University of Louisville

ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository

Electronic Theses and Dissertations

5-2012

Computational modelling of cobalamin-dependent enzymatic reactions : activation of Co-C bond in adenosylcobalamin-dependent mutases and cob(II)alamin/cob(I)alamin redox process in methyltransferases.

Manoj Kumar
University of Louisville

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.louisville.edu/etd>

Recommended Citation

Kumar, Manoj, "Computational modelling of cobalamin-dependent enzymatic reactions : activation of Co-C bond in adenosylcobalamin-dependent mutases and cob(II)alamin/cob(I)alamin redox process in methyltransferases." (2012). *Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. Paper 779.
<https://doi.org/10.18297/etd/779>

This Doctoral Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. This title appears here courtesy of the author, who has retained all other copyrights. For more information, please contact thinkir@louisville.edu.

**COMPUTATIONAL MODELLING OF COBALAMIN-
DEPENDENT ENZYMATIC REACTIONS: ACTIVATION OF
Co-C BOND IN ADENOSYLCOBALAMIN-DEPENDENT
MUTASES AND COB(II)ALAMIN/COB(I)ALAMIN REDOX
PROCESS IN METHYLTRANSFERASES**

By

Manoj Kumar

B.A., Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, India, 2004

M.S., Panjab University, Chandigarh, India, 2006

A Dissertation

Submitted to the Faculty of the

College of Arts and Sciences of the University of Louisville

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Chemistry

University of Louisville

Louisville, Kentucky

May, 2012

Copyright 2012 by Manoj Kumar

All rights reserved

**COMPUTATIONAL MODELLING OF COBALAMIN-
DEPENDENT ENZYMATIC REACTIONS: ACTIVATION OF
Co-C BOND IN ADENOSYLCOBALAMIN-DEPENDENT
MUTASES AND COB(II)ALAMIN/COB(I)ALAMIN REDOX
PROCESS IN METHYLTRANSFERASES**

By

Manoj Kumar

B.A., Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, India, 2004

M.S., Panjab University, Chandigarh, India, 2006

A Dissertation Approved on
April 6th, 2012

by the following Dissertation Committee:

Dissertation Director: Dr. Pawel M. Kozlowski

Dr. Craig A. Grapperhaus

Dr. Richard J. Wittebort

Dr. Frederick A. Luzzio

Dr. John C. Morrison

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my grandfather late Sh. Gurdas Ram,
and to my parents Sh. Rampal and Smt. Sunita Kumari.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Pawel M. Kozlowski, for being a mentor and friend to me over the course of my graduate studies. His guidance and experience have been invaluable tools in my progress.

I would also like to thank several of the faculty members of the University of Louisville. I sincerely offer my thanks to my committee members Dr. Grapperhaus, Dr. Wittebort, Dr. Luzzio and Dr. Morrison for their assistance with my progress towards my doctorate. Special thanks also are extended to Dr. Lamm and Dr. Rypkema, former faculty members at the University of Louisville for their valuable suggestions. I also have unending gratitude towards Prof. Harjinder Singh, IIIT, Heydrabad, India and Prof. Swapan K. Pati, JNCASR, Bangalore, India for motivating me to take up science as a career. My career as a graduate student would have been much more difficult without the faithful efforts of the staff of the Chemistry Department especially that of Sherry Nalley, Syble Bullock and Steve. Sincere regards are also extended to James Simrall, Cardinal Research Cluster for his help with the supercomputer related technical issues.

Several students in the Chemistry Department also deserve special mention for their friendship and assistance. Fellow lab mates Jadwiga Kuta, Neeraj Kumar, Karina Kornobis and Peiyu have been real assets. Special thanks to Ed, Rajat, Davinder and Manish for their counsel and assistance.

I would like to thank my parents for giving me invaluable educational opportunities. Special thanks to my close family that include my late grandfather, grandmother, uncles, aunts, brothers and sisters for their unconditional support and love and who were always there with encouraging words for me when I needed them.

ABSTRACT

COMPUTATIONAL MODELLING OF COBALAMIN-DEPENDENT ENZYMATIC REACTIONS: INITIAL STEP OF B₁₂ CATALYSIS IN ADENOSYLCOBALAMIN-DEPENDENT MUTASES AND COB(II)ALAMIN/COB(I)ALAMIN REDOX PROCESS IN METHYLTRANSFERASES

Manoj Kumar

April 6th, 2012

The role of the active site tyrosine residue in the Co-C5' bond activation in the context of adenosylcobalamin-dependent mutases has been computationally investigated. The density functional, complete active space self-consistent field and quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics calculations have predicted that the deprotonated tyrosine can serve as a redox center potentially causing the one-electron reduction of B₁₂ cofactor. As a result, the reduced form of adenosylcobalamin has been suggested to participate in B₁₂ catalysis and an alternate mechanism for explaining the origin of catalysis in adenosylcobalamin-dependent mutases has been proposed. The implications of the involvement of the reduced B₁₂ cofactor in the initial step of B₁₂ catalysis that involve Co-C5'

cleavage and subsequent hydrogen atom abstraction from the substrate has been computationally studied by means of density functional calculations. The energetic demands of the reaction have been found to be significantly lowered when the reaction is mediated by the one-electron reduced form of the cofactor.

During the second part of this dissertation, an unprecedented Co^{1+} ion induced H-bonding interaction ($\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$) has been computationally validated. The H-bond forming capacity of Co^{1+} ion that is a dominant d^8 configuration has been suggested to be rooted in the availability of appropriately oriented filled d-orbitals which can serve as H-bond acceptors to interact with the acidic ends of the axial ligands. Because of a $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction, cob(I)alamin, a Co^{1+} ion-based ubiquitous B_{12} intermediate, has been suggested to be a pentacoordinated square pyramidal or hexacoordinated octahedral complex in addition to its commonly accepted tetracoordinated square planar geometry. In specific, the QM/MM calculations on methionine synthase-bound cob(I)alamin (PDB-code: 3IVA @ 2.2 Å resolution; 1BMT @ 3.0 Å resolution) has provided the convincing evidence of an enzyme-induced $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction. The $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction exerts an anodic shift upon the reduction potential of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process which is a common chemical event in a large family of methyltransferases and ATP:corrinoid adenosyltransferases. Building upon these insights, an alternate mechanistic pathway for the enzyme-induced $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ redox process has been suggested that is mediated by the square pyramidal cob(I)alamin rather than its square planar form.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
ABSTRACT.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xviii
LIST OF SCHEMES.....	xxiii
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 B ₁₂ Catalysis in Adenosylcobalamin-Dependent Mutases.....	5
1.2 Cob(II)alamin/Cob(I)alamin Redox Process in Methyltransferases....	14
II ROLE OF TYROSINE RESIDUE IN B ₁₂ CATALYSIS: A CASE STUDY OF ADENOSYLCOBALAMIN-DEPENDENT MUTASES.....	23
2.1 Introduction.....	23
2.2. Computational Details.....	28
2.2.1 DFT Calculations.....	28
2.2.2 CASSCF/MC-XQDPT2 Calculations.....	30
2.2.3 QM/MM Calculations.....	30
2.3 Results and Discussion.....	33
2.3.1 DFT Analysis of AdoCbl-Y Model Complexes.....	33
2.3.2 X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis of MCM and GLM Mutases.....	37
2.3.3 CASSCF/MC-XQDPT2 Analysis of AdoCbl-Y ⁻ Model Complex.....	41
2.3.4 QM/MM Analysis of MCM-bound AdoCbl-Y ⁻ Complex.....	46
2.3.5 QM/MM Analysis of Y89F Mutation upon the Initial Step of B ₁₂ Catalysis.....	50
2.4 Conclusions.....	53

III	EFFECT OF REDUCED ADENOSYLCOBALAMIN COFACTOR UPON THE Co-C BOND CLEAVAGE AND SUBSEQUENT HYDROGENATOM ABSTRACTION FROM THE SUBSTRATE.....	59
	3.1 Introduction.....	59
	3.2. Computational Details.....	61
	3.3 Results and Discussion.....	62
	3.3.1 Co-C5' Bond Cleavage in RibCbl.....	62
	3.3.2 Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate Analysis of Co-C5' Bond Cleavage and Subsequent H-atom Abstraction.....	70
	3.4 Conclusions.....	76
IV	THEORETICAL STUDY OF THE ROLE OF A Co ¹⁺ --H INTERACTION IN THE ENZYME-INDUCED REDOX TUNING OF Co ²⁺ /Co ¹⁺ REDUCTION PROCESS.....	78
	4.1 Introduction.....	78
	4.2. Computational Details.....	80
	4.3 Results and Discussion.....	84
	4.3.1 DFT Structural Analysis of Co ²⁺ Cbx and Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	84
	4.3.2 DFT Thermodynamic Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	87
	4.3.3 AIM Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	90
	4.3.4 NBO Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	91
	4.3.5 Computational Electrochemistry of Co ²⁺ /Co ¹⁺ Redox Process.....	92
	4.4 Conclusions.....	96
V	ROLE OF THE AXIAL LIGAND CONFORMATIONAL CHANGE IN THE Co ²⁺ /Co ¹⁺ REDOX TUNING IN METHIONINE SYNTHASE ENZYME	97
	5.1 Introduction.....	97
	5.2. Computational Details.....	100
	5.2.1 Model DFT Calculations.....	100
	5.2.2 ONIOM QM/MM Calculations.....	102
	5.3 Results and Discussion.....	104
	5.3.1 DFT Analysis of MetH-bound Co ²⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	104
	5.3.2 QM/MM Analysis of MetH-bound Co ²⁺ Cbx.....	105
	5.3.3 DFT Analysis of MetH-bound Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	106
	5.3.4 DFT Thermodynamic Analysis of MetH-bound Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	109

5.3.5 AIM Analysis of MetH-bound Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	110
5.3.6 QM/MM Analysis of MetH-bound Co ¹⁺ Cbx.....	111
5.4 Conclusions.....	114
VI Co ¹⁺ --H INTERACTION INSPIRED ALTERNATE COORDINATION GEOMETRIES OF BIOLOGICALLY IMPORTANT COB(I)ALAMIN.....	115
6.1 Introduction.....	115
6.2 Computational Details.....	117
6.2.1 Model DFT Calculations.....	117
6.2.2 ONIOM QM/MM Calculations.....	119
6.3 Results and Discussion.....	121
6.3.1 DFT Structural Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	121
6.3.2 AIM Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	128
6.3.3 NBO Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	130
6.3.4 DFT Thermodynamic Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes.....	132
6.3.5 Computational Electrochemistry of Co ²⁺ /Co ¹⁺ Redox Process.....	135
6.3.6 QM/MM Analysis of MetH-bound Co ¹⁺ Cbx Complex.....	138
6.3.7 X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis of Methyltransferases.....	142
6.4 Conclusions.....	144
VII POSSIBLE INVOLVEMENT OF THE LOCAL ENZYME SCAFFOLD IN THE Co ¹⁺ --H BOND FORMATION: THE CURIOUS CASE OF METHIONINE SYNTHASE-BOUND COB(I)ALAMIN.....	146
7.1 Introduction.....	146
7.2. Computational Details.....	151
7.3 Results and Discussion.....	153
7.3.1 DFT Structural Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbi Complexes.....	153
7.3.2 Thermodynamic Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbi Complexes.....	158
7.4 Conclusions.....	164
VIII SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	167
REFERENCES.....	173
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	196
APPENDIX	199
CURRICULUM VITAE.....	228

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
1. Co••O(Y) distances (Å) in selected AdoCbl-Dependent Enzymes. Only the Y residues lying within a distance of 10 Å, from the Co center have been considered	26
2. Thermodynamic Data for Axial Imidazole Ligand Dissociation.....	64
3. BCP Properties of Co ¹⁺ --H Interaction for Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-O-H and Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H Complexes.....	91
4. NBO Perturbation Analysis of Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-O-H and Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H Complexes.....	92
5. BCP Properties of Co ¹⁺ --H Interaction for Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O--H ₂ O and Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O--HOPh Complexes.....	111
6. Selected BP86 Computed Bond Distances in the H-bonded Co ¹⁺ Cbx Model Complexes and Their Corresponding Wiberg Bond Indices (WBI).....	121
7. Key BCP properties of Co ¹⁺ --H interactions in the H-bonded Co ¹⁺ Cbl and Co ¹⁺ Cbi Complexes.....	128
8. NBO Perturbation Analysis of H-bonded Co ¹⁺ Cbx Complexes.....	131
A1. BP86 computed Spin Density Distribution in the structural mimics of GLM and MCM.....	199
A2. B3LYP-Computed Spin Density Distribution in the structural mimics of GLM and MCM.....	199
A3. Key structural features of the optimized Co ²⁺ Cbl--OH ₂ complex.....	199
A4. Key structural features of the optimized Co ²⁺ Cbi--OH ₂ complex.....	200
A5. Key structural features of the optimized Co ²⁺ Cbl--Im complex.....	200

A6.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--Im}$ complex.....	200
A7.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--Im}$	201
A8.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--Im}$	201
A9.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex....	201
A10.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex....	202
A11.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (in gas phase).....	202
A12.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (in gas phase).....	202
A13.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (in chloroform).....	203
A14.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (in acetonitrile).....	203
A15.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ (in water).....	203
A16.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (in chloroform).....	204
A17.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (in acetonitrile).....	204
A18.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ (in water).....	204
A19.	Reduction Potentials of MeCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631G(d) 5d basis set.....	204
A20.	Reduction Potentials of MeCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631+G basis set.....	205
A21.	Reduction Potentials of MeCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631+G* basis set.....	205
A22.	Reduction Potentials of AdoCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631G(d) 5d basis set.....	206
A23.	Reduction Potentials of AdoCbl Cofactor computed using a variety	

	of DFT functionals and 631+G basis set.....	206
A24.	Reduction Potentials of AdoCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631+G* basis set.....	206
A25.	Computed Reduction Potentials of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ Couple assuming tetra- and penta-coordinated Conformations of Co^{1+}Cbx	207
A26.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--OH}_2$	207
A27.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--HOPh}$ complex.....	207
A28.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex.....	208
A29.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ complex.....	208
A30.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (in gas phase).....	209
A31.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (in chloroform).....	209
A32.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ complex (in gas phase).....	209
A33.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ complex (in chloroform).....	209
A34.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex.....	210
A35.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex.....	210
A36.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(Im)}$ complex....	210
A37.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(Im)}$ complex....	211
A38.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex.....	211
A39.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex.....	211
A40.	Key structural features of the optimized $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(Im)}$	

complex.....	212
A41. Key structural features of the optimized H-O-H--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-C(lm) Complex.....	212
A42. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H complex (in gas phase).....	212
A43. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H complex (in chloroform).....	213
A44. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H complex (in acetonitrile).....	213
A45. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H (in water).....	213
A46. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H complex (in gas phase).....	214
A47. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H complex (in chloroform).....	214
A48. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H complex (in acetonitrile).....	214
A49. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H (in water).....	215
A50. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-N(lm) complex (in gas phase).....	215
A51. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-N(lm) complex (in chloroform).....	215
A52. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-N(lm) complex (in acetonitrile).....	216
A53. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-N(lm) (in water).....	216
A54. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-C(lm) complex (in gas phase).....	216
A55. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-C(lm) complex (in chloroform).....	217
A56. Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-C(lm) complex (in acetonitrile).....	217

A57.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(lm)}$ (in water).....	217
A58.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (in gas phase).....	218
A59.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (in chloroform).....	218
A60.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (in acetonitrile).....	218
A61.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (in water).....	219
A62.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (in gas phase).....	219
A63.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (in chloroform).....	219
A64.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (in acetonitrile).....	220
A65.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (in water).....	220
A66.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(lm)}$ complex (in gas phase).....	220
A67.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(lm)}$ complex (in chloroform).....	221
A68.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(lm)}$ complex (in acetonitrile).....	221
A69.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(lm)}$ complex (in water).....	221
A70.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(lm)}$ complex (in gas phase).....	222
A71.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(lm)}$ complex (in chloroform).....	222

A72.	Computed thermodynamic data for H-O-H--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-C(lm) complex (in acetonitrile).....	222
A73.	Computed thermodynamic data for H-O-H--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-C(lm) complex (in water).....	223
A74.	Computed reduction potentials of the Co ²⁺ /Co ¹⁺ couple for various coordination geometries of Co ¹⁺ Cbl and Co ¹⁺ Cbi.....	223
A75.	Key structural features of the optimized tetracoordinated square planar Co ¹⁺ Cbi complex.....	223
A76.	Key structural features of the optimized Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O complex.....	224
A77.	Key structural features of the optimized Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O--H ₂ O	224
A78.	Key structural features of the optimized OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O	224
A79.	Key structural features of the optimized OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O--H ₂ O	224
A80.	Key structural features of the optimized Co ¹⁺ Cbi--HOPh	225
A81.	Key structural features of the optimized Co ¹⁺ Cbi--HOPh--H ₂ O	225
A82.	Key structural features of the optimized OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--HOPh	225
A83.	Key structural features of the optimized OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--HOPh--H ₂ O.....	225
A84.	Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O complex (in gas phase).....	226
A85.	Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O--H ₂ O complex (in gas phase).....	226
A86.	Computed thermodynamic data for OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O complex (in gas phase).....	226
A87.	Computed thermodynamic data for OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O--H ₂ O complex (in gas phase).....	226
A88.	Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--HOPh complex (in gas phase).....	227
A89.	Computed thermodynamic data for Co ¹⁺ Cbi--HOPh--H ₂ O complex (in gas phase).....	227

A90.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh}$ complex (in gas phase).....	227
A91.	Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (in gas phase).....	227

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1.	Molecular Structure of RCbl.....	2
2.	Close up view of the superimposition of the active site in AdoCbl-dependent enzymes depicting the location of Y residue relative to the corrin ring: 4REQ (Y89, Y213, purple), 1XRS (Y193, yellow), 1DIO (Y226, green), 1MMF (Y227, cyan), and 1I9C (Y181, pink). The corrin ring is shown only for MCM (4REQ) (see Table 1 for details).....	27
3.	Structural models of GLM-(<i>left</i>) and MCM-bound (<i>right</i>) AdoCbl--Y ⁻ complexes.....	29
4.	Active site view of MCM-bound AdoCbl cofactor (PDB-code: 4REQ @ 2.2 Å resolution).....	31
5.	Spin density distribution in the structural models of GLM-(<i>left</i>) and MCM-bound (<i>right</i>) AdoCbl-Y ⁻ complexes where blue and green colors represent alpha and beta spin densities respectively.....	34
6.	Co-C BDE curves for neutral AdoCbl, its 1-el-Red analogue (i.e., [AdoCbl] ^{•-}) and AdoCbl-PhO ⁻ complex.....	36
7.	Close-up of the active site in AdoCbl-dependent mutases showing the location of Y residue relative to substrate and the corrin ring. (a) 4REQ crystal structure of MCM, (b) 1I9C crystal structure of GLM, (c) 3REQ crystal structure of substrate-free MCM.....	40
8.	Orbitals in the active space for the Ψ_{dirad} and Ψ_{anionic} wave functions.....	43
9.	Diradical and anionic states of AdoCbl-PhO ⁻ complex computed along the Co-N _{ax} coordinate at CASSCF/MP2 level of theory.....	45
10.	The active site for the reactant complex in different states. (a) AdoCbl-Y; (b) AdoCbl-Y ⁻ , and (c) [AdoCbl] ^{•-} -Y [•]	48

11. Anionic and diradical states of MCM-bound AdoCbl-Y complex as a function of the Co-N _{ax} (H610) bond distance.....	49
12. Key species involved in methylmalonyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA radical rearrangement (as catalyzed by MCM enzyme) corresponding to wild-type (a) – (c) and Y89F mutant (d) – (f), respectively. The Ado group has not been shown for the sake of clarity....	54
13. Close-up of QM/MM optimized MCM enzyme showing the location of the Y89 residue relative to the Ado moiety (a) before the Co–C bond cleavage, and (b) after Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom abstraction.....	55
14. BP86-optimized structures of Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin)]-Rib ⁺ (<i>left</i>), Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin [*])]-Rib (<i>middle</i>) and [Co ^{III} (corrin [*])]-Rib (<i>right</i>).....	62
15. Estimation of the axial Im base detachment energy in the 1el-Red Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin [*])]-Rib.....	65
16. Three dimensional scan of the lowest electronic state (<i>upper panel</i>) involved in the Co-C5' Bond Cleavage in the 1el-Red Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin [*])]-Rib cofactor and two dimensional scan of the two lowest electronic states (<i>upper panel</i>) involved in the reductive cleavage of Co-C5' Bond in the Im-off [Co ^{III} (corrin [*])]-Rib. The olive circles correspond to the points of interpolation.....	68
17. Spin density surfaces of the corrin ring (<i>top</i>), Co (<i>middle</i>) and C5' (<i>bottom</i>) moieties in the 1el-Red Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin [*])]-Rib.....	69
18. Close-ups of the transition state structures along with selected bond lengths (Å) representing homolytic Co-C5' bond cleavage concerted with H-atom abstraction for the Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin)]-Rib ⁺ (<i>left</i>) and [Co ^{III} (corrin [*])]-Rib (<i>right</i>), respectively.....	71
19. Energy profiles of the Co-C5' bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom abstraction. The upper panel corresponds to the IRC paths (not ZPE-corrected) for the concerted reaction of the Co-C5' bond cleavage and H-atom abstraction involving the Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin)]-Rib ⁺ (violet) and its reduced analogue (olive). The distance along the IRC (s) is given in mass-scaled units and the TS is located at s=0. The lower panel shows the relative energies (ZPE-corrected) of the involved TSs and products.....	72

20. Spin density profiles of key entities along the Co-C5' stretching coordinate involved in the concerted reaction.....	74
21. Structure of simplified square planar cob(I)alamin model.....	81
22. Close-ups of BP86-optimized Co ²⁺ Cbx complexes (Co ²⁺ Cbl (<i>left</i>); Co ²⁺ Cbi (<i>right</i>)) wherein the regions of interest have been highlighted using ball-stick representation.....	85
23. Close-ups of BP86-optimized H-bonded Co ¹⁺ Cbx (Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-O-H (<i>left</i>); Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H (<i>right</i>)) complexes wherein the regions of interest have been highlighted using ball-stick representation.....	86
24. Computed thermodynamic data for the Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-O-H (<i>upper panel</i>) and Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H (<i>lower panel</i>) complex (green: gas phase, olive: chloroform, violet: acetonitrile, orange: water).....	87
25. Computed interaction energy profiles of Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-O-H (<i>left</i>) and Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H (<i>right</i>) complexes. Interaction energy profiles have been corrected with regard to BSSE error.....	89
26. Co ¹⁺ --H interaction induced redox tuning (vs SHE) of Co ²⁺ /Co ¹⁺ process wherein the figure a) depicts the schematic of the studied reduction processes while figure b) illustrates the redox tuning computed as the difference between the reduction potentials of (Co ²⁺ Cbl--OH ₂ /Co ¹⁺ Cbl; Co ²⁺ Cbi--OH ₂ /Co ¹⁺ Cbi) and Co ²⁺ Cbl--OH ₂ /Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O; Co ²⁺ Cbi--OH ₂ /Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O) couples respectively (blue: Co ¹⁺ Cbl, dark yellow: Co ¹⁺ Cbi). The horizontal line (magenta color) in figure b) implies the biological redox gap corresponding to the reduction potential difference between the MetH-bound Co ²⁺ Cbx cofactor (-490 mV vs SHE) and the physiological reducing agents (-440 mV vs SHE) as discussed in ref. 111.....	93
27. Active site view of the X-ray crystal structure of MetH-bound Co ²⁺ Cbx (PDB-code: 3IVA @ 2.7 Å resolution).....	95
28. QM:MM partition scheme used in the present ONIOM analysis. The red color curly lines represent the boundaries between the QM and MM domains. Here QM region is described by DFT while MM part is analyzed using AMBER force field.....	102
29. BP86-optimized Co ²⁺ Cbl structural models (Co ²⁺ Cbl--OH ₂ --OH ₂ (<i>left</i>); Co ²⁺ Cbl--OH ₂ --HOPh (<i>right</i>)).....	104
30. Active site view of the ONIOM (DFT:AMBER) optimized MetH-bound Co ²⁺ Cbx (DFT = B3LYP/6-31G(d) (<i>top</i>), BP86/6-31G(d) (<i>middle1</i>),	

ω B97X/6-31G(d) (<i>middle 2</i>) and ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) (<i>bottom</i>)).....	107
31. BP86-optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ (<i>left</i>) and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ (<i>right</i>).....	108
32. Computed Gibbs energy data for H-bonded $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ (<i>left</i>) and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ (<i>right</i>).....	110
33. Active site view of the ONIOM (DFT:AMBER) optimized MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx (DFT = B3LYP/6-31G(d) (<i>top</i>), BP86/6-31G(d) (<i>middle 1</i>), ω B97X/6-31G(d) (<i>middle 2</i>) and ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) (<i>bottom</i>)).....	112
34. (<i>left</i>) Close-ups of BP86-optimized H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ (1); $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(Im)}$ (2); $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ (3); $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(Im)}$ (4)) and (<i>right</i>) Co^{1+}Cbi ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ (1'); $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(Im)}$ (2'); $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ (3'); $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(Im)}$ (4')) structural models. The regions of interest have been highlighted using ball-stick representation.....	122
35. Numbering scheme commonly used in the case of B_{12} cofactors (<i>upper panel</i>) and comparative analysis of calculated Co-N_{eq} bond distances with the available EXAFS data (<i>lower panel</i>). Since $\text{Co-N}_{21} \sim \text{Co-N}_{24}$ and $\text{Co-N}_{22} \sim \text{Co-N}_{23}$, we used $\text{Co-N}_{\text{Eq(s)}} = (\text{Co-N}_{21} + \text{Co-N}_{24})/2$; $\text{Co-N}_{\text{Eq(l)}} = (\text{Co-N}_{22} + \text{Co-N}_{23})/2$ parameters to facilitate the discussion. The DFT values herein represent the average of $\text{Co-N}_{\text{Eq(s)}}$ and $\text{Co-N}_{\text{Eq(l)}}$ parameters computed using BP86, B97-1, B98, B97-D, ω B97X and ω B97X-D functionals respectively.....	123
36. Computed Gibbs free energy data for H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl (<i>left</i>) and Co^{1+}Cbi (<i>right</i>) complexes.....	134
37. Computed $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ -induced redox tuning $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process.....	137
38. Close-ups of the ONIOM-based QM(BP86(<i>top</i>), ω B97X(<i>middle</i>) and ω B97X-D(<i>bottom</i>))/MM-optimized MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx complex...	140
39. Active site view of the X-ray crystal structures of MtaBC-(<i>upper panel</i>) and CFeSP-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (<i>lower panel</i>). Check Figure 27 for MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx	143
40. Active site view of the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx complex. The <i>top panel</i> corresponds to the situation when the substrate AdoMet is not present (1K7Y, 3.0 Å), the <i>middle panel</i> represents a binary complex of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx and AdoMet substrate (1K98, 3.8 Å) while the <i>bottom panel</i> shows the better resolved	

active site of the same binary complex (3IVA, 2.7 Å).....	150
41. ω B97X-D optimized H-bonded β -axial H ₂ O-(<i>left</i>) and PhOH-ligated (<i>right</i>) Co ¹⁺ Cbi complexes.....	154
42. ω B97X-D-optimized structure of tetracoordinated square planar Co ¹⁺ Cbi complex. The relevant part of the corrin ring is also labelled using the numbering scheme commonly used for B ₁₂ corrinoids.....	155
43. $\angle$ Co ¹⁺ --H-O vs Co ¹⁺ --H (<i>upper panel</i>) and $\angle$ Co ¹⁺ --H-O vs Co ¹⁺ --O (<i>lower panel</i>) in the H-bonded Co ¹⁺ Cbi complexes. The ω B97X-D /6-31G(d) 5d calculated data have been shown.....	157
44. ω B97X-D-computed thermodynamic data for H-bonded β -axial H ₂ O- (<i>left</i>) and PhOH-ligated (<i>right</i>) Co ¹⁺ Cbi complexes.....	161
45. Degree of long-range correlation and empirical-dispersion in the H-bonded β -axial H ₂ O-(<i>upper panel</i>) and PhOH-ligated (<i>lower panel</i>) Co ¹⁺ Cbi model complexes.....	162

LIST OF SCHEMES

SCHEME	PAGE
1. General catalytic mechanism for AdoCbl-dependent enzymes.....	6
2. 1,2-type reactions catalyzed by MCM and GLM mutases. The carboxylic group that can interact with the Y residue has been indicated by box.....	7
3. Biochemical pathway of ATP:corrinoid adenosyltransferases.....	14
4. Reaction cycle of MetH enzyme. The main catalytic cycle is shown in dark maroon whereas the reactivation cycle is shown in blue.....	15
5. MetH-induced formation of square planar Co^{1+}Cbx	17
6. PCET-inspired mechanistic pathway for the activation of Co-C bond in AdoCbl-dependent mutases.....	41
7. PCET-inspired alternate mechanism for the initial step of catalysis in AdoCbl-dependent mutases.....	76
8. Born-Haber cycle for $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbx}/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbx}$ couple.....	83
9. An alternate mechanistic pathway for the enzyme-bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction.....	94
10. Possible mechanistic routes for the enzyme-bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction in methyltransferases.....	99

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The B₁₂ cofactors belong to a class of Co^{III} containing organocorrinoids, in which the Co^{III} ion is equatorially coordinated by four tetrapyrrole nitrogens of the corrin macrocycle and in the α ("lower") axial coordination site by the 5,6-dimethylbenzimidazole (DBI) of the nucleotide loop connected to the corrin ring (Figure 1). The ligand X occupying the β ("upper") axial site is different for different types of cobalamins (XCbl). Alkylcobalamins or, more generally, organocobalamins, where X is a C-bonded to Co ligand R, are generally denoted as RCbl. Particularly, R is a methyl group (Me) in the case of methylcobalamin (MeCbl), that serves as a cofactor in B₁₂-dependent methyltransferases, while it is 5'-deoxy-5'-adenosyl (Ado) group in the case of adenosylcobalamin (AdoCbl) cofactor in B₁₂-dependent mutases, isomerases and eliminases.¹⁻¹⁹ Cyanocobalamin (CNCbl), popularly known as vitamin B₁₂, has R= CN⁻ and is a non-alkylcobalamin. The other known non-alkylcobalamins are azidocobalamin (N₃Cbl), aquocobalamin (H₂OCbl⁺) and hydroxocobalamin (HOCbl), respectively. It should be noted that vitamin B₁₂ is not a biologically active species. However

another member of non-alkylcobalamin family, HOCbl has recently been shown to act as a photocatalytic source of hydroxyl radicals for the investigation of biological complexes such as DNA and RNA.^{20,21}

Figure 1. Molecular Structure of RCbl.

The relatively weak organometallic Co-C bond in derivatives of vitamin B₁₂ (i.e., MeCbl and AdoCbl) continues to provide fascinating avenues for experimental as well as computational research in the field of bioinorganic chemistry.¹⁻¹⁹ The inherent reactivity of the Co-C bond plays a pivotal role in a variety of

physiological events. In all these enzymes, the rapid cleavage of the organometallic Co-C bond constitutes one of the critical steps of their catalytic cycles. The Co-C bond is of moderate strength and its bond dissociation energy (BDE) lies in the range of 32-40 kcal/mol for MeCbl^{22,23} and 26-34 kcal/mol for AdoCbl.²⁵⁻²⁷ The most remarkable aspect of the enzymatic catalysis employing coenzyme B₁₂ is the observed rate enhancement,²² which exceeds that of the uncatalyzed reaction taking place in solution by about 12 orders of magnitude for AdoCbl-dependent enzymes.

Taking into account that the cleavage of the Co-C bond is not a rate determining step,²⁸ its strength must be significantly reduced by ~50%, in order to achieve the observed rate enhancement.²⁹ However, the mechanism of the Co-C bond activation remains elusive, because the intermediates involved in the B₁₂-dependent enzymatic catalysis are short-lived and many of them are spectroscopically "silent". Because of this situation, computational modeling has become an indispensable guiding tool for understanding the mechanism of the Co-C bond activation in B₁₂ cofactors. Here, we have utilized a variety of computational tools to understand the mechanism of the initial step of catalysis in AdoCbl-dependent mutases namely methylmalonyl coenzyme A mutase (MCM) and glutamate mutase (GLM) respectively that consist of Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent hydrogen atom (H-atom) abstraction from the substrate.

Cob(II)alamin (Co²⁺Cbx³⁰) to cob(I)alamin (Co¹⁺Cbx) reduction (i.e., Co²⁺/Co¹⁺) constitutes an important chemical event in many methyltransferases such as methionine synthase (Meth),³¹ corrinoid/Fe-S protein (CFeSP),³²

methanol:CoM (MtaBC),³³ monomethylamine:CoM (MtmBC),^{34a}
 dimethylamine:CoM (MtbB1C),^{34b} trimethylamine:CoM (MttB1C),^{34c}
 dimethylsulfide:CoM (MtsAB)^{34d, 16} This reduction process is not only implicated in the reaction cycles of methyltransferases, but also forms an essential chemical component in ATP:corrinoid adenosyltransferases (ACAs)³⁵ (e.g., CobA, PduO and EutT)³⁶⁻³⁸ en route to the de novo synthesis of adenosylated derivative of vitamin B₁₂, AdoCbl. Despite being a ubiquitous chemical event, the precise molecular mechanism as to how this thermodynamically challenging Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ reduction process is accomplished within enzymes remains to be fully elucidated. The study in the second part of this dissertation focuses on understanding the mechanistic details of the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ reduction process of the methyltransferases using modern computational tools.

The dissertation is composed of two parts. The first part is the investigation of the mechanism of the initial step of catalysis in AdoCbl-dependent MCM and GLM mutases that comprises Co-C bond breakage and subsequent H-atom abstraction from the substrate. In chapter II, the alternate role of the active site tyrosine (Y) residue as an electron transfer (ET) agent has been studied and an alternate Co-C bond activation mechanism for B₁₂-dependent mutases has been suggested. The energetic implications of the ET between the Y residue and the AdoCbl cofactor in the context of the initial step of catalysis i.e., Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom abstraction from the substrate has been discussed in Chapter III. The second part is the study of the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ reduction process in the models as well as MetH-bound complexes.

The unusual H-bond forming ability of Co^{1+} ion (a dominant d^8 electronic configuration)^{39,40} in Co^{1+}Cbx model complexes, and its structural as well as biological implications in the context of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process have been investigated in chapter IV. The existence of an unprecedented MetH-bound $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction induced by the axial ligand conformational change and its role in the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ redox process has been discussed in chapter V. The study of alternate coordination environments of Co^{1+}Cbx in the model as well as MetH-bound complexes have been developed in chapter VI. The possible involvement of the local enzyme scaffold especially that of the active site tyrosine residue of MetH enzyme in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation has been studied in chapter VII.

1.1 B_{12} Catalysis in Adenosylcobalamin-Dependent Mutases

AdoCbl or coenzyme B_{12} catalyzes a variety of chemically difficult transformations that involve intramolecular 1,2-type hydrogen exchange with a functional group (alkyl, hydroxyl or amino) on adjacent carbon atoms (Schemes 1 and 2).¹⁻¹⁹ It is generally accepted that the catalytic reaction is initiated by the homolytic cleavage of the Co-C bond of AdoCbl cofactor leading to the formation (at least formally) of 5'-deoxy-5'-adenosyl radical ($\text{Ado}^\bullet$) and Co^{2+}Cbx .⁴¹ The $\text{Ado}^\bullet$ then abstracts H-atom from the substrate, which is followed by rearrangement of the substrate-derived radical into a product-related radical. The re-abstraction of H-atom closes the catalytic cycle.

There are several fundamental issues related to AdoCbl-dependent enzyme catalysis which remain elusive. The most fundamental is the question of the $\sim 10^{12}$ -fold rate enhancement of the Co-C bond cleavage inside the enzyme

Scheme 1. General catalytic mechanism for AdoCbl-dependent enzymes.

environment²² in comparison to thermal homolysis of the free cofactor in solution. The Co-C bond cleavage in isolated coenzyme B₁₂ is energetically unfavorable with the bond dissociation energy (BDE) estimated at ~ 31.0 kcal/mol.²⁵⁻²⁷ In contrast, the homolysis of the Co-C bond inside enzyme is rapid and is not a rate determining step²⁸ implying that its strength must be significantly reduced by ~ 17.0 kcal/mol during catalytic turnover.²⁹ The enzyme also shifts the equilibrium towards the homolysis products giving equilibrium constant close to unity inside the enzyme.^{28b,28d,41,42,43,44} In addition, the homolytic cleavage of the Co-C bond

is kinetically coupled to the H-atom abstraction from the substrate.^{28b,28d,42,43,44,45,46} Although it is generally believed that AdoCbl cofactor is only required to initiate the radical reaction and resulting Co^{2+}Cbx behaves like a spectator (i.e. does not participate in subsequent steps), Buckel et al.,⁴⁷ challenged this traditional view and proposed that Co^{2+}Cbx in AdoCbl-dependent mutases such as MCM or GLM plays a more active role. It was suggested that the Co^{2+}Cbx acts as a conductor by stabilizing both $\text{Ado}^\bullet$ and the product-related radical intermediates. The Co^{2+}Cbx -mediated stabilization of radicals may occur via a concerted mechanism involving Co-C cleavage and H-atom abstraction from substrate. Thus, the concept of Co^{2+}Cbx being a spectator⁴⁸ can be applied to a subclass of AdoCbl-dependent enzymes known as eliminases that are exemplified by diol dehydratase⁴⁹ and glycerol dehydratase⁵⁰.

Scheme 2. 1,2-type reactions catalyzed by MCM and GLM mutases. The carboxylic group that can interact with the Y residue has been indicated by box.

Several mechanistic explanations have been suggested to account for the enhanced cleavage of Co-C bond inside the enzyme. Earlier proposals have been primarily of steric nature^{3,22,41,51,52,53,54,55-60} and emphasized importance of factors such as deformation of corrin ring,^{41,51,52} role of α -axial ligand,^{53,54} bending of β -axial ligand⁵⁵⁻⁶⁰ and steric strain induced by the substrate binding^{3,22,57}. However, recent crystallographic,^{2,61,62,63-65} spectroscopic^{54,67,68,69} as well as computational studies⁷⁰⁻⁷² reveal the invalidity of steric proposals to fully explain the observed catalytic effect. Taking into account that mechanism of AdoCbl-dependent enzyme catalysis has been difficult to probe experimentally; several groups have started using computational tools to acquire insight into the activation of the Co-C bond in AdoCbl-dependent mutases. Two AdoCbl-dependent mutases, MCM and GLM received particular attention because of their reliable crystal structures.^{62,73} The calculations for the Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom transfer have been reported for model systems in gas phase⁷⁴⁻⁷⁸ as well as inside the proteins^{79,80}. Jensen and Ryde performed comprehensive QM/MM calculations focusing primary on the Co-C bond cleavage in the GLM-bound AdoCbl cofactor.⁸¹ The mechanistic aspects of the subsequent H-atom abstraction step were also briefly discussed. The Co-C BDE for AdoCbl cofactor was significantly reduced from ~34.2 kcal/mol in vacuum to ~1.9 kcal/mol inside the GLM enzyme which was attributed to several factors. The entire catalytic effect was made up of four contributing components with the most significant coming from the geometrical distortion of the cofactor by the

protein which was larger in Co^{III} state than in Co^{II} state, and the stabilization of the dissociated state via electrostatic interactions of the cofactor with the protein residues. Our research group modeled the Co-C bond homolysis and subsequent H-atom abstraction using a truncated model of the GLM substrate in the gas phase.⁸² The concerted pathway was predicted to be energetically more favorable than stepwise by ~ 7.0 kcal/mol thus confirming the conductor hypothesis of Buckel et al.⁴⁷ Radom and co-workers⁸³ also performed a similar analysis in the gas phase emphasizing the importance of the ribose orientation in the substrate activation. They obtained a stepwise pathway pointing out that the longer $\text{Co}\cdots\text{C}$ bond in TS for H-abstraction was due to use of the full GLM substrate in their calculations instead of a truncated propanol model applied previously. Their calculations also identified sizeable stabilization of TS by ~ 7.2 kcal/mol for one distinct orientation of the ribose part of AdoCbl as a result of favorable $\text{C-H}\cdots\text{O3'}$ hydrogen bond formation with corrin macrocycle. Thus, the conductor hypothesis⁴⁷ was also confirmed in this study with similar energetic effect but having a different origin.

Warshel et al.,⁸⁴ employed the empirical valence bond methodology along with the free energy perturbation approach to investigate the Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom abstraction in the case of MCM enzyme. They showed that the concerted mechanism in MCM was more favorable and it was concluded that the catalytic effect mainly originate from the electrostatic interaction between the ribose and the protein. Morokuma et al.,⁸⁵ also investigated the origin of the catalytic effect in MCM enzyme, but using the

ONIOM QM/MM methodology. These authors pointed out that the cobalt-carbon bond coordinate alone is not a sufficient reaction coordinate to study the catalytic event. The transition state for the Co-C bond cleavage that was studied as a function of the conformational change in the Ado moiety was predicted for the homolysis step. They also obtained significant reduction of the Co-C BDE confirming previously reported results of Jensen and Ryde⁸¹ that the catalytic effect can be decomposed into several components such as cage effect or the effect of coenzyme geometrical distortion. When the formation of an Ado radical and subsequent H-atom transfer from the substrate was studied it was concluded that the initial Co-C bond cleavage and the subsequent H-atom transfer occur in a stepwise manner in the protein and that the concerted pathway in the gas phase is favored by 7.7 kcal/mol.

