University of Louisville

ThinkIR: The Univ[ersity of Louisville's Institutional Reposit](https://ir.library.louisville.edu/)ory

[Faculty Scholarship](https://ir.library.louisville.edu/faculty)

1-29-2022

Surveillance of RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage in wastewater as indicators of human fecal concentration across urban sewer neighborhoods, Louisville, Kentucky

R. H. Holm University of Louisville

M. Nagarkar United States Environmental Protection Agency

R. A. Yeager University of Louisville

D. Talley Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District

A. C. Chaney Sanitation District No. 1 of Northern KY

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ir.library.louisville.edu/faculty](https://ir.library.louisville.edu/faculty?utm_source=ir.library.louisville.edu%2Ffaculty%2F731&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Environmental Health Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/64?utm_source=ir.library.louisville.edu%2Ffaculty%2F731&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

ThinkIR Citation

Holm, R. H.; Nagarkar, M.; Yeager, R. A.; Talley, D.; Chaney, A. C.; Rai, J. P.; Mukherjee, A.; Rai, S. N.; Bhatnagar, A.; and Smith, T., "Surveillance of RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage in wastewater as indicators of human fecal concentration across urban sewer neighborhoods, Louisville, Kentucky" (2022). Faculty Scholarship. 731.

[https://ir.library.louisville.edu/faculty/731](https://ir.library.louisville.edu/faculty/731?utm_source=ir.library.louisville.edu%2Ffaculty%2F731&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. For more information, please contact thinkir@louisville.edu.

Authors

R. H. Holm, M. Nagarkar, R. A. Yeager, D. Talley, A. C. Chaney, J. P. Rai, A. Mukherjee, S. N. Rai, A. Bhatnagar, and T. Smith

Original research

Surveillance of RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage in wastewater as indicators of human fecal concentration across urban sewer neighborhoods, Louisville, Kentucky

R. H. Holm^{a*}, M. Nagarkar^b, R. A. Yeager^{a,c}, D. Talley^d, A. C. Chaney^e, J. P. Rai^f, A. Mukherjee^f, S. N. Rai ^{a,f,g,h}, A. Bhatnagar^a and T. Smith^a

^aChristina Lee Brown Envirome Institute, School of Medicine, University of Louisville, 302 E. Muhammad Ali Blvd., Louisville, KY 40202, United States

^bUnited States Environmental Protection Agency, Center for Environmental Solutions and Emergency Response, Cincinnati, OH 45220, United States

^cDepartment of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Public Health and Information Sciences, University of Louisville, 485 E. Gray St., Louisville, KY 40202, United States

^dLouisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District, Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center, 4522 Algonquin Parkway, Louisville, KY 40211, United States

^eSanitation District No. 1 of Northern Kentucky, 1045 Eaton Dr., Ft. Wright, KY 41017, United States

^tDepartment of Bioinformatics and Biostatistics, University of Louisville, 505 S. Hancock St., Louisville, KY 40202, United States

^gBrown Cancer Center, School of Medicine, University of Louisville, 505 S. Hancock St.,

Louisville, KY 40202, United States

^hCenter for Integrative Environmental Health Sciences, 500 S. Preston St., Suite 1319, Louisville, KY 40202, United States

*Corresponding author: Rochelle H. Holm (rochelle.holm@louisville.edu)

Declarations of interest: none

Authors' contributions

⁸United States Environmental Protection Agency, Center for Environmental Solutions and

Emergency Response, Cincinnati, OH 45220, United States

(Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, School of Pu Conceptualization: R.H.H. and T.S.; Methodology: R.H.H.; Formal analysis: A.M., J.P.R. and S.N.R.; Writing-original draft preparation: R.H.H.; Writing-review and editing: R.H.H., M.N., R.A.Y, D.T., A.C.C., J.P.R., A.M., S.N.R., A.B. and T.S.; Supervision: A.B. and T.S.; Project administration: T.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Abstract

3.53 x 10⁷ conject/m, and cross-assembly phage (CrAssphage) ranged from 9.69 x 10² to 1.85 y
10³ copies/ml. The results showed both regional and temporal variability. If fecal indicators, are
used as normalization fa Wastewater surveillance has been widely used as a supplemental method to track the community infection levels of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. A gap exists in standardized reporting for fecal indicator concentrations, which can be used to calibrate the primary outcome concentrations from wastewater monitoring for use in epidemiological models. To address this, measurements of fecal indicator concentration among wastewater samples collected from sewers and treatment centers in four counties of Kentucky ($N = 650$) were examined. Results from the untransformed wastewater data over 4 months of sampling indicated that the fecal indicator concentration of human ribonuclease P (RNase P) ranged from 5.1 \times 10¹ to 1.15 \times 10⁶ copies/ml, pepper mild mottle virus (PMMoV) ranged from 7.23 \times 10³ to 3.53 \times 10⁷ copies/ml, and cross-assembly phage (CrAssphage) ranged from 9.69 x 10³ to 1.85 x 10⁸ copies/ml. The results showed both regional and temporal variability. If fecal indicators are used as normalization factors, knowing the daily sewer system flow of the sample location may matter more than rainfall. RNase P, while it may be suitable as an internal amplification and sample adequacy control, has less utility than PMMoV and CrAssphage as a fecal indicator in wastewater samples when working at different sizes of catchment area. The choice of fecal indicator will impact the results of surveillance studies using this indicator to represent fecal load. Our results contribute broadly to an applicable standard normalization factor and assist in interpreting wastewater data in epidemiological modeling and monitoring.

Keywords: cross-assembly phage; fecal indicators; human ribonuclease p; pepper mild mottle virus; public health; sanitation

One-sentence summary: Review of fecal indicators to foster a wider understanding of factors influencing wastewater results used in epidemiological modeling for public health surveillance.

