University of Louisville

ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository

Electronic Theses and Dissertations

12-2017

PCB-associated steatohepatitis and the role of nuclear receptors.

Heather Brooke Clair
University of Louisville

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.library.louisville.edu/etd>

Part of the [Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Clair, Heather Brooke, "PCB-associated steatohepatitis and the role of nuclear receptors." (2017).
Electronic Theses and Dissertations. Paper 2867.
<https://doi.org/10.18297/etd/2867>

This Doctoral Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of ThinkIR: The University of Louisville's Institutional Repository. This title appears here courtesy of the author, who has retained all other copyrights. For more information, please contact thinkir@louisville.edu.

PCB-ASSOCIATED STEATOHEPATITIS AND THE ROLE OF NUCLEAR RECEPTORS

By

Heather Brooke Clair

B.S. University of Georgia, 1999

M.S. University of Georgia, 2001

M.S. University of Louisville, 2015

A Dissertation

Submitted to the Faculty of the
School of Medicine of the University of Louisville
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

In Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics

University of Louisville

Louisville, KY

December, 2017

Copyright 2017 by Heather Brooke Clair

All rights reserved

PCB-ASSOCIATED STEATOHEPATITIS AND THE ROLE OF NUCLEAR RECEPTORS

By

Heather Brooke Clair

B.S. University of Georgia, 1999

M.S. University of Georgia, 2001

M.S. University of Louisville, 2015

A Dissertation Approved on

October 20, 2017

by the following Dissertation Committee:

Matthew C. Cave, M.D.

Russell A. Prough, Ph.D.

Barbara J. Clark, Ph.D.

Aruni Bhatnagar, Ph.D., FAHA

Juliane I. Arteel (nee Beier), Ph.D.

DEDICATION

For my family: you were my purpose and strength.

For my friends: you were my support and my shelter.

For my teachers: you stood as my examples.

For Michael, who is all three.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the investigators and participants involved in the Anniston Community Health Survey for their tireless commitment to the health of those within their own community and beyond. I would also like to thank my department, committee members, and lab personnel for their help in the conception and execution of these projects, as well as the preparation of this publication.

ABSTRACT

PCB-ASSOCIATED STEATOHEPATITIS AND THE ROLE OF NUCLEAR RECEPTORS

Heather B. Clair

May 17, 2017

Metabolic diseases, including fatty liver disease, hyperglycemia, and obesity, result when body systems responsible for managing allostasis (dynamic homeostasis across systems) are pressured beyond their collective compensatory reserve. Nutritional excess contributes to this state, the capacity of which is limited by genetic variation, and failure of one system will gradually lead to pathological overload in the others. Agents which act directly on the communication machinery linking these connected systems can also change the point at which allostatic load becomes allostatic overload. Environmental exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), a class of persistent organic pollutant, is associated with a specific form of toxicant-associated steatohepatitis, fatty liver disease with inflammatory infiltration. PCBs are known to be ligands for the xenobiotic receptors, which, when activated, modulate the transcription of both xenobiotic and intermediary metabolic targets. We investigated the prevalence and characteristics of liver disease in a human population with high environmental PCB exposure, transcriptional changes in the liver in a mouse model of PCB/high-fat diet coexposure, and transcriptional changes attributable to xenobiotic receptors in a primary hepatocyte model.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	X
ABSTRACT	X
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES.....	X
 BACKGROUND	 1
CHAPTER ONE: PCBs are associated with toxicant-associated steatohepatitis, inflammation, and metabolic dysregulation in an exposed human population	24
MATERIALS AND METHODS	29
RESULTS.....	36
DISCUSSION.....	71
CHAPTER TWO: PCBs induce differential transcription in liver tissue from a mouse model of chronic PCB/dietary coexposure.....	79
MATERIALS AND METHODS	81
RESULTS.....	86
DISCUSSION.....	133
CHAPTER THREE: PCB exposure induces a differential transcriptome which partially overlaps with that of prototypical xenobiotic receptor ligands in a mouse primary hepatocyte model.....	138
MATERIALS AND METHODS	140
RESULTS.....	143
DISCUSSION.....	156
CONCLUSIONS.....	162
REFERENCES.....	181
APPENDIX	
Appendix Table 1. Fold change and summary information for 123 targets differentially transcribed with Aroclor 1260 exposure compared to prototypical ligand exposure	213
CURRICULUM VITA	237

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
1. Composition of commercial Aroclor mixtures by PCB homolog group	5
2. Structural/functional groupings of PCB congeners measured in ACHS-II	11
3. 2003-2004 NHANES data – AOR for unexplained ALT elevation by exposure quartile.....	15
4. Criteria for the diagnosis of Metabolic Syndrome (MS/CVD and IR/T2DM).....	21
5. PCB congeners associated with AOR for unexplained ALT elevations in NHANES 2003-2004	28
6. Demographics in ACHS by liver disease status – continuous variables	37
7. Demographics in ACHS by liver disease status – biometric categorical variables.....	38
8. Demographics in ACHS by liver disease status – lifestyle categorical variables.	39
9. Demographics in ACHS-II by liver disease status – continuous variables.	40
10. Demographics in ACHS-II by liver disease status – biometric categorical variables	41
11: Demographics in ACHS-II by liver disease status – health and lifestyle categorical variables.	42
12: Demographic characteristics and liver disease status of ACHS microRNA subcohort	43
13: Univariate associations between demographic/exposure variables and the serum cytokeratin 18 M65 and M30 biomarkers used to categorize liver disease status	44
14: Unadjusted ACHS biomarker levels by liver disease status	46
15: Adjusted beta coefficients of associations of Σ PCBs (wet weight) and liver status with inflammatory biomarkers	48
16: Adjusted beta coefficients of associations of Σ PCBs (wet weight) and liver status with metabolic biomarkers	49
17: ACHS validation – miRNA data by CK18 liver disease group	51
18: Adjusted beta coefficients of CK18 and miRNA levels	52
19: ACHS-II validation – LFTs by CK18 liver disease group	53

20: Cutoff values for upper limit of normal for the clinical liver injury biomarker alanine aminotransferase (ALT)	55
21: Effects of PCBs and BMI on liver disease status by multinomial logistic regression	56
22: PCB groupings and CK18 liver disease status in ACHS and ACHS-II	57
23: Significant associations of Σ PCBs and miRNA species	58
24: ACHS adjusted beta coefficients of significant associations of liver disease status and CK18 biomarkers by individual PCB congeners	60
25: TEQ for dioxin-like PCBs and other dioxin-like species and CK18-indicated liver disease status in ACHS-II	62
26: Adjusted beta coefficients of significant associations of various TEQ summations and CK18 biomarkers in ACHS-II	63
27: Unadjusted ACHS-II biomarker levels by sum of all PCBs (Σ PCBs) sum of 35 ortho-substituted congeners (Σ PCB-O) and all non-dioxin-like congeners (Σ NDL)	65
28: Unadjusted ACHS-II biomarker levels by groups of ortho-substituted PCBs	66
29: Unadjusted ACHS-II biomarker levels by groups of non-ortho-substituted and dioxin-like PCBs	67
30: ACHS adjusted beta coefficients of associations of Σ PCBs (wet weight) with biomarkers	69
31: Adjusted beta coefficients of significant associations of PCB congeners with biomarkers of glucose metabolism	70
32: <i>in vivo</i> experiment dataset properties	85
33: Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison CVvsC20	87
34: Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison CVvsC200	88
35: Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison HVvsH20	89
36: Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison HVvsH200	90
37: Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison CVvsHV	91
38: Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison C20vsH20	92
39: Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison C200vsH200	93
40: Common targets between moderate/high PCB exposure levels within the control diet groups	97

41: Common targets between moderate/high PCB exposure levels within the high-fat diet groups	98
42: Common targets between all PCB exposure levels within diet comparisons	101
43: Enrichments for liver and metabolic disease endpoints in comparison CVvsC20.....	102
44: Enrichments for liver and metabolic disease endpoints in comparison CVvsC200.....	103
45: Enrichments for liver and metabolic disease endpoints in comparison HVvsH20.....	104
46: Enrichments for liver and metabolic disease endpoints in comparison HVvsH200.....	105
47: Targets associated with liver disease enrichments and change vs. vehicle control by comparison.....	106
48: Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (overnutrition/obesity) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison	110
49: Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison	113
50: Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (Metabolic Syndrome) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison	116
51: Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (insulin resistance) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison	118
52: Top ten transcription factor enrichments for condition CVvsC20	121
53: Top ten transcription factor enrichments for condition CVvsC200	122
54: Top ten transcription factor enrichments for condition HVvsH20	123
55: Top ten transcription factor enrichments for condition HVvsH200	124
56: Percent activation for engaged transcription factors identified in all conditions	125
57: Percent activation for engaged transcription factors identified in 1-3 conditions.....	126
58: Treatment summary	141
59: Targets differentially regulated with Aroclor 1260 exposure.....	145
60: Concordance in direction of differential transcription between PCB-DTGs and prototypical ligand DTGs.....	150
61: Fold induction of prototypical targets for AhR, PXR, and CAR with prototypical ligand exposure.....	151
62: Enriched pathways for PCB-DTG set	153

63: Transcription factors with targets in the PCB-dependent differentially-transcribed gene set.....	155
64: Differentially transcribed circadian-rhythm related targets from <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> experiments	169

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
1. Basic structure of a polychlorinated biphenyl	4
2. Structural characteristics of PCBs compared to TCDD and other dioxin-like chemicals.....	9
3. Equations for calculated parameters in human epidemiological studies	14
4: Distribution of ortho/non-ortho structures within homolog groups	17
5: Origin and detection of cytokeratin 18 in serum samples	26
6: Σ PCBs were inversely associated with metabolic biomarkers in ACHS.....	64
7. Distribution of ALT levels in ACHS by liver disease category	73
8. PCB exposure and high-fat diet induce phenotypic changes in C57/BL6 mice.....	82
9: Moderate and high PCB exposures produce overlapping DTG sets (vs. vehicle)	95
10: Comparison of PCB/diet interactions	99
11. IL-6-mediated acute-phase response in hepatocytes.....	107
12. IL-6/STAT-3 signaling pathways	108
13. Transcription factors regulated by PXR or PXR/RXR via protein-protein interactions.....	127
14. Nipk/Trib3 signaling pathways downstream of PPAR-alpha	129
15. Arnt/Bmal1 pathway related to circadian signaling	130
16. Claudin-1 and e-cadherin pathways related to EMT.....	132
17. Summary of transcriptional differences between groups.....	134
18. Histograms of differential transcriptomes produced by PCB/prototypical ligand exposure.....	144
19. Venn diagram showing the overlapping differential gene sets between PCB and prototypical ligand exposures	147
20. A portion of the PCB-DTG overlapped with DTGs for AhR, PXR, CAR and LXR prototypical ligands.	148

21. Pathways related to EMT were enriched in genes in the PCB-DTG set	154
22. Exposure to PCB with or without HFD coexposure alters transcription of multiple components of IL-6 signaling.....	165
23. Ephrin ligand A1 (Efna1) was differentially regulated in multiple comparisons	172
24. Ephrin ligand A5 (Efna5) was differentially regulated in multiple comparisons	173
25. Ephrin receptor B2 (EphB2) was differentially regulated in multiple comparisons	174
26. <i>in vivo</i> comparison diagrams	179

BACKGROUND

Toxicant-associated steatohepatitis (TASH) is a term applied to a unique subset of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) related to chemical exposures. Though the liver is known to be a primary target in many industrial chemical exposures, environmental chemical exposures represent a much more widespread and insidious threat to liver health. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are lipophilic, and while some compounds are metabolized, relatively unmetabolizable chemicals distribute to fat depots of exposed biota. Consumption of these POPs-bearing biota represents the major route of environmental toxicant exposure for humans and other apex consumers. Consequently, even though the same classes of chemicals may be involved in industrial and environmental exposures, an environmental toxicant exposome is acquired slowly throughout the life cycle and is enriched in less metabolizable chemicals, while an industrially-acquired exposome more likely involves higher levels of more readily metabolized chemicals acquired acutely by adults. This is an important consideration, because the same endpoints are used to evaluate toxicity in either case, but the differences in acquisition timeframe and composition may render the effects of chronic exposures subclinical and change toxicity mechanisms. These differences can affect the biomarkers used for screening assays, hindering diagnosis and treatment of TAFLD and TASH at earlier, more reversible stages and thereby masking the extent of liver injury.

The class of POPs collectively referred to as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) typify the most challenging aspects of research in environmentally-acquired toxicant exposures. 1) Because of their chemical stability, PCBs continue to persist in the environment and in the tissues of humans decades after discontinuation of production. 2) PCBs are a class of chemicals invariably encountered as mixtures both in industrial and environmental exposures, and the

structures present within those mixtures are diverse enough that they are likely to have multiple and potentially overlapping or competing mechanisms of toxicity. 3) Although compositions of these mixtures have been exhaustively documented in production, the environment, and in the tissues of biota including humans, for historical and political reasons, both the mixtures themselves and their effects are still often summarized by a single component which assumes one mechanism: in this case the subset of congeners bearing structural similarity to dioxins and expected to act as arylhydrocarbon receptor (AhR) agonists. 4) The direct effects of chronic, low-level PCB exposures differ from the more thoroughly evaluated effects of high-level, industrial exposures – likely because different distributions of congeners drive different engagement of xenobiotic receptors. 5) The ultimate effects of chronic PCB exposure-related TASH have great potential to be modulated or exacerbated by long-term nutritional coexposures, and race/ethnicity and gender differences, and these differences are understudied. 6) PCBs, like other toxicants associated with TASH, appear to cause a form of liver disease which is mechanistically and diagnostically distinct from other forms of fatty liver disease, making its detection by typical laboratory screening techniques difficult. These points may explain some characteristics which frustrate the recognition of environmentally-acquired PCB impact on human health and hinder its resolution.

PCBs remain a focus of environmental and human health research, occupying (in various groupings) 3 out of the top 20 positions in the 2015 Substance Priority List published by the Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry (ATSDR)¹. We hypothesized that, in humans, chronic environmental PCB exposure would be associated with increased liver injury biomarkers indicating TASH. We furthermore hypothesized that PCB exposure in mice and primary mouse hepatocytes would induce differential transcription of targets related to liver disease and that in primary mouse hepatocytes, that differential transcription would overlap with the response to prototypical ligands for specific xenobiotic receptors.

Manufacture and chemical characteristics of PCBs

Every human health effect of PCBs must be evaluated in light of one critical consideration: from the moment of manufacture to the moment of exposure, PCBs never exist as a single chemical, but as a fluctuating mixture of related chemicals each with unique biological and chemical properties. The basic structure of a PCB, shown in Figure 1, is that of a biphenyl ring with as few as 1 or as many as 10 chlorine substitutions in various positions about the molecule, leading to 209 potential congener configurations. PCBs were produced throughout the world *via* ferric chloride-catalyzed chlorination of biphenyl. Three cities in the U.S. were sites for commercial manufacture of PCBs: Anniston, AL from 1929-1930 (Swann Research, Inc.) and 1930-1971 (Monsanto, Inc.), Saguet, IL until 1977 (Monsanto, Inc.) and Houston, TX from 1972-1974 (Geneva Industries)². PCBs were produced mainly for use as dielectric fluids in electrical equipment, but were also used as lubricants, plasticizers, sealants and carriers in many products. The desired material properties of chemical stability and low flammability offered by PCB mixtures are related to the component structures and correspond roughly to the degree of chlorination. PCBs produced by Monsanto, Inc. were marketed as mixtures called Aroclors, with a number corresponding to the nominal average percent chlorine (e.g. Aroclor 1260 is approximately 60% chlorine by weight)³.

This production and marketing methodology gives rise to one scheme for grouping PCBs: PCB homologs are defined as sets of congeners with a common number of chlorine substitutions. In addition to providing a way to estimate levels of total production, use and disposal of PCBs and to equate these amounts with contamination levels, grouping by homologs allows the appreciation of certain general characteristics and trends. More heavily substituted homologs have higher average melting and boiling points, higher vapor pressure and are more miscible in lipids (quantified as higher octanol-water partition coefficients)³. Aroclor mixtures including Aroclor 1260, 1254 and 1248 were composed mainly of higher-molecular weight homologs (tetrachlorobiphenyl and above). Within each homolog group, PCB congeners with different substitution patterns are referred to as isomers. The composition of commercial Aroclor mixtures by PCB homolog group is shown in Table 1.

Figure 1. Basic structure of a polychlorinated biphenyl.

The basic structure of a PCB is a biphenyl ring with 1-10 chlorine substitutions at carbons 2 (2') and 6 (6'). Substitutions in the ortho positions favor rotation of the rings relative to one another, with the functional consequence of reduced coplanarity with each additional ortho substitution. PCB congeners with less (tri, di, mono-ortho) or no ortho substitutions (non-ortho) assume an increasingly more coplanar structure.

Table 1

Composition of commercial Aroclor mixtures by PCB homolog group			Distribution of homolog groups														
			commercial Aroclor mixtures						human tissues								
PCB homolog group name	Empirical Formula	% Chlorine (by weight) In various homolog groups	1221	1232	1016	1242	1248	1254	1260	Serum (NHANES)	Serum (ACHS - AA)	Serum (ACHS - NHW)	Adipose	Liver	Muscle	Kidney	Brain
Biphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₁₀	0	10														
Monochlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₉ Cl	19	50	26	2	1											
Bichlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₈ Cl ₂	32	35	29	19	13	1										
Trichlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₇ Cl ₃	41	4	24	57	45	22	1		4		1					
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₆ Cl ₄	49		1	15	22	31	49	15	11	2	3	4	1	<1		
Pentachlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₅ Cl ₅	54					10	27	53	13	10	8	26	6	3	4	4
Hexachlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₄ Cl ₆	59						2	26	36	44	40	44	49	47	48	49
Heptachlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₃ Cl ₇	63						4	38	25	29	30	22	37	41	38	39
Octachlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₂ Cl ₈	66							7	7	10	13	4	8	9	9	9
Nonachlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ H ₁ Cl ₉	69							1	2	2	3	0				
Decachlorobiphenyl	C ₁₂ Cl ₁₀	71								1	2	2	1				
The composition of several commercial Aroclors (Erickson <i>et al.</i> , 2015), and selected human tissues including serum-NHANES (Patterson <i>et al.</i> ,																	

The composition of several commercial Aroclors (Erickson *et al.*, 2015), and selected human tissues including serum-NHANES (Patterson *et al.*, 2009), serum-ACHS(AA = African American/Black, NHW = Non-Hispanic White) (Pavuk *et al.*, 2014), adipose (McFarland *et al.*, 1989), liver,

muscle, kidney, and brain (Chu *et al.*, 2003) by homolog is shown. The percent chlorine (by weight) is shown for each homolog group (column

3). The relative percent composition of several commercial Aroclor mixtures are shown in columns 4-10.

Unsurprisingly, the environmental persistence of individual congeners within the mixture is also related to structure. Weathering is a term applied to PCB mixtures undergoing profile changes due to physical or chemical processes such as water washing, photodegradation, volatilization, and evaporation. It can also refer to aerobic degradation due to microbial action. Weathering disproportionately affects lighter molecular weight congeners, particularly mono-, di-, and tri- substituted congeners, resulting in mixtures with proportionately more tetra- and penta-substituted congeners⁴. Congeners bioaccumulating within the fatty tissue of exposed biota also tend to be those with more chlorine substitutions, and are dependent on two properties related to structure and influenced by degree of chlorination: resistance to non-metabolic clearance (increased lipophilicity) and structural inaccessibility to metabolic machinery (substitution pattern)⁵.

In summary, PCBs were manufactured to meet a demand for industrial fluids that were highly stable, thermoresistant, and miscible in lipids, the same characteristics underlying the persistence of PCBs in the environment. It is important to note, however, that PCB congeners are not equally affected by processes of physical and chemical degradation, leading to changes in the profile of PCB mixtures from manufacture to environmental substrates to eventual bioaccumulation in humans. This is particularly critical to the design of models which reflect the current PCB exposure conditions: uptake of weathered and pre-metabolized PCBs through ingestion of contaminated biota.

Bioactivity of PCBs in mixtures with widespread relevance to human health

Over 80 years have elapsed since the initial reports of human toxicity from PCB exposure^{3, 6}, and in that time, substantial research efforts have been put toward understanding the chemical composition and health effects of manufactured PCB mixtures and occupational exposures⁷⁻¹². While this work has been critical to characterizing human disease resulting from industrial exposures, it has become somewhat obsolete for several reasons. First, except from a standpoint of longitudinal health and mortality assessment, research modeled on occupational PCB exposures is less relevant today because PCB production has been banned for 40 years,

therefore, new occupational exposures no longer occur in the process of PCB manufacture. Second, the most common route of exposure in humans is low-level, environmental acquisition through ingestion of contaminated foodstuffs, and as congeners bioaccumulate at different rates, PCBs which make up the current exposome are more likely to be higher-substituted, highly-bioaccumulated and less metabolizable, generalizations which are reflected in assessments of serum PCB levels in exposed populations. Third, emerging data on the impact of nutritional coexposures suggests that the current obesity epidemic may significantly modulate the effects of PCBs on human health. Thus, different PCBs may be exerting their effects in a different internal environment currently than was explored in the original toxicity studies.

Early evaluation of the toxicity of PCBs focused on high-level exposures and acute toxicity which modeled the human health effects seen in occupational exposures⁷⁻⁹, accidental contamination from PCB-containing electrical equipment^{12, 13}, and poisoning incidents such as the Yusho and Yucheng^{9, 14, 15} events in which thousands of people in Japan and Taiwan (respectively) were exposed to PCBs, dioxins and dibenzofurans through ingestion of rice oil contaminated with a commercial PCB mixture. These incidents differ from the PCB exposure experienced by most U.S. citizens in both scale and composition. Health consequences such as chloracne (a noninflammatory skin condition characterized by cyst and closed comedone development with sebaceous gland atrophy), immunosuppression, reproductive and developmental effects, acute liver damage, and cancer were observed in some individuals within these cohorts. Importantly, elevated liver enzyme activity and other biomarkers of these effects were typically observed at very high exposures. This observation as well as a superficial resemblance of this pattern of human health effects to the constellation of pathologies induced by dioxins in laboratory animals¹⁶ led researchers to focus on a small subset of congeners within the exposure mixture with a specific structural characteristic: absence of chlorine substitutions in the four available ortho positions. This characteristic is related to but independent of the overall degree of chlorination in the molecule. Chlorine substitutions at these ortho positions affect the orientation of the rings relative to one another such that congeners with no substitutions in these

positions are relatively coplanar, while increasing numbers of chlorine substitutions in these positions increases the likelihood of a molecule with rings that are non-coplanar (perpendicular).

Coplanar PCBs share structural similarity to the molecule 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD), which is an extensively studied, potent agonist for the AhR. Structural characteristics of PCBs compared to TCDD and other dioxin-like chemicals are shown in Figure 2. Coplanar PCBs, which can induce transactivation of targets under the control of a dioxin response element (DRE), are considered dioxin-like (DL) PCBs, while increasing ortho substitutions render a congener increasingly non-dioxin-like (NDL). The number of relatively potent (non-ortho) dioxin-like congeners is constrained by the requirement for unsubstituted ortho carbons (2, 2', 4, and 4') and becomes increasingly unlikely as the number of substitutions increases, such that only 1 non-ortho congener (PCB 169) exists among hexachlorobiphenyls and none exist among the higher molecular weight congeners.

Most research has focused on these dioxin-like congeners, which are present in only trace amounts within most commercial PCB mixtures and found at extraordinarily low levels in the tissues of exposed biota including humans. In rodent models, exposure to TCDD has been linked to many of the disease endpoints listed above, including immunotoxicity, reproductive effects, and cancer¹⁶, although the connection between TCDD and other dioxins and these effects in humans is less clear¹⁷. Even chloracne, which is the only consistently-reported human health marker of dioxin intoxication¹⁸, is not a clear outcome of AhR induction and does not follow AhR induction by all ligands. Nevertheless, coplanar PCBs are also ligands for AhR, although they are much less effective than prototypical ligand TCDD at inducing transcription of Cyp1a1, the prototypical target of AhR, *in vivo*¹⁶.

NDL congeners are characterized by chlorine substitutions in the ortho positions, which induce non-coplanarity. These congeners tend to be more resistant to degradation and bioaccumulate to much higher levels. Historically, these congeners were dismissed as less toxic to humans and of a lower priority in remediation and human health research¹⁶, however more recently, the recognition that these congeners are ligand activators of other xenobiotic receptors has led to a resurgence of interest. NDL congeners are direct ligands for the pregnane and

Figure 2. Structural characteristics of PCBs compared to TCDD and other dioxin-like chemicals.

(Adapted from Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2014, 15(8), 14044-14057.)

xenobiotic receptor (PXR) and the human constitutive androstane receptor isoforms 2 and 3 (hCAR2/3) but not human CAR isoform 1 (hCAR1), which is homologous to murine CAR (mCAR)¹⁹. However, our laboratory recently reported that the both NDL and DL PCB congeners, as well as the mixture Aroclor 1260, inhibit activation of the human and murine epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), which provides an indirect mechanism for mCAR activation^{20, 21}.

Importantly, though AhR, CAR, and PXR are considered xenobiotic receptors and most research has focused on describing their role in the drug- or toxicant-dependent induction of xenobiotic metabolic machinery, they are also involved in transcriptional control of intermediary metabolism and inflammatory response. These functions may ultimately explain both the link between PCB exposure and metabolic disruption and some complexities of diet/PCB coexposures in metabolic disease. Our laboratory reported that, in mice, exposure to Aroclor 1260 alone was insufficient to induce a phenotype of steatohepatitis, and that a coexposure to high fat diet was required to observe the phenotypes of fatty liver and diabetes^{22, 23}.

Beyond the DL/NDL stratification, other groupings of PCB congeners reflect the ability of individual congeners to alter the function of receptors such as the ryanodine receptor²⁴⁻²⁶, estrogen receptor^{27, 28}, and androgen receptor^{29, 30}, shown in Table 2.

In summary, humans encounter PCBs not as individual chemicals, but as complex mixtures of congeners, each of which has unique structural characteristics that influence stability and bioaccumulation patterns as well as the mechanisms of biological effect. The composition of PCB mixtures represented in historic occupational and accidental PCB intoxication events differs significantly from the mixture accumulated slowly through chronic environmental exposure, and this is likely to alter both the mechanism of effect and the phenotype. Therefore, experimental designs which involve single congeners and risk-assessment algorithms which assume only one mechanism are unlikely to realistically model the effect of environmental exposure.

Historic context for reliance on AhR induction estimates to define PCB toxicity

As stated above, although PCBs are encountered as complex mixtures with complex effects, historically, the toxicity of PCBs was attributed entirely to AhR-mediated effects of dioxin-

Table 2

Structural/functional groupings of PCB congeners measured in ACHS-II

Structural groupings	
All (Σ PCB)	28, 44, 49, 52, 66, 74, 87, 99, 101, 105, 110, 118, 128, 138–158, 146, 149, 151, 153, 156, 157, 167, 170, 172, 177, 178, 180, 183, 187, 189, 194, 195, 196–203, 199, 206, 209
Ortho-Substituted (Σ PCB-O)	28, 44, 49, 52, 66, 74, 87, 99, 101, 105, 110, 118, 128, 138, 146, 149, 151, 153, 156, 157, 167, 170, 172, 177, 178, 180, 183, 187, 189, 194, 195, 196, 199, 206, 209
Tri- and Tetra-ortho (Σ PCB-TO)	149, 151, 177, 178, 183, 187, 195, 196-203, 199, 206, 209
Di-Ortho (Σ PCB-DO)	44, 49, 52, 87, 99, 101, 110, 128, 138-158, 146, 153, 170, 172, 180, 194
Mono-Ortho (Σ PCB-MO)	28, 66, 74, 105, 118, 156, 157, 167, 189
Non-Ortho (Σ PCB-NO)	81, 126, 169
Functional groupings	
Dioxin-Like (Σ DL)	81, 105, 118, 156, 157, 167, 189, 126, 169
Non-Dioxin-Like (Σ NDL)	28, 44, 49, 52, 66, 74, 87, 99, 101, 110, 128, 138, 146, 149, 151, 153, 170, 172, 177, 178, 180, 183, 187, 194, 195, 196, 199, 206, 209
Estrogen receptor (estrogenic)	18, 28, 30, 44, 49, 52, 99, 101, 103, 110, 128
Estrogen receptor (anti-estrogenic)	118, 138, 163, 170, 180, 187, 194, 199, 203
Androgen receptor (anti-androgenic)	28, 49, 66, 74, 105, 118
Ryanodine receptor	95, 136, 149, 176, 84, 96, 52, 151, 183, 187, 170, 101, 132, 180, 18

PCBs are grouped by structural and structural/functional characteristics to assess relationships between disease or biomarker parameters and the total serum levels of structures with common characteristics (structural) or mechanisms (structural/functional). For dioxin-like/non-dioxin-like congeners, the designation is based on the presence of ortho-substitutions (0 or 1 for dioxin-like, 2-4 for non-dioxin-like).

like congeners. Both political and practical considerations motivated this focus. From a practical standpoint, acute occupational exposures and high-level accidental poisoning with relatively undegraded PCB mixtures were readily observable, and therefore exposure to mixtures containing lower molecular weight and dioxin-like congeners was the primary PCB-related human health concern at the time. Aggressive exploration of environmental PCB contamination began in the 1970's, following adoption of gas chromatography techniques which allowed identification of PCBs (as well as chlorinated pesticides) in the environment³. In the same general time, political pressure revolving around the use of Agent Orange in the Vietnam War steered a great deal of research toward the health effects of dioxins and dioxin-like chemicals. The result of this was the adoption of the TCDD-equivalent factor (TEF) protocol to determine the TCDD equivalency (TEQ), a value normalizing any dioxin-like compound to TCDD based on its ability to induce AhR-mediated response in an *in vivo* or *in vitro* system³¹. Classes included in this protocol include PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs, the structures of which are shown in Figure 2. While this system allows for the quick estimation of AhR-mediated toxicity in complex mixtures and clinical or environmental samples by summing concentrations of individual compounds multiplied by their respective TEQs, there are problems inherent in the concept.

