ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Characteristics and Outcomes of Adults Hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Louisville, Kentucky

Julio Ramirez^{1,2*}; Jose Bordon²; Rodrigo Cavallazzi¹; Stephen Furmanek²; Amr Aboelnasr²; Mahder Tella²; William Mattingly²; Ashley Wilde³; Daisy Sangroula¹; Demetra Antimisias¹; Donghoon Chung¹; Guillermo Cabral; Rafael Fernandez-Botran¹; Jiapeng Huang¹; Martin Gnoni²; Ozan Akca^{4, 5}; Paul Schulz⁶; Phillip Bressoud¹; Priya Krishnan⁷; Sally Suliman⁸; Sathya S. Krishnasamy⁸; Stephen Hanson²; William P. McKinney¹; Bryan Moffett²; Leslie Wolf²; Mark Burns²; Ruth Carrico²; Forest Arnold²; and the CERID COVID-19 Study Group

¹University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA; ²Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Louisville, KY, USA; ³Norton Healthcare, Louisville, KY, USA; ⁴Vice Chair, Professor, Department of Anesthesiology & Perioperative Medicine, Louisville, KY, USA; ⁵Director, Stroke ICU, CCSCR, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA; ⁶Infectious Diseases, Norton Healthcare, Louisville, KY, USA; ⁷Robley Rex VA Medical Center, Louisville, KY, USA; ⁸School of Medicine, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA

*j.ramirez@Louisville.edu

Recommended Citation: Ramirez J, Bordon J, Cavallazzi R, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of adults hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 community-acquired pneumonia in Louisville, Kentucky. *Univ Louisville J Respir Infect* 2020; 4(1):Article 72. doi: 10.18297/jri/vol4/iss1/72.

Abstract

Background: Patients infected with the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 are frequently hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). The objective of this study was to define the clinical characteristics and outcomes of hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP in the city of Louisville, KY.

Methods: This was a retrospective observational study of 700 patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection hospitalized at eight of the adult hospitals in the city of Louisville. Patients with 1) a positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2, 2) fever, cough, or shortness of breath, and 3) an infiltrate on chest imaging were defined as having SARS-CoV-2 CAP. Demographic characteristics of the study population were compared with census data from the city of Louisville. For each patient, more than 500 variables were abstracted from electronic medical records and recorded using Research Electronic Data Capture software. Data were analyzed by descriptive and inferential statistics using R version 3.4.0.

Results: SARS-CoV-2 CAP was identified in 632 (90%) patients hospitalized with COVID-19. The median age of the patients was 63 years, 53% were females, 31% were black, and 12% were Hispanic. The most frequent comorbidities were hypertension (56%), obesity (50%), and diabetes (33%). Mortality was 17% for the total population and 34% for the 249 patients admitted to ICU. For each category of race, ethnicity, or comorbidity, the proportion of hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP was significantly different compared to the Louisville population ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusion: Patients of black race, Hispanic ethnicity, and patients with a history of hypertension, obesity, or diabetes are overrepresented among hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP compared to the Louisville population. Hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP are likely to require ICU care, with death occurring in approximately one of six hospitalizations.

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a multisystem disease produced by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. COVID-19 manifests usually as an influenza-like syndrome due to multiplication of SARS-CoV-2, primarily in the epithelial cells of the upper respiratory tract. More severe disease occurs when the virus invades and multiplies in the lower respiratory tract particularly in alveolar epithelial type II cells.[1] At this stage the patient will present with a clinical syndrome of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). SARS-CoV-2 CAP is a common clinical presentation in hospi-

talized patients with COVID-19. If the infection advances, viral replication accelerates, and the epithelial-endothelial barrier integrity is compromised. At this next stage the patient may develop acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) due to pulmonary edema filling the alveolar spaces with hyaline membrane formation.[1]

A significant amount of literature has been published describing the characteristics and outcomes of patients hospitalized with COVID-19, but clinical characteristics of patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP, as well as their clinical outcomes, has been described in a limited num-

ber of studies. Before the development of the COVID-19 pandemic, we completed a population-based study of all hospitalized patients with CAP in the city of Louisville, KY. We prospectively enrolled all consecutive patients with CAP hospitalized to any of the adult hospitals in the city of Louisville during a period of 2 years.[2] Taking advantage of this well-established city-wide clinical research network, we evaluated the first 700 hospitalized patients with a diagnosis of COVID-19 with the objective to define the clinical characteristics and outcomes of hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP in the city of Louisville.

