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ABSTRACT

Zooplankton in Freshwaters: Potential Responses to Global Warming, Nutrient Enrichment, and an Exotic Jellyfish

Allison S. Smith
November 19, 2012

Aquatic ecosystems face major transformations as humans increasingly alter their environment by introducing exotic species and changing the temperature regime and nutrient availability of freshwater systems. The impacts of such alterations of conditions, resources and species composition on zooplankton are important to consider because zooplankton link primary producers to higher trophic levels.

Among recent exotic invaders of freshwater systems in Europe and the Western Hemisphere is Craspedacusta sowerbii. This freshwater cnidarian can impact zooplankton abundance and community composition, thus altering ecosystem energy transfer to higher trophic levels. In experiments described in chapters 2 and 3 of this dissertation, C. sowerbii was found to have a significant and differential effect on zooplankton taxa such as Bosmina sp., Daphnia sp., and copepods. While medusae greatly reduced abundances of these genera, other potential prey items, such as Keratella sp., did not show a response to medusa presence, indicating that C. sowerbii medusae differentially alter zooplankton communities. However, while C. sowerbii did not affect all potential prey items, some species such as the large D. magna were killed at high rates but not consumed. This indicates that the effects of C. sowerbii medusa presence are not...
only the result of prey consumption, but also extend to their killing effect on species they cannot ingest.

The rate of global warming is predicted to accelerate in the coming decades and temperate freshwater ecosystems will face alterations in response to these changing thermal conditions. Simultaneously we can expect that nitrogen input to aquatic systems will increase through the deposition of fossil fuel combustion products, fertilizer runoff and sewage inputs. Such increased inputs are having and will have greatly affected primary production in freshwater ecosystems. As nitrogen levels increase so does the probability of both primary and secondary producers becoming phosphorus limited. These alterations can have dramatic effects on zooplankton such as *Daphnia*, a common and important genus of Cladocera in many lakes and rivers. Together warming temperature and increased nitrogen availability are expected to affect the growth and reproduction of aquatic organisms such as *Daphnia*, which are often the link between primary producers and higher trophic levels. The experiments contained in Chapter 4 reveal that juvenile growth rate and reproductive output of *D. magna* and *D. pulex*, two ubiquitous species of *Daphnia*, can indeed be directly affected by temperature and nitrogen and phosphorus availability. Temperature was found to have the predicted positive relationship with growth rate and timing of reproduction. Food quality effects were strongest for *D. pulex* at intermediate temperatures near their growth optimum (15 - 25°C), with high quality food resulting in a significantly higher growth rate at these temperatures. Food quality had no detectably significant effect at the highest and lowest temperatures tested (10 and 30°C). *D. magna* was found to have the highest growth rate at 30°C, indicating that the optimum or maximum temperature for this species' had not
been found in this study; however, food quality resulted in a higher growth rate only at 25°C. Reproductive output for both species responded strongly to temperature, with higher temperatures resulting in earlier reproduction and fewer days between clutches. Food quality had significant effects on *D. magna* reproduction at 25°C while *D. pulex* showed strong effects of food quality at the intermediate temperatures (15 and 20°C), just as was observed with this species' growth rate. The effects of these changing environmental conditions on *Daphnia* can potentially have fitness effects on the organism (e.g., alteration of predation risk) and cascading effects on aquatic food webs.

Understanding how changes in temperature and nutrient availability as well as the introduction of exotic species will impact zooplankton communities in manipulated model systems, such as those used in these experiments, can help reveal how these changes may alter aquatic ecosystems. In order to continue to reap the benefits of healthy freshwater systems such as drinking water, food, flood mitigation, and biodiversity, it is imperative to protect the integrity of aquatic systems so critical to sustaining our planet and human communities.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

Temperate freshwaters continue to face many major transformations as humans increasingly impact their temperature, chemistry and species composition from local to global scales. These three factors interact to elicit responses that can be difficult to understand, let alone predict. Yet as the pace of change accelerates, it becomes increasingly important to understand how our freshwater systems will be altered by these changing conditions since they provide drinking water, may act as net sinks for carbon (Schindler et al. 1997) and contaminants (Winter et al. 2001), and affect terrestrial as well as aquatic biodiversity (Thuiller 2007, Spencer 1991).

Increasing Temperatures

Global change models for North American temperate zones predict a continued increase in average air (Meehl & Tebaldi 2004, Solomon et al. 2007) and freshwater temperatures (Chen & Folt 1996) as well as increases in short-term temperature extremes (Karl et al. 2009, Houghton et al. 1990). In addition, winters are expected to become milder as the average minimum temperature increases. Using metanalysis, Easterling et al. (1997) found that in the northern hemisphere the spring temperature maximum had increased 1.4°C, while the minimum winter temperature had increased almost 3°C (Easterling et al. 1997) since 1950. As minimum winter temperatures increase, lakes and rivers in the Northern hemisphere have experienced delayed freezing and earlier ice
breakup as a result of these warming trends (Livingstone et al. 2010, Magnuson et al. 2000).

While annual precipitation averages will remain relatively unchanged in North America, the same amount of precipitation is expected to occur in fewer, more extreme events (Karl et al. 2009, Solomon et al. 2007), thereby increasing the potential for large floods interspersed by long drought periods. These extreme flood events can lead to greater importation of soil, nutrients, pollutants and aquatic species through watersheds and floodplains, while drought events can interact with warmer air temperatures to affect temperature-depth profiles of aquatic systems. For example, due to lower water levels and warmer temperatures, thermal stratification may occur earlier, thereby limiting nutrient availability to primary producers in the photic zone for a longer period, and potentially eliminating deeper cold water refuges where animals have historically escaped temperature extremes. In fact, many temperate zone lakes already experience temperatures ≥25°C and lack a cold-water refuge (Moore et al. 1996). In deeper waters, warming may enhance stratification, thereby reducing the nutrients available for primary production (van de Waal et al. 2010) and lowering dissolved oxygen levels during summer months (Wilhelm & Adrian 2008).

Temperature effects on ecosystem processes (Laudon et al. 2012), community composition (Albouy 2012), population dynamics (Schalau et al. 2008), food web structure (Wagner & Benndorf 2007), competition (Hall et al. 2008), habitat associations (Suggitt et al. 2012), phenological responses (Orcutt & Porter 1983, Adrian et al. 2006), and cycling of macronutrients (Statham 2012) in aquatic systems have received much
attention. But the interaction of temperature and nutrient availability on biotic responses in aquatic systems, to my knowledge, has not been experimentally studied as comprehensively.

*Nutrient availability*

Increases in nutrient inputs (i.e., organic matter, nitrogen, phosphorus) to aquatic systems can be attributed to anthropogenic activities such as fossil fuel combustion, soil erosion, fertilizer runoff, and sewage inputs (Whitehead & Crossman 2011, Galloway et al. 1995). Human-produced additions of bioreactive nitrogen inputs to earth's ecosystems now exceed historic levels of naturally-produced nitrogen by at least a factor of ten, and have risen from 15 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) in 1860 to 187 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) in 2005 (Galloway et al. 2008, Vitousek et al. 1997).

While inputs of phosphorus have also increased primarily due to greater fertilizer application, industrial products such as pesticides, herbicides, and steel production, phosphorus is retained in soil more effectively than nitrogen (Kopáček et al. 1995). The higher rates of nitrogen entering aquatic systems through both runoff and atmospheric deposition may potentially lead to changes in N:P stoichiometry in freshwater bodies. Consequently, phosphorus limitation of primary production (Elser et al. 2007), shifts in phytoplankton community composition (Saros et al. 2005) and changes in C:N:P quality of food resources in aquatic food webs may occur as nitrogen levels rise above limiting levels (Elser et al. 2009, Elser et al. 2010, Whitehead & Crossman 2012).
Nutrient enrichment can also alter the way systems respond to other factors such as climate warming. Nutrient-poor systems may be more resistant to warming, since production is already limited by resource availability, whereas nutrient enriched systems may be less resistant to small increases in temperature with increases in productivity since limitation by nutrients is absent (O’Connor et al. 2009). Warming of water bodies can amplify the effect of nitrogen enrichment on herbivores by increasing primary production rates, but other factors such as the presence of sediment in the water column can suppress this affect (Thompson et al. 2008, O’Connor 2009). These non-additive effects make predicting impacts of both nutrient enrichment and warming on freshwater systems problematic and enhance the need for further experimental exploration of how warming and nutrient availability will interact to alter aquatic ecosystems.

*Exotics Species*

The introduction of exotic species by humans has already had substantial effects on ecosystems (Dukes and Mooney 2004, Pejchar and Mooney 2009, Strayer et al. 2006). In combination with increasing temperatures and nutrient availability, the ability of exotics to thrive in their introduced habitats has been greatly enhanced (Fey & Cottingham 2011, Holzapfel & Vinebrooke 2005). Warm-water species are growing increasingly common in higher latitudes as warmer temperatures allow them to establish and reproduce in regions previously too cold to sustain them (Holzapfel & Vinebrooke 2005). Innumerable opportunities for introduction are available as humans more easily travel not only between regions but also continents (Jeschke and Strayer 2005). Whether transported by ballast water internationally or by recreational equipment between lakes,
the result is the same: introduced species are quickly becoming the norm in many regions of the world with corresponding effects on native species and ecosystem processes in freshwater bodies (Ilarri et al. 2012, Ficetola et al. 2012, Vitousek et al. 1996, Lodge 1993).

This dissertation seeks to explore some aspects of the potential for temperature, nutrient availability, and exotic species to affect zooplankton communities and the life history traits of two common zooplankton species in freshwater ecosystems. In Chapters 2 and 3, the effects of the exotic cnidarian, *Craspedacusta sowerbii*, on zooplankton communities is examined since these communities play a key role in linking primary producers to higher trophic levels of the food web (Chapters 2 & 3). In Chapter 4, the effects of temperature and nutrient availability on the growth and reproduction of two common *Daphnia* species is investigated. Chapter 5 summarizes key findings of these experiments and suggests their potential implications for freshwater systems. It is hoped that this work enhances our understanding of the complex roles that temperature, nutrients, and exotic species will have on freshwater food webs in a world experiencing exponential global changes.
CHAPTER 2

POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF THE FRESHWATER JELLYFISH CRASPEDACUSTA SOWERBII ON ZOOPLANKTON SPECIES ABUNDANCE

Introduction

Of the twenty known species of freshwater jellyfish (Phylum Cnidaria, Class Hydrozoa, Family Olindiidae) only Craspedacusta sowerbii (Lankester 1880) has been observed in North America (Jankowski 2001). Originating in the Yangtze River in China (Jankowski 2001), this species was first detected outside Asia at an aquaculture exhibit in Regent’s Park, London, in the 1880s (Pennak 1989), due to inclusion of their polyps in imported water lilies (Slobodkin and Bossert 1991). Since then, Craspedacusta has been observed on every continent except Antarctica and has the widest distribution of all the freshwater jellyfish (Jankowski 2001, Dumont 1994).