Abbreviations

Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center (CCWQTC) cross-assembly phage (CrAssphage) Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center (DRGWQTC) Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center (HCWQTP) Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center (FFWQTP) human ribonuclease P (RNase P) Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center (MFWQTC) Northern Kentucky sample site (NKY) pepper mild mottle virus (PMMoV) Sanitation District No. 1 of Northern Kentucky (SD1) severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)

Introduction

Wastewater sampling for pharmaceuticals, personal care products, illicit drugs, and enteroviruses is well established; however, it lacks standardized reporting or the use of positive control to calibrate results to account for differential fecal loading (Ort et al., 2010, 2014; Bisseux et al., 2020). Wastewater monitoring for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has rapidly expanded since it was first reported in early 2020 (Medema et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). Current guidelines for wastewater reporting are established for influent or effluent to the environment at treatment facilities for compliance, compliance assistance, civil and criminal investigations, and water quality studies (EPA, 2017). Although there are no mandates on SARS-CoV-2 reporting, there are general guidelines for minimum meta-information necessary, including the use of an endogenous fecal indicator (McClary-Gutierrez et al., 2021). Wastewater-monitoring for SARS-CoV-2 is regarded as the assessment of a collection of pooled community stool samples for public health surveillance; however, the actual concentration of fecal indicators at all levels of sewer catchment is unknown despite its importance for the interpretation of results.

minimum meta-information necessary, including the use of an endogenous feed indicator
suscessment of a collection of pooled community stool samples for public health surveillage
assessment of a collection of pooled communi Normalizing target pathogen concentration measurements with a human fecal indicator concentration is one method to adjust for factors contributing to variability in the recovery and analysis of SARS-CoV-2. Commonly promoted fecal indicators include human ribonuclease P (RNase P; Peccia et al., 2020), pepper mild mottle virus (PMMoV; Bivins et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; D'Aoust et al., 2021; Jafferali et al., 2021), and cross-assembly phage (CrAssphage; Bivins et al., 2020; Green et al., 2020). RNase P is a human enzyme currently measured in nasal swab quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) testing to validate the adequate content of human samples (Food and Drug Administration, 2020). PMMoV is a plant virus associated with peppers commonly found in the human diet and persists in the feces (Zhang et al., 2006; Hamza et al., 2011). CrAssphage is a bacteriophage infecting human gut commensal bacteria and is excreted in the feces (Dutilh et al., 2014; Stachler and Bibby, 2014; Honap et al., 2020). These three are the "gold standard" biomarkers associated with quantifying human signals; however, their utility as normalization factors for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater measurements depends on addressing several limitations. None of these potential biomarkers are enveloped viruses such as SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, the relative recovery of their signal may differ from that of SARS-CoV-2 and be impacted by different physicochemical characteristics within the wastewater. In addition, differences in capsid structures (helical vs. icosahedral) and genomes (RNA vs. DNA) influence decisions for downstream method (e.g., extraction and reverse transcriptase) selection. Furthermore, owing to spatial and temporal variations in the dilution of domestic wastewater, data do not exist to accurately estimate the amount or proportion of human feces contained in a set volume of a wastewater sample.

Although PMMoV (Rosario et al., 2009; Hamza et al., 2011, 2019; Kitajima et al., 2014; Kuroda et al., 2015; Schmitz et al., 2016; Gyawali et al., 2019; Malla et al., 2019; Tandukar et al., 2020) and CrAssphage (García-Aljaro et al., 2017; Stachler et al., 2017; Ahmed et al., 2018; Farkas et al., 2019; Malla et al., 2019; Tandukar et al., 2020) have been consistently detected in raw sewage, there are less data characterizing the relationship between the concentration of human fecal indicators and the wastewater signal of the target pathogen. In contrast, RNase P

has not been commonly used in wastewater work as an indicator concentration in signal normalization. The influence of population size and household income has also not been well characterized when working at different sizes of sewer catchments for indicator concentrations.

The aim of this study was to assess RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage as indicators of human fecal concentration across urban community sewersheds with different population sizes, income distributions, residence time, dilution, and daily flow. The results provide a wider understanding of how fecal indicator data are affected by sewer system factors and the populations they serve, which may influence their utility in wastewater surveillance and epidemiological modeling.

Materials and Methods

Study site.

Materials and Methods
Study site.
Two sewer systems within the commonwealth of Kentucky were sampled regularly with study (Figure 1): It the clustification County Metropolitican Severe District (MSP). and the physical stat Two sewer systems within the commonwealth of Kentucky were sampled regularly during this study (Figure 1): i) the Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD), and ii) the Sanitation District No. 1 (SD1) of Northern Kentucky (NKY). In the city of Louisville/Jefferson County the sewer system is managed by the MSD and includes five water quality treatment centers (WQTC) serving approximately 770,000 residents. The MSD system contains active elements in operation for over a century and receives industrial wastewater ranging from 1% to 30%. Specifically, the five treatment centers include: Cedar Creek Water Quality Treatment Center (CCWQTC) 1%; Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center (DRGWQTC) 5%; Floyds Fork Water Quality Treatment Center (FFWQTP) 1%; Hite Creek Water Quality Treatment Center (HCWQTC) 30%; and Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center (MFWQTC) 10%. Within the system, the largest treatment center servicing the urban center, MFWQTC, combines rainwater runoff and domestic sewage in the same network pipes, and the remaining four regional WQTCs are separate sanitary sewer drainage. The sewer system managed by the SD1 spans Boone, Kenton, and Campbell counties and mostly is comprised of the suburbs of Cincinnati, Ohio, serving approximately 340,000 residents. Three WQTCs comprise SD1. Within the SD1 system, 6% is a combined sewer (31 km²), and the remainder is separate sanitary sewer drainage (471 km 2).

