehabilitation program for 4 weeks. Subjects underwent a third testing one month after their TKA surgery (T2). Each subject’s knee pain during walking, distance covered in 6 minutes, and selected gait kinematic and kinetic characteristics were collected at T0, T1 and T2.

The testing times were chosen for the following reasons. Exercise for 4 to 8 weeks has been demonstrated to result in significant improvements in functional capacity, muscle strength, and performance of functional tasks among older adults with OA of the knee (Deyle et al. 2000, Fransen et al. 2001). At one month postoperatively, TKA patients are commonly able to complete functional tasks such as walking and thus should be able to complete the 6 minute walk test.

4.2.2 Statistical Analysis

Univariate analysis of variance for T2 values with co variation on T0 values were used to evaluate the effects of exercise program on post-TKA outcome variables (pain, functional ability, and selected gait parameters). Results are presented for tests of between subjects effects (group effect).
4.3 Results

4.3.1 Functional Ability Parameters and Gait Parameters at the Beginning of the Walk

Results of between subjects tests of significance (group effect) are presented in Table 17 for D6, KP and gait parameters at the beginning of the walk. No parameters showed significant differences from T0 (baseline to T2 (1 month post surgery) between the groups when accounting for initial values at T0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance covered in 6 minute (D6)</td>
<td>0.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee pain (KP)</td>
<td>0.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait Speed at start (GS_start)</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swing Ankle maximum height @start (Zank_start)</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-heelstrike Ankle vertical velocity @start (Zank_start)</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Ankle dorsi-plantar flexion angle @start (IAA_start)</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR maximum Ankle plantarflexion @start (LRAA_start)</td>
<td>0.864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of ankle peak during LR phase @start (T_LRAA_start)</td>
<td>0.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle ROM during LR phase @start (ROMA_start)</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Knee angle @start (IKA_start)</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR maximum Knee flexion @start (LRKA_start)</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of knee peak during LR phase @start (T_LRKA_start)</td>
<td>0.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee ROM during LR phase @start (ROMK_start)</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 17. Tests of between subject effects significance for D6, KP, and gait parameters at the beginning of the walk.*

4.3.2 Parameter Changes between Start and End of the Walk

Results for parameter changes between the beginning and end of the walk and group effects are presented in Table 18. None of the parameters exhibited significant differences between the groups.
### Table 18. Tests of between subjects effects significance for fatigue indicators (parameters changes between the beginning and the end of the walk).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Changes (start-end)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gait Speed change (GS_change)</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swing Ankle maximum height change (Zank_change)</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-heelstrike Ankle vertical velocity change (Zank_change)</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Ankle dorsi-plantar flexion angle change (IAA_change)</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR maximum Ankle plantarflexion change(LRAA_change)</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of ankle peak during LR phase change (TlRAA_change)</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle ROM during LR phase change (ROMA_change)</td>
<td>0.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Knee angle change (IKA_change)</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR maximum Knee flexion change (LRKA_change)</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of knee peak during LR phase change (TlRKA_change)</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee ROM during LR phase change (ROMK_change)</td>
<td>0.476</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.4 Discussion

This study investigated the effects of a 4 to 8 week prehabilitation program on post-TKA outcomes among patients seeking relief from knee OA. No group effect could be demonstrated on either the functional ability parameters or the gait parameters.

The exercise program was associated with increased functional ability and improved pain level to some extent prior to surgery (chapter 3). Other significant effects that were reported in chapter 3 suggested that exercise modified certain gait parameters prior to surgery among patients scheduled for TKA.

The present study results suggested that the pre-surgery exercise program effects did not remain after TKA surgery. This could have happened for several reasons. After surgery, both groups were following the same rehabilitation exercise program. Rehabilitation post surgery is known to significantly improve recovery rate and function (Minns Lowe 2007). One month post TKA surgery (T2), patients in the control group might have caught up with the exercise group. In order to improve, the level of exercise needs to be higher than the capabilities of the patients. The rehabilitation was not higher
in intensity than the prehabilitation. Patients in the exercise group might have reached a plateau with the prehabilitation exercises prior to surgery, and they were not able to improve post surgery with the rehabilitation program due to the plateauing effect. The control group might have started to catch up on their performance level one month after surgery. The exercise group might have needed to elevate their level of rehab in order to get full benefit of their prehab program.

Another possibility for explaining the lack of significance in the results post TKA was that the prehab program did not include any gait retraining component. Patients in the exercise group did not have an improved gait pattern prior to surgery. Increased strength without any particular attention to walking characteristics will not change their gait pattern. Adding a gait retraining component to the prehab protocol may benefit the rate of recovery and help patients to keep the prehab benefits even post TKA surgery.