Although the consensus with regard to the origin of the enormous catalytic activity in B₁₂ dependent enzymes is still lacking, yet the one-electron reduction of the cofactor, that leads to weakened Co-C bond, has attracted considerable attention.⁸⁶⁻⁹⁰ Almost three decades ago, electrochemical studies by Lexa and Savéant⁸⁶ demonstrated that the addition of an electron to the B₁₂ cofactor leads to significant reduction in the BDE of Co-C bond that could explain the catalytic effect observed inside the protein environment. Later on, other experimental studies confirmed and extended this finding.^{87,88,89-90} Despite the potential relevance to B₁₂-dependent enzyme catalysis, the mechanism of reductive cleavage has not been deciphered until recently.⁸⁹ It has been hypothesized that the added electron directly goes to $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C}}$ orbital and formation of 3-electron bond

is primarily responsible for the observed bond weakening. However recent reports⁹¹⁻⁹⁴ show that initial addition of an electron leads to the formation of a π^*_{corrin} anion radical with electron delocalized over the equatorial ligand. Consequently, the cleavage of the Co-C bond must involve several distinctive steps, one of them being the transition of added electron between two electronic states π^*_{corrin} and $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C}}$. It was established that lowering in BDE arises due to involvement of two electronic states: initial π^*_{corrin} state that is formed upon one-electron addition and final $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C}}$ state. Upon stretching the Co-C bond, the added electron moves to the $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C}}$ state and the final cleavage involves a three electron bond $(\sigma)^2 (\sigma^*)^1$. This finding has been demonstrated for one-electron-reduced (1e-Red) MeCbl^{91,93-94} as well as alkyl-cobalt(III)phthalocyanin (Pc)⁹² complexes. In case of 1e-Red MeCbl, the lowering in BDE was estimated to be ~45% while it was ~37% as far as 1e-Red Pc-based model compound was concerned. The reductive Co-C bond cleavage mechanism involving transfer of $\text{Me}^\bullet$ from MeCbl to the substrate radical ($\text{CH}_3\text{S}^\bullet$) has also been investigated by means of DFT, complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) followed by second order perturbation theory (CASPT2),⁹³ and hybrid quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations⁹⁴. It has been shown that the deprotonated substrate ($\text{CH}_3\text{-S}^-$) can serve as a redox center causing one-electron reduction of the cofactor and thereby can induce the efficient Me group transfer. The QM/MM analysis of MethH catalyzed methyl transfer reaction suggested an energy barrier of ≤ 8.5 kcal/mol for the reductive reaction pathway⁹⁴ which is comparable to what has been estimated for an $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ reaction⁹⁵.

Although the BDE lowering caused by one-electron reduction is in line with what is required to achieve the observed $\sim 10^{12}$ orders of enzymatic rate enhancement, the reductive cleavage mechanism⁹¹⁻⁹⁴ has never been considered as a possible mode of activation largely due to the highly unfavorable redox chemistry of B₁₂ cofactors (-1.20 to -1.60 V vs standard calomel electrode (SCE)).^{86,89} However it is well-documented in literature,⁹⁶⁻¹⁰³ that the complex interactions operative within the protein framework can significantly alter the redox potential of a cofactor or the active site. For example, a single residue (Gln), in case of superoxide dismutase (SOD), has been found to induce a redox modulation of over 1V range owing to changes in its local environment.⁹⁶ Similarly, low dielectric constant of the protein active site and the H-bond network have been suggested to modulate the redox potential of the Y residue and P₆₈₀⁺ motif in the case of photosystem-II (PS-II).⁹⁷ Even the hydrophobic residues in the binding pockets of myoglobin and cytochromes have been found to exert a redox tuning of ~ 500 mV.⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰ Variations in the ligation and spin states of the heme framework also impart a significant amount of modulation upon the redox behavior of hemoproteins.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ This growing body of evidence about the redox tuning of protein-encapsulated redox cofactors suggest that the electrochemical behavior of enzyme-bound B₁₂ cofactors may also be tunable, and the possibility of ET-based activation mechanism in the context of AdoCbl-dependent enzymes cannot be entirely ruled out.

In the first study in Chapter II, the role of the active site tyrosine residue as an ET agent in the case of AdoCbl-dependent GLM and MCM mutases has been

investigated by means of the DFT, CASSCF and QM/MM computational methods. For the model DFT calculations, the AdoCbl cofactor that was simplified with respect to the corrin amide side chains was used while PhOH was used to mimic the active site Y residue in the case of GLM and MCM enzymes respectively. The simplified $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Cbl}$ was used as the AdoCbl model for carrying out the CASSCF calculations that are aimed at gaining detailed information about the electronic structure of AdoCbl--Y⁻ complex. The QM/MM calculations were performed to investigate the catalytic role of Y89 residue in the MCM enzyme (PDB-code: 4REQ @ 2.2 Å resolution)⁵⁶. The computational Y89F mutation was also carried out to better understand the role of Y89 residue in the context of the Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom abstraction from the substrate. An alternate mechanism for the activation of Co-C bond cleavage in the AdoCbl-dependent mutases has been proposed.

Taking into account that the one-electron reduction of the cofactor exerts an appreciable amount of the catalytic effect upon the Co-C bond cleavage process,⁸⁶⁻⁹⁰ the initial step of B₁₂ catalysis that consists of Co-C bond breakage and subsequent H-atom abstraction from the substrate in the context of GLM enzyme has been investigated considering the involvement of the reduced AdoCbl cofactor. The simplified ribosylcobalamin (RibCbl) and propionaldehyde has been used in the DFT calculations to mimic the AdoCbl and glutamate substrate respectively. The intrinsic reaction coordinate calculations have been performed to locate the transition state involved in the reaction.

1.2 Cob(II)alamin/Cob(I)alamin Redox Process in Methyltransferases

Co^{1+}Cbx supplies significant mechanistic assistance for the reactions catalyzed by a wide body of methyltransferases namely MethH ,³¹ CFeSP ,³² MtaBC ,³³ MtmBC ,^{34a} MtbB1C ,^{34b} MttB1C ,^{34c} MtsAB ^{34d} and many more.³⁵ Co^{1+}Cbx is not only relevant for methyltransferase chemistry, but also forms an essential chemical component in ACAs³⁶ (e.g., CobA , PduO and EutT)³⁷⁻⁴⁰ leading to the de novo synthesis of AdoCbl (Scheme 3). In addition to its impeccable biological profile, Co^{1+}Cbx also plays a deterministic role in the biofriendly detoxification reactions of halogenated organic compounds^{104,105,106} that are major contaminants prevalent in the soil and groundwater of many

Scheme 3. Biochemical Pathway of ATP:corrinoid adenosyltransferases.

industrial sites¹⁰⁷. Because of the large repertoire of functions Co^{1+}Cbx serve, understanding its structural and electronic properties is an important pursuit. Interestingly, methyltransferases and ACAs not only share the same intermediate platform, but also a common mechanistic feature i.e., $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction is executed at some stage of their respective reaction cycles.¹⁶ For example, in the case of *E. Coli* cobalamin-dependent MetH enzyme (Scheme 4),³¹ $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction is needed during the reactivation cycle when Co^{1+}Cbx is deactivated yielding Co^{2+}Cbx . This deactivation reaction is reported to occur once every ~2000 catalytic turnovers.¹⁰⁸ In another methyltransferase namely CFeSP enzyme,³² the similar deactivation process occurs once every 100 catalytic cycles.¹⁰⁹ In order to maintain the proper catalytic functioning of the enzyme, Co^{2+}Cbx has to be converted back into the biologically active MeCbl form which

Scheme 4. Reaction cycle of MetH enzyme. The main catalytic cycle is shown in dark maroon whereas the reactivation cycle is shown in blue.

is a two-step process: (i) initially a conformational change is induced at the Co^{2+}Cbx where the α -axial H759 ligand is displaced¹¹⁰ and is substituted by the β -axial H_2O ligand¹¹¹. This is the rate determining step of the reactivation cycle and involves a significant amount of the conformational motion of the interacting domains.¹¹⁰ (ii) The Co^{2+}Cbx is then reduced into Co^{1+}Cbx with the assistance of biological electron donor flavodoxin followed by the subsequent methylation by the adenosyl-L-methionine (AdoMet).¹¹²

Despite its ubiquitous nature, the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction continues to remain one of the insufficiently proven aspects of cobalamin chemistry. This is due in part to thermodynamic up-hill character of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process, because the reduction potential of the unbound base-off Co^{2+}Cbx (-500 mV vs standard hydrogen electrode (SHE)) is inaccessible to the common physiological reductants (-280 mV to -440 mV vs SHE) present in the cellular environments.^{86,113} To rationalize the enzyme-induced tuning of the reduction process, formation of tetracoordinated square planar Co^{1+}Cbx has been advocated as the most reasonable mechanistic rationale because the axial ligand (H_2O)-bound Co^{1+}Cbl is found to be a thermodynamically unstable complex ($\Delta G = +1.96$ kcal/mol) at the level of conventional DFT (i.e., PBE/TZP).¹¹⁴ Existing EXAFS¹¹⁵ and spectroscopic^{111,114} data have been interpreted in favor of Co^{1+}Cbx being strictly a tetracoordinated square planar species. MethH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx has also been suggested to be a square planar complex, wherein the active site Y1139 residue catalyzes the formation of square planar MethH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx (Scheme 5).^{111,116} Experimental¹¹⁷⁻¹¹⁸ and spectroscopic¹¹⁹⁻¹²⁰

precedence in the case of human-type ACA, even predict Co^{2+}Cbx to be a tetracoordinated species. The catalytic importance of Q112 of the *LrPduO* enzyme, an ACA enzyme, in promoting the formation of tetracoordinated Co^{2+}Cbx is well-supported by the crystallographic data: the topological

Scheme 5. MetH-induced formation of square planar Co^{1+}Cbx .

coordinates of Q112 in conjunction with its steric bulk has been postulated to dismiss any kind of the axial interaction of the cofactor.¹¹⁸ Also, in the case of CFeSP enzyme, the QM/MM investigation of methylated H_2O -bound Co^{2+}Cbx model suggests that enzyme-bound Co^{1+}Cbx would be a tetracoordinated square

planar complex.¹²¹ Surprisingly, the feasibility of a pentacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbx complex in the context of CFeSP enzyme (or in general for methyltransferases) has never been computationally tested using H_2O -bound Co^{1+}Cbx , rather the conclusions are based on the fact that the axial H_2O ligand detachment in the case of H_2O -bound Co^{2+}Cbi is an energetically amenable process (< 4 kcal/mol).¹²¹

On the other hand, the X-ray structural characterization of two anionic pentacoordinated cob(I)aloximes namely $[\text{pyCo}^{1+}(\text{dmgBF}_2)_2]^-$,¹²² and $[\text{MeCNCo}^{1+}(\text{dmgBF}_2)_2]^-$,¹²³ and, also of a Co^{1+} clathrochelate ($[\text{Co}^{1+}(\text{Cl}_2\text{g})_3(\text{Bn-C}_4\text{H}_9)_2]^-$)¹²⁴ in a trigonal prism arrangement, imply that Co^{1+}Cbx may also adapt to square pyramidal or octahedral coordination geometry in addition to the most widely embraced viewpoint of its square planarity. Note here that the biological importance of square pyramidal Co^{1+} model compounds in the context of the catalytic cycle of MetH enzyme has also been discussed by Randaccio *et al.*¹²⁵ Interestingly, an increasing body of experimental and theoretical evidences suggests that the d^8 metal ions (Co^{1+} , Ni^{2+} , Pt^{2+} , Pd^{2+} etc.) display an uncommon yet distinct ability of forming metal ion influenced H-bonds (i.e., $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$,^{126,127,128} $\text{Ni}^{2+}\cdots\text{H}$,¹²⁹ $\text{Pt}^{2+}\cdots\text{H}$,^{130,131,132-133} $\text{Pd}^{2+}\cdots\text{H}$,^{134,135} etc.)^{136,137} that, in turn, empowers their complexes with an aptitude for square pyramidal coordination environment. The formation of such H-bonds is credited to the fact that the d^8 metal ions can utilize their preferentially oriented filled d-orbitals for interacting with the acidic hydrogens (H-X ; $\text{X} = \text{N}, \text{O}$ or C) of the axial ligands. For example, a $\text{Ni}^{2+}\cdots\text{H-N}$ interaction (2.45 Å; 158.2°) has been observed in square planar

[Ni(NH₂Et)₃][NiL₂] (L = maleonitriledithiolato) complex where the hydrogen bound to N-hetero atom of the anionic ligand is engaged in cation-anion type interaction with the Ni²⁺ center of the square planar complex.¹²⁹ A Pt²⁺ ion-enforced charge-assisted H-bond (N-H---Pt²⁺ interaction = 2.26 Å (167.3°)) has been identified in the [PtCl₂(NH₂Me)₂][NPrn₄]₂[PtCl₄] complex.¹³²⁻¹³³ It is worthy of mention that the axial H₂O ligand in the trans-[PtCl₂(NH₃)(N-glycine)].H₂O complex was found to be O-bound with the Pt²⁺ ion during a prior X-ray diffraction study,¹³⁸ but this prediction has recently been reversed owing to a low temperature neutron diffraction study by Kozelka et al.,¹³³ wherein the accurate localization of H atoms has led to the confirmation that the Pt²⁺ ion is rather H-bonded with H₂O molecule. The PdClL.1.5H₂O (L = glycyl-L-histidine-*N,N',N''*) complex also displays a H-bond type interaction between the H-N segment of ligand and the square planar Pd²⁺ center (N-H---Pd²⁺ = 2.60 Å (137.5°)).¹³⁴

The Co¹⁺ ion-induced charge-assisted H-bonds between the cobalt carbonyl anion (Co(CO)₄⁻¹) and its NR₃H⁺ counterions have also been noted.¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸ For example, the [NMe₃H][Co(CO)₄] complex manifests a Co¹⁺--H-N interaction (2.51 Å - 2.52 Å; 179.5° - 179.7°), that is slightly weakened (2.61 Å - 2.68 Å) in the [NEt₃H][Co(CO)₄] complex due to the greater steric size of the Et ligand.^{126,127} The similar Co¹⁺--H-N interaction is also observed in crystalline L₂L'[Co(CO)₄] (L = *N*-methylpiperazinium; L' = *N*-methylpiperazine) (Co¹⁺--H-N = 2.60 Å - 2.64 Å; 163.9° - 176.8°).¹²⁸

These new fundamental organometallic and bioinorganic advances clearly imply that a significant amount of research effort has yet to be invested to provide

a comprehensive insight into coordination environment of Co^{1+}Cbx as well as into the details of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction process. The second part of this dissertation exclusively addresses the following mechanistically important question: is Co^{1+}Cbx intermediate really a square planar species as the existing data suggest? Or it can exist as a pentacoordinated square pyramidal or hexacoordinated octahedral complex owing to its plausible ability of communicating with the axial ligands via Co^{1+} ion induced H-bonds? If yes, what could be the ensuing mechanistic prospects of such associative interactions in the context of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction catalyzed by methyltransferases and ACAs? Finally, how is the thermodynamic stability of weak non-covalent $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interactions affected by the enzyme environment? In specific, the purpose of the third study elaborated in Chapter IV is to probe the H-bond forming ability of Co^{1+} ion which is a dominant d^8 configuration^{39,40} with judiciously oriented filled d-orbitals and can interact with the axial ligands in the Co^{1+}Cbx complexes. The DFT, natural bond orbital (NBO) and atoms in molecule (AIM) computational tools have been analyzed to investigate the coordination environments of model Co^{1+}Cbx complexes. The electrochemistry of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction process has been computationally explored taking into account the square planar and square pyramidal geometries of Co^{1+}Cbx complexes.

Considering that the d^8 metal ion induced H-bonds are usually non-covalent in nature,¹²⁶⁻¹³⁷ the formation of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bond may or may not be feasible inside the enzyme environment. To investigate the impact of biological environment of methyltransferase upon the thermodynamic stability of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$

bond, DFT and QM/MM calculations in Chapter V have been performed to model the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx and Co^{1+}Cbx complexes. The QM/MM calculations are performed using the most reasonable crystal structure available for the reaction complex of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (PDB-code: 3IVA @ 2.7 Å resolution)¹¹⁶ to gain insight how the conformational change at the axial ligand is induced due to a change in the electronic configuration of the cobalt ion. The AIM calculations are carried out to characterize the nature of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction in model Co^{1+}Cbx complexes. The thermodynamic stability of H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl complexes is analyzed within polarizable continuum model (PCM) of solvation where the chloroform solvent is used to mimick the enzyme environment.

In the next study in Chapter VI, DFT, AIM, NBO and QM/MM computational tools are applied to further probe the possibility of alternate coordination geometries of Co^{1+}Cbx . Two kinds of structural model namely simplified (Co^{1+}Cbl) as well as complete (Co^{1+}Cbi) models are considered in the DFT calculations wherein H_2O and imidazole (Im) are mimicked as the axial ligands respectively. The nature of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions in the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi is characterized using AIM analysis. The reduction potentials of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ redox couple are calculated in three different solvents namely chloroform, acetonitrile and water while assuming all the possible coordination geometries of Co^{1+}Cbx complexes. The QM/MM calculations are performed on MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx complexes (PDB-code: 1BMT @ 3.0 Å resolution)² where the α - and β -axial ligands are modeled by H759 and H_2O respectively. The X-ray

crystal structure of the active sites of methyltransferases is analyzed to support our calculations.

Finally, the fourth study in Chapter VII focuses on understanding the possible involvement of the active site tyrosine residue (i.e., Y1139) of Meth enzyme^{116,139} in the Co^{1+} --H bond formation. The DFT calculations are carried out on the Co^{1+} Cbi complexes testing two different β -axial ligands namely H_2O and PhOH respectively. The PhOH motif is used to mimick the tyrosine Y1139 residue that has been found in the active site of the reactivation complex of Meth enzyme^{116,139} and has been suggested to be catalytically important for the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction process.^{111,116} To model the local environment, an additional H_2O molecule is considered in the vicinity of the β -axial ligands. The analysis of the existing crystallographic data available for the reactivation conformation of Meth enzyme has been carried out to evaluate the significance of our computational work.

CHAPTER II

ROLE OF TYROSINE RESIDUE IN B₁₂ CATALYSIS: A CASE STUDY OF ADENOSYLCOBALAMIN-DEPENDENT MUTASES

2.1 Introduction

AdoCbl catalyzes a variety of chemically difficult transformations involving 1,2-type intramolecular shift of a H-atom with a functional group such as alkyl, hydroxyl or amino (Scheme 1).¹⁻¹⁹ During the catalytic cycle the Co-C bond of AdoCbl cofactor is cleaved in a homolytic fashion leading to the formation of Ado[•] and Co²⁺Cbx.⁴¹ The most remarkable aspect of AdoCbl-dependent enzyme catalysis is $\sim 10^{12}$ rate enhancement inside the enzyme environment.²² Though it has been found that homolysis of the Co-C bond is rapid and is not a rate determining step²⁸ implying that its strength must be significantly reduced during catalytic turnover in comparison to free AdoCbl in solution,²⁹ yet the precise underlying mechanism responsible for such Co-C bond weakening remains elusive.

The Co-C bond in AdoCbl-dependent enzymes is not substantially destabilized in the absence of substrate. The most convincing argument supporting this notion comes from resonance Raman spectroscopy where vibrational data show that the Co-C stretching frequency is essentially the same in the case of isolated AdoCbl in solution as well as in the enzyme-bound AdoCbl.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ Upon binding of substrate, the catalytic cycle is initiated with the homolysis of the Co-C bond which is followed by subsequent H-atom abstraction from the substrate. The manner in which the arrival of substrate triggers the enzymatic reaction remains an open question. Available crystallographic data for several AdoCbl-dependent enzymes^{49,50,56,60,73,140,141} reveal that the substrate enters into the active site through channel positioned on the upper face of the corrin macrocycle.^{49,50,56,73,140} It has further been postulated that the presence of substrate which induces a conformational switch⁵⁶ or the tight binding of the adenine binding pocket induces a marked distortion of the Co-C bond^{49b,c} that can have implications with reference to the activation of Co-C bond. However, the crystal structures of AdoCbl-dependent enzymes have been determined under the condition that the Ado moiety is either not well-resolved or has been replaced by other groups. Furthermore, due to limited crystallographic resolution of available X-ray structures of B₁₂-dependent enzymes, one cannot get an obvious insight into how the structural changes observed upon substrate binding can be connected with AdoCbl-based catalytic activity.

On the other hand, closer inspection of crystallographic data available for AdoCbl-based enzymes^{49,50,56,62,73,140,141} reveals a very interesting and a common

thread connecting all these enzymes. In the vicinity of cofactor, the Y residue is present in the immediate surroundings of the active site at an average distance of ~ 7.5 Å from the cobalt center (see Table 1). Based upon structural information stored in protein data bank, Figure 2 sketches an overview of the local environment of the active site capturing the location of Y component in different enzymes. The –OH group of this motif is either directed towards the metal center of the cofactor or the substrate which might be significant from enzymatic catalysis point of view. Moreover, the site-specific Y89F mutation in the case of MCM,⁵⁷ has resulted in a significant drop ($\sim 10^3$ - 10^4) in the catalytic activity.

An important question arises what can be the role of Y residue in the possible mechanism of Co-C bond activation accounting for $\sim 10^{12}$ enzymatic rate enhancement? Before turning our attention to this issue, it is important to emphasize that deprotonation of Y residue and its subsequent oxidation leading to the formation of neutral radical i.e., $Y \rightarrow Y^- \rightarrow Y^\bullet$, constitutes a key step in numerous enzymatic processes.¹⁴² The participation of $Y^\bullet$ has been confirmed in many enzymes including PS-II,¹⁴³ prostaglandin H synthase,¹⁴⁴ galactose oxidase,¹⁴⁵ cytochrome c oxidase,¹⁴⁶ cytochrome b_5 reductase,¹⁴⁷ ribonucleotide reductase,¹⁴⁸ monoamine oxidase,¹⁴⁹ and charge transfer between the tyrosine and the tryptophan residues in proteins.¹⁵⁰ In all these enzymes, $Y^\bullet$ takes part in biological reactions via PCET, a phenomenon ubiquitous in biological systems and a topic of intense experimental and theoretical scrutiny. Thus, the role of the Y residue acting as a redox center has precedence in bioinorganic chemistry. This prompted us to investigate the importance of the Y residue as an internal

redox center inside the AdoCbl-dependent enzymes. If Y serves like a redox motif, an electron would be transferred to the AdoCbl cofactor leading to the formation of π^*_{corrin} anion radical. Consequently, the cleavage of the enzyme-bound Co-C bond would be more in line with 1eI-Red form of AdoCbl cofactor (i.e., [AdoCbl] $^{\bullet-}$) rather than its neutral analogue. It is well-documented in literature^{86,89-90} that the electrochemical reduction of B₁₂ cofactors leads to significant lowering in the Co-C BDE. Such reduction of the bond strength, according to computational studies,⁹¹⁻⁹⁴ can be attributed to the involvement of two electronic states in the dissociation process: initially the addition of an electron to the cofactor leads to the formation of a π^*_{corrin} anion radical, with the extra electron delocalized over the equatorial i.e. corrin ligand. As the Co-C bond

Table 1

Co••O(Y) distances (Å) in selected AdoCbl-dependent enzymes. Only the Y residues lying within a distance of 10 Å, from the Co center have been considered

Enzymes	PDB-code	Tyr-code	Co••O(Y)	Ref.
MCM	3REQ	Y89, Y243	8.71, 8.13	56
	4REQ	Y89, Y243	8.08, 6.95	56
GLM	1CB7	Y181	7.54	73a
	1CCW	Y181	7.43	73a
	1I9C	Y181	7.21	73b
LAM	1XRS	Y193	6.95	141
DDH	1DIO	Y226	7.20	49a
	1EEX	Y226	7.23	49b
	1EGM	Y226	7.19	49b
GDH	1IWP	Y227	7.36	50a
	1MMF	Y227	7.42	50b

* MCM=MethylmalonylCoA Mutase; GLM=Glutamate Mutase; LAM= Lysine Amino Mutase; DDH=Diol Dehydratase; GDH=Glycerol Dehydratase

Figure 2. Close up view of the superimposition of the active site in AdoCbl-dependent enzymes depicting the location of Y residue relative to the corrin ring: 4REQ (Y89, Y213, purple), 1XRS (Y193, yellow), 1DIO (Y226, green), 1MMF (Y227, cyan), and 1I9C (Y181, pink). The corrin ring is shown only for MCM (4REQ) (see Table 1 for details).

is stretched, the extra electron relocates into the $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C}}$ state, and the final cleavage involves a three-electron bond $(\sigma)^2(\sigma^*)^1$. Thus, according to this proposal, the main catalytic effect of AdoCbl-dependent enzymes may be due to the weakening of the Co-C bond via increased population of the $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C}}$ orbital.

The purpose of the research described in this chapter is to investigate the redox role of the active site Y residue in the Co-C bond activation in AdoCbl-

dependent MCM and GLM mutases respectively. A variety of structural models while incorporating a varied degree of complexity associated with electronic structure calculations have been used to accomplish the research goals.

2.2 Computational Details

Three levels of sophistication with respect to theoretical framework as well as with regard to the structural models have been applied to elucidate the electronic properties of AdoCbl–Y complex. Specifically, first of all, the complex was investigated at DFT level of theory using realistic structural complexes of MCM and GLM mutases respectively. Since the diradical state of the complex can only approximately be described at a DFT level of theory, the analysis of AdoCbl–Y complex was also performed using multi-reference CASSCF wavefunction followed by second order multi-reference perturbation theory (QDPT2). In order to take enzymatic environment into consideration, QM/MM calculations were also carried out for the MCM enzyme. Finally, the effect of Y89F site-directed mutation of MCM enzyme on the electronic structure of the diradical state of the AdoCbl–Y complex was also probed.

2.2.1 DFT calculations

All the calculations reported in the present work have been carried out using the Gaussian03¹⁵¹ suite of programs for electronic structure and properties calculations. To investigate the significance of Y being in the immediate vicinity of AdoCbl, we have carried out DFT-based computations using the structural models mimicking the active sites of MCM (PDB-code: 4REQ @ 2.2 Å resolution)

and GLM (PDB-code: 1I9C @ Å resolution) mutases (Table 1; Figure 2). The models have been constructed, first optimizing the structure of AdoCbl (simplified with respect to the side chains of corrin ring) and then placing the PhOH (an ideal structural mimick of the Y residue) in the locations consistent with the available crystallographic information (Figure 3). Both neutral (PhOH) and deprotonated phenol (PhO^-) were employed in the present theoretical investigation. BP86¹⁵² and B3LYP^{152a,153}-based DFT calculations have been performed assuming singlet electronic state, consistent with even number of total electrons, while allowing spin polarization between different molecular entities via unrestricted

Figure 3. Structural models of GLM-(*left*) and MCM-bound (*right*) AdoCbl--Y⁻ complexes.

Kohn-Sham formalism. The 6-31G(d) basis set (5d components) was used throughout the calculations. The use of BP86/6-31G(d) 5d level of theory is

inspired by the fact that it constitutes an appropriate platform for addressing the structural, electronic and spectroscopic properties of the alkyl-cobalt(III) complexes.^{82,91-94,154,155,156}

2.2.2 CASSCF/MC-XQDPT2 calculations

Considering the fact that the diradical electronic state could only approximately be described using single-determinantal wavefunction, CASSCF calculations followed by second-order perturbation theory were also applied to elucidate the electronic properties of AdoCbl–Y complex. Recently the modified version of quasi-degenerate perturbation theory with multiconfigurational self-consistent-field reference function (QDPT2)¹⁵⁷ referred to as MC-XQDPT2 as implemented in the PC GAMESS/Firefly QC package,¹⁵⁸ along with the SV(P) basis set was applied. In order to reduce the structural complexity of AdoCbl cofactor, Ado ligand was further simplified to $-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$.⁸²

2.2.3 QM/MM Calculations

The most complex and advanced calculations were carried out by taking into account the actual enzymatic environment of MCM enzyme where AdoCbl cofactor and Y89 residue were considered as a part of QM domain. Two different types of QM/MM calculations were performed with different QM and MM partitions. To prepare a structurally reasonable model for QM/MM calculations, we did initial model setting on the basis of the crystal structure (PDB-code: 4REQ @ 2.2 Å resolution) of MCM--substrate complex. The protonation states of

titratable residues at pH 7.5 were determined with the Generalized-Born method. The enzyme model included ligands, water molecules, and amino acids 38-728 of chain A, resulting in 12289 atoms in total. The system was heated and equilibrated at the CHARMM level of theory in three steps: (i) steepest descent optimization of the system, (ii) molecular dynamics (MD) for 15 ps heating from 50 to 300 K, and (iii) equilibration for 400 ps at 300 K with a time step of 1 fs. During the MD simulation, the coordinates of the QM-region atoms and of heavy atoms beyond 20 Å from the Co atom in the active site were kept fixed at the X-ray coordinates. The SHAKE algorithm was used to constrain bonds involving H-atoms.

Figure 4. Active site view of MCM-bound AdoCbl cofactor (PDB-code: 4REQ @ 2.2 Å resolution).

Finally, the system was minimized with the adopted basis Newton-Raphson (ABNR) algorithm for 5000 steps. All calculations were carried out with Discovery Studio 2.0. The electronic structure of the AdoCbl- Y^- complex was probed assuming QM matrix comprised of truncated coenzyme B_{12} with respect to the side chains, part of substrate along with R207, H610, E370 and Y89 residues while treating rest of the enzyme at the MM level of theory (Figure 4). The enzyme model, employed in the present investigation, comprised of residues 38-78 of chain A, the AdoCbl cofactor, the truncated substrate, and the corresponding crystal water molecules closer to chain A, while the remaining flexible residues 3-37 of chain A, lying far from the cofactor and substrate were excluded from calculations. The effect of Y89F mutation was studied assuming Ado group, partial substrate along with Y89, R207, H244 and Q197 residues as the constituents of QM domain. BP86 functional was used to investigate the electronic properties of the AdoCbl- Y^- complex while B3LYP was employed to analyze the effect of Y89F mutation.

All QM/MM calculations were performed with the ChemShell¹⁵⁹ interface using TURBOMOLE¹⁶⁰ for the DFT calculations along with CHARMM¹⁶¹ force field. The basis set SV(P) (for all atoms) was used to carry out the geometry optimizations. The CHARMM force field running through the DL_POLY program was used for the MM part of the system. A standard electronic embedding scheme was chosen: the fixed MM atomic charges were included into the one-electron Hamiltonian of the QM calculations, and the QM/MM electrostatic interactions were evaluated from the QM electrostatic potential and the MM

atomic charges. No cutoffs were applied for the non-bonded MM and QM/MM interactions. We defined a region with 1308 and 1170 atoms to be fully optimized by including all the residues that have atoms within a distance of 10 Å from the Co atom for the Co–C bond cleavage and from the substrate for the rearrangement process, respectively, while keeping the remaining atoms fixed.

2.3 Results and Discussion

2.3.1 DFT Analysis of AdoCbl-Y Model Complexes

To investigate the significance of Y being in the vicinity of AdoCbl, first of all, we carried out DFT computations at the BP86/6-31G(d) 5D level of theory considering the structural replica of the active sites of MCM and GLM enzymes respectively. The structural replicas were modeled, by optimizing the simplified AdoCbl cofactor and then placing the structural mimicks of Y motif (i.e., PhOH and PhO[−]) in positions consistent with available crystallographic data (Figure 2). DFT calculations were performed considering singlet electronic state while permitting spin polarization between different motives within the broken symmetry assumption. In structural models containing PhOH, the spin polarized solution couldn't be obtained while in the case of PhO[−] containing complexes (AdoCbl-PhO[−]), the spin polarized solution pertained to the lowest energy structure implying that the complex has a diradical character and should be better described as [AdoCbl]^{•−}-PhO[•]. This finding was further confirmed by using B3LYP functional (Tables A2 - A3), although numeric details were slightly different. The diradical character of the complex was further verified by

computing the triplet state and analyzing spin density pattern. Such spin polarization is indicative of the fact that the electronic configuration needed to describe AdoCbl-PhO⁻ system (Figure 3), may require multi-configurational wave function. Careful analysis of spin polarized results reveals that the oxidation of PhO⁻ takes place and the complex has a diradical character. More precisely, the AdoCbl-PhO⁻ complex is better described as a diradical species, [AdoCbl]^{•+}-PhO^{•-} consistent with an open-shell singlet electronic configuration. This analysis further proves that the presence of the Y⁻ residue in the proximity of AdoCbl cofactor induces ET between the two units, thus forming a diradical state. The formation of diradical complex is highly sensitive with respect to the location as well as orientation of the Y residue. When the Y motif was placed in orientation consistent with X-ray data, spin density was found to be equally distributed

Figure 5. Spin density distribution in the structural models of GLM-(Left Panel) and MCM-bound (Right Panel) AdoCbl-Y⁻ complexes where blue and green colors represent alpha and beta spin densities respectively.

between the Y residue and AdoCbl (Tables A1 - A2) but as the orientation of the Y motif was altered by means of rotation through different angles (but keeping the same location), we could not find the diradical state of the complex pointing that only a specific location and orientation of Y can induce the ET between the two motifs highlighting the enzyme specificity. To gain further insight, the isosurface spin density profiles were generated for structural models under consideration (Figure 5). In both cases, an unpaired electron is localized on the Y component with spin density distribution in odd-alternant manner, a typical characteristic of $Y^{\bullet}$, while the other electron is transferred onto the AdoCbl where it is mainly delocalized on the corrin ligand. The latter case represents a distribution consistent with the π^*_{corrin} anion radical.

Clearly, the presence of the PhO^- within ~ 7.5 Å distance from AdoCbl alters its electronic structure and the cleavage of the enzyme-bound Co-C bond would be more in line with 1el-Red form of AdoCbl (i.e. $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$). Despite the potential relevance of the one-electron reduction of cofactor to the B_{12} catalysis,^{86,89-90} the reductive activation mechanism has never been considered as a valid mechanism mainly because the redox chemistry of B_{12} cofactors is not accessible to the common biological reducing agents.^{23,162} This argument is based on redox potentials obtained from electrochemical measurements in solution that cannot be directly applied to the enzyme-bound processes. Evidently interactions among the active site residues can appreciably alter the redox potential of the Y residue as well as that of the cofactor. For example, as has been found in case of PSII, the redox potentials of Y as well as that of P680^+

are appreciably tuned by the local protein environment.¹⁴³ Indeed, as has been found here, the presence of the Y residue in the vicinity of AdoCbl might play the crucial role of a reducing agent causing the formation of π^*_{corrin} anion radical in AdoCbl-dependent mutases. To further elaborate this proposal, we have performed three series of calculations: (1) dissociation energy curve was computed for neutral cofactor (2) similar analysis was performed for $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$ in accordance with electrochemical measurements, and (3) energy curve was computed for AdoCbl in presence of PhO^- . Last series of calculations were performed with an additional constraint imposed on the orientation of PhO^- with respect to the Co centre in accordance with 1I9C structure. Figure 6 displays the corresponding energy dissociation curves. In case of neutral cofactor, the energy

Figure 6. Co-C BDE curves for neutral AdoCbl, its 1-el-Red analogue (i.e., $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$) and AdoCbl- PhO^- complex.

required to cleave Co-C bond homolytically is 31.9 kcal/mol, in excellent agreement with experimental data of 31.5 ± 1.3 kcal/mol. However, in case of $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$, the Co-C BDE is significantly reduced due to involvement of two electronic states: initially a π^*_{corrin} state is formed with the extra electron delocalized over the corrin ligand, but as the Co-C bond is stretched (~ 2.50 Å), the extra electron transfers to the $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C}}$ state. The mechanism of reductive cleavage for AdoCbl follows closely what has recently been reported for MeCbl^{91,93-94} and its Pc analogue⁹². Since the final cleavage involves a three electron bond $(\sigma)^2(\sigma^*)^1$, the Co-C BDE decreases to 18.0 kcal/mol, implying 44% bond strength reduction. Interestingly, the dissociation energy curve for Co-C bond estimated in case of $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$ -PhO[•] complex resembles very closely to that obtained for $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$, and the BDE lowering essentially follows the same protocol. The presence of local enzyme environment near AdoCbl cofactor has resulted in $\sim 41\%$ lowering in the Co-C BDE which provides the logistic explanation why the formation of $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$ can be one of the key ingredients of catalytic effect affiliated with AdoCbl-dependent mutases.

2.3.2 X-ray Structural Analysis of MCM and GLM Mutases

Up to now we have presented crystallographic details and computational evidences highlighting the critical importance of Y in the activation of Co-C bond in AdoCbl-dependent enzymes. According to DFT calculations, ET occurs only in case of PhO[•] (Figure 5), which makes the proton abstraction prerequisite for ET. Since the Y is present as a neutral residue inside the enzymes, it is reasonable

to postulate that upon substrate binding, the Y residue is deprotonated. The local environment around the active site provides important insight into how the deprotonation may take place (Figure 7). In case of MCM, Y89 residue lies in close proximity of substrate (i.e., MCA802) and a solvent HOH170 molecule, with the Y89 being at a distance of 2.60 Å and 2.69 Å, from the substrate and water molecule respectively.⁵⁶ The similar inspection of substrate-free crystal structure (PDB-code: 3REQ)⁵⁶ implies that the distance between the Y residue and the nearby H364 residue is significantly large enough (i.e., 4.09 Å) to discard the possibility of any H-bond formation using the phenolic proton of the Y residue (Figure 7c). This structural insight elucidates that the Y181 residue in case of GLM^{73b} is at a distance of 3.38 Å and 3.41 Å from the substrate and the proximal histidine (H150) respectively. Thus it is expected that deprotonation of Y occurs upon substrate binding which serves to complete the PT pathway thereby promoting ET to cofactor. It is therefore reasonable to further postulate that PT step is coupled to ET which can be termed PCET (Scheme 6). There are two experimental findings which lend further support to PCET mechanism in case of AdoCbl-dependent mutases: in case of MCM enzyme, the Y89F mutation has lead to decrease in catalytic activity by 3-4 orders of magnitude.⁵⁷ Although this has been interpreted as the loss of stabilizing interactions upon mutation, the replacement Y → F appears to be more consistent with decrease in the rate of ET as Y is a comparatively electron rich systems. This implies that Y acts as a redox center transferring an electron to the cofactor, instead of just stabilizing the radicals formed upon the homolysis of Co-C bond. The further evidences

supporting PCET proposal comes from another experimental study¹⁶³ where the sensitivity of MCM catalytic activity with respect to the substrate modification was addressed. It was observed that the slight modifications in the structure of the substrate lead to substantial decrease in the catalytic rate which also underlines the significance of substrate in the activation process. The key feature of present proposal is that the Y motif acts as an internal redox center which transfers an electron to the AdoCbl cofactor leading to the formation of π^*_{corrin} anion radical. Once the electron is transferred, the cleavage of the Co-C bond occurs from $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$ involving a three electron bond $(\sigma)^2(\sigma^*)^1$, thereby yielding Co^{1+}Cbx and $\text{Ado}^\bullet$. Although the $\text{Y}^\bullet$ as well as Co^{1+}Cbx have never been observed during the experimental studies of AdoCbl-dependent enzymes, it does not rule out the possibility of the involvement of the Y motif in the activation of Co-C bond. The lack of experimental $\text{Y}^\bullet$ detection can be explained by the back electron transfer involving Co^{1+}Cbx and $\text{Y}^\bullet$ i.e. $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbx}/\text{Y}^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbx}/\text{Y}$ which is a thermodynamically feasible reaction because Co^{1+}Cbx is a powerful reducing agent which favors the ET to the $\text{Y}^\bullet$. Thus the experimentally observed species would be Co^{1+}Cbx instead of Co^{2+}Cbx . This type of ET reaction has chemical precedence in case of cobalamin-dependent MetH where Co^{1+}Cbx is oxidized to Co^{2+}Cbx in every 200 catalytic cycles.^{108,112}

Figure 7. Close-up of the active site in AdoCbl-dependent mutases showing the location of Y residue relative to substrate and the corrin ring. (a) 4REQ crystal structure of MCM, (b) 1I9C crystal structure of GLM, (c) 3REQ crystal structure of substrate-free MCM.

Scheme 6. PCET-inspired mechanistic pathway for the activation of Co-C bond in AdoCbl-dependent mutases.

2.3.3 CASSCF/MC-XQDPT2 Analysis of AdoCbl- Y^- Complex

DFT-based investigation of electronic properties of the structural mimicks of two mutases under mention pointed out that the presence of the Y^- in the vicinity of the AdoCbl cofactor alters its electronic structure by transferring an electron to the cofactor that gets delocalized over the corrin ring. This observation is based on UHF-type spin polarized solution with a noticeable value of $\langle S^2 \rangle$ that could be used for quantifying the extent of diradical character of a complex. Spin density is found to be evenly distributed between corrin and the Y aromatic rings. However, from the electronic structure point of view, multi-reference framework is required to describe the systems with diradical character as the open-shell singlet electronic configurations are only accurately captured using multi-reference wavefunctions. Since the Kohn-Sham formalism, which is

the basis for all DFT-based methodologies, is restricted to single-reference framework, we decided to perform CASSCF type analysis of the structural complex which is considered to be a more accurate theoretical way of addressing diradical-based problems.

In order to reduce the structural complexity, the Ado ligand of AdoCbl-PhO⁻ complex was further simplified to -CH₂CH₃ group. Different active spaces were tested and analyzed based on initial orbitals generated from B3LYP/SV(P) calculations. Previously reported CASSCF calculations for Co¹⁺Cbx^{39,40} and corroles¹⁶⁴ have provided important clues regarding how to select an active space for addressing such problems. Final active space selected in such a way had 12 active electrons distributed among 12 active orbitals as shown in Figure 8. The active space comprised of two doubly occupied corrin π -orbitals, σ_{Co-C} , $3d_{xz}$, p orbital of tyrosine oxygen, and tyrosine π -orbital plus oxygen-centred p -orbital. The respective correlating orbitals were three corrin π^* -orbitals, σ^*_{Co-C} , $4d_{xz}$, and tyrosine π^* -orbital. It is important to note that p orbital of tyrosine oxygen (lone pair) does not have correlating counterpart and thus corrin π^* -orbital was selected. Using active space made-up of π spaces of Y as well as of corrin, our objective was to explore whether the formation of the diradical state is energetically feasible considering the close proximity between AdoCbl cofactor and the Y motif. However, performing CASSCF calculations that only accounted for the non-dynamical electron correlation was not enough and in addition dynamical correlation energy was needed to be incorporated in order to capture

Figure 8. Orbitals in the active space for the Ψ_{dirad} and Ψ_{anionic} wave functions.

the essence of problem in hand. This was accomplished via using second order mutli-reference perturbation theory based on CASSCF wavefunction¹⁵⁷ where the efficient MC-XQDPT2 scheme was applied to account for the dynamical correlations.¹⁵⁸ To cover both anionic and diradical states we performed a series of state-average calculations (SA-CASSCF) testing different number of states. It is concluded that only three states are sufficient to describe the problem. Taking into account the fact that structure was taken from DFT calculation of the previous section and further truncation with respect to Ado group was applied, we also tested geometrical changes and their influence on final results. Since it has already been established that the displacement of axial base might have important influence on π^*_{corrin} anion radical, we probed the energy dependence as a function of Co-N_{ax} coordinate. As shown in Figure 9, all three states showed monotonic changes and the minima of energy occurred at Co-N_{ax} ~2.3 Å.