During the study period, Kentucky was generally in a household-level stay-at-home order owing to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19); the Jefferson County school district (about 100,000 students) remained in virtual instruction.

Table 1. Sampling site characteristics in Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) and Sanitation District No. 1 (SD1) of Northern Kentucky (NKY).

^a Based on 2018 U.S Census Bureau American Community Survey (ACS). Income is mean median household.

^b This location has two sampling locations with two distinct influents, the two sources were sampled separately.

 c Modeled flow rate, based on dry season.

^d Flow rate not available.

Sewage samples.

NEW THOR CONTENT THE Selection produced at the properties and the selection of the produced samples were collected from the selection produced samples were sometime to the content of the produced at the measure of the prod Raw wastewater samples were collected from 16 sites to represent geographically distinct catchment areas in Louisville/Jefferson County, Kentucky (USA). There were three sample collection types (Figure 2): 1.) street line manholes, which are the closest to households that contribute feces to a wastewater sample; 2.) mechanical pump stations, which represent a midpoint between manholes and WQTCs on secured sewer district property; and 3.) raw sewage flowing into the WQTCs before treatment. The selection protocol of the geographically resolved community wastewater sample sites was presented by Yeager et al. (2021). The field sample collection procedure is provided in Supplement A. The sewer district collected samples with a 24 h time-weighted composite sampler, and a 30 ml volume was pulled every 15 min into a 4 l container. From this 4 l container, after stirring, a 125 ml aliquot was poured into a sample bottle. In the event of an equipment malfunction, such as a composite sampler battery problem or tubing clog, a grab sample was collected with a cup on a rope, which was applied to 15/566 samples. Samples were stored on ice during sampling and transportation to the University of Louisville laboratory. The composite samplers were stationary during the sample collection period. Samples were collected from August 17 to December 17, 2020, one to four times per week. The measured daily total flow for WQTCs on the date of sample collection and a modeled flow rate for community site locations (manholes and pump stations) were provided by the

MSD. The measured rainfall data for WQTCs during the 24 h sample collection period were also provided by MSD; this was extrapolated to nested upstream contributing sites as appropriate.

Raw wastewater samples were additionally collected from 12 sites (manholes, pump stations, and WQTCs) serving Boone, Campbell, and Kenton Counties, in Northern Kentucky (USA). Samples in SD1 were collected using a 24 h composite sampler with a volume of 125 ml, stored on ice during sampling, and transported via overnight delivery to the University of Louisville laboratory. Samples from SD1 were collected from September 3 to October 15, 2020, once per week.

Fecal indicator detection and quantification.

Feed indicotor detection and quantification. And neuroge and particles in the same
Full method distals are provided by Fugua et al. (2021). All samples were analyzed in the same
Full method distals are provided by Fugua et Full method details are provided by Fuqua et al. (2021). All samples were analyzed in the same laboratory at the University of Louisville. Samples were maintained on ice throughout the process, and 40 ml samples were processed within 12 h of collection. Samples were clarified using a 70 µm cell strainer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 22363548), concentrated with overnight polyethylene glycol incubation [5% PEG800 (Millipore-Sigma, 1546605); 0.2 M NaCl (VWR, 0241)] and pelleted by centrifugation at 16,000 \times g for 30 min at 4°C. Pellets were resuspended in Trizol™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 15596018), and RNA was extracted using a Direct-zol™-96 MagBead RNA extraction kit (Zymo Research, R2102) per the manufacturer's protocol. Eluted RNA was further purified from any contaminating substances using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, 74104) and eluted from the column according to the manufacturer's protocol. Thereafter, RNA quality was evaluated using a NanoDrop 1000 for concentration and purity. Samples resulting in RNA of sufficient quality (260/280 ratio > 1.9) and concentration (at least 10 ng/µl) were quantified with an Applied Biosystems QS3 RT PCR System for the copy number of RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage. Less than 1% of the samples failed to meet these quality standards. Samples were analyzed in triplicates. Standard published primer/probe sets were used for all three targets (sequences are listed in Supplement Table B1; reverse transcription (RT)-qPCR operating conditions are summarized in Supplement Table B2). DNA plasmids containing the respective primer-probe regions were used to generate the standard curves. PCR inhibition was qualified in the method development by dilution of the RNA template. In 20+ samples across multiple weeks, the RNA template was diluted 1:3 before adding to the respective reaction mixture, and a corresponding Ct shift of 1 was anticipated. The average shift was 1.05. Data were reported on an unconcentrated sample basis (copies/ml of wastewater). In this study, we only reported on the RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage values generated using this methodology.

Data analysis.

Samples with triplicate reactions amplified and above the detection limit (RNase P at 50 copies/ml, PMMoV at 143 copies/ml, and CrAssphage at 56 copies/ml) were considered. Averages of the triplicate results were used for data analysis. Population and income were based on the 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) (U.S. Census Bureau, 2019).