First, the protocol presupposes that "Most, if not all, toxic and biological effects of [PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs] are mediated through the aryl hydrocarbon receptor"³¹. This is certainly not true of mixtures such as PCBs in which all potential AhR ligands make up less than 1.5% of even unweathered commercial mixtures like 1260³². Far from being inert, the remaining 98.5% of this mixture contains congeners which are known to induce other receptors, including both classical xenobiotic nuclear receptors^{19, 33-35} and cell surface receptors²⁰. Second, classic assays based on induction or activity of xenobiotic metabolic targets of AhR presume that exposure-dependent changes in AhR-mediated induction in this subset of targets can be extrapolated to the entire complement of AhR targets. In fact, the transcriptional effects of AhR are selectively modified by the ligand, with more widely conserved effects across ligands on targets involved in xenobiotic metabolism or response to oxidative stress and more ligand-specific modulation of targets involved in lipid carbohydrate metabolism³⁶. Finally, the most powerful

application of the TEQ protocol is the ability to quickly summarize the AhR-mediated effects of various chemicals quantified by gas chromatography coupled to high-resolution mass spectrometry (GC/HRMS) by normalizing the contribution of each compound to TCDD using the TEF and then summing the resulting TEQs. This allows a total TEQ to be derived from multiple dioxin-like classes of chemical, including PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs (Figure 3). Perhaps most pertinent to this particular study, although data from multiple human exposures to these compounds, including Yusho and Yucheng poisoning events¹⁴ and exposed individuals residing near the PCB manufacturing facility in Anniston, AL suggest that PCDDs and PCDFs contribute more to the overall TEQ. However, our laboratory's evaluation of the 2003-2004 NHANES data showed that, among these classes, after adjustment for multiple comparisons, only PCBs were positively associated with liver injury³⁷ (shown in Table 3).

In summary, a need to prioritize exposures for reasons of risk assessment and remediation led to methods, like the TEQ protocol, which weight the importance of one mechanism over others, and the selection of dioxins/AhR as the flagship toxicant was driven by both practical and political considerations. While this method may accurately represent the likelihood that PCBs will have effects mediated by AhR, neither AhR activation nor modulation of any other single mechanism can sufficiently describe the toxic/biological effects of complex environmental exposures. This is particularly true in the case of PCBs, where both the overall exposure level and the distribution of congeners comprising the mixture are known to differ considerably between acute/occupational and chronic/environmental exposures.

Environmental PCB exposures vs. industrial PCB exposures

High-level exposures to industrial PCB mixtures are known to cause liver injury as well as pathologies in other tissues in humans and other animals, as previously described. Although the effects of chronic, environmental PCB exposures on the liver are less well characterized, some conclusions can be drawn about the relationship between PCBs and liver injury based on the results of several studies: the NHANES study described above, a longitudinal examination of the

Equation 1. PCDD TEQ

$$PCDD\ TEQ = \sum_{ni} (PCDD_i \times TEF_i)_n$$

Equation 2. PCDF TEQ

$$PCDF\ TEQ = \sum_{ni} (PCDF_i \times TEF_i)_n$$

Equation 3. PCB TEQ

$$PCB\ TEQ = \sum_{ni} (PCB_i \times TEF_i)_n$$

Equation 4. Total TEQ

$$\begin{aligned} Total\ TEQ &= \sum_{ni} (PCDD_i \times TEF_i)_n + \sum_{ni} (PCDF_i \times TEF_i)_n \\ &+ \sum_{ni} (PCB_i \times TEF_i)_n \end{aligned}$$

Equation 5: HOMA-IR

$$HOMA - IR = \frac{(Glucose \times Insulin)}{22.5}$$

Equation 6: HOMA-β

$$HOMA - \beta = \frac{(20 \times Insulin)}{(Glucose - 3.5)} \%$$

Equation 7: Total Lipids

$$Total\ Lipids = (2.27 \times Total\ Cholesterol) + Triglycerides + 62.3\ mg/dl$$

Figure 3. Equations for calculated parameters in human epidemiological studies.

These equations are used to summarize and interpret differential biomarkers in human serum.

Equations 1-4 are used to calculate the effects of various PCB congener groupings on the arylhydrocarbon receptor. Equations 5 & 6 are used the in homeostatic method of assessment (HOMA) model to discriminate between different etiologies in abnormal glycemic control.

Equation 7 is used to estimate the total lipid content of serum from measured parameters of total cholesterol and triglycerides. “Total lipids” is then used as a variable to correct serum content of hydrophobic contaminants such as PCBs.

Table 3

2003-2004 NHANES data – AOR for unexplained ALT elevation by exposure quartile

Pollutant Subclass	Adjusted* Odds Ratios for Unexplained ALT Elevation by Exposure Quartile				p_{trend-adj}[†]
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	
Coplanar PCBs	Ref	2.2	4.4	7.6	<0.001
Non-dioxin-like PCBs	Ref	0.8	2.4	4.5	0.001
Total PCBs	Ref	0.8	2.2	4.3	0.010

The relationships between biomarker-indicated liver disease and PCBs in the 2003-2004

NHANES population are shown. Increased total PCB exposure was associated with an increased adjusted odds ratio (AOR) for unexplained ALT elevation, attributable to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. From Cave *et al*, 2010^x. Used with permission.

historically exposed Yusho population¹², PCB exposure in the Michigan fisheaters study³⁸, and data from exposed wildlife^{16, 39}.

At higher molecular weights, the distribution of congeners heavily disfavors non-ortho, strong AhR ligands. Bioaccumulation patterns favor higher molecular weight congeners, meaning that, for humans acquiring PCBs through the ingestion of contaminated biota, the initial exposure is to a higher average molecular weight, more ortho mixture (shown in Table 1), and the steady state distribution of congeners further reinforces this pattern, shown in Figure 4 and Table 1.

Sex, genotype and diet interactions in PCB-exposed humans and animal models

From a standpoint of complexity, there is no more daunting area of human health research than metabolic disease. The metabolic machinery of humans is both versatile and robust. We can adapt to frequent physiological fluctuations in nutritional status, different food sources, and varying demands from multiple tissues to support daily function, development, and reproduction. In many cases, tissues can weather temporary metabolic disruption due to illness or intoxication and eventually regain homeostasis. This process is described by the concept of allostasis, or maintaining stability through change, an energy-dependent process involving concerted actions between multiple tissues⁴⁰. On the other hand, in the multistep and multisystem process that converts nutrients into metabolites and energy, a mutation leading to loss of function in one critical enzyme can eventually lead to failure in connected systems. Complex disease etiologies occur where additive pressures across connected systems eventually overwhelm compensatory processes. Eventually, component systems fail as additive pressures overwhelm their capacity to adapt, meaning that pressure from different causes can converge on shared pathological outcomes. Because of the multitude of processes in play, disease progression may be slow and pleiotropic, and the direct effects of causal agents may be obscured as the allostatic load is shunted to other systems.

In mammals, the liver is the primary biochemical interface between the environment and the organism, transducing signals and substrates in multiple directions to sense and respond to constantly-changing conditions. In terms of allostatic processes, this places the liver as both a

Figure 4. Distribution of ortho/non-ortho structures within homolog groups.

As molecular weight and number of chlorine substitutions increases, ortho substitutions become more likely. The four non-ortho substituted congeners which bioaccumulate to appreciable levels in humans are PCBs 77, 81, 126 and 169.

hub (because of its position in lipid and carbohydrate trafficking) and as a temporary terminus (because of its capacity for lipid and carbohydrate storage). The adaptive capacity of the liver is extraordinary, but not limitless. Lipid and carbohydrate uptake which chronically exceeds hepatic metabolic/export capacity can lead to steatosis. This has been demonstrated experimentally, using diets enriched in various fats or sugars to induce steatosis, (as well as obesity, dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia) in laboratory animals⁴¹⁻⁴⁴. The process is also indicated in humans by epidemiological studies which show a strong relationship between BMI or visceral obesity (proxy measurements for hypercaloric/high-fat/high-sugar diets) and metabolic dysregulation. In addition to the higher PCB exposures found in Anniston and other exposed human populations vs. the NHANES 2003-2004 population, the contributions of overweight/obesity to steatohepatitis and other metabolic disease cannot be ignored. These nutritional factors are additive stressors, increasing demand on tissues whose adaptive capacity is compromised by the effects of sensing or responding to xenobiotics. The boundaries of that capacity arise from genetic variation in the components of metabolic machinery and the combination of endobiotic and xenobiotic molecules interpreted as a need for functional change. Examples drawn from epidemiological studies include patatin-like phosphospholipase domain-containing-protein 3 (PNPLA3), which has been shown to segregate with racial/ethnic variations in NAFLD prevalence⁴⁵ and the prevalent Q84R (missense) mutation in the human tribbles pseudokinase 3 gene (TRIB3) which is associated with insulin resistance/T2DM^{46, 47}, cardiovascular risk⁴⁶, and polycystic ovary syndrome in various human populations. Humans homozygous for dominant-negative PPAR γ (adipose-prevalent isoform) have reduced body fat and greatly increased insulin resistance⁴⁸. Sex effects are also clear in epidemiological studies of PCB-associated disease. In exposed individuals from Anniston, AL, T2DM prevalence was increased only in females⁴⁹, a finding which echoed reports from a 24-year follow-up of the historic Yucheng poisoning incident, which found a 2-fold increase in the prevalence of diabetes among female but not male subjects⁵⁰. Males in the Yucheng cohort had a higher prevalence of mortality from liver cirrhosis and liver cancer⁵¹.

In summary, additive pressures from chemical exposures and nutrition can combine with sex- and genotype- specific variation in adaptive capacity to change the prevalence or the manifestation of metabolic disease. Because of its central position in both allostatic maintenance and metabolic and detoxification processes, the liver might be expected to show early and extensive effects from the multiple “hits” of xenobiotic and dietary exposures.

PCBs and TASH

The extraordinary range of molecular structures which can be recognized and successfully handled by one or more hepatic metabolic pathways is rivaled only by another unique quality: the liver can *really* take a beating. The liver can successfully regenerate after surgical removal more than 70% of its total mass^{52, 53}, or the necrosis of 1/3 of the hepatic lobule following exposure to toxicants such as acetaminophen or carbon tetrachloride⁵⁴.

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a widespread metabolic disease of the liver, affecting an estimated 25% of the population worldwide⁵⁵. NAFLD is defined histologically by steatosis (pathological accumulation of lipids) within 5% or more of hepatocytes in the absence of excess alcohol consumption. First considering the liver as an isolated system, four general mechanisms can initiate lipid accumulation: increased lipid transport into the hepatocyte, increased de novo synthesis of lipids, decreased β -oxidation, or decreased lipid export⁵⁶. As the hub of both intermediary metabolism and xenobiotic metabolism, the liver is essential to systemic homeostasis of both lipids and glucose as well as metabolism of endogenous and exogenous toxicants. It therefore possesses highly concerted machinery for sensing xenobiotics and systemic signaling molecules, and incorporating this context into programs that regulate nutrient/energy balance and nutrient outflow. From this perspective, it is not difficult to understand how a chemical could alter systemic lipid and glucose homeostasis through its direct effects on the liver; that is the concept underlying the use of metabolism-altering drugs with primary effects in the liver such as statins, fibrates, and metformin to affect systemic homeostasis of cholesterol, lipids, and glucose, respectively⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹. Toxicants which exert effects in the liver could be expected to have similar effects, depending on what combination of mechanisms they

perturb. In addition, both intrinsic and idiosyncratic reactions to drugs or toxicants can result in the development of steatosis⁶⁰, highlighting the complexity of exposure-genotype or exposure-genotype-nutrient interactions.

NAFLD is recognized as the liver manifestation of metabolic syndrome (MS), a constellation of metabolic alterations in multiple organ systems, including obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia and hypertension, that appear to be connected (appear together more often than would be expected by chance). In 2004, the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and the American Heart Association (AHA) released recommendations for diagnosis of MS with a primary outcome of cardiovascular disease. According to the Adult Treatment Panel-III (ATP-III), MS may be diagnosed by the presence of abnormalities in three or more of the following five criteria, shown in Table 4⁶¹. With similar tools but a focus on insulin-resistant diabetes as the pathological endpoint of interest, the International Diabetes Federation released similar guidelines which require obesity as well as abnormality in two of the other criteria⁶².

Regardless of the exact cutoffs required for diagnosis, the criteria cluster around several interrelated components: obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and progressive cardiovascular disease. NAFLD is not currently part of the criteria for MS diagnosis, however, it is frequently found with other components of MS and the presence of NAFLD (by persistent elevations in liver enzymes ALT using the lower, gender-specific ranges defined by Prati *et al.*, 2002⁶³) had a significantly higher positive predictive value for development of insulin resistance than ATP-III in nonobese, nondiabetic subjects⁶⁴. Multiple studies (reviewed in Anstee *et al.*, 2013) indicate that the presence of NAFLD/NASH can predict the development of cardiovascular disease and T2DM after adjustment for obesity⁶⁵. The significance of these findings is straightforward: the liver is an early site of dysfunction in MS, and liver injury can predict both MS and its component diseases early in the natural history of this complex syndrome.

The liver, therefore, is a central hub in the progression of MS, however, because fatty liver disease may be a cause or effect of systemic metabolic dysfunction, there may be differences in specific direct and compensatory responses of hepatocytes depending on the initiating event(s). These differences in engaged pathways may also affect the mechanisms

Table 4

Criteria for the diagnosis of Metabolic Syndrome (MS/CVD and IR/T2DM)

Component Disease	Test Parameter	NHLBI/AHA: ATP-III Criteria, CHF/CVD primary outcome (3 of the 5 required)	IDF: IR/T2DM primary outcome (Visceral obesity required + 2 of the 4 remaining)
Obesity	Visceral obesity (waist circumference)	> 102 cm in men or > 88 cm in women	For Europid, Sub-Saharan African and Eastern Mediterranean and Middle Eastern Men: ≥ 94 cm Women: ≥ 80 cm For South Asian, Chinese, Ethnic south and central American Men: ≥ 90 cm Women: ≥ 80 cm For Japanese Men ≥ 85 cm Women ≥ 90 cm
Hypertension	Elevated blood pressure	> 130/85 mm Hg	> 130/85 mm Hg (or treatment history)
Insulin Resistance	Hyperglycemia (elevated fasting plasma glucose)	> 6.1 mmol/L	≥ 5.6 mmol/l
Atherogenic dyslipidemia	Elevated (fasting) serum triglycerides	> 1.7 mmol/L	≥ 1.7 mmol/l (or treatment history)
	Low HDL cholesterol	< 1.04 mmol/L	< 1.03 mmol/l for men < 1.29 mmol/l for women

Criteria for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome are shown. Because the endpoints of interest to the American Heart Association (column 3) and International Diabetes Foundation (column 4) differ, slightly different criteria are used to define metabolic syndrome.

which drive changes in circulating biomarkers, including mechanistic biomarkers of hepatocyte death, transcription and release of microRNAs, and release of liver-specific functional enzymes which can be used for liver injury screening. This is important, because while liver disease occurs early in MS and can be causal, it is also generally asymptomatic, making non-invasive, low-risk detection techniques imperative.

Imaging techniques are non-invasive and low-risk and may eventually (in combination with serum biomarkers) provide sensitive and specific diagnosis and staging of fatty liver disease to subclinical populations. At present, however, ultrasonography is both subjective and qualitative, and X-ray computed tomography (CT), proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H-MRS), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are all resource-intensive and still cannot reliably distinguish simple steatosis from steatohepatitis⁶⁵. Elevated liver transaminases are widely used clinically for diagnosis of liver injury, but they are far more effective for indicating liver injury from endogenous causes (cholestatic, vascular, or autoimmune injury) acute, severe intoxication (i.e. occupational exposures to chemicals or alcohol-induced liver injury) overdose-related or idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury (DILI), or infectious hepatitis (Hepatitis A, B, C). TASH related to chronic organochlorine exposure may be particularly problematic, as previous research suggests that transaminase levels may remain subclinical even with severe injury⁶⁶. For the purposes of environmental health research, the use of transaminases as indicators of liver injury presents an additional problem: transaminase activity is lost relatively quickly in stored blood or serum, even under optimal storage conditions^{67, 68}, reducing the ability of liver transaminase panels to reflect injury in archived samples. Emerging serum biomarkers such as microRNA 122, or the mechanistic biomarker cytokeratin 18 (CK18) may offer alternative methods to non-invasively detect subacute or chronic liver injury and liver injury of different etiologies, including TASH. CK18, because it is relatively storage-stable⁶⁶ and can be detected in both whole and caspase-cleaved forms^{66, 69, 70}, can discriminate hepatocyte death due to necrosis (the predominant mechanism in TASH) from hepatocellular apoptosis (the predominant mechanism in ASH, NASH, and viral hepatitis⁷¹⁻⁷³), is a particularly appealing biomarker.

In summary, NAFLD is recognized as a component of MS, and the functional role of the liver in both intermediary and xenobiotic metabolism suggests that progressive hepatic dysfunction could cause, contribute to, or derive from systemic metabolic dysfunction. TASH is a subcategory of NAFLD which is histologically indistinguishable from NASH and ASH but mechanistically and diagnostically unique. This difference presents an opportunity to distinguish TASH from other forms of fatty liver disease using mechanistic biomarkers, but also hinders diagnosis of liver injury due to chronic toxicant exposure because it is undetectable using typical clinical laboratory screening techniques. This is important to the field of environmental health science because exposures occurring incrementally through ingestion of contaminants are more likely to be low-level and chronic, resulting in slowly-progressive subclinical disease.

CHAPTER ONE: PCBs ARE ASSOCIATED WITH TOXICANT-ASSOCIATED STEATOHEPATITIS, INFLAMMATION, AND METABOLIC DYSREGULATION IN AN EXPOSED HUMAN POPULATION

Anniston, Alabama was the location of a chemical manufacturing plant where an estimated 400,000 metric tons of PCBs were produced between 1929 and the 1971⁷⁴. At least 20.5 metric tons of PCBs were released into the atmosphere prior to plant closure in 1971, and nearly 19,000 metric tons of PCBs or PCB distillation residue were buried in unlined landfills near the plant site before and after production was halted⁷⁴. The environment near the manufacturing plant is highly contaminated; assessment of tree bark in the area revealed PCB concentrations of 171.93 µg/g lipid near the plant and landfills, which dropped dramatically to 35 ng/g lipid within 17 kilometers⁷⁵. In the late 1990s and early 2000s, several environmental assessments of contamination in Anniston were published, renewing concern over exposures in the residential population and the potential health effects. At that time, there existed over 6 decades of data indicating the status of PCBs as human toxicants⁶, although the human health effects from environmental exposure were less well characterized than industrial exposures. Nevertheless, concerns from residents, university collaborators and environmental groups initiated the Anniston Community Health Survey (ACHS) in 2003, funded by the Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry (ATSDR)^{76, 77}.

ACHS, and a follow-up study (ACHS-II, undertaken in 2014) are both large, cross-sectional research projects which provided an opportunity to evaluate accumulation of environmental pollutants (POPs, heavy metals, etc.) in a residential population, and to assess health effects related to these exposures as well as the effects of coexposures/comorbid conditions^{49, 76, 78-80}. Important findings from the ACHS include increased mean PCB levels compared to NHANES (2-3 fold), which are significantly different between non-Hispanic white and African-American/black participants⁷⁸. Importantly, laboratories working with serum samples and

data from the ACHS project have independently reported elevated prevalence of diseases related to metabolic syndrome including obesity (54%)⁸¹, hypertension⁷⁶, diabetes⁴⁹, and dyslipidemia⁷⁹. Blood pressure elevations (both in hypertensive and normotensive ranges), dyslipidemia, and diabetes (particularly in women over 55 years of age) were significantly associated with the total body burden of PCBs^{49, 76, 79, 82}.

As previously stated, NAFLD is the liver manifestation of metabolic syndrome, therefore, we undertook an investigation of liver disease in the Anniston cohorts. We hypothesized that biomarker-indicated liver injury would be prevalent in the ACHS and ACHS-II populations, due to the high prevalence of other metabolic disease. Because of the BMI-independent relationship between liver injury and PCB load reported in our NHANES study³⁷ and the specific hepatocyte death mechanism detected in other studies of TASH^{66, 69}, we further hypothesized that PCB-exposed individuals in Anniston would display a pattern of hepatocellular necrosis indicative of TASH. Because steatohepatitis has previously been associated with insulin resistance and systemic inflammation^{83, 84}, we anticipated that dysregulation in biomarkers of these conditions would be observed along with elevations in indicators of liver damage and death.

Our primary biomarker of hepatocyte injury was cytokeratin 18, an intermediate filament enriched in epithelioid cells, especially hepatocytes (Figure 5). Early in apoptosis, CK-18 is cleaved by caspases, producing a neoepitope, CK18-M30. Both total CK18-M65 and the caspase-cleaved component CK18-M30 are released from dying cells and can be detected in the serum, therefore, the relative levels of these two forms can provide insight as to whether hepatocytes are undergoing predominantly apoptotic or predominantly necrotic cell death. CK18-M30 is a well-characterized biomarker of hepatocellular apoptosis. Many groups have demonstrated the utility of CK18-M30 as a diagnostic tool for steatohepatitis due to infection, alcohol, and NASH. Our lab previously demonstrated that total CK18, but not caspase-cleaved CK18, was elevated in individuals with severe liver damage due to vinyl chloride inhalation. We recognized that the effect of PCBs on liver injury could be direct or indirect (by promoting systemic metabolic dysfunction through some other mechanism or target). We

Figure 5. Origin and detection of cytokeratin 18 in serum samples

(A) Cytokeratin 18 (CK18) is an intermediate filament enriched in hepatocytes and arranged in filaments from the nuclear surface to the plasma membrane. (B) In cells undergoing apoptosis, CK18 is cleaved by caspases and released from disintegrating apoptotic bodies. (C) In cells undergoing necrosis, disintegration of the plasma membrane releases whole CK18. (D) Both cleaved and uncleaved CK18 can be detected in the serum, using an antibody against an epitope on all CK18 proteins (M65 – red stars) or an antibody against a neoepitope exposed by cleavage (M30 – yellow stars). The predominance of each form indicates the primary mechanism of hepatocyte death. Serum from individuals with NASH related to metabolic disease have a primarily apoptotic CK18 profile, while serum from individuals exposed to vinyl chloride have a primarily necrotic CK18 profile.

hypothesized in the Anniston cohorts, a positive relationship would exist between serum concentrations of PCBs and serum levels of total CK18 due to their toxicant exposure. We hypothesized that a positive relationship between serum concentrations of PCBs and serum levels of caspase-cleaved CK18 would exist if PCBs influenced liver injury indirectly through effects on other organ systems, leading to NASH.

In addition, we evaluated the relationships between serum PCB levels and various other biomarkers of liver pathology and systemic inflammation, as well as biomarkers and calculated values reflecting metabolic function. The purpose of these evaluations was to determine the relationship (if any) between serum PCB concentration and components of the metabolic syndrome.

Lastly, because PCBs exist as a mixture, different congeners and/or groups of congeners acting on shared mechanism(s) could have differential effects, depending on the mechanisms. In the NHANES study, a significant association was found between liver injury and several individual congeners (Table 5). We hypothesized that serum levels individual congeners and congener groups would have differential associations with biomarkers of hepatocyte apoptosis and necrosis, fibrosis, insulin resistance, pancreatic function, and systemic inflammation.

To investigate our hypotheses, we determined the prevalence of TASH in both populations using the mechanistic hepatocyte death biomarker cytokeratin 18 (CK18) and other liver injury biomarkers, as well as serologic biomarkers of systemic inflammation and metabolic function (glucose and lipid metabolism).

Table 5

PCB congeners associated with AOR for unexplained ALT elevations in NHANES 2003-2004.

Quartile						p-value	
		1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	Ptrend	Ptrend-adj
Dioxin-like PCB congeners							
66	referent		0.6 (0.2–1.5)	2.9 (1.6–5.4)	1.9 (0.9–4.0)	0.003	0.011
74	referent		2.2 (1.0–5.1)	3.0 (1.5–6.0)	6.0 (2.4–14.9)	<0.001	0.004
105	referent		1.2 (0.5–3.0)	2.8 (1.2–6.5)	3.4 (1.1–10.9)	0.015	0.031
118	referent		1.8 (0.7–4.8)	3.8 (1.3–11.1)	4.4 (1.4–13.7)	0.006	0.016
126	referent		1.5 (0.6–3.5)	3.3 (1.5–7.2)	4.3 (2.0–9.4)	< 0.001	< 0.001
156		1.8 (0.6–5.5)	3.4 (1.3–8.7)	5.0 (1.5–17.2)	9.4 (2.5–36.2)	<.001	0.004
157		1.5 (0.8–2.8)	4.1 (1.8–9.2)	2.1 (0.6–7.6)	7.1 (2.2–22.4)	0.006	0.016
167		0.9 (0.4–2.2)	2.2 (1.0–4.8)	2.7 (1.0–7.0)	5.0 (1.9–13.3)	0.032	0.011
169		2.4 (0.9–7.0)	3.5 (1.3–9.7)	5.0 (1.8–14.0)	2.4 (0.4–12.8)	0.032	0.061
Non-dioxin-like PCB congeners							
138/158	referent		1.9 (0.8–4.1)	2.5 (1.0–6.0)	6.7 (2.1–21.5)	0.001	0.009
146	referent		2.2 (1.0–4.5)	2.7 (1.1–6.9)	6.8 (1.8–25.5)	0.004	0.019
151		1.1 (0.3–3.6)	0.8 (0.3–2.1)	1.0 (0.4–2.3)	2.6 (1.2–5.8)	0.030	0.068
153	referent		1.5 (0.6–3.6)	2.3 (0.7–7.4)	7.2 (1.7–29.9)	0.006	0.023
170	referent		2.1 (1.0–4.3)	3.1 (1.1–8.7)	4.4 (1.3–14.4)	0.015	0.042
172		1.4 (0.7–3.1)	2.1 (0.8–5.4)	2.7 (0.9–8.1)	3.4 (1.2–9.7)	0.007	0.023
177		0.7 (0.4–1.3)	2.0 (1.0–3.9)	4.2 (1.7–10.4)	6.5 (2.8–15.3)	<0.001	<0.001
178		1.7 (0.8–3.9)	2.1 (1.0–4.6)	4.6 (1.4–15.3)	4.8 (1.3–17.4)	0.014	0.042
183		2.4 (0.4–15.4)	4.0 (0.6–26.8)	3.1 (0.4–23.2)	7.8 (0.9–63.9)	0.017	0.042
187	referent		2.8 (1.6–5.0)	4.6 (1.6–13.3)	10.5 (3.2–34.6)	<0.001	0.002
196/203		3.6 (0.9–13.8)	4.1 (1.1–16.0)	8.2 (1.7–39.3)	14.7 (3.3–65.3)	<0.001	0.002

In the 2003-2004 NHANES study, individual PCB congeners associated with a significantly increased odds ratio for unexplained ALT elevation. The adjusted p-trend adjusts for age, sex, race/ethnicity, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), income (PIR), and obesity (BMI). Adapted from Cave, et al 2010*. Used with permission.