The results based on CASSCF/MC-XQDPT2 calculations are fully consistent with conclusions derived from DFT analysis. Indeed, the lowest electronic state of the structural complex is found to be the diradical state i.e., [AdoCbl]^{•-}-PhO[•] while the anionic state is observed to be energetically 5.3 kcal/mol higher than the diradical state. The third electronic state probed in the current analysis also has diradical character but it is mainly due to excitation from the *p* orbital of tyrosyl oxygen to π -orbital of corrin. This state lies energetically much higher than the anionic state. It should be pointed out that energy differences between anionic and diradical states has only semi-quantitative meaning because the calculations are carried out using the geometry that was

optimized at DFT level of theory only. Taking into account the fact that neutral charges were not shielded by surrounding environment, the energy difference was most likely overestimated. However it serves as a proof of concept because the diradical state is found to be the lowest electronic state of the AdoCbl- Y^- complex.

Figure 9. Diradical and anionic states of AdoCbl- PhO^- complex computed along the Co- N_{ax} coordinate at CASSCF/MP2 level of theory.

2.3.4 QM/MM Analysis of MCM-bound AdoCbl-Y⁻ Complex

Up to this point, DFT and CASSCF/MC-XQDPT2 calculations were carried out for the isolated complexes in gas phase without the explicit inclusion of enzyme environment. Such models might artificially stabilize the diradical state and could give rise to anionic state due to the improperly balanced charges by the surrounding environment. To provide a more realistic description and to elucidate the energetic of the MCM-bound AdoCbl-Y⁻ complex, we performed QM/MM calculations using the 4REQ crystal structure. It is important to recall that truncated AdoCbl with respect to the side chains, part of substrate together with R207, H610, E370 and Y89 residues were included in the QM part (Figure 4) while rest of the enzyme was treated at MM level. Several groups^{81,84,85} have applied QM/MM framework to model the catalytic activity of AdoCbl-dependent enzymes but Y89 residue hasn't been considered as a part of QM region in any of these studies. Morokuma and co-workers⁸⁵ investigated the origin of catalysis in MCM enzyme where they considered several models to mimic the QM domain in terms of structural complexity but our present QM/MM set up containing Y89 residue is the largest model to be investigated till date.

Employing such a large QM region, structural analysis of the AdoCbl-Y complex was first carried out assuming the Y residue (Figure 10a). Of particular interest is the network of H-bonds around the Y residue and substrate with possible interaction exerted by the side chain of the AdoCbl cofactor. Although these chains were treated at the MM level of theory, yet the interactions involving these chains are nicely captured in the present study. The phenoxyl proton of

Y89 is directed towards the --COO^- group of substrate and the $\text{YOH}\cdots\text{OOC--}$ optimized distance of 1.55 Å is relatively short. In addition, the --OH group of Y89 residue is involved in another H-bond with a solvent molecule (HOH170), present at a distance of 1.94 Å. The solvent molecule is also engaged in H-bond formation with the side chains of AdoCbl lying at 2.12 and 2.15 Å distances respectively. Thus the local environment around the Y motive (Y89) shows a network of H-bonds which might be important for efficient PT in order to facilitate ET process. Since objective of the present study is to explore the feasibility of tyrosine residue being oxidized, $\text{Y}^- \rightarrow \text{Y}^*$, and of the AdoCbl cofactor being reduced (Scheme 6), we also optimized the anionic AdoCbl- Y^- state. The deprotonation of the Y residue doesn't lead to the structural alternations as shown in Figure 10b. Using the anionic wavefunction, the triplet state calculations on AdoCbl- Y^- were performed in order to generate an initial guess for probing the diradical state of the complex. Based on the triplet MOs the singlet state calculations on AdoCbl- Y^- were performed while allowing spin polarization that could be used to describe diradical character of a given system. It is found that the optimized structure has wavefunction with $\langle S^2 \rangle = 0.30$ and the identity of the diradical state is further verified by the inspection of spin profiles. The spin density distribution of MCM-bound $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{*-}\text{Y}^*$ is very similar to that calculated for the structural replica of active site of MCM in gas phase (see Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.3).

Figure 10c displays QM/MM optimized structure of diradical analogue, showing that no significant differences in terms of key structural parameters are

Figure 10. The active site for the reactant complex in different states. (a) AdoCbl-Y; (b) AdoCbl-Y⁻, and (c) [AdoCbl]^{•-}-Y[•].

Figure 11. Anionic and diradical states of MCM-bound AdoCbl-Y complex as a function of the Co-N_{ax}(H610) bond distance.

noticed among them. In particular, the length of the Co-C bond is found to be 2.02 Å while the Co-N(H610) axial coordinate is 2.21 Å long. However it's important to notice that the distance between Y89 and the side chain of the AdoCbl cofactor in case of diradical complex is short enough (2.73 Å) to allow the efficient overlap required for the ET. The QM/MM optimized Co-N(H610) distance is observed to be noticeably shorter than that (2.47 Å) reported for 4REQ structure. Thus we performed two additional series of calculations where both the anionic and diradical states were optimized with energy being evaluated along

the Co-N(H610) coordinate. The minimum energy of the anionic state was used as a reference and energetic changes were plotted as a function of the Co-N(H610) distance (Figure 11). The energetic difference between the two states is found to be 0.53 kcal/mol implying that the energy gap between the two states in the presence of enzyme is significantly reduced; however, the diradical state is still the lowest electronic state of the system. Thus, the results of QM/MM analysis are in agreement with what is previously concluded for isolated complexes based on the gas phase calculations.

2.3.5 QM/MM Analysis of Y89F Mutation upon the Initial Step of B₁₂ Catalysis

There have been several reports documented in literature where the effect of mutation of Y89 residue⁵⁷ as well as a few others¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁶⁶ near the corrin of MCM enzyme has been investigated. In particular, the crystal structure of Y89F mutant (PDB-code: 5REQ)⁵⁸ has been reported for mutant that is found to be essentially superimposable with that of wild-type, implying that the loss of the phenolic hydroxyl group has a minor impact on the structural properties, in particular on the active site environment of the coenzyme B₁₂. Interestingly, however, when the effect of mutation on the homolysis of the Co-C bond is studied, it has been found that $\sim 10^3$ to 10^4 of the total 10^{12} -fold acceleration of the homolysis rate might be derived from the contribution of a single Y89 residue.⁵⁷

There are several possible explanations why the Y89F mutation might lead to a drop in catalytic activity. In the light of the present calculations, Y89

plays a critical role in the activation of the Co-C bond because the Y motive serves as an internal redox center and mutation alters its redox capacity. Similar types of mutation studies have been reported in the case of flavin enzymes¹⁶⁷ where it has been found that the Y98F mutation increases the mid-potential of redox couple due to the relatively lesser electron donating ability of the F residue. We think that a similar situation is being encountered in the MCM enzyme where the ET from the F (in the mutant enzyme) to the cofactor becomes comparatively difficult resulting in the rate reduction of four-orders of magnitude. In the case of human monoamine oxidase,¹⁶⁸ the site-specific mutation analysis has been used to probe the functional implications of tyrosine motif that also presents a quite similar picture: upon the Y435F replacement, the catalytic activity is hampered by one-fourth of its actual value (in case of wild-type enzyme) with no structural alterations being noticed in the active site architecture. Similarly Y430F substitution of one of the component of the aromatic cage in murine polyamine oxidase¹⁶⁹ has resulted in the reduced catalytic activity as compared to the wild-type.

However, there are some other possibilities that might cause reduction in the catalytic activity upon Y89F mutation. Some of them have been related to the Co-C post cleavage intermediates as well as substrate radical rearrangement step,^{57,58} i.e. catalytic step where substrate radical, i.e., $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbx} + \text{AdoCH}_3 + \text{Sub}^*$, has already been formed. To provide deeper understanding of the Y89F mutation, we performed QM/MM calculations in which energy/structure relationship between Sub^* of MCM and corresponding TS was performed for

wild-type as well as for the Y89F mutant. The QM/MM set up was different than in the previous calculations. The QM part in addition to the Y89 includes the AdoCH₃, part of substrate along with R207, H244 and Q197 residues. Taking into account that only reactions between organic residues were analyzed, B3LYP functional was used to describe the energetic of TS as this hybrid functional is considered to be the most appropriate for modeling the organic reactions. Figure 12 summarized the main results of Y89F QM/MM calculations. Overall, the Y89F mutation doesn't lead to noticeable structural changes as could be judged from the QM/MM optimized structural parameters. The energy of radical rearrangement is predicted to be at 6.0 kcal/mol for wild-type and slightly higher (9.6 kcal/mol) for the Y89F mutant. The results of present investigation are in good agreement with the previously published by Loferer et al.,¹⁷⁰ though some minor differences are worth to notice. For example, in our optimized structure the H244 residue shares an H-bond between Q197 and the substrate thus supporting partial protonation of the C=O group (Figure 13).

Several important observations could be drawn from the current QM/MM calculations regarding the involvement of Y89 in the catalytic process. Overall, the Y89F mutation has minor influence on the radical rearrangement from energetic as well as structural standpoint. Any significant extent of H-bond between the Y residue and substrate has been found to have small influence on the radical rearrangement step. For example, before the rearrangement could actually take place, H-bond between the –OH group of Y89 residue and substrate is 1.55 Å long while in TS, this bond length changes to 1.62 Å.

Computational study predicts that the Y89F mutation has hardly any impact the flexibility of the bound substrate. Loss of the H-bond doesn't change orientation of the substrate because the -COO^- group of substrate is engaged in additional electrostatic interaction via a two-pronged H-bonding contact with R207. Upon mutation these anchor type H-bond distances changes from 1.73 and 1.91 Å to 1.69 and 1.81 Å, respectively. Loss of the H-bonding interaction is predicted to increase the isomerization barrier from methylmalonyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA only by 3.6 kcal/mol. The interaction between the Y89 residue and Ado moiety is essentially negligible as could be judged from QM/MM optimized structure (Figure 13) and therefore any implications that Y might have in stabilizing the $\text{Ado}^{\bullet 57}$ have no structural justification. This is against the hypothesis that Y89 could function as a molecular wedge labilizing the Co-C bond.⁵⁸ This argument was derived from the crystallographic data, which revealed that substrate-driven barrel closure results in a large translational motion of this residue leading to the rupture of the adenine moiety and steric crowding above the corrin ring. This is very hard to reconcile considering the fact that the Ado ligand has not been accurately resolved in the case of MCM enzyme. In summary, the Y89 motif has minor influence on the cleavage step or in stabilizing the $\text{Ado}^{\bullet}$ which implies that this motif must be involved in the activation step via some different mechanism.

2.4 Conclusions

In the present theoretical study, the energetics of AdoCbl-Y^- complex has been extensively investigated at various levels of theory (DFT, CASSCF/MC-

Figure 12. Key species involved in methylmalonyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA radical rearrangement (as catalyzed by MCM enzyme) corresponding to wild-type (a) – (c) and Y89F mutant (d) – (f), respectively. The Ado group has not been shown here for the sake of clarity.

Figure 13. Close-up of QM/MM optimized MCM enzyme showing the location of the Y89 residue relative to the Ado moiety (a) before the Co-C bond cleavage, and (b) after Co-C bond cleavage and H-atom abstraction.

XQDPT2 and QM/MM) incorporating a varied degree of structural complexity. The QM/MM computations have been carried out for MCM enzyme with the Y89 residue being considered in the QM domain thereby making it a very first study where the role of the Y motif has been rigorously discussed in the context of AdoCbl-dependent enzyme catalysis. According to the computational results obtained (irrespective of the theoretical approach used), the diradical state i.e. $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}\text{-Y}^{\bullet}$ instead of AdoCbl-Y^- has been found to be the lowest electronic state of the complex providing convincing evidence that ET can take place from the Y residue to the AdoCbl cofactor.

The involvement of $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$ may have important consequence with regard to the cleavage of the Co-C bond in AdoCbl-dependent enzyme. It has been found that the electrochemical reduction of a B_{12} cofactor weakens the Co-C bond.^{86,89-90} However, it has been argued that the species like $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$ can't be involved in the AdoCbl-dependent enzyme catalysis,^{23,162} due to the very negative redox potentials of B_{12} cofactors. It was reasoned that even the presence of a relatively strong reducing agent, such as a Fe-S cluster, can't facilitate the outer-sphere ET for reducing the B_{12} cofactors. However, in the light of the present study as well as our increased understanding of redox processes inside enzymes, several arguments can be presented that speak against conclusions made during the earlier studies^{23,162}. First of all, the redox potentials are usually computed employing the solution-based electrochemistry where two relevant redox components are considered to be infinitely separated. Certainly, this is not the situation being encountered inside the enzymes where several

types of interactions are taking place among the various entities, thereby invalidating the use of solution-based electrochemistry with reference to enzymatic processes. Thus the redox potentials derived from electrochemistry can provide some clue but can't be taken literally to model enzymatic reaction. For example, in the case of SODs, it has been found that a single Gln residue plays a critical role of redox switch by inducing a redox tuning of ~1V range.⁹⁶ Even certain enzymatic processes can't take place if the redox potentials (from *in vitro* data) are taken into consideration. The best example is PS-II where the involvement of the Y residue in charge separation process is generally accepted.¹⁴³ However, the detailed thermodynamic study⁹⁷ showed that the redox potential of the Y/Y^{*} was far above that of P₆₈₀ literally negating the possibility of electron transfer from Y_z to P₆₈₀ motif, but indeed the charge transfer occurs inside the enzyme where the electrostatic interactions such as dielectric effect and H-bond network play a key role of redox switch thereby altering the redox potentials of Y_z and P₆₈₀ in order to make this charge separation process feasible. These theoretical studies reflect that the redox potentials of the active site residues are drastically modified when the enzymatic interactions are taken into consideration highlighting the existence as well as the significance of strong communication prevalent among the various motifs. Secondly, a strong reducing agent is not always required to facilitate the outer-sphere ET as the active site residues can also induce such ET via PCET route. PCET is thermochemically more favorable than processes involving the stepwise ET and PT often by $\geq 1\text{eV}$. In the present study, it is thus proposed that the

presence of the active site Y residue plays a critical role of redox centre and can facilitate ET to the cofactor via PCET which may have implications in explaining the origin of catalysis in B₁₂-dependent mutases.

CHAPTER III

EFFECT OF REDUCED ADENOSYLCOBALAMIN COFACTOR UPON THE Co-C BOND CLEAVAGE AND SUBSEQUENT HYDROGEN ATOM ABSTRACTION FROM THE SUBSTRATE

3.1 Introduction

The origin of the enormous catalytic efficiency of the enzymes employing AdoCbl is of significant bioinorganic interest.¹⁻¹⁹ The AdoCbl-dependent catalysis is initiated by the homolytic cleavage of the Co-C5' bond leading to the formation of Ado• and Co²⁺Cbx that is coupled with subsequent H-atom abstraction from the substrate.¹⁷¹ Precisely how the Co-C5' bond is activated and what mechanism is responsible for the observed ~12 orders of magnitude enzymatic rate enhancement²² remains poorly understood. We recently proposed¹⁷²⁻¹⁷³ that the active site tyrosine residue (e.g., Y89 residue in case of MCM enzyme) plays a critical role in the activation of Co-C5' bond in the case of B₁₂-dependent mutases where the binding of the substrate induces the formation of 1el-Red form of AdoCbl cofactor (i.e. [AdoCbl]^{•-}). The initial step of the proposed mechanism involves the PT coupled with the ET via PCET (Scheme 6), which

can be summarized as follows:

The process is initiated by the PT which is an energetically uphill reaction. Although the catalytic step described by Eqn. (1) is thermoneutral, as revealed by DFT model calculations, there is an overall energy barrier for the initial PCET step. Due to nonadiabatic nature of the reaction, it is difficult to quantify the energy requirements for $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$ formation. Considering there is an energy barrier associated with the PT and ET steps, it is expected that the energetic requirement would be lower when the PT and ET steps will occur in a concerted fashion, because the PCET pathway avoids the generation of charged intermediates minimizing the energetic demand of the reaction.

It should be noted that the reduction potentials of B_{12} cofactors lie in the range of -1.31 to -1.60 V vs SCE.^{86,87,88-90} Specifically, the reduction potential of MeCbl in the dimethylformamide (DMF):propanol solvent mixture has been estimated to be -1.47 to -1.60 V vs SCE,^{86,89-90} while the reduction potential of AdoCbl in DMF:propanol mixture (-1.31 V vs SCE)⁸⁹ has been found to be relatively positive. Though the reduction potentials of isolated B_{12} cofactors are significantly more negative than that of the tyrosine residue (+0.51 to +0.76 V vs SCE),¹⁷⁴ but it should be noted that the redox potential of an enzyme-bound cofactor can be significantly tuned by the various interactions operative inside the enzymes.⁹⁶⁻¹⁰³ Our recent QM/MM computations also¹⁷³ suggest that the enzyme-bound B_{12} cofactor can be reduced if a deprotonated tyrosine residue is

present in its vicinity. This implies that the redox chemistry of the AdoCbl- Y^- complex is significantly altered by the enzymatic environment and consequently, the diradical state i.e. $[AdoCbl]^{\bullet-}-Y^{\bullet}$ (instead of $AdoCbl-Y^-$) becomes the lowest electronic state of the complex.

The main objective of the research detailed in this chapter is to further elaborate this proposal and provide energetic implications regarding the involvement of the $[AdoCbl]^{\bullet-}$ radical anion in the initial step of AdoCbl-dependent enzymatic catalysis that involves Co-C5' bond scission and subsequent H-atom abstraction from the substrate.

3.2 Computational Details

All the DFT calculations were performed using Gaussian03¹⁵¹ suite of programs for electronic structure and properties calculations. To mimic the Co-C5' bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom abstraction from the substrate, the AdoCbl cofactor and the substrate were modeled by the simplified RibCbl where all the corrin amide side chains were substituted by H's, and the propanaldehyde respectively. To model the base-on forms of the AdoCbl cofactor, the Im was used as the axial base. The BP86/6-31G(d) 5d level of theory was used in all the computations considering its well-established usefulness in reproducing the structural, electronic and spectroscopic features of B_{12} corrinoids.^{82,91-94,154,155,156}

3.3 Results and Discussions

3.3.1 Co-C5' Bond Cleavage in RibCbl

In order to quantify the catalytic effect gained by the one-electron reduction of the cofactor, as a first step, the cleavage of Co-C5' bond was studied in the positively charged AdoCbl model represented by (Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺; Figure 14) and its 1eI-Red analogue where Im and ribosyl (Rib) were modeled as the lower and the upper axial ligands respectively. The Co-C5' bond cleavage was computationally investigated at the BP86 /6-31G(d) (5d) level of theory as this level of theory has been found to reproduce the structural aspects of B₁₂ cofactors and their electronic properties to a reliable degree of

Figure 14. BP86-optimized structures of Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ (*left*), Im-[Co^{III}(corrin[•])]-Rib (*middle*) and [Co^{III}(corrin[•])]-Rib (*right*).

accuracy.^{154,155,156} The bond dissociation energy (BDE) for Co-C5' homolytic cleavage in the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ model has been calculated to be 37.2

kcal/mol,⁸² that is in reasonable agreement with the experimental value of 31.5 ± 1.3 kcal/mol²⁶⁻²⁹ estimated for AdoCbl cofactor.

Addition of an electron to AdoCbl cofactor initially lead to the formation of π^*_{corrin} anion radical, that was modeled as Im-[Co^{III}(corrin[•])]-Rib. Presence of an electron delocalized over the corrin ring had relatively small influence on the axial bond lengths as they were only slightly different from those in the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ model (Figure 14). In order to illustrate the impact of one-electron reduction, the cleavage was studied in the Im-on and Im-off forms of the reduced cofactor where the axial bonds were repeatedly elongated and the geometry was reoptimized at each point. The previous theoretical studies⁹¹⁻⁹² suggest that the cleavage of the Co-C5' bond in the 1el-Red B₁₂ cofactor models demands prior displacement of the axial base. The energy required for detaching DBI base from the neutral MeCbl in the aqueous solution has been experimentally estimated to be 6.5 - 7.6 kcal/mol.¹⁷⁵ However, the axial N-methylimidazole dissociation energy from the MeCbi(N-Im)⁺ in the aqueous and ethylene glycol solution has been found to be 3.1 kcal/mol and 6.9 kcal/mol respectively.¹⁷⁶ A recent computational study quantified the energetic of the axial Im base detachment in the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Me⁺ and the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin[•])]-Me forms of MeCbl wherein the truncated cofactor models were employed.⁹¹ It was concluded that the solvation effect has to be included in order to obtain results comparable with the experimental data. The Im detachment energy in the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Me⁺ model was estimated to be 9.4 kcal/mol in the water solvent

which was lowered by ~58.5 % in the 1el-Red form (i.e., Im-[Co^{III}(corrin*)]-Me; 3.9 kcal/mol).

Herein we also computed the axial Im detachment energy for the positively charged Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ model and its 1el-Red analogue (i.e., Im-[Co^{III}(corrin*)]-Rib) in the gas phase as well as in the PCM-based water solvent ($\epsilon = 78$), respectively. Two different approaches were used to calculate the detachment energy: (i) the completely dissociated state was considered i.e., Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ $\rightarrow$ Im + [Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺, and (ii) the axial Im base was repeatedly stretched for attaining the dissociated state. The approach (ii) was only explored for the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin*)]-Rib analogue. Following approach (i), the computed Im detachment energy for the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ (14.4 kcal/mol (gas phase); 8.7 kcal/mol (water))

Table 2

Thermodynamic Data for Axial Imidazole Ligand Dissociation^a

Thermodynamic Quantity	Dissociation Reaction [kcal/mol]	
	Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin)]-Rib ⁺ $\rightarrow$ Im + Co ^{III} (corrin)]-Rib ⁺	Im-[Co ^{III} (corrin*)]-Rib $\rightarrow$ Im + [Co ^{III} (corrin*)]-Rib
ΔE^b	14.4	7.6
ZPE Term	1.5	1.1
$\Delta E(\text{PCM})^c$	8.7	4.6
ΔH^d	30.1	23.1
ΔS^d	44.6	40.0
ΔG^d	16.8	11.2

^a Calculated using the BP86/6-31G(d) level of theory. ^b ZPE corrected. ^c The energetics for PCM model with dielectric constant for water as a solvent. ^d The thermodynamic data were calculated assuming ideal gas law validity.

was reduced by ~ 55 % in the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin^{*})]-Rib (7.6 kcal/mol (gas phase); 4.6 kcal/mol (water) (see Table 2 for details). This implied that the one-electron reduction favors the axial base detachment in the B₁₂ cofactors. The energy required to detach the axial Im base from the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin^{*})]-Rib model was also computed by repeatedly stretching the Co-N_{Im} bond. The dissociated state (i.e., Im + [Co^{III}(corrin^{*})]-Rib) was found to be reached at a Co-N_{Im} bond distance of 3.00 Å that corresponded to the Im detachment energy of 6.7 kcal/mol in gas phase and 5.3 kcal/mol in the aqueous solution, respectively. Considering that the elongated Co- N_{axial} bond has also been observed in the B₁₂-dependent

Figure 15. Estimation of the axial Im base detachment energy in the 1el-Red Im-[Co^{III}(corrin^{*})]-Rib.

mutases,^{49,50,56,62,140,141} the activation of the enzyme-bound Co-C5' bond might also be occurring from the 1el-Red cofactor. Taking into account that the reductive cleavage of Co-C5' demands prior displacement of the axial Im base, the Co-C5' BDE for the 1el-Red cofactor was evaluated as the sum of energies associated with the axial Im base displacement, i.e., 7.6 kcal/mol and the dissociation reaction $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib} \rightarrow [\text{Co}^{\text{I}}(\text{corrin})] + \bullet\text{Rib}$ i.e., 19.0 kcal/mol. The energy of the dissociation reaction is equivalent to the BDE of Co-C5' bond in the Im-off $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$ (19.0 kcal/mol) which was lowered by ~48.9% as compared to the Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin})]\text{-Rib}^+$ model. The effective Co-C5' BDE in the Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$ thus came out to be 26.6 kcal/mol which indicated ~28.5% bond strength reduction in comparison to the positively charged Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin})]\text{-Rib}^+$. The Co-C5' BDE in the $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$ as well as in the Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$ was also calculated by the systematic elongation of the Co-C5' and the (Co-C5' and Co-N_{Im}) bonds respectively (Figure 15). The significant lowering of Co-C5' BDE calculated with the 1el-Red analogues was due to involvement of the two electronic states in the cleavage event: initially a π^*_{corrin} anion radical state was formed with the added electron delocalized over the equatorial corrin ligand. As the Co-C5' bond was stretched to ~2.35 Å, the electron relocated into the $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C5'}}$ state and the final cleavage involved three electron bond $(\sigma)^2(\sigma^*)^1$ (Figure 16b). The reductive cleavage mechanism of Co-C5' bond in Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$ is in line with what has recently been suggested for MeCbl⁹¹ and Pc-based analogue⁹² as well as for the MetH-bound MeCbl⁹⁴. Especially in the case of MetH enzyme, the QM/MM computations⁹⁴ illustrated that the Hcy substrate can

reduce the cofactor that can potentially explain the considerable amount of catalytic effect associated with the MetH enzyme. The relevant electronic states and the spin density profiles associated with the corrin ring and the axial fragment (Co and C5' motives) of Im-[Co^{III}(corrin[•])]-Rib are summarized in Figures 16 and 17. As could be observed, the reductive Co-C5' bond cleavage occurred only when both the axial bonds were stretched to represent the blue region which in turn corresponded to the situation when the added electron was localized in the axial Co-C5' bond (i.e., $\sigma_{\text{Co-C5'}}^*$ orbital). Initially when the Co-C5' bond was short (< 2.35 Å), the added electron was completely delocalized onto the corrin ring and the elongation of Co-N_{Im} bond had no effect on the spin density distribution. As the Co-C5' bond was further lengthened, the Co-N_{Im} bond started influencing the spin density distribution. For shorter Co-N_{Im} bond (i.e., < 2.25 Å), the spin density was mainly centered between the corrin ring and Co atom, but as the Co-N_{Im} bond was stretched beyond 2.25 Å, the whole spin was migrated into the $\sigma_{\text{Co-C5'}}^*$ orbital. Since further elongation of the the Co-N_{Im} bond had no noticeable impact upon the electronic states and the spin density distributions, the Co-N_{Im} bond was only lengthened up to 2.60 Å. This implied that the elongated axial base is required to induce an efficient Co-C5' bond cleavage in the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin[•])]-Rib cofactor. This is consistent with the electrochemical reduction studies in solution,⁸⁶⁻⁹⁰ pointing out that the axial base has to be detached in order to effectively cleave the Co-C5' bond in the 1el-Red cofactor.

Figure 16. Three dimensional scan of the lowest electronic state (*upper panel*) involved in the Co-C5' Bond Cleavage in the 1el-Red Im-[Co^{III}(corrin*)]-Rib cofactor and (Lower Panel) two dimensional scan of the two lowest electronic states (*lower panel*) involved in the reductive cleavage of Co-C5' Bond in the Im-off [Co^{III}(corrin*)]-Rib. The olive circles correspond to the points of interpolation.

Figure 17. Spin density surfaces of the corrin ring (*top*), Co (*middle*) and C5' (*bottom*) moieties in the 1el-Red Im-[Co^{III}(corrin*)]-Rib.

3.3.2 Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate Analysis of Co-C Bond Cleavage and Subsequent H-atom Abstraction

As a next step, the reaction consisting of Co-C5' bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom abstraction was studied employing the 1el-Red Im-off structural model i.e., $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$. To model the substrate, a structural replicate $\text{CH}_3\text{-CHH-CHO}$ was used where **H** represents the H-atom that was abstracted by the cofactor during the course of reaction. The initial geometry of the TS was constructed on the basis of the optimized structure of $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$ as well as using the previously reported TS⁸² involving the positively charged Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin})]\text{-Rib}^+$ model. The substrate was placed near the C5' atom of the $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$ for direct H-atom abstraction and a series of constrained optimizations were carried out followed by full optimization at the final stage. The location of the TS was confirmed by frequency calculations. It should also be noted that an attempt was made to probe the TS involving Im-on form of 1el-Red cofactor (i.e., Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$), but such a search was proved to be unsuccessful as it always involved the detachment of the axial Im ligand prior to the cleavage of Co-C5' bond. For that reason, the TS structure of the reaction involving Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin})]\text{-Rib}^+$ was compared with that of the Im-off $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]\text{-Rib}$ mediated reaction. Such a comparative analysis would offer insight as to how differently the catalytic step consisting of Co-C5' bond cleavage and subsequent H-abstraction would be executed if the 1el-Red cofactor is taken into consideration.

The analysis of the TS structure furnished useful mechanistic details of the catalytic reaction. Figure 18 displays the TS involving Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ that primarily serves as a reference for the TS where the H-atom transfer is mediated by [Co^{III}(corrin^{*})]-Rib. A single imaginary frequency of 810i cm⁻¹ was found for the TS mediated by 1eI-Red Im-off [Co^{III}(corrin^{*})]-Rib in comparison to 905i cm⁻¹ predicted for the TS involving Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺. The TS structure in both cases displayed a reaction coordinate on catalytic path that represented

Figure 18. Close-ups of the transition state structures along with selected bond lengths (Å) representing homolytic Co-C5' bond cleavage concerted with H-atom abstraction for the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ (*left*) and [Co^{III}(corrin^{*})]-Rib (*right*), respectively.

a stretched Co-C5' bond along with the partially linked H-atom between the C_{sub} of the substrate and C5' atom of the cofactor. The Co-C5' bond in the TS

Figure 19. Energy profiles of the Co-C5' bond cleavage and subsequent H-abstraction. The upper panel corresponds to the IRC paths (not ZPE-corrected) for the concerted reaction of the Co-C5' bond cleavage and H-atom abstraction involving the Im-[Co^{III}(corrin)]-Rib⁺ (violet) and its reduced analogue (olive). The distance along the IRC (s) is given in mass-scaled units and the TS is located at $s=0$. The lower panel shows the relative energies (ZPE-corrected) of the involved TSs and products.

structure involving the 1el-Red $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^*)]\text{-Rib}$ was 2.97 Å long that was identical to the one (2.99 Å) noticed in a synthetic analogue of coenzyme B_{12} .^{177,178} The H-C_{sub} bond was only slightly lengthened from 1.11 Å to 1.27 Å, while the C5'-H bond was still long i.e., 1.55 Å implying that the TS occurred late with respect to the Co-C5' coordinate while it appeared early with reference to H-atom transfer. In both the reactions, the homolytic cleavage of the Co-C5' bond and the subsequent H-atom abstraction was concerted. This was verified by the IRC calculations showing only single energy maximum connecting reactants and products (Figure 19).

The main finding of this computational investigation was the quantification of the significant amount of stabilization gained by the TS when the 1el-Red form is taken into consideration. Clearly, the energetic demand of the reaction was drastically reduced when the concerted reaction involved 1el-Red form of AdoCbl cofactor. The activation energy barrier of the reaction mediated by the Im-off $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^*)]\text{-Rib}$, was found to be 15.0 kcal/mol (ZPE-corrected) that was lowered by approximately 50% in comparison to the reaction involving the Im- $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin})]\text{-Rib}^+$ (energy barrier = 33.0 kcal/mol) (Figure 19). It is also important to mention that the concerted reaction involving the 1el-Red analogue could only be studied with the Im-off form, i.e., $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^*)]\text{-Rib}$, as the Im base was always detached during the TS search. This implied that the computed activation energy barrier for the reductive pathway had to be corrected by adding the axial Im detachment energy (i.e., 7.6 kcal/mol). The effective activation barrier for the reaction was thus calculated to be 22.6 kcal/mol which reflected 31.5% energy

lowering in comparison to the reaction mediated by the $\text{Im}[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin})]\text{-Rib}^+$. However, the energetic demand for the axial base elongation inside the enzyme environment is noticeably lower as has been shown by QM/MM calculations¹⁷⁹ implying that the catalytic effect of one-electron reduction in the enzyme-bound cofactor would be significantly higher than in model complexes. This could be of relevance for the enzyme catalysis that involves a rate enhancement of 12 orders of magnitude as compared to the uncatalyzed reaction in solution²². It should be noted that the reaction mediated by the $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^*)]\text{-Rib}$ is endothermic by 3.9 kcal/mol. The concerted nature of the reaction mechanism, as revealed by the present calculations, is also consistent with an experimental finding

Figure 20. Spin density profiles of key entities along the Co-C5' stretching coordinate involved in the concerted reaction.

suggestive of the fact that the H-atom abstraction step is coupled with the homolytic cleavage of the Co-C5' bond.⁴⁷ The calculations exploring the 1el-Red

analogue of AdoCbl cofactor represented by Im-[Co^{III}(corrin[•])]-Rib suggest that the axial Im base must be detached or Co-N_{Im} bond distance significantly elongated in order to induce an efficient reductive cleavage of Co-C5' bond. Considering that the lengthened Co-N_{axial} bond has been observed during the X-ray crystal structure studies of B₁₂-mutases namely MCM⁵⁶ and GLM^{51b}, the reductive mode of Co-C5' bond cleavage might also be operational inside these enzymes.

The analysis of the spin density profiles of the key entities along the Co-C5' bond (Figure 20) offers further insight into the molecular details of the reaction. The corrin macrocycle in the reaction mediated by the [Co^{III}(corrin[•])]-Rib behaved as a non-innocent ligand. Initially, when an electron was added to the cofactor, it was entirely localized on the corrin ring. As the Co-C5' bond was stretched to ~ 2.35 Å, the added electron density was completely relocated into the axial bond, represented by $\sigma^*_{\text{Co-C5'}}$ orbital. Now Co centre had spin density of 0.7, acquiring Co^{II} like character, while C5' had spin of 0.35. In order to initiate the H-atom transfer from the C_{sub}, C5' spin had to be further amplified. As the Co-C5' bond was stretched to ~2.90 Å, the spin density on C5' reached 0.65 values that enhanced its ability to abstract the H-atom from the substrate. This was also reflected in terms of the partial stretching of C_{sub}-H bond that lead to the spin build-up on C_{sub} (~0.2). At a Co-C5' bond length of 2.97 Å corresponding to the TS, the H-atom was partially transferred to the C5' atom as could be gauged from the spin density values of C5' and C_{sub}, respectively. Beyond this point, the H-abstraction occurred very fast and Co ion mainly was present as cob(I)alamin.

Since the enhanced C5' spin played a key role in initiating the H-atom transfer and the 1eI-Red amplified the electron density on C5' atom, it could explain why the TS occurred at relatively shorter Co-C5' bond distance when the reaction was mediated by the $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^*)]\text{-Rib}$.

3.4 Conclusions

The impact of one-electron reduction of AdoCbl cofactor upon the preliminary step of B_{12} catalysis has been investigated using density functional calculations. The IRC calculations indicate that the reaction consisting of Co-C5' bond

Scheme 7. PCET-inspired alternate mechanism for the initial step of catalysis in B_{12} -dependent mutases.

cleavage and the subsequent H-abstraction from the substrate occur in a concerted fashion. The activation energy barrier for the 1eI-Red $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]$ -Rib-mediated reaction (15.0 kcal/mol) is lowered by ~50 % in comparison to the reaction (33.0 kcal/mol) that involves the positively charged $\text{Im}-[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin})]\text{-Rib}^+$. Since the axial Im base is detached during the 1eI-Red $[\text{Co}^{\text{III}}(\text{corrin}^{\bullet})]$ -Rib-mediated reaction, the energy barrier of the reaction needs to be corrected by adding the axial Im detachment energy (7.6 kcal/mol). As a result, the effective lowering in the activation barrier of the reaction induced by the one-electron reduction is estimated to be 31.5%. This catalytic effect of one-electron reduction is expected to be significantly higher in the case of enzyme-bound reactions because of the presence of elongated axial base (Scheme 7). These energetic implications associated with one-electron reduction of AdoCbl cofactor can play a major role in understanding the origin of AdoCbl-dependent enzyme catalysis.

CHAPTER IV

THEORETICAL STUDY OF THE ROLE OF Co^{1+} -H INTERACTION IN THE ENZYME-INDUCED REDOX TUNING OF $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ REDUCTION PROCESS

4.1 Introduction

Although methyltransferases (e.g., MetH³¹, CFeSP³², MtaBC³³ etc.) and ACAs (e.g., CobA, PduO and EutT)³⁷⁻⁴⁰ differ vastly in their catalytic functioning yet a common thread connects these two seemingly different classes of enzymes i.e., $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction serves as one of the key components of their catalytic cycles.¹⁶ This is a thermodynamically challenging reaction because the midpoint reduction potentials of the physiological reducing agents (-260 to -440 mV vs SHE) are considerably more positive than that of the Co^{2+} Cbx cofactor (-490 mV vs SHE).^{86,113} But this reaction is indeed observed¹⁶ when the enzyme-bound Co^{2+} Cbx is considered implying that the enzyme must be exercising subtle mechanistic control over the reduction process. In spite of being a ubiquitous chemical event, the detailed mechanistic insight as to how $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction is accomplished inside the enzymes has yet to be fully revealed.

Based on spectroscopic^{111,114,119-121} and X-ray structure studies,^{116,117-118,139} the generation of tetra-coordinated square planar Co^{1+}Cbx has been invoked to be the key molecular determinant of the enzyme-mediated redox tuning of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process. The reduction event in the case of ACAs is even postulated to be mediated by tetra-coordinated Co^{2+}Cbx .¹¹⁷⁻¹²⁰ However the possibility of penta-coordinated Co^{2+}Cbx displaying weak axial interactions has not been ruled out. In the case of MetH enzyme, the reduction process has been suggested to proceed via penta-coordinated Co^{2+}Cbx wherein the active site interactions, especially the Y1139 residue has been assessed of catalytic importance in weakening the interaction between the cofactor and β -axial H_2O ligand that in turn will promote the reduction process.^{111,114,116}

However an alternative catalytic strategy for driving $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction can be envisioned if we take into account recent studies¹²⁶⁻¹³⁷ on $\text{M}^{n+}\text{--H}$ bonds ($\text{M} = \text{Cu, Ni, Co, Pt or Pd}$; $n=1$ or 2) where it is shown that the filled d-orbitals of square planar d^8 metal ions can also serve as H-bond acceptors. In specific, the existence of a dispersion driven O--H--Pt^{2+} interaction has been recently verified using low-temperature (20K) neutron diffraction technique.¹³³

Considering that the ground state electronic structure of Co^{1+}Cbx also has a dominant component corresponding to closed-shell d^8 configuration,^{39,40} an interesting question arises if a Co^{1+} ion can also engage in metal-ion based H-bond formation via its filled d-orbitals. And if indeed, what could be the implications of such associative interactions in relation to $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction

process, a key catalytic conversion in a large variety of methyltransferases and ACAs?

The aim of the research work described in this chapter is to probe the abovementioned chemical issues using the DFT, NBO and AIM computational tools.

4.2 Computational Details

All calculations were performed using HF and a variety of DFT (BP86, B97-1,¹⁸⁰ B98,¹⁸¹ B97-D,¹⁸² ω B97X¹⁸³ and ω B97X-D¹⁸⁴) functionals and two different basis sets (6-31G(d) (5d) and 6-31++G(d,p)) as embedded in Gaussian09¹⁸⁵ suite of program for electronic properties and structure calculations. The use of dispersion-corrected B97-D and ω B97X-D functionals was propelled by the fact that the dispersion interactions cannot be well-captured using conventional DFT functionals. The employed structural models were extracted from the high resolution X-ray crystal structure of isolated Co^{2+}Cbx ¹⁸⁶ and were further augmented by performing geometry optimization. The simplified structural model (Co^{1+}Cbl) was prepared by removing all the amide side chains and the nucleotide loop of the cofactor while to mimic the *full* cofactor (Co^{1+}Cbi), the side chains were retained and only the nucleotide loop was trimmed off at the phosphodiester end (Figure 21). For optimizing penta-coordinated Co^{1+}Cbx complexes, two different starting geometries were considered: (1) H_2O ligand with its O-end towards the Co^{1+} ion ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2$; $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2$) and (2) H-ended H_2O bound to Co^{1+}Cbx ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O}$; $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$). Both starting

geometries led to the same final conformation (i.e., $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$; $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$) wherein H_2O axial ligand was H-bonded to the cofactor. To test the H-bond forming feature of Co^{2+}Cbx complexes, penta- and hexa-coordinated structural models of Co^{2+}Cbx were employed. To model penta-coordinated Co^{2+}Cbx complexes, two commonly suggested conformations¹⁸⁷ were used: (1) ($\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2$; $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2$) where the H_2O ligand was placed over the β -axial face of the cofactor and (2) ($\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--Im}$; $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--Im}$) where Im, considered as an ideal structural mimick of His ligand, was modelled as α axial ligand. The hexa-coordinated Co^{2+}Cbx complexes were modelled as $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--Im}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--Im}$ respectively. For optimizing penta-coordinated $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2$ and $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2$ complexes, two different starting geometries were used: (1) H-ended as well as O-ended conformations of H_2O axial ligand, and (2) optimized

Figure 21. Structure of simplified square planar cob(I)alamin model.

H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbx ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$) complexes. In all the optimized Co^{2+}Cbx complexes, the H_2O axial ligand was found to be O-bound with the cofactor. The simplified structural models (Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{2+}Cbl) were fully optimized using 6-31++G(d,p) basis set while in the case of the *full* complexes (Co^{1+}Cbi and Co^{2+}Cbi), geometry optimization was only carried out using 6-31G(d) (5d) basis set owing to the structural complexity of the cofactor model. The authenticity of all the optimized structural models was confirmed by frequency calculations. The solvation of **H**-bonded complexes was studied using chloroform ($\epsilon = 4.7$), acetonitrile ($\epsilon = 32.7$) and water ($\epsilon = 78$) solvents as implemented in the PCM formalism of Gaussian09. The computed free energies of solvation were further corrected by adding the contribution of non-electrostatics terms (repulsion, cavitation, dispersion). The non-electrostatic terms were computed using SMD model of solvation.¹⁸⁸ The interaction energies of the penta-coordinated Co^{1+}Cbx complexes ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O}$; $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$) were calculated as the difference between the total energies of the **H**-bonded complexes ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$; $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$) and the sum of the total energies of the individual precursors (Co^{1+}Cbl , H_2O ; Co^{1+}Cbi , H_2O). For computing the interaction energy profiles, the single point calculations at higher basis set (6-311++G(2df,2p)) were performed. The computed interaction energy profiles were corrected for basis set superposition error (BSSE) using the counterpoise scheme of Gaussian09 software.

The AIM¹⁸⁹, NBO¹⁹⁰ and Wiberg bond index(WBI)¹⁹¹ analysis of H-bonded complexes (Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H; Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H) was only performed at the BP86-optimized geometries.