Craspedacusta’s life cycle includes three means of asexual reproduction. The polyps typically are minute (about 1 mm) and form small colonies. Polyps can bud off new polyps to form a colony, or they can produce a frustule bud, which develops into a frustule larva and eventually a new polyp (Slobodkin and Bossert, 1991).

Craspedacusta also can reproduce asexually by producing medusal buds that generate several miniature medusae that in turn grow into sexually reproductive adults, approximately 20 mm in diameter (Boothroyd et al. 2002). The medusae release gametes into the water column where fertilization occurs, and zygotes subsequently develop into
planula larvae. The planula larvae settle to the benthos and complete the life cycle by producing new polyps.

Sexual reproduction is rare. It is believed that the only mixed-sex medusa blooms occur in the Yangtze River, where they originated, and most of the other populations around the globe are of a single sex (Pennak, 1989; but see Acker 1976). These medusa blooms can be sizable and dense, with Jankowski (2000) and Jankowski et al. (2005) reporting a range of 400 to 1000 ind m$^{-2}$ in a pond in Germany.

Both polyps and medusae feed on zooplankton. The short, cnidocyte-laden tentacles are present in whorls around the outer edge of the bell-shaped body, and are used to sting and paralyze prey. Research into the feeding of adult medusae has shown that *Craspedacusta* medusa blooms can negatively impact abundances of adult copepods, copepod nauplii and the cladoceran, *Bosmina longirostris* Müller 1785 (Jankowski and Ratte, 2000; Jankowski et al. 2005; Smith and Alexander 2008). In large enclosure experiments, jellyfish medusae presence has been shown to induce defensive responses in *B. longirostris*, such as longer antennule length, more eggs per brood, and larger overall adult size (Jankowski 2004). However, results of studies performed in contained spaces should be considered in context, as Martin (2001) found that mesocosm experiments with comb jellies had compromised field relevance due to the effects of enclosure size on predator-prey interactions.

With respect to *Craspedacusta*’s potential predators, only adult crayfish appear to consume adult medusae and polyps. Fish and predatory insects have not been
observed to consume *C. sowerbii* of any life stage (Davis, 1955; Dodson and Cooper, 1983).

The purpose of the current studies was to examine the effects of medusa presence on natural zooplankton community composition and abundance. To do this, I first performed two feeding experiments to determine the ability of medusae to kill and consume three commonly dominant cladoceran species representing three prey size classes. Finally, I quantified the effect of medusa presence on a natural community of zooplankton obtained from the lake where the medusae were observed.

**Methods**

Medusae were collected from a pond in Thurman-Hutchins Park (21.5 ha), near downtown Louisville, KY, USA (38°16'55"N, 85°41'28"W), located near the Ohio River. In late September of 2006, specimens were collected using a plankton tow net. The pond is annually stocked for recreational fishing with species including black crappie, red-ear sunfish, bluegills, and largemouth bass (Metro Parks Department, Louisville, KY, personal communication). Medusae were placed in 0.7 μm-filtered Ohio River water in a large aquarium, and they were randomly selected for the three experiments, which were conducted at room temperature (20 – 22°C). For the first two experiments, the three potential prey *Daphnia magna* Müller 1785, *Daphnia lumholtzi* Sars 1885, and *Bosmina longirostris* Müller 1785 were obtained from stock cultures originally collected in the Ohio River and maintained at the University of Louisville for at least 3 years.
In the first experiment, a single medusa was placed in a 300 mL flask containing 250 mL of 0.7 μm-filtered Ohio River water with one of three potential prey species: three individuals of *D. magna*, five of *D. lumholtzi*, or ten of *B. longirostris*. This made prey biomass roughly equivalent. Control flasks contained prey but no medusa. After 24 hours, a count was made of the prey left alive and the prey dead but unconsumed and visible in the bottom of the flask. Dead/consumed animals were replaced daily for four days. Three replicate flasks were used for each prey type and controls.

In the second experiment, a single medusa was placed in a 300 mL flask containing 250 mL of 1 μm-filtered Ohio River water with all three prey items combined: three *D. magna*, five *D. lumholtzi*, and ten *B. longirostris*. This treatment was replicated five times. After 24 hours, a count was made of the prey left alive and the unconsumed dead prey in the flask.

In the third experiment, two medusae were placed in 800 mL of whole, unfiltered lake water in a 1 L flask. Controls contained whole, unfiltered lake water with no medusae. After 24 hours, the contents of each flask were filtered in a 23-μm sieve and zooplankton were preserved in a 20% sugar -- 2% formalin solution (Haney and Hall 1972) for later identification. I focused on the responses of four taxa that were found in all samples: copepods, nauplii larvae of copepod species, the rotifer, *Ascomorpha* sp., and the cladoceran, *Bosmina longirostris*. The experiment was run twice, two days apart, with fresh animals and water collected from the same lake two days after the first collection. For each experimental trial, eight replicates were used for both experimental and control treatments.
**Statistical Analyses**

For the second experiment, a Fisher’s Exact test (SAS 9.3.1) was used to determine homogeneity of the data and to detect any significant differences in the consumption of different prey items. For the third experiment, a two-sample Poisson test for means was used to determine if the abundance of prey items differed between the control and the medusa treatments. A Poisson test allows for the calculation of confidence intervals and hypothesis testing for the difference between means.

**Results**

In the first experiment, I found that while the controls showed little mortality (in some cases population increased due to reproduction), all three potential prey species suffered high mortality rates, approaching 100% each day, in the medusa treatments. While *B. longirostris* and *D. lumholtzi* were killed and consumed in high rates, the larger *D. magna* were also killed, but all of the corpses were still present at the bottom of the flask (Table 1).

Based on the results of the first experiment, I sought to determine in the second experiment if all three species of prey (simultaneously presented to the jellyfish) were equally likely to be consumed, once captured and killed. The data from all five replicates were found to be similar using Fisher’s exact test and consequently were combined for analysis. While all three species of prey suffered high mortality rates, both *B. longirostris* and *D. lumholtzi* were significantly more likely to be consumed once killed, compared to *D. magna* (Fisher’s exact test, $\chi^2 = 58.9$, $p < 0.00001$, Fig. 1). All *B.
longirostris and D. lumholtzi that were captured were consumed, whereas only 26.7% of the D. magna captured and killed subsequently were consumed (Fig. 1).

In the third experiment, we used a two-sample Poisson test for means to determine if the abundance of the species present in the whole lake water was significantly reduced by the presence of medusae. In the first experimental trial (Fig. 2), the abundances of the most commonly observed species in the experimental replicates were reduced by at least half compared to the controls: B. longirostris (p < 0.008), Ascomorpha sp. (p < 0.002) and copepod nauplii (p= 0.009). In the second trial, the abundances of Ascomorpha (p < 0.048), adult copepods (p < 0.029), and copepod nauplii (p < 0.0001) were again reduced by at least half in the presence of the medusa, compared to controls. The only species observed in the experimental treatment that was not significantly affected by medusa presence was B. longirostris in the second trial (p < 0.75); however, the B. longirostris densities in the control groups of the second run (mean = 2.3) were much lower than in the control treatments of the first run (mean = 20.6, Fig. 2).

In the third experiment, other plankton were present, but most species were represented by a single individual. However, one other cladoceran, Diaphanosoma sp., may have been consumed by the medusae; a total of six individuals were present in control flasks, whereas none were found in the experimental flasks at the end of the experiment.
Discussion

Previous work has shown that *C. sowerbii* medusae can significantly decrease the population sizes of zooplankton (Jankowski and Ratte 2000; Spadinger and Maier 1999). The results of this study confirm the results of these experiments. However, in our second experiment where all three taxa were introduced simultaneously, I had the opportunity to explore whether medusae selectively consumed prey based on size. In addition, in our third experiment I could observe the effects of medusa presence on a natural community of zooplankton. My experiments, both with a natural zooplankton community and selective prey offerings, found that prey consumption showed a size selection for the smaller organisms: rotifers and nauplii (about 0.1 mm in length), *B. longirostris* (< 0.5 mm) and *D. lumholtzi* (1-2 mm), compared to the larger *D. magna* (3 to 5 mm). This selectivity may be a function of the spacing between tentacle branches containing nematocysts as shown by Spadinger & Maier (1999), who found that prey larger than 1.4 mm may be too large for *Craspedacusta* medusae to handle based on an analysis of medusa stomach contents. Dodson and Cooper (1983) found a similar pattern: *Craspedacusta* could kill and consume a variety of zooplankton between 0.2 and 2 mm in size. Larger zooplankton (from 2 mm to 8.8 mm long) were killed but not consumed. In our study, a similar size selectivity was detected in consumed prey although, despite the inability to consume *D. magna*, medusae killed individuals of this species at a higher rate than even *D. lumholtzi*. With respect to capturing and killing potential prey, no selectivity was evident; the jellyfish killed all zooplankton that they encountered, at least within the size ranges used in this study.
My results demonstrate the potential effect of medusae on zooplankton communities. When placed in whole lake water with a natural complement of zooplankton, medusae significantly reduced the number of all prey species present, except for *B. longirostris* in one trial. However, as can be noted in Fig. 2, the population of *B. longirostris* was an order of magnitude lower in the second run of this experiment, making detection of an effect difficult. I believe this decrease in population before our trial may be the result of longer exposure to the medusa bloom since whole lake water was obtained for the second trial two days after water for the first trial. The lack of a significant effect of medusae on *B. longirostris* in this trial may be the result of decreased encounter rate, because the population had been so diminished.

While blooms of *C. sowerbii* may be infrequent and sporadic, they have the potential to significantly alter aquatic food webs by removing a sizable proportion of the plankton (Davis 1955; Boothroyd et al. 2002). Blooms typically occur in late summer/early fall and may coincide with the autumn phytoplankton bloom in temperate lakes (Winder and Cloern 2010). Spadinger & Maier (1999) estimated that each medusa consumed about 190 zooplankton per day, at a mean prey density of about 100 zooplankton per liter. Dodson and Cooper (1983) estimated that each medusa could clear up to 0.9 L water/day for consumed prey, and clear up to 64 L/day for larger nekton, which were killed but not eaten. The zooplankton on which medusae feed are often the crucial link between primary producers and higher trophic levels (Williamson and Stoeckel, 1989; Brooks and Dodson, 1965; Carpenter and Kitchell, 1984), and thus the polyps and medusae may have cascading effects on primary producers. In addition, changes in zooplankton community abundance can significantly alter algal abundances as
well as higher trophic levels, including planktivorous fish. Dodson and Cooper (1983) found that their *Craspedacusta* population killed both large predatory zooplankton (*Leptodora* sp.) and smaller predatory rotifers (*Asplanchna* sp.) that in turn feed upon very small rotifers, such as *Keratella cochlearis* and *Brachionus angularis*. The small rotifers *K. cochlearis* and *B. angularis* actually increased in predation experiments, presumably due to the strong decline in *Asplanchna* (Dodson and Cooper 1983). A strong shift in the composition of zooplanktivores may allow a substantial change in the herbivorous zooplankton, and potentially primary producers, causing a trophic cascade.