CHAPTER ONE: MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and recruitment of the ACHS and ACHS-II Cohorts

ACHS

The ACHS cohort was assembled in 2003 and has been previously described^{49, 76}. The two-stage sampling procedure involved, first, the random selection of 3,320 households from a list of all residences within the Anniston city limits. Residences in West Anniston, nearer to the PCB manufacturing facility, were oversampled. ACHS staff visited each of the selected residences, contacting 1,823 of the targeted households. One adult (>18 years of age) from each of 1,110 households agreed to participate and completed an exhaustive questionnaire covering demographic, family history, health history, and specific exposure- and lifestyle-relevant questions. The selected individual also completed a clinic visit, in which biometric measurements (height, weight, blood pressure) were assessed and a fasting serum sample was submitted. The overall completion rate was 61% (of targeted households).

The 738 serum samples represented in our ACHS mechanistic liver damage assessment and cyto/adipokine evaluation are comprised of adults completing both the survey and a clinic visit, in which a fasting blood sample was successfully submitted for analysis.

ACHS MicroRNA subcohort

152 ACHS samples submitted to the laboratory of Dr. Brian Chorley (US EPA) were selected from a stratified subset of the original 738 in which the above parameters were assessed (stratifications based on CK18 determined TASH/no liver disease, sex and race).

ACHS-II

ACHS-II was designed to remedy limitations in the original ACHS study design and to provide longitudinal data on exposures and health outcomes in this population. ACHS-II is a subset of the original ACHS cohort, and was approached as an effort to recontact every surviving subject from ACHS. Individuals were recruited to the ACHS-II cohort by a multi-stage and multi-platform methodology described in detail by Birnbaum *et al.*⁸⁰ Methods used by ACHS staff to initiate contact or determine ineligibility were: recruitment letters (to all original ACHS participants at baseline addresses) and a public meeting (advertised and covered by local media), further attempts via phone contact (to last known phone numbers of ACHS participants), Social Security Death Index searches. To determine the status and location of participants not reachable at their last listed number, study staff searched the Social Security Death Index, and a second recruitment mailing to all original and updated addresses. Through a combination of these approaches, 359 eligible participants were enrolled in ACHS-II, and of these, the blood volumes of 345 subjects were of adequate quantity for biomarker determination.

The University of Louisville Institutional Review Board approved the studies performed on archived, de-identified samples from these cohorts.

Collection of samples and analysis of polychlorinated organic pollutant concentrations

The de-identified serum samples examined in these studies were collected during the ACHS and ACHS-II studies and archived at -80°C. Identification and quantification of the levels of PCBs (35 ortho-substituted congeners in ACHS and 38 dioxin-like and non-dioxin-like congeners for ACHS-II) and other contaminants was determined by high-resolution gas chromatography/isotope dilution high-resolution mass spectrometry performed at the National Center for Environmental Health Laboratory of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA)^{49, 76, 78, 79}.

Serum concentrations of contaminants were compared to biomarkers and calculated disease parameters both individually and based on several summation schemes based on

previously reported risk assessment protocols and mechanistic studies. For ACHS, 35 ortho-substituted congeners were analyzed. In ACHS-II, 35 ortho-substituted congeners, 3 non-ortho, and several other classes of chemical contaminants were analyzed, including the polychlorinated dibenzo dioxin (PCDD) and polychlorinated dibenzofuran (PCDF) classes. Composition of these groupings by individual congener are described in Table 2. Structural/functional groupings of PCB congeners measured in ACHS-II.

Measurement of steatohepatitis biomarkers

CK18 is a structural protein enriched in hepatocytes which can be detected in both whole and caspase-cleaved forms, allowing for the differentiation of hepatocellular apoptosis (caspase-cleaved CK18 or M30) from necrosis (CK18 M65). Because the hepatocellular death mechanism appears to vary by etiology of fatty liver disease^{83, 84}, the pattern of CK18 elevation has been used to differentiate TASH (predominantly necrotic, elevations in CK18 M65 alone) from other fatty liver diseases (predominantly apoptotic, elevated CK18 M30 and M65)⁶⁹. Moreover, unlike transaminases, which the detectable property of enzyme activity is not retained during long-term storage⁶⁷, CK18 levels are determined by immunoassay-based protein quantitation, detectable in samples archived for several decades^{66, 69}. This was an important consideration for biomarker selection, as the original ACHS samples had been archived for 7-9 years prior to our analysis. In both phases of the Anniston Community Health Survey, CK18 M65 and M30 (PEVIVA 10020 and 10010, Diapharma, Cincinnati, OH) were measured by separate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays each using a monoclonal antibody recognizing a different CK18 epitope.

Quantifiable variations in several serum microRNA are associated with liver injury and other metabolic diseases. A subset of serum samples from the ACHS cohort representing subjects randomly selected from groups stratified by sex, race and liver disease status (TASH vs. no liver disease as determined by CK-18) were evaluated for serum levels of 60 liver-associated microRNAs. These analyses were performed by firefly analysis through our collaborator Brian Chorley of the EPA.

Liver function tests including alanine transaminase (ALT), asparagine transaminase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), bilirubin, and albumin are widely used non-invasive clinical screening tools for the assessment of liver injury (of diverse origins). Importantly, however, toxicant-associated steatohepatitis usually presents without transaminitis, in contrast to other forms of liver injury including NASH and ASH when commonly-used normal ranges are applied. A liver function panel including ALT, AST, ALP, total and direct bilirubin and albumin was carried out on ACHS-II samples at the University of Louisville Hospital Clinical Laboratory. Due to limited sample volumes and long storage times, clinical liver function tests were not performed on serum from ACHS.

Evaluation of other serum biomarkers

Fasting insulin, adipokines, and pro-inflammatory cytokines were also measured in archived samples from both phases of the Anniston Community Health Survey. Adipocytokines were measured using two separate multiplex bead arrays run on a Luminex IS100 system (EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA). The first array (HADK2MAG-61K) measured interleukin 6 (IL-6), interleukin 8 (IL-8), monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), interleukin 1- β (IL-1 β), insulin, and leptin. For the second array (HADK1MAG-61K), samples were diluted 1:400 in the provided assay buffer, and adiponectin, resistin, and total plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) were measured. The homeostatic models of assessment (HOMA)⁸⁵ were used to evaluate insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and pancreatic beta cell function (HOMA-B).

In ACHS-II, hyaluronic acid, an additional fibrosis biomarker was measured by ELISA (#029-001, Corgenix, Inc., Broomfield, CO). Endotoxin was measured by limulus amoebocyte assay (#50-650U, Lonza Walkersville, Inc., Walkersville, MD) and total antioxidant activity was measured by chromogenic assay (#709001, Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI). Serum levels of a wide range of cytokines were provided by collaborators at ATSDR and SUNY, and were evaluated for associations with PCB exposures and TASH indicators.

Derivation of calculated values

Substituted values for contaminant levels beyond the detectable range

Levels of individual congeners reported by the ATSDR laboratory as “undetectable” were substituted with a value equal to the lower limit of detection for the specific congener divided by the square root of 2⁸⁶. When measured concentrations below the stated lower limit of detection were reported from the laboratory, those measurements (rather than substituted values) were used⁷⁸. This method was previously employed to analyze and report associations between serum PCB level and diabetes⁴⁹ and hypertension⁷⁶ in the ACHS cohort.

Substituted values for serum biomarker levels beyond the detectable range

Biomarkers assessed in our laboratory which were below the level of detection (IL-1 β < 1.3 pg/ml; IL-6 < 0.96 pg/ml; insulin < 9.6 pg/ml; IL-8 < 0.64 pg/ml; MCP-1 < 1.3 pg/ml; TNF α < 0.64 pg/ml) were set to half the lower limit of detection. Leptin levels greater than the upper limit of quantification (leptin > 600 ng/ml, 1 record) were set to 600 ng/ml.

Total Lipids

Values for total lipids were calculated by a standard⁸⁷ which has been used previously to report findings in the ACHS cohort^{49, 76}. The formula for total lipids is listed in Figure 3, Equation 7.

Lipid-adjusted PCB

PCBs are highly lipophilic and move between physiological compartments along with lipids. Therefore, adjustment for the total lipid content of serum is used to normalize total PCB concentrations in serum, and PCBs are expressed PCB wet weight per gram lipid.

HOMA-IR and HOMA-B

The homeostatic model of assessment uses weighted fasting serum glucose and insulin measurements to assess adequacy of compensation mechanisms: insulin secretion in response to glucose load and glucose disposal in response to insulin secretion. Together, they are models

used to determine pancreatic dysfunction vs. insulin resistance as etiologies in hyperglycemia^{85, 88}. The results are dimensionless and represent insulin resistance and percent pancreatic function. Formulae for calculating HOMA parameters are listed in Figure 3, Equations 5 and 6.

PCB groupings and TEQ

PCBs have been grouped in various ways by structure and function for purposes of risk assessment and association studies. We incorporated several of these techniques into our analyses. Because only 35 ortho PCBs were quantified in serum samples from the ACHS cohort, for purposes of evaluating significant associations with our measured parameters, we presented the data as lipid-adjusted serum levels of individual congeners and lipid-adjusted levels of the sum of all 35 congeners measured (Σ PCB-O). In ACHS-II, the panel of PCBs and other contaminants was expanded, increasing the number of dioxin-like compounds measured. In this group, we were therefore able to present associations between measured parameters and the lipid-adjusted serum levels of individual congeners and various summations listed in Table 2. Structural/functional groupings of PCB congeners measured in ACHS-II.

As discussed in the introduction, historic focus on toxicity mechanisms dependent on AhR led to the adoption of the toxic equivalency, which is a measure of potency with regards to AhR normalized to the effects of the AhR ligand TCDD. Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) have been established for some dioxin-like chemicals³⁷. Besides PCBs, other chemical contributors to the total dioxin equivalency (Total Dioxin TEQ) that were measured in ACHS2 included polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs, n=7), polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs, n=10) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs, n=12). Using the 2005 World Health Organization TEFs, we established toxic equivalency (TEQ) values for each dioxin-like congener, as well as TEQ by class (PCBs, PCDDs, PCDFs) and Total Dioxin TEQ for each sample within the ACHS-II cohort to explore the relationship between AhR activation and biomarker/disease status. TEQs for each congener were derived by multiplying the concentration in each sample by the TEF, and summation of TEQ by class and for all dioxins was carried out by the formulae listed in Figure 3, Equations 1-3 and 4, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Stratification into liver disease categories

For each cohort, subjects were stratified into three groups based solely on the combination of CK18 M65 and M30 levels: one group without evident liver disease (no liver disease, or NLD, M30<200 U/L and M65<300 U/L) and two groups with liver disease. The two liver disease groups differ by hepatocyte death mechanism: primarily necrotic hepatocyte death characteristic of TASH (M30<200 U/L and M65>300 U/L), and primarily apoptotic hepatocyte death encompassing other forms of liver disease (other liver disease, or OLD, M30>200 U/L). These cutoff values were based on prior studies ^{69, 89}.

Associations between liver disease category and demographic groups or biomarkers

Counts and percentages for the main predictors were determined in the entire ACHS population and for each stratification based on liver disease category. Differences in means and frequencies by liver disease category were tested with a one-way ANOVA or chi-square test, respectively. The TASH and OLD liver disease categories were always compared against NLD. For each biomarker, regression lines were plotted within each category of liver disease status to generate a β coefficient describing the relationship between biomarker and status. Biomarkers were analyzed with multivariable generalized linear models to assess the relationship between serum PCB levels and each outcome including with or without confounders. Unless specified elsewhere, PCB levels were adjusted for log-transformed lipid levels.

Using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and a statistical significance level of 0.05, Multinomial logistic regressions models were constructed to analyze the associations of PCB, BMI, and an interaction between PCB levels and BMI adjusted with and without additional confounders. Biomarkers, PCB levels, and total lipid levels were log-transformed. All models used wet-weight (ng/g serum) log-transformed individual PCB congeners with total lipids (also log-transformed) as a covariate. Unless noted elsewhere, all analyses were adjusted for age (years; continuous), body mass index (BMI, kg/m²; continuous), gender (male vs. female), race (African-American vs. non-Hispanic white), diabetes status (none, pre-diabetic, or diabetic), alcohol use and lipid levels.

CHAPTER ONE: RESULTS

Liver injury is prevalent and persistent in a human population highly exposed to environmentally-acquired PCBs

Summaries of population demographics for the three cohorts described are provided in Tables 6-12. In ACHS, the 738-subject cohort was comprised of a high percentage of African-Americans (46.8%), females (70.1%), subjects ≥ 50 years old (63.0%), non-drinkers (70.6%), and non-smokers (69.0%). Most subjects (80.3%) were either overweight ($\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) or obese ($\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$). Subjects were categorized by liver disease status based on elevated CK18 levels consistent with either hepatocellular necrosis (TASH) – elevated CK18-M65 without CK18-M30 elevation, or apoptosis (OLD) with elevated CK18-M30. The prevalence of liver disease was 60.2% including 48.6% with TASH and 11.5% with Other. Higher CK18 M65 levels were found in non-Hispanic whites compared to African-Americans ($p < 0.001$). A univariate sensitivity analysis excluding thirteen subjects with total wet-weight PCB levels beyond four standard deviations of the mean was performed (Table 13). This analysis showed that CK18 M65 was higher in non-Hispanic whites ($p < 0.001$) and in males ($p = 0.03$); while CK18 M30 was higher in non-Hispanic whites ($p < 0.001$) and decreased with age ($p = 0.01$).

In ACHS-II, the 345-subject cohort was comprised of a high percentage of African-Americans (48.7%), females (73.0%), and subjects ≥ 50 years old (86.1%). As in ACHS, most of the subjects were non-smokers (79.4%), however, more of the participants in ACHS-II consumed alcohol (60.0%), with 51.3% drinking within defined limits and 8.7% drinking more than defined limits. Most subjects (80.0%) were either overweight (25.5%) or obese (51.3%) by the criteria listed above. Prevalence of liver disease in this cohort was 62.0%: 46% with TASH and 16% with OLD. Participants with liver disease were more likely to be younger ($p = 0.03$) and white ($p = 0.01$).

Table 6

Demographics in ACHS by Liver Disease Status – continuous variables

Characteristic	Liver disease status			P-value	Total (n = 738)
	None (n = 294)	TASH (n = 359)	Other (n = 85)		
Age (years)	54.1±15.7	56.0±16.3 ^a	51.5±15.1	0.04	54.7±15.9
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.5±7.8	30.9±7.7	32.1±7.7	0.34	31.25±7.7
ΣPCBs (ortho, whole weight)	6.4±9.1	7.2±14.4	5.4±10.3	0.40	6.7±12.1
Total lipids (mg/dL)	611.1±131.7	643.6±163.6 ^b	656.9±192.4 ^c	0.01	632.2±156.3
Cytokeratin 18 M65 (U/dL)	233.6±42.6	430.6±122.1 ^{a,b}	792.5±584.9 ^c	<0.001	393.8±276.0
Cytokeratin 18 M30 (U/dL)	97.9±22.0	124.0±28.2 ^{a,b}	407.6±324.6 ^c	<0.001	146.3±147.1

Data are n(%) or mean±SD. Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding. Note that cytokeratin 18 levels were used for categorization procedures.

P-value is one-way ANOVA (means) or Pearson chi-square test, across liver disease categories.

^a adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of TASH vs. Other liver disease category.

^b adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. TASH liver disease category.

^c adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. Other liver disease category.

^d Limits are <= 30 drinks for females and <=60 drinks for males.

Table 7**Demographics in ACHS by Liver Disease Status – biometric categorical variables**

Characteristic	Liver disease status			P-value	Total (n = 738)
	None (n = 294)	TASH (n = 359)	Other (n = 85)		
Gender				0.03	
Male	72 (24.5)	123 ^b (34.3)	26 (30.6)		221 (30.0)
Female	222 (75.5)	236 (65.7)	59 (69.4)		517 (70.1)
Race/ethnicity				<0.001	
Non-Hispanic White	117 (39.8)	223 ^b (62.1)	53 ^c (62.4)		393 (53.3)
African/American	177 (60.2)	136 (37.9)	32 (37.7)		345 (46.8)
Age (years)				0.13	
< 30	24 (8.2)	31 (8.7)	8 (9.4)		63 (8.5)
30-40	41 (14.0)	25 (7.0)	12 (14.1)		78 (10.6)
40-50	45 (15.3)	69 (19.2)	18 (21.2)		132 (17.9)
50-60	66 (22.5)	75 (20.9)	20 (23.5)		161 (21.8)
60-70	63 (21.4)	75 (20.9)	15 (17.7)		153 (20.7)
≥70	55 (18.7)	84 (23.4)	12 (14.1)		151 (20.5)
BMI (kg/m²)				0.40	
< 18.5	3 (1.0)	2 (0.6)	0 (0.0)		5 (0.7)
18.5 - 24.9	61 (20.8)	67 (18.7)	14 (16.5)		142 (19.3)
25 - 29.9	62 (21.1)	109 (30.4)	20 (23.5)		191 (26.0)
30 - 34.9	77 (26.2)	90 (25.1)	22 (25.9)		189 (25.7)
35 -39.9	48 (16.3)	48 (13.4)	15 (17.7)		111 (15.1)
≥40	42 (14.3)	42 (11.7)	14 (16.5)		98 (13.3)
Missing	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)		

Data are n(%) or mean±SD. Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding. Note that cytokeratin 18 levels were used for categorization procedures.

P-value is one-way ANOVA (means) or Pearson chi-square test, across liver disease categories.

^a adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of TASH vs. Other liver disease category.

^b adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. TASH liver disease category.

^c adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. Other liver disease category.

Table 8

Demographics in ACHS by Liver Disease Status – lifestyle categorical variables

Characteristic	Liver disease status			P-value	Total (n = 738)
	None (n = 294)	TASH (n = 359)	Other (n = 85)		
Number of drinks in last 30 days				0.70	
No drinks	205 (69.7)	254 (70.8)	62 (72.9)		521 (70.6)
Within defined limits ^d	63 (21.4)	73 (20.3)	14 (16.5)		150 (20.3)
More than limit	16 (5.4)	23 (6.4)	8 (9.4)		47 (6.4)
Missing	10 (3.4)	9 (2.5)	1 (1.2)		20 (2.7)
Current Smoker				0.69	
No	208 (70.8)	243 (67.7)	58 (68.2)		509 (69.0)
Yes	86 (29.3)	116 (32.3)	27 (31.8)		229 (31.0)
West Anniston resident				0.25	
No	43 (14.6)	62 (17.3)	12 (14.1)		117 (15.9)
Yes	251 (85.4)	297 (82.7)	73 (85.9)		621 (84.2)

Data are n(%) or mean±SD. Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding. Note that cytokeratin 18 levels were used for categorization procedures.

P-value is one-way ANOVA (means) or Pearson chi-square test, across liver disease categories.

^a adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of TASH vs. Other liver disease category.

^b adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. TASH liver disease category.

^c adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. Other liver disease category.

^d Limits are <= 30 drinks for females and <=60 drinks for males.

Table 9

Demographics in ACHS-II by Liver Disease Status – continuous variables

Characteristic	Liver disease status			P-value	Total (n = 345)
	None (n = 131)	TASH (n = 158)	Other (n = 56)		
Age (years)	63.8±12.7	63.4±13.3	59.1±12.9	0.06	62.9±13.1
BMI (kg/m ²)	32.0±9.5	31.2±7.2	32.2±7.2	0.59	31.7±8.1
ΣPCBs (ortho and non-ortho, whole weight), ppb	6.0±6.4	5.4±7.2	5.6±9.8	0.20	5.7±7.4
Cytokeratin 18 M65 (U/dL)	231.8±46.0	436.7±142.9	624.8±368.1	<.001	389.4±226.5
Cytokeratin 18 M30 (U/dL)	84.1±26.5	111.6±36.3	380.1±269.8	<.001	144.7±153.1
Total lipids (mg/dL)	611.1±143.7	632.5±162.1	622.1±154.8	0.47	622.7±154.0
Gender				0.07	
Male	26 (19.9)	49 (31.0)	18 (32.1)		93 (27.0)
Female	105 (80.2)	109 (69.0)	38 (67.9)		252 (73.0)
Race/ethnicity				0.01	
Non-Hispanic White	50 (38.2)	90 (57.0)	28 (50.0)		168 (48.7)
African/American	81 (61.8)	68 (43.0)	28 (50.0)		177 (51.3)

Data are n(%) or mean±SD. Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding.

P-value is one-way ANOVA (means) or Pearson chi-square test, across liver disease categories.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; None, no liver disease; Other, other liver disease; ΣPCB, sum of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners; TASH, toxicant associated steatohepatitis

Table 10

Demographics in ACHS-II by Liver Disease Status – biometric categorical variables

Characteristic	Liver disease status			P-value	Total (n = 345)
	None (n = 131)	TASH (n = 158)	Other (n = 56)		
Gender				0.07	
Male	26 (19.9)	49 (31.0)	18 (32.1)		93 (27.0)
Female	105 (80.2)	109 (69.0)	38 (67.9)		252 (73.0)
Race/ethnicity				0.01	
Non-Hispanic White	50 (38.2)	90 (57.0)	28 (50.0)		168 (48.7)
African/American	81 (61.8)	68 (43.0)	28 (50.0)		177 (51.3)
Age (years)				0.03	
< 30	0	3 (1.9)	0		3 (0.9)
30-40	6 (4.6)	5 (3.2)	5 (8.9)		16 (4.6)
40-50	13 (9.9)	11 (7.0)	5 (8.9)		29 (8.4)
50-60	24 (18.3)	43 (27.2)	23 (41.1)		90 (26.1)
60-70	42 (32.0)	41 (26.0)	10 (17.9)		93 (27.0)
≥70	46 (35.1)	55 (34.8)	13 (23.2)		114 (33.0)
BMI (kg/m²)				0.73	
< 18.5	1 (0.8)	0	0		1 (0.3)
18.5 - 24.9	26 (20.0)	32 (20.8)	9 (16.1)		67 (19.5)
25 - 29.9	39 (30)	44 (28.6)	15 (26.8)		99 (28.8)
30 - 34.9	28 (21.5)	33 (21.4)	11 (19.6)		73 (21.2)
35 -39.9	16 (12.3)	28 (18.2)	9 (16.1)		54 (15.7)
≥40	20 (15.4)	17 (11.0)	12 (21.4)		50 (14.5)
Missing	1				1

Data are n(%) or mean±SD. Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding.

P-value is one-way ANOVA (means) or Pearson chi-square test, across liver disease categories.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; None, no liver disease; Other, other liver disease; ΣPCB, sum of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners; TASH, toxicant associated steatohepatitis

Table 11

Demographics in ACHS-II by Liver Disease Status – health and lifestyle categorical variables

Characteristic	Liver disease status			P-value	Total (n = 345)
	None (n = 131)	TASH (n = 158)	Other (n = 56)		
Diabetes Status				0.19	
Ever Diabetic	44 (33.6)	69 (43.7)	24 (42.9)		137 (39.7)
Non-diabetic	87 (66.4)	89 (56.3)	32 (57.1)		208 (60.3)
Typical Number of Drinks in Past 12 Months				0.43	
No drinks	56 (42.8)	57 (36.1)	25 (44.6)		138 (40.0)
Within defined limits ^d	66 (50.4)	87 (55.1)	24 (42.9)		177 (51.3)
More than limit	9 (6.9)	14 (8.9)	7 (12.5)		30 (8.7)
Current Smoker				0.88	
No	105 (80.8)	124 (78.5)	44 (78.6)		273 (79.4)
Yes	25 (19.2)	34 (21.5)	12 (21.4)		71 (20.6)
Missing	1				1

Data are n(%) or mean±SD. Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding.

P-value is one-way ANOVA (means) or Pearson chi-square test, across liver disease categories.

^d Limits are ≤ 1 drink/day for females and ≤ 2 drinks/day for males.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; None, no liver disease; Other, other liver disease; ΣPCB, sum of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners; TASH, toxicant associated steatohepatitis

Table 12

Demographic characteristics and liver disease status of ACHS microRNA subcohort

		Liver disease status		P-Value
Characteristic		None (n = 76)	TASH (n = 76)	
Age (years)		56.5±13.8	55.3±14.5	0.62
BMI (kg/m ²)		30.2±6.0	32.6±8.1	0.045
ΣPCBs (whole weight)		6.8±8.6	11.3±26.6	0.16
Total lipids (mg/dL)		605.8±139.0	658.6±173.5	0.04
Gender				
	Male	38 (50.0)	38 (50.0)	
	Female	38 (50.0)	38 (50.0)	
Race/ethnicity				0.03
	Non-Hispanic White	31 (40.8)	44 (57.9)	
	Nonwhite	45 (59.2)	32 (42.1)	
Age (years)				0.32
	< 30	2 (2.6)	4 (5.3)	
	30-40	10 (13.2)	6 (7.9)	
	40-50	11 (14.5)	16 (20.1)	
	50-60	16 (20.1)	22 (29.0)	
	60-70	22 (29.0)	13 (17.1)	
	≥70	15 (19.7)	15 (19.7)	
BMI (kg/m ²)				0.31
	18.5 - 24.9	17 (22.7)	9 (12.0)	
	25 - 29.9	18 (24.0)	20 (26.7)	
	30 - 34.9	24 (32.0)	21 (28.0)	
	35 -39.9	9 (12.0)	13 (17.1)	
	≥40	7 (9.3)	12 (16.0)	
	Missing	1	1	
Number of drinks in last 30 days				0.80
	No drinks	53 (69.7)	53 (69.7)	
	Within defined limits**	16 (20.1)	13 (17.1)	
	More than limit	5 (6.6)	8 (10.5)	
	Missing	2 (2.6)	2 (2.6)	
Current Smoker				0.49
	No	50 (65.8)	54 (71.1)	
	Yes	26 (34.2)	22 (29.0)	
West Anniston resident				0.29
	No	6 (7.9)	10 (13.2)	
	Yes	70 (92.1)	66 (86.8)	

* Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding

** Limits are ≤ 30 drinks for females and ≤60 drinks for males.

Table 13

Univariate associations between demographic/exposure variables and the serum cytokeratin 18 M65 and M30 biomarkers used to categorize liver disease status.

Cytokeratin 18 M30						Cytokeratin 18 M65						
Variable	All data			Sensitivity analysis ^a			All data			Sensitivity analysis ^a		
	Estimate	SE	p-value	Estimate	SE	p-value	Estimate	SE	p-value	Estimate	SE	p-value
Age	-0.46	0.34	0.18	-0.43	0.17	0.01	0.43	0.78	0.59	0.42	0.45	0.35
Gender	12.54	11.82	0.29	-7.44	5.91	0.21	-3.00	27.21	0.91	-33.32	15.63	0.03
Race	-19.19	10.84	0.08	-21.82	5.39	<0.001	-103.06	24.66	<0.001	-74.58	14.14	<0.001
BMI	1.07	0.71	0.13	0.19	0.35	0.60	-0.06	1.62	0.97	0.73	0.93	0.44
Current Smoker	16.32	11.7	0.16	3.68	5.89	0.53	-30.58	26.88	0.26	-24.49	15.52	0.11
PCB, lipid-adjusted	-0.003	0.003	0.36	-0.007	0.003	0.006	-0.004	0.006	0.52	-0.006	0.007	0.36
PCB, wet weight ^b	-0.33	0.45	0.46	-1.27	0.43	0.003	-0.46	1.03	0.66	-0.92	1.13	0.41

^a Sensitivity analysis includes participants with total PCB wet weight values within four standard deviations of the mean. Thirteen participants of 738 were excluded.

^b Additionally adjusted for total lipids.

Results are presented as Estimate and Standard Error from a generalized linear model analysis.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; PCB, polychlorinated biphenyls.

Significant demographic differences were seen across liver disease categories with respect to age, gender, and race/ethnicity. Males were more likely than females to have TASH (55.7% vs. 45.6%, $p < 0.05$) in ACHS, with gender differences found to be nonsignificant in ACHS-II. In both studies, subjects with TASH were significantly more likely to be non-Hispanic white than subjects without liver disease (62.1% vs. 39.8% in ACHS and 57.0% vs. 38.2% in ACHS-II). The combined prevalence of liver disease was highest among males (67.4% in ACHS and 72.0% in ACHS-II) and non-Hispanic whites (70.2% in both cohorts). These results are consistent with the increased susceptibility to fatty liver disease in Caucasians (compared to African Americans) and males as reported previously in other epidemiological studies⁹⁰. TASH was associated with significantly increased age compared to Other in ACHS (56.0 ± 16.3 vs. 51.5 ± 15.1), but not in ACHS-II. No intragroup differences were seen in other demographic or exposure variables including total PCBs (wet weight) or West Anniston residence, body mass index, alcohol consumption, or smoking status.