In order to quantify the catalytic effect of Co¹⁺--H interaction, we computed the reduction potentials of Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ couple considering two possible conformations of Co¹⁺Cbx (Co¹⁺Cbx and Co¹⁺Cbx--H-O-H). The reduction potentials were calculated using our recently calibrated computational protocol that predicts the redox chemistry of B₁₂ corrinoids within reliable degree of accuracy.¹⁹² The following equation based on the Born-Haber cycle (Scheme 8) was used to compute the reduction potential of a Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ couple

Scheme 8. Born-Haber cycle for Co²⁺ Cbx/Co¹⁺Cbx couple.

$$E^0 \text{ (vs SHE)} = \text{IP} + 1/23.06(-T\Delta S + \Delta G_{\text{solvation, Redox}}) - 4.28$$

where IP corresponds to ionization potential.

For the SHE, the absolute potential has often been reported as $-(4.44 \pm 0.02)$ eV, as recommended by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry in 1986.¹⁹³ However, recently Lewis et al.¹⁹⁴ reported a value of -4.28 eV that is considered to be the most accurate available and hence has been employed in the present analysis. Also, the entropy term ($-T\Delta S$) was dropped while computing reduction potentials as the ZPE/entropy corrections were relatively small and could be neglected as a first approximation.

4.3 Results and Discussions

4.3.1 DFT Structural analysis of Co^{2+}Cbx and Co^{1+}Cbx

Model Complexes

Taking into account that Co^{1+}Cbx has only been spectroscopically characterized,^{111,114,119-120} we turned our attention to computational modeling. As it's shown in the Figure 22, the axial H_2O and Im ligands were O- and N-bound to the Co^{2+} ion in the optimized Co^{2+}Cbx complexes (Tables A3 - A8). Note here that the axial H_2O ligand in the $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--Im}$ was significantly displaced ($\sim 4.00 - 4.30$ Å) away from the Co^{2+} ion indicating that the $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--Im}$ complex is effectively a penta-coordinated complex. On the other hand, the H_2O ligand in the Co^{1+}Cbx complexes ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$; $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$) was ligated to the Co^{1+} ion via its H-end (Figure 23 and Tables A9 - A10) which implies that H-bond forming tendency is only mandated by d^8 metal ions. The $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H-O}$ motif in the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complexes was found to be almost linear, a structural feature ($\angle \text{M}^{n+}\text{--H-X}$ greater than 110°) that has

Figure 22. Close-ups of BP86-optimized Co^{2+}Cbx complexes (Co^{2+}Cbl (left); Co^{2+}Cbi (right)) wherein the regions of interest have been highlighted using ball-stick representation.

Figure 23. Close-ups of BP86-optimized H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbx ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ (left); $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ (right)) complexes wherein the regions of interest have been highlighted using ball-stick representation.

usually been associated with H-bond forming complexes.¹⁹⁵ Notably the H-O bond of H_2O axial ligand was found to be slightly lengthened as compared to its H-O bond that supported the development of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond. The BP86-calculated harmonic vibrational frequencies further aided the elongation of H-O bond as the H-O stretching vibration (3328 cm^{-1} , 3352 cm^{-1}) was lower in energy than the H-O vibration (3747 cm^{-1} , 3670 cm^{-1}) in the H-bonded complexes. The WBI that probe for covalent interactions afforded useful quantitative information to compliment the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation. The H-O bond had a lower bond index (0.711; 0.676) than the H-O bond (0.767; 0.761) while the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond had a noticeable bond order of (0.040; 0.085) which signified the bonding interaction between the Co^{1+} ion and H-O motif of the H_2O axial ligand.

4.3.2 DFT Thermodynamic Analysis of Co^{1+}Cbx Model Complexes

To validate the stability of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond, the static thermodynamic data for the complex formation reactions

were evaluated. Surprisingly, in the case of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex, the reaction was found to be non-spontaneous when studied using HF or conventional DFT functionals (BP86, B97-1, B98) with the exception of ωB97X (Figure 24 and Table A11). This is in line with an earlier study,¹¹¹ suggesting that

Figure 24. Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ (upper panel) and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ (lower panel) complex (green: gas phase, olive: chloroform, violet: acetonitrile, orange: water).

such a complex formation ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O}$) is not possible from thermodynamic point of view ($\Delta G = +1.96$ kcal/mol). The exothermicity calculated with ωB97X functional implied that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage has long-range correlation component. When the thermodynamics of the complex was addressed using dispersion-corrected DFT, the complex formation was found to be a spontaneous phenomenon with B97-D functional while the exothermic effect noticed with ωB97X functional was enhanced by $\sim 1 - 2$ kcal/mol upon inclusion of dispersion interactions (i.e., with $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ functional). This indicates that the dispersion component of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage contributes noticeably towards the thermodynamic stability of the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex. The importance of dispersion-corrected DFT has recently been illustrated in the case of transition metal complexes¹⁹⁶ and carbene-carbene complex formation reactions¹⁹⁷.

On the other hand, the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation in the case of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex was predicted to be an exothermic event at all levels of theory (Figure 24 and Table A12). It should be noted that though $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex was weaker than in $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$, yet relatively a higher degree of exothermic effect was achieved in this case which was mainly due to the larger size of the cofactor (Co^{1+}Cbi) and an additional H-bond ($\text{--N-H--OH}_2 = 1.98$ Å) that the H_2O axial ligand forms with one of the amide side chains of the cofactor (Figure 23).

To assess the stability of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage, the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complexes were solvated using chloroform ($\epsilon = 4.7$), acetonitrile ($\epsilon = 32.7$) and water ($\epsilon = 78$) solvents respectively (Figure 24 and Tables A13-

A15 and A16-A18). The solvated $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond was found to be appreciably destabilized with lesser effect being noticed in the case of lesser polar solvent. The highly endothermic nature of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond in water also provides a rationale why no such H-bond has been observed experimentally.⁸⁶ In spite of the weakening of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage upon solvation, the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H--O--H}$ complex formation reaction was found to be essentially a thermoneutral event ($\Delta G = +0.18\text{--}0.59$ kcal/mol) when studies using B97-D and $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ functionals while the solvated $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H--O--H}$ complex remained a thermodynamically stable entity. The solvent-induced destabilization of H-bonded complexes indicated that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bonds are weaker interactions than $\text{H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ H-bonds.

The existence of the stable $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond was further supported by the minima observed on the interaction energy curves of the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H--O--H}$ and

Figure 25. Computed interaction energy profiles of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H--O--H}$ (left) and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H--O--H}$ (right) complexes. Interaction energy profiles have been corrected with regard to BSSE error.

Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H complexes computed along the Co¹⁺--O coordinate (Figure 25). The interaction energies of the H-bonded complexes were calculated as the difference between the total energies of the complexes (Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H; Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H) and the sum of the total energies of their separated monomers (Co¹⁺Cbl, H₂O; Co¹⁺Cbi, H₂O). The occurrence of the HF minima further pointed out the electrostatic nature of the Co¹⁺--H bond while the deeper minima observed with DFT (BP86, B97-1, B98, B97-D, ω B97X and ω B97X-D) functionals implied that the Co¹⁺--H bond also has dispersion and correlation contribution.

4.3.3 AIM Analysis of Co¹⁺Cbx Model Complexes

In the AIM methodology, the topological properties of the electron density associated with bond critical point (BCP) are analyzed to define the bond path that connects the interacting atoms. Within the formalism of AIM theory, the sign of total energy density ($H = G+V$) at a BCP is used as an instructive parameter to classify the bonding type i.e., whether the interaction is purely electrostatic ($H > 0$) or partially covalent ($H < 0$). As a next step, we characterized the nature of Co¹⁺--H interaction using AIM analysis that was performed on the BP86-optimized geometries of the H-bonded complexes. For Co¹⁺--H interactions in the Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H and Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complexes, the BCP could be located. Table 3 contains all the relevant BCP properties of Co¹⁺--H interactions. The Co¹⁺--H interaction in the Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H complex was found to be partially covalent in nature ($H = -0.00092$) while it was purely electrostatic ($H = +0.00094$) in the

Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complex implying that the Co¹⁺--H interaction was stronger in the case of Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H complex. This is also reflected in terms of Co¹⁺--H bond distances depicted in Figure 23. This analysis further emphasized that the greater extent of exothermicity noticed in the case of Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complex was largely due to its steric bulk and an additional binding interaction between the axial H₂O ligand and the amide side chain of the cofactor.

Table 3

BCP Properties of Co¹⁺--H Interaction for Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H and Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H Complexes

Complex	$\rho_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}^{\text{a}}$	$\nabla^2\rho_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}^{\text{b}}$	$-V_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}^{\text{c}}$	$G_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}^{\text{d}}$	$H_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}^{\text{e}}$ $= -V_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}} + G_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}$
Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-O-H	0.02097	0.04105	0.01211	0.01119	-0.00092
Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H	0.01530	0.03918	0.00791	0.00885	0.00094

^aThe electron density at the BCP ($\rho_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}$, e/au³). ^bThe Laplacian of the electron density at the BCP ($\nabla^2\rho_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}$, e/au⁵). ^cThe potential energy density at the BCP ($-V_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}$, hartrees/au³). ^dThe kinetic energy density at the BCP ($G_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}$, hartrees/au³). ^eThe total energy density at the BCP ($H_{\text{Co1}+\cdots\text{H}}$, hartrees/au³).

4.3.4 NBO Analysis of Co¹⁺Cbx Model Complexes

To gain insight into the electronic structure of the H-bonded complexes and to evaluate the role of orbital interaction between the Co¹⁺ ion and H₂O ligand in stabilizing Co¹⁺--H interaction, NBO second-order perturbation analysis¹⁹⁰ was carried out on the BP86-optimized geometries of the Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H and Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complexes. The calculated stabilization energy (5.6 kcal/mol; 10.4 kcal/mol) due to the $n_{\text{Co1}+}$ (filled d-orbitals of Co¹⁺ ion) $\rightarrow \sigma_{\text{H-O}}^*$ orbital interactions was significantly higher than that estimated for the $n_{\text{Co1}+} \rightarrow$

$\sigma_{\text{H-O}}^*$ interactions (0.82 kcal/mol; 0.2 kcal/mol). This indicated the presence of sizeable contribution of metal to ligand charge transfer component in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage that was also reflected in terms of the orbital occupancies of the $\sigma_{\text{H-O}}^*$ (0.03; 0.06) and $\sigma_{\text{H-O}}^*$ (0.00; 0.00) orbitals (Table 4). Owing to the availability of metal antibonding orbitals ($n_{\text{Co}^{1+}}^*$) and H-O bonding orbital ($\sigma_{\text{H-O}}$) of appropriate symmetry and energy, the ligand to metal charge transfer also contributed towards the stabilization of the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond, though its impact was relatively lesser. The role of such orbital interactions in stabilizing non-covalent Se--N , Se--O and Se--F linkages is well documented in literature.¹⁹⁸

Table 4

NBO Perturbation Analysis of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ Complexes

Complex	$\Delta E^{(2)} [\text{kcalmol}^{-1}]^*$			
	$n_{\text{Co}^{1+}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{H-O}}^*$	$n_{\text{Co}^{1+}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{O-H}}^*$	$\sigma_{\text{H-O}} \rightarrow n_{\text{Co}^{1+}}^*$	$\sigma_{\text{O-H}} \rightarrow n_{\text{Co}^{1+}}^*$
$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$	5.6	0.8	4.1	0.3
$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$	10.4	0.2	3.0	0.8

*stabilization energy due to orbital interaction between the Co^{1+} ion and the H_2O axial ligand

4.3.5 Computational Electrochemistry of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ Process

Considering that the model calculations predict a stable $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond that has charge transfer, electrostatic, long-range correlation and empirical-dispersion contributions, an interesting question arises as to how it might impact the

Figure 26. $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction induced Redox Tuning (vs SHE) of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process wherein the figure a) depicts the schematic of the studied reduction processes while figure b) illustrates the redox tuning computed as the difference between the reduction potentials of ($\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}; \text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi}$) and $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O}; \text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$) couples respectively (blue: Co^{1+}Cbl , dark yellow: Co^{1+}Cbi). The horizontal line (magenta color) in figure b) implies the biological redox gap corresponding to the reduction potential difference between the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx cofactor (-490 mV vs SHE) and the physiological reducing agents (-440 mV vs SHE) as discussed in ref. 111.

catalytic $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction, a key step in many enzymatic reactions? Earlier studies^{111,114,116,117-121,139} indicate that the generation of tetra-coordinated square planar Co^{1+}Cbx is required to facilitate thermodynamically challenging $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction. But our computations suggest that Co^{1+}Cbx can indeed be penta-coordinated square pyramidal due to $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bond formation. Thus to quantify the possible catalytic effect of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction, the reduction potentials for $(\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl}\cdots\text{OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}; \text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi}\cdots\text{OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi})$ and $(\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl}\cdots\text{OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}; \text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi}\cdots\text{OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O})$ couples were computed using a calibrated computational protocol (BP86/6-31+G*) that predicts the reduction potentials of B_{12} cofactors within reliable degree of accuracy (Tables A19 - A24).¹⁹² A significant amount of redox tuning ($\sim 100 - 225$ mV vs SHE) was noticed when the H-bonded conformation of Co^{1+}Cbx was employed (Figure 26; Table A25)

Scheme 9. An alternate mechanistic pathway for the enzyme-bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction.

which is appreciably higher than the redox gap (50 mV vs SHE) between the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx cofactor and the physiological reducing agents.¹¹¹ This finding strongly suggests that $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction driven H-bonded conformation of Co^{1+}Cbx can play a deterministic role in explaining as to how $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction is accomplished inside MetH enzyme. This reveals a novel catalytic strategy (Scheme 9) that might not only be deployed by MetH enzyme³¹ during its reactivation cycle but also by other methyltransferases and a no. of ACAs during their biochemical pathways.¹⁶ The axial H136 ligand in the case of MtaBC enzyme³³ is located at 2.51 Å distance from the Co^{2+} ion and hence can serve as a potential H-bond donor for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation. Similarly, in the case of PduO-type ACA, the topological location of F112 residue ($\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--C} = 3.8\text{ Å}$)¹¹⁸ also makes it an ideal H-donor to effectuate $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation.

Figure 27. Active site view of the X-ray crystal structure of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (PDB-code: 3IVA @ 2.7 Å resolution).

Furthermore, the stability of such $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkages when formed inside the enzymes, may be enhanced due to the cooperativity effect exerted by the local protein environment. For example, the axial H_2O ligand in the reactivation cycle of MethH enzyme¹¹⁸ is engaged in extensive H-bond formation with the local protein domain (the active site Y1139 and E1097 residues) (Figure 27) and this H-bonded network may play a crucial role in stabilizing $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction in the MethH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx .

4.4 Conclusions

The existence of an unanticipated $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage that has charge transfer, electrostatic, long-range correlation and empirical-dispersion components is computationally validated using DFT, AIM and NBO tools. The usefulness of long-range correlation- and empirical dispersion-corrected DFT in the proper description of complexes containing $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bonds is illustrated. The analysis of the computed reduction potentials indicated that the Co^{1+} ion-induced H-bond can potentially fine-tune the thermodynamics of the enzyme-bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process which is an indispensable chemical event in a wide body of methyltransferases and ACAs.

CHAPTER V

ROLE OF THE AXIAL LIGAND CONFORMATIONAL CHANGE IN THE $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ REDOX TUNING IN METHIONINE SYNTHASE ENZYME

5.1 Introduction

The $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction is a common corrinoid reaction in cytoplasmic methyltransferases and mitochondrial ACAs.¹⁶ Despite its broad and general chemical appeal, the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction remains poorly understood. This is because $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ conversion is a thermodynamically challenging process due in part to the reduction potential of the unbound base-off Co^{2+}Cbx (-500 mV vs SHE) being inaccessible by the physiological reducing agents (-260 to -460 mV vs SHE) operative in cellular conditions.^{86,113}

On the basis of structural^{116,117-118,139} and spectroscopic evidence,^{111,114,119-121} formation of tetracoordinated square planar Co^{1+}Cbx has been advocated as the most plausible mechanistic description to rationalize the enzyme-mediated tuning of the reduction process in the case of methyltransferases (Scheme 10a). Though such a mechanistic description significantly advances our understanding

of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ conversion, there are studies¹⁹⁹⁻²⁰¹ indicating that Co^{1+}Cbx may also exist in other coordination geometries. Notably, two pentacoordinated Co^{1+} -model compounds (i.e., $[\text{pyCo}^{1+}(\text{dmgBF}_2)_2]^-$ and $[\text{MeCNCo}^{1+}(\text{dmgBF}_2)_2]^-$)¹⁹⁹⁻²⁰⁰ and a hexacoordinated Co^{1+} clathrochelate ($[\text{Co}^{1+}(\text{Cl}_2\text{g})_3(\text{Bn-C}_4\text{H}_9)_2]^-$)²⁰¹ in a trigonal prism arrangement have been structurally characterized. Further, a growing body of experimental and theoretical evidence¹²⁶⁻¹³⁷ suggests that d^8 metal ions, such as Pt^{2+} and Co^{1+} can display pentacoordinated square pyramidal geometry because of their distinct H-bond forming ability. Importantly, Kozelka et al.,¹³³ have provided the first conclusive evidence of a dispersion driven O-H $\cdots$ Pt^{2+} interaction in an uncharged trans- $[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)(\text{N-glycine})]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ complex. Taking into account these findings, an alternative mechanistic preference has been recently proposed²⁰⁵ as described in chapter IV wherein the H-bonded pentacoordinated $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbx}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}$ intermediate is invoked to tune the reduction process. Scheme 10b shows the proposed mechanistic route that involves a conformational change at the axial H_2O ligand rather than its complete dissociation from the cofactor. The formation of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbx}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}$ complex is facilitated by the fact that the Co^{1+} ion, which is a dominant d^8 system,^{39,40} prefers to deploy its filled d-orbitals for constructing a distinctive $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction that has noticeable charge transfer, long-range correlation, dispersion and electrostatic components. The DFT calculations²⁰² indicate that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction exerts a sizeable amount of redox tuning (50-225 mV vs SHE) upon the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process thereby making it accessible by the common biological reductants.

Scheme 10. Possible mechanistic routes for the enzyme-bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction in methyltransferases.

The computational work reported in this chapter demonstrates how the plausible mechanistic path shown in Scheme 9b may be realized inside the enzymes, i.e., precisely how the conformational change at the axial ligand may be induced en route to the formation of enzyme-bound Co^{1+}Cbx . Herein we employ DFT, AIM and ONIOM-based QM/MM computations to investigate the molecular details of a $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation during the reactivation cycle of MetH enzyme which is one of the better understood methyltransferases³³.

5.2 Computational Details

5.2.1 Model DFT Calculations

All calculations were performed using HF and a variety of DFT (BP86,¹⁵² B97-1,¹⁸⁰ B98,¹⁸¹ B97-D,¹⁸² ωB97X ¹⁸³ and $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ ¹⁸⁴) functionals and 6-31++G(d,p) basis set as embroidered into Gaussian09¹⁸⁵ quantum chemical suite of program for electronic structure and properties calculations except for the AIM analysis that was carried out using AIM2000¹⁸⁹ software. The use of the long-range correlation- and the empirical dispersion-corrected DFT functionals (ωB97X , $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ and B97-D) was motivated by the fact that the non-covalent interactions had not been faithfully reproduced using conventional DFT¹¹⁶. Considering that the crystal structure of Co^{1+}Cbx had yet not been resolved, the employed simplified structural models of Co^{1+}Cbx (Co^{1+}Cbl) as well as those of Co^{2+}Cbx (Co^{2+}Cbl) were prepared from the high resolution X-ray crystal structure of isolated Co^{2+}Cbx ¹⁸⁶ and were further improved by performing the geometry optimization. These structural models were constructed by removing all the

amide side chains and the nucleotide loop of the cofactor. To mimic the possible active site interactions of the β -axial H_2O ligand, two types of the structural models were considered: (1) $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$; $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ where a H_2O molecule, a universal H-bond donor, was placed in the vicinity of the axial ligand to replicate its H-bonding interaction with the proximal residues; (2) $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$; $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ where PhOH was used to model the local Y1139 residue of MethH enzyme. The latter kind of the structural models presented an excellent case study platform for extracting valuable insight into the catalytic role of Y1139 residue in relation to MethH-catalyzed reduction process.^{111,116,139} All the structural models were fully optimized using 6-31++G(d,p) basis set and their optimized geometries were validated to be global minima via frequency calculations. It should be noted that the optimization of Co^{2+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbl complexes was initiated using O-ended as well as H-ended geometries of H_2O or PhOH interacting with the axial H_2O ligand. Both the starting points converged to the same final structure i.e., in Co^{2+}Cbl complexes, the neighbouring H_2O or PhOH interacted with the axial ligand via its O-end while in Co^{1+}Cbl complexes, the local motif communicated through its H-end. To analyze the stability of Co^{1+}Cbl complexes, the static thermodynamic data was computed supposing a standard state convention of 1 atm and temperature of 298.15 K. The solvation of Co^{1+}Cbl complexes was studied using chloroform ($\epsilon = 4.70$) solvent as weaved into the PCM formalism of Gaussian09.

5.2.2 ONIOM QM/MM Calculations

A recently resolved X-ray crystal structure of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (PDB code: 3IVA @2.7 Å resolution)¹¹⁶ was used to build a computational model. The experimental artifacts were deleted from the X-ray crystal structure and consequently, no counter ions were included in the models investigated. The protonation states of histidine residues were determined at pH = 7.0 using PROPKA suite of program²⁰³ and visual inspection as follows: HID759, HID841, HID1028, HIE1104, HIE1145, HIE1184, HIP894, HIP927, HIP1021, HIP1027, HIP1080,

Figure 28. QM:MM partition scheme used in the present ONIOM analysis. The red color curly lines represent the boundaries between the QM and MM domains. Here QM region is described by DFT while MM part is analyzed using AMBER force field.

and HIP1160. HID and HIE were used here to mean that these were neutral histidine residues with the protonated $N_{\delta 1}$ and $N_{\delta 2}$ atoms, respectively, and HIP was a doubly protonated histidine. However, aspartic acid and glutamic acid residues were assumed as ionized, and lysine and arginine residues were assumed as protonated, and the crystal H_2O molecules were also included in the model. The model structure was first pre-equilibrated and was subsequently subjected to geometry optimization of hydrogen atoms using the AMBER force field as implemented in Gaussian09. Subsequently, AMBER geometry optimization was performed for hydrogen atoms and heavy atoms of the side chains. Merz-Kollman electrostatic potential atomic charges were determined for the cofactor,²⁰⁴ applying the ONIOM(B3LYP/6-31G(d):AMBER) mechanical embedding (ME) scheme. In this calculation, the cofactor was defined as the model system to which DFT was applied, and the radius for the Co ion was set at 0.79 Å. The residues within 20 Å from the Co center and hydrogen atoms were then geometry optimized with the ONIOM-ME method, where the cofactor was assumed the model system. The $Co^{2+}Cbx$ model was turned out to be neutral. Then the model system was changed as shown in the Figure 28 and only the QM atoms were optimized using ONIOM-ME. After these preparatory calculations, ONIOM electronic embedding (EE) calculations were carried out where the QM part was analyzed using four different functionals (B3LYP, BP86, ω B97X and ω B97X-D).

5.3 Results and Discussion

5.3.1 DFT Analysis of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx Model Complexes

The optimized isolated Co^{2+}Cbl complexes ($\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--OH}_2$ and $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--HOPh}$) were found to be O-bound at the β face of the corrin ring with a strong $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--O}$ ($\sim 2.25 - 2.55 \text{ \AA}$) bond (Figure 29; Tables A26 – A27) implying that MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx would prefer to be O-bound with the axial ligand endorsing prior structural,¹¹⁶ spectroscopic and computational studies^{111,114}. Note here that the axial H_2O ligand in the optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--HOPh}$ complex was displaced by PhOH moiety that formed a relatively weak $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--O}$ bond ($\sim 2.32 - 2.46 \text{ \AA}$).

Figure 29. BP86-optimized Co^{2+}Cbl structural models ($\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--OH}_2$ (left); $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--HOPh}$ (right)).

5.3.2 QM/MM Analysis of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx

With the ONIOM-based QM/MM calculations, a similar conceptual picture was obtained i.e., the axial H_2O ligand communicated with the Co^{2+} ion through its O-end (Figure 30). The QM/MM optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--O}$ bond, ranging between 2.44 Å and 2.66 Å, was noticeably lengthened in comparison to that in the isolated structural models (~2.25 - 2.55 Å), implying that the enzyme environment would tend to weaken the interaction between the cofactor and the axial ligand. Furthermore, this computational finding was supported by the existing spectroscopic data of Brunold et al.,¹¹¹ where it had been shown that the enzyme environment would cause the elongation of $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--O}$ bond by 0.2 or 0.3 Å and would result in the formation of a weakly-bound penta-coordinated $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbx--OH}_2$ state. It should be noted that the QM/MM optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--O}$ bond distance was significantly deviated from the experimental value reported in ref. 116 (Figures 27 and 30). This discrepancy between the calculated and the experimental data might be due to the poor resolution of the X-ray crystal structure (i.e., 2.7 Å) of the reactivation complex of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx ¹¹⁶ that is the most reasonable crystal structure available for this complex and was used as a reference point in the present work. The closer analysis of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx revealed that the axial H_2O ligand was engaged in a tightly coupled H-bonding network ($\text{Y1139--H}_2\text{O--E1097--R1094}$) with the local enzyme scaffold. Noteworthy were the direct H-bonding interactions between the axial H_2O ligand and the local Y1139 and E1097 residues where the axial ligand constructed a relatively stronger interaction with the E1097 residue ($\text{H}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\text{--}\text{OOC}_{\text{E1097}} \sim 1.69$ Å; $\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\text{--HO}_{\text{Y1139}}$

~1.95 Å). This suggested that the E1097 residue might be exerting a pull effect upon the axial ligand in order to disrupt its interaction with the cofactor, which in turn would be facilitated by the proximal R1094 residue owing to its strong salt-bridge interaction with the E1097 residue ($N_{R1094}-H \cdots OOC_{E1097} = 1.78 \text{ Å}$). This was consistent with the prior studies^{111,116} suggesting that the local enzyme scaffold, especially the Y1139 and E1097 residues, promote the generation of a weakly-coordinated square pyramidal MetH-bound $Co^{2+}Cbx-OH_2$ to combat the thermodynamic demand of the reduction process. It is important to mention that the axial H_2O ligand would be detached from $Co^{2+}Cbx$ inside the enzyme environment only if the active site of MetH-bound $Co^{2+}Cbx$ would be hydrophobic in nature. But since the tyrosine (Y1139), glutamate (E1097) and arginine (R1094) residues were present in the binding pocket of MetH-bound $Co^{2+}Cbx$, the active site would be strongly hydrophilic. As a result, the axial H_2O ligand would be retained in the active site.

5.3.3 DFT Analysis of MetH-bound $Co^{1+}Cbx$ Model Complexes

The axial H_2O ligand in the case of isolated $Co^{1+}Cbl$ structural models ($Co^{1+}Cbl-H_2O-H_2O$ and $Co^{1+}Cbl-H_2O-HOPh$) (Figure 31, Tables A28 – A29), was found to be H-bonded with the Co^{1+} ion of the cofactor documenting the unusual H-bond forming feature of d^8 Co^{1+} ion. The binding motif ($Co^{1+}-H-O$) in the optimized $Co^{1+}Cbl-H_2O-H_2O$ ($\angle Co^{1+}-H-O = 157.9^\circ$) and $Co^{1+}Cbl-H_2O-HOPh$ ($\angle Co^{1+}-H-O = 172.3^\circ$) complexes was found to be aligned in a strictly

Figure 30. Active site view of the ONIOM (DFT:AMBER) optimized MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (DFT = B3LYP/6-31G(d) (*top*), BP86/6-31G(d) (*middle1*), $\omega\text{B97X}/6\text{-}31\text{G(d)}$ (*middle 2*) and $\omega\text{B97X-D}/6\text{-}31\text{G(d)}$ (*bottom*)).

Figure 31. BP86-optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ (left) and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ (right).

linear fashion which had been a typical structural signature of H-bond forming systems. The axial H_2O ligand in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ complex remained coordinated to the Co^{1+} ion in contrast to the analogous Co^{2+}Cbl complex where it was displaced by the tyrosine mimick (i.e., by PhOH). Notably the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--O}$ bond distance ($\sim 3.13 - 3.29 \text{ \AA}$) in the optimized H-bonded complexes was appreciably lengthened in comparison to the analogous Co^{2+}Cbl complexes implying that the weakening of $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--O}$ linkage was mandated to induce a conformational change at the axial ligand for forming a $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction, and should not be misinterpreted as a mechanistic prerequisite for generating square planar Co^{1+}Cbx . The optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage ($\sim 2.12 - 2.25 \text{ \AA}$) in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ complex was stronger than in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H} \sim 2.18 - 2.37 \text{ \AA}$) because the PhOH moiety, being a better H-donor, formed a stronger H-bonding contact with the axial H_2O ligand ($\text{PhOH--H}_2\text{O} \sim 1.72 - 1.79$

Å) and exerted a noticeable push to strengthen the communication between the H₂O ligand and the Co¹⁺ ion.

5.3.4 DFT Thermodynamic Analysis of MetH-bound Co¹⁺Cbx Model Complexes

The computed thermodynamic data for the isolated Co¹⁺Cbl models further asserted the stable character of the Co¹⁺--H interaction (Figure 32 and Tables A30 – A33). The exothermicity affiliated with the H-bonded Co¹⁺Cbl complexes was validated only upon treatment with the long-range correlation- or the empirical-dispersion-corrected functionals (Tables A30 and A32) which signified the presence of a sizeable dispersion component in the Co¹⁺--H interaction. The formation of a similar Co¹⁺--H interaction had also been noted during a previous study,¹¹⁴ but the thermodynamic feasibility of such a linkage could not be verified because the calculations were only performed using the conventional DFT functional. Upon solvation in chloroform ($\epsilon = 4.70$), an appreciable degree of destabilization was caused to the Co¹⁺--H linkage (Tables A31 and A33). As a result, the Co¹⁺Cbl--H₂O--H₂O complex became endothermic at all levels of theory but the Co¹⁺Cbl--H₂O--HOPh complex, a realistic active site mimick of MetH-bound Co¹⁺Cbx, remained a thermodynamically viable entity, when analyzed with the dispersion-corrected functionals suggesting that the Co¹⁺--H interaction could be engineered inside the enzyme scaffold of MetH.

Figure 32. Computed Gibbs energy data for H-bonded $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ (left) and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ (right).

5.3.5 AIM Analysis of Meth-bound Co^{1+}Cbx Model Complexes

The AIM chemical tool could provide enriching quantitative insight into the precise nature of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction. Thus, as a next step, we performed AIM analysis on BP86 geometries of the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ models. The $\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}}$ estimated at the BCP of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction lied in the range of 0.02 - 0.03 e/au^3 (Table V-1) that had usually been suggested for normal H-bonds²⁰⁵. This indicated that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction could be classified as a H-bond. The positive values of the $\nabla^2\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}}$ at the identified BCPs predicted $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction to be a dominant electrostatic interaction. But it had been noted²⁰⁶ that the total energy density (H) coupled with $\nabla^2\rho$ should be employed as a realistic descriptor of non-covalent interactions. The closer scrutiny of the AIM data instructed that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction was partially covalent rather than being purely electrostatic. But since the absolute $H_{\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}}$ values were very small;

the degree of partial covalence (electron sharing) should be treated as insignificant. It should be noted that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction could not be categorized as an agostic-type because the transition metals that form such interactions were usually the early transition metals (e.g. Ti, Sc, Mo etc.) in high oxidation states²⁰⁷. Apparently, Co^{1+} ion did not fall in this domain. On the other hand, the metal ion-induced H-bonds had been formed by the late transition metals in low oxidation states such as Pt^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Cu^{2+} ions etc.¹²⁸⁻¹³⁹ The $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction would clearly belong to this class.

Table 5

BCP Properties of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ Interaction for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdots\text{HOPh}$ Complexes

Complex	$\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$	$\Delta^2\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$	$-V_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$	$G_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$	$H_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$ $= -V_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}} + G_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$
$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}$	0.02686	0.042775	0.01681	0.01375	-0.00306
$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\cdots\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdots\text{HOPh}$	0.03027	0.04185	0.01971	0.01509	-0.00462

5.3.6 QM/MM Analysis of MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx

Using the optimized structure of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx as a starting point, a QM/MM analysis of MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx was carried out to investigate whether the axial H_2O ligand would ligate to the Co^{1+} ion via its O- or H-end. The computed QM/MM data clearly indicated the formation of a $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction in MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx (Figure 33). The calculated $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bond distance (2.33 – 2.54 Å) was in the domain of d^8 metal ion-induced H-bonds.¹²⁶⁻¹³⁷ Notably, the $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction was strengthened by ~0.1 – 0.2 Å with the ωB97X and

Figure 33. Active site view of the ONIOM (DFT:AMBER) optimized MethH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx (DFT = B3LYP/6-31G(d) (*top*), BP86/6-31G(d) (*middle1*), $\omega\text{B97X}/6\text{-}31\text{G(d)}$ (*middle 2*) and $\omega\text{B97X-D}/6\text{-}31\text{G(d)}$ (*bottom*)).

ω B97X-D functionals which pointed out the presence of a noticeable dispersion component in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction. The QM/MM estimated $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond ($\sim 2.33 \text{ \AA} - 2.56 \text{ \AA}$) was lengthened by $\sim 0.2 - 0.3 \text{ \AA}$ in comparison to that in the active site mimicks ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H} \sim 2.18 - 2.37 \text{ \AA}$ ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$) and $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H} \sim 2.12 - 2.39 \text{ \AA}$ ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$); Figures 31 and 33, Tables A28 – A29). The comparative analysis of the QM/MM optimized Co^{2+}Cbx and Co^{1+}Cbx complexes suggested how the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction could be formed inside MetH enzyme. The free H-end of the axial H_2O ligand in MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx might undergo a conformational change (i.e., rotatory motion towards the metal ion) leading to the formation of a H-bonded $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbx--H}_2\text{O}$ complex. This conformational switch would be mainly driven by a change in the electronic configuration of the metal ion (i.e., $\text{Co}^{2+} (d^7) \rightarrow \text{Co}^{1+} (d^8)$) as no significant alteration was observed in the local environments of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx and Co^{1+}Cbx . As a result of the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction, enzyme-bound Co^{1+}Cbx might adapt to alternative pentacoordinated square pyramidal ligation geometry in addition to the commonly accepted tetraordinated square planar geometry^{111,114,116}. Since the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction-driven square pyramidal Co^{1+}Cbx could tune the thermodynamics of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process,²⁰² the present QM/MM analysis might have implications for the reactivation cycles of methyltransferases as well as for the adenosylation pathways of ACAs. It is worth mentioning that the X-ray crystallographic methods cannot elucidate the formation of a $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction because of their inability to resolve the electron density patterns associated with hydrogen atoms. In order to experimentally

validate the formation of a $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ linkage, a neutron diffraction technique must be used as has recently been demonstrated in the case of $\text{trans-[PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)(\text{N-glycine})]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ complex.¹³³ An early X-ray study¹³⁸ on this complex predicted that the axial H_2O ligand interacted with the Pt^{2+} center through its O-end ($\text{Pt}^{2+}\cdots\text{O} = 3.52 \text{ \AA}$), but a recent low temperature (20K) neutron diffraction study¹³³ led to the precise description of hydrogens and illustrated that the axial H_2O ligand was rather H-bonded with the Pt^{2+} ion ($\text{Pt}^{2+}\cdots\text{H} = 2.89 \text{ \AA}$). We hope that our computational attempt would inspire an analogous neutron diffraction analysis of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx that would experimentally confirm the existence of a $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction.

5.4 Conclusions

The QM/MM analysis of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx and Co^{1+}Cbx complexes indicate that the Co^{1+} ion specifically constructs a $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction that have implications for the reactivation cycle of MetH enzyme. Benefitting from this associative interaction, MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx would judiciously adapt to the square pyramidal coordination environment, which in turn might drive the otherwise inaccessible thermodynamically up-hill $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction.

CHAPTER VI

Co¹⁺--H INTERACTION INSPIRED ALTERNATE COORDINATION GEOMETRIES OF BIOLOGICALLY IMPORTANT COB(I)ALAMIN

6.1 Introduction

Recently, an unprecedented Co¹⁺--H bond in the neutral model as well as enzyme-bound Co¹⁺Cbx complexes has been theoretically validated^{202,208} which suggests that Co¹⁺Cbx, a ubiquitous B₁₂ intermediate, may be a pentacoordinated square pyramidal complex. The Co¹⁺--H interaction alters the reduction potential of the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ couple via preferential stabilization of Co¹⁺Cbx. Taking this into account, an alternate mechanistic pathway has been suggested for the biological Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ transformation (Scheme 8) that involves the conversion of pentacoordinated square pyramidal Co²⁺Cbx into pentacoordinated square pyramidal Co¹⁺Cbx. The main feature of this mechanistic design is the conformational switch that may be induced at the axial ligand due to a change in the electronic configuration of the metal ion

(i.e., $\text{Co}^{2+} (\text{d}^7) \rightarrow \text{Co}^{1+} (\text{d}^8)$). Precisely, this conformational change is inspired by the fact that a Co^{1+} ion can act as a Lewis base due to the availability of judicious filled d-orbitals. This alternate mechanistic proposal may provide useful mechanistic insight into the molecular basis of thermodynamically demanding $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction which is a common occurrence in a large family of methyltransferases.

Building upon these new bioinorganic advances, herein we address a fundamentally important question: can Co^{1+}Cbx intermediate only be a pentacoordinated complex or can it also exist in hexacoordinated octahedral geometry owing to its plausible ability of communicating with the axial ligands via Co^{1+} ion induced H-bonds? If yes, what could be the ensuing mechanistic prospects of such associative interactions in the context of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction catalyzed by methyltransferases? Finally, what will be the impact of the enzyme environment upon the thermodynamic stability of weak non-covalent $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interactions?

Considering that the crystallographic identification of Co^{1+}Cbx has yet not been possible owing to its highly transient nature, the profile of computational tools, especially DFT and QM/MM, gets magnified with regard to understanding the inherent structural and electronic properties of Co^{1+}Cbx . Herein this increased reliance of DFT and QM/MM methods along with the usefulness of AIM analysis in quantifying the degree of electron sharing has been explored to investigate the primary coordination environment of Co^{1+}Cbx .

6.2 Computational Details

6.2.1 Model DFT Calculations

To probe the issue, simplified (Co^{1+}Cbl) as well as complete (Co^{1+}Cbi) structural models of Co^{1+}Cbx were considered wherein H_2O and Im were mimicked as the axial ligands respectively. H_2O was modeled as α - as well as β -axial ligand while Im was only used as the β -axial ligand. The choice of the axial H_2O ligand was inspired by the fact that the X-ray crystal structures of MetH- (3IVA, Res. 2.7 Å),¹¹⁶ MtaBC- (2I2X, Res. 2.5 Å)²⁰⁹ and CFeSP-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (2H9A, Res. 1.9 Å)²¹⁰ disclosed the presence of a β -axial H_2O ligand in their active sites, while an α -axial H_2O ligand had been revealed during a spectroscopic-cum-computational study of a CFeSP-bound methylated Co^{2+}Cbx intermediate¹²¹. The selection of Im ligand, an ideal structural replicate of His ligand was promoted by the fact that the MtaBC-bound Co^{2+}Cbx had recently been crystallized in His-on conformation.²⁰⁹ Also, this conformation had been suggested as one of the possible binding modes for MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx .²¹¹ Due to the lack of crystallographic data for Co^{1+}Cbx , the employed Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi models as well as Co^{2+}Cbl and Co^{2+}Cbi models were prepared from the high resolution X-ray crystal structure of isolated Co^{2+}Cbx ¹⁸⁶ and were further improved by performing full geometry optimizations. To test the H-bond forming ability of Co^{1+}Cbx , the optimization of penta- and hexacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbx model complexes was commenced using two different starting geometries: (1) ligands (Im , H_2O) with their hetero atom-ends (N, O) towards the Co^{1+} ion ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2$, $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--N(Im)}$; $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2$, $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--N(Im)}$; $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--}$

OH₂, H₂O--Co¹⁺Cbi--OH₂; H₂O--Co¹⁺Cbl--N(Im), H₂O--Co¹⁺Cbi--N(Im)) and (2) H-ended ligands bound to Co¹⁺ ion (Co¹⁺Cbl--H₂O, Co¹⁺Cbl--HC(Im); Co¹⁺Cbi--H₂O, Co¹⁺Cbi--HC(Im); OH₂--Co¹⁺Cbl--H₂O, OH₂--Co¹⁺Cbi--H₂O; OH₂--Co¹⁺Cbl--HC(Im), OH₂--Co¹⁺Cbi--HC(Im)). All calculations were performed using Gaussian09¹⁸⁵ quantum chemical suite for electronic properties and structure computations. Considering that the metal ion influenced H-bonds are long-range interactions with noticeable dispersion components,^{132-133,202} and since the non-covalent interactions are not faithfully reproduced using conventional DFT,¹¹⁴ the complexes were also studied using ωB97X, ωB97X-D and B97-D functionals in addition to HF and BP86, B97-1 and B98 functionals. The Co²⁺Cbl and Co¹⁺Cbl structural models were fully optimized using 6-31++G(d,p) basis set while the geometry optimizations of Co²⁺Cbi and Co¹⁺Cbi complexes was only carried out with 6-31G(d) (5d) basis set owing to the structural complexity of model compounds. The optimized structural models were validated to be global minima via frequency calculations. To analyze thermodynamic behavior of the investigated complexes, the static thermodynamic data was computed assuming a standard state convention of 1 atm and temperature of 298.15 K. The solvation of the complexes was studied using chloroform (ε = 4.70), acetonitrile (ε = 32.70) and water (ε = 78.36) solvents as weaved into the PCM formalism of Gaussian09. The computed solvation free energies were further corrected by adding the contributions of non-electrostatic terms that included repulsion, cavitation and dispersion. The non-electrostatic terms were calculated using SMD model of solvation as threaded into Gaussian09.

To gain deeper insight into the nature of interactions occurring between the Co¹⁺ ion and the axial ligands in the Co¹⁺Cbx models, the AIM analysis of the complexes was performed using AIM2000 software. The topological properties of the electron density at the relevant BCPs were characterized using the BP86 optimized geometries of Co¹⁺Cbl and Co¹⁺Cbi complexes respectively.

In order to evaluate the contribution of orbital interactions to the stability of complexes, NBO perturbation analysis was performed on the BP86 optimized geometries of Co¹⁺Cbl and Co¹⁺Cbi complexes. To probe the bond strength of key structural elements, the WBI were also calculated during NBO analysis.