Data characteristics for MSD and SD1 include the following continuous variables: area, population, population density, and household income. The MSD sites additionally include: flow

rate of sewer system site, the temperature of the wastewater sample at time of collection, and daily rainfall. In addition, the following categorical variables were assessed: sewer district (two levels; MSD or SD1), sample location type (three levels: manhole, pump station, or treatment center), and sample acquisition type (two levels: composite or grab for MSD only). We also aggregated the data from the 11 MSD manhole or pump station samples for comparison with data collected at the treatment center itself. We compared four groups which were within Louisville/Jefferson County (MSD): (1) MFWQTC, (2) aggregate of samples leading to MFWQTC, (3) Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center (DRGWQTC), and (4) aggregate of samples leading to DRGWQTC. The outcome measures were RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage. Population and income measures were presented in thousands. In addition, rainfall measurements were exponentiated, whereas days of no rainfall were replaced by a zero measurement because dividing by zero was not appropriate. Statistical analyses for RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage were transformed using log base e, which improved normality. Outcome measures were generated by the different characteristics and were compared using a t-test (based on the generalized linear model owing to unbalanced ANOVA). Site variability of log_e for fecal indicators over the period of sample collection across catchment areas studied and across different site types (manholes, pump stations, and treatment centers) was compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test (Walker and Shostak, 2010).  

measurements were exponentief of, whereas day of no rainfull were replaced by a zero was not appropriate. Statistical analyses for RNase P/MMoV, and CrAssphage were transformed using log base e, which improved normality. O To apply the regression analyses, the class variables were converted into indicator variables. For example, manholes, pump stations, and treatment centers were binary indicator variables (0,1) derived from the sample location type. The data were partitioned into three subsets: only MSD sites (N=566), MFWQTC (N=67) and community sites leading to MFWQTC (n =198), and DRGWQTC (n =34) and samples leading to DRGWQTC (N=165). Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were conducted on these three subsets for each outcome measure. Multivariable models included only significant characteristics at α = 0.05, based on univariable models. The results were considered statistically significant at α < 0.05. Data were analyzed using SAS 9.4 (Cary, N.C.).

Ethics.

The University of Louisville Institutional Review Board classified this project as Non-Human Subjects Research (reference #: 717950).

Results and Discussion

Over our study period, the untransformed wastewater data (i.e., viral gene copies/ml wastewater) of RNase P ranged from 5.1 x 10¹ to 1.15 x 10⁶ copies/ml; PMMoV ranged from 7.23 x 10³ to 3.53 x 10⁷ copies/ml; and CrAssphage ranged from 9.69 x 10³ to 1.85 x 10⁸ copies/ml (Supplement Table C1).

When comparing the two areas of Kentucky sampled, MSD and SD1, the 28 sewershed areas (km²) were not significantly different from one another ($p = 0.874$; km² for the 16 MSD sites compared to the 12 SD1 sites); however the log_e results were significantly different ($p < 0.001$) for RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage (Supplement Table C2). A higher mean loge concentration of RNase P was measured at MSD, whereas a higher mean log^e concentration for PMMoV and CrAssphage was measured at SD1. This indicates regional and local variability within the studied areas for the targets studied.

Temporal trends.

concentration of RNase P was messured at MSD, whereas a higher mean log, concentration for

EMMoV and CASssphage was measured at SD1. This indicates regional and local variability

within the studied areas for the targets In our study, fecal indicator concentration was measured for four months across 28 sewersheds of constant population sizes to determine the stability of fecal indicators over time (Figure 3). A natural cubic spline with two change points was best fit to the data for the MSD sites, whereas for the SD1 sites, a linear model was fit as a function of time because of the smaller number of samples. An intercept-only model was selected when the spline or linear model was not significantly different. PMMoV and CrAssphage had more linear fits than RNase P; however, the variability in concentration was still across several orders of magnitude, suggesting that normalization attempts by RNase P may be less valid. In addition, among the 28 sites, the variability of loge concentration results was significant (*p* < 0.01) for RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage (Figure 4). There was substantial heterogeneity in the variances across sites, although the variability in trends between MSD and SD1 sites might be due to sample size differences. In temporal trends, and consistent to our findings, Kitajima et al. (2014) and Hamza et al. (2019) also noted PMMoV concentration had no clear seasonal variation.

Stool generation location (at home, school, or employment) and when people defecate, is also a factor to be considered in wastewater sampling, as multiple defecations by the same person could contribute more fecal indicators to a wastewater sample and/or move across sewersheds during the same day. Global stool frequency ranges from 0.74 to 1.97 motions per 24 h with a median of 1.10 defecations per 24 h period; however, the frequency varies depending on the population primarily owing to fiber intake (Rose et al., 2015). Heaton et al. (1992) reported that in the United Kingdom, most adult defecations occurred in the morning (06:00–10:00), and few defecations were reported at 01:00–05:00. Our samples were collected as a 24 h composite from the sewer network to remove any issues with people defecating at different times of the day. However, the impact of pandemic-associated stay-at-home orders on stool generation location over time is a poorly understood component of wastewater surveillance used in epidemiological modeling.

Household sewer catchment size and income level.

Each of the three fecal indicators was consistently present in the wastewater, regardless of catchment population size or income level (Figure 5). However, larger population sizes were not necessarily associated with greater concentration of RNase P, PMMoV, or CrAssphage. The importance has been made clear for monitoring small populations where a few individuals excreting drugs into a sewershed can substantially affect wastewater relative loads, and even small sewersheds may have high drug compound concentrations (Ort et al., 2014); the same could be said for SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in wastewater where not everyone is excreting the virus. However, for fecal indicators, individuals within the same population might be expected to shed at approximately equal rates if their diet is the same, making the catchment basin scale less relevant when concentrations are being measured. An exception might be the impact by large influxes of other inputs such as stormwater or industrial wastewater. In our study, the sampling design intentionally maximized household units and limited industrial inputs. Our population findings contrast with those of Green et al. (2020), who found increasing CrAssphage concentrations in wastewater samples with increasing population catchment size over two weeks in Syracuse, New York, whereas other studies including a range of populations (García-Aljaro et al., 2017; Malla et al., 2019) have not well characterized the influence of catchment population size on human fecal indicator concentration in sewage for comparison to our work.