Total lipids were significantly increased in both TASH and Other in ACHS (Table 6) while there were no significant intragroup differences for this parameter in ACHS-II (Table 9). Because both necrotic and apoptotic (M30) hepatocyte death contribute to total CK18 (M65), it is not surprising, based on the classification procedures, that CK18 M65 was significantly increased in both liver disease groups compared to None. However, the absolute M65 level was increased to a greater degree in Other compared to TASH, even though only M30 abnormality was used to discriminate between the two.

Liver injury in Anniston is associated with increased pro-inflammatory cytokines and metabolic abnormalities.

Steatohepatitis is associated with increased serum pro-inflammatory cytokines, which can result from and/or lead to liver injury and metabolic disease^{91, 92}. As shown in Table 14, IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1, PAI-1, and TNF α were all numerically higher across liver disease categories (graphs), but only MCP-1 ($p = 0.01$) and PAI-1 ($p = 0.001$) reached statistical significance in the

Table 14

Unadjusted ACHS Biomarker levels by liver disease status.

	Liver disease status			P-value	Total (n = 738)
	None (n = 294)	TASH (n = 359)	Other (n = 85)		
Adiponectin ng/ml					
Mean±SD	17.2±12.4	18.3±19.1	15.1±10.2	0.22	17.5±15.8
Glucose, mg/dl					
Mean±SD	105.1±40.4	108.2±40.9	115.7±53.9	0.13	107.8±42.5
HOMA-B					
Mean±SD	164.2±223.7	183.5±334.3	219.6±306.7	0.29	180.0±291.9
HOMA-IR					
Mean±SD	3.9±5.4	5.1±8.4	6.4±8.3 ^a	0.01	4.8±7.4
IL-1 β pg/ml					
Mean±SD	2.4±7.0	10.0±76.4	13.1±79.9	0.17	7.3±60.0
Not detectable	195	214	52		461
IL-6 pg/ml					
Mean±SD	8.5±42.0	16.4±84.9	13.2±40.6	0.32	12.9±66.4
Not detectable	35	23	4		62
IL-8 pg/ml					
Mean±SD	78.7±199.0	101.4±257.4	86.7±304.5	0.49	90.7±242.1
Not detectable	0	1	0		1
Insulin pg/ml					
Mean±SD	492.8±567.2	642.3±1067.2	757.7±918.7 ^a	0.02	596.0±886.4
Not detectable	1	1	0		2
LDL, mg/dl					
Mean±SD	117.0±32.7	116.0±39.8	116.5±40.1	0.94	116.5±37.1
Leptin ng/ml					
Mean±SD	30.5±27.0	29.3±39.7	26.1±25.1	0.57	29.4±33.6
Not detectable	0	1	0		1
MCP-1 pg/ml					
Mean±SD	269.7±175.2	295.1±244.4 ^b	314.9±193.6	0.01	294.6±194.1
Not detectable	0	1	0		1
PAI-1 ng/ml					
Mean±SD	49.0±19.4	54.9±21.4 ^b	56.0±23.3 ^a	0.001	52.7±21.1
Resistin ng/ml					
Mean±SD	41.0±31.7	44.1±29.8	37.8±19.1	0.15	42.1±29.6
TNF α pg/ml					
Mean±SD	6.4±5.5	9.2±24.2	7.0±7.1	0.11	7.8±17.4
Not detectable	3	2	0		5
Triglycerides, mg/dl					
Mean±SD	119.3±75.5	147.0±107.5 ^b	160.6±130.7 ^a	0.0002	137.5±100.3

P-value is one-way ANOVA, across all liver disease categories, unadjusted.

a adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. Other liver disease category.

b adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. TASH liver disease category.

c adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of TASH vs. Other liver disease category.

unadjusted model. MCP-1 was higher in TASH than None (295.1 ± 244.4 pg/ml vs. 269.7 ± 175.2 pg/ml, $p=0.009$). PAI-1 was increased in both TASH (54.9 ± 21.4 ng/ml, $p=.001$) and Other (56.0 ± 23.3 ng/ml, $p=0.02$) compared to None (49.0 ± 19.4 ng/ml).

NAFLD is also commonly associated with insulin resistance and hypertriglyceridemia ⁹³. The mean HOMA-IR for the overall cohort was elevated (4.8 ± 7.4) beyond one proposed cutoff for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR >4.65 or HOMA-IR >3.60 and BMI >27.5 kg/m²)⁹⁴. HOMA-IR varied significantly across liver disease groups ($p=0.01$), and it was increased in Other compared to None (6.4 ± 8.3 vs. 3.9 ± 5.4 , $p=.017$). While HOMA-IR was numerically higher in TASH (5.1 ± 8.4) than None, this trend did not reach statistical significance. Fasting insulin varied in a pattern like that observed for HOMA-IR (Table 14), and likely accounted for the observed difference in HOMA-IR as glucose levels were not different between groups. Triglycerides varied by liver disease category ($p=0.007$) and were higher in both TASH (147.0 ± 107.5 mg/dl, $p=.001$) and Other (160.6 ± 130.7 mg/dl, $p=.002$) vs. None (119.3 ± 75.5 mg/dl). In summary, both TASH and Other were associated with increased PAI-1 and triglycerides. MCP-1 was also increased in TASH, while both HOMA-IR and insulin were increased in Other.

In ACHS, TASH was associated with increased levels of IL-1 β ($p=0.04$), IL-6 ($p=0.03$), and PAI-1 ($p=0.03$) in our unadjusted model (Table 15). Beta coefficients for other pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-8, MCP-1, resistin, and TNF α were positive in TASH but did not reach statistical significance. TASH was also associated with increased HOMA-IR ($p=0.001$) with increased pancreatic insulin production (HOMA-B, $p=0.003$) and increased insulin levels ($p=0.01$) (Table 16) Other was associated with increased IL-6 ($p=0.01$), HOMA-IR ($p=0.02$), insulin ($p=0.04$), and decreased leptin ($p=0.01$). Thus, the liver disease categories remained associated with increased pro-inflammatory cytokines and hepatic insulin resistance after adjustment for confounders, although the specific cytokines varied slightly between models. This increases the certainty that the categorization procedures correctly identified liver disease. TASH appeared more pro-inflammatory than Other due to the greater number of associated cytokines.

Table 15

Adjusted^a beta coefficients of associations of ΣPCBs (wet weight) and liver status with inflammatory biomarkers

IL-1β			
PCB	0.01	0.05	0.90
TASH vs. None	0.18	0.09	0.04
Other vs. None	0.25	0.14	0.07
IL-6			
PCB	0.05	0.05	0.33
TASH vs. None	0.27	0.09	0.003
Other vs. None	0.39	0.14	0.01
IL-8			
PCB	0.08	0.07	0.25
TASH vs. None	0.15	0.12	0.20
Other vs. None	-0.04	0.18	0.83
MCP-1			
PCB	0.02	0.03	0.53
TASH vs. None	0.01	0.05	0.91
Other vs. None	-0.07	0.08	0.36
PAI-1			
PCB	-0.01	0.02	0.51
TASH vs. None	0.07	0.03	0.03
Other vs. None	0.07	0.05	0.15
TNFα			
PCB	0.04	0.03	0.26
TASH vs. None	0.07	0.06	0.20
Other vs. None	-0.04	0.09	0.65

^a Adjustments were made for lipid levels, age, body mass index, gender, race/ethnicity, diabetes, and alcohol use.

Table 16

Adjusted^a beta coefficients of associations of ΣPCBs (wet weight) and liver status with metabolic biomarkers

Cytokine/Adipokine	β	Standard Error	p-Value
Adiponectin			
PCB	0.01	0.03	0.69
TASH vs. None	-0.04	0.05	0.43
Other vs. None	-0.06	0.08	0.43
Glucose			
PCB	<0.001	0.01	1.00
TASH vs. None	0.00	0.02	0.90
Other vs. None	0.03	0.03	0.18
Insulin			
PCB	-0.07	0.04	0.04
TASH vs. None	0.22	0.06	<0.001
Other vs. None	0.19	0.09	0.04
HOMA-B			
PCB	-0.07	0.04	0.08
TASH vs. None	0.20	0.07	0.003
Other vs. None	0.13	0.10	0.22
HOMA-IR			
PCB	-0.08	0.04	0.03
TASH vs. None	0.21	0.06	0.001
Other vs. None	0.21	0.09	0.02
Leptin			
PCB	-0.14	0.04	<0.001
TASH vs. None	-0.06	0.06	0.32
Other vs. None	-0.24	0.09	0.01
Resistin			
PCB	0.01	0.03	0.81
TASH vs. None	0.06	0.05	0.20
Other vs. None	-0.06	0.07	0.41

^a Adjustments were made for lipid levels, age, body mass index, gender, race/ethnicity, diabetes, and alcohol use.

Liver injury in Anniston was validated by microRNA analysis and clinical liver function tests

Analysis of serum microRNA was used to validate the findings of ACHS in a subset of the cohort, described as the ACHS miRNA cohort. Demographic findings for this population are shown in Table 12. This semi-balanced subgroup differs from the other two in several demographic characteristics. TASH participants tended to have higher BMI (kg/m²: 32.6 vs. 30.2, $p = 0.045$), total lipids (mg/dL: 659 vs. 606, $p = 0.04$) and insulin/HOMA-B/HOMA-IR ($p = 0.01, 0.045, 0.01$). They were more likely to be non-Hispanic white (58% vs. 41%, $p = 0.03$). Serum levels of 68 microRNAs related to liver and other metabolic disease were quantified by Firefly assay and compared between CK18-defined TASH and NLD groups in this subcohort.

Differential levels of several microRNAs related to liver injury were seen between two liver disease categories. The well-characterized biomarker of liver injury, microRNA 122 (miR-122-5p), was significantly increased in the TASH group vs. the no liver disease (NLD) group. Several other liver- and metabolic disease-associated microRNA were different between the two groups as well, including miR-22-3p, miR-320a, and miR-375 (significantly increased), and miR-223-3p was significantly decreased in TASH vs. NLD (Table 17).

Several microRNA showed significant positive and/or negative associations with the individual isoforms of CK18, including miR-122, which was associated with an increase in CK18-M30 and a smaller positive association with CK18-M65. Of the microRNA transcripts assayed, only miR-877-5p displayed a similar pattern. Other microRNA showed either positive (hsa_miR_22_3p, hsa_miR_320a, hsa_miR_375, or negative (hsa_miR_21_5p, hsa_miR_375, hsa_miR_92a_3p) association with CK18-M65 alone. Positive and negative associations (β -coefficients) for microRNA vs. CK-18 isoforms are shown in Table 18.

Liver injury in ACHS-II was validated using clinical liver function tests (LFT). Clinical liver function tests including ALT, AST, Alkaline phosphatase, and total bilirubin were significantly elevated in the liver disease categories vs. control (Table 19). It is interesting to note, however, that by standard cutoffs used by the NHANES laboratory, prevalence of ALT abnormality in ACHS2 for females and males (respectively) would be 7.6% and 3.9% in the NLD category, 42% and 56% in the OLD category, and 22% and 8.2% in the TASH category, respectively. By the cutoffs

Table 17

ACHS validation - miRNA data by CK18 liver disease group

Probe	Quantile-Normalized Data					
	Adjusted for age, race, bmi, log(10)-total PCB, log(10)-lipids, plate					
	Fold	Adj-P	FDR	Raw-P	NLD	TASH
hsa_miR_122_5p	1.58	0.02	0.01	0.003	83.37	132.13
hsa_miR_223_3p	0.91	0.33	0.06	0.047	533.99	485.08
hsa_miR_22_3p	1.09	0.26	0.05	0.04	865.24	941.12
hsa_miR_320a	1.09	0.04	0.01	0.01	1320.94	1444.48
hsa_miR_375	1.18	0.12	0.03	0.02	6.94	8.19
hsa_miR_92a_3p	0.93	0.02	0.01	0.003	1457.91	1357.75

A subset of samples from ACHS Phase 1 were analyzed for levels of specific microRNA (miRNA) species associated with liver and/or metabolic disease. Individuals with CK18- indicated TASH (TASH) were compared to individuals with CK levels indicating no liver disease (NLD). Included in this table are those with a significant difference between groups (raw p-value < .05).

Table 18

Adjusted β -coefficients of CK-18 and miRNA levels.

Probe	CK18-M30			CK18-M65		
	β	Standard Error	Raw-P	β	Standard Error	Raw-P
hsa_miR_122_5p	1.00	0.33	0.003	0.20	0.09	0.02
hsa_miR_21_5p	0.01	0.13	0.94	-0.19	0.08	0.02
hsa_miR_22_3p	0.09	0.09	0.31	0.14	0.05	0.01
hsa_miR_29a_3p	-0.05	0.08	0.52	-0.10	0.05	0.06
hsa_miR_29c_3p	-0.10	0.10	0.36	-0.10	0.06	0.11
hsa_miR_320a	0.09	0.07	0.19	0.10	0.04	0.04
hsa_miR_375	0.09	0.15	0.55	0.20	0.09	0.03
hsa_miR_503_5p	-0.04	0.10	0.70	-0.12	0.06	0.05
hsa_miR_877_5p	0.20	0.10	0.04	0.14	0.06	0.02
hsa_miR_92a_3p	-0.08	0.05	0.15	-0.09	0.03	0.003

Several miRNA species showed significant positive or negative associations with either CK18 M30 level or CK18 M65 level.

Table 19

ACHS-II validation – LFTs by CK18 liver disease group

Characteristic	Liver disease status			P-value
	None	TASH	Other	
	(n = 131)	(n = 158)	(n = 56)	
AST	25.9±8.1	29.0±12.1	42.2±27.4	<0.001
ALT	22.8±8.6	29.6±9.8	38.3±19.3	<0.001
Alk Phos	85.5±22.7	91.1±38.9	104.7±58.0	0.01
Albumin	4.2±0.4	4.2±0.4	4.2±0.5	0.85
Total Bilirubin	0.36±0.16	0.42±0.24	0.46±0.24	0.01

Liver injury was validated in ACHS 2 (Phase 2) using the clinical liver injury biomarkers aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (Alk Phos), albumin, and total bilirubin.

suggested in Prati *et al.*, (Table 20) prevalence of ALT abnormality in ACHS2 for females and males (respectively) would be 61% and 15% in the NLD category, 76% and 94% in the other liver disease (OLD) category, and 82% and 33% in the TASH category. By either set of definitions, ALT performs better as a diagnostic tool for individuals with liver injury in which the predominant mechanism of cell death is apoptosis.

Associations between Σ PCBs on Liver Disease as assessed by CK18, LFTs and miRNA 122

Previous epidemiologic studies have demonstrated dose responses for PCBs in liver disease⁹⁵⁻⁹⁹, and animal studies have demonstrated interactions between PCBs and diet-induced obesity in steatohepatitis^{22, 23}. Univariate analysis was performed in the ACHS-1 cohort to determine associations between Σ PCBs and the liver injury biomarkers used to derive the liver injury categories (Table 13). There were no significant associations between Σ PCB exposure variables (lipid-adjusted or wet weight) and either CK18 M65 or M30 in the population overall. A sensitivity analysis was performed, excluding thirteen participants of 738 with total PCB wet weight values within four standard deviations of the mean. In this sensitivity analysis alone, significant negative associations were found between both lipid-adjusted and wet-weight serum PCB content and CK18 M30, but not M65.

Multinomial logistic regression was performed using multivariate models adjusted with or without additional confounders to investigate possible relationships between Σ PCBs and BMI and liver disease status (Table 21). Liver disease status was not associated with either Σ PCB levels or BMI whether alone or in combination. In both cohorts, there was no association between Σ PCBs or Σ PCB-O on liver disease status as determined by CK-18 (Table 22). When taken together, these results demonstrate that these two summation techniques, Σ PCBs and Σ PCB-O, were not associated with CK-18-indicated liver disease status.

In the ACHS microRNA subcohort, after adjustment for age and race there was no association between Σ PCB-O and miRNA 122. Two other miRNA were significantly positively associated (hsa-let-7d-5p and hsa-miR-181d-5p) (Table 23). In ACHS-II, after adjustment, there were no associations between Σ PCB-O and clinical LFTs (data not shown).

Table 20

Cutoff values for upper limit of normal for the clinical liver injury biomarker alanine

aminotransferase (ALT)

	(2003-2004 NHANES study)	UofL upper limit of reference	Prati, 2002 upper limits
Men 18-21	ALT $\geq$ 37 IU/L	ALT $\geq$ 70 IU/L	30 U/L
Men >21	ALT $\geq$ 48 IU/L	ALT $\geq$ 70 IU/L	30 U/L
Women 18-21	ALT $\geq$ 30 IU/L	ALT $\geq$ 70 IU/L	19 U/L
Women >21	ALT $\geq$ 31 IU/L	ALT $\geq$ 70 IU/L	19 U/L

Several cutoff values for the upper limit of normal have been proposed to define liver disease

based on serum alanine aminotransferase levels.

Table 21

Effects of PCBs and BMI on liver disease status by multinomial logistic regression.

	Univariate Analysis ^a				Multivariate Analysis ^b			
	TASH		Other		TASH		Other	
	Estimate	(SE)	Estimate	(SE)	Estimate	(SE)	Estimate	(SE)
PCB ^c	0.095	0.24	-0.35	0.37	0.29	0.27	-0.01	0.41
BMI	-0.009	0.01	0.003	0.02	-0.002	0.01	0.002	0.02
PCB ^c *BMI	-0.004	0.01	0.004	0.01	-0.006	0.01	0.002	0.01
Lipid ^c , ng/g	0.93	0.36	1.23	0.55	0.51	0.38	0.70	0.57
Age, years					-0.004	0.01	-0.02	0.01
Gender					-0.46	0.19	-0.32	0.29
Race					-1.05	0.21	-1.01	0.32
Alcohol Use					0.14	0.20	0.02	0.30
Pre-diabetic					0.24	0.22	0.52	0.34
Diabetic					0.42	0.21	0.64	0.32

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; PCB, Polychlorinated Biphenyl; SE, standard error.

Notes: Reference category is no liver disease.

^a Adjusted for lipid levels (natural log-transformed).

^b Adjusted for age, gender, race, diabetes status, alcohol use, and lipid levels (natural log-transformed).

^c Natural log-transformed.

Table 22

PCB groupings and CK-18 liver disease status in ACHS and ACHS-II

ACHS	Liver disease status						P-value	Total (n = 738)			
	None (n = 294)		TASH (n = 359)		Other (n = 85)						
Group											
ΣPCB-O (whole weight)	6.4±9.1		7.2±14.4		5.4±10.3		0.40	6.7±12.1			
ACHS-II											
Group	Liver disease status								P-value	Total (n = 345)	
	None (n = 131)		TASH (n = 158)		Other (n = 56)						
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		Mean	SD		
ΣPCBs (whole weight), ppb	6.0	6.4	5.4	7.2	5.6	9.8	0.20	5.7	7.4		
ΣPCB-O	6031.1	6402.1	5411.0	7218.9	5599.6	9810.0	0.20	5677.1	7398.7		
ΣPCB-TO	1364.0	1822.9	1272.1	1921.8	1460.9	2850.9	0.49	1337.7	2060.5		
ΣPCB-DO	3708.2	3830.4	3278.7	4289.2	3277.8	5642.8	0.15	3441.6	4367.6		
ΣPCB-MO	805.9	838.9	680.4	958.4	636.3	1153.0	0.04	720.9	950.0		
ΣPCB-NO	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.9	0.6	1.3	0.07	0.7	1.0		
ΣDL	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.7	1.1	2.2	0.04	1.3	1.7		
ΣNDL	5.4	5.8	4.9	6.5	5.1	9.0	0.23	5.1	6.7		
ΣEL 1	303.2	338.2	257.6	366.2	258.4	471.2	0.06	275.0	374.7		
ΣEL 2	1380.9	1466.2	1179.0	1570.0	1132.6	1877.3	0.09	1248.1	1584.9		
ΣAE	692.0	743.7	583.0	856.7	525.9	939.9	0.04	615.1	830.2		
ΣTL ^c	561.9	637.4	457.0	715.5	432.8	866.9	0.03	492.9	714.4		
ΣRL	1534.9	1622.9	1420.2	1913.7	1515.5	2902.0	0.26	1479.3	2004.0		

Data are n(%) or mean±SD. Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding. Note that cytokeratin 18 levels were used for categorization procedures.

P-value is one-way ANOVA (means) or Pearson chi-square test, across liver disease categories.

^c adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. Other liver disease category.

Table 23Significant associations of Σ PCBs and miRNA species

miRNA species	Σ PCBs, whole weight		
	β	Standard Error	Raw-P
hsa_let_7d_5p	0.07	0.03	0.03
hsa_miR_181d_5p	0.08	0.03	0.003

After adjustment for lipids, age, race, and inter-plate variation, no significant relationship was found between the specific liver injury biomarker miRNA-122 and Σ PCBs . Two other miRNA species, miRNA-let-7d and miRNA-181d, were positively associated with Σ PCBs.

Associations between individual PCB congeners and CK18-indicated liver disease in ACHS

In the ACHS 1 cohort, TASH was positively associated with 10 PCB congeners (PCB 28, 44, 49, 52, 66 101, 110, 128, 149, 151). Three congeners associated with TASH were also associated with Other (PCB 44, 49, 52). To explore the relationship between PCB levels and cell death mechanism, we examined associations between levels of individual congeners and absolute levels of CK18 M65 and M30. Thirteen congeners were positively associated with CK18 M65, while four PCBs were positively associated with M30 (Table 24). In ACHS1, consistent with the concept that TASH is 'toxicant-associated', more PCB congeners were associated with TASH than Other (10 vs. 3). The relationships between serum PCB concentration and CK18 M65/M30 were different for individual congeners than for summed groups. Although 13 congeners were positively associated with CK18 M65, Σ PCBs was not associated with this hepatocellular necrosis biomarker. While four congeners were positively associated with M30, Σ PCBs was inversely associated with this hepatocyte apoptosis biomarker.

In the ACHS1 population, therefore, PCBs were associated with both liver disease status and mode of hepatocyte death. Future experimental studies are required to evaluate the possible mechanistic role of PCBs in liver cell death. While animal studies have reported interactions between PCB exposures and diet-induced obesity in steatohepatitis ^{22, 23}, no significant interaction was found between Σ PCBs and BMI on liver disease status in this study. However, this analysis may have been limited by the high prevalence of overweight/obesity and the elevated PCB levels in this population.

Associations between TEQs for PCB congeners and other dioxin-like species on CK18-indicated liver injury in ACHS-II

Within the ACHS-II population, we estimated effects of dioxin-like chemicals on liver disease by using the TEQ protocol. As mentioned previously, the effects of dioxin-like chemicals have historically been attributed to AhR-mediated mechanisms, and the TEQ, by estimating the cumulative, weighted load of AhR ligands, can be used as an exposure variable to explore the

Table 24

ACHS adjusted beta coefficients of significant associations of liver disease status and CK-18 biomarkers by individual PCB congeners

PCB Congener	Liver Disease ^a		Other vs. None		M30 ^b		M65 ^b	
	TASH vs. None							
	Estimate	p-value	Estimate	p-value	Estimate	p-value	Estimate	p-value
28	0.24	0.03					0.07	<0.001
44	0.45	0.04	0.73	0.01	0.11	0.01	0.11	0.01
49	0.66	0.004	0.71	0.01	0.10	0.01	0.10	0.01
52	0.37	0.01	0.59	0.001	0.09	0.001	0.11	<0.001
66	0.29	0.002					0.07	<0.001
101	0.20	0.05					0.05	0.02
105							0.04	0.03
110	0.36	0.004			0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04
128	0.22	0.02						
149	0.24	0.02						
151	0.25	0.01					0.05	0.01
172							0.04	0.02
178							0.04	0.03
187							0.04	0.04
195							0.04	0.04

^a Multinomial model adjusted for age, sex, race, alcohol use, log lipids, diabetes (pre- and any vs. none)

^b Regression model adjusted for age, sex, race, alcohol use, log lipids, diabetes.

Note that all congeners given in this table had at least a 96.7% detection rate. No significant differences were seen for PCB congeners 74, 87, 99, 118, 138, 146, 153, 156, 157, 167, 170, 177, 180, 183, 189, 194, 196, 199, 206, 209.

relationship between AhR induction and disease biomarkers. Associations between Total dioxin TEQ, TEQ by individual class (PCDD, PCDF, PCB), and TEQ for each dioxin-like chemical with a TEF available in the 2005 WHO evaluation were evaluated and are presented in Table 25. Adjusted beta coefficients of significant associations of various TEQ summations and cytokeratin 18 biomarkers are shown in Table 26. We did not find significant associations between log transformed mean of any TEQ sum and TASH status vs. None. Non-Ortho PCB TEQ and Total Dioxins TEQ were significantly lower in the Other liver disease category compared to None.

Associations between PCBs and other serum biomarkers

PCB exposure has previously been associated with diabetes ¹⁰⁰. However, less is known regarding the potential impact of PCBs on adipocytokines. For ACHS, the effects of lipid-adjusted Σ PCB levels on unadjusted adipocytokines and biomarkers of glucose and lipid metabolism are given as beta coefficients in Table 16. The pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF α ($p < 0.001$) and IL-6 ($p = 0.001$), were positively associated with Σ PCBs in the unadjusted model. PCBs had no significant effects on IL-1 β , IL-8, MCP-1, PAI-1, or resistin. HOMA-B ($p < 0.001$) and insulin ($p = 0.03$) levels were inversely associated with Σ PCB levels; while no effect was seen on HOMA-IR. Adiponectin was positively associated with PCBs ($p = 0.01$) while no difference was seen in leptin. Regression curves presented in Figure 6 graphically depict the relationships between log-transformed insulin and leptin levels with log-transformed Σ PCBs in ACHS.

For the ACHS-2 unadjusted model, biomarkers which varied significantly with changes to serum concentrations of all PCBs measured (Σ PCB), 35 ortho-substituted congeners (Σ PCB-O), or the non-dioxin-like congeners (Σ NDL) are shown in Table 27. In the same population and model, the biomarkers which varied significantly with changes to serum concentrations of tri/tetra-ortho substituted congeners (Σ PCB-TO), di-ortho substituted congeners (Σ PCB-DO), or mono-ortho substituted congeners (Σ PCB-MO) are shown in Table 28, while those which varied significantly with changes to serum concentrations of non-ortho substituted congeners (Σ PCB-NO), or dioxin-like (Σ DL) are shown in Table 29. A summary of the congeners represented in each grouping is presented in Table 2.

Table 25

TEQ for dioxin-like PCBs and other dioxin-like species and CK-18-indicated liver disease status in ACHS-II

Characteristic	Liver disease status						P-value	Total (n = 345)	
	None (n = 131)		TASH (n = 158)		Other (n = 56)				
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		Mean	SD
Mono-ortho PCBs TEQ, log-transformed	4.4	4.3	3.6	4.5	3.4	5.3	0.03	3.8	4.6
PCB 126 TEQ, log-transformed	8.9	13.6	6.7	13.3	7.0	17.3	0.24	7.6	14.1
PCB 169 TEQ, log-transformed	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.7	0.17	1.1	1.2
Non-ortho PCBs TEQ, log-transformed^c	10.0	14.2	7.7	13.9	8.1	18.2	0.04	8.7	14.8
PCDD TEQ, log-transformed	12.2	7.8	10.8	7.8	10.0	6.8	0.08	11.2	7.7
PCDF TEQ, log-transformed	3.0	2.2	2.6	1.7	3.1	3.7	0.23	2.8	2.3
PCDD and PCDF TEQ, log-transformed	15.2	9.7	13.4	9.3	13.1	9.9	0.09	14.0	9.6
PCDD, PCDF, cPCBs TEQ, log-transformed	25.2	20.8	21.1	20.0	21.1	23.5	0.047	22.7	20.9
Total Dioxin TEQ, log-transformed^c	29.2	24.3	24.1	24.0	24.2	28.5	0.02	26.0	24.9
12378_PeCDD TEQ, log-transformed	5.9	3.6	5.2	3.7	5.0	3.3	0.09	5.4	3.6
123678_HxCDD TEQ, log-transformed	3.2	1.9	3.0	2.1	2.7	1.8	0.10	3.0	2.0
23478_PeCDF TEQ, log-transformed	2.0	1.4	1.7	1.3	2.1	3.2	0.45	1.9	1.8

Data are n(%) or mean±SD. Not all percents add to 100% due to rounding. Note that cytokeratin 18 levels were used for categorization procedures.