Methods

Study design, subjects, and setting

This was a retrospective observational study of the first 700 patients with a diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 hospitalized to any of the eight adult hospitals in the city of Louisville, KY. The study started on March 5 2020, when the first hospitalized patient with COVID-19 was identified in Louisville and ended on July 1 2020. Patients' follow-up ceased at hospital discharge.

Data collection

Data were abstracted from hospital electronic medical records. Collected data included patient age, sex, race, ethnicity, body mass index, residence, medical and social history, physical examination findings, laboratory findings, chest radiographs and chest CT findings, medications, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and need for mechanical ventilation. Race was categorized as black, white, and other. Ethnic group was categorized as Hispanic or non-Hispanic. Race and ethnicity were treated independently for analysis.

Study definitions

SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A patient hospitalized with a positive SARS-CoV-2 reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) from a nasopharyngeal swab or other respiratory sample.

SARS-CoV-2 CAP: A patient hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 infection with fever, cough, or shortness of breath, and with evidence of pulmonary infiltrates at chest radiograph or chest computer tomography scan (CT-scan).

SARS-CoV-2 CAP with ARDS: A patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP with evidence of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) define as bilateral opacities on a chest radiograph or computed tomographic (CT) scan not fully explained by cardiac failure or fluid overload with $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \leq 300$ mmHg, on ventilator settings that include positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) ≥ 5 cm H₂O.[3]

Clinical presentation

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines for COVID-19 classified adults with SARS-CoV-2 infection in several severity of illness categories.[4] Using the NIH model, we classified the clinical presentation of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in 9 stages. The following definitions were used:

Stage 1: SARS-CoV-2 Asymptomatic Infection. A patient with positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR and no COVID-19 related symptoms.

Stage 2: SARS-CoV-2 Mild Infection. A patient with positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR and COVID-19 related symptoms but without evidence of pulmonary infiltrates at chest imaging (e.g. no evidence of CAP).

Stage 3: SARS-CoV-2 CAP with no supplemental oxygen. A patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP not receiving supplemental oxygen.

Stage 4: SARS-CoV-2 CAP and low-flow supplemental oxygen. A patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP receiving supplemental oxygen delivered by a low-flow system (e.g. nasal cannula).

Stage 5: SARS-CoV-2 CAP and high-flow supplemental oxygen or non-invasive ventilation. A patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP receiving supplemental oxygen delivered by a high-flow system (e.g. high-flow nasal cannula) or non-invasive ventilation.

Stage 6: SARS-CoV-2 CAP and mechanical ventilation. A patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP intubated receiving invasive mechanical ventilation.

Stage 7: SARS-CoV-2 CAP and Mild ARDS. A patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP on mechanical ventilation and development of ARDS with a $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 > 200$ mmHg, but ≤ 300 mmHg.

Stage 8: SARS-CoV-2 CAP and Moderate ARDS. A patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP on mechanical ventilation and development of ARDS with a $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 > 100$ mmHg, but ≤ 200 mmHg.

Stage 9: SARS-CoV-2 CAP and Severe ARDS. A patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP on mechanical ventilation and development of ARDS with a $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \leq 100$ mmHg.

Severity of disease and mortality prediction

Severity of SARS-CoV-2 CAP at time of hospitalization and mortality prediction was evaluated using two well established CAP scores, the pneumonia severity index (PSI) score and the CURB-65 score.[5,6].

SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels

Relative SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels at time of hospitalization were estimated using the number of cycles in the RT-PCR assay needed to amplify viral RNA to reach a detectable level (cycle threshold). Levels of relative SARS-CoV-2 RNA were classified as low, intermediate and high.