Medusae can kill small fish as well. My observations also include the initial incapacitation and eventual death of a small minnow that was contained in an aquarium with approximately six medusae. *Craspedacusta sowerbii* feeding may be passive, the result of encountering prey while drifting down through the water column (Dodson and Cooper, 1983; Pennak, 1989). The medusae occasionally lie on the bottom of the flasks, with their tentacles and manubrium facing up or they swim actively up to the surface and passively float downward through the water column, tentacles splayed outward and mouth downward (personal observation; Dodson and Cooper, 1983). Any zooplankton, macroinvertebrate or fish that medusae encounter will be stung with nematocysts. If the victim (as opposed to a prey item which is eaten) is small enough or the exposure to the medusa bloom long enough, death could result. Therefore, aquatic systems that experience a substantial bloom could be significantly altered through direct (predation) and indirect (incidental mortality), top-down trophic effects. Loss of algal grazers could result in higher algal biomass with cascading effects on dissolved oxygen and primary productivity. Changes in zooplankton abundance can have corresponding effects on
planktivorous fish and higher trophic levels (Boothroyd et al., 2002; Jankowski et al., 2005).

Medusa blooms in marine environments have increased in frequency and abundance, possibly due to increasing temperatures, nutrient loading, and lower oxygen levels in our oceans (Mills 2001). While the cues for induction of medusa blooms in *C. sowerbii* are currently unknown, it has been suggested that blooms are closely associated with higher temperatures (Boothroyd et al., 2002, Slobodkin and Bossert, 1991). If current trends continue, I expect increased temperatures and higher levels of nutrient loading in our freshwater systems (increase in lake temperatures: Schindler 2001; increase in air temperatures: IPPC 2007; increase in stream temperatures: Paul and Meyer, 2001). If the present increase in freshwater temperatures continues, it is possible there may be an increase in *C. sowerbii* blooms, with cascading effects on aquatic food webs.
Table 1. Effects of a single *Craspedacusta sowerbii* medusa on three individual prey items over four consecutive days at a density equivalent to 0.25 medusa L$^{-1}$. Three replicates were averaged (± S.E.) for each treatment and the control. Controls contained prey but no medusa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prey treatment</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>D. magna</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% consumed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% killed, not eaten</td>
<td>89 ±0.53</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78 ±0.53</td>
<td>89 ±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% alive</td>
<td>11 ±0.53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22 ±0.53</td>
<td>11 ±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls: % alive</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>144 ±2.93</td>
<td>155 ±1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. lumholtzi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% consumed</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93 ±0.53</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67 ±2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% killed, not eaten</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 ±0.53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13 ±1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% alive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls: % alive</td>
<td>87 ±0.53</td>
<td>80 ±1.58</td>
<td>87 ±1.05</td>
<td>87 ±1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. longirostris</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% consumed</td>
<td>87 ±1.05</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97 ±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% killed, not eaten</td>
<td>13 ±1.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% alive</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls: % alive</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>153 ±1.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Percent of each prey type consumed, killed (but not eaten), and left alive with three prey items together in the presence of one *Craspedacusta sowerbii* medusa.
Fig. 2. Medusa effect after 24 hours on abundance (± 1 S.E.) of the most common zooplankton species in 800 ml of unfiltered lake water. Column pairs with an asterisk (*) were significant at $p \leq 0.05$, Poisson test of means. N= 8 replicates per treatment.
CHAPTER 3

EFFECTS OF CRASPEDACUSTA SOWERBII MEDUSA DENSITY ON CONSUMPTION OF ZOOPLANKTON PREY AND COMMUNITY COMPOSITION

Introduction

A wide range in the density of blooms of C. sowerbii medusae has been reported. These include values ranging from 1 individual (ind) m\(^{-3}\) in a gravel pit lake in Germany (Spadinger & Maier 1999) to 2.52 ind m\(^{-2}\) in a reservoir in Spain (Pérez-Bote et al 2006), to mean ranges of 0.59 to 34.43 ind m\(^{-3}\) in an excavated pond in Texas (Harrel 2002), up to highs ranging from 400 to 1000 ind m\(^{-2}\) in a pond in Germany (Jankowski 2000, Jankowski et al. 2005). Such differences in the density of medusae should determine the magnitude of the effect of jellyfish medusa on zooplankton communities; however, no empirical studies have investigated the direct effects of varying medusa density on zooplankton density. While denser jellyfish blooms may result in higher predation, there may also be density dependent effects on the per individual predation rate among medusae, including increasing interference competition that could result in non-linear relationships between medusal density and prey capture. Medusae may also cause some prey taxa to increase indirectly as a result of preferential medusal removal of organisms that also consume those taxa as prey (Dodson & Cooper 1983).
*C. sowerbii* medusae are known to feed on zooplankton that range greatly in size. Common prey items include rotifers (0.1 -- 0.5 mm), cladocerans (0.2 – 6 mm), and juvenile copepods (up to 1 mm), as demonstrated in the previous chapter. Predation is known to alter size structure and composition of zooplankton communities, but often has little effect on the species richness of coexisting zooplankton species (Morin 1999), since predation seldom completely depletes the prey source. Nonetheless, predation effects on zooplankton community composition and size structure can then alter prey available for other zooplanktivores, such as insect larvae and young fish.

While blooms of *C. sowerbii* may be infrequent, sporadic, and short-lived, they have the potential to significantly alter aquatic food webs by removing a sizable proportion of the plankton (Davis 1955; Boothroyd et al. 2002, Smith and Alexander 2008). Spadinger & Maier (1999) estimated that each medusa consumed about 190 zooplankton per day, at a mean prey density of about 100 zooplankton per liter. Dodson and Cooper (1983) estimated that each medusa could clear up to 0.9 L/day for consuming planktonic prey, and clear up to 64 L/day for larger nekton such as *Letodora* (5.4 mm) and *Streptocephalus* (8.8 mm), which were killed but not eaten.

Previous studies of the effect of *C. sowerbii* medusae on zooplankton have used gut content analysis correlated with zooplankton densities to estimate feeding rates (Spadinger & Maier 1999, Boothroyd, Etheredge, and Green 2002, Stefani et al. 2010, Perez-Bote et al. 2006, Matsakis & Conover 1991). Other studies from microcosm to lake scales have quantified the effects on zooplankton densities to reach such estimates. For example, Dodson and Cooper (1983) used 1 L flasks to conduct feeding experiments.
with medusae, but used a medusal density equivalent to 2376 medusae m$^{-3}$, much higher than any densities reported in the literature. Jankowski (2001) used 1 L flasks with 5 medusae to estimate feeding rates, but these densities were also unrealistic. Subsequently, Jankowski (2004) set up a 23-day enclosure experiment in a natural lake to estimate the effects of more realistic medusal densities (450 medusae m$^{-3}$) on zooplankton and phytoplankton abundance.

The purpose of this study was to determine the potential effect of varying *C. sowerbii* medusal density on zooplankton abundance and community structure. The experiment was conducted in 75 L mesocosms with unfiltered pond water containing a natural complement of zooplankton. Unlike previous manipulative feeding studies of this species, I varied the density of medusae present in the experimental mesocosms by a factor of ten to determine if feeding interference occurred at higher densities of medusa. The range of densities used allowed for the calculation of feeding rates by medusae, and addressed potential density dependent effects on feeding rate, not by examining gut content, but by comparing densities of the most common zooplankton species after two days to their densities in control mesocosms containing no medusae.

**Methods**

*Medusae collection, mesocosm set-up and zooplankton measurements*

In October 2008, *C. sowerbii* medusae were collected using buckets from Stone Pond (37° 35' 49.1496", 84° 49' 5.664") in Danville, Kentucky and transported in 20 L carboys containing whole pond water. Additional whole pond water was collected and
transported back to the University of Louisville. Within 6 hours of pond water and medusae collection, nine indoor 75 L tanks were each filled with 20 L of well-mixed whole pond water, leaving each tank about 75% empty. Aeration was provided by small (38 L) fish tank pumps (one per tank) attached to stone aerators in each tank. Three tanks did not contain medusae and served as medusa-free controls, three tanks contained one medusa (low density treatment, equivalent to 50 medusae m$^{-3}$ or 0.05 medusae L$^{-1}$), and three tanks each contained 10 medusae (high density treatment, equivalent to 500 medusa m$^{-3}$ or 0.5 medusae L$^{-1}$) (Fig. 1). Therefore, all medusal densities were within the range reported in the literature. To sample the initial composition and density of the zooplankton community, a 500 ml sample was collected from each tank immediately before adding medusae and filtered through a 50 μm mesh filter. Zooplankton were preserved in a 20% sucrose – 2% formalin solution for later identification and quantification (Haney and Hall 1972). Additional 500 mL samples were collected from each tank two days after medusae were added. Counts of zooplankton present in each 500 mL sample were made using a plankton wheel and a stereo microscope at 25x magnification. Identifications were made based on Thorp and Kovich (1991) and Edmondson (1959).

In August of 2012, this experiment was repeated following the same procedures. Medusae and whole pond water from the same lake were collected in carboys and transported back to the University of Louisville. The same experiment was set up with the following changes: 75 L tanks contained 10 L of whole pond water (instead of 20 L), leaving tanks about 85% empty, and the high density treatments contained 5 medusae instead of 10. All other methods were identical.
Zooplankton Abundance, Medusal Feeding Rate Determination and Statistics

The starting densities in the tanks were sampled to determine if they were similarly mixed. Only five taxa were present in any of the tanks. The absolute number of prey items in each tank after 2 days was obtained by multiplying densities in the 500 ml subsample by two to convert to number prey liter⁻¹.

Zooplankton abundance differences between the control and medusal treatment tanks were used to calculate clearance rates (F), instantaneous ingestion rates (Ii), and medusal feeding rates using the equation of Reid and Williamson (2009):

\[
F = \frac{V (\ln D_{ct} - \ln D_{et})}{NT}
\]

where \( V \) is the volume of water in the tank, \( D_{ct} \) and \( D_{et} \) are the densities of zooplankton (individuals L⁻¹) in the control and experimental mesocosm tanks at the end of the incubation, \( N \) is the number of medusae per tank and \( T \) is the duration of the experiment in days. Sampling was unable to distinguish between live and dead zooplankton due to mixing before sampling. Thus, feeding rates expressed are considered actual consumption rates and likely underestimate the effect of medusae on zooplankton densities.