P-value is one-way ANOVA (means) or Pearson chi-square test, across liver disease categories.

^c adj-p<=0.05 in pair-wise comparison of None vs. Other liver disease category.

Table 26

Adjusted beta coefficients of significant associations of various TEQ summations and CK-18 biomarkers in ACHS-II

Exposure	Liver Disease ^a			M30 ^b			M65 ^b		
	TASH vs. None			Other vs. None					
	Estimate	p-value	Estimate	p-value	Estimate	p-value	Estimate	p-value	Estimate
Total TEQ	-0.28	0.13	-0.11	0.66	-0.02	0.61	-0.04	0.28	
By class									
PCDD	-0.34	0.20	-0.10	0.78	0.01	0.86	-0.02	0.66	
PCDF	-0.30	0.26	0.44	0.19	0.09	0.16	-0.002	0.96	
Mono-ortho PCBs	-0.10	0.55	0.06	0.77	-0.02	0.69	-0.006	0.85	
non-ortho PCBs	-0.08	0.57	0.05	0.78	0.01	0.82	-0.01	0.79	
Individual congeners									
PCB126	0.07	0.65	0.11	0.59	0.004	0.92	0.01	0.67	
PCB 169	0.02	0.93	-0.09	0.79	-0.03	0.67	0.01	0.81	
1,2,3,7,8 PeCDD	-0.44	0.14	0.07	0.86	0.03	0.65	-0.04	0.43	
1,2,3,6,7,8 HxCDD	-0.37	0.24	-0.03	0.95	0.02	0.78	-0.03	0.60	
2,3,4,7,8 PeCDF TEQ	-0.18	0.48	0.45	0.16	0.09	0.17	0.01	0.87	
Other summations									
PCDD and PCDF	-0.36	0.20	-0.04	0.91	0.02	0.76	-0.03	0.59	
PCDD, PCDF, AND Non-ortho PCB	-0.15	0.50	0.04	0.89	0.01	0.82	-0.01	0.85	

Exposure and CK18 values are log-transformed

^a Multinomial model adjusted for age, sex, race, alcohol use, diabetes (and log-transformed total lipids for whole weight sum of PCBs).

^b Regression model adjusted for age, sex, race, alcohol use, diabetes (and log-transformed total lipids for whole weight sum of PCBs).

Figure 6. Σ PCBs were inversely associated with metabolic biomarkers in ACHS. Regression lines showing the change in insulin (Panel A) and leptin (Panel B) with increasing serum PCB load are shown for each liver disease group.

Table 27

Unadjusted ACHS-II biomarker levels by sum of all PCBs (Σ PCBs) sum of 35 ortho-substituted congeners (Σ PCB-O), and all non-dioxin-like congeners (Σ NDL)

		β	SE	P-value
Σ PCBs	Adiponectin (ug/ml)	0.07	0.03	0.03
	MCP-1	-0.07	0.03	0.01
	TNF α	0.07	0.04	0.04
	ALT (SGPT)	-0.06	0.02	<.001
	ALK PHOS	0.04	0.01	0.02
	Albumin	-0.01	0.00	0.04
	Insulin pmol/L	-0.12	0.04	<.001
	HOMA-IR	-0.10	0.04	0.01
	HOMA-B	-0.13	0.05	0.01
	Hyaluronic Acid (ng/ml)	0.36	0.04	<.001
	Insulin	-0.12	0.04	<.001
Σ PCB-O	CK18 M30	-0.08	0.03	0.00
	Adiponectin (ug/ml)	0.08	0.03	0.02
	MCP-1	-0.08	0.03	0.00
	ALT (SGPT)	-0.07	0.02	<.001
	ALK PHOS	0.03	0.01	0.02
	Albumin	-0.01	0.00	0.01
	Insulin pmol/L	-0.13	0.04	<.001
	HOMA-IR	-0.12	0.04	0.01
	HOMA-B	-0.14	0.05	0.01
	Hyaluronic Acid (ng/ml)	0.37	0.04	<.001
	Insulin	-0.13	0.04	<.001
Σ NDL	CK18 M30	-0.08	0.03	0.00
	CK18 M65	-0.04	0.02	0.04
	Adiponectin (ug/ml)	0.08	0.03	0.02
	MCP-1	-0.08	0.03	0.00
	ALT (SGPT)	-0.06	0.02	<.001
	ALK PHOS	0.03	0.01	0.02
	Albumin	-0.01	0.00	0.02
	Insulin pmol/L	-0.13	0.04	<.001
	HOMA-IR	-0.12	0.04	0.00
	HOMA-B	-0.14	0.05	0.01
	Hyaluronic Acid (ng/ml)	0.37	0.04	<.001
	Insulin	-0.13	0.04	<.001
	CK18 M30	-0.08	0.03	0.00
	CK18 M65	-0.04	0.02	0.04

For the ACHS-II unadjusted model, biomarkers which varied significantly with

changes to serum concentrations of all PCBs measured (Σ PCB), 35 ortho-substituted

congeners (Σ PCB-O), or the non-dioxin-like congeners (Σ NDL) are shown.

Table 28

Unadjusted ACHS-II biomarker levels by groups of ortho-substituted PCBs

		β	SE	P-value
ΣPCB-TO	Adiponectin (ug/ml)	0.08	0.03	0.01
	MCP-1	-0.08	0.03	0.00
	ALT (SGPT)	-0.07	0.02	<.001
	ALK PHOS	0.03	0.01	0.03
	Albumin	-0.01	0.00	0.01
	Insulin pmol/L	-0.13	0.03	<.001
	HOMA-IR	-0.13	0.04	0.00
	HOMA-B	-0.13	0.05	0.01
	Hyaluronic Acid (ng/ml)	0.34	0.04	<.001
	Insulin	-0.13	0.03	<.001
	CK18 M30	-0.07	0.03	0.01
ΣPCB-DO	Adiponectin (ug/ml)	0.07	0.03	0.03
	MCP-1	-0.09	0.03	0.00
	ALT (SGPT)	-0.07	0.02	<.001
	ALK PHOS	0.03	0.01	0.02
	Albumin	-0.01	0.00	0.02
	Insulin pmol/L	-0.13	0.04	<.001
	HOMA-IR	-0.12	0.04	0.01
	HOMA-B	-0.13	0.05	0.01
	Hyaluronic Acid (ng/ml)	0.37	0.04	<.001
	Insulin	-0.13	0.04	<.001
	CK18 M30	-0.09	0.03	0.00
	CK18 M65	-0.05	0.02	0.03
ΣPCB-MO	Adiponectin (ug/ml)	0.08	0.03	0.02
	MCP-1	-0.06	0.03	0.03
	TNF α	0.08	0.04	0.03
	ALT (SGPT)	-0.08	0.02	<.001
	ALK PHOS	0.04	0.01	0.01
	Albumin	-0.02	0.00	<.001
	Insulin pmol/L	-0.11	0.04	0.00
	HOMA-B	-0.14	0.05	0.01
	Hyaluronic Acid (ng/ml)	0.33	0.04	<.001
	Insulin	-0.11	0.04	0.00
	Leptin	0.23	0.05	<.001
	CK18 M30	-0.08	0.03	0.00
	CK18 M65	-0.06	0.02	0.01

For the ACHS-II unadjusted model, biomarkers which varied significantly with

changes to serum concentrations of tri/tetra-ortho substituted congeners (Σ PCB-TO), di-ortho substituted congeners (Σ PCB-DO), or mono-ortho substituted congeners (Σ PCB-MO) are shown.

Table 29

Unadjusted ACHS-II biomarker levels by groups of non-ortho-substituted and dioxin-like PCBs

		β	SE	P-value
ΣPCB-NO	AST (SGOT)	-0.06	0.02	0.00
	ALT (SGPT)	-0.08	0.02	<.001
	Albumin	-0.02	0.01	<.001
	Total Bilirubin	-0.07	0.03	0.02
	Direct Bilirubin	-0.05	0.02	0.04
	Hyaluronic Acid (ng/ml)	0.22	0.05	<.001
	IL-6	0.14	0.06	0.01
	Leptin	0.27	0.06	<.001
	CK18 M30	-0.08	0.03	0.02
	CK18 M65	-0.06	0.03	0.02
ΣDL	TNF α	0.09	0.03	0.01
	AST (SGOT)	-0.04	0.02	0.03
	ALT (SGPT)	-0.08	0.02	<.001
	ALK PHOS	0.04	0.01	0.01
	Albumin	-0.01	0.00	<.001
	Insulin pmol/L	-0.10	0.03	0.00
	HOMA-B	-0.14	0.04	0.00
	Hyaluronic Acid (ng/ml)	0.31	0.04	<.001
	Insulin	-0.10	0.03	0.00
	Leptin	0.18	0.05	<.001
	CK18 M30	-0.07	0.02	0.00
	CK18 M65	-0.05	0.02	0.01

For the ACHS-II unadjusted model, biomarkers which varied significantly with changes to serum concentrations of non-ortho substituted congeners (Σ PCB-NO), or dioxin-like (Σ DL) are shown.

In ACHS-II, significant positive relationships were observed between Σ PCBs and adiponectin, TNF α , alkaline phosphatase, and hyaluronic acid, while significant negative relationships were observed between Σ PCBs and MCP-1, ALT, Albumin, Insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B. Interestingly, while most of these relationships were conserved between Σ PCBs and other grouping structures, the positive relationship between summed PCBs and TNF α was observed only in the comparison with mono-ortho ($\beta=0.08\pm0.04$, $p=0.03$) and dioxin-like ($\beta=0.09\pm0.03$, $p=0.01$) PCBs, along with an additional positive relationship with IL-6 ($\beta=0.14\pm0.06$, $p=0.01$) observed in the dioxin-like group (Tables 27-29). This suggests a relationship between the inflammatory processes contributing to PCB-related TASH and the structural characteristics of congeners within the exposure mixture.

In the adjusted model, Σ PCBs remained associated with abnormal glucose metabolism. Σ PCBs were associated with decreased HOMA-IR ($p=0.03$) and insulin ($p=0.04$), with a trend towards decreased HOMA-B ($p=0.08$) (Table 30). Σ PCBs were also associated with decreased leptin ($p<0.001$). To investigate these associations further, we determined adjusted beta coefficients for individual PCB congeners and these biomarkers (Table 31). Significant inverse associations were seen between seven high molecular weight PCB congeners and both HOMA-IR and insulin. Twenty-six congeners (both low and high molecular weight) were inversely associated with leptin. Inverse associations were also found between HOMA-B and PCBs 180 and 194. Σ PCBs was no longer associated with increased pro-inflammatory cytokines in the adjusted model. Thus, PCBs appeared to modulate both liver cell death mechanism, intermediary metabolism, and adipokines. The liver-specific impact of PCBs on inflammation was less certain due to variability between the adjusted and unadjusted models.

Table 30ACHS adjusted^a beta coefficients of associations of ΣPCBs (wet weight) with biomarkers

Cytokine/Adipokine	β	SE	p-Value
Glucose	<0.001	0.01	1.00
Insulin	-0.07	0.04	0.04
HOMA-B	-0.07	0.04	0.08
HOMA-IR	-0.08	0.04	0.03
IL-1β	0.01	0.05	0.90
IL-6	0.05	0.05	0.33
IL-8	0.08	0.07	0.25
Leptin	-0.14	0.04	<0.001
MCP-1	0.02	0.03	0.53
PAI-1	-0.01	0.02	0.51
Resistin	0.01	0.03	0.81
TNFα	0.04	0.03	0.26

^a Adjustments were made for lipid levels, age, body mass index, gender, race/ethnicity, diabetes, and alcohol use.

Table 31

Adjusted beta coefficients of significant associations of PCB congeners with biomarkers of glucose metabolism

	HOMA-B	HOMA-IR	Insulin	Leptin
	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB
28				-0.08
44				-0.16
52				-0.10
66				-0.06
74				
101		-0.07	-0.07	
110				-0.13
128				-0.09
138				-0.07
146				-0.07
151				
153				-0.09
156				-0.13
157		-0.08	-0.08	-0.13
167				-0.06
170		-0.08	-0.07	-0.15
172				-0.06
177				-0.14
178				-0.12
180	-0.08	-0.08	-0.08	-0.16
183				-0.06
187				-0.10
189		-0.09		-0.16
194	-0.07	-0.08	-0.08	-0.17
195				-0.13
196		-0.08	-0.08	-0.13
199		-0.08	-0.07	-0.15
206		-0.06		-0.13
209				-0.114

In ACHS-II, several individual congeners were associated with biomarkers or assessments of metabolic disease. Leptin was negatively associated with most congeners, while insulin and HOMA-IR were primarily negatively associated with higher molecular weight congeners.

CHAPTER ONE: DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, the 738 subjects in ACHS make this the largest environmental liver disease study ever undertaken using sensitive mechanistic biomarkers. The overall liver injury prevalence of over 60% is among the highest ever reported for a residential cohort, and is well above estimates of NAFLD prevalence in the US and worldwide. Importantly, this high prevalence was maintained across both phases, suggesting that the injury remains chronic and the biomarkers of that injury are persistent. Positive associations between TASH categorization and elevated pro-inflammatory cytokines, insulin resistance, and hypertriglyceridemia further support our conclusion that chronic steatohepatitis has occurred and is persistent in these subjects.

The individuals comprising the ACHS and ACHS-II cohorts are highly contaminated with PCBs due to the proximity to a former PCB-manufacturing facility and exposure to PCB-containing waste released into the environment. Most subjects within these cohorts were also overweight or obese, and the ACHS cohort was previously reported to have elevated prevalence of disease components of the metabolic syndrome, therefore, we expected to find increased prevalence of NASH, consistent with these reports, and we hypothesized that mechanism of hepatic injury would be consistent with TASH because of the toxicant exposure. Liver injury in 80% of ACHS and 73.8% of ACHS-II cases was associated with hepatocellular necrosis, a characteristic of TASH^{83, 84} but not of NASH, or ASH, which are associated with increased hepatocellular apoptosis⁹¹.

The liver is the principal target organ for organochlorine toxicants such as PCBs¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³. Associations between PCBs and liver injury have been demonstrated in industrial exposures and in cases of accidental poisoning in humans, and chronic PCB exposure has been shown to cause steatohepatitis in laboratory animal models. Attempts to demonstrate a straightforward

relationship between environmental PCB exposure and liver injury in humans, however, has been frustrated, in part, by the lack of adequate biomarkers. In 2010, our laboratory published an evaluation of the 2003-2004 NHANES data, which showed an association between serum levels of PCBs and elevations of the clinical liver injury biomarker ALT. In this study, using upper limits defined in the 2003-2004 NHANES laboratory protocol¹⁰⁴, 10.6% of subjects had ALT elevation not attributable to viral hepatitis, hemochromatosis, or alcohol abuse³⁷. Odds of inclusion in this group increased with increasing levels of serum PCBs, and inclusion was also associated with overweight/obesity and Hispanic/non-Hispanic White ethnicity, both of which are associated with increased NASH prevalence. The association between PCBs and liver injury in this population persisted even after adjustment for BMI, however, the use of ALT in the NHANES population does not allow discrimination between NASH and TASH as potential etiologies for liver injury³⁷.

The use of CK18 M30 and M65 in the ACHS cohorts allowed dissection of these etiologies based on cell death mechanism. Our validation studies using alternative (miRNA) or approved clinical (ALT) diagnostic methods indicate significantly elevated markers of liver injury in the CK18-designated liver injury groups vs. NLD indicate that CK18 can detect liver injury in a population such as the ACHS cohorts, where TASH is suspected. This capability is particularly important when one considers the findings of the ACHS-II clinical liver function tests, which indicate statistically significant but clinically irrelevant elevations in mean ALT within the TASH group. Mean values for both NLD and "TASH" groups are well below the clinical cutoffs used in the 2003-2004 NHANES study as well as in most clinical applications. Using the upper limits recommended in Prati *et al.*, 2002⁶³ (shown in Table 20), which redefined the cutoffs using a cohort at low risk for chronic HCV infection or NAFLD, a much larger proportion of the ACHS-II cohort has ALT values in the abnormal range (Figure 7), however, even by the Prati definitions, ALT abnormality was only detected in 33% of males within the TASH group. This contrasts with females in the TASH group, 82% of whom had ALT abnormalities with the lower cutoff. Liver injury due to chronic TASH or TASH superimposed on NASH may not be detected in a clinical

Figure 7. Distribution of ALT levels in ACHS by liver disease category.

Distribution of ALT levels for female (blue circles) and male (green squares) participants in the three CK18-defined liver disease categories, with lines indicating upper limits of normal based on the NHANES (black lines) or Prati definition (red lines). The upper limit of normal for females is the lower line in each color, while the upper limit of normal for males is the upper line in each color. Males tended to have higher ALT levels in all groups

setting using common screening methods, and this could delay identification therapeutic intervention.

Neither Σ PCBs nor BMI was associated with liver disease in the ACHS cohorts, though Σ PCBs has been previously associated with liver disease in other epidemiologic studies ^{37, 95-99}. Differences in methodologies and demographic/exposure variables might account for this discrepancy. However, exposures to 13 specific PCB congeners were associated with TASH and/or CK18 M65 elevation in the ACHS cohort. Of these, PCBs 66, 105, 149, 151, 172, 178, and 187 were previously associated with increased ALT in NHANES⁹⁷. The present study using mechanistic biomarkers greatly extends this prior work. Some of the thirteen identified PCB congeners (PCBs 28, 66, 105, and 128) have dioxin-like activity, while others have estrogenic (44, 49, 52, 101, 110) or phenobarbital-like (44, 49, 52, 101, 128, 149, 151, 187, 195) effects ¹⁰⁵. Perhaps activation of the AhR, estrogen receptor, or the constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) may be involved in PCB-related TASH. While four congeners were associated with increased CK18 M30, an inverse association was observed between Σ PCBs and this apoptosis biomarker. Notably, activation of the PCB receptor CAR, was found to be anti-apoptotic in a mouse model of cholestatic liver disease ¹⁰⁶. This supports our clinical observation that some phenobarbital-like PCBs, which activate CAR, were associated with TASH¹⁰⁶. Although not directly tested in this study, it is conceivable that PCBs could mediate a transition from NASH to TASH by decreasing apoptosis and promoting more pro-inflammatory necrotic hepatocyte death. While PCBs were a 'second hit' in the transition from diet-induced steatosis to more advanced liver disease in animal studies^{23, 107-109}, a significant interaction between PCBs and BMI on liver disease status was not observed in the present study. Perhaps the high rates of overweight/obesity and elevated PCB levels in the cohort limited the ability to detect interactions occurring at lower exposures and body weights.

In ACHS-II, the relationship between pro-inflammatory biomarkers and less ortho-substituted congener groupings again suggests receptor-mediated disease processes, although the loss of significant relationships in the model adjusted for diabetes may indicate that modulation of inflammatory response in TASH may be an extrahepatic effect.

In ACHS, liver disease affected males (67.2%) and non-Hispanic whites (70.2%) disproportionately. The sex difference is consistent with a recently published report documenting increased mortality from hepatic disease in men exposed to PCB through ingestion of contaminated rice oil¹¹⁰. Moreover, men and Caucasians have increased susceptibility to NAFLD⁹⁰. Genetic polymorphisms may contribute to ethnic differences in NASH^{90, 111, 112}. For example, ethnic differences in the distribution of null alleles in the NASH susceptibility gene (patatin-like phospholipase domain containing protein 3 - PNPLA3), have been reported. We postulate that gene-environment interactions may also influence TASH; and that these interactions may have contributed to the ethnic differences observed in this study. In addition to PNPLA3, candidate genes include the pregnane X receptor (PXR), which is a PCB receptor¹⁹ with at least two polymorphisms previously associated with NAFLD severity¹¹³. The observed gender differences could potentially be explained by estrogenic PCB congeners.

While steatohepatitis has long been associated abnormal adipocytokines^{83, 84} relatively less is known about the effects of PCBs on these biomarkers. In a cohort of 992 elderly Swedish subjects, Σ PCBs was significantly associated with vascular cell adhesion protein 1 but not IL-6, MCP-1, or TNF α ¹¹⁴. However, Aroclor 1260 increased TNF α , IL-6, and PAI-1^{23, 108} in mice fed high fat diet. Adiponectin was inversely associated with PCB 28, 138, and 153 in a study of 98 Koreans¹¹⁵; but Σ PCBs was not associated with adiponectin levels in the Great Lakes Sport Fish Caught Consumers Study (n=413)¹¹⁶. Leptin receptor expression was decreased in PCB-exposed children¹¹⁷; and PCB-exposures induced leptin resistance in the 3T3-L1 cell culture model while increasing both TNF α and IL-6 production¹¹⁸; In the present study, Σ PCBs was associated with increased TNF α and IL-6, but only in the unadjusted model. While Σ PCBs was associated with increased adiponectin in the unadjusted model, it was associated with decreased leptin in the adjusted model. Importantly, in the adjusted model twenty-six PCB congeners were individually associated with decreased leptin. If PCBs decrease leptin expression while simultaneously inducing leptin resistance, this could be an important potential mechanism for PCB-related metabolic dysfunction.

A high prevalence of diabetes (27%) has previously been reported in the ACHS⁴⁹, and the impact of PCBs on diabetes has recently been reviewed¹⁰⁰. In the present study, Σ PCBs was

associated with decreased HOMA-B in the unadjusted model with a trend ($p=0.08$) towards decreased HOMA-B in the adjusted model. Σ PCBs and nine individual high molecular weight congeners were associated with decreased HOMA-IR in the adjusted model. Σ PCBs were inversely associated with insulin in both models. Adjustments were not made for diabetes medications, so it is difficult to draw firm conclusions from these data. Nonetheless, these data are by and large consistent with the findings from our recently published mouse model. In that experiment, C57Bl/6 male mice fed a 42% milk fat diet for 12 weeks with or without co-exposure to a high molecular weight PCB mixture (Aroclor 1260) at a dose designed to model ACHS¹⁰⁸. PCB treatment induced steatohepatitis, decreased HOMA-B and HOMA-IR but did not change glucose tolerance. Hepatic gluconeogenesis, glucose transporters, physical activity, food intake, and respiratory exchange rate appeared to be regulated, in part, by interactions between PCBs and the nuclear receptors *Pxr* and *Car*¹⁰⁸. These studies clearly demonstrate significant derangements in glucose metabolism occurring in the context of PCB-related liver disease. The mechanisms underpinning the complicated effects of PCBs on intermediary metabolism in steatohepatitis require further elucidation. However, based on the results of the present study, pancreatic β cell dysfunction, rather than insulin resistance (e.g., not Type II diabetes), may be involved in the diabetes associated with PCB exposures. Importantly, such dysfunction would appear to occur in the absence of anti-islet antibodies (e.g. not Type I diabetes), suggesting the potential for Type 3c or pancreatogenic diabetes¹¹⁹ among the mechanisms of PCB-associated liver disease.

CK18 is currently the most extensively validated serum biomarker for steatohepatitis as a stand-alone test correlating with histology^{89, 120}, and the addition of transaminases to CK18 in order to create a prediction model did not improve the diagnostic value of CK18 alone in NASH⁸⁹. In a multi-center validation study, the sensitivity and specificity of CK18 M30 for biopsy-proven NASH (vs. steatosis alone) were as high as 77% and 92% respectively depending on the test threshold⁸⁹. While CK18 correlated with ALT^{69, 89}, it offered improved diagnostic accuracy over ALT for histological NASH⁸⁹ and TASH⁶⁶. While CK18 is less well validated in TASH than NASH, it showed superior performance to ALT in previously published studies^{66, 69}.

This is the first analysis of liver disease in the ACHS, and the results have several limitations. The demographic and exposure characteristics of the ACHS cohort are not representative of the overall population, limiting the generalizability of the study results. Furthermore, the ACHS cohort likely had elevated exposures to unmeasured environmental chemicals, including additional dioxin-like PCBs, most notably PCB 126. In a pilot study of 65 ACHS participants, median concentrations of the dioxin-like congeners PCB 126 and 169 approached the 95th percentile reported for the same age group in the general U.S. population for subjects age 40-59. Importantly, dioxin-like PCBs were among the most potent environmental chemicals associated with the development of steatosis in rodent studies ¹²¹, and several dioxin-like congeners were associated with TASH in ACHS. PCB exposures may also have been accompanied by exposures to dioxins, dibenzofurans, metals, and other organics related to PCB production or releases from the nearby Anniston Army Depot Superfund Site or local ferro-manganese smelting operations. Although the potentially confounding effects of alcohol were taken into consideration, viral hepatitis were not excluded. Although CK18 is validated clinically, this may be the first application of CK18 in an epidemiological study. The follow-up ACHS-II study addresses some of these limitations. Additional measurements in ACHS-II will include evaluation of the relationships between CK-18 and individual congeners. Future liver imaging and/or biopsies would help to confirm the study results.

In summary, the 60.2% prevalence of liver disease in the PCB-exposed ACHS population is among the highest ever reported for a residential cohort. Assessment of steatohepatitis in ACHS/ACHS-II population using the mechanistic liver injury biomarker CK18 revealed increased prevalence of hepatocellular necrosis, and clinical LFT analysis indicated the absence of transaminitis. This pattern is characteristic of TASH, a specific subcategory of NAFLD associated with chemical exposures. The observed hepatocellular necrosis was associated with elevations in the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6 and metabolic abnormalities, also consistent with TASH. Assessment of the relationship between liver injury biomarkers and PCB exposure in this population indicated a relationship between total PCB load and biomarkers of metabolic dysfunction and fibrosis, however, increasing total PCB load was not associated with increases in

the liver injury biomarker CK18. Interestingly, positive relationships with pro-inflammatory biomarkers IL-6 and TNF α were only seen with non-ortho and dioxin-like congener groupings. Exposures to ten individual PCB congeners were also associated with TASH. This suggests that congener structures within the mixture may contribute to TASH in different ways. Individuals in this cohort are exposed to PCBs at a level 2-3 times higher than the general U.S. population, and, importantly, acquire PCBs through environmental (rather than industrial) exposure.

We therefore conclude that environmental PCB exposure is associated with increased liver injury. Individuals with TASH had corresponding elevations in other serum liver injury biomarkers, including miR-122, as well as indications of increased fibrosis and systemic inflammation. In the context of clinical hepatology practice, our findings suggest that a greater focus on the contribution of environmental chemical diseases is warranted, and the lack of correlation between clinical liver biomarkers and CK-18 and miR-122 findings suggests that standard screening practices may be insufficient to diagnose liver injury in some chemical-exposed populations.

CHAPTER TWO: PCBS INDUCE DIFFERENTIAL TRANSCRIPTION IN LIVER TISSUE FROM A MOUSE MODEL OF CHRONIC PCB/DIETARY COEXPOSURE

The implied mechanistic differences between obesity-related NASH and TASH suggest that widespread exposure to persistent organic pollutants may contribute to liver injury. Importantly, both dioxin-like and non-dioxin-like congeners appear to drive this difference, making it clear that an understanding of the underlying mechanism is needed make therapeutic decisions and to revise risk assessment in populations exposed to related chemicals. The apparent multi-system effects of PCB exposure observed in the Anniston studies appear to converge on liver injury, but as PCB exposure in Anniston occurred in a complex coexposure environment, in the context of this epidemiological study, it is not straightforward to disentangle PCB effects from diet or metabolic disruption originating in organ systems outside the liver. We hypothesized that direct PCB effects in the liver would induce transcriptional changes that could be mapped to pathways and processes related to NASH.

A mouse model of diet-induced obesity and PCB coexposure has been evaluated in our laboratory, as previously reported²³. Notable findings from this study included the fact that exposure to PCBs decreased fasting glucose in control mice, but had had no discernable effect on histological or serological liver damage without dietary coexposure (high-fat diet, HFD). With dietary coexposure, there was more histologically evident liver damage at a moderate exposure to PCBs (HFD-A20) than at high exposure (HFD-A200). High fat diet increased body weight and fat pad weight at all three PCB exposure levels, though it was significantly less at the high dose.