To estimate the impact of the alternate coordination geometries of Co¹⁺Cbx model complexes upon the thermodynamics of the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ process, the reduction potentials of the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ couple were computed in three different solvents namely chloroform, acetonitrile and water using our calibrated computational protocol (BP86/6-31+G*) that had been found to reasonably describe the electrochemistry of B₁₂ corrinoids¹⁹². The whole redox treatment was based on the Born-Haber cycle (Scheme 7) and the reduction potentials were calculated as follows:

$$E^0 \text{ (vs NHE)} = IP + 1/23.06 (-T\Delta S + \Delta G_{\text{solvation, Redox}}) - 4.28$$

6.2.2 ONIOM QM/MM Calculations

To investigate the possibility of Co¹⁺--H bond formation inside the enzymes, ONIOM-based QM/MM analysis was performed wherein the full

enzyme models for ONIOM (DFT:MM) calculations were constructed from a crystal structure of MetH enzyme (1BMT, Res.3.0 Å).² The methyl group bound to the Co center was removed. His residues were treated as HID in which the N δ atom was protonated. After adding hydrogen atoms by VMD²¹² and GaussView, the AMBER parameters developed by Marques et al.,²¹³ were assigned to a B₁₂ cofactor. Preparatory AMBER-MM geometry optimization was performed with only H atoms being allowed to move. Subsequently, the side chains of amino acid residues were also subjected to geometry optimization. Assuming that the oxidation state of Co was +2 (i.e. doublet spin state), ONIOM(BP86/6-31G(d):AMBER)^{214,215} single-point calculation was then performed to derive Merz-Kollman²⁰⁴ atomic charges for the QM region. The entire cofactor was included in the QM region. The residues within 20 Å from the Co center in the X-ray structure were then geometry optimized by the ONIOM electronic-embedding method. Subsequently, the oxidation state of Co was changed to +1 (i.e., singlet spin state), and this geometry was optimized. A few rounds of energy scan calculations using the Co–N(His) distance as a reaction coordinate made the total energy lower, as the conformations of the amino acid residues and the corrin substituents were changed to more stable ones. A H₂O molecule was added over the β -face of the Co¹⁺ center of MetH, and the resultant state was fully optimized.

6.3 Results and Discussion

6.3.1 DFT Structural Analysis of Co¹⁺Cbx Model Complexes

As a first step, we analyzed the structural features of the optimized Co¹⁺Cbx model compounds. Surprisingly, the axial ligands in all the optimized complexes displayed unusual coordination modes i.e., their H-ends rather than the expected O- or N-ends interacted with the Co¹⁺ ion thereby resulting in the formation of Co¹⁺--H interactions. The optimized geometries of the H-bonded

Table 6

Selected BP86 Computed Bond Distances in the H-bonded Co¹⁺Cbx Model Complexes and Their Corresponding Wiberg Bond Indices (WBI)

H-bonded Complex	Co ¹⁺ --H [Å]	Co ¹⁺ --X* [Å]	WBI (Co ¹⁺ --H)	WBI (Co ¹⁺ --X)	WBI (H-X)	WBI (X-Y**, Y=H or C)
1	2.25	3.19	0.04	0.03	0.71	0.76
1'	2.24	3.19	0.07	0.05	0.71	0.78
2	2.25	3.29	0.05	0.03	0.70	1.19
2'	3.55	4.60	0.01	0.01	0.91	1.25
3(α) ^a	2.32	3.30	0.03	0.35	0.72	0.77
3(β) ^b	2.32	3.30	0.03	0.35	0.72	0.77
3'(α) ^a	2.30	3.27	0.09	0.06	0.70	0.77
3'(β) ^b	2.44	3.41	0.08	0.05	0.68	0.76
4(α) ^a	2.28	3.32	0.04	0.03	0.71	1.24
4(β) ^b	2.33	3.31	0.03	0.03	0.72	0.77
4'(α) ^a	2.73	3.80	0.04	0.02	0.89	1.24
4'(β) ^b	3.53	4.05	0.01	0.02	0.71	0.74

* X = O, N or C

** Y = H or C

^a(α) corresponds to the contribution coming from the α-axial ligand

^b(β) corresponds to the contribution coming from the β-axial ligand

Figure 34. (*left*) Close-ups of BP86-optimized H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\text{--H-O-H}$ (1); $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\text{--H-N(lm)}$ (2); $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\text{--H-O-H}$ (3); $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}\text{--H-N(lm)}$ (4)) and (*right*) Co^{1+}Cbi ($\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi}\text{--H-O-H}$ (1'); $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi}\text{--H-C(lm)}$ (2'); $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi}\text{--H-O-H}$ (3'); $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi}\text{--H-C(lm)}$ (4')) structural models. The regions of interest have been highlighted using ball-stick representation.

Figure 35. Numbering scheme commonly used in the case of B₁₂ cofactors (*upper panel*) and comparative analysis of calculated Co-N_{eq} bond distances with the available EXAFS data (*lower panel*). Since Co-N₂₁ ~ Co-N₂₄ and Co-N₂₂ ~ Co-N₂₃, we used Co-N_{Eq(s)} = (Co-N₂₁ + Co-N₂₄)/2; Co-N_{Eq(l)} = (Co-N₂₂ + Co-N₂₃)/2 parameters to facilitate the discussion. The DFT values herein represent the average of Co-N_{Eq(s)} and Co-N_{Eq(l)} parameters computed using BP86, B97-1, B98, B97-D, ωB97X and ωB97X-D functionals respectively.

Co¹⁺Cbl and Co¹⁺Cbi structural models are depicted in Figure 34 with their detailed information being accessible via Tables 6 and A34 – A41 respectively. Though there is no experimental precedence for the H-bonded Co¹⁺Cbx complexes, yet the EXAFS analysis has been reported for the tetracoordinated square planar Co¹⁺Cbx¹¹⁵ that was used as a reference point to test the accuracy of the employed theoretical methods. As illustrated in Figure 35, the DFT computed equatorial Co-N_{Eq(s)} [(Co-N₂₁ + Co-N₂₄)/2] and Co-N_{Eq(l)} [(Co-N₂₂ + Co-N₂₃)/2] bond distances were in excellent accord while analogous HF bond lengths were significantly deviated (i.e., by 0.05 – 0.09 Å) with regard to EXAFS values of 1.86 Å and 1.88 Å. This underscored the reliability of the applied DFT methods in reproducing the structural aspects of Co¹⁺Cbx model compounds. Since all the used DFT functionals predicted approximately identical geometries for the H-bonded complexes, we used DFT-averaged values to plot Figure 35. Note here although two different conformations of the axial ligands (H₂O and/or Im) were explored for optimizing Co¹⁺Cbx mimicks, yet both the starting geometries led to the same final conformation (i.e., Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H (1), Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H (1'); Co¹⁺Cbl--H-N(Im) (2), Co¹⁺Cbi--H-C(Im) (2'); H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H (3), H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H (3'); H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-N(Im) (4), H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-C(Im) (4')) wherein the axial ligands were H-bonded to the Co¹⁺ ion of the Co¹⁺Cbx models. The formation of Co¹⁺--H(Im) linkages in the 2' and 4' complexes was realized due to the interaction between the Co¹⁺ ion and the H-C fragment of the Im ligand while it was due to the interaction between the Co¹⁺ ion and the H-N fragment in the 2 and 4 complexes respectively. This difference in the

coordination modes of the axial Im ligand in Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes was attributed to the fact that the Im ligand in Co^{1+}Cbi models was engaged in a sterically-driven H-bonding interaction with the proximal amide side chain of the corrin ring ($\text{N-H}\cdots\text{O}=\text{C}- \sim 1.80 \text{ \AA}$) in addition to $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}(\text{Im})$ interaction while such an interaction was not possible in Co^{1+}Cbl models. The computed $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bond distances (except in complexes **2'** and **4'** where they were $3.53 \text{ \AA} - 3.73 \text{ \AA}$) were in the regime of previously identified d^8 metal ion induced H-bonds ($2.11 - 2.90 \text{ \AA}$).^{126-137,202,208} The length ($\sim 2.28 - 2.73 \text{ \AA}$) and the approximate linearity ($\sim 154^\circ - 172^\circ$) of the $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ linkages implied that these were reasonably strong interactions, which complemented the growing viewpoint that the d^8 metal ions could indulge in H-bond formation. The development of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bonds was further appreciated by the relative lengthening of H-X ($\text{X} = \text{O} (\text{H}_2\text{O}), \text{N} (\text{Im}) \text{ or } \text{C}(\text{Im})$) bonds of the axial ligands (Table 6). As a general trend, $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bonds in Co^{1+}Cbi complexes were weaker than in the analogous $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbls}$ because the axial ligands in Co^{1+}Cbi models could engage in intramolecular multi-center hetero-acceptor H-bond formation with the Co^{1+} ion and the nearby amide side chains of the corrin ring, which was not feasible in Co^{1+}Cbl complexes (Figure 34). Further, the α -axial Im ligand bound less strongly to the Co^{1+}Cbi complexes ($\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H} = 2.73 - 3.73 \text{ \AA}$) than the α -axial H_2O ligand ($\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H} = 2.30 - 2.44 \text{ \AA}$) owing to its greater steric bulk, which in turn accounted towards its H-bonding interaction with the proximal amide side chain of the corrin macrocycle. The $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ linkages in the hexacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbl (**3**, **4**) and Co^{1+}Cbi (**3'**, **4'**) complexes were slightly weakened in comparison to the pentacoordinated ones (**1**, **2**; **1'**, **2'**)

indicating that the two axial ligands exerted the mutual weakening effect in the hexacoordinated complexes. Although the present computations suggest that Co^{1+}Cbx might exist in penta- as well as in hexacoordinated form, but since the axial Im ligands in the hexacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbi complex, that was the closer mimick of Co^{1+}Cbx , was significantly displaced away from the Co^{1+} ion (i.e., by 2.73 Å), it seems plausible to suggest that enzyme-bound Co^{1+}Cbx might effectively be a pentacoordinated square pyramidal complex.

To provide further information into the bonding interactions between the Co^{1+} ion and H-X motives of the axial ligands, WBI for the key structural elements of the BP86 optimized H-bonded complexes were calculated (Table 6). WBI probe covalent interactions and provide a quantitative measure of bond strength. The computed WBI for H-X bonds in the (1 – 4) and (1' – 4') complexes were lower by 0.04 – 0.08 than their values computed for the isolated axial ligands indicating the noticeable elongation of the complex-bound H-X bonds which, in turn, was reflected in their increased interactions with the Co^{1+} ion in the H-bonded complexes ($\text{WBI}(\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}) = 0.01 - 0.09$).

Considering that Co^{1+}Cbx model complexes were d^{16} electron systems, Co^{1+} ion could participate in H-bond (4-electron 3-center) as well as in agostic bond (2-electron 3-center) formation, but the computational evidences suggested that $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction was a H-bond, but not an agostic interaction because of the following reasons. (1) The H-atom of the axial ligands (H_2O and/or Im) interacting with the Co^{1+} ion was acidic in nature which was in line with the conventional H-bonds. However it is not a requirement for an agostic interaction

where H-atom is usually bonded to electropositive atoms (B, Li or Si).¹³⁷ (2) In an agostic interaction, the side-on interaction occurs between the metal ion and the ligand motif i.e., the interacting fragment typically orients perpendicular to the plane of the metal ion. But in the optimized Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes, an approximate linear arrangement of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H-X}$ ($149.9^\circ - 175.7^\circ$) entities was observed which had been a typical characteristic of the metal ion induced H-bonded systems.^{126-137,202,208} (3) It is well-documented in literature that a metal ion that forms H-bonds is usually a late transition metal in low oxidation state (i.e., Pt^{2+} , Pd^{2+} , Cu^{1+} , Ni^{2+} or Co^{1+} ion). Evidently Co^{1+} ion belongs to this category. Apart from that, Co^{1+}Cbx , a B_{12} intermediate, is considered as a supernucleophilic species²¹⁶ that plays a key role in methyl transfer reactions in methyltransferases and adenosyl group transfer reactions in ACAs. This lends further support to the fact that a Co^{1+} ion may serve the role of a Lewis base for forming H-bond type interactions with its axial ligands. There have also been reports in literature indicating that the metal ion induced H-bonding interactions may solely be formed due to the steric constraints that are inherently imposed upon a complex-bound ligand.^{217,218} But the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction could not be characterized as a sterically-driven interaction because the axial ligands in Co^{1+}Cbx model complexes were situated along the z-axis of the complex where they experienced the least amount of steric interaction. Moreover, the final conformations of the axial ligands in Co^{1+}Cbx complexes were determined by performing full geometry optimizations where the two different starting geometries of the axial ligands were used. This further eliminated the possibility

of a steric $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction. This short discussion thus supplements our viewpoint that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction should be classified as an H-bond.

6.3.2 AIM Analysis of Co^{1+}Cbx Model Complexes

To investigate the nature of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interactions from another point of view, AIM analysis was performed on the BP86 optimized geometries of H-bonded complexes. Within AIM methodology, the topological properties of electron density, $\rho(r)$ such as its gradient vector field, $\nabla\rho(r)$, Laplacian, $\nabla^2\rho(r)$ and total charge density, $H(r)$ are analyzed to define the bond path between the interacting atoms. Chemical bonding is characterized by a BCP, where the $\rho(r)$ attains a

Table 7

Key BCP Properties of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ Interactions in the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi Complexes

Complex	$\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$	$\nabla^2\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$	$-V_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$	$G_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$	$H_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$ $= -V_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}} + G_{\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}}$
1	0.0210	0.0410	0.0121	0.0112	-0.0009
1'	0.0159	0.0451	0.0091	0.0102	0.0011
2	0.0230	0.0417	0.0138	0.0121	-0.0017
2'	0.0021	0.0073	0.0009	0.0014	0.0005
3	0.0211	0.0408	0.0127	0.0114	-0.0012
3'	0.0187	0.0519	0.0121	0.0125	0.0004
4	0.0211	0.0408	0.0127	0.0114	-0.0012
4'	0.0089	0.0256	0.0037	0.0050	+0.0014

minimum value along the bond path. For all $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions of H-bonded complexes, the BCPs were located, with Table 7 summarizing their key properties. The $\rho(r)$ at a BCP correlates with the strength of an atomic interaction. The values of $\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}}$ obtained for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions ranged from 0.02 to 0.03 ea^{-3} , which were similar to that for neutral hydrogen bonds ($\rho_{\text{H-bond}} \sim 0.002 - 0.04 \text{ ea}^{-3}$),²⁰⁵ suggesting that the strength of the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions competes with that of hydrogen bonds. In accordance with the empirical guidelines suggested by Popelier et al.,²¹⁹ the value of the $\nabla^2\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}}(r)$ at the BCP should be between 0.024 and 0.139 au for a particular interaction to be classified as a H-bond. The results enlisted in Table 7 clearly instructs that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions in Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes belongs to this category authenticating $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ contacts as genuine H-bonds. The behavior of the $\nabla^2\rho(r)$ at the BCP also serves as a useful source of information for understanding the nature of interaction. If $\nabla^2\rho(r) < 0$, the interaction is referred to as a shared-electron (covalent) interaction, and if $\nabla^2\rho(r) > 0$, the interaction is called closed-shell (electrostatic) interaction. The values of $\nabla^2\rho_{\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}}(r)$ listed in Table 7 are all positive, suggesting that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions in Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes have a dominant electrostatic character. However, it has been noted²⁰⁷ that $H(r)$ at a BCP, along with its $\nabla^2\rho(r)$, constitutes a more appropriate probe for accessing deeper insight into non-bonded interactions i.e. (i) for pure electrostatic weak H-bonds, $\nabla^2\rho(r) > 0$; $H(r) > 0$; (ii) for partial covalent H-bonds, $\nabla^2\rho(r) > 0$; $H(r) < 0$; and (iii) for covalent strong H-bonds, $\nabla^2\rho(r) < 0$; $H(r) < 0$.

Thus, within the framework of the AIM theory, the $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interactions in **1** – **4** complexes had partial covalence while they were purely electrostatic weak H-bonds in **1'** – **4'** complexes. However, the absolute values of $H_{\text{Co1}\cdots\text{H}}(r)$ obtained for $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interactions were very small, indicating that the degree of electron sharing calculated for **1** – **4** complexes must be considered negligible.

6.3.3 NBO Analysis of Co^{1+}Cbx Model Complexes

The linear propensity of the $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H-X}$ ($\text{X} = \text{O}, \text{N}$ or C) interactions (Figure 34 and Table 6) suggests a significant contribution of the orbital interactions between the $n_{\text{Co1}+}$ and the $\sigma_{\text{H-X}}^*$ to the stability of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ linkages. Thus to gain a further level of insight into the principal interacting functionals, NBO analysis was performed on the BP86 optimized geometries of the H-bonded complexes. The NBO analysis provides a quantitative picture of donor-acceptor delocalization in terms of the second order delocalization energy correction to the donor NBO. The $\Delta E_{\text{CT}}^{(2)}$ energies due to the $n_{\text{Co1}+} \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{H-X}}^*$ interactions are listed in Table 8. Depending upon the availability of empty $n_{\text{Co1}+}^*$ metal orbitals and bonding $\sigma_{\text{H-X}}$ orbitals of appropriate energy and symmetry, the orbital interactions involving back bonding might also contribute appreciably to the stability of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H-X}$ interactions. To estimate the magnitude of such dative interactions, the $\Delta E_{\text{BCT}}^{(2)}$ energies due to the $\sigma_{\text{H-X}} \rightarrow n_{\text{Co1}+}^*$ interactions were also evaluated (Table 8). Because Co^{1+} ion had more than one filled and empty d-orbitals participating in dipolar interactions, the $\Delta E_{\text{CT}}^{(2)}$ and $\Delta E_{\text{BCT}}^{(2)}$ energies were evaluated as the sum of all such possible interactions.

Table 8**NBO Perturbation Analysis of H-bonded Co¹⁺Cbx Model Complexes**

H-bonded Complex	$\Delta E_{CT}^{(2)}/\text{kcal/mol}$	$\Delta E_{BCT}^{(2)}/\text{kcal/mol}$	$n_{\sigma_{H-X}}$	$n_{\sigma^*_{H-X}}$
1	3.1	3.5	1.987	0.030
1'	5.6	3.7	1.987	0.053
2	10.6	4.1	1.978	0.058
2'	0.8	0.4	1.982	0.023
3(α)	4.3	6.2	1.985	0.025
3(β)	4.3	6.2	1.985	0.025
3'(α)	6.4	7.9	1.984	0.058
3'(β)	7.2	4.8	1.988	0.057
4(α)	8.4	4.9	1.976	0.052
4(β)	5.4	4.3	1.985	0.023
4'(α)	1.4	3.2	1.974	0.038
4'(β)	0.6	1.5	1.995	0.021

According to the NBO analysis, the orbital interactions between the $n_{Co^{1+}}$ orbitals and the σ^*_{H-X} orbitals contributed significantly to the stabilization of $Co^{1+} \cdots H$ interactions: $\Delta E^{(2)}_{CT}$ was in the range of 0.8 – 10.6 kcal/mol for the pentacoordinated complexes and was 1.9 – 13.7 kcal/mol for the hexacoordinated complexes. Though the values were slightly lower, the orbital interactions involving the σ_{H-X} and the $n^*_{Co^{1+}}$ orbitals ($\Delta E^{(2)}_{BCT}$ (**1**, **1'**, **2**, **2'**) = 0.4 – 4.1 kcal/mol; $\Delta E^{(2)}_{BCT}$ (**3'**, **3**, **4**, **4'**) = 4.6 – 12.8 kcal/mol) also imparted noticeable stabilization effect to $Co^{1+} \cdots H$ interactions. However the orbital interactions involving **H-C** fragment of the Im ligand in the **2'** and **4'** complexes contributed very less towards the stabilization of the $Co^{1+} \cdots H$ linkages owing to relatively weaker communication between the Co^{1+} ion and the Im ligand (2.73 – 3.73 Å).

The appreciable role of analogous dipole-dipole interactions in stabilizing the non-covalent contacts has also been observed in the case of Se--O, Se--F and Se--N linkages.¹⁹⁸ The net electronic populations of the $\sigma_{\text{H-X}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{H-X}}^*$ orbitals of the axial ligands provided a quantitative measure of a charge transfer component of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions. The appearance of noticeable electronic charge in the $\sigma_{\text{H-X}}^*$ orbitals (0.02 – 0.06) implied the charge transfer from the Co^{1+} ion to the axial ligands while the appreciable decrease in the orbital occupancies of $\sigma_{\text{H-O}}$ orbitals (0.01 – 0.02) indicated the extent of back charge transfer from the axial ligands to the Co^{1+} ion.

6.3.4 DFT Thermodynamic Analysis of Co^{1+}Cbx Model Complexes

Taking into account that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bonds are weak interactions that have critical dispersion contributions,³³ the formation of the **H**-bonded complexes might not be feasible from a thermodynamic standpoint owing to the unfavorable entropic factors. Thus to provide a convincing evidence of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation, the static thermodynamic data for the complex formation reactions was computed (Figure 36, Tables A42 – A73) for all the complexes using a theoretical protocol as outlined in the Computational Section. The **H**-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl complexes (**1** – **4**) were found to be endothermic entities at conventional DFT level of theory (BP86, B97-1 and B98) with the exception of ωB97X , which was in line with a previous study¹¹⁴ suggesting that the formation of a

pentacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbl complex was not feasible from thermodynamic perspective. Note here that ωB97X functional correctly captured the long-range character of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkages and hence validated the exothermicity of the **H**-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl complexes. When the thermodynamics of the **H**-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl complexes was studied with the dispersion-corrected functionals ($\omega\text{B97X-D}$ and B97-D), the exothermic effect affiliated with the **H**-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl complexes was appreciably enhanced (by $\sim 1.0 - 6.0$ kcal/mol). This implied that the long-range and/or the dispersion-corrected DFT functionals must be used in order to correctly describe the complexes containing $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkages. Further, the computed ΔG values for the hexacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbl complexes (**3** – **4**) were only $0.3 - 1.0$ kcal/mol less than twice for the pentacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbl complexes (**1** - **2**) implying that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkages were only slightly weakened in the hexacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbl complexes.

On the other hand, the **H**-bonded Co^{1+}Cbi complexes with the exception of **1'** – **2'** were predicted to be exothermic ($\Delta G = \text{-ve}$) even with the conventional DFT functionals. The driving force associated with the **H**-bonded Co^{1+}Cbi complexes was found to be significantly enhanced upon the inclusion of long range and/or dispersion effects via ωB97X ($\Delta G \sim -1.8$ to -15.0 kcal/mol), $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ ($\Delta G \sim -1.8$ to -22.0 kcal/mol) and B97-D ($\Delta G \sim -4.8$ to -24.0 kcal/mol) functionals. Although $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkages in the Co^{1+}Cbi complexes were weaker by $\sim 5\%$ in comparison to Co^{1+}Cbl ones, yet the **H**-bonded hexacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbi complexes (**3'** – **4'**) were found to possess ΔG values that were appreciably higher than twice the values computed for the pentacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbi

Figure 36. Computed Gibbs free energy data for H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl (left) and Co^{1+}Cbi (right) complexes.

complexes (**1'** – **2'**). This significant increase in the thermodynamic stability of hexacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbi complexes was attributed to the combined effect of steric bulk of the cofactor and capacity of the axial ligands to indulge in intramolecular multi-site H-bond formation with the metal ion and the proximal amide side chains of the corrin nucleus.

Upon solvation, the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes were significantly destabilized with the optimum effect being noted in the case of water solvent. In particular, the Co^{1+}Cbl complexes were found to be endothermic entities in water at all levels of theory suggesting that $\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$ interaction is stronger than a $\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ or $\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}(\text{Im})$ interaction. This also explained why a tetracoordinated square planar Co^{1+}Cbx complex had been observed during electrochemical experiments.⁸⁶ Despite the solvent-induced destabilization of $\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}$ interactions, the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes were predicted to be thermodynamically amenable entities in chloroform solution with the dispersion-corrected functionals ($\omega\text{B97X-D}$ and B97-D) that hinted towards their feasibility in the presence of the enzyme environment. Note here that **1** ($\Delta G = +0.5$ kcal/mol) and **3** ($\Delta G = +0.9$ kcal/mol) complexes were found to be slightly endothermic with the $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ functional.

6.3.5 Computational Electrochemistry of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ Redox Process

Considering that the present computations suggest the existence of alternate Co^{1+}Cbx coordination geometries, an apparent question arises: how

these uncommon H-bonded complexes may impact B₁₂ catalysis? It is believed that the coordination number of a Coⁿ⁺ ion (n = 1, 2 or 3) in a cofactor state follows a direct correlation with its oxidation state.²²⁰⁻²²² However, as found/unveiled here as well as during recent studies,^{202,208} Co¹⁺Cbx intermediate may represent an exception to this correlation as the electronic richness of the Co¹⁺ ion rather than its net positive charge seems controlling the coordination chemistry of Co¹⁺Cbx. Consequently it may also exist in square pyramidal or octahedral coordination environment in addition to the commonly accepted tetracoordinated square planar geometry. The H-bonded Co¹⁺Cbx complexes may also provide invaluable chemical tools for accessing atomistic insight into the thermodynamically unrealistic Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ reduction that forms a vital part of the reactivation cycles of methyltransferases as well as of the biochemical pathways of ACAs. To comply with the thermodynamic demand of the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ reaction, formation of square planar Co¹⁺Cbx has been suggested as the most plausible mechanistic rationale.^{111,114,116,117,119-121} However, recently an alternate mechanistic proposal^{202,208} has been postulated that takes advantage of the driving force associated with the Co¹⁺--H interaction (Scheme 8) and bypasses the generation of highly energetic tetracoordinated square planar Co¹⁺Cbx. Owing to the significant thermodynamic advantages, the H-bonded square pyramidal or octahedral Co¹⁺Cbx complexes may further illustrate the usefulness of this alternate mechanistic scheme. To assess the catalytic impact of Co¹⁺--H interactions, the reduction potentials of the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ couple were computed assuming all the possible coordination geometries of Co¹⁺Cbx model complexes

(square planar, and **H**-bonded square pyramidal and octahedral) (Table A69). The electrochemistry of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process was explored in three different solvents using a calibrated procedure (BP86/6-31+G*)¹⁹² as mentioned in the Computational

Figure 37. Computed Co^{1+} --**H**-induced redox tuning $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process.

Section. The extent of redox tuning achieved with the **H**-bonded square pyramidal and octahedral Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes relative to the square planar analogues, is illustrated in Figure 37 (check Table A74 for a detailed information). The axial H_2O ligand-mediated Co^{1+} --**H**(H_2O) interaction exerted a greater anodic shift (atleast in chloroform solvent) than the analogous Co^{1+} --**H**(*Im*) interaction (except in complexes 2' and 4' where the *Im* ligand even caused cathodic shifts) in the reduction potentials of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ couple. This

was due to the higher solvation cost of the Im ligand which in turn was rooted in its greater steric bulk. This may also be the reason why an axial H₂O ligand, rather than a His ligand, has specifically been observed in the active sites of methyltransferases as revealed by the high resolution X-ray studies of MethH,¹¹⁶ MtaBC²⁰⁹ and CFeSP²¹⁰ methyltransferases. It should be noted that 50 mV vs SHE is the estimated redox gap that has to be bridged in order to eventualize MetH-bound Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ process.^{111,114,116} However the anodic shift induced by Co¹⁺--H interaction in the **1**, **3**, **3'** and **4** complexes was higher than 50 mV vs SHE (in chloroform solution), suggesting that Co¹⁺--H interaction might help in combating the thermodynamic demand of the enzyme-bound Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ process. The greater amount of redox modulation calculated with the complexes **3** and **3'** in comparison to **1** and **1'** suggested that the two Co¹⁺--H(H₂O) bonds were catalytically more potent than the one. Interestingly, the anodic shift induced by the α -axial H₂O ligand in **1'** complex (5 mV vs SHE in chloroform solution) was significantly lower than that noted recently^{202,208} with the β -axial H₂O ligand (224 mV vs SHE in chloroform solution). This indicates the functional importance of a ubiquitous β -axial H₂O ligand that has been identified in the active sites of methyltransferases.

6.3.6 QM/MM Analysis of MetH-bound Co¹⁺Cbx Complex

Although, according to the current understanding,^{113,116,117,118,121-123} H₂O or His ligands interact with Co²⁺Cbx via their conventional O- or N-ends, and dissociate completely in the Co¹⁺Cbx intermediate state, herein presented model DFT

calculations suggest that the axial ligands in Co^{1+}Cbx may potentially undergo a conformational change rather than the complete dissociation, resulting in the formation of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bonds because such a conformational change is thermodynamically feasible. The presented DFT data indicates the possibility of the His ligand undergoing slight rotation in order to provide its C-H (or N-H) fragment for H-bond formation with the Co^{1+} ion of Co^{1+}Cbx . However the feasibility of such an H-bond formation would strongly depend upon the conformational freedom permissible to the His ligand inside the enzyme environment.

In order to access a realistic description of the coordination environment of the enzyme-bound Co^{1+}Cbx , ONIOM-based QM/MM computations were performed where the X-ray crystal structure of MetH-bound MeCbl (PDB-code:1BMT@ 3.0 Å resolution)² was employed as a reference point. Owing to the absence of crystallographic data for the Co^{1+}Cbx intermediate, MeCbl binding module of MetH was used by removing the Me ligand and adjusting the number of electrons of the QM system, consistent with the Co^{1+} oxidation state. For the QM/MM treatment, His759-on pentacoordinated MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx was considered while to model the hexacoordinated form, an additional H_2O ligand was incorporated over the β sector of the corrin ring. Although the His ligand in pentacoordinated MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx ligated via its conventional N-end, but the β -axial H_2O ligand in MetH-bound octahedral Co^{1+}Cbx (an effective pentacoordinated square pyramidal complex due to long $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{N}(\text{His759})$ bond (~ 3.35 Å)) manifested an unconventional binding mode i.e., it ligated to the Co^{1+}

Figure 38. Close-ups of the ONIOM-based QM(BP86(*top*), ω B97X(*middle*) and ω B97X-D(*bottom*))/MM-optimized MethH-bound Co^{1+} Cbx complex.

ion via its H-end (Figure 38) that rendered a definitive evidence of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation inside the enzyme scaffold of methyltransferases. The length (~ 2.34 Å) and the linearity ($\sim 166^\circ$) of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H--O}$ bonding motif implied that it was a fairly strong interaction that falls within the domain of d^8 metal ion influenced H-bonds^{126-137,202,208}. This adds an important piece to the emerging picture that H-bond forming feature is a *bona fide* signature of the low spin d^8 metal ions. Interestingly, the QM/MM estimated $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond distance was $\sim 20\%$ shorter than the analogous $\text{Pt}^{2+}\text{--H}$ (~ 2.80 Å)¹³²⁻¹³³ which suggested that Co^{1+} ion constructs relatively stronger H-bonding contacts giving an impressive testimony of its well-known supernucleophilic character²¹⁶. Though all three DFT functionals (BP86, ω B97X and ω B97X-D) provided an identical description of the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbx complex, yet the ω B97X-D functional predicted $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction (~ 2.33 Å) to be slightly stronger implying the presence of a noticeable dispersion component. The axial H_2O ligand in MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx participated in intramolecular multi-center H-bond formation as it not only communicated with the cofactor via $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction, but also through the propanamide side chain of the corrin ring where it formed a strong H-bonding contact (~ 1.95 Å) with the --NH_2 tail of the amide chain (Figure 38). Taking into account that Co^{1+}Cbx is an intermediate species with a uniquely rich and diverse portfolio of biological activities, and since its ligation geometry has been poorly understood, the present QM/MM analysis unraveling its primary coordination sphere may considerably improve our understanding about the catalytic functioning of a broad family of methyltransferases.

6.3.7 X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis of Methyltransferases

The present theoretical study indicates the possibility of the binding interaction between Co^{1+}Cbx and its plausible axial ligands that may have catalytic relevance for methyltransferases such as MetH, CFeSP and MtaBC as well as for ACAs. This viewpoint is also fostered by the analysis of the existing X-ray crystal structures of MetH-,¹¹⁶ MtaBC-²⁰⁹ and CFeSP-bound Co^{2+}Cbx ²¹⁰ that encage an axial H_2O ligand on their β -faces (Figures 27 and 39). In the case of MetH-bound complex of Co^{2+}Cbx ,¹¹⁶ the β -axial H_2O ligand is located at 3.94 Å from the Co^{2+} ion and is intensely involved in H-bonding network with the local Y1139 and E1097 residues (Figure 27). Notably, the $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--O}$ bond is significantly lengthened inside the enzyme. It has been suggested based on spectroscopic¹¹¹ and X-ray studies¹¹⁶ that the Y1139 residue serves to weaken the interaction between Co^{2+}Cbx and the axial H_2O ligand. However the present calculations suggest that the enzyme-induced lengthening of the $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{--O}$ bond may be mandated to cause a conformational change at the axial ligand leading to the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation. The β -axial H_2O ligand is localized at a distance of 3.24 Å from the Co^{2+} ion in the case of MtaBC-bound Co^{2+}Cbx ²⁰⁹ that also implies the inclination of enzyme scaffold towards nurturing $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction and advocates another strong case where the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction-driven Co^{1+}Cbx might be participating in an analogous chemical reaction. Though, the axial H_2O ligand in the case of methylated CFeSP-bound Co^{2+}Cbx has been predicted in

the lower axial coordination site,¹²¹ a recent high resolution crystal structure of CFeSP-bound Co^{2+}Cbx indicates a β -axial H_2O ligand bound to the Co^{2+} ion

Figure 39. Active site view of the X-ray crystal structures of MtaBC-(*upper panel*) and CFeSP-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (*lower panel*). Check Figure 27 for MethH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx .

$(\text{Co}^{2+} \cdots \text{O} = 2.54 \text{ \AA}).^{210}$ This is clearly suggestive of the fact that the $\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}$ interaction might also be formed in the case of CFeSP-bound Co^{1+}Cbx . The rich

indirect experimental precedence in conjunction with the present calculations thus implies that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction may be an unanticipated ubiquitous signature of methyltransferases.

6.4 Conclusions

The existence of square pyramidal or octahedral coordination environment of Co^{1+}Cbx , a key intermediate in a broad class of methyltransferases and ACAs is computationally explored using DFT, AIM and QM/MM tools. Taking into account the non-bonding nature of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions ($\sim 2.28 - 3.73 \text{ \AA}$) and the enhanced thermodynamic stability of H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbx model complexes calculated with ωB97X , $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ and B97-D DFT functionals, it is concluded that the long-range- and/or the empirical dispersion-corrected functionals must be employed to correctly capture the thermodynamic essence of such complexes. The AIM analysis of the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes predicts the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions to be H-bonding type interactions that either have a dominant electrostatic component or a very small covalent character. The larger extent of redox modulation computed for the H_2O -bound model complexes indicate that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ interaction is catalytically more effective than the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}(\text{Im})$ interaction with regard to the enzyme-bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ process and signifies the functional importance of the β -axial H_2O ligand commonly observed in the crystal structures of the methyltransferases. The QM/MM analysis of MetH -bound Co^{1+}Cbx indeed implies that a β -axial H_2O ligand may coordinate to the Co^{1+} ion through its unusual H-end resulting in the formation of an effective

square pyramidal complex which would favor the formation of $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interaction inside the biological environments of methyltransferases. In summary, the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbx complexes may provide significant insight into the thermodynamically challenging $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction which is a key component of the reactivation cycles of methyltransferases, and also of the biochemical pathways of ACAs.

CHAPTER VII

POSSIBLE INVOLVEMENT OF THE LOCAL ENZYME SCAFFOLD IN THE Co^{1+} -H BOND FORMATION: THE CURIOUS CASE OF METHIONINE SYNTHASE-BOUND COB(I)ALAMIN

7.1 Introduction

E. Coli MetH³¹ is a cobalamin-dependent modular methyltransferase that carries out the Me group transfer from CH_3 -folate to Hcy forming methionine (Met).¹⁶ The reaction cycle of MetH enzyme is composed of two components namely the main catalytic cycle and the reactivation cycle (Scheme 4) respectively. The main catalytic cycle involves the transfer of a Me group from CH_3 -folate to Hcy resulting in the formation of Met with MeCbl cofactor providing the intermediate platform for this reaction. Precisely, during the first half of the catalytic cycle, the methyl group is transferred from MeCbl to Hcy resulting in the formation of Met and Co^{1+}Cbx , while during the other half of the catalytic cycle, Co^{1+}Cbx is methylated by CH_3 -folate and catalytically competent MeCbl form is regenerated. Co^{1+}Cbx is an unusually strong nucleophile which is often referred to as a “supernucleophile”²¹⁶ due to its very high Karl-Pearson constant (14). However this supernucleophilicity of Co^{1+}Cbx under microaerophilic

circumstances also makes it a prime target for sporadic oxidation which results in a catalytically incompetent Co^{2+}Cbx form. This deactivation reaction is reported to occur once every 2000 turnover cycles.¹⁰⁸ In a related methyltransferase namely Fe-S corrinoid,³² the similar deactivation occurs every 100 catalytic cycles.¹⁰⁹ In order to maintain the efficient reaction cycle, the activated MeCbl form must be recovered. This mandatory reactivation which is achieved by the reductive methylation of Co^{2+}Cbx , involves a two-step process: (i) initially, the α -axial His (H759) ligand is displaced from the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx ¹¹⁰ and is substituted by the axial H_2O ligand on the β -face of the cofactor¹¹¹. This step is the rate limiting step of the reactivation process and involves a significant amount of conformational motion of the interacting domains.¹¹⁰ (ii) In the subsequent step, Co^{2+}Cbx is reduced to Co^{1+}Cbx by the physiological electron donors such as flavodoxins, followed by the subsequent methylation by AdoMet.¹¹²

Though the molecular basis of the first step of the reactivation cycle is relatively well-understood, yet the mechanistic details of the second step continue to remain elusive. This is mainly attributed to the fact that the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction is an endergonic process under the cellular conditions due to its inaccessible redox chemistry.¹¹ The reduction potential of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ couple is -500 mV vs SHE which is more cathodic than that of the common biological reducing agents (-280 to -440 mV vs SHE) operational inside the methyltransferases.^{86,113} Evidently this reaction should not take place. But this reaction is indeed observed inside the enzymes indicating the rigorous control of the enzyme over the reduction process. The computational, structural and

spectroscopic studies suggest that the formation of tetracoordinated square planar Co^{1+}Cbx drives the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction.^{111,114,115,116} Especially, the catalytic role of Y1139 residue in the MetH-bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction is well-documented in literature.^{111,116} the Y1139 residue serves to weaken the communication between the axial H_2O ligand and the Co^{2+} ion of the cofactor and thus promotes the generation of square planar Co^{1+}Cbx . In summary, the existing conventional wisdom indicates that the MetH-bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction involves the conversion of nearly square planar Co^{2+}Cbx into square planar Co^{1+}Cbx . Though the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction would occur in a spontaneous fashion if the participation of the square planar Co^{2+}Cbx and Co^{1+}Cbx is assumed, but in that case the supernucleophile Co^{1+}Cbx may engage in the unwanted side reactions which will have a negative impact on the overall functioning of the reaction cycle.

On the other hand, a recently proposed alternate mechanism^{202,208} suggests that the activation of enzyme-bound Co^{2+}Cbx may involve the conversion of pentacoordinated square pyramidal Co^{2+}Cbx into pentacoordinated square pyramidal Co^{1+}Cbx and the preferential stabilization of Co^{1+}Cbx state via $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bond formation may provide the necessary driving force for the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction process (Scheme 8). The major thermodynamic advantage of this mechanistic path is that it avoids the generation of highly energetic square planar Co^{1+}Cbx and may ensure that the supernucleophilicity of Co^{1+}Cbx remains protected from the deleterious side reactions to be selectively utilized for the subsequent methylation reaction. The QM/MM analysis of the MetH-bound

Co^{n+}Cbx ($n = 1$ or 2) complex described in chapters V and VI indicates that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage may also be constructed in the presence of enzyme environment which further advocates a case of a pentacoordinated square pyramidal Co^{1+}Cbx .

Although these recent developments imply that the β -axial H_2O ligand may be H-bonded with MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx , but the closer analysis of the available crystallographic data about this MetH conformation (Figure 40) ^{116,139} implies that the local scaffold, especially Y1139 residue may also act as an H-donor for a $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation because of its active site presence. This viewpoint is supported by the fact that the Y1139 residue in the X-ray crystal structure of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx is located at a distance of 4.41 Å from the Co^{2+} ion (PDB-code = 1K7Y @ 3.0 Å resolution)¹³⁹ and this distance is significantly shortened upon binding of AdoMet substrate (PDB-code: 1K98 @ 3.8 Å resolution; Y1139-- Co^{2+} = 3.97 Å). However it should be noted that these crystal structures were determined at poor resolutions of 3.0 Å and 3.8 Å respectively as a result of which the identity of the β -axial ligand could not be confirmed. However this problem has been resolved to some extent in a recently determined X-ray crystal structure of the MetH-bound reactivation complex (PDB-code: 3IVA @ 2.7 Å resolution).¹¹⁶ The electron density map near the β -axial coordination site has been interpreted in favor of the H_2O ligand stabilized by a H-bond with the E1097 residue. Interestingly, the axial H_2O ligand and the Y1139 residue were localized at a similar distance from the Co^{2+} ion ($\text{H}_2\text{O}\text{--Co}^{2+}$ = 3.96 Å; Y1139-- Co^{2+} = 3.97 Å) indicating that the axial ligand may be substituted by the Y1139 residue.

Figure 40. Active site view of the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx complex. The *top panel* corresponds to the situation when the substrate AdoMet is not present (1K7Y, 3.0 Å), the *middle panel* represents a binary complex of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx and AdoMet substrate (1K98, 3.8 Å) while the *bottom panel* shows the better resolved active site of the same binary complex (3IVA, 2.7 Å).

Building upon these crystallographic developments, herein we explore the computational tools to address the following mechanistically important issue: what will happen if the β -axial H_2O ligand is replaced by the active site Y1139 residue? Will it communicate better with the Co^{1+} ion of Co^{1+}Cbx ? If yes, will it be O-bound with the Co^{1+} ion or will it be offering its H-end for constructing a $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkage? And if it will be H-bonded, what will be the thermodynamic consequences in the context of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction i.e., will the Y1139-induced $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction be catalytically more efficient than that mediated by the axial H_2O ligand?