Count data across all tanks before medusae were added were analyzed using ANOVA to determine if there were statistically significant differences in the starting densities of zooplankton in the tanks. Count data from days 2 were analyzed with a mixed model ANOVA (SAS 9.3.1) with treatment as a fixed effect and replicate mesocosm as a random factor. A post-hoc analysis was performed to determine which treatments were
significantly different. Count data did not require transformation for these analyses. A post-hoc analysis was performed to determine which treatments were significantly different. A t-test (SAS 9.3) was used to determine significant differences in medusa feeding, clearance, and ingestion rates between low and high density treatments.

Shannon Diversity ($H'$) was calculated for the three treatments (control, low density and high density) using the formula

$$H' = -\sum p_i \ln(p_i)$$

where $p_i$ is the relative abundance of each group of organisms (Shannon 1948).

Results

*Initial conditions before medusal treatment*

Starting densities of prey items in the tanks were not found to statistically differ and were assumed to be similar and adequately mixed (Copepods $p=0.75$, Keratella sp. $p=0.78$, nauplii $p=0.68$, and Polyarthra sp. $p=0.62$; Fig. 4). The prey populations at high enough densities to be measurable were adult copepods, nauplii (juvenile copepods), Keratella sp., and Polyarthra sp. (Table 2). Copepods range in size from $<0.5$ to $5$ mm, while nauplii are $<0.5$ mm. Keratella and Polyarthra are both rotifers approximately $150$ µm in length.

*Effect of medusal density on Zooplankton abundance*

After 2 days, prey densities (Figure 5) were statistically higher in the control treatments compared to medusa treatments for copepods ($p=0.007$), nauplii ($p=0.008$),
and Polyarthra (p=0.015), but not for Keratella (p=0.633). Post hoc analysis revealed that for copepods, the control densities were significantly higher than in both the high density (p=0.003) and the low density treatment (p=0.010), but the high and low density treatments did not differ from each other significantly (p=0.156). Nauplii densities were significantly lower in the high density treatment compared to the low density treatment (p=0.016) as well as to the control (p=0.004), but the control and low density treatments were not statistically different (p=0.098). Polyarthra showed the same pattern with the high density treatment having significantly lower densities than the control (p=0.006) and the low density treatment (p=0.032). The control and the low density treatment did not differ significantly (p=0.104).

Post-hoc contrasts were conducted to determine which treatments were significantly different in the two groups that showed a significant difference in percent change. Nauplii in the control were higher than those in the high density treatment, while the low density treatment did not differ statistically from either. After 2 days (Fig. 7), Polyarthra sp. in the control tanks was also higher than in the high density medusal treatment, but their numbers in the low density treatment did not differ from either control or high density treatments.

A test of the treatment effects and their interaction (Table 3) found a significant interaction effect for both nauplii (p=0.019) and Polyarthra sp. (p=0.0016).
Clearance and Ingestion Rates

Clearance rates for both high and low density treatments were highest for copepods and lowest for *Keratella* (Figure 6). Clearance rates for nauplii were 4.8 and 28.4 liters predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) for the low and high density treatments, respectively. For the low and high density treatments respectively, clearance rates for copepods were 13.2 and 37.7 liters predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), while rates for *Keratella* were 2.9 and 2.2 liters predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\). For *Polyarthra*, clearance rates were 5.7 and 24.8 liters predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) in the low and high density treatments, respectively. While there were no significant differences detected in clearance rates for low and high density treatments, clearance rates in the high density treatment were higher for all prey groups except *Keratella*.

Instantaneous ingestion rates (Figure 7) were highest for nauplii (1023 prey predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) in the high density treatments, but in the low density treatment the rate was highest for *Polyarthra* (367 prey predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)). Again, rates were lowest for *Keratella* in both low (219 prey predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) and high density (129 prey predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) treatments. Ingestion rates for copepods were 240 prey predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) in the low density treatment and was 362 prey predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) in the high density treatment. There were no significant differences between ingestion rates for low and high density treatments, but high density ingestion rates were higher for all groups except *Keratella*.

Feeding Rates

Feeding rates (Figure 8) were higher in the high density treatment for all prey except *Keratella*, although none of the differences were statistically significant. Feeding
rates in the low and high density treatments, respectively, were for nauplii 306 and 1617 prey predator$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, for copepods 241 and 367 prey predator$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, for *Keratella* 219 and 128 prey predator$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, and for *Polyarthra* 364 and 808 prey predator$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$.

**Diversity**

Shannon Diversity was calculated for each of the three treatments of medusa density in order to determine how predation intensity affected the diversity of the zooplankton community (Table 4). In the high density treatment (10 medusae), Shannon diversity was reduced from 3.61 to 1.71, and from 3.66 to 3.32 in the low density treatment (1 medusa). The community in the control treatment actually increased slightly in diversity, from 3.85 to 3.96.

**2012 Experiment**

Results from the 2012 replication of this experiment did not exhibit any significant effects of medusal density on zooplankton density or on feeding rate by medusae (Table 6). These results may have arisen due to the fact that zooplankton densities were initially much lower than in 2008 (Tables 2 & 5). The same groups of prey were found (nauplii, copepods, *Keratella* sp., and *Polyarthra* sp.) as well as *Branchionus* sp., and their starting densities before medusae addition did not differ significantly between replicates (Table 7). The record-breaking hot summer of 2012 may have played a role in the low numbers of zooplankton or longer exposure to the medusa bloom before samples were collected may have depleted the prey.

**Discussion**

*Mediterranean *Density Effects on Zooplankton Community Structure*
These results demonstrate the potential effect that medusae have in reducing zooplankton abundance. Populations of some prey groups were more depressed than others, potentially indicating greater preference by the medusae for these groups, or alternatively, from indirect effects of medusal feeding and injury on different zooplankton populations. At densities observed in nature for both zooplankton and medusae, *C. sowerbii* in these experiments were found over a period of a few days to have an impact on populations of three of the four zooplankton taxa found in the pond water. Nauplii (juvenile copepods), adult copepods, and the rotifer *Polyarthra* sp. were negatively affected by the presence of jellyfish at both high and low densities of medusae. The rotifer *Keratella* sp. was not significantly affected by the presence of medusae at either predator density. In fact, *Keratella* sp. increased in all treatments, particularly in the high medusa density treatment. This may have been due to the severe reduction in numbers of adult copepods, which feed on *Keratella* sp., in the high density treatment (Fig. 9). Such an indirect effect was observed by Dodson and Cooper (1983) when the small predatory rotifer *Asplanchna* sp. was consumed by medusae, thereby releasing other small rotifers like *Keratella* from predation. In addition, species in the *Keratella* genus have distinctive spines that act as a defense against predators (Gilbert and Stemberger 1984). This defense mechanism may have prevented the medusae from impacting the *Keratella* sp. populations. In addition, *Keratella* have been observed to be strongly phototaxic (C. Williamson, personal communication). This tendency to group near a light source may have reduced the encounter rate with medusae resulting in a higher survivorship and allowing for reproduction.
No previous study has so far been found that varied the density of medusae in a manipulative feeding experiment and, therefore, the potential existence of density dependent effects has not been addressed in the freshwater jellyfish literature. In this experiment, significantly depressed feeding rates per individual predator in the high density treatment compared to the low density treatment may indicate interference competition between medusae for prey. While medusae do not appear to be affected by the nematocysts of other medusae, crowding could potentially cause depressed feeding rates per individual due to the tangling of tentacles or the local depletion of prey. This should be investigated further, possibly by manipulating densities of zooplankton prey to forestall depletion of food for the medusae and maintain constancy in this variable.

The diversity of the zooplankton community was altered in each of the three treatments. Diversity increased in the control, while the presence of medusae reduced the Shannon Diversity Index for zooplankton in both the high and low medusa density treatments. This finding suggests the possibility of changes not only in the density of zooplankton but also in their community structure and diversity when C. sowerbii blooms occur.

*Medusa Effects on Aquatic Food Webs*

Medusae presence and density have the potential to affect aquatic food web structure in several ways (Fig. 10). The zooplankton on which medusae feed are often the crucial link between primary producers and higher trophic levels (Williamson and Stoeckel, 1989; Brooks and Dodson, 1965; Carpenter and Kitchell, 1984), and thus medusae, particularly during jellyfish bloom events, may have both bottom-up and top-
down cascading effects on aquatic food web structure and productivity. While medusae can kill small fish directly (Dodson and Cooper 1983 and personal observation), they can also affect zooplanktivorous fish indirectly by altering zooplankton densities. Dodson and Cooper (1983) found that their *Craspedacusta sowerbii* population killed both large predatory zooplankton (*Leptodora* sp.) and smaller predatory rotifers (*Asplanchna* sp.) that in turn feed upon very small rotifers, such as *Keratella cochlearis* and *Brachionus angularis*. The densities of small rotifers *K. cochlearis* and *B. angularis* actually increased in predation experiments, presumably due to the strong decline in *Asplanchna*, which feeds upon them (Dodson and Cooper 1983). This may have occurred in the current experiment in that *Keratella sp.* appear to have responded to release from predation by adult copepods. Therefore, medusa predation on other predators of zooplankton may cause substantial change in herbivorous zooplankton composition and density. Consequently, aquatic systems that experience a substantial bloom could be significantly altered through direct (predation) and indirect (reduction of zooplanktivores) top-down trophic effects.

While the current experiment estimates consumption rates, medusae also kill zooplankton that they do not necessarily consume, as shown in the previous chapter and by Smith and Alexander (2008). Such incidental mortality may result from the killing of prey that is too large for medusae to ingest or from the inability of medusae to successfully capture the prey. For example, copepods, while certainly consumed by medusae, may also be stung by nematocysts but then evade capture due to their ability to move quickly. If death occurs, the animal will sink to the bottom. In the current experiment, sampling was unable to distinguish between live and dead zooplankton due
to mixing before sampling. Thus, feeding rates expressed are considered actual consumption rates and likely underestimate the effect of medusae on zooplankton densities.

**Other Ecosystem Effects**

There are other potential effects of varying medusal densities on primary production and nutrient cycling in freshwater systems. This potential has been observed in marine cnidarians, which have been found to have drastic effects on plankton production through the cycling of carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) (Pitt, Welsh & Condon 2009). Cnidarians acquire these nutrients through the consumption of zooplankton, just as *C. sowerbii* does, and then release them through excretion of inorganic (predominantly ammonium (NH$_4^+$) and phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$)) and dissolved organic forms (e.g. dissolved amino acids and dissolved primary amines). This provides a significant proportion of the N and P used by phytoplankton for primary production. In addition, decomposition of medusa blooms may release large amounts of nutrients into a water body, which can in turn increase bacterial activity, potentially leading to temporary anoxic conditions, as well as eventually release of nutrients.