Therefore, HFD and PCB exposure contribute to the phenotype of liver damage and metabolic dysfunction in this mouse model. Moreover, because the Anniston populations were observed to have high prevalence of obesity, serologic indicators of liver injury and elevations in

IL-6 and tPAI1 at PCB exposures at least 10-fold lower than the 200 mg/kg dose, the HFD+20mg/kg conditions most accurately models the PCB/dietary coexposures in Anniston. We hypothesized, therefore, that HFD coexposures would modulate the PCB-dependent transcriptome (or vice versa), producing transcriptional changes related to the phenotype in this model and in ACHS. We performed RNAseq analysis on RNA isolated from liver tissue in these animals, and performed in silico analysis of the differential transcriptome. Specifically, we expected to find that the genes differentially transcribed between CD/vehicle and CD/PCB treatment would be enriched in gene ontology terms related to insulin resistance, and genes differentially transcribed between CD/PCB and HFD/PCB would be enriched in terms related to liver diseases, metabolic diseases, and inflammation.

CHAPTER TWO: MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design of the animal study

In this chronic (12-week) study, male C57Bl/6J mice (8 weeks old; The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA) were assigned to 6 study groups (n=10) as summarized in Figure 7. Beginning at week 0, each group received one of two diets: control diet (CD, 10.2% kCal from fat; TD.06416 Harlan Teklad) or a high fat diet (HFD, 42% kCal from milk fat; TD.88137 Harlan Teklad), and were allowed one week to acclimate. Beginning at Week 1, each group received one of 3 exposures administered in corn oil by oral gavage: corn oil vehicle, Aroclor 1260 (AccuStandard, CT, USA) (vs. corn oil alone) at 20 mg/kg or at 200 mg/kg. Importantly, the 20 mg/kg exposure was designed to mimic the highest human PCB exposures in the ACHS cohort, while the 200 mg/kg exposure was similar to that used in rodent carcinogenesis studies¹⁰². The two doses of Aroclor 1260 were administered over a different time period in order to manage acute toxicity at the higher dose, with the 20 mg/kg dose administered once (Week 1) and the 200 mg/kg dose administered as four 50 mg/kg doses (Weeks 1, 3, 5, and 7).

Mice were housed in a temperature- and light-controlled room (12 h light; 12 h dark) with food and water ad libitum. The animals were euthanized (ketamine/xylazine, 100/20 mg/kg body weight, i.p.) at the end of Week 12. Liver tissue was harvested and immediately disrupted in RNA Stat-60 reagent (AMS Biotechnology Limited, Abingdon UK) and stored on ice.

Preparation of RNA samples and RNAseq analysis

RNA was extracted by the RNA Stat-60 protocol according to manufacturer directions. For RNAseq analysis, RNA samples were multiplexed using sequence barcoding, and sequenced single ended to 75 base pair reads using a NextSeq500 to an approximate read count of 40

Figure 8. PCB exposure and high-fat diet induce phenotypic changes in C57/BL6 mice.

Six conditions evaluated in our mouse study are shown above, along with major phenotypic changes.

million reads per sample. Base calling (assigning nucleobase identity to chromatogram peaks) for these files was accomplished using Illumina's bcl2fastq software. All sequences produced were aligned to the mouse reference genome (GRCm38.83) using the alignment software TopHat, and transcript expression levels were calculated in FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads) units using Cufflinks¹²². The quantification was guided by transcriptome annotation for the mouse downloaded from NCBI. Records corresponding to both mitochondrial and ribosomal RNA were removed (annotated as transcript_biotype rRNA or Mt_tRNA) to improve the accuracy of the transcriptome quantification. Differential analyses (FPKM units averaged from 4 replicates of each test vs. DMSO-treated controls) were performed using CuffDiff.

qPCR validation of selected targets

Targets for validation were prototypical targets of the transcription factors AhR, CAR, and PXR. From the isolated RNA samples, cDNA was generated using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen #205313) according to manufacturer recommendations. Multiplexed qPCR (target and GAPDH) was carried out on BioRad CFX384 system using the following TaqMan Gene Expression Array probes: Cyp1a2 (Applied Biosystems Mm00487224_m1/FAM), Cyp3a11 (Applied Biosystems Mm00731567_m1/FAM), Cyp2b10 (Applied Biosystems Mm01972453_s1/FAM) and GAPDH (Applied Biosystems, Mm99999915_g1/VIC).

Pathway and enrichment analyses

Initial analysis of the datasets were performed using the MetaCore™ (Thompson Reuters) software suite. For each treatment, the fold changes and p-values for each differentially transcribed gene (indexed by Ensembl ID) were uploaded into MetaCore™ and recognized genes were associated with one or more MetaCore™-curated network objects. Prefilters were applied to each dataset using a species (*M. musculus*) and tissue (liver) prefilter. Most of the raw Ensembl IDs for targets identified as differentially transcribed in each experimental comparison

were successfully mapped to network objects represented within the MetaCore™ curated database (Table 32). An additional species and tissue prefilter applied to each dataset resulted in object sets that were approximately 70% of the original size. The number of objects which could be incorporated into one or more enrichment categories are also shown in Table 32. The fewest objects were represented within disease enrichments (2-5% of each set), with the most represented in GO processes (approximately 90-95%). There were no major discrepancies between groups in representation within specific MetaCore™ ontologies.

The experiments listed above were analyzed individually using the MetaCore™ Enrichment Analysis Workflow tool. Vehicle vs. PCB exposure within diet groups are represented by Groups 1 and 2, while Group 3 compares CD to HFD at 0 (Vehicle), 20 mg/kg or 200 mg/kg.

The four PCB exposures vs. vehicle control experiments were analyzed using the Toxicity Workflow (Liver Toxicity filter) and Biomarkers Analysis (Metabolic Disease filter) to explore enrichments specific to liver toxicity and metabolic disease, respectively. Genomic data from these experiments was matched to maps, networks and biomarker lists from the peer-reviewed literature database manually curated by Thomson Reuters.

Because xenobiotic receptors such as PXR, CAR and AhR are transcription factors which are expected to mediate the transcriptional response to PCBs, as well as other xenobiotic and endobiotic molecules, we assessed the differential expression of MetaCore™-curated transcriptional targets of these receptors and their heterodimer complexes (PXR/RXR-alpha, CAR/RXR-alpha, and AhR/ARNT).

Using the Build Network tool within MetaCore™, we analyzed connectivity between network objects in each dataset and specific transcription factors, with the ten transcription factors which could be expected to modulate transcription of the largest number of targets within each dataset ranked highest. Because the xenobiotic receptors and heterodimer complexes are additionally expected to crosstalk with other transcription factors by protein-protein interactions, we built shortest-path networks showing the relationships between xenobiotic receptors and their binding partners which have defined relationships curated in MetaCore™.

Table 32*in vivo* experiment dataset properties

Comparison number *		# significant DTGs	Metacore network objects (unfiltered)	MetaCore network objects (prefiltered)	Included in Metacore groupings			
					Maps	diseases	GO processes	Process networks
Group 1 (Dose within CD)								
1	CVvsC20	132	128	94	56	3	88	49
2	CVvsC200	1139	1088	825	327	17	736	306
Group 2 (Dose within HFD)								
3	HVvsH20	793	750	544	262	12	520	219
4	HVvsH200	410	388	280	142	13	262	125
Group 3 (Diet within dose)								
5	C20vsH20	1436	1365	996	456	27	899	406
6	C200vsH200	1446	1379	1007	487	30	936	419
7	CVvsHV	1877	1801	1376	620	38	1256	564

*The number associated with each comparison (column 1) is used throughout this section in the pathway maps generated with MetaCore software.

The six comparisons listed in column 2 were used to generate datasets of significantly differentially transcribed genes (column 3). Changes to the overall sizes of the datasets were induced by import into the MetaCore pathway analysis software and reconciliation with the MetaCore curated database (column 4), and the application of prefilters excluding targets not associated with species (*Mus musculus*) or tissue (liver) (column 5). Numbers of objects associated each of 4 core grouping mechanisms curated by MetaCore – maps, diseases, gene ontology (GO) processes, and process networks – are shown for each dataset in columns 6-9.

CHAPTER TWO: RESULTS

PCB exposure induced differential transcription with and without HFD coexposure

Seven comparisons were made between the aggregated RNAseq data from each condition and its respective control using CuffDiff, as indicated in Table 32. Metacore™ enrichment analyses for the DTG sets resulting from each comparison are shown in Tables 33-39.

Chronic PCB exposure in the control-diet-fed animals explored the effects of systemic PCB exposure alone on liver tissue. In the CVvsC20 comparison, DTGs were enriched in diseases related to nutritional and metabolic disease, obesity and overnutrition, although these mice were fed regular synthetic diet (Table 33). Terms related to circadian rhythm were enriched among maps, networks and processes within this DTG set as well.

The 200 mg/kg PCB exposure was a concentration based on rodent toxicity studies. Compared to vehicle, DTGs in this exposure were unsurprisingly enriched in terms related to previously reported sequelae of high-level PCB exposures: neoplastic disease biomarkers, immune-system and inflammatory process maps and networks. Disruption of cell regulatory processes was also indicated at this exposure level. Interestingly, processes and networks related to epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cell identity which are involved in both development and tissue remodeling (Wnt, TGFβ signaling), were over-represented in this dataset and, to a lesser extent, in the lower PCB exposure (Table 34).

Our evaluation of chronic moderate and high PCB exposures in the high-fat diet fed animals explored the interaction between diet and exposure, to more faithfully reconstruct conditions in many human PCB exposures, including Anniston. In the HVvsH20 and HVvsH200 comparisons, we generated datasets with genes significantly differentially transcribed in

Table 33

Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison CVvsC20

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Colonic Diseases	1.271E-11	1.169E-08
In-house Adverse Events	2.304E-11	1.169E-08
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	2.949E-11	1.169E-08
Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	3.875E-11	1.169E-08
Cardiovascular Diseases	7.116E-11	1.506E-08
Chemically-Induced Disorders	7.488E-11	1.506E-08
Obesity	1.554E-10	2.280E-08
Overnutrition	1.554E-10	2.280E-08
Vascular Diseases	1.712E-10	2.280E-08
Physiological Phenomena	2.045E-10	2.280E-08
Networks	p-value	FDR
Neurophysiological process Circadian rhythm	2.999E-04	3.029E-02
Development Hedgehog signaling	3.478E-03	1.756E-01
Proliferation Negative regulation of cell proliferation	1.424E-02	2.721E-01
Regulation of metabolism Bile acid regulation of lipid metabolism and negative FXR-dependent regulation of bile acids concentration	1.795E-02	2.721E-01
Chemotaxis	2.210E-02	2.721E-01
Cell adhesion Cell-matrix interactions	2.427E-02	2.721E-01
Cytoskeleton Intermediate filaments	2.537E-02	2.721E-01
Reproduction Progesterone signaling	2.560E-02	2.721E-01
Development EMT Regulation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition	3.091E-02	2.721E-01
Development Neurogenesis Axonal guidance	3.354E-02	2.721E-01
Maps	p-value	FDR
Neurophysiological process Circadian rhythm	1.656E-04	3.987E-02
Action of GSK3 beta in bipolar disorder	3.242E-04	3.987E-02
DNA damage ATM/ATR regulation of G1/S checkpoint	8.741E-04	7.167E-02
Development TGF-beta receptor signaling	3.202E-03	1.199E-01
Development GM-CSF signaling	3.202E-03	1.199E-01
Development WNT signaling pathway. Part 2	3.780E-03	1.199E-01
Development YAP/TAZ-mediated co-regulation of transcription	4.416E-03	1.199E-01
Nicotine metabolism in liver	4.416E-03	1.199E-01
Immune response IL-5 signaling via JAK/STAT	4.642E-03	1.199E-01
Effect of H. pylori infection on gastric epithelial cell proliferation	4.875E-03	1.199E-01
Processes	p-value	FDR
cellular response to chemical stimulus	4.435E-17	1.807E-13
circadian rhythm	1.032E-15	2.103E-12
rhythmic process	1.591E-15	2.161E-12
cellular response to oxygen-containing compound	6.827E-13	6.955E-10
regulation of developmental process	6.009E-12	3.794E-09
regulation of cell differentiation	6.012E-12	3.794E-09
response to chemical	6.518E-12	3.794E-09
cellular response to organic substance	1.324E-11	6.743E-09
regulation of circadian rhythm	1.493E-11	6.759E-09
response to oxygen-containing compound	1.939E-11	7.900E-09
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/vehicle and control diet/20 mg/kg PCB (CVvsC20). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value		

Table 34

Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison CVvsC200

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Neoplasms	1.106E-19	1.136E-16
Pathological Conditions, Signs and Symptoms	1.280E-19	1.136E-16
Gastrointestinal Neoplasms	4.616E-19	2.488E-16
Neoplasms by Site	6.790E-19	2.488E-16
Respiratory Tract Neoplasms	7.238E-19	2.488E-16
In-house Adverse Events	9.070E-19	2.488E-16
Gastrointestinal Diseases	9.810E-19	2.488E-16
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	2.239E-18	4.968E-16
Lung Neoplasms	4.384E-18	8.646E-16
Chemically-Induced Disorders	5.316E-18	9.436E-16
Networks	p-value	FDR
Protein folding Response to unfolded proteins	1.973E-08	3.058E-06
Protein folding Folding in normal condition	1.576E-05	1.222E-03
Reproduction Feeding and Neurohormone signaling	7.931E-05	3.470E-03
Development EMT Regulation of EMT	8.954E-05	3.470E-03
Signal transduction WNT signaling	1.304E-04	4.041E-03
Inflammation Amphoterin signaling	1.777E-04	4.207E-03
Cell cycle G1-S Growth factor regulation	1.900E-04	4.207E-03
Apoptosis Endoplasmic reticulum stress pathway	3.415E-04	6.617E-03
Signal Transduction TGF-beta, GDF and Activin signaling	4.710E-04	8.112E-03
Inflammation IL-6 signaling	6.253E-04	9.693E-03
Maps	p-value	FDR
Immune response HMGB1/RAGE signaling pathway	1.601E-06	1.242E-03
Immune response IL-33 signaling pathway	4.092E-06	1.564E-03
Development GM-CSF signaling	6.840E-06	1.564E-03
Development Gastrin in cell growth and proliferation	8.063E-06	1.564E-03
CFTR folding and maturation (normal and CF)	1.214E-05	1.884E-03
Immune response IL-4 signaling pathway	2.083E-05	2.323E-03
Immune response TLR2 and TLR4 signaling pathways	2.326E-05	2.323E-03
Development TGF-beta-dependent induction of EMT via RhoA, PI3K and ILK	2.395E-05	2.323E-03
Development Growth factors in regulation of oligodendrocyte precursor cell survival	3.183E-05	2.745E-03
Apoptosis and survival p53-dependent apoptosis	4.710E-05	3.655E-03
Processes	p-value	FDR
negative regulation of biological process	6.174E-24	3.243E-20
regulation of response to stimulus	1.167E-23	3.243E-20
regulation of apoptotic process	1.725E-23	3.243E-20
regulation of programmed cell death	1.820E-23	3.243E-20
regulation of signal transduction	2.106E-23	3.243E-20
regulation of cell death	6.601E-23	8.472E-20
negative regulation of cellular process	8.781E-23	9.659E-20
response to organic substance	4.861E-22	4.679E-19
regulation of cell communication	1.172E-21	1.002E-18
regulation of signaling	3.931E-21	3.027E-18
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/vehicle and control diet/200 mg/kg PCB (CVvsC200). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value		

Table 35

Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison HVvsH20

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	1.034E-34	1.907E-31
Chemically-Induced Disorders	4.035E-34	3.721E-31
In-house Adverse Events	9.344E-33	5.744E-30
Pathologic Processes	4.832E-32	2.227E-29
Pathological Conditions, Signs and Symptoms	1.681E-31	6.122E-29
Ovarian Diseases	2.159E-31	6.122E-29
Adnexal Diseases	2.324E-31	6.122E-29
Gonadal Disorders	2.441E-30	5.627E-28
Ovarian Neoplasms	2.986E-30	6.117E-28
Phenomena and Processes	5.235E-30	9.653E-28
Networks	p-value	FDR
Inflammation IL-6 signaling	7.402E-09	1.147E-06
Blood coagulation	3.335E-08	2.584E-06
Development Blood vessel morphogenesis	2.242E-06	1.158E-04
Development EMT Regulation of EMT	1.976E-05	7.655E-04
Reproduction Gonadotropin regulation	3.205E-05	9.937E-04
Cell adhesion Attractive and repulsive receptors	1.921E-04	4.604E-03
Reproduction FSH-beta signaling pathway	2.121E-04	4.604E-03
Cell cycle G1-S Growth factor regulation	2.376E-04	4.604E-03
Signal transduction ESR1-nuclear pathway	2.984E-04	5.138E-03
Inflammation Kallikrein-kinin system	3.730E-04	5.781E-03
Maps	p-value	FDR
Immune response IL-6-induced acute-phase response in hepatocytes	5.092E-13	3.753E-10
Reproduction Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) signaling	9.800E-07	2.408E-04
Immune response IL-6 signaling pathway via JAK/STAT	9.800E-07	2.408E-04
Blood coagulation Blood coagulation	1.335E-06	2.460E-04
Immune response IL-5 signaling via JAK/STAT	4.937E-06	7.277E-04
Mitogenic action of Estradiol / ESR1 (nuclear) in breast cancer	1.176E-05	1.444E-03
Signal transduction mTORC2 downstream signaling	2.503E-05	2.636E-03
Blood coagulation Platelet microparticle generation	4.165E-05	3.567E-03
Immune response MIF-induced cell adhesion, migration and angiogenesis	4.763E-05	3.567E-03
Development Ligand-dependent activation of the ESR1/AP-1 pathway	4.840E-05	3.567E-03
Processes	p-value	FDR
response to organic substance	1.741E-44	1.269E-40
response to chemical	5.052E-38	1.841E-34
cellular response to chemical stimulus	9.611E-37	2.336E-33
response to oxygen-containing compound	6.073E-33	1.107E-29
response to stress	9.920E-28	1.446E-24
response to organic cyclic compound	1.411E-27	1.714E-24
response to hormone	3.131E-25	3.261E-22
positive regulation of multicellular organismal process	6.891E-25	6.279E-22
response to lipid	2.898E-24	2.348E-21
cellular response to organic substance	7.733E-24	5.637E-21
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between high-fat diet/vehicle and high-fat diet/20 mg/kg PCB (HVvsH20). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value.		

Table 36

Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison HVvsH200

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Pathologic Processes	1.902E-22	2.574E-19
Pathological Conditions, Signs and Symptoms	3.351E-20	2.267E-17
Neoplasms, Ductal, Lobular, and Medullary	9.171E-20	4.136E-17
Neoplasms, Glandular and Epithelial	6.602E-19	2.233E-16
Neoplasms, Neuroepithelial	3.418E-18	9.250E-16
In-house Adverse Events	1.007E-17	2.022E-15
Digestive System Neoplasms	1.046E-17	2.022E-15
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	1.713E-17	2.639E-15
Carcinoma	1.986E-17	2.639E-15
Stomach Diseases	1.996E-17	2.639E-15
Networks	p-value	FDR
Reproduction FSH-beta signaling pathway	1.355E-04	1.350E-02
Development Regulation of angiogenesis	2.381E-04	1.350E-02
Development EMT Regulation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition	2.736E-04	1.350E-02
Apoptosis Anti-apoptosis mediated by external signals via NF-kB	5.384E-04	1.758E-02
Protein folding Response to unfolded proteins	5.940E-04	1.758E-02
Protein folding Folding in normal condition	8.931E-04	2.203E-02
Signal transduction WNT signaling	1.266E-03	2.677E-02
Proliferation Negative regulation of cell proliferation	1.731E-03	3.162E-02
Apoptosis Apoptotic nucleus	1.923E-03	3.162E-02
Proliferation Positive regulation cell proliferation	2.434E-03	3.602E-02
Maps	p-value	FDR
Development Regulation of EMT	7.035E-06	3.737E-03
Immune response IL-6-induced acute-phase response/hepatocytes	1.913E-05	3.737E-03
Development Lipoxin inhibitory action on PDGF, EGF and LTD4 signaling	1.913E-05	3.737E-03
CFTR folding and maturation (normal and CF)	3.154E-05	4.620E-03
Impaired Lipoxin A4 signaling in CF	6.244E-05	7.318E-03
Immune response IL-5 signaling via JAK/STAT	2.709E-04	2.645E-02
Immune response Oncostatin M signaling via JAK-Stat	3.581E-04	2.998E-02
Development Regulation of lung epithelial progenitor cell differentiation	4.474E-04	3.277E-02
Regulation of Tissue factor signaling in cancer	5.602E-04	3.648E-02
Immune response TNF-R2 signaling pathways	6.931E-04	3.750E-02
Processes	p-value	FDR
response to organic substance	6.419E-23	3.433E-19
cellular response to chemical stimulus	3.993E-21	1.068E-17
response to oxygen-containing compound	1.463E-19	2.608E-16
regulation of signal transduction	3.241E-19	4.333E-16
response to hormone	7.205E-19	7.707E-16
negative regulation of cellular process	2.385E-18	2.105E-15
negative regulation of biological process	3.113E-18	2.105E-15
regulation of response to stimulus	3.148E-18	2.105E-15
response to organic cyclic compound	3.973E-18	2.361E-15
regulation of cell communication	5.115E-18	2.735E-15
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes ($p < .05$) in a comparison between high-fat diet/vehicle and high-fat diet/200 mg/kg PCB (HVvsH200). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value.		

Table 37

Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison CVvsHV

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Pathological Conditions, Signs and Symptoms	1.668E-40	3.561E-37
Pathologic Processes	1.095E-38	1.169E-35
Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	2.753E-37	1.959E-34
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	4.662E-36	2.489E-33
In-house Adverse Events	9.122E-36	3.895E-33
Chemically-Induced Disorders	1.856E-34	6.605E-32
Metabolic Diseases	6.604E-32	2.014E-29
Respiratory Tract Neoplasms	3.016E-29	7.174E-27
Physiological Phenomena	3.024E-29	7.174E-27
Phenomena and Processes	1.560E-28	3.330E-26
Networks	p-value	FDR
Development Regulation of angiogenesis	2.143E-05	3.387E-03
Cell adhesion Platelet-endothelium-leucocyte interactions	4.700E-05	3.713E-03
Cell adhesion Cell-matrix interactions	8.355E-05	4.400E-03
Chemotaxis	1.403E-04	5.542E-03
Cell adhesion Leucocyte chemotaxis	4.519E-04	1.220E-02
Cell adhesion Integrin-mediated cell-matrix adhesion	5.221E-04	1.220E-02
Cell cycle G2-M	5.407E-04	1.220E-02
Apoptosis Anti-Apoptosis mediated by external signals via PI3K/AKT	6.200E-04	1.224E-02
Signal Transduction TGF-beta, GDF and Activin signaling	9.318E-04	1.560E-02
Translation Translation initiation	9.871E-04	1.560E-02
Maps	p-value	FDR
Transcription Sirtuin6 regulation and functions	2.545E-09	2.146E-06
Immune response IL-4-induced regulators of cell growth, survival, differentiation and metabolism	4.351E-07	1.834E-04
Cell cycle Regulation of G1/S transition (part 1)	3.614E-06	1.015E-03
Cell cycle ESR1 regulation of G1/S transition	7.096E-06	1.495E-03
Development TFs in segregation of hepatocytic lineage	1.382E-05	2.115E-03
Protein folding and maturation Angiotensin system maturation \ Human version	1.505E-05	2.115E-03
Cell adhesion ECM remodeling	3.057E-05	3.018E-03
Cell cycle Chromosome condensation in prometaphase	3.389E-05	3.018E-03
Role of ZNF202 in regulating gene expression in atherosclerosis	3.389E-05	3.018E-03
Cell adhesion Integrin inside-out signaling in neutrophils	3.580E-05	3.018E-03
Processes	p-value	FDR
response to organic substance	1.024E-38	9.358E-35
response to oxygen-containing compound	7.650E-31	3.335E-27
cellular response to chemical stimulus	1.095E-30	3.335E-27
single-organism metabolic process	8.462E-30	1.933E-26
response to endogenous stimulus	2.380E-28	4.349E-25
response to organic cyclic compound	7.309E-28	1.113E-24
response to stress	3.846E-27	5.021E-24
response to external stimulus	6.496E-27	7.419E-24
response to lipid	1.313E-26	1.333E-23
response to hormone	1.619E-26	1.391E-23
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/vehicle and high-fat diet/vehicle (CVvsHV). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value.		

Table 38

Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison C20vsH20

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	9.926E-38	2.026E-34
Chemically-Induced Disorders	4.539E-37	4.632E-34
In-house Adverse Events	8.728E-37	5.938E-34
Pathological Conditions, Signs and Symptoms	2.331E-36	1.189E-33
Pathologic Processes	3.434E-36	1.402E-33
Fibrosis	1.512E-34	5.142E-32
Phenomena and Processes	6.761E-34	1.971E-31
Physiological Phenomena	2.105E-33	5.371E-31
Body Weight	4.840E-32	1.098E-29
Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	7.909E-32	1.614E-29
Networks	p-value	FDR
Development Regulation of angiogenesis	1.829E-09	2.889E-07
Development Blood vessel morphogenesis	8.673E-06	6.851E-04
Development EMT Regulation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition	1.716E-05	9.036E-04
Inflammation IL-6 signaling	1.992E-04	6.405E-03
Signal Transduction BMP and GDF signaling	2.027E-04	6.405E-03
Inflammation Amphoterin signaling	5.171E-04	1.191E-02
Regulation of metabolism Bile acid regulation of lipid metabolism and negative FXR-dependent regulation of bile acids concentration	5.276E-04	1.191E-02
Apoptosis Endoplasmic reticulum stress pathway	1.562E-03	3.086E-02
Cell adhesion Attractive and repulsive receptors	1.912E-03	3.357E-02
Blood coagulation	2.654E-03	3.839E-02
Maps	p-value	FDR
Transcription Sirtuin6 regulation and functions	8.710E-09	7.003E-06
Immune response IL-6-induced acute-phase response/hepatocytes	8.699E-08	3.497E-05
Development β-adrenergic receptors/brown adipocyte differentiation	1.118E-06	2.997E-04
Development TGF-beta-dependent induction of EMT via MAPK	2.196E-06	4.414E-04
Role of ZNF202 in regulating gene expression in atherosclerosis	4.150E-06	6.673E-04
Protein folding/maturation Angiotensin system maturation \ Human	5.728E-06	7.675E-04
Protein folding/maturation Angiotensin system maturation \ Rodent	1.793E-05	2.060E-03
Signal transduction mTORC1 downstream signaling	3.867E-05	3.526E-03
Immune response IL-6 signaling pathway via JAK/STAT	4.800E-05	3.526E-03
Apoptosis and survival Role of PKR in stress-induced apoptosis	4.824E-05	3.526E-03
Processes	p-value	FDR
response to oxygen-containing compound	1.007E-43	8.605E-40
response to organic substance	5.608E-42	2.397E-38
cellular response to chemical stimulus	1.522E-37	4.337E-34
response to organic cyclic compound	4.105E-34	8.772E-31
response to organonitrogen compound	2.307E-31	3.944E-28
response to chemical	2.647E-30	3.770E-27
response to external stimulus	3.106E-30	3.792E-27
response to nitrogen compound	4.595E-30	4.909E-27
single-organism metabolic process	1.205E-29	1.144E-26
response to lipid	2.262E-29	1.933E-26
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/20 mg/kg PCB and high-fat diet/20 mg/kg PCB (C20vsH20). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value.		