less relevant when concentrations are being mesured. An exception might be the impact by any place the mission might be the impact by candinal variety is ampling design intentionally maximized bousehold units and limited i Geographic variations in diet and microbiomes have been suggested for PMMoV and CrAssphage variability (Bivins et al., 2020). We hypothesized income level could be an important factor associated with diet differences applicable at the city-scale contributing to an indicator concentration from feces. There were large differences (ranging from \$27,000 to \$114,000) in yearly mean median household income among the study locations. The two MSD sewersheds in West Louisville and East Downtown (MSD6 with an income of \$28,000 and MSD7 with an income of \$27,000) have an established inequity in food access compared to other areas of Louisville/Jefferson County (Mayor's Healthy Hometown Movement, 2010). However, our results showed income distributions were not necessarily associated with copy numbers/ml of RNase P, PMMoV, or CrAssphage. Rather, this suggests income distribution may not be a primary contributing factor for concentration variation observed in our intrastate-scale study, possibly owing to similar diet and body size of individuals.

Grab and composite.

We could only identify grab and composite samples for the MSD sample locations. The wastewater sample temperature in grab and composite samples at time of collection was significantly different (*p* < 0.001), with higher temperatures in grab samples (composite samples ranged from 33 to 69°F and grab samples ranged from 39 to 77°F; Supplement Table C3).

When grab and composite samples were further compared, log_e RNase P concentrations were different for the samples ($p = 0.007$), whereas for log_e PMMoV and CrAssphage concentrations, no differences were observed (*p* = 0.258 and *p* = 0.195, respectively). This could indicate in a study design with composite samples as the field protocol priority intent and in the limited case of grab samples collected in the morning hours, PMMoV and CrAssphage may be combined in the data set.

Combined and non-combined systems.

study design with composite samples as the field protocol priority intent and in the limited case
of grab samples collected in the morning hours, PMMoV and CrAssphage may be combined the
distant of original or worshinged Our investigation would be considered to have been conducted in the dry season, the maximum 24 h rainfall at a study site was 1.95 inches on 9/3/2020. There was no significant difference between areas with combined sewers (where high rainfall events may have caused dilution of fecal indicators from domestic sewage) or separated sewer systems for log^e RNase P copies/ml ($p = 0.846$) or log_e CrAssphage copies/ml ($p = 0.051$), but differences were seen for log^e PMMoV copies/ml (*p* < 0.001) (Supplement Table C4). Our results indicate that PMMoV varies with the addition of stormwater to the sewer system, whereas no effect was found for RNase P or CrAssphage. The explanation of PMMoV variability with stormwater input but not of the other fecal indicators needs further investigation, and possibly across a wider regional scale. Any change in composition in water could impact measurements of different types of viruses depending on how viruses interact with their physical or chemical environment. When the combined or separated system concentrations of the targets were further normalized by flow rate, differences were found for RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage (*p* < 0.001); however, when alternatively normalized by site-specific 24 h rainfall amounts, there was no difference in RNase P (*p* = 0.575), PMMoV (*p* = 0.122*),* or CrAssphage (*p* = 0.448). In addition, when the fecal indicators were normalized by a combined rainfall and flow normalization factor, the differences were significant ($p \le 0.001$). These results indicate that flow correction for fecal indicators may matter more than a rainfall correction, or a combined rainfall and flow correction, when working with a complex-sewer-system scale including both combined and separated network pipes.

Sample location and type.

In a sewer system, manhole locations would be nearest to the stool generation sites, with additional travel time for samples collected at pump stations and even longer travel time to WQTCs. Among these sample collection types, there was limited variability in the sewer network infrastructure (Figure 2). The log_e RNase P ($p = 0.003$) and log_e CrAssphage ($p = 0.001$) concentrations were different; however, there was no difference in loge PMMoV concentration (*p* = 0.255) (Figure 6; Supplement Table C5). This indicates PMMoV is more stable during sewer system travel, whereas RNase P and CrAssphage may have an interplay of extra processes when traveling from the manhole to the WQTC. These processes may need further study to isolate.

Copy numbers/ml of fecal indicators at aggregate sites (the treatment centers) were compared to that of nested contributing sites to understand whether a WQTC can be assumed to represent the accumulation of its upstream sites (Figure 7). Six upstream sewersheds that contribute to MFWQTC (industrial input ~10%; combined sewer system) were sampled. The log_e concentration between MFWQTC and contributing sites was significantly different for each RNase P (*p* = 0.001), PMMoV (*p* = 0.035), and CrAssphage (*p* = 0.023) (Supplement Table C6). In the second case, for DRGWQTC (industrial input \sim 5%; not a combined sewer system), the log_e concentration of RNase P, PMMoV, or CrAssphage was not significantly different between the WQTC and the five sampled contributing sites (*p* values of 0.106, 0.919, and 0.363, respectively) (Supplement Table C7). This warrants further study, as it suggests that at least in combined sewer system sewersheds, surveillance of fecal indicators at a finer geographic resolution may provide information that sampling at the WQTC alone could mask.

Fecal indicators for use as a successful normalization factor.