**Conclusions**

*C. sowerbii* exhibits several mechanisms by which it can impact zooplankton community structure and aquatic food webs: through direct predation of zooplankton (both consumed and killed), the top-down effect of altering the food available for zooplanktivorous animals, the bottom-up effect of increased primary production (both
from removal of herbivorous zooplankton and increased inputs of nutrients) and the potential release of small zooplankton from predation by larger zooplankton that are consumed by the medusae. All of these have the potential to alter aquatic food webs when medusa blooms occur and then crash.

Future studies should measure the incidental mortality of zooplankton that are killed but not consumed in order to better estimate the effect of medusae on zooplankton populations. Additionally, estimates of nutrient inputs by medusae would be helpful in describing effects of *C. sowerbii* blooms on freshwater systems. Lastly, little work has been done to estimate the effect of *C. sowerbii* polyps, which also eat zooplankton. While the ratio of polyps to medusae may be low in terms of biomass and feeding rates, polyps are the dominant life stage of this species and have been reported to live more or less indefinitely (Dendy 1978). Thus polyps may have a longer-lasting effect on freshwater systems over the entire year.

*C. sowerbii* is a curious species that has for years intrigued the aquatic ecologists fortunate enough to find them. Despite the interest and enthusiasm for studying this species, the ephemeral nature of the medusae make consistent experimentation difficult. I greatly hope that the future will reveal more about this beautiful and fascinating cnidarian.
Table 2. Zooplankton counts from the 2008 experiment. Number are prey liters$^{-1}$ and are the average of three replicates for each treatment ± SE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th></th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nauplii</strong></td>
<td>85 ± 10</td>
<td>80 ± 5</td>
<td>110 ± 43</td>
<td>108 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Copepods</strong></td>
<td>42 ± 21</td>
<td>25 ± 18</td>
<td>30 ± 9</td>
<td>69 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Keratella</strong></td>
<td>83 ± 30</td>
<td>96 ± 28</td>
<td>116 ± 42</td>
<td>149 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polyarthra</strong></td>
<td>55 ± 6</td>
<td>75 ± 6</td>
<td>70 ± 25</td>
<td>143 ± 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. P-values from a mixed model ANOVA for treatment, day, and their interaction for the four groups present based on counts of total prey items per 20 L in tank for the 2008 experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>treatment</th>
<th>day</th>
<th>trt*day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Copepods</strong></td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Keratella sp.</strong></td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nauplii</strong></td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polyarthra sp.</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Shannon Diversity index for the 2008 experimental treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Shannon Diversity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low</strong></td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Zooplankton counts from the 2012 experiment. Number are prey liters$^{-1}$ and are the average of three replicates for each treatment ± SE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratella</td>
<td>112 ± 41</td>
<td>45 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyaarthra</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>7 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branchionus</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauplii</td>
<td>5 ± 3</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. P-values from a mixed model ANOVA for the significance of the treatment, day and their interaction from the 2012 experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>trt</th>
<th>day</th>
<th>trt*day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Branchionus sp.</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauplii</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratella sp.</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyaarthra sp.</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. P-values for starting densities of zooplankton prey groups in the 2012 experiment before medusa addition.
Figure 3. Experimental positioning of tanks in for 2008 jellyfish density experiment were stratified and consisted of tanks in three blocks each with three treatments. Control: C, Low Density (1 Medusa/tank): L, and High Density (10 medusae/tank): H.

Figure 4. Mean starting densities (± 1 S.E.) of prey items on day 0 before addition of medusae. Tanks were later apportioned to three treatments: control (no medusa), low density (1 medusa), and high density (10 medusae).
Figure 5. Mean density (± 1 S.E.) of prey items after 2 days in each of three treatments: control (no medusae), low density (1 medusa), and high density (10 medusae).

Figure 6. Comparison of clearance rates (± 1 S.E.) expressed as liter predator⁻¹ day⁻¹ in low density (1 medusa) and high density (10 medusae) treatments after 2 days.
Figure 7. Comparison of instantaneous ingestion rates (± 1 S.E.) expressed as prey predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) in low density (1 medusa) and high density (10 medusae) treatments after 2 days.

Figure 8. Comparison of feeding rates (± 1 S.E.) expressed as prey predator\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) in low density (1 medusa) and high density (10 medusae) treatments after 2 days.
Figure 9. Prey groups by percentage in the high density treatment (10 medusae; A) and in the low density treatment (1 medusa; B) on days 0 and 2.

Figure 10. Food web diagram of animals found in the 2008 experiment.
CHAPTER 4: EFFECTS OF TEMPERATURE AND FOOD QUALITY ON DAPHNIA GROWTH AND REPRODUCTION

Predicting how climate change will alter freshwater systems requires understanding the effects on the organisms that, in large part, dictate the structure of aquatic food webs. In many systems, zooplankton are the crucial link between primary producers and higher trophic levels of the food web (Williamson and Stoeckel, 1989; Brooks and Dodson, 1965; Carpenter and Kitchell, 1984). Zooplankton both regulate algal abundance (Mitchell and Lampert 2000) and act as a food source for zooplanktivores, such as larval insects and the young of nearly all fish species (Lampert and Sommer 2007). Zooplankton also play an important role in transferring algal- and heterotrophic protist-derived polyunsaturated fatty acids through the food web (Masclaux et al. 2012, Gladyshev 2009) as well as key macronutrients such as carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P). As ubiquitous taxa, zooplankton are found in nearly all rivers, lakes, ponds, and streams. Thus zooplankton can serve as model organisms for understanding anthropogenic effects on many aquatic systems. In addition, Richardson (2008) found that responses of marine zooplankton phenology and distribution to a changing environment were greater and faster than those of terrestrial groups of plants and animals, making them important indicators of change and useful model systems for manipulative studies in the lab and field.
Daphnia as a model system

Daphnia in particular have been used as models for aquatic research. “The large advantage of Daphnia over other biological model organisms is that its importance in pelagic freshwater systems is undoubtedly known. Hence there is a direct way of applying the results to ecological systems.” (Lampert 2006, see also McCauley et al. 1990) Currently Daphnia are used in understanding the evolutionary biology of adaptation to novel environments (Williams, Dick and Yampolsky 2012) and thus can be especially useful in modeling freshwater food web responses to climate change. From an ecosystem function perspective, Daphnia are known to be important recyclers of P and can thus increase system productivity through increased rates of trophic transfer resulting from Daphnia’s adaption to low food quality (Mulder and Bowden 2007, Sterner and Hessen 1994, Lampert 1987 and Sterner 1989 in Mitchell and Lampert 2000) and are responsible for both bottom-up and top-down effects on the food web (Gyllstrom et al. 2005). Due to its parthenogenetic lifestyle, ease of culturing (Seda & Petrusek 2011), and short generation times (McCauley et al. 1990, Paul et al. 2004), Daphnia are well-researched with a complete gene sequence including an online database, wFleaBase Daphnia Water Flea Genome Database (http://wfleabase.org/, Colbourne et al. 2005). Species in the cladoceran genus Daphnia may serve as important and useful model subjects for climate response studies, because they inhabit the majority of freshwater systems (Wojtal-Frankiewicz 2012), are poikilothermic with physiological processes that are highly sensitive to temperature (Richardson 2008) and display rapid microevolutionary responses to climate due to high genetic variation of egg banks (Van Doorslaer et al. 2009). In fact, many Daphnia-specific genes are particularly responsive
to ecological change (Colbourne et al. 2011) and it would appear that climate warming is not a threat to the existence of *Daphnia* as a result of this great genetic variability (Wojtal-Frankiewicz 2012).

Within the *Daphnia* genus, *Daphnia magna* has been widely used as a model organism in aquatic ecology (Martinez-Jeronimo 2012, Smith 1963) to investigate modeling energy transfer through trophic levels (Schindler 1968), bacterial resistance (Ebert et al. 1998), and toxicological responses (Heijerick et al. 2002, Adema 1978, LeBlanc 1980). *Daphnia pulex* also has a long history of use in aquatic research for studying the transformation of energy (Richman 1958), bioaccumulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Southworth et al. 1978), ribosomal DNA variation (Crease and Lynch 1991), and a great many toxicity studies (Santore et al. 2001, Arnold 1971, Reinikainen et al. 1994, Govers et al. 1984, Walthall & Stark 1999, Winner 1986, Southworth et al. 1978).

Use of the *Daphnia* genus in climate change research has the potential to help disentangle interacting effects that make such research complicated. These effects include warmer temperatures and potentially greater variation in macronutrient concentrations in freshwater bodies due to predicted extremes of drought and heavy precipitation in their watersheds (Solomon et al. 2007, Kundzewicz et al. 2007). Therefore, their long history in aquatic research, as well as their known propensity for rapid microevolutionary change, make *Daphnia* a promising model system for understanding how changing temperature and macronutrient limitation may alter aquatic food webs.

*Direct effects of temperature on Daphnia physiology*
While there are no known thermoreceptors or defined thermoregulatory centers in crustaceans such as *Daphnia* spp. (Lagerspetz & Vainio 2006), zooplankton display distinct and easily measured physiological responses to temperature (Lagerspetz & Vainio 2006). The van't Hoff rule (Lampert and Sommer 2007) states that within the temperature growth and tolerance range for a species, the rate of chemical and biological reactions increases two-fold for every 10° C rise in temperature. Above and below the range in which the van't Hoff rule applies, the rate of respiratory movements and heart beat in *Daphnia* decreases abruptly leading to an impairment in the delivery of oxygen to cells (Lagerspetz & Vainio 2006). In fact, *Daphnia* acclimated to higher temperatures show changes in hemoglobin concentration, subunit composition and oxygen affinity as a result of higher metabolic demands (Gerke et al. 2011). They also contain lower levels of highly unsaturated fatty acids, which regulate cell membrane physiology, allowing for greater membrane fluidity at higher temperatures, and are precursors for many hormones that are linked to growth and reproduction (Gladyshev et al. 2011, Wojtal-Frankiewicz 2012, Brett and Müller-Navarra 1997). Above the preferred temperature range for growth and reproduction, respiratory activity continues nonetheless to increase despite its uncoupling from growth (Goss and Bunting 1983, Paul et al. 2004). To compensate for the decrease in oxygen delivery to cells at higher temperatures, swimming speed increases klinokinetically (i.e., random movement increases) and the rate of filtration increases (Moore et al. 1996). In order for increased locomotion and respiration to occur, greater production of ATP is required, and allocation of ATP to growth may subsequently decrease (Wojtal-Frankiewicz 2012).
What temperatures are *D. magna* and *D. pulex* exposed to in their natural geographic range?