Table 39

Enrichments for genes differentially transcribed in comparison C200vsH200

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Pathologic Processes	2.843E-45	5.814E-42
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	4.265E-40	4.139E-37
Pathological Conditions, Signs and Symptoms	6.071E-40	4.139E-37
In-house Adverse Events	4.134E-39	1.816E-36
Chemically-Induced Disorders	4.439E-39	1.816E-36
Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	1.527E-31	5.204E-29
Metabolic Diseases	8.142E-31	2.379E-28
Vascular Diseases	2.710E-29	6.928E-27
Endocrine System Diseases	2.060E-28	4.681E-26
Cardiovascular Diseases	7.414E-28	1.516E-25
Networks	p-value	FDR
Development Regulation of angiogenesis	5.068E-06	4.739E-04
Chemotaxis	6.115E-06	4.739E-04
Immune response Phagocytosis	1.356E-05	7.005E-04
Inflammation IL-6 signaling	6.717E-05	2.603E-03
Cell adhesion Attractive and repulsive receptors	1.385E-04	3.664E-03
Development Blood vessel morphogenesis	1.418E-04	3.664E-03
Cell adhesion Leucocyte chemotaxis	2.759E-04	6.108E-03
Signal Transduction Cholecystokinin signaling	1.198E-03	2.320E-02
Cell cycle G1-S Interleukin regulation	1.407E-03	2.423E-02
Immune response Phagosome in antigen presentation	1.960E-03	3.037E-02
Maps	p-value	FDR
Immune response IL-4-induced regulators of cell growth, survival, differentiation and metabolism	3.816E-07	3.114E-04
Immune response IL-6-induced acute-phase response/hepatocytes	9.473E-07	3.865E-04
Immune response IL-2 activation and signaling pathway	3.245E-06	6.912E-04
Development Role of IL-8 in angiogenesis	3.388E-06	6.912E-04
Neurophysiological Receptor-mediated axon growth repulsion	1.057E-05	1.539E-03
Immune response Antigen presentation by MHC class II	1.161E-05	1.539E-03
Transcription Role of AP-1 in regulation of cellular metabolism	1.320E-05	1.539E-03
Putative pathways for BPA-stimulated fat cell differentiation	1.999E-05	2.039E-03
Cell cycle ESR1 regulation of G1/S transition	3.414E-05	3.095E-03
Signal transduction mTORC1 downstream signaling	4.447E-05	3.629E-03
Processes	p-value	FDR
response to organic substance	1.995E-37	1.674E-33
single-organism metabolic process	1.987E-32	8.334E-29
small molecule metabolic process	2.501E-31	6.994E-28
response to stress	1.066E-29	1.913E-26
lipid metabolic process	1.140E-29	1.913E-26
response to oxygen-containing compound	5.001E-29	6.993E-26
cellular response to chemical stimulus	8.298E-29	9.946E-26
response to organic cyclic compound	2.895E-27	3.036E-24
response to chemical	8.844E-27	8.245E-24
response to hormone	1.217E-26	1.021E-23
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/200 mg/kg PCB and high-fat diet/200 mg/kg PCB (C200vsH200). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value.		

HFD/PCB coexposure vs. HFD alone. In the HVvsH20 comparison, enriched diseases were associated with gonadal and ovarian disease, including ovarian neoplasms. Enriched networks and maps including both inflammatory and EMT-related categories (Table 35). The HVvsH200 comparison was notable for enrichments in inflammatory, immune-system and EMT-related networks and maps, and neoplastic diseases (Table 36). Interestingly, both of the HFD+PCB groups were highly enriched in multiple processes related to xenobiotic responses (Tables 35-36), while the CD+PCB groups were enriched in categories related to regulation of physiological processes (Tables 33-34).

Transcriptional effects of HFD exposure were modulated by coexposure to PCBs

Comparisons between CD and HFD at each level of PCB exposure (CVvsHV, C20vsH20, C200vsH200 comparisons) explored the transcriptional effects of HFD exposure without or with two levels of PCB coexposure (Tables 37-39). Unsurprisingly, nutritional and metabolic disease biomarkers were over-represented in all three comparisons. Enriched processes for comparisons at all levels were associated with changes in cellular response to endobiotic and xenobiotic organic cyclic compounds, with responses to endogenous compounds (e.g., hormone, lipid, etc.) featuring prominently in the CVvsHV comparison (Table 37), and responses to organonitrogens and external stimulus encroaching in the moderate exposure (Table 38). In a comparison between CD and HFD in the highest PCB exposure group, enrichments in process maps related to pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokine signaling were over-represented (Table 39).

The sets of genes differentially transcribed by moderate and high PCB exposures within each diet partially overlapped

Figure 9 shows the relative size of the targets differentially transcribed in a comparison between vehicle and moderate (gold) or vehicle and high (red) PCB exposure in animals on control diet (Panel A) or HFD (Panel B). The overlapping areas indicate targets which are shared between moderate and high PCB exposure levels within a single diet condition. On control diet, a

Panel A – Control Diet

Panel B – High-Fat Diet

Figure 9. Moderate and high PCB exposures produce overlapping DTG sets (vs. vehicle)

In an evaluation of PCB transcriptional effect, comparison between PCB exposure and control (vehicle) produced sets of significantly differentially transcribed genes (DTGs), which are shown for control diet (CD, Panel A) and high fat diet (HFD, Panel B). The number of targets which were differentially affected at both 20 mg/kg Aroclor 1260 and 200 mg/kg Aroclor 1260 are shown in the intersecting regions. Targets which were differentially regulated at only moderate (gold) or high (red) dose are shown in the non-intersecting regions. For CD, high PCB exposure resulted in a larger DTG set than moderate PCB exposure. For HFD, moderate PCB exposure resulted in a larger DTG set than high PCB exposure.

larger number of targets were significantly differentially transcribed in response to chronic high PCB exposure (CVvsC200 comparison) than chronic moderate PCB exposure (CVvsC20 comparison). In the HFD groups, this pattern was reversed, with a smaller number of genes (both absolute and relative) differentially transcribed with the high PCB exposure (HVvsH200 comparison) and a larger number in the moderate PCB exposure (HVvsH20 comparison).

Enrichment analysis of DTGs common between moderate and high PCB exposure within the CD group are shown in Table 40. Targets perturbed in both exposures were over-represented in circadian rhythm-related categories within networks and processes, while processes involving response to endogenous and exogenous molecules comprised the remainder of the most ten enriched processes. Interestingly, hedgehog signaling and WNT signaling, both involved in development and remodeling, were differentially regulated in both moderate and high PCB exposures within this diet group. In the HFD-fed groups, enrichment analysis of DTGs common between both moderate and high PCB exposures were enriched in several networks and maps involved in development and EMT, particularly WNT signaling (Table 41). Hormone signaling pathways including FSH, progesterone and gonadotropin were also identified as commonly engaged networks.

HFD coexposure at different PCB doses resulted in DTG sets with large areas of overlap

When high fat diet was compared to control diet within each PCB exposure level (CDvsHFD, C20vsH20, C200vsH200), three large sets of differentially transcribed genes were identified, with substantial overlap (Figure 10). In the vehicle-treated comparison (black) the entire DTG set can be described as diet-dependent, and the overlapping areas between this and other sets can be described as targets which are differentially transcribed with HFD exposure in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1260 coexposure. The top enrichments for the DTGs produced are shown in Tables 42-46. The moderate (gold) and high (red) PCB coexposure-dependent DTGs are represented by the sections of those sets that do not overlap with the vehicle-treated comparison.

Table 40

Common targets between moderate/high PCB exposure levels within the control diet groups

Diseases	p-value	FDR
In-house Adverse Events	3.271E-07	1.434E-12
Colonic Diseases	3.480E-07	9.982E-08
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	3.774E-07	2.375E-12
Endocrine System Diseases	9.532E-07	2.795E-05
Chemically-Induced Disorders	1.422E-06	2.626E-12
Cardiovascular Diseases	1.731E-06	1.550E-08
Neoplasms, Bone Tissue	3.722E-06	2.101E-05
Vascular Diseases	4.292E-06	1.097E-08
Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2	4.349E-06	4.745E-04
Eye Diseases	6.021E-06	5.913E-04
Networks	p-value	FDR
Reproduction Progesterone signaling	2.405E-03	1.465E-01
Regulation of metabolism Bile acid regulation of lipid metabolism and negative FXR-dependent regulation of bile acids concentration	3.806E-03	1.465E-01
Signal transduction WNT signaling	7.644E-03	2.304E-02
Immune response IL-5 signalling	1.238E-02	1.980E-01
Reproduction Feeding and Neurohormone signaling	1.374E-02	1.489E-02
Development Hedgehog signaling	2.575E-02	3.305E-01
Development Ossification and bone remodeling	3.250E-02	3.387E-01
Cell adhesion Glycoconjugates	3.519E-02	3.387E-01
Muscle contraction	4.152E-02	7.278E-02
Neurophysiological process Circadian rhythm	4.519E-02	7.038E-02
Maps	p-value	FDR
Development WNT signaling pathway. Part 2	6.710E-04	4.347E-02
Nicotine metabolism in liver	7.887E-04	4.347E-02
Immune response IL-5 signaling via JAK/STAT	8.307E-04	4.347E-02
Action of GSK3 beta in bipolar disorder	2.524E-03	8.628E-02
Immune response IL-27 signaling pathway	2.748E-03	8.628E-02
Regulation of lipid metabolism FXR-dependent negative-feedback regulation of bile acids concentration	4.564E-03	1.090E-01
DNA damage ATM/ATR regulation of G1/S checkpoint	4.858E-03	9.421E-02
Development EPO-induced Jak-STAT pathway	6.121E-03	1.201E-01
Regulation of metabolism Bile acids FXR regulation/ glucose and lipid metabolism	7.886E-03	1.376E-01
Regulation of GSK3 beta in bipolar disorder	9.852E-03	8.940E-02
Processes	p-value	FDR
cellular response to chemical stimulus	1.811E-12	6.576E-12
cellular response to organic substance	1.056E-09	1.657E-09
response to stimulus	5.204E-09	1.921E-06
cellular response to oxygen-containing compound	5.723E-09	4.689E-06
circadian rhythm	1.362E-08	1.522E-06
response to chemical	2.079E-08	8.674E-07
response to organic substance	5.529E-08	2.305E-14
rhythmic process	9.143E-08	4.206E-07
response to organic cyclic compound	1.641E-07	2.153E-10
cellular response to organic cyclic compound	2.955E-07	8.475E-05
Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value		

Table 41

Common targets between moderate/high PCB exposure levels within the high-fat diet groups

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Hypoxia	1.987E-16	2.285E-13
Neoplasms, Ductal, Lobular, and Medullary	4.844E-16	2.785E-13
Physiological Phenomena	1.831E-15	7.121E-15
Ovarian Neoplasms	1.840E-15	2.478E-15
Ovarian Diseases	2.609E-15	3.432E-16
Adnexal Diseases	2.675E-15	3.432E-16
In-house Adverse Events	2.932E-15	2.308E-17
Vascular Diseases	3.321E-15	5.733E-15
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	4.143E-15	7.903E-19
Signs and Symptoms, Respiratory	4.344E-15	4.996E-13
Networks	p-value	FDR
Reproduction FSH-beta signaling pathway	2.903E-05	3.056E-03
Reproduction Progesterone signaling	5.023E-05	3.056E-03
Proliferation Positive regulation cell proliferation	6.596E-05	3.056E-03
Inflammation IL-6 signaling	1.805E-04	9.444E-04
Signal transduction ESR1-membrane pathway	2.894E-04	6.880E-03
Development Regulation of angiogenesis	3.587E-04	6.880E-03
Signal transduction WNT signaling	3.775E-04	6.880E-03
Development EMT Regulation of EMT	3.960E-04	6.880E-03
Cell cycle G1-S Growth factor regulation	7.198E-04	1.112E-02
Reproduction Gonadotropin regulation	8.224E-04	1.143E-02
Maps	p-value	FDR
IL-6-induced acute-phase response/hepatocytes	7.330E-06	3.084E-05
Lipoxin inhibitory action-PDGF/EGF/LTD4 signaling	7.330E-06	1.646E-03
Impaired Lipoxin A4 signaling in CF	2.013E-05	3.013E-03
Development WNT signaling pathway. Part 2	5.040E-05	5.658E-03
Effect of H. pylori infection on gastric epithelial cell proliferation	7.811E-05	7.015E-03
Development Gastrin in cell growth and proliferation	1.077E-04	7.580E-03
Development Regulation of EMT	1.254E-04	7.580E-03
Ovarian cancer (main signaling cascades)	1.351E-04	7.580E-03
Development Beta-adrenergic receptors transactivation of EGFR	1.753E-04	8.333E-03
Development Ligand-dependent activation/ESR1/AP-1 pathway	1.856E-04	8.333E-03
Processes	p-value	FDR
response to organic substance	2.006E-20	5.752E-23
cellular response to chemical stimulus	2.280E-20	4.951E-17
regulation of signal transduction	4.488E-19	6.498E-16
regulation of cell communication	1.713E-18	1.860E-15
regulation of signaling	3.782E-18	3.286E-15
regulation of response to stimulus	1.437E-17	1.041E-14
response to chemical	2.895E-17	8.033E-20
response to oxygen-containing compound	3.049E-17	1.468E-15
positive regulation of cell communication	2.363E-16	1.140E-13
positive regulation of signaling	2.696E-16	1.171E-13
Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value

Figure 10. Comparison of PCB/Diet interactions.

For each PCB exposure, control and high fat diet were compared to generate a differentially regulated target set. These differentially regulated target sets are compared in the figure above.

Enrichment analysis of common targets for all PCB exposure levels within diet comparisons (Table 42) showed nutritional, metabolic, and endocrine-related diseases among the highly-enriched disease biomarkers, and IL-6 signaling among the engaged maps and processes. Processes related to lipid and steroid metabolism, endobiotic and xenobiotic response were also commonly engaged in these comparisons.

Differentially regulated targets within vehicle vs. PCB comparisons were enriched in liver and metabolic disease biomarkers

Because the animal model phenotype suggested liver and metabolic disease processes at work, we further explored liver toxicity analysis and biomarker analysis for nutritional and metabolic disease within these datasets. In an evaluation of liver toxicity endpoints in the control diet groups, there were no significantly enriched pathways for the moderate exposure group (Table 43), however, the high exposure was significantly ($FDR < 0.05$) enriched in pathways related to ischemia and hypoxia (Table 44). In the high fat diet groups, significantly enriched categories within the liver toxicity analysis were cholestasis and steatosis pathways for moderate exposure (Table 45) and steatosis, fibrosis, and liver hypertrophy in the high exposure group (Table 46). Targets affected within the steatosis category are shown in Table 47, and included LIPE, ELOVL6, FADS3, GPAM, and several cytochrome P450s including members of the Cyp4A and Cyp2C subfamilies.

One liver injury pathway map which was highly enriched in DTGs from several of the conditions was IL-6-mediated acute-phase response in hepatocytes (Figure 11). Several immediate effectors of acute phase response down-stream of IL-6 signaling were up-regulated, particularly in the HVvsH20 condition, including serum amyloid proteins (A1, A2, and A3 isoforms), serum amyloid P-component, and fibrinogen components (alpha, beta, and gamma), shown in Figure 12. Engagement of this pathway of local hepatic inflammatory response is consistent with histological findings in this animal model, as well as serological findings of increased IL-6 and liver injury in both the animal model, and in the PCB-exposed population of Anniston, AL.

Table 42

Common targets between all PCB exposure levels within diet comparisons

Diseases	p-value	FDR
Pathological Conditions, Signs and Symptoms	6.116E-27	8.706E-24
Pathologic Processes	1.071E-26	8.706E-24
Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions	2.676E-24	1.450E-21
In-house Adverse Events	1.478E-23	4.980E-21
Chemically-Induced Disorders	1.531E-23	4.980E-21
Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	2.480E-23	6.722E-21
Metabolic Diseases	1.164E-22	2.704E-20
Phenomena and Processes	5.832E-22	1.185E-19
Physiological Phenomena	1.705E-21	3.081E-19
Endocrine System Diseases	4.360E-21	7.089E-19
Networks	p-value	FDR
Development Regulation of angiogenesis	3.424E-07	5.000E-05
Inflammation IL-6 signaling	5.307E-06	3.874E-04
Development Blood vessel morphogenesis	3.416E-05	1.662E-03
Translation Translation initiation	2.444E-04	8.920E-03
Translation Elongation-Termination	1.509E-03	4.405E-02
Signal Transduction Cholecystokinin signaling	4.062E-03	8.473E-02
Cell cycle Meiosis	4.062E-03	8.473E-02
Reproduction Feeding and Neurohormone signaling	4.882E-03	8.910E-02
Cell cycle G0-G1	7.245E-03	1.109E-01
Blood coagulation	7.594E-03	1.109E-01
Maps	p-value	FDR
Cholesterol Biosynthesis	7.453E-06	4.636E-03
Cell cycle Role of Nek in cell cycle regulation	3.880E-05	1.207E-02
IL-6-induced acute-phase response/hepatocytes	7.792E-05	1.616E-02
Effect of H. pylori infection on gastric epithelial cell proliferation	1.643E-04	2.555E-02
Transcription Sirtuin6 regulation and functions	3.062E-04	3.809E-02
Role of ZNF202 in regulation of expression of genes involved in atherosclerosis	7.644E-04	7.149E-02
Development Beta adrenergic receptors in brown adipocyte differentiation	8.592E-04	7.149E-02
Expression targets of Tissue factor signaling in cancer	9.195E-04	7.149E-02
Signal transduction mTORC1 downstream signaling	1.456E-03	9.691E-02
Regulation of Tissue factor signaling in cancer	1.719E-03	9.691E-02
Processes	p-value	FDR
lipid metabolic process	1.500E-25	9.695E-22
response to organic cyclic compound	7.688E-24	2.484E-20
response to oxygen-containing compound	1.649E-23	3.553E-20
single-organism metabolic process	4.262E-23	6.635E-20
small molecule metabolic process	5.133E-23	6.635E-20
response to organic substance	8.934E-21	9.623E-18
response to external stimulus	2.110E-20	1.948E-17
response to drug	4.389E-20	3.546E-17
steroid metabolic process	5.788E-20	3.908E-17
single-organism process	6.046E-20	3.908E-17
Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value		

Table 43

Enrichments for liver and metabolic disease endpoints in comparison CVvsC20

Liver Toxicity Endpoint Processes	p-value	FDR
Steatosis, development_liver	4.866E-02	5.422E-01
Fibrosis, development_liver	7.746E-02	5.422E-01
Hypertrophic organ growth_liver	1.679E-01	7.837E-01
Cell cycle, processes involved in G0-phase	3.450E-01	7.870E-01
Cell cycle, processes involved in G1-phase	4.245E-01	7.870E-01
Cholestasis, development_liver	4.409E-01	7.870E-01
Cell cycle, processes involved in G2-phase	4.702E-01	7.870E-01
Peroxisomal proliferation, induction_liver	5.235E-01	7.870E-01
Cell cycle, processes involved in S-phase	5.474E-01	7.870E-01
Apoptosis via Mitochondrial membrane dysfunction	6.746E-01	7.870E-01
Liver-Specific Maps	p-value	FDR
Neurophysiological process_Circadian rhythm	1.030E-04	9.994E-03
DNA damage_ATM/ATR regulation of G1/S checkpoint	6.179E-04	2.997E-02
Development_TGF-beta receptor signaling	2.286E-03	6.552E-02
Development_WNT signaling pathway. Part 2	2.702E-03	6.552E-02
Signal transduction_mTORC2 downstream signaling	5.471E-03	1.061E-01
Development_Signaling of β-adrenergic receptors via Beta-arrestins	7.044E-03	1.125E-01
DNA damage_ATM / ATR regulation of G2 / M checkpoint	8.240E-03	1.125E-01
Development_SSTR1 in regulation of cell proliferation and migration	1.019E-02	1.125E-01
DNA damage_Brca1 as a transcription regulator	1.088E-02	1.125E-01
Regulation of lipid metabolism_FXR-dependent negative-feedback regulation of bile acids concentration	1.159E-02	1.125E-01
Nutritional and Metabolic Disease Biomarkers	p-value	FDR
Hypokalemia	6.052E-08	3.752E-06
Overnutrition	9.254E-04	1.707E-02
Obesity	9.254E-04	1.707E-02
Water-Electrolyte Imbalance	1.105E-03	1.707E-02
Hypertriglyceridemia	1.377E-03	1.707E-02
Hypercholesterolemia	1.839E-03	1.901E-02
Hypoglycemia	2.258E-03	2.000E-02
Hyperlipidemias	2.774E-03	2.150E-02
Nutrition Disorders	3.774E-03	2.600E-02
Insulin Resistance	7.968E-03	4.448E-02
Nutritional and Metabolic Disease Maps	p-value	FDR
Development_Signaling of β-adrenergic receptors via Beta-arrestins	2.670E-03	9.095E-02
Regulation of lipid metabolism_FXR-dependent negative-feedback regulation of bile acids concentration	4.437E-03	9.095E-02
Bile acids regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism via FXR	7.673E-03	9.830E-02
Transcription_Androgen Receptor nuclear signaling	9.590E-03	9.830E-02
Development_IGF-1 receptor signaling	1.214E-02	9.958E-02
Unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis	1.699E-02	1.161E-01
Transcription_Transcription regulation of aminoacid metabolism	7.854E-02	2.751E-01
Triacylglycerol metabolism p.2	8.456E-02	2.751E-01
Role of Diethylhexyl Phthalate and Tributyltin in fat cell differentiation	9.055E-02	2.751E-01
Vitamin B6 metabolism	9.353E-02	2.751E-01
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/vehicle and control diet/20 mg/kg PCB (CVvsC20). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value		

Table 44

Enrichments for liver and metabolic disease endpoints in comparison CVvsC200

Liver Toxicity Endpoint Processes	p-value	FDR
Ischemia-induced cellular changes liver	1.588E-03	2.179E-02
Hypoxia, development	2.421E-03	2.179E-02
Apoptosis via Mitochondrial membrane dysfunction	5.073E-02	2.521E-01
Fibrosis, development liver	7.399E-02	2.521E-01
Steatosis, development liver	8.062E-02	2.521E-01
Hypertrophic organ growth liver	8.403E-02	2.521E-01
Phospholipidosis, development liver	1.391E-01	3.576E-01
Cholestasis, development liver	1.710E-01	3.848E-01
Cell cycle, processes involved in G1-phase	3.839E-01	7.678E-01
Cell cycle, processes involved in G2-phase	5.009E-01	8.667E-01
Liver-Specific Maps	p-value	FDR
Immune response TLR2 and TLR4 signaling pathways	1.658E-05	5.109E-03
Apoptosis and survival p53-dependent apoptosis	3.762E-05	5.109E-03
G-protein signaling Proinsulin C-peptide signaling	5.040E-05	5.109E-03
Signal transduction mTORC2 upstream signaling	5.539E-05	5.109E-03
Apoptosis and survival Endoplasmic reticulum stress response pathway	9.226E-05	5.608E-03
Immune response IL-1 signaling pathway	9.229E-05	5.608E-03
Immune response TLR5, TLR7, TLR8,TLR9 signaling pathways	1.064E-04	5.608E-03
Immune response IL-18 signaling	1.600E-04	7.170E-03
Histamine H1 receptor signaling/immune response	1.749E-04	7.170E-03
Development ERBB-family signaling	2.779E-04	9.580E-03
Nutritional and Metabolic Disease Biomarkers	p-value	FDR
Obesity	3.371E-07	2.174E-05
Overnutrition	3.371E-07	2.174E-05
Nutrition Disorders	3.925E-06	1.688E-04
Vascular Calcification	9.711E-05	3.132E-03
Frontotemporal Dementia	5.455E-04	1.173E-02
Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration	5.455E-04	1.173E-02
Hyperinsulinism	9.153E-04	1.687E-02
Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1	1.805E-03	2.911E-02
Insulin Resistance	4.129E-03	5.918E-02
Calcinosis	5.304E-03	6.842E-02
Nutritional and Metabolic Disease Maps	p-value	FDR
G-protein signaling Proinsulin C-peptide signaling	1.347E-06	2.102E-04
Development Activation of ERK by Alpha-1 adrenergic receptors	4.336E-06	2.431E-04
Immune response IL-18 signaling	4.675E-06	2.431E-04
Development IGF-1 receptor signaling	1.332E-05	5.196E-04
Putative pathways for BPA-stimulated fat cell differentiation	5.286E-05	1.649E-03
Apoptosis and survival Ceramides signaling pathway	1.942E-04	5.049E-03
Development β adrenergic receptors in brown adipocyte differentiation	1.109E-03	2.471E-02
Transcription Transcription regulation of aminoacid metabolism	1.875E-03	3.656E-02
Transcription Sirtuin6 regulation and functions	2.481E-03	4.221E-02
Membrane-bound ESR1: interaction with growth factors signaling	2.706E-03	4.221E-02
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/vehicle and control diet/20 mg/kg PCB (CVvsC20). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value		

Table 45

Enrichments for liver and metabolic disease endpoints in comparison HVvsH20

Liver Toxicity Endpoint Processes	p-value	FDR
Cholestasis, development liver	6.523E-04	9.480E-03
Steatosis, development liver	1.053E-03	9.480E-03
Fibrosis, development liver	1.364E-02	6.576E-02
Progression of oxidative stress	1.461E-02	6.576E-02
Inflammation, development	2.248E-02	8.092E-02
Hypertrophic organ growth liver	1.108E-01	3.323E-01
Hypoxia, development	4.259E-01	1.000E+00
Apoptosis via Mitochondrial membrane dysfunction	5.346E-01	1.000E+00
Ischemia-induced cellular changes liver	8.177E-01	1.000E+00
Apoptosis via Death Domain receptors cascades	8.298E-01	1.000E+00
Liver-Specific Maps	p-value	FDR
IL-6-induced acute-phase response/hepatocytes	4.661E-13	1.571E-10
Immune response IL-6 signaling pathway via JAK/STAT	9.310E-07	1.569E-04
Signal transduction mTORC2 downstream signaling	2.422E-05	2.721E-03
MIF-induced cell adhesion, migration and angiogenesis	4.658E-05	3.231E-03
Development Ligand-dependent activation-ESR1/AP-1 pathway	4.793E-05	3.231E-03
Development Beta-adrenergic receptors transactivation of EGFR	8.020E-05	4.505E-03
Transcription Role of AP-1 in regulation of cellular metabolism	9.590E-05	4.617E-03
Glutathione metabolism	1.810E-04	7.624E-03
Development VEGF signaling and activation	2.163E-04	8.100E-03
Immune response IL-1 signaling pathway	2.509E-04	8.457E-03
Nutritional and Metabolic Disease Biomarkers	p-value	FDR
Nutrition Disorders	9.404E-08	7.284E-06
Obesity	1.539E-07	7.284E-06
Overnutrition	1.539E-07	7.284E-06
Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2	1.879E-06	6.669E-05
Dyslipidemias	6.992E-06	1.986E-04
Hypokalemia	2.684E-05	6.352E-04
Hyperinsulinism	2.901E-04	5.885E-03
Lipid Metabolism Disorders	4.613E-04	8.188E-03
Colorectal Neoplasms, Hereditary Nonpolyposis	6.567E-04	1.036E-02
Hyperlipidemias	1.077E-03	1.472E-02
Nutritional and Metabolic Disease Maps	p-value	FDR
Ligand-dependent activation of the ESR1/AP-1 pathway	1.295E-05	7.881E-04
β adrenergic receptors/brown adipocyte differentiation	1.397E-05	7.881E-04
Transcription Role of AP-1 in regulation of cellular metabolism	1.681E-05	7.881E-04
Glutathione metabolism	2.174E-05	7.881E-04
Putative pathways for stimulation of fat cell differentiation by Bisphenol A	6.243E-05	1.811E-03
Immune response IL-18 signaling	3.418E-04	8.259E-03
Transcription Sirtuin6 regulation and functions	5.104E-04	1.057E-02
Development α-1 adrenergic receptors signaling via Cyclic AMP	7.107E-04	1.288E-02
Insulin, IGF-1 and TNF-alpha in brown adipocyte differentiation	9.726E-04	1.567E-02
Regulation of metabolism Bile acids regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism via FXR	2.027E-03	2.939E-02
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/vehicle and control diet/20 mg/kg PCB (CVvsC20). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value		

Table 46

Enrichments for liver and metabolic disease endpoints in comparison HVvsH200

Liver Toxicity Endpoint Processes	p-value	FDR
Hypertrophic organ growth liver	1.768E-03	2.109E-02
Fibrosis, development liver	2.343E-03	2.109E-02
Steatosis, development liver	5.006E-03	3.004E-02
Cell cycle progression of Mitosis	8.310E-02	3.725E-01
Apoptosis via Mitochondrial membrane dysfunction	1.035E-01	3.725E-01
Cholestasis, development liver	1.580E-01	4.741E-01
Hypoxia, development	3.809E-01	9.793E-01
Inflammation, development	4.762E-01	9.971E-01
Cell cycle, processes involved in S-phase	5.009E-01	9.971E-01
Cell cycle, processes involved in G1-phase	6.586E-01	9.971E-01
Liver-Specific Maps	p-value	FDR
Development Regulation of EMT	3.347E-06	8.870E-04
Immune response IL-6-induced acute-phase response in hepatocytes	1.092E-05	1.447E-03
Immune response Oncostatin M signaling via JAK-Stat	2.479E-04	2.190E-02
Signal transduction mTORC2 downstream signaling	4.219E-04	2.795E-02
Development IGF-1 receptor signaling	8.743E-04	4.215E-02
Development WNT signaling pathway. Part 2	9.544E-04	4.215E-02
Development Ligand-dependent activation - ESR1/AP-1 pathway	1.180E-03	4.469E-02
Cell cycle ESR1 regulation of G1/S transition	1.376E-03	4.557E-02
Development Beta-adrenergic receptors transactivation of EGFR	1.895E-03	5.580E-02
Transcription Sirtuin6 regulation and functions	2.236E-03	5.926E-02
Nutritional and Metabolic Disease Biomarkers	p-value	FDR
Obesity	8.546E-05	2.606E-03
Overnutrition	8.546E-05	2.606E-03
Vascular Calcification	1.017E-04	2.606E-03
Nutrition Disorders	1.032E-04	2.606E-03
Frontotemporal Dementia	1.580E-04	2.659E-03
Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration	1.580E-04	2.659E-03
Zellweger Syndrome	1.506E-03	2.165E-02
Peroxisome biogenesis disorders	1.715E-03	2.165E-02
Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2	2.031E-03	2.279E-02
Calcinosis	2.557E-03	2.582E-02
Nutritional and Metabolic Disease Maps	p-value	FDR
Development IGF-1 receptor signaling	2.504E-04	2.165E-02
Development Ligand-dependent activation - ESR1/AP-1 pathway	5.388E-04	2.165E-02
Transcription Sirtuin6 regulation and functions	6.629E-04	2.165E-02
Retinol metabolism	1.066E-03	2.612E-02
Unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis	4.752E-03	9.314E-02
Galactose metabolism	6.265E-03	1.023E-01
Regulation of metabolism Bile acids regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism via FXR	1.028E-02	1.439E-01
Transcription Androgen Receptor nuclear signaling	1.407E-02	1.724E-01
Signal transduction PTMs in BAFF-induced signaling	1.857E-02	2.022E-01
Amitraz-induced inhibition of Insulin secretion	2.424E-02	2.127E-01
Enrichment is based on the significantly differentially transcribed genes (p<.05) in a comparison between control diet/vehicle and control diet/20 mg/kg PCB (CVvsC20). Top ten enrichments for each grouping, ranked from most to least significant by p-value		

Table 47

Targets associated with liver disease enrichments and change vs. vehicle control by comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
Liver toxicity: Steatosis					
ENSMUSG00000035561	Aldh1b1			-0.68	
ENSMUSG00000025003	Cyp2c39			-2.02	
ENSMUSG00000066072	Cyp4a10			-0.83	
ENSMUSG00000032349	Elovl5			-0.53	
ENSMUSG00000010663	Fads1				-0.64
ENSMUSG00000025153	Fasn			-1.12	
ENSMUSG00000078650	G6pc				1.01
ENSMUSG00000027984	Hadh			-0.47	
ENSMUSG00000042632	Pla2g6		0.98		
ENSMUSG00000023913	Pla2g7		0.65		
ENSMUSG00000000440	Pparg		0.62		
Liver toxicity: fibrosis					
ENSMUSG00000000532	Acvr1b		0.97		
ENSMUSG00000041324	Inhba			1.24	
ENSMUSG00000039304	Tnfsf10		1.10		
ENSMUSG00000029661	Col1a2	-0.76			
ENSMUSG00000021820	Camk2g		0.80		
ENSMUSG00000029675	Eln				-0.87
ENSMUSG00000026072	Il1r1			0.58	
ENSMUSG00000017057	Il13ra1			0.71	
ENSMUSG00000030748	Il4ra		0.57		
ENSMUSG00000027947	Il6ra			-0.67	
ENSMUSG00000029304	Spp1			0.48	
ENSMUSG00000024620	Pdgfrb				-0.53
ENSMUSG00000028599	Tnfrsf1b				0.58
ENSMUSG00000021250	Fos			1.27	
Liver toxicity analysis for each comparison indicated gene sets associated with liver toxicity endpoints including steatosis and fibrosis. Differentially regulated gene targets are indicated. For each target, the fold change vs. control is shown.