Geospatial epidemiology

The geomasked location of the home address of each patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP who was enrolled in the study was obtained through the US Census Bureau website.[7] A kernel density heatmap was created using each patient's address at the time of SARS-CoV-2 CAP hospitalization. Areas of elevated relative risk of contracting SARS-CoV-2 CAP based on underlying population density were identified using Kulldorff's spatial scan statistic.

Cardiac and cardiovascular events

The following cardiac or cardiovascular events that were present at the time of admission or developed during hospitalization were collected: development of heart failure, cardiac arrest, cardiogenic shock, acute myocardial infarction, pulmonary edema, new arrhythmia, acute worsening of a chronic arrhythmia, cerebrovascular accident, pulmonary embolism, myocarditis, and deep vein thrombosis.

Co-infections

A patient with an isolated micro-organism from blood, respiratory, or urine samples was defined as having a co-infection. Community-acquired co-infections were defined as identification of micro-organisms during the first 3 days of hospital admission. Hospital-acquired co-infections were defined as micro-organisms identified more than 3 days after hospital admission.

Clinical outcomes

Patients who were discharged alive were considered as clinical successes and patients who died during hospitalization were considered as clinical failures. Binary outcomes evaluated included need for invasive mechanical ventilation, admission to ICU, septic shock, ARDS, and death. Time-to-event outcomes evaluated included time to hospital discharge, time to initiation of mechanical ventilation, time to ICU admission, time to septic shock, time to ARDS, and time to death.

Statistical analysis

Continuous patient characteristics were summarized as medians and interquartile ranges and categorical patient characteristics were summarized as frequencies and percentages. Comparisons to Louisville demo-

graphics were performed using one-sample t-tests of proportions, with null values equal to the Louisville proportion, taken from the 2017 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) Smart Data database and the 2019 American Community Survey 5-year estimates.[8,9] Analyses were performed with R version 3.4.0. *P*-values were 2-sided, with statistical significance set at $P < 0.05$.

Study coordinating center

The Center of Excellence for Research in Infectious Diseases (CERID) located at the University of Louisville Division of Infectious Diseases, directed all operations of the study (CERIDLouisville.org). Members of CERID developed the study data collection form and the study database, collected data from hospital electronic medical records and recorded data into the study database. Data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at the University of Louisville. REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based software platform designed to support data capture for research studies, providing an intuitive interface for validated data capture.[10] The CERID data quality team performed quality control of collected data. After all data queries were resolved, the study database was locked for data analysis.

Human subjects protection

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of Louisville Human Subjects Research Protection Program Office (IRB number 20.0257) and by the research offices at each participating hospital. The study was exempt from informed consent.

Results

Study population and clinical presentation

From a total of 700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19, a total of 632 patients (90%) were hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP. A bar chart describing the patients' clinical presentation at time of hospitalization is depicted in **Figure 1**. The most common clinical presentation was SARS-CoV-2 CAP in patients not receiving supplemental oxygen (stage 3). The primary clinical diagnoses at time of hospitalization for 68 patients in stages 1 and 2 (patients without SARS-CoV-2 CAP) included labor, trauma, and urinary tract infections. Demographics and comorbidities for hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP are depicted in **Table 1**.

Comparisons of SARS-CoV-2 CAP patients' demographics to the Louisville population are shown in **Figure 2**. Comparisons of SARS-CoV-2 CAP patients'

Figure 1. Study bar chart indicating the number of COVID-19 hospitalized patients in each one of the nine stages of clinical presentation.

Figure 2. Comparison of patient demographics to those of the city of Louisville. All data are reported as percentage of the population in the demographic.

Table 1. Patient demographics and medical history.