7.2 Computational Details

All the calculations were performed using the Gaussian09 suite of program for the electronic structure and properties calculations. In order to perform the computational modeling of the MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx , the cobinamide type models (Co^{1+}Cbi) were used in which all the corrin amide side chains were retained and only the nucleotide loop was truncated at the phosphodiester end. To test the possibility of an alternate β -axial ligand, pentacoordinated square pyramidal as well as hexacoordinated octahedral Co^{1+}Cbi complexes were investigated where H_2O and PhOH were used as the β -axial ligands. The use of β -axial PhOH ligand is promoted by the fact that the Y1139 residue is situated in the active site of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx complex and has been shown to be catalytically important in the reactivation cycle of MetH enzyme^{111,116}. In the octahedral complexes, the other axial ligand i.e., α -axial ligand was modeled by

H₂O molecule. To understand how the presence of local enzyme environment will impact the stability of Co¹⁺Cbi complexes, the square pyramidal and octahedral complexes containing an additional H₂O molecule in the proximity of the β -axial ligands were also considered. The geometry optimization of the Co¹⁺Cbi complexes was carried out using HF, BP86, ω B97X and ω B97X-D functionals respectively. Taking into account the structural complexity of the Co¹⁺Cbi models (Total no. of atoms = 143-159), the 6-31G(d) 5d basis set was used in the optimizations. It should be noted that all the Co¹⁺Cbi complexes were exclusively treated at the QM level of theory in contrast to the earlier studies¹²¹ where only certain part of the cofactor was investigated at the QM level of theory while the rest of the system was given molecular mechanics treatment. The static thermodynamic data for all the Co¹⁺Cbi complexes were computed assuming a standard state convention of 298.15 K and 1 atm. Taking into account recent studies^{202,208} that show that the long-range correlation- and the empirical-dispersion-corrected DFT functionals must be used for properly describing the non-covalent Co¹⁺--H interactions, we optimized the Co¹⁺Cbi complexes using ω B97X (long-range-corrected functional) and ω B97X-D (empirical-dispersion-corrected functional) in addition to the HF and conventional DFT (BP86) functionals. The use of BP86 functional as a conventional DFT representative is inspired by its well-established usefulness in reproducing the structural, electronic, spectroscopic and electrochemical properties of B₁₂ corrinoids.

7.3 Results and Discussion

7.3.1 DFT Structural Analysis of Co¹⁺Cbi Complexes

The Figure 41 displays the ω B97X-D optimized Co¹⁺Cbi structural models. The calculated Co¹⁺-O bond distance lies in the range of 3.30 - 3.40 Å. Though the crystallographic identification of MethH-bound Co¹⁺Cbx has not been feasible due to its transient nature, but the activation complex of MethH-bound Co²⁺Cbx and AdoMet has been well-investigated^{116,139} that provides a reasonable reference point for analyzing the computed structural data. The experimental value for the MethH-bound Co²⁺-O bond has been determined to be ~3.97 Å. This hints towards the noticeable elongation of Co¹⁺-O bond inside the MethH enzyme. This structural aspect of MethH-bound Co¹⁺Cbx is discussed in detail in the computational study reported in chapter V where the presence of the enzyme was shown to elongate the Co¹⁺-O bond by 0.2 - 0.3 Å. The analogous role of the enzyme environment in weakening the interaction between the cobalt cofactor and the axial H₂O ligand has been illustrated in a spectroscopic study by Brunold et al.,¹¹¹ where the local enzyme scaffold, especially Y1139 residue and AdoMet substrate accounted for a Co²⁺-O bond lengthening of 0.05 Å.

In all the optimized model complexes, the axial ligands were H-bonded with the Co¹⁺ ion of the cofactor through their unusual binding centers in contrast to their traditional hetero O-atom ligation sites. The formation of the Co¹⁺--H bonds had no effect upon the geometry of the equatorial corrin ring i.e. the corrin structure remained essentially unaffected in the presence of Co¹⁺--H linkages as

Figure 41. $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ optimized H-bonded β -axial H_2O -(left) and PhOH-ligated (right) Co^{1+}Cbi complexes.

Figure 42. ω B97X-D-optimized structure of tetracoordinated square planar Co^{1+} Cbi complex. The relevant part of the corrin ring is also labeled using the numbering scheme commonly used for B_{12} corrinoids.

their impact was specifically localized in the axial region (Figure 42; Tables A75-A83). The computed $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bond distances showed a reasonable agreement with the previously computed or experimentally determined values for the d^8 metal ion-influenced H-bonds.^{126-137,202,208} The scatter plots of $\angle\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}-\text{O}$ vs $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ and $\angle\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}-\text{O}$ vs $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{O}$ for the H-bonded Co^{1+} Cbi complexes are

illustrated in Figure 43. The linear structural arrangement of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H--O}$ binding motives in the optimized structural models imply that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkages fall in the bracket of conventional hydrogen bonds. The β -axial PhOH ligand in the Co^{1+}Cbi models had a better interaction with Co^{1+} ion than the β - or α -axial H_2O ligand. This was due to the better H-donating capacity of the PhOH motif which was also reflected in terms of the directional character of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H--O}$ binding units. The degree of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H--O}$ motif linearity in the PhOH-ligated Co^{1+}Cbx complexes was found to be higher than in the H_2O -ligated Co^{1+}Cbx complexes. The strength of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions was noticeably impacted in going from the pentacoordinated to the hexacoordinated complexes. The α -axial H_2O ligand in the octahedral Co^{1+}Cbi complexes constructed relatively stronger intramolecular H-bond with the carbonyl group of the nearby propanamide side chain (i.e., $\text{H--O--H--O=C-} \sim 1.91 \text{ \AA}$) which might be the reason that the α -axial H_2O ligand bound less strongly to the Co^{1+} ion of Co^{1+}Cbi than the β -axial ligand. To model the impact of the local enzyme environment, Co^{1+}Cbi models enclosing an additional H_2O molecule in the vicinity of the β -axial ligand were also optimized. The local H_2O molecule acted as an H-donor and formed an associative interaction with the β -axial ligand (i.e., $\text{H--O--H--O(PhOH or H}_2\text{O)} = 2.20 - 2.30 \text{ \AA}$). This hydrophilic interaction strengthened the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bonds. The β -axial H_2O ligand in $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ complexes also interacted with the peripheral amide side chains of the corrin ring via H-bond i.e., they formed a strong H-bond with the amino group ($-\text{NH}_2$) of the proximal acetamide side chain (i.e., $-\text{N--H--OH}_2 \sim 2.01 \text{ \AA}$). In the complexes where the local environment effect

Figure 43. $\angle \text{Co}^{1+} \text{--H--O}$ vs $\text{Co}^{1+} \text{--H}$ (upper panel) and $\angle \text{Co}^{1+} \text{--H--O}$ vs $\text{Co}^{1+} \text{--O}$ (lower panel) in the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbi complexes. The $\omega\text{B97X-D/6-31G(d)}$ 5d calculated data have been shown.

was taken into account, the β -axial H_2O ligand did not directly interact with the side chain, but the local residue, mimicked by H_2O molecule, formed a H-bonding interaction with the $-\text{NH}_2$ end of the acetamide chain (i.e., $\text{H}_2\text{O}--\text{H}-\text{N}- = 1.83 \text{ \AA}$). The local H_2O molecule in the PhOH -coordinated complexes formed a similar H-bond but with the NH_2 - end of a different corrin side chain (i.e., $\text{H}_2\text{O}--\text{H}-\text{N}- \sim 2.01 \text{ \AA}$) due to the bulkier β -axial PhOH ligand which inhibited its interaction with the acetamide chain. This H-bond was found to be longer by $\sim 0.2 \text{ \AA}$ than that in the H_2O -ligated complexes. This structural analysis suggests that the Y1139-mediated $\text{Co}^{1+}--\text{H}$ interactions may result in a better H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbx complex. Further, the presence of the local enzyme scaffold may augment the strength of these interactions which might have thermodynamic implications for the MetH -bound $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction.

7.3.2 DFT Thermodynamics Analysis of Co^{1+}Cbi Complexes

As a next step, we computed the gas phase thermodynamic data for the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbi complexes. The comparative analysis of Co^{1+}Cbi model complexes with the β -axial H_2O and PhOH ligands, is illustrated in Figure 44 respectively (also check Tables A84 - A91 for further details). The complex formation reactions

were found to be exothermic in nature when the complexes were probed using the ω B97X and ω B97X-D functionals that indicated the presence of long-range correlation and empirical-dispersion components in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions. The PhOH-ligated Co^{1+}Cbi complexes were more stable than the H_2O -ligated Co^{1+}Cbi ones due to better coordinating ability of PhOH motif which resulted in the relatively tightly-bound H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbi complexes. The $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions in the hexacoordinated Co^{1+}Cbi complexes were appreciably weakened in comparison to the pentacoordinated ones revealing that the two axially directed $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions exert a mutual weakening influence. The computed driving force (ΔG°) for the $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ complex ($\Delta G^\circ = -12.9$ kcal/mol) was 5.7 kcal/mol less than twice the value calculated for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ complex ($\Delta G^\circ = -9.3$ kcal/mol). The similar weakening effect was also evident in case of PhOH-ligated complexes as the ΔG° value for the $\text{PhOH--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ complex ($\Delta G^\circ = -14.9$ kcal/mol) was only slightly higher than that for the $\text{PhOH--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi}$ complex ($\Delta G^\circ = -12.3$ kcal/mol). The α -axial H_2O ligand bound less efficiently to the Co^{1+} ion than the β -axial H_2O or PhOH ligand in the octahedral complexes because of its tendency to engage in the formation of stronger hydrophilic interaction with the proximal propanamide side chain which might also have contributed towards the observed weakening effect. However this destabilizing effect is expected to be completely diminished inside the cellular environments of MetH as only the β -face of the enzyme-bound cofactor is exposed to the solvent.²²³ The presence of local environment that was modeled by placing an additional H_2O molecule in the vicinity of the β -axial ligand noticeably improved the driving force available for the

H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbi complexes which is rooted in the fact that the additional stabilizing contacts were formed and the communication between the corrin ring side chain and the axial ligand was either strengthened (in the case of H_2O -ligated complexes) or established (in the case of PhOH -ligated complexes). For example, in the case of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complexes, the local H_2O constructed a strong H-bonding interaction with the β -axial H_2O ligand (i.e., $\text{H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}(\text{local}) \sim 1.75 \text{ \AA}$). Besides that, it also formed a H-bond with the corrin amide side chain (i.e., $\text{-NH}_2\text{--OH}_2(\text{local}) \sim 1.83 \text{ \AA}$) which is a stronger interaction than that noticed between the β -axial H_2O ligand and the corrin side chain (i.e., $\text{-NH}_2\text{--OH}_2$ (axial ligand) $\sim 2.05 \text{ \AA}$). As a result, the ΔG° values for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complexes were increased by 5.3 kcal/mol and 2.2 kcal/mol respectively. Similarly, the local H_2O molecule in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--PhOH--H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--PhOH--H}_2\text{O}$ complexes constructed multi-center hetero acceptor H-bonds (i.e., $\text{PhOH--H}_2\text{O} \sim 1.94 \text{ \AA}$; $\text{H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{N} \sim 2.01 \text{ \AA}$) that accounted towards the observed increase in the ΔG° values of these complexes. However they were relatively less strong than those formed in the H_2O -ligated Co^{1+}Cbi complexes. It should be noted that the two additional H-bonding interactions were gained in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--PhOH--H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--PhOH--H}_2\text{O}$ complexes due to the involvement of local H_2O molecule as compared to the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--PhOH}$ and $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--PhOH}$ complexes which had no interaction between the PhOH ligand and the corrin side chain. The stabilization impact exerted by the local environment in the case of Co^{1+}Cbi complexes is interesting because these complexes closely resemble

Figure 44. ω B97X-D-computed thermodynamic data for H-bonded β -axial H_2O - (left) and PhOH-ligated (right) Co^{1+}Cbi complexes.

Figure 45. Degree of long-range correlation and empirical-dispersion in the H-bonded β -axial H_2O -(upper panel) and PhOH -ligated (lower panel) Co^{1+}Cbi model complexes.

with the biologically active Co^{1+}Cbx intermediate and tends to suggest that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H(HOPh)}$ interaction may be strengthened in the presence of enzyme environment.

The difference between the HF and ωB97X computed ΔG° and that between the ωB97X and $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ functionals-based ΔG° values provided us a quantitative estimate of the long-range correlation and the empirical-dispersion component involved in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions respectively. As shown in the Figure 45, the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbi complexes contained a significant amount of correlation component that was primarily stored in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions. The Co^{1+}Cbi model compounds with the PhOH axial ligand (8.0 – 19.5 kcal/mol) were found to be richer in correlation than those with the axial H_2O ligand (7.0 – 17.0 kcal/mol). The degree of correlation component was found to be directly proportional to the structural complexity of a Co^{1+}Cbi complex. For example, the β -axial H_2O -ligated octahedral Co^{1+}Cbi complexes (i.e., $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$; $\Delta\Delta G^\circ = 12.0$ kcal/mol) and $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$; $\Delta\Delta G^\circ = 17.0$ kcal/mol) as well as the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--PhOH--H}_2\text{O}$ ($\Delta\Delta G^\circ = 14.0$ kcal/mol) and $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--PhOH--H}_2\text{O}$ ($\Delta\Delta G^\circ = 19.5$ kcal/mol) complexes had more correlation component than their corresponding pentacoordinated analogues because of the presence of two $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bonds. The comparison between ωB97X and $\omega\text{B97X-D}$ computed thermodynamics indicated that Co^{1+}Cbi models had 2.0 - 8.3 kcal/mol dispersion contributions in the computed ΔG° values. However the effect of empirical-dispersion was less pronounced than the long-range correlation. The β -axial PhOH-ligated Co^{1+}Cbi complexes ($\Delta\Delta G^\circ = 5.3 - 8.0$ kcal/mol) had greater

dispersion contribution than the β -axial H_2O -ligated Co^{1+}Cbi complexes ($\Delta\Delta G^\circ = 2.5 - 5.0$ kcal/mol) which might be due to its better $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond forming ability as well as due to its greater steric bulk. The recent DFT and QM/MM calculations have also suggested the presence of a dispersion component in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions in the model as well as MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx complexes respectively.^{202,208} The significance of dispersion interactions in the d^8 metal ion-induced H-bonds in the case of Pt^{2+} complexes has also been recently illustrated where the MP2 calculations revealed the presence of dispersion interactions in the $\text{Pt}^{2+}\text{--H}$ bonds.¹³²⁻¹³³ The present analysis thus supports the previous studies^{202,208} that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions contain a significant amount of long-range correlation and a noticeable amount of empirical-dispersion components, and the right kind of DFT functionals must be used to accurately describe the Co^{1+}Cbx complexes containing such interactions.

7.4 Conclusions

The possible alternate role of the active site tyrosine (i.e., Y1139) residue in the context of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation has been computationally investigated using DFT calculations. The calculated data suggest that the PhOH, an ideal structural mimick of tyrosine residue, constructs thermodynamically more stable $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions than the axial H_2O ligand which may have relevance for the reactivation cycle of the MetH enzyme. In the reactivation cycle, the thermodynamically unfavorable $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction need to be executed to recover the catalytically active Co^{1+}Cbx form, however the mechanistic base of

this process is yet to be fully understood. The existing spectroscopic^{111,114} and structural¹¹⁶ studies on the reactivation conformation of MetH enzyme imply that the active site Y1139 residue plays a major catalytic role in the reduction process by promoting the dissociation of the β -axial ligand from the cofactor. However the presented calculations suggest that this residue may not only displace the axial ligand, but may also provide better H-donor facilities for constructing $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions. This finding is interesting in the wake of recent computational studies^{202,208} which suggests that the preferential stabilization of Co^{1+}Cbx state via $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions may drive the thermodynamically difficult reduction process. From thermodynamic perspective, the axial PhOH-induced $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions are found to be more stable than the axial H_2O ligand-influenced ones which may result in the enhanced driving force available for the reduction process if the active site Y1139 residue is engaged in $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation. So far two X-ray studies^{116,139} have been reported on the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx state and both seem to support this conceptual picture (Figure 40). In the first study,¹³⁹ the active site Y1139 was localized at a distance of 4.41 Å from the Co^{2+} ion of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (PDB-code = 1K7Y @ 3.0 Å resolution) and no other direct axial interaction involving metal center was detected. Apparently the Y1139 was the judicious β -axial ligand. The Y1139-- Co^{2+} bond distance was significantly reduced upon binding of AdoMet substrate i.e. (Y1139)O-- Co^{2+} = 3.97 Å (PDB-code: 1K98 @ 3.8 Å resolution). Though in the subsequent X-ray analysis¹¹⁶ that afforded a better resolved reactivation complex of MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx with AdoMet (PDB-code: 3IVA @ 2.7 Å resolution), a β -axial H_2O ligand

was identified, but it was 3.96 Å distance away from the Co^{2+} ion. The active site Y1139 residue was also located at an identical distance (i.e., 3.97 Å) from the Co^{2+} ion. The axial H_2O ligand that is displaced in the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx , is not only interacting with Y1139 residues ($\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdots\text{Y1139} = 3.30$ Å) but is also be involved in an H-bonding interaction with the COO^- end of the E1097 residue ($(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{O}\cdots\text{OOC} = 3.10$ Å) which is a slightly stronger interaction than Y1139-- H_2O interaction and may be providing the additional driving force for rupturing the binding interaction between the axial H_2O ligand and the cofactor. The recent QM/MM calculations²⁰⁸ also implied the feasibility of an intensive communication between the axial H_2O ligand and the active site E1097 residue ($(\text{H}-\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{OOC} = 2.70 - 2.80$ Å).²¹ Also, the spectroscopic study of Brunold et al.,¹¹¹ has established that the binding of the AdoMet substrate results in the translational motion of the Y1139 residue towards the binding pocket of the cofactor. It seems reasonable to suggest that the Y1139 residue may be sterically in a better position to interact with the Co^{1+} ion through $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ interactions. These non-classical $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bonds may considerably stabilize Co^{1+}Cbx and may play a part in ensuring the driving force for the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction. In summary, the present DFT calculations and X-ray crystallographic analysis of the reactivation conformation of MetH enzyme suggest the possible involvement of Y1139 residue as an H-donor in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\cdots\text{H}$ bond formation. This may be one of the possible catalytic strategies that the MetH enzyme uses to carry out the thermodynamically challenging $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction during its reactivation cycle.

CHAPTER VIII

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this dissertation, the various aspects of B_{12} -dependent reaction cycles have been investigated using state of the art computational methods. In particular two problems are specifically studied: (i) Activation of Co-C bond in adenosylcobalamin (AdoCbl)-dependent mutases; and (ii) cob(II)alamin($Co^{2+}Cbx$)/cob(I)alamin($Co^{1+}Cbx$) redox process in methyltransferases.

The mechanism of Co-C bond activation as well as the initial step of B_{12} catalysis that consists of Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent hydrogen atom (H-atom) abstraction from the substrate are studied by means of density functional theory, complete active space self-consistent field and quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics computational methodologies respectively. The solvation correction by polarizable continuum solvation model is applied as needed.

The detailed theoretical study of Chapter II along with the X-ray crystal structure analysis of two of the most important adenosylcobalamin (AdoCbl)-dependent mutases namely methylmalonyl coenzymeA mutase (MCM) and

glutamate mutase (GLM) showed that the diradical state, $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}-\text{Y}^{\bullet}$, is the lowest electronic state of the $\text{AdoCbl}-\text{Y}^-$ complex providing strong evidence that the electron transfer (ET) from the active site tyrosine (Y) residue to the AdoCbl cofactor is feasible. The diradical character of $\text{AdoCbl}-\text{Y}^-$ model complex is also approved by the complete active space self-consistent field calculations. The quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations indicated that the active site Y89 residue of MCM enzyme does indeed induce ET onto the AdoCbl cofactor when present in its deprotonated form. The crystallographic analysis of the active sites of MCM and GLM enzymes revealed that the substrate binding can play a critical role in displacing the hydroxyl proton of Y residue (Y89 in case of MCM enzyme and Y181 in case of GLM enzyme) that will facilitate the ET, and the Co-C bond cleavage will preferably occur via proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) pathway. Thus the initial step of B_{12} catalysis is proposed to involve the one-electron reduced form of AdoCbl cofactor (i.e. $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$) that provides an efficient mode of cleavage and can help in understanding the origin of catalysis in B_{12} mutases.

As a next step, the energetic implications of the possible involvement of the $[\text{AdoCbl}]^{\bullet-}$ in the initial step of B_{12} catalysis that involves Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent H-abstraction from the substrate are investigated. The intrinsic reaction coordinate computations predicted that the reaction consisting of Co-C bond cleavage and subsequent H-atom abstraction occurs in a concerted fashion if the reduced form of AdoCbl cofactor is involved in the reaction. The computed activation energy barrier of the reaction was significantly lowered (by ~31.5%) in

comparison to the reaction involving the neutral cofactor model that lent further support to our PCET-based mechanistic pathway for the origin of catalysis in AdoCbl-dependent mutases.

In the second part of the dissertation, the alternate coordination environments of cob(I)alamin (Co^{1+}Cbx) as well the mechanistic pathways of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction process in the context of methyltransferases are evaluated by means of density functional (DFT), atoms in molecule (AIM), natural bond orbital (NBO) and QM/MM computational tools respectively. The polarizable continuum solvation model is adopted to investigate the thermodynamics of Co^{1+}Cbx complexes where the non-electrostatic contributions are computed within the formalism of SMD solvation model.

The detailed research described in Chapter IV showed that the Co^{1+} ion in Co^{1+}Cbx model complexes engage in the formation of H-bond with the beta-axial H_2O ligand. The H-bond forming capacity of Co^{1+} ion is rooted in the fact that it is dominant d^8 electronic configuration system that has appropriately oriented filled d-orbitals for interacting with the acidic ends of the axial ligands. The DFT and NBO analysis indicated that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction has noticeable amount of electrostatic, charge transfer, long-range correlation and dispersion contributions respectively. The AIM analysis indicated the presence of dominant electrostatic or partial covalent character in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions. As a result of this binding interaction, the Co^{1+}Cbx complexes adapt to an unprecedented pentacoordinated square planar coordination environment in addition to their well-established tetracoordinated square planarity. The computed reduction potentials of the

$\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ couple assuming the possible coordination geometries of Co^{1+}Cbx indicated that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction exerts a significant amount of redox tuning upon the electrochemistry of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction process. Based on these calculations, an alternate mechanistic pathway for the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ reduction process was proposed that involves the conversion of the square pyramidal Co^{1+}Cbx into the square pyramidal Co^{1+}Cbx rather than its square planar form. The analysis of the existing X-ray crystallographic data about the reaction complex of methionine synthase (MetH) enzyme indicated that the axial H_2O ligand is located in the binding pocket of the cofactor and can interact with the cobalt center that provided experimental support to our proposed mechanistic scheme.

As a next step, the possibility of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation inside the biological environment of MetH enzyme is investigated with the help of DFT, AIM and ONIOM-based QM/MM computational tools. The DFT and AIM calculations asserted the dominant electrostatic character of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkages in the model complexes of MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx . The QM/MM computations indicated that the axial H_2O ligand in the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx (PDB-code:3IVA @ 2.7 Å resolution) with the Co^{2+} ion through its O-end while it coordinates to the Co^{1+} ion of the MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx via its H-end which provides the first conclusive evidence of the formation of $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interaction inside the enzyme environment. The comparative analysis of the QM/MM optimized Co^{2+}Cbx and Co^{1+}Cbx complexes revealed that the free H-end of the axial H_2O ligand in the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx undergoes a conformational change en route to the Co^{1+}Cbx

complex formation. This conformational switch is primarily driven by the change in the electronic configuration of the metal ion (i.e., $\text{Co}^{2+} (\text{d}^7) \rightarrow \text{Co}^{1+} (\text{d}^8)$). Benefiting from the $\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}$ interaction, the MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx adapts to the unique square pyramidal coordination environment.

The further computational analysis of the primary coordination environment of Co^{1+}Cbx suggested that Co^{1+}Cbx can also exist in octahedral coordination environment in addition to its square pyramidal and square planar geometries. The QM/MM calculations predicted that a β -axial H_2O in the QM/MM optimized hexacoordinated octahedral MetH-bound Co^{1+}Cbx (1BMT, Res. 3.0 Å) is coordinated to Co^{1+} ion via its unusual H-end ($\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \sim 2.34 \text{ Å}$ (166°)) while the α -axial His ligand is significantly displaced away ($\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{N}(\text{His}) = 3.51 \text{ Å}$), thereby resulting in the formation of an effective H-bonded square pyramidal complex. The theoretical validation of H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbx complexes implied that the electron richness of Co^{1+} ion rather than its net charge starts playing a deterministic role in governing the primary ligation sphere of the Co^{1+} ion. The AIM analysis of the H-bonded Co^{1+}Cbl and Co^{1+}Cbi complexes predicted that the $\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}$ interactions in the square pyramidal or octahedral complexes are H-bonding type interactions that either have a dominant electrostatic component or a very small covalence character. The computed reduction potentials of the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ couple using the possible coordination geometries of Co^{1+}Cbx model complexes implied that the $\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ interaction exerts a greater anodic shift (5 - 98 mV vs standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) in chloroform solvent) than the analogous $\text{Co}^{1+} \cdots \text{H}(\text{Im})$ interaction (1 mV vs SHE) upon the reduction potential of

the $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ couple. This provided an explanation as to why a β -axial H_2O ligand has specifically been identified during the X-ray studies of certain methyltransferases such as MetH, Fe-S corrinoid proteins and methanol:cobalamin.

The DFT calculations and the X-ray crystal structure analysis described in the final chapter of this dissertation addressed the possible involvement of the local enzyme scaffold especially that of the active site Y1139 residue of MetH enzyme in the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ bond formation. The calculations on the Co^{1+}Cbi complexes indicated that the β -axial H_2O or PhOH ligand tends to construct $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions that contain a significant amount of long-range correlation and a noticeable amount of dispersion components respectively, and the PhOH ligand, a Y1139 mimick, forms stronger $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ contacts than H_2O ligand due to its better H-donor capacity and supports the possibility of an alternate scenario where the PhOH ligand may be a β -axial ligand in the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx . The analysis of the existing crystallographic data available for the reactivation conformation of MetH enzyme (1K7Y (3.0 Å); 1K98 (3.8 Å) and 3IVA (2.7 Å)) also corroborated our calculations as the Y1139 residue and the β -axial H_2O ligand in the MetH-bound Co^{2+}Cbx complex are located at a similar distance from the Co^{2+} ion ($\text{Y1139--Co}^{2+} = 3.97 \text{ Å}$; $\text{H}_2\text{O--Co}^{2+} = 3.96 \text{ Å}$). Taking into account that the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ interactions tend to stabilize Co^{1+}Cbx , and the Y1139-induced $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{--H}$ linkages are thermodynamically more stable than the H_2O -induced ones, the possibility of the Y1139 residue being the β -axial ligand in the catalytically competent reactivation conformation of MetH enzyme has been suggested.

REFERENCES

- (1) D. Dolphin, *B₁₂*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, **1982**.
- (2) Drennan, C. L.; Huang, S.; Drummond, J. T.; Matthews, R. G.; Ludwig, M. L. *Science* **1994**, *266*, 1669-1674.
- (3) Banerjee, R. *Chem. Biol.* **1997**, *4*, 175-186.
- (4) Ludwig, M. L.; Matthews, R. G. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **1997**, *66*, 269-313.
- (5) *Vitamin B₁₂ and B₁₂ Proteins* (Eds.: B. Kräutler, D. Arigoni, B. T. Golding), Wiley-VCH, New York, **1998** (Lectures Presented at the 4th European Symposium on Vitamin B₁₂ and B₁₂ Proteins).
- (6) Marzilli, L. G. In *Bioinorganic Catalysis*; Reedijk, J.; Bouwman, E.; Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, **1999**; pp 423-468.
- (7) Banerjee, R. *Chemistry and Biochemistry of B₁₂*, Wiley, New York, **1999**.
- (8) Toraya, T. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2000**, *57*, 106-127.
- (9) Banerjee, R. *Biochemistry* **2001**, *40*, 6191-6198.
- (10) Matthews, R. G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 681-689.
- (11) Banerjee, R.; Ragsdale, S. W. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2003**, *72*, 209-247.

- (12) Banerjee, R. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2083-2094.
- (13) Toraya, T. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2095-2127.
- (14) Brown, K. L. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2075-2149.
- (15) Randaccio, L.; Geremia, S.; Nardin, G.; Wuerger, J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *250*, 1332-1350.
- (16) Matthews, R. G.; Koutmos, M.; Datta, S. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2008**, *18*, 658-666.
- (17) Randaccio, L.; Geremia, S.; Demitri, N.; Wuerger, J. *Trends Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *11*, 1-19.
- (18) Matthews, R. G. in *Metal Ions in Life Sciences*, Sigel, A.; Sigel, H.; Sigel, R. K. O., Eds.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, **2009**; Volume 6, pp. 53-114.
- (19) Randaccio, L.; Geremia, S.; Demitri, N.; Wuerger, J. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 3228-3259.
- (20) Shell, T. A.; Lawrence, D. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 2148-2150.
- (21) Kräutler, B.; Puffer, B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2011**, *50*, 2-4.
- (22) R. G. Finke in *vitamin B₁₂ and B₁₂ Proteins* (Eds.: B. Krautler, D. Arigoni, B. T. Golding), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1998**, chap. 25; b) S. Chowdhury, R. Banerjee, *Biochem.*, **2000**, *39*, 7998-8006.
- (23) Martin, B. D. ; Finke, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 585-592.
- (24) Hung, R. R.; Grabowski, J. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 1359-1364.

- (25) Halpern, J.; Kim, S. -H.; Leung, T. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 8317-8319.
- (26) Hay, B. P.; Finke, R. G. *Polyhedron* **1988**, *7*, 1469-1481.
- (27) (a) Chen, L. H.; Yan, H.; Luo, L.; Cui, X. X.; Tang, W. X. *J. Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *66*, 219. (b) Luo, L. B.; Li, G.; Chen, H. L.; Fu, S. W.; Zhang, S. Y. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1998**, 2103-2107. (c) Chen, H.; Li, G. Shang, F. F. Sun, L.; Chen. J. L.; Shang. S. Y. *Spectrochim. Acta A* **2003**, *59*, 2767.
- (28) (a) Padmakumar, R.; Padmakumar, R.; Banerjee, R. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 11864-11872; (b) Marsh, E. N. G.; Ballou, D. P. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 11864-11872; (c) Hollaway, M. R.; White, H. A.; Joblin, K. N.; Johnson, A. W.; Lappert, A. W.; Wallis, O. C. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1978**, *82*, 143-154; (d) Licht, S. S.; Booker, S.; Stubbe, J. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38*, 1221-1233.
- (29) Hay, B. P.; Finke, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 4820-4829.
- (30) Herein Co²⁺Cbx and Co¹⁺Cbx have been used as abbreviations for the full cob(II)alamin and cob(I)alamin respectively. Co²⁺Cbl and Co¹⁺Cbl represent their simplified versions where the corrin side chains as well as the nucleotide loop have been replaced by H's while Co²⁺Cbi and Co¹⁺Cbi represent their mimicks where only the nucleotide loop of the corrin ring has been terminated at the phosphodiester end.

- (31) Goulding, C. W.; Postigo, D.; Matthews, R. G. *Biochemistry* **1997**, 36, 8082-8091.
- (32) Ragsdale, S. W.; Wood, H. G. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1985**, 260, 3970-3977.
- (33) Sauer, K.; Thauer, R. K. *Chemistry and Biochemistry of B₁₂*, Ed Banerjee, R. Wiley, New York, **1999**, pp 655.
- (34) (a) Burke, S. A.; Lo, S. L.; Krzycki, J. A. *J. Bacteriol.* **1998**, 180, 3432-3440; (b) Ferguson Jr., D. J.; Gorlatova, N.; Grahame, D. A.; Krzycki, J. A. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, 275, 29053-29060; (c) Paul, L.; Ferguson, D. J.; Krzycki, J. A. *J. Bacteriol.* **2000**, 182, 2520-2529; (d) Tallant, T. C.; Paul, L.; Krzycki, J. A. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, 276, 4485-4493.
- (35) Escalante-Semerena, J. C.; Suh, S. -J.; Roth, J. R. *J. Bactriol.* **1990**, 172, 273-280.
- (36) Suh, S.; Escalante-Semerena, J. C. *J. Bactriol.* **1995**, 177, 921-925.
- (37) Johnson, C. L.; Buszako, M. L.; Bobik, T. A. *J. Bactriol.* **2004**, 186, 7781-7787.
- (38) Buan, N. R.; Escalante-Semerena, J. C. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2006**, 281, 16971-16977.
- (39) Jensen, K. P. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, 109, 10505-10512.
- (40) Kumar, N.; Prieto, M. A-; Rovira, C.; Lodowski, P.; Jaworska, M.; Kozlowski, P. M. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2011**, 7, 1541-1551.
- (41) Halpern, J. *Science* **1985**, 227, 869-875.
- (42) Brown, K. L.; Zou, X. *J. Inorg. BioChem.* **1999**, 77, 185-195.

- (43) Padmakumar, R.; Padmakumar, R.; Banerjee, R. *Biochemistry* **1997**, *36*, 3713-3718.
- (44) Bandarian, V.; Reed, G. H. *Biochemistry* **2000**, *39*, 12069-12075.
- (45) Meier, T. W.; Thoma, N. H.; Leadlay, P. F. *Biochemistry* **1996**, *35*, 11791-11796.
- (46) Chih, H. -W.; Marsh, E. N. G. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38*, 13684-13691.
- (47) Buckel, W.; Golding, B. T.; Kratky, C. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 352-362.
- (48) Golding, B. T.; Radom, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 6331-6338.
- (49) (a) Shibata, N.; Masuda, J.; Tobimatsu, T.; Toraya, T.; Suto, K.; Morimoto, Y.; Yasuoka, N. *Structure* **1999**, *7*, 997-1008; (b) Masuda, J.; Shibata, N.; Morimoto, Y.; Toraya, T.; Yasuoka, N. *Structure* **2000**, *8*, 775-788; (c) Shibata, N.; Masuda, J.; Morimoto, Y.; Yasuoka, N.; Toraya, T. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 12607-12617; (d) Shibata, N.; Nakanishi, Y.; Fukuoka, M.; Yamanishi, M.; Yasuoka, N.; Toraya, T. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2003**, *278*, 22717-22725.
- (50) (a) Yamanishi, M.; Yunoki, M.; Tobimatsu, T.; Sato, H.; Matsui, J.; Dokiya, A.; Luchi, Y.; Oe, K.; Suto, K.; Shibata, N.; Morimoto, Y.; Yasuoka, N.; Toraya, T. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **2002**, *269*, 4484-4494; (b) Liao, D. -I.; Dotson G.; Turner, Jr I.; Reiss, L.; Emptage, M. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2003**, *93*, 84-91.
- (51) Hill, H. A. O.; Pratt, J. M.; Williams, R. J. P. *Chem. Br.* **1969**, *5*, 169-172.

- (52) Grate, J. H.; Schrauzer, G. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 4601-4611.
- (53) De Ridder, D. J. A.; Zangrando, E.; Bürgi, H. -B. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1996**, *374*, 63-83.
- (54) Dong, S. L.; Padmakumar, R.; Banerjee, R.; Spiro, T. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 7063-7070.
- (55) Bresciana-Pahor, N.; Forcolin, M.; Marzilli, L. G.; Randaccio, L.; Summers, M. F.; Toscano, P. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1985**, *63*, 1-47.
- (56) Mancia, F.; Evans, P. R. *Structure* **1998**, *6*, 711-720.
- (57) Vlasie, M. D.; Banerjee, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 5431-5435.
- (58) Thoma, N. H.; Meier, T. W.; Evans, P. R.; Leadlay, P. F. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 14386-14393.
- (59) Toraya, T.; Ishida, A. *Biochemistry* **1988**, *27*, 7677-7682.
- (60) Zhu, L.; Kostic, N. M. *Biochemistry* **1987**, *26*, 6-15.
- (61) Scheuring, E.; Padmakumar, R.; Banerjee, R.; Chance, M. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 12192-12200.
- (62) Mancia, F.; Keep, N. H.; Nakagawa, A.; Leadlay, P. F.; McSweeney, S.; Rasmussen, B.; Bösecke, P.; Diat, O.; Evans, P. R. *Structure* **1996**, *4*, 339-350.
- (63) Tollinger, M.; Konrat, R.; Hilbert, B. H.; Marsh, E. N. G.; Kräutler, B. *Structure* **1998**, *6*, 1021-1033.

- (64) Trommel, J. S.; Warncke, K.; Marzilli, L. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 3358-3366.
- (65) Brooks, A. J.; Vlasie, M.; Banerjee, R.; Brunold, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 8167-8180.
- (66) Champloy, F.; Jögl, G.; Reitzer, R.; Buckel, W.; Bothe, H.; Beatrix, B.; Broeker, G.; Michalowicz, A.; Meyer-Klaucke, W.; Kratky, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 11780-11789.
- (67) (a) Dong, S.; Padmakumar, R.; Banerjee, R.; Spiro, T. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9182-9183; (b) Dong, S.; Padmakumar, R.; Banerjee, R.; Spiro, T. G. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *270*, 392-398; (c) Dong, S.; Padmakumar, R.; Maiti, N.; Banerjee, R.; Spiro, T. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 9947-9948.
- (68) Huhta, M. S.; Chen, H. -P.; Hemann, C.; Hille, C. R.; Marsh, E. N. G. *Biochemistry* **2001**, *355*, 131-137.
- (69) The Co-C stretching vibration originally proposed for AdoCbl has been re-assigned; see Kozłowski, P. M.; Andruniow, T.; Jarzecki, A. A.; Zgierski, M. Z.; Spiro, T. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 5585-5590.
- (70) Jensen, K. P.; Ryde, U. *J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM)* **2002**, *585*, 239-255.
- (71) Dölker, N.; Maseras, F.; Lledos, A. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105*, 7564-7571.
- (72) Sirovatka, J. M.; Rappe, A. K.; Finke, R. G. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2000**, *300-302*, 545-555.

- (73) (a) Reitzer, R.; Gruber, K.; Jogl, G.; Wagner, U. G.; Bothe, H.; Buckel, W.; Kratky, C. *Structure* **1999**, 7, 891-902; (b) Gruber, K.; Reitzer, R.; Kratky, C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2001**, 40, 3377-3380.
- (74) Smith, D. M.; Golding, B. T.; Radom, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 1037-1044.
- (75) Smith, D. M.; Golding, B. T.; Radom, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 1383-1384.
- (76) Smith, D. M.; Golding, B. T.; Radom, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 9388-9399.
- (77) Wetmore, S. D.; Smith, D. M.; Radom, L. *ChemBioChem* **2001**, 2, 919-922.
- (78) Wetmore, S. D.; Smith, D. M.; Golding, B. T.; Radom, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 7963-7972.
- (79) Loferer, M. J.; Webb, B. M.; Brand, G. H.; Liedl, K. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 1072-1078.
- (80) Kamaschi, T.; Toraya, T.; Yoshizawa, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 16207-16216.
- (81) Jensen, K. P.; Ryde, U. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 9117-9128.
- (82) Kozlowski, P. M.; Kamachi, T.; Toraya, T.; Yoshizawa, K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2007**, 46, 980-983.
- (83) Durbeej, B.; Sandala, G. M.; Bucher, D.; Smith, D. M.; Radom, L. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, 15, 8578-8585.

- (84) Sharma, P. K.; Chu, Z. T.; Olsson, M. H. M.; Warshel, A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, *104*, 9661-9666.
- (85) Li, X.; Chung, L. W.; Paneth, P.; Morokuma, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 5115-5125.
- (86) (a) Lexa, D.; Savéant, J-M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 3220-3222; (b) Lexa, D.; Savéant, J-M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1983**, *16*, 235-243.
- (87) Kim, M. -H.; Birke, R. L. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1983**, *144*, 331-350.
- (88) Ohkubo, K.; Fukuzumi, S. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2005**, *109*, 1105-1113.
- (89) Birke, R. L.; Huang, Q.; Spataru, T.; Gosser Jr, D. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1922-1936.
- (90) Spataru, T.; Birke, R. L. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2006**, *593*, 74-86.
- (91) Kozlowski, P. M.; Kuta, J.; Galezowski, W. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *111*, 7638-7645.
- (92) Galezowski, W.; Kuta, J.; Kozlowski, P. M. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112*, 3177-3183.
- (93) Kumar, N.; Jaworska, M.; Lodowski, P.; Kumar, M.; Kozlowski, P. M. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 6722-6731.
- (94) Alfonso-Prieto, M.; Biarnés, X.; Kumar, M.; Rovira, C.; Kozlowski, P. M. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, *114*, 12965-12971.
- (95) Jensen, K. P.; Ryde, U. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13970-13971.

- (96) (a) Yikilmaz, E.; Porta, J.; Grove, L. E.; Vahedi-Faridi, A.; Bronshteyn, Y.; Brunold, T. C.; Borgstahl, G. E. O.; Miller, A. -F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 9927-9940; (b) Miller, A. -F. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 501-510.
- (97) Blomberg, M. R. A.; Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Babcock, G. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 8812-8824.
- (98) Shifman, J. M.; Gibney, B. R.; Sharp, R. E.; Dutton, P. L. *Biochemistry* **2000**, *39*, 14813-14821.
- (99) Kennedy, M. L.; Gibney, B. R. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2001**, *11*, 485-490.
- (100) Cowley, A. B.; Kennedy, M. L.; Silchenko, S.; Lukat-Rodgers, G. S.; Rodgers, K. R.; Bensen, D. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 9985-10001.
- (101) Fisher, M. T.; Sligar, S. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 5018-5019.
- (102) Tezcan, F. A.; Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 13383-13388.
- (103) Battistuzzi, G.; Borsari, M.; Cowan, J. A.; Ranieri, A.; Sola, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 5315-5324.
- (104) Burris, D. R.; Delcomyn, C. A.; Smith, M. H.; Roberts, A. L. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30*, 3047-3052.
- (105) Burris, D. R.; Delcomyn, C. A.; Deng, B. L.; Buck, L. E.; Hatfield, K. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **1998**, *17*, 1681-1688.