Populations of *Daphnia pulex* species complex can be found in both Arctic and temperate habitats (Elser et al. 2000). While Martínez-Jeronimo (2012) found in lab experiments that *D. magna* exhibited the highest growth rate at 25° C, he states that it is only found infrequently in waters where temperatures rise above 20° C. Others, however, claim that *D. magna* can commonly be found in shallow ponds where temperatures can fluctuate between <15 and 30° C (Persson et al. 2011), indicating a wide thermal tolerance (Mitchell and Lampert 2000) as well as an ability to tolerate high temperatures and low oxygen concentration (MacIsaac et al. 1985).

**Effects of nutrient availability**

Growth rate of *Daphnia* is also determined by the availability and quality of food, although abiotic factors can also be important as mentioned above (e.g., Acharya et al. 2004). Phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) levels have been shown to influence food quality for freshwater zooplankton (Elser et al. 2001). *Daphnia*, in particular, have relatively high P requirements resulting in a low C:P ratio compared to other zooplankton such as copepods (Sterner & Hessen 1994). For example, *Daphnia* growth has been shown to correlate with algal P content when food P is low (Gulati & Demott 1997, Acharya et al. 2004), while P supplementation experiments have shown that at least some of the reduction in growth is a direct result of P deficiency (DeMott et al. 1998, Boersma & Kruetzer 2002, Elser, Hayakawa & Urabe 2001). Biochemical requirements for P include production of nucleic acids, ATP-ADP, and phospholipids. RNA can be up to 10% of *Daphnia* dry mass, possibly accounting for its high P demand. Thus, *Daphnia*’s
high demand for P relates to its high growth rate (Sterner & Hessen 1994). This has been stated in the Growth Rate Hypothesis, which posits that RNA content is positively correlated with growth rate (Sterner and Elser 2002). The legitimacy of this hypothesis has been explored by Elser et al. (2000) who cultured *D. pulex* from Alaska and Wisconsin and found that *D. pulex* from Alaska had higher P demands than their conspecifics in Wisconsin, presumably due to the shorter growing season in northern latitudes that requires higher rates of growth in a shorter period of time and thus more demand for P. In addition to growth rate, P availability also affects age at first reproduction, reproductive output, adult body size and other key aspects of species’ life history (Arendt 1997).

Unlike primary producers, animals in general lack high capacity for mineral storage when minerals are in excess and therefore are at risk of mineral limitation when their food is of low nutritional quality (Sterner & Hessen 1994). Until recently it was thought that while primary producers could vary their C:N:P based on the concentration of nutrients available, animals in general maintained a constant C:N:P regardless of the stoichiometry of their food. While the “strict homeostasis” of animals, has been invalidated, analyses show that invariant C:N:P in herbivores can still occur under low nutrient conditions (Wang et al. 2012).

*Interactive Effects of Temperature and Food Quality*

Understanding the interaction between temperature and food quality can be important in predicting how *Daphnia* will respond to climate change, food resources stratified by thermocline (Cole et al. 2002), and nutrient limitation (Persson et al. 2011).
The ability of *Daphnia* to adapt to changing climate, with associated changes in temperature, precipitation and food quality, may well have critical consequences on ecosystems by limiting energy transfer to higher trophic levels (Winder and Schindler 2004).

Only three previous studies could be found that experimentally tested the interaction of food quality and temperature on *Daphnia*. Persson et al. (2011) found that *D. magna* was at increased risk of phosphorus limitation at higher temperatures (25°C), since P limitation levels vary with temperature. They found that while P limitation was problematic at higher temperatures, at low temperatures enzyme kinetics or other aspects of food quality were of greater concern than P limitation. Pajk et al. (2012) found a strong positive effect of temperature on *D. magna* and *D. pulex* juvenile growth rate with higher growth rates at 20°C compared to 15°C. Lastly, McFeeters and Frost (2011) found a strong interactive effect of temperature and food quality for *D. magna* growth and respiration but not for *D. pulex* at temperatures ranging from 10-25°C.

In a series of laboratory experiments, I sought to explore potential interactions between temperature and food quality on the growth and reproduction of two species of *Daphnia* varying in adult size, life history traits, and temperature optima by varying growth temperatures and the quality of algal food grown under high and low N and P conditions. Lampert and Trubetskova (1996) found that juvenile growth rate could be used as a measure of relative fitness in *Daphnia*. I measured the fecundity and growth rate to assess their responses to altered environmental conditions.

**Methods**

*Organisms and Algae*
D. pulex individuals were obtained from The Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics at Indiana University and D. magna from Carolina Biological Supply Company (Burlington, NC). Animals were examined at 25x using Thorp and Kovich (1991) to ensure the species identification. Both are common and important species in temperate freshwater systems of North America. Animals were established in stock cultures and maintained at room temperature on high quality food at high levels (>1.5 mg C/L). The carbon concentration of the algae in the Daphnia growth medium was determined by spectrophotometrical analysis of chl a (Acharya et al. 2006). Laboratory experiments were conducted on neonates of approximately the same age from a clonal line to avoid confounding effects of genetic differences between clones. Animals born to a single mother (one clonal line) were isolated and grown individually in 250-mL flasks and fed a high-concentration (> 1.5 mg C/L) of the alga, Scenedesmus acutus, and transferred to fresh media and fed every 3-5 days. Offspring of these animals (third generation) were allocated individually into their own flasks until there were enough animals in each flask to provide the required number of offspring for experiments. These animals (fourth generation) were fed and transferred to fresh flasks at regular intervals (every 3-5 days) and neonates (<24 hours old) from the second and subsequent clutches of the fourth generation and later were used for the experiments. Subsequent offspring were maintained as described above for the duration of the experiments in order to provide experimental animals.

The alga, Scenedesmus acutus, was grown in batch cultures under two conditions of nutrient supply in an environmental chamber at 25°C. Nutrient-rich algae were grown in autoclaved tap water modified (Kent Marine Pro-Culture Parts A and B) to contain a
final concentration of 1000 μM N (in the form of NaNO₃) and 50 μM P (P₂O₅) (molar N:P 20, C:P 100-200) in batch cultures at 12:12 hours of light:dark. Nutrient-poor algae were grown on filtered and autoclaved Paramount Lake water (an oligotrophic lake in Oldham County, Kentucky; 38° 23' 1.60"N, 85° 34' 25.93"W) modified to contain a final concentration of 500 μM N and 5 μM P (molar N:P 100, C:P 500) and grown under high light conditions (Acharya et al. 2006) at 12:12 hours light:dark.

**Determination of algal C, N and P content**

Samples of algae were collected throughout the experiment, placed in tins, and dried at 45°C. These samples were stored in a desiccator before % CHN analysis took place using a Perkin Elmer Model 2400 (Waltham, MA). Additional samples were placed in plastic test tubes and frozen for total P analysis using the automated ascorbic acid reduction method (Eaton et al. 1995) on a Skalar SAN Plus System (Breda, The Netherlands) segmented flow analyzer (for P content). Algae grown under high nutrient conditions had a molar CNP ratio that ranged from 8:5:1 to 50:26:1 and those grown at low nutrient conditions had C:N:P that ranged from 554:364:1 to 1745:90:1.

**Growth Rate Experiment**

This experiment examined the interactive effects of temperature and food quality on the somatic growth rate of two species of *Daphnia*. To conduct this experiment, a large cohort of neonates were collected as described above and placed individually in 150 mL of filtered Ohio River water in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask. There were a total of 16 treatment combinations (2 x 2 x 4): two qualities of the alga, *Scenedesmus*, grown with high levels of nutrients (N and P) vs. low levels, two zooplankton species (*D. magna* or *D. pulex*) and four temperatures (10, 15, 20, and 25°C). There were at least 10 replicates
for each treatment and each experiment lasted for three days in one of two Percival incubators that regulated temperature to within 1°C of the set temperature. The temperature variation was recorded using Hobo temperature data loggers (Onset Computer Corp., Bourne, MA) placed in the incubators. Treatments were maintained in complete darkness to prevent uncontrolled growth of algae. While the experiment was running, images were captured of additional neonates (<24 hours old) born from the clonal line kept in culture on their day of birth for use in the calculation of growth rate. Over the course of the experiment, newborn animals were placed in one of the 16 treatments. On day 2, the animals were transferred to a clean flask with fresh algae. On day 3, the animals were sacrificed to capture their final image for size change over the 3-day interval (growth). Since juvenile growth stops when reproduction begins, a 3-day interval was used in order to measure growth rate before energy is allocated to reproduction. An Olympus BX51 TRF at 25x was used together with image analysis software JMicr0vision 1.2.7 (Geneva, Switzerland) to determine the area of the animals on day 0 and day 3. Somatic growth rate was then calculated using the equation

\[ r = \ln \left( \frac{\text{final area}}{\text{initial area}} \right) \]

\[ \text{days} \]

*Fecundity*

In a separate but related experiment, animals less than 24 hours old from the second clutch of a clonal line were placed in one of the same 16 treatments used for the growth rate experiment for a longer-term fecundity experiment to measure the interactive effect of food and temperature on reproduction. Animals were placed individually in a flask containing 150 mL of filtered Ohio River water and fed an excess of either low or
high quality food. Animals were transferred to fresh media every fourth day and fed fresh food every other day. Animals were examined individually each day. If a molt was detected, the date was recorded and the molt removed. If offspring were present, the date and clutch size was recorded and the experimental animal was transferred to fresh media. This continued until the 3rd clutch was recorded. When animals died before the 3rd clutch, the date was recorded so that mortality could be calculated for each treatment.

Statistics

To compare the effects of temperature and food quality and their interaction on the growth rate of *Daphnia magna* and *Daphnia pulex*, a two-way ANOVA (SAS 9.3.1) was used with treatments (temperature and food quality) as fixed effects (alpha 0.05). Response variables were growth rate per day of animals. *Post-hoc* analysis was performed using contrasts to determine which specific treatments differed from one another.

Differences in fecundity among treatments were assessed using a two-way ANOVA (SAS 9.3.1) by testing the effects of food quality, temperature, and their interaction on clutch size, days to first clutch, molts to first clutch, and days to third clutch. The statistical difference between high and low quality food on these fecundity measures was tested for significance at each temperature (alpha 0.05).

Results

*Growth Rates*

*D. magna*
Temperature, food quality and their interaction all affected the somatic growth rate of *D. magna*. Somatic growth rate (Fig. 11) was highest for *D. magna* at 30°C on high food quality (0.66 ± 0.04 day⁻¹) and was lowest at 10°C on high food quality (0.12 ± 0.02 day⁻¹). At the two highest temperatures (25 and 30°C), growth rate was higher on high food quality but this difference was only significant at 25°C (p<0.0001).