Characteristic	<i>n</i> (%) [*]
Total patients	632
Age (median [IQR])	63 [48, 74]
Sex: Male	294 (47)
Race	
Black	198 (31)
White	343 (54)
Other	90 (14)
Hispanic	74 (12)
Smoking history	
Never	404 (64)
Current	58 (9)
Former	170 (27)
Hypertension	352 (56)
Obesity	315 (50)
Diabetes	214 (33)
Renal disease	119 (19)
Heart failure	99 (16)
Coronary artery disease	95 (15)
COPD	96 (15)
Cerebrovascular disease	86 (14)
Asthma	67 (11)
Obstructive sleep apnea	60 (9)
Neoplastic disease (active or within the last year)	45 (7)
Other immunocompromising condition or therapies	29 (5)
Liver disease (non-cirrhotic)	21 (3)
Cirrhosis	8 (1)

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder; IQR, interquartile range.

^{*} Except where otherwise specified.

Figure 3. Comparison of patient comorbidities to those of the city of Louisville. All data are reported as percentage of the population with the comorbidity.

Figure 4. Histogram depicting the number of patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP per age group.

Figure 5. Comparison of percentage distribution of SARS-CoV-2 CAP patient age to the Louisville population (left) patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP, (right) the adult population of Louisville.

Table 2. Signs and symptoms for patient hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP.

Sign/symptom	<i>n</i> (%)
Total patients	632
Fever or subjective fever	436 (69)
Cough	425 (67)
Dyspnea (shortness of breath)	407 (64)
Fatigue	218 (34)
Muscle ache (myalgia)	160 (25)
Nausea	139 (22)
Diarrhea	114 (18)
Sputum production	95 (15)
Vomiting	79 (12)
Chest pain/tightness	78 (12)
Confusion	71 (11)
Headache	71 (11)
Sore throat	52 (8)
Nasal congestion	52 (8)
Dizziness	42 (7)
Rhinorrhea (runny nose)	21 (3)
Anosmia	15 (2)
Agusia	15 (2)
Hemoptysis (blood in sputum)	7 (1)
Constipation	4 (1)

Table 3. Vital signs and laboratory values. The third column describes the number of patients for whom data were available.

Variable	Median [IQR]	<i>n</i>
Heart rate (beats/min)	96.5 [82.0, 111.0]	632
Respiratory rate (breaths/min)	22.0 [18.0, 27.0]	632
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	121.0 [106.0, 140.2]	632
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	67.5 [57.0, 80.0]	632
Temperature (°C)	37.7 [37.0, 38.7]	632
SpO ₂ /FiO ₂	428.6 [290.6, 452.4]	631
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂	187.2 [99.2, 297.7]	238
WBC×1,000 per uL	6.3 [4.6, 8.8]	627
Neutrophils×1,000 per uL	4.6 [3.0, 7.4]	610
Lymphocytes×1,000 per uL	1.0 [0.7, 1.4]	615
Neutrophil/lymphocyte	4.5 [2.7, 7.8]	609
Hematocrit (%)	38.8 [35.2, 42.4]	627
Glucose (mg/dL)	123.0 [104.0, 166.0]	629
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)	17.0 [11.0, 28.2]	626
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.0 [0.7, 1.4]	627
Ferritin (ng/dL)	398.0 [176.0, 891.0]	435
Procalcitonin (ug/L)	0.1 [0.1, 0.4]	504
Lactate (mmol/L)	1.3 [1.1, 1.8]	465
D-Dimer (ng/mL)	736.0 [388.5, 1517.0]	447
IL-6 (pg/mL)	58.2 [29.6, 131.3]	198
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	79.3 [38.0, 170.1]	436

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; WBC, white blood cell count.

comorbidities to the Louisville population are shown in **Figure 3**. All comparisons between patient demographics and comorbidities to the Louisville population were statistically significant ($P<0.001$). The age distribution showing the number of adults hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP is depicted in **Figure 4**. A comparison of the percentage distribution of age groups between adults hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP and the adult Louisville population is shown in **Figure 5**.

Signs and symptoms in patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP are depicted in **Table 2**. Median time from symptoms onset to hospitalization was 4 days.

Vital signs and laboratory values for patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP at admission are depicted in **Table 3**.

The characteristic chest CT-scans imaging of diffuse, peripheral ground-glass opacities were present in 324 of 439 (74%) patients with chest CT performed.

Medications used in adults hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP during hospitalization are depicted in **Table 4**.