The regional and temporal variability found within the studied areas of Kentucky indicates that a constant fecal indicator denominator as a normalization factor is not appropriate, with variability seen in all three targets. PMMoV and CrAssphage concentrations appeared to be the most suitable fecal indicators for normalization. RNase P when tested as a normalization alternative to account for human cells has less utility when working at different geographic levels. Because samples were analyzed with consistent methods in the same laboratory for the study period, it is likely that the wide variation represents variability in natural fecal concentrations. However, future use of recovery control may be useful for assessing consistency in lab processing losses.

concentration of RNase P, MModv, or C/Assphage was not significantly different between the
organization of RNase Controlling sites (p values of 0.106, 0.919, and 0.363, respectively)
(Supplement Table C7). This warrants fu Our ranges of PMMoV and CrAssphage concentrations were wide, with many outliers. Rosario et al. (2009) surveyed PMMoV across eleven states (USA), and the results were within a range of one order of magnitude. Furthermore, other global work most often shows a narrow range of magnitude (Hamza et al., 2011; Kitajima et al., 2014; Kuroda et al., 2015). Conversely, our PMMoV results ranged across four orders of magnitude. Our CrAssphage results also had a high range, across five orders of magnitude, but a wide range was similarly observed by Farkas et al. (2019). Comparisons between other data sets and across methodologies would require greater control to determine if the recoveries of the fecal indicators are different from those of SARS-CoV-2. If fecal indicator recoveries vary independently over time based on sample composition (such as pH and organic matter), that would make them poor normalization factors. The benefit of our study is the large sample size ($N = 650$) and constant field and laboratory methodology. Although none of the targets in this study period were homogeneous or stable, the results indicate that PMMoV and CrAssphage would likely remain more consistent over temporal and geographic levels of sewer catchment as successful normalization factors.

Limitations.

This study has several limitations, including limited data on regional and national-scale shedding rate variability of fecal indicators by individuals and defecation frequency and timing and, thus, the natural variability of input into the wastewater system. Reproducibility and sensitivity of laboratory methods to quantify fecal indicators in raw wastewater was not analyzed. The impact of influxes of stormwater and/or industrial wastewater for manholes and pump stations was not able to be observed.

Conclusion

Conclusion to the application to the constraine the levels of fecal markers is critically important to wastewater-based epidemiology to appropriately characterize the denominator of chemical
and antangones of interest. Thi Investigating factors influencing the levels of fecal markers is critically important to wastewater-based epidemiology to appropriately characterize the denominator of chemicals and pathogens of interest. This is the first variable catchment-scale study of simultaneous RNase P, PMMoV, and CrAssphage wastewater concentrations. The results of this study of 650 samples in a 4-month window indicate wide variations in target concentrations across / population sizes, income distributions, residence time, dilution, and daily flow. RNase P, while it may be suitable as an internal amplification and sample adequacy control, has less utility than PMMoV and CrAssphage as a fecal indicator of wastewater samples when working at different geographic levels. Further studies are needed to determine the adjustment to other environmental, contextual, and population metrics and the accuracy of estimates after adjustments are made; at geographic scales across other regional and national cities; and for the application to SARS-CoV-2 surveillance. The choice of the fecal indicator will impact the results of surveillance studies using this indicator to represent fecal load. Our results contribute broadly to an applicable standard normalization factor and assist in the interpretation of wastewater data in epidemiological modeling and monitoring.

Funding

This work was supported by a contract from Louisville-Jefferson County Metro Government as a component of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act and additionally by grants from the James Graham Brown Foundation, the Owsley Brown II Family Foundation and the Foundation for a Healthy Kentucky. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We thank our field and analytical technicians Dwight Mitchell, Donald Golightly, Brian Sivado, Brandon Ryan, David Hoetker, Rick Strehl, Joshua Fuqua, Kumar Saurabh, Madeline Puccio, Sarah Griffith, and Ian Santisteban for their diligent commitment to this work. We also appreciate the technical reviews by Michael Jahne and Scott Keely. This document has been

reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or the policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

References

- Ahmed, W., Lobos, A., Senkbeil, J., Peraud, J., Gallard, J., Harwood, V.J., 2018. Evaluation of the novel crAssphage marker for sewage pollution tracking in storm drain outfalls in Tampa, Florida. Water Res. 131, 142–150. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.011
- Bisseux, M., Debroas, D., Mirand, A., Archimbaud, C., Peigue-Lafeuille, H., Bailly, J.-L., Henquell, C., 2020. Monitoring of enterovirus diversity in wastewater by ultra-deep sequencing: An effective complementary tool for clinical enterovirus surveillance. Water Res. 169, 115246. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2019.115246
- Bivins, A., Crank, K., Greaves, J., North, D., Wu, Z., Bibby, K., 2020. Cross-assembly phage and pepper mild mottle virus as viral water quality monitoring tools—potential, research gaps, and way forward. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health. 16, 54–61. DOI: 10.1016/j.coesh.2020.02.001
- D'Aoust, P.M., Mercier, E., Montpetit, D., Jia, J.J., Alexandrov, I., Neault, N., Baig, A.T., Mayne, J., Zhang, X., Alain, T., Langlois, M.A., Servos, M.R., MacKenzie, M., Figeys, D., MacKenzie, A.E., Graber, T.E., Delatolla, R., 2021. Quantitative analysis of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from wastewater solids in communities with low COVID-19 incidence and prevalence. Water Res. 188, 116560. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2020.116560
- Dutilh, B.E., Cassman, N., McNair, K., Sanchez, S.E., Silva, G.G., Boling, L., Barr, J.J., Speth, D.R., Seguritan, V., Aziz, R.K., Felts, B., Dinsdale, E.A., Mokili, J.L., Edwards, R.A., 2014. A highly abundant bacteriophage discovered in the unknown sequences of human faecal metagenomes. Nat. Commun. 5(1), 1–11. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms5498
- EPA. 2017. Wastewater sampling. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-07/documents/wastewater_sampling306_af.r4.pdf (accessed 24.11.21)
- Farkas, K., Adriaenssens, E.M., Walker, D.I., Mcdonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2019. Critical evaluation of crAssphage as a molecular marker for human-derived wastewater contamination in the aquatic environment. Food Environ. Virol. 11, 113–119. DOI: 10.1007/s12560-019-09369-1
- Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Policy for coronavirus disease-2019 tests during the public health emergency (revised): Immediately in effect guidance for clinical laboratories, commercial manufacturers, and food and drug administration staff. https://www.fda.gov/media/135659/download (accessed 24.11.21)
- Florida, Where Res. 131, 40-1015, (b): 10.015(b), wateres. 2017-12.011