- (106) Shey, J.; van der Donk, W. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 12403-12404.
- (107) Doherty, R. E. *Environ. Forensics* **2000**, *1*, 69-81.
- (108) Drummond, J. T.; Huang, S.; Blumenthal, R. M.; Matthews, R. G. *Biochemistry* **1993**, *32*, 9290-9295.
- (109) Menon, S.; Ragsdale, S. W. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1999**, *274*, 11513-11518.
- (110) Jarrett, J. T.; Hoover, D. M.; Ludwig, M. L.; Matthews, R. G. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 12649-12658.
- (111) Liptak, M. D.; Datta, S.; Matthews, R. G.; Brunold, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16374-16381.
- (112) Fujii, K.; Galivan, J. H.; Huennekens, F. M. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1977**, *178*, 662-670.
- (113) Wolthers, K. R.; Scrutton, N. S. *J. FEBS* **2009**, *276*, 1942-1951.
- (114) Liptak, M. D.; Brunold, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9144-9156.
- (115) Wirt, M. D.; Sagi, I.; Chance, M. R. *Biophys. J.* **1992**, *63*, 412-417.
- (116) Koutmos, M.; Datta, S.; Patridge, K. A.; Smith, J. L.; Matthews, R. G. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2009**, *106*, 18527-18532.
- (117) Maurice, M. St; Mera, P. E.; Park, K.; Brunold, T. C.; Escalante-Semerena, J. C.; Rayment, I. *Biochemistry* **2008**, *47*, 5755-5766.
- (118) Mera, P. E.; Maurice, M. St; Rayment, I.; Escalante-Semerena, J. C. *Biochemistry* **2009**, *48*, 3138-3145.

- (119) Stich, T. A.; Yamanishi, M.; Banerjee, R.; Brunold, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7660-7661.
- (120) Stich, T. A.; Buan, N. R.; Escalante-Semerena, J. C.; Brunold, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 8710-8719.
- (121) Stich, T. A.; Seravalli, J.; Venkateshrao, S.; Spiro, T. G.; Ragsdale, S. W.; Brunold, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 5010-5020.
- (122) Shi, S.; Daniels, L. M.; Espenson, J. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 3407-3410.
- (123) Hu, X. L.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Peters, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 8988-8998.
- (124) Voloshin, Y. Z.; Varzatskii, O. A.; Vorontsov, I. I.; Antipin, M. Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2005**, *44*, 3400-3402.
- (125) Dreos, R.; Geremia, S.; Randaccio, L.; Seiga, P. *The Chemistry of hydroxylamines, oximes and hydroxamic acids*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Vol. 2, chapter 15, page 903.)
- (126) Calderazzo, F.; Facchinetti, G.; Marchetti, F.; Zanazzi, P. F. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 181.
- (127) Brammer, L.; McCann, M. C.; Bullock, R. M.; McMullan, R. K.; Sherwood, P. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 2339-2341.
- (128) Brammer, L.; Zhao, D.; Ladipo, F. T.; Braddock-Wilking, J. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B* **1995**, *B51*, 632-640.
- (129) Keum, C.; Kim, C.; Kim, C.; Kwak, H.; Moonhee Kwon, M.; Namgung, H. *Bull. Kor. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *13*, 695-699.

- (130) Brammer, L.; Charnock, J. M.; Goggin, P. L.; Goodfellow, R. J.; Koetzle, T. F.; Orpen, A. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 443.
- (131) Brammer, L.; Charnock, J. M.; Goggin, P. L.; Goodfellow, R. J.; Koetzle, T. F.; Orpen, A. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1991**, 1789.
- (132) Kozelka, J.; Bergès, J.; Attias, R.; Fraita, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2000**, 39, 198-201.
- (133) Rizzato, S.; Bergès, J.; Mason, S. A.; Albinati, A.; Kozelka, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2010**, 49, 7440-7443.
- (134) Wienken, M.; Zangrando, E.; Randaccio, L.; Menzer, S.; Lippert, B. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 3349-3357.
- (135) Baidina, A.; Podberezskaya, N. V.; Krylova, L. F.; Borisov, S. V. *J. Struct. Chem.* **1981**, 22, 463-465.
- (136) Braga, D.; Grepioni, F.; Tedesco, E.; Biradha, K.; Desiraju, G. R. *Organometallics* **1997**, 16, 1846-1856.
- (137) Brammer, L. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 3145-3157.
- (138) Baidina, I. A.; Podberezskaya, N. V.; Krylova, L. F.; Borisov, S. V. *J. Struct. Chem.* **1981**, 22, 463.
- (139) Bandarian, V.; Patridge, K. A.; Lennon, B. W.; Huddler, D. P.; Matthews, R. G.; Ludwig, M. L. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **2002**, 9, 53-56.
- (140) Mancia, F.; Smith, G. A.; Evans, P. R. *Biochemistry* **1999**, 38, 7999-8005.

- (141) Berkovitch, F.; Behshad, E.; Tang, K. H.; Enns, E. A.; Frey, P. A.; Drennan, C. L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2004**, *101*, 15870-15875.
- (142) (a) Stubbe, J.; van der Donk, W. A. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 705-762; (b) Pesavento, R. P.; van der Donk, W. A. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **2001**, *58*, 317-385.
- (143) (a) Barry, B. A.; Babcock, G. T. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1987**, *84*, 7099-7103; (b) Barry, B. A.; El-Deeb, M. K.; Sandusky, P. O.; Babcock, G. T. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1990**, *265*, 20139-20143; (c) Meyer, T. J.; Huynh, M. H. V.; Thorp, H. H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2007**, *46*, 5284-5304.
- (144) (a) Tsai, A. -L.; Kulmacz, R. J.; Palmer, G. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1995**, *270*, 10503-10508; (b) Tsai, A. -L.; Palmer, G.; Kulmacz, R. J. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1992**, *276*, 17753-17759; (c) Tsai, A. -L.; Palmer, G.; Xiao, G.; Swinney, D. C.; Kulmacz, R. J. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 3888-3894; (d) His, L. C.; Hoganson, C. W.; Babcock, G. T.; Smith, W. L. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1994**, *202*, 1592-1598.
- (145) (a) Whittaker, M. M.; Whittaker, J. W. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1990**, *265*, 9610-9613; (b) Himo, F.; Erikkson, L. A.; Maseras, F.; Siegbahn, P. E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8031-8036; (c) Lee, Y. -K.; Whittaker, M. M.; Whittaker, J. W. *Biochemistry* **2008**, *47*, 6637-6649.
- (146) (a) Ferguson-Miller, S.; Babcock, G. T. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 2889 - 2907; (b) Gamelin, D. R.; Randall, D. W.; Hay, M. T.; Houser, R.

- P.; Mulder, T. C.; Canters, G. W.; de Vries, S.; Tolman, W. B.; Lu, Y.; Solomon, E. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 5246-5263; (c) Proshlyakakov, D. A.; Pressler, M. A.; DeMaso, C.; Leykam, J. F.; Dewitt, D. L.; Babcock, G. T. *Science* **2000**, *290*, 1588-1591.
- (147) Marohnick, C. C.; Crowley, L. J.; Davis, C. A.; Smith, E. T.; Barber, M. J. *Biochemistry* **2005**, *44*, 2449-2461.
- (148) (a) Ehrenberg, A.; Reichard, P. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1972**, *247*, 3485-3488; (b) Sjöberg, B. –M.; Reichard, P.; Gräslund, A.; Ehrenberg, A. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1978**, *253*, 6863-6865; (c) Sahlin, M.; Gräslund, A.; Ehrenberg, A.; Sjöberg, B. –M. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1982**, *257*, 366-369; (d) Gripenburg, U.; Lassmann, G.; Auling, G. *Free Radical Res.* **1996**, *26*, 473-481; (e) Stubbe, J.; Nocera, D. G.; Yee, C. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2167-2201.
- (149) Rigby, S. E. J.; Hynson, R. M. G.; Ramsay, R. R.; Munro, A. W.; Scrutton, N. S. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280*, 4627-4631.
- (150) Chen, X.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, L.; Wang, J.; Liu, H.; Bu, Y. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 16681-16688.
- (151) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.;

- Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, **2004**.
- (152) (a) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1986**, *84*, 4524-4529; (b) Perdew, J. P. *Phys. Rev. B* **1986**, *33*, 8822-8824.
- (153) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785.
- (154) Jensen, K. P.; Ryde, U. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2003**, *107*, 7539-7545.
- (155) Dölker, N.; Morreale, A.; Maseras, F. J. *Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *10*, 509-517.
- (156) Kuta, J.; Patchkovskii, S.; Zgierski, M. Z.; Kozłowski, P. M. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2006**, *27*, 1429-1437.
- (157) Nakano, H. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *99*, 7983-7992.

- (158) Granovsky, A. A. PC GAMESS/Firefly version 7.1.F, [www.http://classic.chem.msu.su/gran/gamess/index.html](http://classic.chem.msu.su/gran/gamess/index.html)
- (159) ChemShell, a Computational Chemistry Shell, see www.chemshell.org
- (160) (a) Ahlrichs, R.; Bär, M.; Häser, M.; Horn, H.; Kölmel, C. Electronic structure calculations on workstation computers: The program system Turbomole, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *162*, 165-169. (b) Treutler, O.; Ahlrichs, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *102*, 346. (c) Furche, F.; Ahlrichs, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, *117*, 7433. (d) Furche, F.; Rappoport, D. Density functional methods for excited states: equilibrium structure and electronic spectra, ch. III of "Computational Photochemistry", ed. by M. Olivucci, vol. 16 of "Theoretical and Computational Chemistry", Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005. (e) TURBOMOLE has been designed by the Quantum Chemistry Group, University of Karlsruhe, Germany, since 1988. The following members of the group have made contributions: Reinhart Ahlrichs, Michael Bär, Hans-Peter Baron, Rüdiger Bauernschmitt, Stephan Böcker, Nathan Crawford, Peter Deglmann, Michael Ehrig, Karin Eichkorn, Simon Elliott, Filipp Furche, Frank Haase, Marco Häser, Christof Hättig, Arnim Hellweg, Hans Horn, Christian Huber, Uwe Huniar, Marco Kattannek, Andreas Köhn, Christoph Kölmel, Markus Kollwitz, Klaus May, Paola Nava, Christian Ochsenfeld, Holger Öhm, Holger Patzelt, Dmitrij

Rappoport, Oliver Rubner, Ansgar Schäfer, Uwe Schneider, Marek Sierka, Oliver Treutler, Barbara Unterreiner, Malte von Arnim, Florian Weigend, Patrick Weis, • Horst Weiss,
<http://www.turbomole.com>.

- (161) CHARMM: A Program for Macromolecular Energy, Minimization, and Dynamics Calculations; Brooks, B. R.; Bruccoleri, R. E.; Olafson, B. D.; States, D. J.; Swaminathan, S.; Karplus, M. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1983**, *4*, 187-217.
- (162) Finke, R. G.; Martin, B. D. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **1990**, *40*, 19-22.
- (163) Abend, A.; Illich, V.; Retey, J. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1997**, *249*, 180-186.
- (164) Roos, B. O.; Veryazov, V.; Conradie, J.; Taylor, P. R.; Ghosh, A. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112*, 14099-14102.
- (165) Vlasie, M. D.; Banerjee, R. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 8410-8417.
- (166) Padovani, D.; Banerjee, R. *Biochemistry* **2006**, *45*, 2951-2959.
- (167) Swenson, R. P.; Krey, G. D. *Biochemistry* **1994**, *33*, 8505-8514.
- (168) Li, M.; Binda, C.; Mattevi, A.; Edmondson, D. E. *Biochemistry* **2006**, *45*, 4777-4784.
- (169) Royo, M.; Fitzpatrick, P. F. *Biochemistry* **2005**, *44*, 7079-7084.
- (170) Loferer, M. J.; Webb, B. M.; Grant, G. H.; Leidl, K. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1072-1078.
- (171) Zydowsky, T. M.; Courtney, L. F.; Frasca, V.; Kobayashi, K.; Shimizu, H.; Yuen, L. -D.; Matthews, R. G.; Benkovic, S. J.; Floss, H. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 3152-3153.

- (172) Kumar, M.; Kozlowski, P. M. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 9050-9054.
- (173) Kozlowski, P. M.; Kamachi, T.; Kumar, M.; Nakayama, T.; Yoshizawa, K. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, *114*, 5928-5937.
- (174) Vass, I.; Styring, S. *Biochemistry* **1991**, *30*, 830-839.
- (175) Brown, K. L.; Peck-Siler, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 3548-3555.
- (176) Sirovatka-Dorweiler, J.; Matthews, R. G.; Finke, R. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41*, 6217-6224.
- (177) Schosser, S. G.; Hannak, R. B.; Konrat, R.; Gruber, K.; Mikl, C.; Kratky, C.; Kräutler, B. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *11*, 81-93.
- (178) Fukuoka, M.; Nakanishi, Y.; Hannak, R. B.; Kräutler, B.; Toraya, T. *FEBS J.* **2005**, *272*, 4787-4796.
- (179) Friendorf, M.; Kozlowski, P. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 1928-1929.
- (180) (a) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *107*, 8554; (b) Hamprecht, F. A.; Cohen, A. J.; Tozer, D. J.; Handy, N. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 6264.
- (181) Schmider, H. L.; Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *108*, 9624.
- (182) Grimme, S. J. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2006**, *27*, 1787-1799.
- (183) Chai, J.-D.; Head-Gordon, M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *128*, 084106.
- (184) Chai, J. -D.; Head-Gordon, M. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615.

- (185) Frisch, M. J. et al. *Gaussian 09*, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, **2009**.
- (186) Kräutler, B.; Keller, W.; Kratky, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 8938-8940.
- (187) Ragsdale, S. W.; Wood, H. G. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1985**, *260*, 3970-3977.
- (188) Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. *J. Phys. Chem.* **2009**, *113*, 6378-6396.
- (189) Bader, R. F. W. *Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory*; Oxford University Press: New York **1990**.
- (190) Reed, A. E.; Curtiss, L. A.; Weinhold, F. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 899-926.
- (191) Wiberg, K. *Tetrahedron* **1968**, *24*, 1083-1096.
- (192) Kumar, M.; Galezowski, W.; Kozłowski, P. M. *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **2012** (accepted).
- (193) Trasatti, S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1986**, *58*, 955-966.
- (194) (a) Lewis, A.; Bumpus, J. A.; Truhlar, D. G.; Cramer, C. J. *J. Chem. Edu.* **2004**, *81*, 596-604; (b) Lewis, A.; Bumpus, J. A.; Truhlar, D. G.; Cramer, C. J. *J. Chem. Edu.* **2007**, *84*, 934.
- (195) Yao, W.; Eisenstein, O.; Crabtree, R. H. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1997**, *254*, 105-111.
- (196) Lonsdale, R.; Harvey, J. N.; Mullholand, A. J. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1*, 3232-3237.

- (197) Moss, R. A.; Wang, L.; Odorisio, C. M.; Jespersen, K. K-. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10677-10679.
- (198) (a) Iwaoka, M.; Komatsu, H.; Katsuda, T.; Tomoda, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 8077-8084; (b) Iwaoka, M.; Komatsu, H.; Katsuda, T.; Tomoda, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 5309-5317; (c) Iwaoka, M.; Komatsu, H.; Katsuda, T.; Tomoda, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 1902-1909.
- (199) Shi, S.; Daniels, L. M.; Espenson, J. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 3407.
- (200) Hu, X. L.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Peters, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 8988-8998.
- (201) Voloshin, Y. Z.; Varzatskii, O. A.; Vorontsov, I. I.; Antipin, M. Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2005**, *44*, 3400-3402.
- (202) Kumar, M.; Kozłowski, P. M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2011**, *50*, 8705-8707.
- (203) (a) Li, H.; Robertson, A. D.; Jensen, J. H. *Proteins* **2005**, *61*, 704-721; (b) Bas, D. C.; Rogers, D. M.; Jensen, J. H. *Proteins* **2008**, *73*, 765-783.
- (204) Besler, B. H.; Merz, K. M.; Kollman, P. A. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1990**, *11*, 431-439.
- (205) Popelier, P. *Atoms in Molecules: An Introduction*; Pearson Education: Harlow **2000**.

- (206) Rozas, I.; Alkorta, I.; Elguero, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 11154-11161.
- (207) Brookhart, M.; Green, M. L. H.; Parkin, G. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, *104*, 6908-6914.
- (208) Kumar, M.; Kumar, N.; Hirao, H.; Kozlowski, P. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012** (accepted).
- (209) Hagemeyer, C. H.; Kruer, M.; Thauer, R. K.; Warkentin, E.; Ermler, U. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 18917-18922.
- (210) Svetlitchnaia, T.; Svetlitchnyi, V.; Meyer, O.; Dobbek, H. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 14331-14336.
- (211) Jarrett, J. T.; Huang, S.; Matthews, R. G. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 5372-5382.
- (212) Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K. *J. Mol. Graphics* **1996**, *14*, 33-38.
- (213) Marques, H. M.; Ngoma, B.; Eganb, T. J.; Brown, K. L. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2001**, *561*, 71-91.
- (214) (a) Svensson, M.; Humbel, S.; Froese, R. D. J.; Matsubara, T.; Sieber, S.; Morokuma, K. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 19357-19363;
(b) Vreven, T.; Byun, K. S.; Komáromi, I.; Dapprich, S.; Montgomery, J. A.; Morokuma, K.; Frisch, M. J. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2006**, *2*, 815-826.

- (215) Cornell, W. D.; Cieplak, P.; Bayly, C. I.; Gould, I. R.; Merz Jr., K. M.; Ferguson, D. M.; Spellmeyer, D. C.; Fox, T.; Caldwell, J. W.; Kollman, P. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5179-5197.
- (216) Schrauzer, G. N.; Deutsch, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 3341-3350.
- (217) Saillard, J. -Y.; Hoffmann, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 2006-2026.
- (218) Sundquist, W. I.; Bancroft, D. P.; Lippard, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 1590-1596.
- (219) Koch, U.; Popelier, P. L. A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 9747-9754.
- (220) Brown, K. L.; Hakimi, J. M.; Nuss, D. M.; Montejano, Y. D.; Jacobsen, D. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 1463-1471.
- (221) Brown, K. L.; Pecksiler, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 3548-3555.
- (222) Fleischhacker, A. S.; Matthews, R. G. *Biochemistry* **2007**, *46*, 12382-12392.
- (223) Liptak, M. D.; Fleischhacker, A. S.; Matthews, R. G.; Brunold, T. C. *Biochemistry* **2007**, *46*, 8024-8035.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

XCbl	general cobalamin
RCbl	alkylcobalamin
AdoCbl	adenosylcobalamin
Ado	adenosyl group
Ado [•]	adenosyl radical
MeCbl	methylcobalamin
Me	methyl group
CNCbl	cyanocobalamin
B ₁₂ cofactors	MeCbl and AdoCbl
Vitamin B ₁₂	CNCbl
Coenzyme B ₁₂	AdoCbl
α-face	lower face
β-face	upper face
DBI	dimethylbenzimidazole
BDE	bond dissociation energy
MCM	methylmalonyl coA mutase
GLM	glutamate mutase
QM/MM	quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics
DFT	density functional theory
CASSCF	complete active space self-consistent field
Co ²⁺ Cbx	enzyme-bound cob(II)alamin
Co ²⁺ Cbi	cob(II)alamin with only nucleotide group truncated
Co ²⁺ Cbl	cob(II)alamin with all side chains truncated
Co ¹⁺ Cbx	enzyme-bound cob(I)alamin
Co ¹⁺ Cbi	cob(I)alamin with only nucleotide group truncated
Co ¹⁺ Cbl	cob(I)alamin with all side chains truncated
Co ²⁺ /Co ¹⁺	cob(II)alamin/cob(I)alamin
MetH	methionine synthase
CFeSP	corrinoid iron-sulfur protein
ACAs	ATP:corrinoid adenosyltransferases
Y	active site tyrosine residue
F	phenylalanine
Y [•]	tyrosyl radical
ET	electron transfer
PT	proton transfer
PCET	proton-coupled electron transfer

H-atom	hydrogen atom
TS	transition state
SCE	saturated calomel electrode
Gln	glutamine residue
SOD	superoxide dismutase
PS-II	photosystem-II
PDB	protein data bank
RibCbl	ribosecobalamin
Rib	ribosyl group
Rib [•]	ribosyl radical
AdoMet	adenosyl-L-methionine
SHE	standard hydrogen electrode
PCM	polarizable continuum model
AIM	atoms in molecule
NBO	natural bond orbital
PhOH	phenol
PhO ⁻	phenolate anion
PhO [•]	phenoxyl radical
1el-Red	one-electron reduced
[AdoCbl] ^{•-}	1el-Red AdoCbl
UHF	unrestricted hartree Fock
$\langle S^{*2} \rangle$	expectation value of the square of spin
His	histidine residue
-COO ⁻	carboxylate anion
DMF	dimethylformamide
Im	imidazole
ZPE	zero point energy
N _{ax}	axial nitrogen
IRC	intrinsic reaction coordinate
C _{sub}	carbon atom of the substrate
BSSE	basis set superposition error
WBI	Wiberg bond index
BCP	bond critical point
E	active site glutamate residue
R	active site arginine residue
Res.	Resolution
$\rho(r)$	electron density
$\nabla\rho(r)$	gradient of electron density
$\nabla^2\rho(r)$	Laplacian of electron density
H(r)	total charge density
ϵ	dielectric constant
CT	charge transfer
BCT	back charge transfer
$\Delta E^{(2)}_{CT}$	second order stabilization energy due to CT
$\Delta E^{(2)}_{BCT}$	second order stabilization energy due to BCT
Met	methionine

Hcy

homocysteine

APPENDIX

Table A1: BP86 computed Spin Density Distribution in the structural mimics of GLM and MCM

Model Complex	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Corrin spin	Tyrosine spin (odd-alternant pattern)			
	value		O7	C1	C4	C8
AdoCbl-PhOH (GLM)	0.0000	0.000499	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
AdoCbl-PhO ⁻ (GLM)	1.0028	-0.994421	0.5349	0.2118	0.1753	0.1739
AdoCbl-PhOH (MCM)	0.0000	0.00010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
AdoCbl-PhO ⁻ (MCM)	1.0007	-0.989755	0.5405	0.2340	0.1997	0.1945

Table A2: B3LYP Computed Spin Density Distribution in the Structural Mimics of GLM and MCM

Model Complex	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	Corrin spin	Tyrosine spin (odd-alternant pattern)			
	value		O7	C1	C4	C8
AdoCbl-PhOH (GLM)	0.0002	0.000446	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
AdoCbl-PhO ⁻ (GLM)	0.6278	-0.60327	0.3968	0.1669	0.1535	0.1520
AdoCbl-PhOH (MCM)	0.0002	0.000524	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
AdoCbl-PhO ⁻ (MCM)	0.7356	-0.707306	0.4522	0.1986	0.1794	0.1742

Table A3: Key structural features of the optimized Co²⁺Cbi--OH₂ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-O _{H2O}
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	1.93	1.96	1.96	1.93	2.53
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.93	1.93	1.87	2.29
ωB97X/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.92	1.93	1.89	2.36
ωB97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.92	1.92	1.88	2.35
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.94	1.94	1.88	2.39
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.94	1.94	1.88	2.40
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.94	1.94	1.88	2.30

Table A4: Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-O _{H2O}
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.93	1.96	1.95	1.94	2.42
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.85	1.91	1.90	1.86	2.23
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.87	1.91	1.90	1.88	2.28
Ω b97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.86	1.91	1.90	1.87	2.28
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	1.87	1.93	1.91	1.88	2.31
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	1.87	1.93	1.91	1.88	2.31
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.85	1.92	1.91	1.86	2.28

Table A5: Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--Im}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-N _{Im}
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	1.94	1.97	1.97	1.94	2.40
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.94	1.93	1.88	2.14
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.94	1.93	1.90	2.22
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.93	1.93	1.89	2.18
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.95	1.94	1.89	2.22
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.95	1.94	1.89	2.23
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.94	1.94	1.88	2.12

Table A6: Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--Im}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-N _{Im}
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.95	1.98	1.96	1.96	2.45
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.86	1.92	1.90	1.87	2.15
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.88	1.92	1.90	1.89	2.25
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.87	1.92	1.90	1.88	2.21
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	1.88	1.94	1.92	1.89	2.27
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	1.88	1.94	1.92	1.89	2.27
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.86	1.92	1.91	1.86	2.12

Table A7: Key structural features of the optimized H₂O--Co²⁺Cbl--Im complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-N _{Im}	Co-O _{H2O}
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	1.94	1.97	1.97	1.94	2.48	2.96
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.94	1.93	1.88	2.15	3.35
ωB97X/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.94	1.93	1.89	2.28	2.61
ωB97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.93	1.93	1.89	2.25	2.57
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.95	1.94	1.89	2.29	2.79
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.95	1.94	1.89	2.29	2.83
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.94	1.94	1.88	2.14	2.97

Table A8: Key structural features of the optimized H₂O--Co²⁺Cbl--Im complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-N _{Im}	Co-O _{H2O}
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.94	1.97	1.96	1.95	2.53	3.65
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.86	1.92	1.91	1.87	2.14	4.36
ωB97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.88	1.92	1.90	1.89	2.23	4.13
ωB97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.87	1.92	1.90	1.88	2.21	3.98
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	1.88	1.94	1.91	1.89	2.25	4.26
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	1.88	1.94	1.91	1.89	2.25	4.26
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.85	1.92	1.91	1.86	2.12	4.18

Table A9: Key structural features of the optimized Co^{I+}Cbl--H-O-H complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	1.94	1.96	1.96	1.94	2.62	3.54	164.61
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	1.84	1.91	1.91	1.84	2.29	3.29	170.32
ωB97X/6-31++G(d,p)	1.86	1.91	1.91	1.86	2.41	3.37	168.90
ωB97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.85	1.90	1.90	1.85	2.39	3.33	162.98
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	1.86	1.91	1.91	1.86	2.39	3.36	170.36
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	1.86	1.91	1.91	1.85	2.39	3.35	170.77
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.85	1.92	1.91	1.85	2.44	3.40	168.06

Table A10: Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.92	1.95	1.94	1.93	2.55	3.48	166.24
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.88	1.83	2.45	3.42	166.11
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.88	1.88	1.84	2.44	3.40	167.04
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.88	1.87	1.84	2.42	3.37	166.27
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.90	1.89	1.84	2.52	3.47	164.70
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.90	1.89	1.84	2.52	3.47	164.64
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.90	1.89	1.83	2.56	3.51	160.93

Table A11: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.12	-3.30	-3.89	6.10	2.21
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.54	-4.91	-5.51	6.94	1.43
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.09	-7.48	-8.07	7.53	-0.54
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.80	-8.18	-8.77	7.61	-1.16
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.18	-5.54	-6.13	7.34	1.21
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.84	-5.21	-5.80	7.30	1.49
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.14	-8.40	-8.99	6.56	-2.42

Table A12: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas Phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-9.60	-9.57	-10.16	9.81	-0.35
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.91	-14.04	-14.63	9.57	-5.06
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-17.04	-17.23	-17.82	10.43	-7.39
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-18.62	-18.64	-19.23	9.97	-9.26
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-14.47	-14.59	-15.18	9.97	-5.21
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.88	-13.98	-14.57	10.01	-4.56
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-19.09	-19.03	-19.63	9.46	-10.16

Table A13: Computed Thermodynamic Data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ Complex Formation Reaction studied in **Chloroform solution** assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-2.00	-1.16	-1.75	6.01	4.30
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.38	-3.74	-4.34	7.04	2.67
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.92	-5.25	-5.84	7.37	1.66
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.92	-6.20	-6.80	7.23	0.59
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.78	-4.00	-4.59	6.15	1.56
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.33	-3.64	-4.23	6.89	2.67
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.50	-6.91	-7.50	7.60	0.18

Table A14: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex formation reaction studied in **acetonitrile solution** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-0.92	-0.01	-0.60	5.99	5.38
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.82	-3.71	-4.31	8.54	4.02
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.94	-4.23	-4.82	6.94	2.12
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.89	-5.26	-5.85	7.32	1.42
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.98	-3.25	-3.84	6.32	2.31
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.69	-2.95	-3.54	6.34	2.61
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.93	-6.41	-7.00	7.89	0.77

Table A15: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex formation reaction studied in **water solution** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-0.82	0.10	-0.49	5.88	5.26
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.76	-3.65	-4.24	8.46	4.52
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.84	-4.11	-4.70	6.68	2.03
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.88	-5.19	-5.79	6.88	1.21
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.89	-3.19	-3.78	6.86	3.38
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.58	-2.88	-3.47	6.85	3.71
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.88	-6.36	-6.95	7.86	1.08

Table A16: Computed Thermodynamic Data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ Complex Formation Reaction studied in **Chloroform solution** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-4.50	-4.43	-5.02	9.69	4.85
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-10.00	-10.11	-10.70	10.09	-0.48
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-12.76	-12.86	-13.45	9.69	-3.64
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-14.63	-14.67	-15.26	10.40	-4.69
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-10.90	-10.81	-11.40	9.14	-2.09
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-10.22	-10.11	-10.71	8.85	-1.69
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-20.08	-20.62	-21.21	13.62	-6.85

Table A17: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex formation reaction studied in **acetonitrile solution** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-2.35	-2.15	-2.74	9.09	6.45
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.12	-8.39	-8.98	10.84	1.93
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-9.79	-10.32	-10.92	12.53	1.76
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-12.55	-12.57	-13.61	9.79	-3.19
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.84	-8.94	-9.53	9.42	-0.03
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.99	-8.17	-8.76	10.56	1.93
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-19.01	-19.49	-20.09	13.34	-6.00

Table A18: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex formation reaction studied in **water solution** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-2.08	-1.92	-2.51	9.47	6.40
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.87	-8.18	-8.77	11.31	1.85
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-9.54	-10.09	-10.69	12.60	1.32
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-12.38	-12.43	-13.02	9.69	-3.81
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.50	-8.67	-9.26	10.90	0.97
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.82	-7.99	-8.58	10.94	1.69
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-18.83	-19.37	-19.97	13.78	-6.64

Table A19. Reduction Potentials of MeCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631G(d) 5d basis set.

Functional	Reduction Potential [V units] vs SCE											
	Naked MeCbl Cofactor						Full MeCbl Cofactor					
	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF + Propanol	DMF + MeOH	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF + Propanol	DMF + MeOH
BP86	-1.81	-1.80	-1.79	-1.79	-1.78	-1.79	-1.76	-1.88	-1.92	-1.81	-1.81	-1.81
B3LYP	-1.86	-1.86	-1.85	-1.86	-1.84	-1.85	-1.85	-1.98	-2.02	-1.91	-1.90	-1.91
B3LYP-D	-2.10	-2.00	-1.99	-2.01	-2.11	-2.11	-1.98	-2.01	-1.83	-1.91	-1.98	-1.98
B3PW91	-1.84	-1.83	-1.81	-1.82	-1.80	-1.81	-1.84	-1.96	-2.01	-1.89	-1.89	-1.89
PBE1PBE	-1.84	-1.83	-1.82	-1.82	-1.81	-1.81	-1.86	-1.98	-2.03	-1.91	-1.91	-1.91
mPW1PBE	-1.84	-1.83	-1.82	-1.82	-1.81	-1.81	-1.86	-1.98	-1.71	-2.29	-1.91	-1.91
mPW 3PBE	-1.82	-1.81	-1.79	-1.80	-1.78	-1.79	-1.83	-1.95	-1.99	-1.88	-1.87	-1.87
mPW1PW91	-1.83	-1.82	-1.80	-1.81	-1.79	-1.80	-1.84	-1.97	-2.01	-1.89	-1.89	-1.89

Table A20. Reduction Potentials of MeCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631+G basis set.

	Reduction Potential [V units] vs SCE											
Functional	Naked MeCbl Cofactor						Full MeCbl Cofactor					
6-31G*	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF + Propanol	DMF + MeOH	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF + Propanol	DMF + MeOH
BP86	-2.01	-2.00	-1.99	-1.98	-1.98	-1.98	-2.12	-2.14	-2.16	-2.15	-2.16	-2.16
B3LYP	-1.87	-1.86	-1.85	-1.86	-2.02	-2.02	-2.00	-2.01	-2.02	-2.03	-2.03	-2.03
B3LYP-D	-2.20	-2.17	-2.16	-2.17	-2.19	-2.19	-2.14	-2.20	-2.02	-2.08	-2.16	-2.17
B3PW91	-2.01	-2.00	-1.98	-1.99	-1.97	-1.97	-1.97	-1.97	-1.99	-1.99	-2.00	-1.99
PBE1PBE	-2.01	-2.00	-1.98	-1.98	-1.97	-1.97	-2.14	-2.15	-2.17	-2.18	-2.18	-2.18
mPW1PBE	-2.07	-2.06	-2.04	-2.04	-2.02	-2.03	-1.99	-1.99	-2.01	-2.03	-2.02	-2.03
mPW 3PBE	-1.93	-1.92	-1.90	-1.98	-1.89	-1.89	-1.98	-2.08	-2.00	-2.01	-2.00	-2.00
mPW1PW91	-2.02	-2.02	-2.00	-1.99	-1.98	-1.98	-2.01	-2.02	-2.03	-2.05	-2.04	-2.04

Table A21. Reduction Potentials of MeCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631+G* basis set.

Functional	Reduction Potential [V units] vs SCE											
	Naked MeCbl Cofactor						Full MeCbl Cofactor					
	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF + Propanol	DMF + MeOH	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF + Propanol	DMF + MeOH
BP86	-1.76	-1.76	-1.74	-1.75	-1.74	-1.74	-1.63	-1.77	-1.81	-1.71	-1.71	-1.71
B3LYP	-1.84	-1.83	-1.82	-1.84	-1.82	-1.83	-1.77	-1.87	-1.92	-1.81	-1.80	-1.80
B3LYP-D	-2.10	-2.00	-1.99	-2.01	-2.11	-2.11	-1.91	-1.93	-1.91	-1.84	-1.91	-1.91
B3PW91	-1.80	-1.79	-1.78	-1.79	-1.77	-1.78	-1.74	-1.85	-1.89	-1.79	-1.78	-1.78
PBE1PBE	-1.81	-1.80	-1.78	-1.79	-1.78	-1.78	-1.75	-1.87	-1.91	-1.80	-1.80	-1.80
mPW1PBE	-1.81	-1.80	-1.78	-1.79	-1.78	-1.78	-1.75	-1.87	-1.91	-1.80	-1.80	-1.80
mPW 3PBE	-1.78	-1.77	-1.76	-1.77	-1.75	-1.76	-1.72	-1.83	-1.87	-1.77	-1.80	-1.79
mPW1PW91	-1.79	-1.79	-1.77	-1.78	-1.77	-1.77	-1.74	-1.85	-1.90	-1.79	-1.79	-1.79

Table A22. Reduction Potentials of AdoCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631G(d) 5d basis set.

Functional	Naked AdoCbl Cofactor				Full AdoCbl Cofactor			
	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O
BP86	-1.71	-1.86	-1.75	-1.76	-1.81	-1.92	-1.94	-2.04
B3LYP	-1.84	-1.87	-1.87	-1.88	-1.93	-1.91	-2.07	-1.91
B3LYP-D	-1.76	-1.76	-1.75	-1.77	-1.84	-1.84	-1.85	-1.84
B3PW91	-1.72	-1.75	-1.75	-1.75	-1.75	-1.85	-1.89	-2.00
PBE1PBE	-1.81	-1.84	-1.84	-1.85	-1.56	-1.66	-1.70	-1.79
mPW1PBE	-1.75	-1.78	-1.78	-1.78	-1.69	-1.79	-1.83	-1.92
mPW 3PBE	-1.64	-1.66	-1.67	-1.67	-1.69	-1.79	-1.83	-1.94
mPW1PW91	-1.78	-1.80	-1.81	-1.81	-1.79	-1.90	-1.93	-2.02

Table A23. Reduction Potentials of AdoCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631+G basis set.

Functional	Naked AdoCbl Cofactor				Full AdoCbl Cofactor			
6-31+G	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O
BP86	-1.57	-1.60	-1.60	-1.61	-1.55	-1.40	-1.72	-1.83
B3LYP	-1.65	-1.68	-1.69	-1.71	-1.60	-1.73	-1.78	-1.90
B3LYP-D	-1.59	-1.59	-1.58	-1.60	-1.68	-1.68	-1.68	-1.67
B3PW91	-1.61	-1.64	-1.64	-1.66	-1.60	-1.72	-1.78	-1.89
PBE1PBE	-1.60	-1.63	-1.63	-1.64	-1.59	-1.72	-1.77	-1.89
mPW1PBE	-1.61	-1.63	-1.64	-1.65	-1.60	-1.73	-1.78	-1.90
mPW 3PBE	-1.58	-1.61	-1.61	-1.63	-1.57	-1.70	-1.75	-1.87
mPW1PW91	-1.60	-1.62	-1.51	-1.64	-1.59	-1.71	-1.77	-1.88

Table A24. Reduction Potentials of AdoCbl Cofactor computed using a variety of DFT functionals and 631+G* basis set.

Functional	Naked AdoCbl Cofactor				Full AdoCbl Cofactor			
	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O	DMF	DMSO	MeOH	H ₂ O
BP86	-1.56	-1.59	-1.60	-1.60	-1.45	-1.56	-1.61	-1.70
B3LYP	-1.67	-1.70	-1.71	-1.72	-1.51	-1.62	-1.67	-1.79
B3LYP-D	-1.60	-1.61	-1.60	-1.62	-1.58	-1.58	-1.58	-1.57
B3PW91	-1.62	-1.64	-1.65	-1.66	-1.50	-1.61	-1.66	-1.60
PBE1PBE	-1.61	-1.63	-1.58	-1.65	-1.49	-1.60	-1.65	-1.76
mPW1PBE	-1.62	-1.64	-1.65	-1.66	-1.50	-1.62	-1.67	-1.78
mPW 3PBE	-1.59	-1.61	-1.62	-1.63	-1.47	-1.59	-1.63	-1.74
mPW1PW91	-1.61	-1.63	-1.64	-1.65	-1.63	-1.22	-1.65	-1.76

Table A25. Computed Reduction Potentials of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ Couple assuming tetra- and penta-coordinated Conformations of Co^{1+}Cbx

$\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ Redox Couple	Reduction Potential [mV] vs SHE		
	Chloroform	Acetonitrile	Water
$\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl}$	-220	-275	-303
$\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi}$	-239	-328	-291
$\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$	-110	-223	-279
$\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbi--OH}_2/\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$	-15	-236	-255

Table A26: Key Structural Features of the Complex $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--OH}_2$ optimized using a variety of theoretical methods (bond lengths and bond angles are expressed in Å and degrees respectively).

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-O
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	1.93	1.95	1.95	1.93	4.29
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.93	1.93	1.88	2.25
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.92	1.93	1.89	2.44
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.86	1.91	1.90	1.87	2.28
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.94	1.94	1.89	2.52
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.94	1.94	1.89	2.55
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.85	1.92	1.91	1.86	2.28

Table A27: Key Structural Features of the Complex $\text{Co}^{2+}\text{Cbl--OH}_2\text{--HOPh}$ optimized using a variety of theoretical methods (bond lengths and bond angles are expressed in Å and degrees respectively).

Theoretical Method	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-O
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	1.93	1.95	1.95	1.93	3.34
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.93	1.93	1.87	2.32
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.92	1.93	1.89	2.40
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.92	1.93	1.88	2.40
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.93	1.94	1.89	2.45
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	1.89	1.93	1.94	1.89	2.46
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	1.88	1.93	1.93	1.88	2.32

Table A28: Key Structural Features of the Complex $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ optimized using a variety of theoretical methods (bond lengths and bond angles are expressed in Å and degrees respectively).

Theoretical Method	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O	$\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\text{--H}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	$\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\text{--O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	2.54	3.43	115.62	1.97	2.92
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	2.18	3.17	167.09	1.77	2.76
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	2.35	3.25	153.60	1.81	2.78
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.30	3.21	154.47	1.80	2.78
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	2.37	3.29	157.44	1.83	2.81
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	2.33	3.27	160.62	1.83	2.80
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.26	3.18	154.08	1.79	2.78

Table A29: Key Structural Features of the Complex $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cb--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ optimized using a variety of theoretical methods (bond lengths and bond angles are expressed in Å and degrees respectively).

Theoretical Method	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O	$\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\text{--H}_{\text{PhOH}}$	$\text{O}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\text{--O}_{\text{PhOH}}$
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	2.39	3.34	174.12	1.90	2.85
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	2.12	3.13	175.69	1.72	2.71
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	2.15	3.13	174.51	1.77	2.74
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.25	3.21	164.74	1.77	2.74
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	2.20	3.19	175.83	1.79	2.77
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	2.17	3.16	175.83	1.75	2.74
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.17	3.15	166.93	1.74	2.73

Table A30: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1 atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.36	-8.47	-9.65	15.36	5.71
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-13.00	-12.54	-13.73	17.06	3.33
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-18.15	-17.78	-18.96	17.91	-1.05
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-19.01	-18.59	-19.78	17.77	-2.01
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-14.21	-13.73	-14.91	17.47	2.56
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-13.58	-13.01	-14.19	16.96	2.77
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-18.40	-18.13	-19.32	18.38	-0.94

Table A31: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.27	-3.86	-4.34	13.70	9.36
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.70	-8.71	-9.89	18.72	8.82
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-12.47	-11.81	-12.99	16.71	3.72
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-13.24	-12.65	-13.84	16.81	2.97
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.70	-9.71	-10.89	17.23	6.34
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.40	-9.31	-10.23	17.80	6.78
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-13.36	-12.98	-14.16	17.82	3.66

Table A32: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{PhOH} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-14.14	-12.29	-13.47	15.98	2.51
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-14.97	-13.62	-14.80	17.82	3.02
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-22.84	-21.69	-22.88	19.82	-3.06
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-29.62	-28.31	-29.50	20.19	-9.30
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-17.12	-15.88	-17.06	19.14	2.07
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-17.26	-16.01	-17.19	19.00	1.80
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-24.91	-23.94	-25.12	21.05	-4.07

Table A33: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$ complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units)

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{PhOH} \longrightarrow$			$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O--HOPh}$	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.74	-5.07	-6.25	15.20	8.95
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.09	-9.54	-9.84	17.12	7.28
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-16.80	-15.62	-16.80	19.63	2.83
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-21.15	-20.12	-21.30	21.05	-0.26
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-12.09	-11.14	-12.10	17.40	5.30
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.79	-10.96	-12.02	17.25	6.23
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-19.91	-18.99	-20.18	21.19	1.01

Table A34: Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively). The values mentioned in parentheses correspond to those in the isolated H_2O ligand.

Theoretical Method	Co-H	Co-O	H-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	2.62	3.54	0.95 (0.94)	164.61
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	2.29	3.29	1.00 (0.97)	170.32
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	2.40	3.35	0.97 (0.96)	171.73
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.39	3.33	0.97 (0.96)	162.98
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	2.45	3.44	0.96 (0.96)	165.10
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	2.46	3.45	0.96 (0.96)	165.11
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.44	3.40	0.98 (0.97)	168.06

Table A35: Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively). The values mentioned in parentheses correspond to those in the isolated H_2O ligand.