*D. pulex*

Growth rates for *D. pulex* (Fig. 12) were significantly affected by the interaction of temperature and food quality (p<0.0001). Somatic growth rate was highest for *D. pulex* at 20°C on high food quality (0.38 ± 0.02 day⁻¹) and was lowest at 10°C on low food quality (0.04 ± 0.02 day⁻¹). When comparing growth rate between food qualities at each temperature, high quality food always resulted in a higher growth rate than low quality food, and the differences were statistically significant at all except the highest (30°C) and the lowest (10°C) temperatures. The difference in growth rate of animals fed high quality versus low quality food were highly significant (p<0.0001) at 15, 20, and 25°C.

*Mortality and Fecundity*

At all four temperatures tested, mortality rates for *D. magna* were higher under conditions of low food quality (Table 8). Across the range of temperatures, mortality was highest (100%) at 10°C on low food quality. All animals in this treatment died before producing offspring. The lowest mortality was 20% at 15°C on high quality food.

Mortality for *D. pulex* was highest (90%) at 10°C on food of low quality (Table 9). Despite consumption of high quality food, percent mortality at 25°C was also high
(63%). All other mortality rates were below 50%. The lowest mortality rate occurred at 20°C on high quality food (5%).

Days and molts to first clutch

There was no significant interaction effect between food quality and temperature on days to first clutch for D. magna. Food quality only had a significant effect at 25°C, where animals on high food quality took on average 11.7 ± 0.87 days to produce their first clutch, while animals on low food quality only took on average 8.4 ± 0.20 days (Fig. 13), possibly as a result of the animals on low quality food having a smaller body size and therefore faster reproduction. The earliest clutch occurred after 8 days at 25°C on low food quality. At 10°C on high food quality, the two surviving animals produced a first clutch after 50.5 ±0.5 days. The number of molts before production of the first clutch only varied significantly at 25°C (p<0.0001; Fig. 14) where animals on high quality food produced 6.2 ± 0.37 molts before producing a first clutch, while animals on low quality food produced only 4.8 ± 0.35. There was, however, a highly significant interaction between food quality and temperature (p<0.0001) with the 20°C treatment having more molts before production of the first clutch than either 15°C or 25°C.

The number of days required for D. pulex to produce a first clutch of offspring differed when animals were fed food of different quality (Fig. 15). At 15°C, D. pulex grown on high quality food took longer to produce a first clutch (28.1 ± 2.4 days) than when they grew on low quality food (17.5 ±1.2 days); however, at 20°C animals grown on low quality food took longer (11.3 ± 0.37 days) to produce a first clutch than when
grown on high quality food (9.4 ± 0.36 days). At 25°C, animals fed low quality food 
took 7 ± 0 days to produce a first clutch while those grown on high quality food only took 
6.3 ± 0.33 days. At 10°C, there was no significant difference between days to first clutch 
based on food quality (p = 0.0937; Fig. 5). There was a strong interaction effect between 
food quality and temperature (p = 0.0007) with a strong decreasing trend for the number 
of days to produce the first clutch as temperatures increased from 10°C to 25°C. No 
interactive effect between food quality and temperature on the number of molts produced 
by D. pulex before the first clutch was observable (p = 0.393). The effect of differing 
food quality on number of molts before first clutch was not statistically discernible at any 
temperature (Fig. 16).

Days to third clutch

There was no significant interaction between food quality and temperature on the number 
of days it took D. magna to produce a third clutch (p=0.825; Fig. 17), although there was 
a decreasing trend in this life history trait as temperature rose from 15°C to 25°C. 
However, at 25°C the time interval between the second and third clutch did depend on 
food quality (p=0.0002), as animals on low food quality produced a third clutch after only 
13.4 ± 0.31 days but animals on high quality food took 16.2 ± 0.53 days.

The number of days D. pulex took to produce a third clutch had a significant interaction 
effect between food quality and temperature (p = 0.001) with a strong decreasing trend 
from 10°C to 25°C. The intermediate temperatures of 15°C (p = 0.0003) and 20°C (p = 
0.004) varied significantly between food qualities for days to reach the third clutch (Fig. 
18). At 15°C, there was almost a 10 day difference between days to produce a third
clutch (low quality food: 27.3 ± 1.3 days; high quality food: 37.5 ± 1.1 days), while at 20°C there was a small but still statistically detectable difference between the two food qualities (low quality food: 17.7 ± 0.57 days; high quality food: 15.4 ± 0.45 days; p = 0.004).

*Days between clutches and clutch size*

Food quality had no statistically detectable effect on days between clutches for *D. magna* at any temperature. No interaction between food quality and temperature on this characteristic was observed (p=0.442; Fig. 19), although there was a general trend of decreasing time to third clutch as temperature increased from 15°C to 25°C. *Clutch size* (Fig. 21) depended on food quality at 15°C (p =0.0002) and 25°C (p = 0.0026) and was inversely related to time between clutches. In addition, there was a significant interaction effect between food quality and temperature (p = 0.0029) on clutch size.

For *D. pulex*, food quality and temperature interacted to affect the *between-clutch duration* period (p = 0.026). However, temperature had a stronger main effect on this characteristic than food quality (p <0.0001 vs. p= 0.028), since days between clutches decreased isotonically from 10°C to 25°C (Fig. 21). While there was no significant interaction effect between food quality and temperature (p = 0.222) on *clutch size*, food quality (Fig. 22) affected clutch size at 15°C (p = 0.002) and 20°C (p < 0.0001) where *D. pulex* fed high quality food produced more offspring per clutch compared to animals in the low quality food treatment.
Discussion

These experiments sought to highlight the effects of temperature and food quality on growth and reproduction of two common species of *Daphnia* by measuring growth rate and fecundity across a range of temperatures and feeding them either high or low quality food. The results show that *D. pulex* and *D. magna* reproduced more quickly at higher temperatures, confirming earlier work with these species (Orcutt and Porter 1983, Malin and Parton 1989). Previous research also indicates that *D. pulex* goes through fewer molts than *D. magna* before reproducing for the first time (Goss and Bunting 1983), and the current results support this with *D. magna* molting 5.7 ± 0.6 times before reproduction when averaged across all temperatures and *D. pulex* molting an average of 3.8 ± 0.4 times. Such differences would be expected based on the body size of these two daphnids. *D. pulex* is smaller and thus would be expected to grow and reproduce more quickly than the larger *D. magna*.

The current results give insight into the temperature preferences of *D. pulex* and *D. magna*. While *D. pulex*’s growth rate peaked at 20-25°C and then declined at 30°C, *D. magna*’s growth rate was still increasing at 30°C, indicating that the top of its temperature range had not been reached. As global warming continues to affect temperate fresh waters, such temperature tolerances may play an important role in determining where species can survive. As temperatures have increased, warm-water species have been found in waters that were once too cold to sustain them. This also has implications for the spread of exotic species that may be better suited to warmer temperatures than many natives.

The findings in this study have implications for understanding the interactive
effect of food quality and temperature on *Daphnia* growth and reproduction. For example, *D. pulex* showed strong responses to food quality at intermediate temperatures (15-25°C) compared to the highest (30°C) and lowest (10°C) temperatures tested in this study. This implies that *D. pulex* is more strongly affected by food quality at intermediate temperatures but as temperature increases or decreases outside of this range enzyme kinetics have a stronger effect on growth. As temperature increases, not only does growth rate increase but also respiration and cellular activity. Higher growth rates at higher temperatures may also affect what nutrients are in the highest demand. Faster growth requires increased allocation of phosphorus to RNA in order to maximize growth, but this increased growth rate may be accompanied by a reduction in body protein, thus lowering nitrogen requirements (Elser 2000). In addition, *D. pulex* had fewer molts before their first clutch of offspring at higher temperatures despite their higher growth rate. Thus, they grew faster but for a shorter period of time. This can result in a smaller adult body size, which may affect *Daphnia* predation risk since large-bodied animals are favored when the predators are small. Thus, responses to food quality and temperature may alter *Daphnia*’s fitness not only through effects on reproduction but on survivorship as adults as well.

It should be noted that difference in chemical composition of algal food was not measured. It is possible that differences in treatment temperature altered the fatty acid composition of food after it was added to flasks containing experimental animals. Such changes in fatty acid composition can affect cellular membrane composition of consumers and may have influenced the effect of low quality food in these experiments.

*Temperature Effects on Daphnia Growth Rate, Development and Reproduction*
Within a species-specific range, growth responds positively to increasing temperature for all ectotherms (Arendt 2010). Higher temperature increases the rate of biochemical activity and thus the rate of physiological reactions involved in growth. However, temperatures beyond a species-specific optimum may also lead to decreased growth rate and increased mortality. Perhaps as a compensatory reaction to decreased survivorship and therefore fecundity, remaining zooplankton in the population have been observed to reach adulthood and reproduce more quickly in lab settings (Orcutt and Porter 1983, Lei and Armitage 1980), possibly as a result of reduced food limitation when mortality is high. The number of molting events or instars occurring before reaching adulthood was found to be regulated mostly by temperature in *Daphnia pulex* (Lynch 1989). Studies of *D. pulex* and *D. magna* grown in environmental chambers at temperatures ranging from 5 to 30°C, found the number of juvenile instars (or number of molting events) before reaching adulthood increased above 25°C, while overall development time to reach adulthood was significantly shorter at higher temperatures (Goss and Bunting 1983 but see Orcutt and Porter 1983). The current study found a non-significant trend in *D. pulex* of decreased molts to first clutch but both species showed the expected increase in growth rate at higher temperatures up to an optimum temperature (Pangle & Peacor 2010, Mitchell & Lampert 2000). In addition, reproductive parameters responded strongly to increased temperatures: age at onset of reproduction and brood duration all were inversely related to temperature (Orcutt & Porter 1983). For *D. pulex*, age at first reproduction has been found to occur sooner at higher temperatures with the highest rates of reproduction at 24°C and 27°C (Craddock 1975) despite high levels of mortality. However, in the current study, food quality was found to differentially affect
how quickly *D. pulex* reproduced, with the strongest effects at above 15°C.

Life stages are also differentially sensitive to temperature. *Daphnia* are most physiologically sensitive to temperature 2 to 6 days after birth, when rapid somatic development is occurring. However, one review found young zooplankton to be better adapted to warmer temperatures than older life stages (Ivleva 1969 in Craddock 1975). In addition, Ivleva (1969) found studies that indicated that when *Daphnia* are acclimated to higher temperatures for a long period they become less vulnerable to further increases in temperature (acclimation) within physiological limits. Temperature increases can even induce cyclomorphosis (i.e., production of defense mechanisms such as helmets and spines in offspring to reduce predation) in some species, possibly as a proximate cue for the presence of young of the year fish that emerge during warmer weather (Yurista 2000) and feed on zooplankton. Increased temperatures thus are expected to shorten development time of zooplankton, allowing for earlier and often greater reproductive effort. This shorten development time also affects adult body size as well as the size of offspring. Guinnee et al. (2004) found an inverse relationship between clutch size and egg volume. Smaller animals may result in lower overall biomass in the system and thus have effects on higher trophic levels.