Elsisco, M. Debroas, D., Mirand, A., Archimbaud, C., Peigue-Lafeuille, H., Bailly, J.-L., Henguelle,

C., 2020. Monitoring of enterovirus diversity i Fuqua, J., Rouchka, E., Waigel, S., Sokoloski, K., Chung, D., Zacharias, W., Zhang, M., Chariker, J., Talley, D., Santisteban, I., Vasrsani, A., 2021. A rapid assessment of wastewater for genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 variants at sewershed scale in Louisville, KY. medRxiv. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.03.18.21253604v1 (accessed 24.11.21)
- García-Aljaro, C., Ballesté, E., Muniesa, M., Jofre, J., 2017. Determination of crAssphage in water samples and applicability for tracking human faecal pollution. Microb. Biotechnol. 10, 1775–1780. DOI: 10.1111/1751-7915.12841
- Green, H., Wilder, M., Middleton, F.A., Collins, M., Fenty, A., Gentile, K., Kmush, B., Zeng, T., Larsen, D.A., 2020. Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 and cross-assembly phage (crAssphage) from wastewater to monitor coronavirus transmission within communities. medRxiv. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.21.20109181v1> (accessed 24.11.21)
- Gyawali, P., Croucher, D., Ahmed, W., Devane, M., Hewitt, J., 2019. Evaluation of pepper mild mottle virus as an indicator of human faecal pollution in shellfish and growing waters. Water Res. 154, 370–376. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2019.02.003
- Hamza, I.A., Jurzik, L., Überla, K., Wilhelm, M., 2011. Evaluation of pepper mild mottle virus, human picobirnavirus and Torque teno virus as indicators of fecal contamination in river water. Water Res. 45, 1358–1368. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2010.10.021
- Hamza, H., Rizk, N.M., Gad, M.A., Hamza, I.A., 2019. Pepper mild mottle virus in wastewater in Egypt: a potential indicator of wastewater pollution and the efficiency of the treatment process. Arch. Virol. 164, 2707–2713. DOI: 10.1007/s00705-019-04383-x
- Heaton, K., Radvan, J., Cripps, H., Mountford, R., Braddon, F., Hughes, A., 1992. Defecation frequency and timing, and stool form in the general population: a prospective study. Gut 33(6), 818–824.
- Honap, T.P., Sankaranarayanan, K., Schnorr, S.L., Ozga, A.T., Warinner, C., Lewis Jr., C.M., 2020. Biogeographic study of human gut-associated CrAssphage suggests impacts from industrialization and recent expansion. PLoS One 15(1), e0226930. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0226930.
- Jafferali, M.H., Khatami, K., Atasoy, M., Birgersson, M., Williams, C., Cetecioglu, Z., 2021. Benchmarking virus concentration methods for quantification of SARS-CoV-2 in raw wastewater. Sci. Total Environ. 755(Pt 1), 142939. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142939
- Kitajima, M., Iker, B.C., Pepper, I.L., Gerba, C.P., 2014. Relative abundance and treatment reduction of viruses during wastewater treatment processes—Identification of potential viral indicators. Sci. Total Environ. 488, 290–296. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.04.087
- Kuroda, K., Nakada, N., Hanamoto, S., Inaba, M., Katayama, H., Do, A.T., Nga, T.T.V., Oguma, K., Hayashi, T., Takizawa, S., 2015. Pepper mild mottle virus as an indicator and a tracer of fecal pollution in water environments: Comparative evaluation with wastewater-tracer pharmaceuticals in Hanoi, Vietnam. Sci. Total Environ. 506–507, 287–298. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.11.021
- motive viras as an indicator of kuman face al politicial political in the sample of the samp Malla, B., Ghaju Shrestha, R., Tandukar, S., Sherchand, J.B., Haramoto, E., 2019. Performance Evaluation of Human-Specific Viral Markers and Application of Pepper Mild Mottle Virus and CrAssphage to Environmental Water Samples as Fecal Pollution Markers in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. Food Environ. Virol. 11, 274–287 DOI: 10.1007/s12560-019- 09389-x

Mayor's Healthy Hometown Movement, 2010. The State of Food: A Snapshot of Food Access in Louisville. Louisville, KY. https://louisville.edu/cepm/westlou/louisville-wide/state-offood-2008/ (accessed 24.11.21)