Theoretical Method	Co-H	Co-O	H-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	2.51	3.45	0.95 (0.95)	170.71
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	2.41	3.38	0.99 (0.98)	167.82
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	2.45	3.41	0.97 (0.96)	172.92
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	2.41	3.37	0.97 (0.96)	169.74
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	2.55	3.50	0.97 (0.97)	166.10
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	2.53	3.49	0.97 (0.97)	165.23
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	2.51	3.48	0.98 (0.97)	168.28

Table A36: Key Structural Features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(Im)}$ Complex (bond lengths and bond angles are expressed in Å and degrees respectively). The values mentioned in parentheses correspond to those in the isolated Im ligand.

Theoretical Method	Co-H	Co-N	H-N	Co-H-N
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	2.50	3.49	1.00 (0.99)	174.40
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	2.25	3.29	1.04 (1.02)	175.22
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	2.29	3.25	1.02 (1.01)	155.54
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.22	3.13	1.02 (1.01)	147.03
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	2.29	3.31	1.03 (1.01)	171.83
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	2.28	3.31	1.03 (1.01)	174.39
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.18	3.12	1.03 (1.01)	149.92

Table A37: Key Structural Features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(Im)Complex}$ (bond lengths and bond angles are expressed in Å and degrees respectively). The values mentioned in parentheses correspond to those in the isolated Im ligand.

Theoretical Method	Co-H	Co-C	H-C(Im)	Co-H-C
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	4.09	5.06	1.07 (1.07)	152.61
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	3.55	4.60	1.09 (1.09)	162.13
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	3.25	4.28	1.09 (1.08)	160.21
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	3.05	4.06	1.08 (1.08)	154.75
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	3.54	4.58	1.08 (1.08)	161.67
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	3.58	4.62	1.08 (1.08)	161.76
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	2.92	3.97	1.09 (1.09)	162.55

Table A38. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively). The values mentioned in parentheses correspond to those in the isolated H_2O ligand.

Theoretical Method	α -axial ligand				β -axial ligand			
	Co-H	Co-O	H-O	Co-H-O	Co-H	Co-O	H-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	2.63	3.55	0.95 (0.94)	162.98	2.63	3.55	0.95 (0.94)	162.99
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	2.32	3.30	0.99 (0.97)	169.15	2.32	3.30	0.99 (0.97)	169.21
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	2.41	3.37	0.97 (0.96)	169.10	2.41	3.37	0.97 (0.96)	169.20
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.40	3.34	0.97 (0.96)	160.69	2.40	3.32	0.97 (0.96)	157.85
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	2.43	3.43	0.97 (0.96)	165.12	2.44	3.45	0.97 (0.96)	166.21
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	2.40	3.36	0.97 (0.96)	167.46	2.40	3.36	0.97 (0.96)	167.41
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.49	3.42	0.98 (0.97)	158.52	2.50	3.42	0.98 (0.97)	157.29

Table A39. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{H-O-H--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively). The values mentioned in parentheses correspond to those in the isolated H_2O ligand.

Theoretical Method	α -axial ligand				β -axial ligand			
	Co-H	Co-O	H-O	Co-H-O	Co-H	Co-O	H-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	3.37	3.99	0.95 (0.95)	124.65	2.46	3.40	0.95 (0.95)	169.49
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	2.44	3.41	0.99 (0.98)	164.96	2.30	3.27	0.99 (0.98)	164.57
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	2.43	3.40	0.98 (0.96)	165.12	2.32	3.23	0.98 (0.96)	152.11
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	2.40	3.36	0.98 (0.96)	166.65	2.28	3.19	0.98 (0.96)	154.77
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	2.46	3.42	0.98 (0.97)	166.37	2.62	3.57	0.98 (0.97)	163.86
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	2.50	3.45	0.98 (0.97)	164.28	2.35	3.28	0.98 (0.97)	158.71
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	2.56	3.50	0.98 (0.97)	161.05	2.32	3.29	0.98 (0.97)	166.98

Table A40: Key Structural Features of the optimized H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-N(Im) Complex (bond lengths and bond angles are expressed in Å and degrees respectively). The values mentioned in parentheses correspond to those in the isolated Im and H₂O ligands.

Theoretical Method	α-axial ligand (Im)				β-axial ligand (H ₂ O)			
	Co-H	Co-C	H-N	Co-H-C	Co-H	Co-O	H-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	2.81	3.88	1.00 (0.99)	175.69	3.41	4.01	0.95 (0.94)	122.80
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	2.73	3.80	1.04 (1.02)	167.61	3.53	4.04	0.99 (0.97)	114.86
ωB97X/6-31G(d) 5d	2.60	3.66	1.02 (1.01)	167.37	3.57	4.00	0.97 (0.96)	110.20
ωB97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	2.56	3.61	1.02 (1.01)	165.01	3.37	3.85	0.97 (0.96)	111.93
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	2.77	3.85	1.02 (1.01)	173.12	3.60	4.05	0.97 (0.96)	111.42
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	2.78	3.86	1.02 (1.01)	173.42	3.61	4.06	0.97 (0.96)	110.72
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	2.61	3.67	1.03 (1.01)	163.97	3.44	3.52	0.97 (0.97)	86.43

Table A41: Key Structural Features of the optimized H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-C(Im) Complex (bond lengths and bond angles are expressed in Å and degrees respectively). The values mentioned in parentheses correspond to those in the isolated Im and H₂O ligands.

Theoretical Method	α-axial ligand (Im)				β-axial ligand (H ₂ O)			
	Co-H	Co-N	H-N	Co-H-N	Co-H	Co-O	H-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	2.53	3.52	1.07(1.07)	174.67	2.63	3.56	0.95(0.95)	169.46
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	2.28	3.32	1.09 (1.09)	174.66	2.33	3.31	0.98 (0.98)	168.48
ωB97X/6-31++G(d,p)	2.32	3.25	1.08 (1.08)	150.40	2.40	3.32	0.98 (0.96)	157.13
ωB97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.24	3.16	1.08 (1.08)	149.77	2.36	3.26	0.97 (0.96)	154.42
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	2.28	3.30	1.08 (1.08)	173.64	2.36	3.33	0.97 (0.97)	168.12
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	2.32	3.34	1.08 (1.08)	173.73	2.40	3.36	0.97 (0.97)	168.30
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	2.21	3.13	1.09 (1.09)	147.34	2.65	3.24	0.98 (0.97)	119.44

Table A42: Computed thermodynamic data for the Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbl + H ₂ O → Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-TΔS	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.1	-3.3	-3.9	6.1	2.2
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.4	-4.9	-5.5	7.5	2.0
ωB97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.1	-7.6	-7.9	7.4	-0.5
ωB97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.8	-8.2	-8.8	7.3	-1.5
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.8	-7.1	-7.7	7.9	0.2
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.2	-6.7	-7.1	7.7	0.6
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.1	-8.4	-9.0	7.1	-1.9

Table A43: Computed Thermodynamic Data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ Complex Formation Reaction studied in **chloroform solution** assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-2.0	-1.2	-1.8	6.0	4.2
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.4	-3.8	-4.3	7.4	3.0
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.9	-5.3	-5.8	7.4	1.7
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.9	-6.2	-6.7	7.0	0.5
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.0	-4.0	-4.6	6.2	1.6
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.3	-3.6	-4.2	6.8	2.6
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.4	-6.7	-7.3	7.1	-0.1

Table A44: Computed Thermodynamic Data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ Complex Formation Reaction studied in **acetonitrile solution** assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-0.9	-0.0	-0.6	6.0	5.4
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.8	-3.1	-3.7	6.8	3.1
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.0	-4.2	-4.8	6.4	1.8
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.0	-5.8	-6.4	7.4	1.2
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.0	-3.3	-3.8	6.3	2.5
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.8	-3.5	-4.1	7.8	3.7
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.9	-6.4	-7.0	7.9	1.0

Table A45: Computed Thermodynamic Data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-O-H}$ Complex Formation Reaction studied in **water solution** assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-0.8	0.1	-0.5	5.9	5.4
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.7	-3.6	-4.2	8.4	4.2
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.8	-4.1	-4.7	6.9	2.4
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.2	-5.6	-6.2	7.5	1.5
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.9	-3.2	-3.8	6.9	3.1
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.6	-2.9	-3.5	6.8	3.4
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.9	-6.4	-7.0	7.9	1.0

Table A46: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\text{T}\Delta\text{S}$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-4.6	-4.3	-4.9	8.7	3.8
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.0	-6.6	-7.2	8.7	1.5
$\omega\text{B97X}/6-31\text{G(d)}\ 5\text{d}$	-17.0	-10.1	-10.7	8.9	-1.8
$\omega\text{B97X-D}/6-31\text{G(d)}\ 5\text{d}$	-11.1	-11.0	-11.6	9.8	-1.8
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-12.1	-11.8	-12.3	9.4	-2.9
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.9	-7.5	-8.1	8.4	0.3
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.5	-13.4	-14.0	9.2	-4.8

Table A47: Computed Thermodynamic Data for the $\text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ Complex Formation Reaction studied in **chloroform solution** assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\text{T}\Delta\text{S}$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-2.7	-2.4	-3.0	9.4	6.6
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-5.8	-5.4	-5.9	8.2	2.5
$\omega\text{B97X}/6-31\text{G(d)}\ 5\text{d}$	-8.8	-8.5	-9.0	8.9	0.2
$\omega\text{B97X-D}/6-31\text{G(d)}\ 5\text{d}$	-10.2	-10.0	-10.5	9.3	-1.0
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-10.9	-10.8	-11.4	9.1	-2.0
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-6.3	-5.9	-6.5	8.1	1.9
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-11.7	-11.6	-12.2	8.7	-3.4

Table A48: Computed Thermodynamic Data for the $\text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ Complex Formation Reaction studied in **acetonitrile solution** assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\text{T}\Delta\text{S}$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-2.4	-1.7	-2.3	8.2	6.1
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-5.2	-4.9	-5.5	9.2	3.9
$\omega\text{B97X}/6-31\text{G(d)}\ 5\text{d}$	-8.3	-8.5	-9.1	10.8	2.0
$\omega\text{B97X-D}/6-31\text{G(d)}\ 5\text{d}$	-9.9	-9.6	-10.2	9.1	-0.7
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.8	-8.9	-9.5	9.4	0.1
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-5.9	-5.6	-6.2	8.6	2.7
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-16.1	-16.4	-17.0	12.5	-4.4

Table A49: Computed Thermodynamic Data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-O-H}$ Complex Formation Reaction studied in **water solution** assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-2.0	-1.6	-2.2	8.4	6.4
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-5.1	-4.9	-5.4	9.8	4.6
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.2	-7.9	-8.4	9.3	1.2
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-9.8	-9.5	-10.1	9.1	-0.6
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-6.4	-6.1	-6.7	9.1	2.7
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-5.9	-5.6	-6.2	9.3	3.3
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-16.1	-16.5	-17.0	12.8	-4.1

Table A50: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-N(Im)}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{Im} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--Im}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.9	-5.5	-6.1	7.3	1.2
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.5	-6.1	-6.7	8.0	1.3
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.7	-10.4	-11.0	8.4	-2.6
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-15.5	-14.3	-14.9	10.0	-4.9
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.9	-8.1	-8.7	10.5	1.8
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.5	-7.2	-7.8	8.1	0.3
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-15.1	-14.0	-14.6	10.4	-4.3

Table A51: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-N(Im)}$ complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{Im} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--Im}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.9	-2.4	-3.0	6.7	3.5
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.7	-4.3	-4.9	8.4	3.1
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.8	-7.5	-8.1	8.6	0.9
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-12.4	-11.1	-11.7	9.0	-2.0
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.9	-6.1	-6.6	9.4	2.7
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.4	-5.0	-5.6	8.1	2.4
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-12.9	-11.7	-12.3	9.1	-2.5

Table A52: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(Im)}$ complex formation reaction studied in **acetonitrile solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{Im} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--Im}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-2.0	-0.5	-1.1	7.9	6.6
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.3	-2.9	-3.5	8.1	4.3
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.2	-5.8	-6.4	7.9	1.9
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-10.6	-9.3	-9.9	9.3	0.2
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.3	-4.0	-4.6	7.7	3.1
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.3	3.9	-4.5	7.7	3.1
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.5	-10.3	-10.9	9.6	-0.6

Table A53: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--H-N(Im)}$ complex formation reaction studied in **water solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl} + \text{Im} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbl--Im}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-1.9	-0.3	-0.9	7.8	6.7
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.1	-2.8	-3.4	8.1	4.4
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.0	-5.6	-6.2	7.8	2.1
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-10.4	-9.1	-9.7	9.3	0.4
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.2	-3.8	-4.4	7.6	3.1
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.7	-3.3	-3.9	8.0	4.0
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.4	-10.7	-11.3	11.5	0.8

Table A54: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(Im)}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{Im} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--Im}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-9.0	-7.8	-8.4	9.9	1.5
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-10.6	-9.7	-10.3	11.4	1.1
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-16.6	-15.9	-16.5	12.7	-3.8
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-21.0	-20.2	-20.8	11.8	-9.0
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-12.9	-12.2	-12.8	12.3	-0.4
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-12.1	-11.4	-12.0	12.1	0.1
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-20.1	-19.4	-20.0	12.1	-7.9

Table A55: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(Im)}$ complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{Im} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--Im}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-4.7	-3.5	-4.1	9.7	6.9
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-6.5	-5.3	-5.9	9.8	4.2
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-11.0	-10.3	-10.9	12.4	2.4
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-15.3	-14.4	-15.0	12.4	-1.6
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.5	-7.5	-8.1	10.1	2.5
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.7	-6.7	-7.2	9.9	3.0
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-15.3	-14.6	-15.2	13.1	-0.9

Table A56: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(Im)}$ complex formation reaction studied in **acetonitrile solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{Im} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--Im}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-3.8	-2.8	-3.4	10.4	9.5
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-4.7	-3.7	-4.2	10.3	6.4
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.7	-8.0	-8.6	12.7	4.9
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-14.0	-12.8	-13.4	10.8	-1.6
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-6.8	-5.8	-6.4	10.2	4.2
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-6.0	-5.0	-5.5	9.9	4.7
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.7	-12.9	-13.5	12.6	0.3

Table A57: Computed thermodynamic data for the $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H-C(Im)}$ complex formation reaction studied in **water solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{Im} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--Im}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-3.8	-2.6	-3.2	8.5	13.8
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-4.6	-3.5	-4.1	10.5	6.7
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.6	-7.9	-8.5	12.8	5.2
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.9	-12.7	-13.3	10.5	-1.7
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-6.4	-5.5	-6.1	12.1	6.4
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-5.7	-4.8	-5.4	11.6	6.5
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.4	-12.7	-13.3	13.2	1.1

Table A58: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbl + H ₂ O + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$			OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-TAS	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.0	-6.4	-7.6	12.5	4.9
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-10.7	-9.4	-10.6	14.3	3.7
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-15.8	-14.5	-15.7	15.0	-0.7
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-17.3	-16.0	-17.2	14.4	-2.8
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-12.1	-10.7	-11.9	14.2	2.3
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.5	-10.1	-11.3	13.8	2.5
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-17.7	-16.3	-17.5	14.8	-2.7

Table A59: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbl + H ₂ O + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$			OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-TAS	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-4.0	-2.3	-3.5	12.3	8.8
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.1	-7.3	-8.5	16.2	7.6
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.5	-10.2	-11.4	15.0	3.9
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-13.6	-12.1	-13.3	13.9	0.9
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.2	-7.7	-8.9	13.8	5.0
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.7	-7.1	-8.3	12.7	4.4
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-14.8	-13.8	-15.0	14.5	-0.4

Table A60: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **acetonitrile solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbl + H ₂ O + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$			OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O	
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-TAS	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-2.5	-0.1	-1.3	11.0	9.8
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.0	-5.6	-6.8	14.1	7.2
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.8	-8.3	-9.5	13.2	4.1
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.7	-10.9	-12.1	16.1	4.3
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.0	-7.5	-8.7	15.8	7.2
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.3	-6.3	-7.5	13.9	6.4
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-13.4	-12.2	-13.3	14.7	1.5

Table A61: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **water solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + H ₂ O + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-TAS	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-1.8	-1.0	-2.1	13.4	11.4
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-6.9	-5.5	-6.7	13.5	6.7
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.5	-8.1	-9.2	13.5	4.6
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.5	-10.1	-11.3	13.9	2.9
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.9	-6.8	-8.0	13.3	5.4
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.2	-6.1	-7.3	13.8	6.5
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-13.3	-12.7	-13.9	16.5	2.8

Table A62: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + H ₂ O + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-TAS	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-14.6	-14.3	-15.5	18.7	3.2
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-21.4	-21.4	-22.6	19.6	-3.0
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-29.1	-30.0	-31.1	23.1	-8.0
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-33.2	-33.7	-34.8	22.0	-12.9
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-30.0	-31.5	-32.7	27.1	-5.6
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-21.8	-22.4	-23.6	22.7	-0.8
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-35.3	-35.8	-37.0	22.5	-14.5

Table A63: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + H ₂ O + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-TAS	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.2	-6.7	-7.9	18.4	11.2
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-15.9	-15.7	-16.9	18.9	2.4
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-20.0	-20.8	-22.0	23.1	1.1
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-23.9	-24.5	-25.7	23.2	-2.5
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-14.8	-15.2	-16.3	21.7	5.4
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.1	-13.5	-14.7	21.7	7.1
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-27.9	-28.7	-29.9	23.2	-6.5

Table A64: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **acetonitrile solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + H ₂ O + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-T ΔS	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-4.4	-3.6	-4.8	17.0	14.3
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.5	-13.6	-14.7	20.6	11.5
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-15.6	-16.6	-17.8	24.1	7.8
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-20.9	-21.3	-22.5	21.9	0.8
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-11.1	-11.6	-12.8	21.5	14.4
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-9.2	-9.8	-11.0	22.2	12.7
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-29.6	-30.6	-31.7	25.9	-3.9

Table A65: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-O-H complex formation reaction studied in **water solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + H ₂ O + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ OH ₂ --Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-T ΔS	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-4.1	-3.3	-4.5	16.8	14.5
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.3	-13.4	-14.6	20.9	12.0
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-15.0	-16.8	-17.9	26.6	10.1
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-20.5	-20.8	-22.0	21.9	1.2
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-17.0	-17.7	-18.9	24.4	11.2
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-8.8	-9.4	-10.6	22.9	13.8
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-29.5	-30.4	-31.5	25.8	-3.9

Table A66: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-N(Im) complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbl + Im + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ Im--Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-T ΔS	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-10.6	-8.3	-9.5	13.3	3.8
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-12.3	-10.2	-11.4	14.7	3.3
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-18.9	-17.0	-18.2	16.4	-1.8
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-23.9	-22.0	-23.2	16.9	-6.3
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.9	-10.5	-11.6	13.3	1.7
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-13.7	-11.7	-12.9	15.0	2.1
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-23.5	-21.8	-23.0	17.8	-5.1

Table A67: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-N(Im) complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbl + Im + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ Im--Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-T\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-5.8	-3.5	-4.6	12.5	7.7
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.3	-7.2	-8.4	-15.3	6.5
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-14.5	-12.5	-13.7	15.5	2.5
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-19.0	-17.2	-18.4	17.2	0.0
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.1	-9.5	-10.7	-16.6	6.1
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-10.4	-8.3	-9.5	14.4	4.6
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-19.8	-18.1	-19.3	17.4	-0.8

Table A68: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-N(Im) complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbl + Im + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ Im--Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-T\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-3.0	-1.1	-2.3	14.2	7.7
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.3	-5.4	-6.6	15.8	6.5
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-12.0	-10.0	-11.1	15.2	2.5
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-16.6	-14.7	-15.9	15.9	0.0
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-9.0	-6.8	-8.0	14.5	6.1
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.4	-6.2	-7.4	14.4	4.6
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-17.8	-16.1	-17.3	17.4	-0.8

Table A69: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbl--H-N(Im) complex formation reaction studied in **water solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbl + Im + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ Im--Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-T\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31++G(d,p)	-2.8	-0.4	-1.6	13.6	11.8
BP86/6-31++G(d,p)	-7.1	-5.2	-6.4	15.8	9.1
ω B97X/6-31++G(d,p)	-11.9	-9.8	-10.9	14.7	4.5
ω B97X-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-16.4	-15.0	-16.2	18.1	3.2
B97-1/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.9	-7.3	-8.5	16.4	8.1
B98/6-31++G(d,p)	-8.1	-6.0	-7.2	14.4	7.0
B97-D/6-31++G(d,p)	-17.7	-16.0	-17.2	17.6	1.5

Table A70: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-C(Im) complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + Im + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ Im--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-T\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-19.3	-18.4	-19.6	22.0	2.4
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-24.7	-24.3	-25.5	23.8	-1.7
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-37.6	-37.0	-38.2	23.1	-15.1
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-44.6	-44.0	-45.2	23.2	-22.0
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-31.3	-30.8	-32.0	23.8	-8.2
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-29.2	-28.6	-29.8	24.0	-5.9
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-48.4	-48.1	-49.3	25.3	-24.0

Table A71: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-C(Im) complex formation reaction studied in **chloroform** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + Im + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ Im--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-T\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-9.3	-8.3	-9.5	21.3	13.3
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-17.8	-17.1	-18.3	22.6	6.1
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-29.8	-29.3	-30.5	24.0	-4.7
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-37.5	-36.8	-38.0	24.1	-12.1
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-22.4	-21.7	-22.9	22.6	1.5
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-20.4	-19.7	-20.8	22.5	3.5
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-40.4	-39.9	-41.1	25.1	-13.9

Table A72: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-C(Im) complex formation reaction studied in **acetonitrile solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + Im + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ Im--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-T\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.1	-5.8	-7.0	19.7	13.3
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-14.9	-14.4	-15.6	23.7	6.1
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-26.0	-25.6	-26.7	24.9	-4.7
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-33.5	-33.0	-34.2	25.0	-12.1
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-19.0	-18.3	-19.5	23.6	1.5
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-16.8	-16.2	-17.4	24.1	3.5
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-38.0	-37.3	-38.5	24.2	-13.9

Table A73: Computed thermodynamic data for the H-O-H--Co¹⁺Cbi--H-C(Im) complex formation reaction studied in **water solvent** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	Co ¹⁺ Cbi + Im + H ₂ O $\longrightarrow$ Im--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H ₂ O				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	-T ΔS	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-7.1	-5.8	-7.0	19.9	14.5
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-14.8	-14.2	-15.4	23.6	10.0
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-25.7	-25.2	-26.4	24.9	0.3
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-33.2	-32.7	-33.9	25.0	-7.1
B97-1/6-31G(d) 5d	-18.6	-18.0	-19.2	24.8	7.4
B98/6-31G(d) 5d	-16.8	-16.1	-17.3	24.1	8.6
B97-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-37.8	-37.1	-38.3	24.5	-11.8

Table A74. Computed reduction potentials of the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ couple for various coordination geometries of Co¹⁺Cbl and Co¹⁺Cbi. The data in parentheses correspond to the tetracoordinated square planar Co¹⁺Cbl and Co¹⁺Cbi complexes.

Co ²⁺ /Co ¹⁺ Redox Couple	Reduction Potential [mV] vs NHE		
	Chloroform	Acetonitrile	Water
Co ²⁺ Cbl--OH ₂ /Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-O-H	98 (-203)	12 (-255)	-5 (-274)
Co ²⁺ Cbi--OH ₂ /Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H	5 (3)	-53 (-43)	-116 (-110)
Co ²⁺ Cbl--N(Im)/Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-N(Im)	1 (-449)	-128 (-457)	-212 (-423)
Co ²⁺ Cbi--N(Im)/Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-C(Im)	-168 (-41)	-345 (158)	-432 (85)
H ₂ O--Co ²⁺ Cbl--OH ₂ /H-O-H--Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-O-H	198 (-174)	53 (-167)	10 (-137)
H ₂ O--Co ²⁺ Cbi--OH ₂ /H-O-H--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-O-H	194 (-5)	-7 (-4)	-90 (27)
H ₂ O--Co ²⁺ Cbl--N(Im)/H-O-H--Co ¹⁺ Cbl--H-N(Im)	53 (-274)	-87 (-218)	-294 (-140)
H ₂ O--Co ²⁺ Cbi--N(Im)/H-O-H--Co ¹⁺ Cbi--H-C(Im)	-21 (-275)	-344 (-165)	-449 (-64)

Table A75. Key structural features of the optimized tetracoordinated square planar Co¹⁺Cbi complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring			
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.91	1.95	1.94	1.93
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.88	1.83
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.88	1.87	1.83
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.89	1.87	1.84

Table A76. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring				β -axial ligand		
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.92	1.95	1.94	1.93	2.35	3.29	167.0
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.88	1.83	2.21	3.18	163.5
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.89	1.87	1.85	2.25	3.20	163.6
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.87	1.84	2.23	3.18	161.7

Table A77. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring				β -axial ligand		
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.92	1.95	1.94	1.93	2.55	3.48	166.2
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.88	1.83	2.45	3.42	166.1
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.88	1.88	1.84	2.44	3.40	167.0
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.88	1.87	1.84	2.42	3.37	166.3

Table A78. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring				α -axial ligand			β -axial ligand		
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.92	1.95	1.94	1.92	3.37	3.99	124.7	2.46	3.40	169.5
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.88	1.83	2.44	3.41	165.0	2.30	3.27	164.6
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.87	1.84	2.43	3.40	165.1	2.32	3.23	152.1
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.87	1.84	2.40	3.36	166.7	2.28	3.19	154.8

Table A79. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{\text{I+}}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring				α -axial ligand			β -axial ligand		
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.91	1.96	1.94	1.93	2.64	3.55	166.3	2.34	3.27	164.6
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.90	1.88	1.83	2.48	3.39	154.1	2.20	3.17	162.0
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.84	1.90	1.87	1.85	2.39	3.31	158.1	2.23	3.18	162.5
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.89	1.87	1.84	2.36	3.28	158.6	2.20	3.15	161.1

Table A80. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring				β -axial ligand		
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.92	1.95	1.94	1.93	2.39	3.34	170.5
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.88	1.83	2.25	3.25	172.6
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.84	1.89	1.88	1.84	2.27	3.25	172.6
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.89	1.87	1.84	2.24	3.21	170.0

Table A81. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring				β -axial ligand		
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.92	1.95	1.94	1.93	2.39	3.34	172.6
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.89	1.88	1.83	2.28	3.29	174.6
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.84	1.89	1.88	1.85	2.26	3.24	173.7
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.88	1.87	1.84	2.22	3.20	171.8

Table A82. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring				α -axial ligand			β -axial ligand		
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.92	1.95	1.94	1.93	2.63	3.56	166.5	2.41	3.36	170.2
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.82	1.90	1.88	1.84	2.41	3.33	154.1	2.26	3.26	172.0
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.84	1.89	1.88	1.85	2.38	3.31	159.5	2.27	3.25	171.0
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.89	1.87	1.84	2.36	3.27	157.3	2.23	3.20	171.4

Table A83. Key structural features of the optimized $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh--H}_2\text{O}$ complex (bond lengths and bond angle are expressed in Å and degree respectively)

Theoretical Method	Corrin ring				α -axial ligand			β -axial ligand		
	Co-N ₂₁	Co-N ₂₂	Co-N ₂₃	Co-N ₂₄	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O	Co-H	Co-O	Co-H-O
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	1.92	1.96	1.94	1.94	2.64	3.57	164.6	2.40	3.35	172.3
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.89	1.88	1.83	2.48	3.39	153.5	2.09	3.11	174.5
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	1.84	1.89	1.88	1.85	2.39	3.30	157.2	2.26	3.24	173.0
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	1.83	1.89	1.87	1.84	2.34	3.25	155.7	2.21	3.19	172.3

Table A84. Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-9.6	-9.6	-10.2	9.8	-0.4
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.9	-14.0	-14.6	9.6	-5.1
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-17.0	-17.2	-17.8	10.4	-7.4
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-18.6	-18.6	-19.2	10.0	-9.3

Table A85. Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-19.6	-19.1	-20.3	18.0	-2.3
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-27.6	-27.8	-29.0	19.0	-9.9
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-31.2	-31.3	-32.5	19.5	-13.0
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-32.5	-32.5	-33.7	19.0	-14.6

Table A86. Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-14.6	-14.3	-15.5	18.7	3.2
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-21.4	-21.4	-22.6	19.6	-3.0
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-29.1	-30.0	-31.1	23.1	-8.0
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-33.2	-33.7	-34.8	22.0	-12.9

Table A87. Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--H}_2\text{O--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-22.9	-22.7	-24.5	28.5	4.0
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-34.6	-35.0	-36.8	30.5	-6.3
Ω b97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-42.6	-43.4	-45.8	32.2	-13.0
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-44.8	-45.7	-47.5	32.4	-15.1

Table A88. Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{HOPh} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-10.3	-9.2	-9.8	10.9	1.1
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-12.5	-11.3	-11.9	10.0	-1.9
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-19.3	-18.3	-18.9	12.2	-6.7
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-25.0	-24.2	-24.8	12.5	-12.3

Table A89. Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh--H}_2\text{O}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{HOPh} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-17.7	-16.5	-17.7	20.7	3.1
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-21.6	-20.7	-21.9	21.7	-0.2
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-31.7	-31.1	-32.3	23.1	-9.2
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-37.9	-37.6	-38.8	24.1	-14.7

Table A90. Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{HOPh} \longrightarrow \text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-13.6	-12.6	-13.7	21.2	7.5
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-18.2	-17.7	-18.9	23.3	4.3
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-30.3	-30.1	-31.3	24.7	-6.6
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-38.1	-38.0	-39.2	25.1	-14.1

Table A91. Computed thermodynamic data for $\text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh--H}_2\text{O}$ complex formation reaction studied in **gas phase** while assuming standard state convention of 1atm and 298.15 K (all thermodynamic parameters are given in kcal/mol units).

Theoretical Method	$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi} + \text{HOPh} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{OH}_2\text{--Co}^{1+}\text{Cbi--HOPh--H}_2\text{O}$				
	ΔE	ΔU	ΔH	$-\Delta S$	ΔG
HF/6-31G(d) 5d	-20.8	-19.7	-21.5	30.9	9.4
BP86/6-31G(d) 5d	-31.5	-31.1	-32.9	33.2	0.2
ω B97X/6-31G(d) 5d	-43.3	-43.1	-44.9	34.7	-10.2
ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) 5d	-50.6	-50.6	-52.4	35.4	-17.0

CURRICULUM VITAE

Manoj Kumar

Department of Chemistry
University of Louisville,
2320 South Brook St
Louisville, KY-40292

Email: chem.manoj@gmail.com
Phone: 502-751-0598 (Cell)
Fax: 502-852-8149 (Fax)

EDUCATION

- **PhD, Computational Bioinorganic Chemistry, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA 2007-2012**
Thesis title: "Computational Modelling of Cobalamin-Dependent Enzymatic Chemical Reactions: B₁₂ Catalysis in Adenosylcobalamin-Dependent Mutases and Cob(II)alamin/Cob(I)alamin Reduction Process in Methyltransferases"
- **MS, Computational Material Chemistry, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India 2004-2006**
Thesis title: "Theoretical Analysis of Finite-Sized Gold Clusters"
- **BS, Physics, Chemistry & Mathematics, SVSD PG College, Bhatoli, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, India 2001-2004**

RESEARCH INTERESTS

- Computational bioinorganic chemistry: molecular modeling of enzyme catalytic cycles
- Computational exploration of structure-reactivity correlation in complex materials

RESEARCH EXPERIENCE

**Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Chemistry, University of Louisville,
07/2007- 04/2012**

Advisor : Prof. Pawel M. Kozlowski

- Theoretically validated the existence of an unprecedented **Co¹⁺--H** interaction adding an important piece to the emerging viewpoint that the H-bond forming feature is a bona fide signature of low spin d⁸ transition metal ions
- Computationally proved that **Cob(I)alamin**, a ubiquitous B₁₂ intermediate is not a tetracoordinated square planar complex, but is a **H-bonded** pentacoordinated square pyramidal state that solves the thermodynamically challenging reduction (i.e., Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ reduction) which is a vital chemical reaction in a wide body of methyltransferases and ATP:Co(I)rrinoid adenosyltransferases
- Proposed a PCET-based mechanistic design for explaining the catalytic activation of Co-C bond in B₁₂-dependent mutases.

MS Research Project, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India 07/2004-06/2006

Adviser: Prof. Tapan K. Sau and Prof. Keya Dharamveer

- Optimised small sized (3 to 12 atoms) heavy transition metal clusters (Co, Rh and Ir) using DFT-based computational tools
- Optimised various kinds (octahedron, icosahedron etc.) of finite sized (6 to 55 atoms) gold clusters.
- Used Fortran90 code developed by the research group for optimizing the gold clusters.

Summer Research Project, Jawahar Lal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research 05/2005-07/2005 (JNCASR), Bangalore

Adviser: Prof. Swapan K. Pati

- Optimised finite sized face centred cubic gold clusters and studied their interactions with alkane, alkene and alkyne based thiolates to exploit their optical properties
- Used DFT modelling tools and Fortran90 code developed in the lab to compute the density of states using Green's function.

FELLOWSHIPS, HONORS AND AWARDS

- University of Louisville, PhD Dissertation Completion Award, Spring-2012.
- NSF Travel Award for attending 4th International Workshop and School "Time-Dependent Density-Functional Theory: Prospects and Applications" Benasque, Spain, 2nd - 15th January, 2010.
- UCSD Travel Award for attending 2009 CTBP Summer School, UCSD, La Jolla, San Diego, 4th - 7th August, 2009.
- University of Louisville Graduate Student Council Travel Award for attending 41st Central Regional Meeting of the ACS, Cleveland, Ohio, 20th - 23rd May, 2009.
- University of Louisville Prestigious Graduate Student Fellowship, fall 2007 - fall 2008.
- Department of Science and Technology (Govt. of India) Award (DST) for attending 18th meeting of "Noble Laureates and Students in Chemistry", Lindau, Germany, 25th - 30th June, 2006.
- Rajiv Gandhi National Talent Research Scholar Award (in the category of Theoretical Sciences), 2005.
- Gold Medalist, BS 2004.
- National Merit Scholarship, 1999-2004.
- State Merit Scholarship, 1994-1999.

PUBLICATIONS & PRESENTATIONS**Manuscripts (submitting/ in preparation)**

1. **M. Kumar**, L. Randaccio and P. M. Kozlowski, "Axial Bonding in Pentaamine Co(III) Complexes and Cobalamins: A Detailed DFT Analysis of Structural Trans Influence", *J. Phys. Chem. B* (ready for submission).
2. **M. Kumar**, J. Kuta, W. Galezowski and P. M. Kozlowski, "Implications of the One-Electron Reduced Methylcobalamin in the Context of Catalytic Functioning of Methionine Synthase", *J. Phys. Chem. B* (ready for submission).

Research Publications

1. **M. Kumar** and P. M. Kozlowski, "Role of Tyrosine Residue in the Activation of Co-C bond in Coenzyme B₁₂-Dependent Enzymes: Another Case of Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer?", *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 9050-9054.

2. **M. Kumar**, and P. M. Kozlowski, "A Biologically Relevant Co^{1+} --H Bond: Possible Implications in the Protein-Induced Redox Tuning of $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ Reduction", *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8702-8705.
3. **M. Kumar**, and P. M. Kozlowski, "Corrin Ring-Based Structural Frustration Tunes The Redox Character of a B_{12} cofactor: Implications for PCET-Inspired Catalysis" *ChemComm* **2012** (accepted).
4. **M. Kumar**, N. Kumar, H. Hirao and P. M. Kozlowski, " $\text{Co}^{2+}/\text{Co}^{1+}$ Redox Tuning in Methyltransferases Induced by a Conformational Change at the Axial Ligand", *Inorg. Chem.* **2012** (accepted).
5. **M. Kumar**, W. Galezowski and P. M. Kozlowski, "Computational Modelling of Electrochemistry of B_{12} Cofactors", *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **2012** (accepted).
6. **M. Kumar**, and P. M. Kozlowski, "Can The Local Enzyme Scaffold Participate in Co^{1+} --H Bond Formation?: Structural and Biological Consequences for Methyltransferases" *J. Inorg. BioChem.* **2012** (being reviewed).
7. **M. Kumar**, H. Hirao and P. M. Kozlowski, " Co^{1+} --H Interaction Inspired Alternate Coordination Geometries of Biologically Important Cob(I)alamin: Possible Structural and Mechanistic Consequences for Methyltransferases", *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **2012** (being reviewed).
8. **M. Kumar**, and P. M. Kozlowski, "Why Hydroxocobalamin is Photocatalytically Active: Implications for DNA and RNA Probing", *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012** (being reviewed).
9. C. A. Masitas, **M. Kumar**, M. S. Mashuta, P. M. Kozlowski and C. A. Grapperhaus "Controlled Sulfur Oxygenation of the Ruthenium Dithiolate (bmmp-TASN) RuPPh_3 under Limiting O_2 Conditions Yields Thiolato/Sulfinato, Sulfenato/Sulfinato, and Bis-Sulfinato Derivatives", *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 10875-10881.
10. P. M. Kozlowski, **M. Kumar**, P. Piecuch, W. Li, P. Lodowki, and M. Jaworska, "The Co-C Bond Dissociation in Methylcobalamin: New Benchmark Analysis Based on DFT, CASSCF/CASPT2 and Completely Renormalized Coupled-Cluster Calculations.", *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2012** (essentially accepted).
11. P. M. Kozlowski, T. Kamachi, **M. Kumar**, and K. Yoshizawa, "Reductive Elimination Reaction Pathway for Homocysteine to Methionine Conversion in Cobalamin-dependent Methionine Synthase", *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **2012** (accepted).
12. P. M. Kozlowski, T. Kamachi, **M. Kumar**, and K. Yoshizawa, "Initial Step of B_{12} -Dependent Enzymatic Catalysis: Energetic Implications Regarding the Involvement of One-Electron Reduced form of Adenosylcobalamin Cofactor", *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *17*, 293-300.
13. P. M. Kozlowski, T. Kamachi, **M. Kumar**, T. Nakayama and K. Yoshizawa, "Theoretical Analysis of Diradical Nature of Adenosylcobalamin Cofactor-Tyrosine Complex in B_{12} -Dependent Mutases: Inspiring PCET-driven Enzymatic Catalysis", *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, *114*, 5928-5939.
14. M. Alfonso-Prieto, X. Bairnes, **M. Kumar**, C. Rovira and P. M. Kozlowski, "The Co-C bond cleavage mechanism in cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase: a Theoretical Study", *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2010**, *114*, 12965-12971.
15. N. Kumar, M. Jaworska, P. Lodowski, **M. Kumar** and P. M. Kozlowski, "Electronic Structure of Cofactor-Substrate Reactant Complex Involved in the Methyl Transfer Reaction Catalyzed by Cobalamin-Dependent Methionine Synthase" *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 6722-6731.
16. P. Lodowki, M. Jaworska, T. Andruniów, **M. Kumar** and P. M. Kozlowski, "Photodissociation of Co-C bond in Methyl- and Ethylcobalamin: An Insight from DFT Calculations", *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 6898-6909.

Conferences, Workshops & Summer Schools:

1. Q-Chem Workshop, University of Louisville, Louisville, 30th March, 2010.
2. 4th International Workshop and School "Time-Dependent Density-Functional Theory: Prospects and Applications", Benasque, Spain, 2nd - 15th January, 2010.
3. 42nd Central Regional Meeting of the ACS, Dayton, Ohio, 16th - 19th June, 2010.
4. CTBP Summer School, UCSD, La Jolla, San Diego, 4th - 7th August, 2009.
5. 41st Central Regional Meeting of the ACS, Cleveland, Ohio, 20th - 23rd May, 2009.
6. Q-Chem Workshop, Pittsburgh Supercomputer Center, Pittsburgh, 19th - 20th March, 2009.
7. 18th meeting of "Noble Laureates and Students in Chemistry", Lindau, Germany, 25th - 30th June, 2006.
8. National Meeting on "Nano and Novel Materials", Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India, February, 2006.
9. National Symposium and 2nd R. C. Paul Symposium, Department of Chemistry, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India, December, 2005.
10. Summer Research School, JNCASR, Bangalore, India, May - July, 2005.

Selected Conference Oral & Poster Presentations:

1. P. M. Kozlowski, **M. Kumar**, and N. Kumar, "Computational modeling of methyl transfer reactions catalyzed by cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase enzyme", 7th Congress of the International Society for Theoretical Chemical Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan, 2nd - 8th September, 2011 (**oral**).
2. **M. Kumar**, and P. M. Kozlowski, "A Biologically Relevant Co¹⁺--H Bond: Possible Implications in the Protein-Induced Redox Tuning of Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ Reduction", Graduate Research Symposium, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, 20th March, 2011 (**oral**).
3. **M. Kumar**, M. Alfonso-Prieto, X. Biarnés, C. Rovira, and P. M. Kozlowski, "The Reductive Cleavage Mechanism of Co-C Bond in Cobalamin-Dependent Methionine Synthase", 42nd Central Regional Meeting of the ACS, Dayton, Ohio, 16th - 19th June, 2010 (**poster**).
4. **M. Kumar**, and P. M. Kozlowski, "Role of Tyrosine Residue in the Activation of Co-C bond in Coenzyme B₁₂-Dependent Enzymes: Another Case of Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer?", 4th International Workshop and School "Time-Dependent Density-Functional Theory: Prospects and Applications", Benasque, Spain, 2nd - 15th January, 2010 (**poster**).
5. **M. Kumar**, and P. M. Kozlowski, "Role of Tyrosine Residue in the Activation of Co-C bond in Coenzyme B₁₂-Dependent Enzymes: Another Case of Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer?", CTBP Summer School, UCSD, La Jolla, San Diego, 4th - 7th August, 2009 (**poster**).
6. **M. Kumar**, P. Lodowski, M. Jaworska, T. Andruniow, and P. M. Kozlowski, "Photodissociation of Co-C bond in Methyl- and Ethylcobalamin: An Insight from DFT Calculations" 41st Central Regional Meeting of the ACS, Cleveland, Ohio, 20th - 23rd May, 2009 (**poster**).
7. **M. Kumar**, P. Lodowski, M. Jaworska, T. Andruniow, and P. M. Kozlowski, "Photodissociation of Co-C bond in Methyl- and Ethylcobalamin: An Insight from DFT Calculations", Q-Chem Workshop, Pittsburgh Supercomputer Center, Pittsburgh, 19th -20th March, 2009 (**poster**).