*Temperature Effects on Mortality*

Mortality may also increase with increasing temperature, since lower temperatures down to some minimum favor longevity and survivorship (Moore et al. 1996). The increased rate of metabolic activity at higher temperatures has a cost that must be met through increased filtration, increased assimilation efficiency, or both. Should the costs of this increased metabolism be more than the energy amassed through
increased feeding rate and assimilation efficiencies, then greater mortality would eventually occur. Increased temperatures may also result in physiological heat shock. Heat shock requires that heat shock proteins and other compounds be produced to compensate for the cellular biochemical damage (Kregel 2002). If enough heat shock proteins cannot be produced to overcome damage done, mortality would again be likely.

The current results show high mortality for both species at the highest and lowest temperatures tested. As mentioned earlier, at 10°C on low food quality no D. magna animals survived to produce a first clutch, while D. pulex animals on low food quality at 10°C also suffered high mortality rates (90%). This indicates a strong effect of food quality on survivorship at low temperatures because mortality for both D. pulex and D. magna at 10°C was less (55% and 62%, respectively) when food quality was higher. High mortality was also observed for both species at the highest temperature tested (30°C).

Conclusions

The results of these experiments indicate possible strong interactions between food quality and temperature on both the growth and reproduction of D. pulex and D. magna. As our climate in North America continues to warm and nutrient inputs to freshwater systems are altered by human activity, it is important to understand how these key factors will interact to alter aquatic food webs. Species better adapted to higher temperatures may become more common in higher latitudes as native species become less common. Temperature may also alter how species respond to nutrient enrichment or limitation. The use of Daphnia in this series of experiments highlights the possible
effects of these factors on key herbivores in freshwater systems. Future research should investigate the effects of a wide range of C:N:P ratios at a wider range of temperatures in order to better reflect natural conditions and understand how our changing climate will alter our lakes, rivers, and ponds in the future. Extreme weather events, which are predicted to increase, may result in alternating periods of floods and drought. Such conditions alter not only temperature but nutrient availability and will, therefore, have strong effects on aquatic systems.
Table 8. Mortality rates for *D. magna* at 10, 15, 20, and 25° on either high quality or low quality food.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 high</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 low</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 high</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 low</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 high</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 low</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 high</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 low</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Mortality rates for *D. pulex* at 10, 15, 20, and 25° on either high quality or low quality food.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 high</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 low</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 high</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 low</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 high</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 low</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 high</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 low</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 11. Growth rate (± 1 SE) for *D. magna* at high and low food qualities at 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30°C.

Figure 12. Growth rate (± 1 SE) for *D. pulex* at high and low food qualities at 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30°C.
Figure 13. Days (± 1 SE) before production of the first clutch of offspring for *D. magna*.

Figure 14. Number of molts (± 1 SE) before the first clutch of offspring for *D. magna*. 
**D. pulex days to 1st clutch**
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Figure 15. Days (± 1 SE) before production of the first clutch of offspring by *D. pulex*.

**D. pulex molts to 1st clutch**

![Graph showing molts to first clutch of offspring by D. pulex with specific p-values]

Figure 16. Number of molts (± 1 SE) before production of the first clutch of offspring by *D. pulex*. 
Figure 17. Days (± 1 SE) before production of the third clutch of offspring by *D. magna*.

Figure 18. Number of days (± 1 SE) before production of the third clutch by *D. pulex*. 
Figure 19. Days (± 1 SE) between clutches of offspring for *D. magna*.

Figure 20. Average number of offspring (± 1 SE) per clutch for *D. magna*.
Figure 21. Average number of days (± 1 SE) between clutches of offspring for *D. pulex*.

Figure 22. Average number of offspring (± 1 SE) per clutch for *D. pulex*.
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS

Freshwaters, especially lakes, are strong indicators of the effects of climate change on their larger catchment areas. By capturing and sequestering terrestrially-derived carbon, integrating the physical, chemical and biological signals of the catchment, and cycling carbon, lakes can act as mitigators of climate change and can be "sentinels of change," demonstrating several mechanisms by which climate change will alter ecosystems (Williamson et al. 2009) such as alterations in rates of primary production and species ranges. As our freshwater ecosystems experience continued transformations as a result of global environmental change, it becomes increasingly important to understand how these ecosystems will respond to warmer temperatures, changing nutrient loads and exotic species introductions. To maintain ecosystem services from temperate freshwaters such as clean drinking water, flood mitigation, biodiversity maintenance, as well as providing a protein source for millions, managers of these systems must have reliable models upon which to base management decisions. The complex interactions of temperature and nutrient availability are difficult to predict, yet greater local and continental scale variation in these controllers of ecosystem processes and community composition are the future of temperate freshwaters. The research described here is intended to address how aquatic organisms may respond to increased temperatures and varying degrees of nutrient limitation or surplus in order to better
understand how these factors will influence aquatic food webs. Among the main findings of my research is that nutrient limitation may play a greater role in regulating growth and reproduction of key zooplankton taxa at intermediate temperature ranges close to the temperature optima of these organisms. Higher temperatures may result in stronger heat stress that overrides any detectable responses to varying food quality, whether enriched or limited.

Increasing temperature and changes in precipitation will act together to alter the thermal regime of rivers, lakes, ponds, and streams because the thermal capacity and spatial distribution will depend partly on water volume and depth. Changes in temperature minima and maxima, the timing of freezing and ice breakup (in systems that will still experience ice cover), and thermal stratification will all effect freshwater organisms, from the bacteria that break down organic matter to the fish at the top of most aquatic food webs. While higher temperatures alone would favor higher rates of primary production, stronger thermal stratification that limits mixing may result in nutrient limitation in surface waters for longer periods within the year than those presently occurring; however, the extent of this limitation will also depend on temporal patterns of anthropogenic nutrient inputs that in turn vary with potentially altered magnitude and timing of precipitation events or snowmelt (Jankowski et al. 2006). Animals, too, would be expected to have a higher growth rate at increased temperatures within that animal’s thermal tolerance, but temporal mismatch in productivity between consumers and their food resources may temper the effect of enhanced production. Should temperatures increase above an organism’s temperature optimum, reduced growth rate, heat shock, and even death may occur, as seen with Daphnia’s high mortality rate in Chapter 4.
However, some animals such as *Daphnia* have the phenotypic plasticity and variation in the genetic egg bank to adapt to these conditions over time (Wojtal-Frankiewicz 2012). Thus *Daphnia* provide a reliable and pertinent model system with which to work for predicting global change effects on zooplankton populations and communities.

Global increases in bioavailable nitrogen are expected to continue this century (Gallaway et al. 1995). Increased inputs of nitrogen may be expected to increase the productivity of systems that have historically been nitrogen limited. However, increases in nitrogen availability may result in phosphorus limitation. Phosphorus limitation can particularly impact organisms with inherently high growth rates, since faster growth requires higher levels of phosphorus-rich ATP and RNA. This was observed in the growth rate study using *Daphnia* (Chapter 4) where growth rates of *Daphnia* were higher on phosphorus-rich food at higher temperatures and confirms the findings of Elser et al. (2010) who found decreased growth rates in zooplankton from lakes with high levels of nitrogen deposition. Such effects on *Daphnia* may well have cascading effects on overall food chain performance (Elser et al. 2010).

Freshwaters also face the threat of exotic species whose ability to persist in new environments, particularly those at high latitude and altitude, may be enhanced by increases in temperature and nitrogen availability. Opportunities for exotic species to be transported have increased as humans have made international transport more common (Mills et al. 1993). For example, the exotic cnidarian *C. sowerbii* has spread from its original habitat in China to every continent except Antarctica (Dumont 1994).
The incidental introduction of exotic plant and animal species can result in changes to the diversity of ecosystems, as evidenced in Chapters 2 and 3 where *C. sowerbii* was shown to kill a wide range of zooplankton, whether they were consumed or not. This resulted in a reduction in the Shannon Diversity Index for zooplankton when medusae were present. In addition, there was a significant reduction in total zooplankton abundance when medusae were present after only 2 days. All species present except for *Keratella* sp. were impacted by the presence of *C. sowerbii*. Blooms of *C. sowerbii* are associated with warm late summer temperatures and so blooms may increase in occurrence and duration as aquatic waters continue to warm in the coming decades. Should such blooms occur earlier and last longer, the effects on zooplankton communities could be stronger.

These changes in the zooplankton community can greatly alter both the standing stock of phytoplankton, food web structure and the availability of food for higher trophic levels. In addition, animals once experiencing predation pressure may become more abundant once that predator is removed. In Chapter 3, the reduction of one of *Keratella*’s predators (adult Copepods) in the presence of high density medusae was correlated with a large increase in *Keratella* sp. Such indirect effects on the abundance of some zooplankton taxa may well impact other prey species. As the introduction of exotic species continues and even increases, it will be more important than ever to understand how the interaction of these factors—temperature, nutrients, and exotics—will affect our freshwater systems.
I sought in Chapter 4 to highlight the complex interactions of temperature and nutrient availability on the growth rate and reproductive traits of an important and ubiquitous genus, *Daphnia*. *Daphnia*’s ability to serve as a predictive model for how freshwater ecosystems will be altered make research with this genus increasingly important as we strive to understand the changes taking place in freshwaters. Their ability to adapt to a changing environment allows us to mimic these changes in the lab and better understand the complex responses of organisms to their environment. Use of such lab experiments have been found to be fully justified when constraints in natural systems are too strong (Cauchi et al. 2000). The results herein demonstrate that there are strong interactions between food quality and temperature for the growth and reproduction of *Daphnia* species. Their differential responses to food quality at different temperatures indicate the need for further research in order to understand these interactions more fully. For example, while some measures of fecundity (days to first clutch, days to third clutch and days between clutches) showed the predicted inverse relationship to increasing temperature, others measures, such as the number of molts before first reproduction, decreased at higher temperatures despite increased growth rates. This can impact factors such as adult body size, which in turn may alter the predation risk for *Daphnia*. Thus, growth rate and fecundity have strong implications for *Daphnia*’s fitness and ability to thrive in complex aquatic ecosystems.

The future of freshwater systems is certainly important to human health and well-being. Humans depend on freshwater ecosystems to provide clean drinking water, food, flood mitigation, and other ecosystem services. I hope that my contribution to this field will lead to further research and the development of useful models for understanding how
Freshwaters are changing as climate change and human impacts continue to increase in the decades ahead.
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