Severity of disease and associated mortality

The severity of CAP at time of hospitalization according to CURB-65 and PSI scores with associated mortality per class or score are depicted in **Figure 6**.

SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels

Cycle threshold (CT) values were available for a total 405 SARS-CoV-2 CAP patients. For these patients, the lowest CT value from the N1, N2, and N3 genes was used to represent the relative viral RNA levels, as a lower CT value indicates a high viral load. CT values are depicted in **Figure 7**.

Geospatial epidemiology

The kernel density heatmap created using each patient's home address at the time of hospitalization due to SARS-CoV-2 CAP is depicted in **Figure 8**.

Cardiac and cardiovascular events

A total of 120 patients (19%) developed cardiac or cardiovascular events. The list of cardiac and cardiovascular events is depicted on **Table 5**.

Co-infections

A total of 67 SARS-CoV-2 CAP patients had co-infections. The list of patients with community-acquired ($n=25$) and hospital-acquired ($n=42$) co-infections is depicted on **Table 6**. For each type of co-infection, the culture sites were characterized.

Table 4. Medications for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 CAP.

Medication	n (%)
Total patients	632
Azithromycin	398 (63)
Low molecular weight heparin	394 (62)
Hydroxychloroquine	239 (38)
Steroid	145 (23)
Heparin	114 (19)
Convalescent plasma	50 (8)
Remdesivir	31 (5)

Table 5. Cardiac and cardiovascular events in patients hospitalized due to SARS-CoV-2 CAP.

Variable	n (%)
Total patients	632
New arrhythmia	52 (8)
Heart failure	25 (4)
Cardiac arrest	20 (3)
Acute worsening of chronic arrhythmia	20 (3)
Cardiogenic shock	15 (2)
Acute myocardial infarction	13 (2)
Pulmonary edema	13 (2)
Cerebrovascular accident	7 (1)
Pulmonary embolism	6 (1)
Deep vein thrombosis	6 (1)
Myocarditis	2 (<1)

Clinical outcomes

From the total population of 632 patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP, clinical success (discharged alive) was documented in 527 (83%) patients and clinical failure (died during hospitalization) in 105 (17%) patients. The median length of hospital stay was 7 days. Time to hospital discharge and time to in-hospital death is depicted in **Figure 9A** and **9B**. A total of 249 patients were admitted to the ICU, with 124 admitted on the first day. A total of 159 patients required mechanical ventilation. Mortality among patients admitted to the ICU was 34%. Time to ICU admission and time to mechanical ventilation are depicted in **Figure 9C** and **9D**. Over the course of hospitalization, a total of 85 patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP developed septic shock and 98 patients developed ARDS. Time to septic shock and time to ARDS are depicted in **Figure 9E** and **9F**. Mortality was much higher in comorbid patients, ranging from 16 to 36%. **Figure 10** depicts crude mortality by demographics and comorbidities.

Discussion

Our study indicates that SARS-CoV-2 CAP is present in 90% of all patients hospitalized with COVID-19. We previously described the clinical characteristics of hospitalized patients in the city of Louisville with CAP due to the four endemic serotypes of coronaviruses.[11] The most frequent serotype causing CAP was CoV-OC43, followed by CoV-229E, CoV-HKU1, and CoV-NL63. At this time, SARS-CoV-2 has emerged as the predominant coronavirus causing CAP. Hospitalized COVID-19 patients without CAP constitute a heterogeneous population. The most common admission diagnoses in patients without CAP included labor & delivery and trauma. In the majority of these patients COVID-19 was present as an asymptomatic infection.