- McClary-Gutierrez, J.S., Aanderud, Z.T., Al-faliti, M., et al., 2021. Standardizing data reporting in the research community to enhance the utility of open data for SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance. Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology, 7, 1545-1551. DOI: 10.1039/D1EW00235J
- Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R., Brouwer, A., 2020, Presence of SARS-Coronavirus-2 RNA in sewage and correlation with reported COVID-19 prevalence in the early stage of the epidemic in the Netherlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 7, 511–516. DOI: 10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00357
- Ort, C., Eppler, J.M., Scheidegger, A., Rieckermann, J., Kinzig, M., Sorgel, F., 2014. Challenges of surveying wastewater drug loads of small populations and generalizable aspects on optimizing monitoring design. Addiction 109(3) 472–481. DOI: 10.1111/add.12405
- Ort, C., Lawrence, M.G., Reungoat, J., Mueller, J.F., 2010. Sampling for PPCPs in wastewater systems: comparison of different sampling modes and optimization strategies. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44(16) 6289–6296. DOI: 10.1021/es100778d
- Peccia, J., Zulli, A., Brackney, D.E., et al., 2020. Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater tracks community infection dynamics. Nat. Biotechnol. 38, 1164–1167. DOI: 10.1038/s41587-020-0684-z
- Rosario, K., Symonds, E.M., Sinigalliano, C., Stewart, J., Breitbart, M., 2009. Pepper mild mottle virus as an indicator of fecal pollution. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 7261–7267. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.00410-09
- Rose, C., Parker, A., Jefferson, B., Cartmell, E., 2015. The Characterization of Feces and Urine: A Review of the Literature to Inform Advanced Treatment Technology. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45(17), 1827–1879. DOI: 10.1080/10643389.2014.1000761
- Schmitz, B.W., Kitajima, M., Campillo, M.E., Gerba, C.P., Pepper, I.L., 2016. Virus reduction during advanced bardenpho and conventional wastewater treatment processes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 9524–9532. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.6b01384
- Stachler, E., Bibby, K., 2014. Metagenomic evaluation of the highly abundant human gut bacteriophage CrAssphage for source tracking of human fecal pollution. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 1(10), 405–409. DOI: 10.1021/ez500266s
- Stachler, E., Kelty, C., Sivaganesan, M., Li, X., Bibby, K., Shanks, O.C., 2017. Quantitative crAssphage PCR assays for human fecal pollution measurement. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 9146–9154. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b02703
- Ort. C., Lawrence, M.G., Reungoal, 1, Andeller, 1, 820(31) 472–483. DOI: 10.1111/Add.12405

Ort. C., Lawrence, M.G., Reungoal, 1, Andeller, 1, F., 2010. Sampling for PPCPs in wastewates

systems: comparison of different sa Tandukar, S., Sherchan, S.P., Haramoto, E., 2020. Applicability of CrAssphage, pepper mild mottle virus, and tobacco mosaic virus as indicators of reduction of enteric viruses during wastewater treatment. Sci. Rep. 10, 3616. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-60547-9 The SAS System V9.4, Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc., 2003.
	- U.S. Census Bureau, 2020. 2018 American Community Survey (ACS). U.S. Department of Commerce. https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/acs-5year.html (accessed 21.06.21)
	- Walker, G.A., Shostak, J., 2010. Common Statistical Methods for Clinical Research with SAS® Examples 2nd Edition, Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
	- Wu, F., Xiao, A., Zhang, J., Gu, X., Lee, W., Kauffman, K., Hanage, W., Matus, M., Ghaeli, N., Endo, N., Duvallet, C., Moniz, K., Erickson, T., Chai, P., Thompson, J., Alm, E., 2020. SARS-

CoV-2 titers in wastewater are higher than expected from clinically confirmed cases. mSystems5 e00614-20. DOI: 10.1128/mSystems.00614-20.

- Yeager, R., Holm, R.H., Saurabh, K., Fuqua, J.L., Talley, D., Bhatnagar, A., Smith, T., 2021. Wastewater sample site selection to estimate geographically resolved community prevalence of COVID-19: A sampling protocol perspective. GeoHealth, 5, e2021GH000420. DOI: 10.1029/2021GH000420
- Zhang, T., Breitbart, M., Lee, W.H., et al., 2006. RNA viral community in human feces: prevalence of plant pathogenic viruses. PLoS Biol. 4(1), e3. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040003.

NORTHAL UNEDITED MANUSCRIPTION

Figure 1. Location of wastewater sampling sites and corresponding catchment areas in ∇ Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) (left) and Sanitation District No. 1 (SD1) of Northern Kentucky (right). Numbered location identifiers are presented in Table 1. Solid colors indicate community sewersheds (manholes and pump stations) whereas diagonal lines with a white background are the larger treatment centers.

Figure 2. Sample collection types: street line manhole (A), mechanical pump station (B), and influent to water quality treatment center (C).

Figure 3. Temporal variability of log_e copies/ml for fecal indicators across Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) and Sanitation District No. 1 (SD1) of Northern Kentucky (NKY) sites from August to December 2020. The scatter plot represents the raw data, and the lines represent the best fit of fecal indicators as a function of time.

Figure 4. Site variability of log_e concentration for fecal indicators over the period of sample collection across catchment areas studied for Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) and Sanitation District No. 1 (SD1) of Northern Kentucky (NKY) sites for RNase P (A), PMMoV (B), and CrAssphage (C). The shaded regions represent the distributions of log_e concentration, and the red dots represent the outliers. The p-values were based on the Kruskal-Wallis test.

Figure 5. Log_e fecal indicators compared with household income (USD\$) (mean within catchment areas of reported block group median yearly values) and total population size from 2018 U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey for Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) and Sanitation District No. 1 (SD1) of Northern Kentucky (NKY) sites.

Figure 6. Comparison of log_e of fecal indicators across different sample location site types (manhole, pump station, and treatment center) for Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) and Sanitation District No. 1 (SD1) of Northern Kentucky (NKY) sites. The shaded regions represent the distributions of loge concentration, and the red dots represent the outliers. The p-values were based on the Kruskal-Wallis test.

Figure 7. Log_e concentration of fecal indicators at aggregate sites (the treatment centers; shaded green) compared to that of nested upstream contributing sites (shaded orange). Morris Forman Water Quality Treatment Center (MFWQTC) (N = 6 contributing sewersheds) (A); and Derek R. Guthrie Water Quality Treatment Center (DRGWQTC) (N = 5 contributing sewersheds) (B) . The shaded regions represent the distributions of log_e concentration, and the red dots represent the outliers. The p-values were based on the one-way ANOVA test.