4.5 Conclusion

The present study was not able to demonstrate any benefits of an exercise program prior to TKA surgery to improve functional ability, pain, and gait characteristics post TKA. Further investigations, that add gait retraining components to the prehab protocol, as well as a stronger rehab program may maintain the benefits of exercise prior to surgery.
CHAPTER 5  
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The present overall study investigated the walking biomechanics of end stage knee OA and TKA patients. Pre-operatively, end stage knee OA patients have the symptoms of pain, limited functional ability, and exhibit deviations from a normal gait pattern. Assessment of changes in gait biomechanics from the beginning and end of a 6 minute walk, raises the question of whether a single walking trial, typically performed in clinical studies, is enough to understand compensation mechanisms used by knee OA patients in daily living.

Patients maintained their walking velocity throughout the 6 minute walk. However, subtle but statistically significant differences at the ankle were present after a 6 minute walk. Results suggest that end stage knee OA patients may be experiencing higher loading conditions at the knee after a short walk duration (6 min). These adaptations appearing after a 6 minute walk could potentially have a serious impact on the disease progression, as well as, the onset of OA in the opposite leg and adjacent joints. In order to adapt to fatigue after a 6 minute walk, end stage knee OA patients appear to adopt ankle strategies alleviating the load from a painful knee, rather than knee strategies, causing greater instability and reduced performances. Stability appears to be a critical element in end stage knee OA walking requirements. End stage knee OA patients are expected to walk a shorter distance and a single walking trial for gait analysis may be insufficient to assess gait compensations due to fatigue in daily activities. A 6 minute walk for end stage
knee OA patients appears to be a sufficient amount of time to reveal adaptations due to fatigue.

In light of the initial results on end stage knee OA walking biomechanics, the present study included investigation of the effects of a 4 to 6 weeks exercise program on TKA outcomes. Exercise therapy was effective at improving function and reducing pain to a certain extent for end stage knee OA patients pre-surgery. However, assessment of the walking biomechanics raised the question of whether improving physical ability improved knee OA condition or caused further knee joint degeneration and possibly the onset of OA in the opposite leg. Control patients with higher levels of pain and lower physical ability performances than exercisers, exhibited a more careful gait pattern with lower speed and gait parameter reflecting potentially lower impact at heelstrike which may be more beneficial for knee OA conditions. Whereas, the exercisers’ walking characteristics showed evidence of an overstriding gait pattern with potentially reduced shock absorption mechanisms that can lead to lower leg injuries. Gait patterns adopted by patients in the exercise group suggested that improvements in physical function and pain level by routine exercise may mask further joint degeneration. Further investigations on knee loading conditions are needed to verify this suspicion.

Investigation of walking biomechanics one month after surgery suggested that the effects of the pre surgery exercise program did not remain after TKA surgery. Even if patients in the exercise group had increased physical ability performances and experienced less pain just prior to surgery compared to the control group, one month after surgery there was no difference between the groups. The lack of a significant effect of the exercise program on gait changes post surgery may indicate that the exercise regimen
prior to surgery requires an additional component such as gait retraining. Increased strength without any particular attention to walking characteristics will not change gait pattern. Adding a gait retraining component to the prehab protocol may improve the rate of recovery and help patients to maintain the prehab benefits even post TKA surgery. There is evidence that patients suffering from severe knee OA are unable to deviate from a gait pattern, exacerbating joint damage.

**Suggestions for Future Study**

To confirm the results of the present study, a larger sample size should be used. Additionally, a comparison with healthy age matched control subjects could help to identify what parameter changes between the beginning and end of the walk are specific to knee OA condition. Recording the pain level at the beginning of the walk as well as at the end of the walk, will permit correlation analysis to identify if the pain or fatigue is responsible for gait changes appearing during the 6 minute walk. Addition of force plate data will allow for calculation of the peak force at the knee as well as the ground reaction loading rate. Correlation of those parameters with the vertical height of the ankle marker during late swing and pre-heelstrike vertical velocity will give very insightful information on the loading condition of the knee at heelstrike and during the loading response phase of gait.

Future study should also include a rehabilitation program post surgery adjusted to each patient personal level in order to allow the benefits of the prehabilitation program to be carried out post surgery. An additional component of gait retraining with biofeedback in the prehab as well as in the rehab will ensure that gait characteristics are returning to a normal pattern as the patient’s physical ability is improving.
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