Among the patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 in

the city of Louisville, adults of black race or Hispanic ethnicity are overrepresented in relation to the census population of the city. Our study supports data from other areas of the United States indicating a higher percentage of black patients hospitalized with COVID-19.[12]

Hypertension was the most frequent comorbidity in hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP in Louisville. Patients with hypertension, as well as patients with obesity, diabetes, renal disease, coronary artery disease, COPD, and cerebrovascular disease were overrepresented in the population hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP when compared to the general Louisville population. Hypertension was also reported as the most common comorbidity in hospitalized patients in a large CDC multicenter study.[13]

A clinical syndrome of CAP was the predominant clinical presentation of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients. The most common signs and symptoms in our population were fever, cough, and shortness of breath. These are the most frequent signs and symptoms for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in the US.[13] Some of our patients presented with a flu-like clinical syndrome characterized by fever, sore throat, fatigue and muscle aches. During the next winter season, the flu-like illnesses produced by SARS-CoV-2 will need to be added to the differential diagnosis of flu-like illness produced by other winter respiratory viruses. Surveillance data on circulating respiratory viruses in the community will be important to facilitate the clinical management of patients with flu-like illness this winter. SARS-CoV-2 started to circulate in the Louisville community at the end of the 2019-2020 winter season. Coincidental to the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 in Louisville, we documented a significant decrease in the circulation of other serotypes of coronavirus, as well as a decrease in respiratory syncytial virus, rhinovirus, and influenza.[14] The decrease in common respiratory viruses at the end of last winter was most likely related to the different

Figure 6. The distribution of patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP in PSI risk classes (top-left) and the distribution of patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP in CURB-65 scores (top-right) are shown in the top of the figure. The associated percentage of in-hospital mortality by PSI risk class (bottom-left) and by CURB-65 score (bottom-right) are shown below.

steps implemented in the city related to social distancing, primarily, the closure of non-essential businesses.

Severity of CAP at time of hospitalization is usually evaluated using the PSI score or the CURB-65 score. When we applied these scores to our population of hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP, the CURB-65 score better discriminated risk of death during hospitalization, from 3% risk for death in patients with a score of 0, to 70% risk for death for patients with a score of 5. Physicians may use the CURB-65 to supplement clinical judgment when evaluating severity and risk of death in patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP. One weakness of our study is the lack of data regarding ambulatory patients with COVID-19 in the city of Louisville, therefore we cannot evaluate the role of severity scores in deciding ambulatory care.

On the first day of hospitalization, need for ICU care was documented in 20% of our SARS-CoV-2 CAP population. A high proportion of patients hospitalized

with COVID-19 requiring initial high level of care was also documented in a large cohort study in the United Kingdom.[15] Admission to the ICU during the first day of hospitalization may indicate a rapid progression of SARS-CoV-2 infection in some patients or may indicate that some patients may not look for early medical attention. The initial treatment strategies from the time of study were not evidence-based, as there was a lack of studies from the corresponding study period. It is also important to note that several patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP were treated with hydroxychloroquine. This medication is not currently recommended for hospitalized patients, but a series of patients hospitalized at the beginning of the pandemic were treated with hydroxychloroquine even though the evidence for its efficacy was lacking.

Using the initial cycle threshold (Ct) levels, we estimated the SARS-CoV-2 viral load at the time of hospitalization in 405 patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP. Ct val-

Figure 7. Distribution of the lowest CT value from the N1, N2, and N3 gene. Lower CT values are analogous to higher viral loads. Level of viral load was classified into high, intermediate, and low.

Figure 8. Kernel density heatmap of residential addresses of patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP. Four distinct areas of elevated risk are identified in the dashed circles.

Figure 9. Time to event outcomes, in days. A) Time to discharge from admission, B) time to mortality from admission, C) time to ICU admission, D) time to initiation of IMV, E) time to septic shock, F) time to ARDS.

Table 6. Number of patients with coinfections, micro-organisms, and culture sites in adults hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP.

Organism	Patients (n)	Culture site (n)
Community-acquired coinfections		
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	7	Blood (2), urine (5)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	3	Sputum (1), urine (2)
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	2	Sputum (2)
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	2	Sputum (2)
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.	1	Sputum (1)
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	1	Urine (1)
Influenza B	1	BAL (1)
<i>Moraxella catarrhalis</i>	1	Sputum (1)
Parainfluenza virus	1	BAL (1)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1	Urine (1)
Respiratory syncytial virus	1	BAL (1)
MSSA	1	Sputum (1)
<i>Streptococcus bovis</i>	1	Blood (1)
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	1	Blood (1)
<i>Candida</i> spp.	1	Blood (1)
Hospital-acquired coinfections		
MRSA	9	Blood (1), sputum (7), Urine culture (1)
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	5	Blood (2), sputum (3)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	5	Sputum (3), BAL (1), urine culture (1)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	5	Blood (1), sputum (2), BAL (1), Urine (1)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4	Sputum (1), urine (3)
<i>Stenotrophomonas</i> spp.	4	Sputum (3), BAL (1)
<i>Citrobacter</i> spp.	2	Sputum (1), BAL (1)
<i>Serratia</i> spp.	2	Sputum (1), urine (1)
<i>Candida</i> spp.	2	Blood (1), urine (1)
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	2	Sputum (2)
Coronavirus OC43	1	NP swab (1)
MSSA	1	Sputum (1)

Abbreviations: BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*; NP, nasopharyngeal.

ues are inversely proportional to the amount of the target nucleic acid in the sample.[16] We identified some patients with high Ct values, indicating very low viral loads at time of hospitalization. It has been suggested that the concentration of viral RNA in throat swabs may be already on the decline for some patients at the time of initial presentation.[17]

The heatmap of patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 in the city of Louisville identifies areas of high relative risk that are very similar to areas that we previously identified as high risk for hospitalization due to CAP.[2] The clustering of hospitalized patients with CAP as well as SARS-CoV-2 CAP in the western section of the city is associated with census tracts where the average population has low annual income and is of predominantly black race.

Cardiovascular events at time of hospitalization or during hospitalization were documented in 19% of hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP. New arrhythmias were the most frequent cardiovascular event, taking place in 8% of the patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 CAP. Cardiovascular complications may be due

to increased cardiac demand produced by the infection, destabilization of vascular plaques due to systemic inflammation, hypercoagulability due to endothelial damage, or direct heart damage due to SARS-CoV-2.[18]

A systematic review identified co-infections in 7% of hospitalized COVID-19 patients.[19] We identified co-infections in 8% of our SARS-CoV-2 CAP cohort; 25 were community-acquired and 42 were hospital-acquired. Viral respiratory infections such as influenza can predispose patients to co-infections of the respiratory tract. SARS-CoV-2 seems to predispose patients to infections in the respiratory tract but also outside of the respiratory tract. As an example, our group reported a case of a hospitalized patient with SARS-CoV-2 CAP and concomitant *Escherichia coli* bacteremia due to a prostatic abscess.[20]

Proper assessment of clinical outcomes is a crucial component of current research efforts in the field of COVID-19. Studies of therapeutic interventions that evaluate clinical outcomes for all hospitalized patients with COVID-19 may be biased by the heterogenous popu-

Figure 10. Mortality by demographics and comorbid conditions. The percentage mortality in each group is displayed.

lation that is admitted to the hospital with the general diagnosis of COVID-19. In our study of 632 hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 and a clinical diagnosis of CAP, we evaluated five binary outcomes and six time-to-event outcomes. The mean length of stay was 7 days, with an in-hospital mortality of 17%. For patients in the ICU mortality was 34%. The ICU mortality in our cohort is higher than the mortality of 26% reported in a study of patients hospitalized to ICUs at 72 hospitals in Italy.[21]

In conclusion, SARS-CoV-2 CAP is the primary reason

for hospitalization of patients with COVID-19. Patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP account for 90% of COVID-19 hospitalizations with an in-hospital mortality of 17%. Community members who are elderly, of black race, Hispanic ethnicity, and having medical comorbidities are at high risk for hospitalization. The primary medical comorbidities in our population were hypertension, obesity, diabetes, renal disease and cerebrovascular disease. Future research in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 should concentrate primarily on the development of new management and treatment strategies for patients with SARS-CoV-2 CAP.

Received: October 29, 2020

Accepted: November 16, 2020

Published: December 7, 2020

Copyright: © 2022 The author(s). This original article is brought to you for free and open access by ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. For more information, please contact thinkir@louisville.edu. This article is

distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding Source: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Conflict of Interest: All authors declared no conflict of interest in relation to the main objective of this work.

References

1. Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC. Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A review. *Jama* **2020**; 324(8):782-93. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.12839. PMID: 32648899.
2. Ramirez JA, Wiemken TL, Peyrani P, et al. Adults hospitalized with pneumonia in the United States: Incidence, epidemiology, and mortality. *Clin Infect Dis* **2017**; 65(11):1806-12. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix647. PMID: 29020164.
3. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: The berlin definition. *JAMA* **2012**; 307(23):2526-33. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.5669. PMID: 22797452.
4. National Institutes of Health. Clinical presentation of people with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Available at: <https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/overview/clinical-presentation/>. Accessed 20 October 2020.
5. Fine MJ, Auble TE, Yealy DM, et al. A prediction rule to identify low-risk patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* **1997**; 336(4):243-50. doi: 10.1056/nejm199701233360402. PMID: 8995086.
6. Lim WS, van der Eerden MM, Laing R, et al. Defining community acquired pneumonia severity on presentation to hospital: An international derivation and validation study. *Thorax* **2003**; 58(5):377-82. doi: 10.1136/thorax.58.5.377. PMID: 12728155.
7. United States Census Bureau. Welcome to Geocoder. Available at: <https://geocoding.geo.census.gov/geocoder/>. Accessed 17 September 2020.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/index.html>. Accessed 17 November 2020.
9. United States Census Bureau. American Community Survey 2014-2018 5-year estimates now available. Online, **2019**.
10. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCAP consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform* **2019**; 95:103208. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208. PMID: 31078660.
11. Arnold F, Burns M, Mahmood K, et al. Endemic human coronaviruses in hospitalized adults with community-acquired pneumonia: Results from the Louisville Pneumonia Study. *Univ Louisville J Respir Infect* **2020**; 4(1):Article 1. doi: 10.18297/rgh/vol4/iss1/1.
12. Price-Haywood EG, Burton J, Fort D, Seoane L. Hospitalization and mortality among black patients and white patients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* **2020**; 382(26):2534-43. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa2011686. PMID: 32459916.
13. Garg S, Kim L, Whitaker M, et al. Hospitalization rates and characteristics of patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 - COVID-NET, 14 states, March 1-30, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2020**; 69(15):458-64. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6915e3. PMID: 32298251.
14. Bohn B. The incidence of common respiratory viruses during the covid-19 pandemic: Results from the Louisville COVID-19 Epidemiology Study. *Univ Louisville J Respir Infect* **2020**; 4(1):Article 58. doi: 10.18297/jri/vol4/iss1/58.
15. Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, et al. Features of 20 133 UK patients in hospital with COVID-19 using the ISARIC WHO clinical characterisation protocol: Prospective observational cohort study. *BMJ* **2020**; 369:m1985. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1985. PMID: 32444460.
16. Bordon J, Chung D, Krishnan P, Carrico R, Ramirez JA. The importance of cycle threshold values in the evaluation of patients with persistent positive PCR for SARS-CoV-2: Case study and brief review. *Univ Louisville J Respir Infect* **2020**; 4(1):Article 54. doi: 10.18297/jri/vol4/iss1/54.
17. Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature* **2020**; 581(7809):465-9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x. PMID: 32235945.
18. Long B, Brady WJ, Koyfman A, Gottlieb M. Cardiovascular complications in COVID-19. *Am J Emerg Med* **2020**; 38(7):1504-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.048. PMID: 32317203.
19. Lansbury L, Lim B, Baskaran V, Lim WS. Co-infections in people with COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect* **2020**; 81(2):266-75. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.05.046. PMID: 32473235.
20. Moore S. A patient with *Escherichia coli* bacteremia and COVID-19 co-infection: A case report for the Louisville COVID-19 Epidemiology Study. *Univ Louisville J Respir Infect* **2020**; 4(1):Article 15. doi: 10.18297/jri/vol4/iss1/15.
21. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, et al. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of 1591 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy region, Italy. *Jama* **2020**; 323(16):1574-81. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.5394. PMID: 32250385.