Figure 11. IL-6-mediated acute-phase response in hepatocytes.

PCB exposure and PCB/HFD coexposures induced transcriptional changes in elements of IL-6-mediated acute-phase response in hepatocytes. In particular, components of the AP-1 complex (c-Jun, c-Fos) were differentially regulated in the CVvsC200 comparison (2), the HVvsH20 comparison (3), and the HVvsH200 comparison (4), along with changes in transcription of HNF1-alpha targets IBP1, G6PT and Fibrinogen alpha. The HVvsH20 condition was associated with differential transcription of the most targets associated with this pathway, in particular, targets of STAT3 transcriptional activation. An increase in local inflammation associated with this condition is consistent with our histological findings. Image generated using MetaCore™ from Thomson Reuters.

Figure 12. IL-6/STAT-3 signaling pathways.

PCB exposure and PCB/HFD coexposures induced transcriptional changes in elements of IL-6-signaling pathways. In particular, components of the AP-1 complex (c-Jun, c-Fos) were differentially regulated in the CVvsC200 comparison (2), the HVvsH20 comparison (3), and the HVvsH200 comparison (4). Image generated using MetaCore™ from Thomson Reuters.

Both the lack of transcription-level changes in biomarkers of liver disease in the CVvsC20 comparison and the relative abundance of changes in the HVvsH20 comparison vs. either of the higher PCB exposure groups is in keeping with the histological severity of liver disease in these groups²³. Few common targets were seen in this enrichment, which may suggest pleiotropic effects on hepatocyte toxicity, as well as complex interactions between nutrition/PCB coexposures on disease mechanisms.

Among nutritional and metabolic disease enrichments, the pattern presented by the individual comparisons was far more cohesive, with over-nutrition/obesity in the top enrichments for every comparison. Fold changes of differentially transcribed genes associated with over-nutrition/obesity for each vehicle vs. PCB comparison are listed in Table 48. Other metabolic diseases that were of interest to us and highly enriched in one or more datasets were type 2 diabetes mellitus (Table 49), metabolic syndrome (Table 50), and insulin resistance (Table 51). In each of these disease categories, the condition with the most changes vs. vehicle control was the HVvsH20 condition. These changes included down-regulation of fatty acid synthetase and beta 2-adrenergic receptor, polymorphisms of which have been associated with metabolic disease^{123, 124}. Down-regulation of GLUT4 reduces insulin-sensitive glucose uptake in non-hepatocyte liver cells and other insulin-sensitive tissues¹²⁵. Metabolic disease enrichments for the CVvsC20 and CVvsC200 group indicated changes to PPAR-alpha and LXR-mediated glucose and lipid homeostasis, including alterations in expressions of the Tribbles-3 pseudokinase, which contributes to insulin resistance¹²⁶ and down-regulation of Cyp7a1, which could lead to cholesterol accumulation.

MetaCore™ network analysis of dose-within-diet comparisons did not appear to indicate enrichment in transcriptional targets of the xenobiotic transcription factors AhR, PXR, or CAR

Because xenobiotic receptors AhR, PXR, and CAR are classically associated with transcriptional response to PCBs and other chemicals, we used MetaCore™ to evaluate over-representation of curated transcriptional targets of these receptors. The direct transcriptional targets of these receptors as defined by the MetaCore™ database did not comprise a large

Table 48

Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (overnutrition/obesity) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
Metabolic Disease Biomarkers: Obesity					
ENSMUSG00000020917	Acly			-0.72	
ENSMUSG00000031278	Acsl4		-0.47	1.05	
ENSMUSG00000035783	Acta2		-0.96		-0.71
ENSMUSG00000026883	Dab2ip		0.65		
ENSMUSG00000042429	Adora1		0.81	-0.64	-0.66
ENSMUSG00000022994	Adcy6			-0.69	
ENSMUSG00000005580	Adcy9			-0.92	-0.73
ENSMUSG00000046532	Ar		-0.86	-1.11	
ENSMUSG00000027792	Bche			-0.67	
ENSMUSG00000055116	Arntl	2.19	1.04		
ENSMUSG00000045730	Adrb2	-1.04		-1.49	
ENSMUSG00000082361	Btc		1.10		
ENSMUSG00000002944	Cd36	-0.75	0.74	-0.89	
ENSMUSG00000039804	Ncoa5		-0.65		
ENSMUSG00000029238	Clock	0.77			
ENSMUSG00000000326	Comt			0.62	
ENSMUSG00000056054	S100a8		1.44	1.49	1.62
ENSMUSG00000056071	S100a9		1.39	1.69	1.58
ENSMUSG00000019768	Esr1			0.57	0.69
ENSMUSG00000025153	Fasn			-1.12	
ENSMUSG00000044167	Foxo1			-0.53	
ENSMUSG00000048756	Foxo3			-0.79	
ENSMUSG00000019779	Frk		0.58		
ENSMUSG00000028001	Fga			1.06	
ENSMUSG00000033831	Fgb			1.02	
ENSMUSG00000033860	Fgg			0.96	
ENSMUSG00000018566	Slc2a4			-1.78	
ENSMUSG00000031451	Gas6			-0.47	
ENSMUSG00000091971	Hspa1a		-3.96		
ENSMUSG00000041798	Gck		-0.98	-1.01	-0.63
ENSMUSG00000020429	Igfbp1			-0.46	1.08
ENSMUSG00000020427	Igfbp3		0.44		
ENSMUSG00000046070	Igfals		-0.70		
ENSMUSG00000027947	Il6ra			-0.67	
ENSMUSG00000038894	Irs2			0.57	0.83
ENSMUSG00000025780	Itih5		0.60	1.01	
ENSMUSG00000055148	Klf2		-1.38	-0.74	
ENSMUSG00000032796	Lama1			-0.63	
ENSMUSG00000053846	Lipg	-1.08	-0.78	-1.66	-0.94
ENSMUSG00000020593	Lpin1		-0.76	-1.96	-0.70

Table 48 (continued)

Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (overnutrition/obesity) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
ENSMUSG00000028553	Angptl3			-0.55	
ENSMUSG00000061132	Blnk	-1.59			
ENSMUSG00000027556	Car1		-0.65		
ENSMUSG00000008845	Cd163	0.84	1.32		
ENSMUSG00000028551	Cdkn2c		0.93		
ENSMUSG00000020038	Cry1				0.65
ENSMUSG00000068742	Cry2		0.48		
ENSMUSG00000015312	Gadd45b	2.17	1.23		1.05
ENSMUSG00000038039	Gcc2		0.69		
ENSMUSG00000026864	Hspa5		-1.17		
ENSMUSG00000074896	Ifit3		1.13		0.67
ENSMUSG00000052684	Jun		1.15	0.62	0.80
ENSMUSG00000049723	Mmp12			-0.97	
ENSMUSG00000003849	Nqo1		0.47	-1.28	
ENSMUSG00000020889	Nr1d1	1.04			-1.52
ENSMUSG00000020893	Per1			-0.97	
ENSMUSG00000025509	Pnpla2		0.85		
ENSMUSG00000045038	Prkce			-0.53	
ENSMUSG00000020641	Rsad2		0.89		0.72
ENSMUSG00000057465	Saa2		-1.37	2.06	2.62
ENSMUSG00000041567	Serpina12		-1.04	0.65	
ENSMUSG00000032902	Slc16a1			0.59	
ENSMUSG00000020027	Socs2			1.10	0.71
ENSMUSG00000023905	Tnfrsf12a			0.81	
ENSMUSG00000034485	Uaca		0.69		
ENSMUSG00000008348	Ubc		-0.65		
ENSMUSG00000024924	Vldlr			-2.54	
Metabolic disease analysis for each comparison indicated gene sets associated with endpoints including obesity/overnutrition. Differentially regulated gene targets are indicated. For each comparison, fold change vs. control is shown.					

Table 48 (continued)

Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (overnutrition/obesity) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
ENSMUSG00000024052	Lpin2			-0.73	
ENSMUSG00000015568	Lpl		0.72	0.91	
ENSMUSG00000027253	Lrp4	-0.73		-0.53	
ENSMUSG00000040584	Abcb1a			-2.05	
ENSMUSG00000024589	Nedd4l	1.14	0.60	0.86	
ENSMUSG00000026822	Lcn2	1.18			1.47
ENSMUSG00000032715	Trib3	1.21	0.91	-0.59	
ENSMUSG00000024413	Npc1		0.68		
ENSMUSG00000030659	Nucb2			0.82	
ENSMUSG00000029304	Spp1			0.48	
ENSMUSG00000038508	Gdf15		1.52	1.22	1.08
ENSMUSG00000055866	Per2	-1.39	-0.74		
ENSMUSG00000033871	Ppargc1b		0.86		
ENSMUSG00000002289	Angptl4		-0.56	-0.48	
ENSMUSG00000032462	Pik3cb		0.89		
ENSMUSG00000041417	Pik3r1		-0.47		
ENSMUSG00000000440	Pparg		0.62		
ENSMUSG00000027540	Ptpn1			0.61	
ENSMUSG00000021876	Rnase4			0.66	
ENSMUSG00000022883	Robo1	-0.80		-1.82	
ENSMUSG00000028150	Rorc	-0.74	0.88		0.53
ENSMUSG00000074115	Saa1		-1.44	2.34	2.41
ENSMUSG00000075701	Selenos		-0.47		
ENSMUSG00000060807	Serpina6	-0.97		-1.02	
ENSMUSG00000053113	Socs3			1.08	
ENSMUSG00000025006	Sorbs1		0.64	-0.50	
ENSMUSG00000012428	Steap4		-0.62	1.22	
ENSMUSG00000025743	Sdc3		0.49		
ENSMUSG00000029174	Tbc1d1		0.57		
ENSMUSG00000028599	Tnfrsf1b				0.58
ENSMUSG00000035799	Twist1		1.70		
ENSMUSG00000055254	Ntrk2	-1.28	-2.03		0.72
ENSMUSG00000027962	Vcam1		0.63	-0.85	
ENSMUSG00000020484	Xbp1			0.71	
ENSMUSG00000028978	Nos3		-0.87		
ENSMUSG00000041653	Pnpla3			-1.78	-1.17
ENSMUSG00000034853	Acot11		1.52		
ENSMUSG00000004730	Adgre1		0.80		

Table 49

Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
Metabolic Disease Biomarkers: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus					
ENSMUSG00000035783	Acta2		-0.96		-0.71
ENSMUSG00000026883	Dab2ip		0.65		
ENSMUSG00000035561	Aldh1b1			-0.68	
ENSMUSG00000026289	Atg16l1		-0.57		
ENSMUSG00000024391	Apom			0.76	
ENSMUSG00000019947	Arid5b				0.51
ENSMUSG00000046532	Ar		-0.86	-1.11	
ENSMUSG00000032066	Bco2			-0.45	
ENSMUSG00000027792	Bche			-0.67	
ENSMUSG00000055116	Arntl	2.19	1.04		
ENSMUSG00000033863	Klf9	0.91	0.80		
ENSMUSG00000045730	Adrb2	-1.04		-1.49	
ENSMUSG00000082361	Btc		1.10		
ENSMUSG00000027381	Bcl2l1				0.91
ENSMUSG00000022637	Cblb		0.62		
ENSMUSG00000035042	Ccl5			-0.97	
ENSMUSG00000002944	Cd36	-0.75	0.74	-0.89	
ENSMUSG00000039804	Ncoa5		-0.65		
ENSMUSG00000000326	Comt			0.62	
ENSMUSG00000068742	Cry2		0.48		
ENSMUSG00000019997	Ctgf			-0.85	-0.63
ENSMUSG00000025003	Cyp2c39			-2.02	
ENSMUSG00000003053	Cyp2c29		2.75		1.31
ENSMUSG00000056054	S100a8		1.44	1.49	1.62
ENSMUSG00000056071	S100a9		1.39	1.69	1.58
ENSMUSG00000028914	Casp9		0.64		
ENSMUSG00000072082	Ccnf			0.46	
ENSMUSG00000027533	Fabp5			0.80	
ENSMUSG00000054191	Klf1			-0.73	
ENSMUSG00000041112	Elmo1				0.87
ENSMUSG00000042787	Exog		-0.92		-0.88
ENSMUSG00000019768	Esr1			0.57	0.69
ENSMUSG00000010663	Fads1				-0.64
ENSMUSG00000039529	Atp8b1			0.42	
ENSMUSG00000044167	Foxo1			-0.53	
ENSMUSG00000048756	Foxo3			-0.79	
ENSMUSG00000049721	Gal3st1		1.51		
ENSMUSG00000029992	Gfpt1		-0.75		-0.56
ENSMUSG00000028645	Slc2a1		0.93		
ENSMUSG00000018566	Slc2a4			-1.78	
ENSMUSG00000024978	Gpam			-1.08	-0.93
ENSMUSG00000040562	Gstm2			-1.48	
ENSMUSG00000031451	Gas6			-0.47	
ENSMUSG00000027984	Hadh			-0.47	
ENSMUSG00000036594	H2-Aa			-0.42	
ENSMUSG00000037025	Foxa2				-0.63

Table 49 (continued)					
Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison					
Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
ENSMUSG00000043013	Onecut1				0.96
ENSMUSG00000091971	Hspa1a		-3.95949		
ENSMUSG00000041798	Gck		-0.98	-1.01	-0.63
ENSMUSG00000032115	Hyou1		-1.35	0.52	-0.75
ENSMUSG00000026185	Igfbp5	-1.14	-1.39	-0.97	-0.54
ENSMUSG00000026072	Il1r1			0.58	
ENSMUSG00000027947	Il6ra			-0.67	
ENSMUSG00000038894	Irs2			0.57	0.83
ENSMUSG00000020653	Klf11	1.13	0.73		
ENSMUSG00000052040	Klf13			-0.72	
ENSMUSG00000055148	Klf2		-1.38	-0.74	
ENSMUSG00000032796	Lama1			-0.63	
ENSMUSG00000020593	Lpin1		-0.76	-1.96	-0.70
ENSMUSG00000024052	Lpin2			-0.73	
ENSMUSG00000015568	Lpl		0.73	0.91	
ENSMUSG00000035202	Lars2			-1.38	-1.58
ENSMUSG00000026688	Mgst3			-1.19	
ENSMUSG00000049723	Mmp12			-0.97	
ENSMUSG00000021025	Nfkbia		-0.51	-0.46	
ENSMUSG00000032715	Trib3	1.21	0.91	-0.59	
ENSMUSG00000024413	Npc1		0.68		
ENSMUSG00000030659	Nucb2			0.82	
ENSMUSG00000023034	Nr4a1		-0.63		0.94
ENSMUSG00000096054	Syne1	0.62			
ENSMUSG00000041827	Oasl1		1.15		
ENSMUSG00000000168	Dlat			-0.76	
ENSMUSG00000029304	Spp1			0.48	
ENSMUSG00000028211	Trp53inp1				1.08
ENSMUSG00000044254	Pcsk9			-0.99	-1.20
ENSMUSG00000028525	Pde4b		0.73		
ENSMUSG00000038508	Gdf15		1.52	1.22	1.08
ENSMUSG00000019577	Pdk4		1.04		1.37
ENSMUSG00000025509	Pnpla2		0.85		
ENSMUSG00000020893	Per1			-0.97	
ENSMUSG00000033871	Ppargc1b		0.86		
ENSMUSG00000032462	Pik3cb		0.89		
ENSMUSG00000041417	Pik3r1		-0.47		
ENSMUSG00000045038	Prkce			-0.53	
ENSMUSG00000000440	Pparg		0.62		
ENSMUSG00000027540	Ptpn1			0.61	
ENSMUSG00000028150	Rorc	-0.74	0.88		0.53
ENSMUSG00000015843	Rxrg		0.83		
ENSMUSG00000043895	S1pr2		1.20		
ENSMUSG00000074115	Saa1		-1.44	2.34	2.41
ENSMUSG00000041567	Serpina12		-1.04	0.65	
ENSMUSG00000019970	Sgk1				0.77
ENSMUSG00000038351	Sgsm2		0.76		

Table 49 (continued)

Targets associated with metabolic diseases enrichments (Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
ENSMUSG00000020027	Socs2			1.10	0.71
ENSMUSG00000053113	Socs3			1.08	
ENSMUSG00000025006	Sorbs1		0.64	-0.50	
ENSMUSG00000037465	Klf10	0.86		-0.54	-1.29
ENSMUSG00000028599	Tnfrsf1b				0.58
ENSMUSG00000022797	Tfrc			-0.80	
ENSMUSG00000028128	F3		1.32	0.85	
ENSMUSG00000020123	Avpr1a	0.98	-1.29	0.72	
ENSMUSG00000027962	Vcam1		0.63	-0.85	
ENSMUSG00000024924	Vldlr			-2.54	
ENSMUSG00000028978	Nos3		-0.87		
ENSMUSG00000041653	Pnpla3			-1.78	-1.17
Metabolic disease analysis for each comparison indicated gene sets associated with endpoints including diabetes mellitus. Differentially regulated gene targets are indicated. For each comparison, fold change vs. control is shown.					

Table 50

Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (metabolic syndrome) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
Metabolic Disease Biomarkers: Metabolic Syndrome					
ENSMUSG00000031278	Acsl4		-0.47	1.05	
ENSMUSG00000024391	Apom			0.76	
ENSMUSG00000055116	Arntl	2.2	1.04		
ENSMUSG00000045730	Adrb2	-1.04		-1.49	
ENSMUSG00000002944	Cd36	-0.75	0.74	-0.89	
ENSMUSG00000029238	Clock	0.77			
ENSMUSG00000068742	Cry2		0.48		
ENSMUSG00000072082	Ccnf			0.46	
ENSMUSG00000019768	Esr1			0.57	0.69
ENSMUSG00000010663	Fads1				-0.64
ENSMUSG00000028001	Fga			1.06	
ENSMUSG00000033831	Fgb			1.02	
ENSMUSG00000033860	Fgg			0.96	
ENSMUSG00000020429	Igfbp1			-0.46	1.08
ENSMUSG00000020427	Igfbp3		0.44		
ENSMUSG00000026185	Igfbp5	-1.14	-1.39	-0.97	-0.54
ENSMUSG00000027947	Il6ra			-0.674195	
ENSMUSG00000020593	Lpin1		-0.76	-1.96	-0.70
ENSMUSG00000015568	Lpl		0.72	0.91	
ENSMUSG00000059436	Max		-0.55		
ENSMUSG00000032715	Trib3	1.21	0.91	-0.59	
ENSMUSG00000027820	Mme		0.73	-0.81	
ENSMUSG00000019577	Pdk4		1.04		1.37
ENSMUSG00000055866	Per2	-1.39	-0.74		
ENSMUSG00000042632	Pla2g6		0.98		
ENSMUSG00000023913	Pla2g7		0.65		
ENSMUSG00000000440	Pparg		0.62		
ENSMUSG00000027540	Ptpn1			0.61	
ENSMUSG00000027368	Dusp2		-1.27		
ENSMUSG00000020580	Rock2			-0.42	
ENSMUSG00000074115	Saa1		-1.44	2.34	2.41
ENSMUSG00000041567	Serpina12		-1.04	0.65	
ENSMUSG00000012428	Steap4		-0.62	1.22	
ENSMUSG00000028978	Nos3		-0.87		
ENSMUSG00000041653	Pnpla3			-1.78	-1.17
ENSMUSG00000027962	Vcam1		0.63	-0.85	

Table 50 (continued)

Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (metabolic syndrome) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
ENSMUSG00000048376	F2r			0.44	
ENSMUSG00000030748	Il4ra		0.57		
ENSMUSG00000021871	Pnp			0.61	
ENSMUSG00000033220	Rac2		0.56		
ENSMUSG00000057465	Saa2		-1.37	2.06	2.62
ENSMUSG00000028599	Tnfrsf1b				0.58
Metabolic disease analysis for each comparison indicated gene sets associated with endpoints including metabolic syndrome. Differentially regulated gene targets are indicated. For each comparison, fold change vs. control is shown.					

Table 51

Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (insulin resistance) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
Metabolic Disease Biomarkers: Insulin resistance					
ENSMUSG00000031278	Acsl4		-0.47	1.05	
ENSMUSG00000035783	Acta2		-0.96		-0.71
ENSMUSG00000024391	Apom			0.76	
ENSMUSG00000027792	Bche			-0.67	
ENSMUSG00000055116	Arntl		1.04		
ENSMUSG00000045730	Adrb2				
ENSMUSG00000036098	Myrf			0.51	
ENSMUSG00000035042	Ccl5			-0.97	
ENSMUSG00000002944	Cd36	-0.75	0.74	-0.89	
ENSMUSG00000029238	Clock	0.77			
ENSMUSG00000068742	Cry2		0.48		
ENSMUSG00000072082	Ccnf			0.46	
ENSMUSG00000041220	Elovl6			-0.89	-0.53
ENSMUSG00000019768	Esr1			0.57	0.69
ENSMUSG00000010663	Fads1				-0.64
ENSMUSG00000024664	Fads3	-0.91		-0.56	-0.91
ENSMUSG00000044167	Foxo1			-0.53	
ENSMUSG00000048756	Foxo3			-0.79	
ENSMUSG00000028001	Fga			1.06	
ENSMUSG00000033831	Fgb			1.02	
ENSMUSG00000033860	Fgg			0.96	
ENSMUSG00000049721	Gal3st1				
ENSMUSG00000029992	Gfpt1		-0.75		-0.56
ENSMUSG00000018566	Slc2a4				
ENSMUSG00000021670	Hmgcr			-0.73	
ENSMUSG00000043013	Onecut1				0.96
ENSMUSG00000041798	Gck		-0.98		-0.63
ENSMUSG00000020429	Igfbp1			-0.46	1.08
ENSMUSG00000020427	Igfbp3		0.44		
ENSMUSG00000026185	Igfbp5			-0.97	-0.54
ENSMUSG00000027947	Il6ra			-0.67	
ENSMUSG00000038894	Irs2			0.57	0.83
ENSMUSG00000020593	Lpin1		-0.76		-0.70
ENSMUSG00000024052	Lpin2			-0.73	
ENSMUSG00000015568	Lpl		0.72	0.91	
ENSMUSG00000054612	Mgmt				
ENSMUSG00000059436	Max		-0.55		
ENSMUSG00000054764	Mtnr1a				
ENSMUSG00000021025	Nfkbia		-0.51	-0.46	

Table 51 (continued)

Targets associated with metabolic disease enrichments (insulin resistance) and their change vs. vehicle control in each comparison

Input IDs	Gene Symbol	CVvsC20	CVvsC200	HVvsH20	HVvsH200
ENSMUSG00000032715	Trib3	1.21	0.91	-0.59	
ENSMUSG00000027820	Mme		0.73	-0.81	
ENSMUSG00000019577	Pdk4		1.04		
ENSMUSG00000025509	Pnpla2		0.85		
ENSMUSG00000055866	Per2		-0.74		
ENSMUSG00000032462	Pik3cb		0.89		
ENSMUSG00000041417	Pik3r1		-0.47		
ENSMUSG00000042632	Pla2g6		0.98		
ENSMUSG00000023913	Pla2g7		0.65		
ENSMUSG00000000440	Pparg		0.62		
ENSMUSG00000027540	Ptpn1			0.61	
ENSMUSG00000027368	Dusp2				
ENSMUSG00000020580	Rock2			-0.42	
ENSMUSG00000074115	Saa1				
ENSMUSG00000075701	Selenos		-0.47		
ENSMUSG00000041567	Serpina12			0.65	
ENSMUSG00000060807	Serpina6	-0.97			
ENSMUSG00000053113	Socs3			1.08	
ENSMUSG00000012428	Steap4		-0.62	1.22	
ENSMUSG00000028599	Tnfrsf1b				0.58
ENSMUSG00000027962	Vcam1		0.63	-0.85	
ENSMUSG00000044786	Zfp36		-0.53		
ENSMUSG00000028978	Nos3		-0.87		
ENSMUSG00000041653	Pnpla3				
ENSMUSG00000008845	Cd163	0.84			
ENSMUSG00000048376	F2r			0.44	
ENSMUSG00000044674	Fzd1		0.53		
ENSMUSG00000030748	Il4ra		0.57		
ENSMUSG00000021871	Pnp			0.61	
ENSMUSG00000033871	Ppargc1b		0.86		
ENSMUSG00000033220	Rac2		0.56		
ENSMUSG00000015843	Rxrg		0.83		
ENSMUSG00000057465	Saa2				
Metabolic disease analysis for each comparison indicated gene sets associated with endpoints including insulin resistance. Differentially regulated gene targets are indicated. For each comparison, fold change vs. control is shown.					

proportion of the DTG sets. Comparison of these results to outputs of the same network frame showing DTG sets *in vitro* primary hepatocyte exposure to prototypical ligands for these receptors, however, indicates that the sets of direct transcriptional targets as curated by MetaCore™ are probably not comprehensive (data not shown). Other software tools may be needed to further explore these relationships.

Analysis of dose-within-diet comparisons indicated enrichment in disease-associated transcription factors

Transcription factor analysis for the CVvsC20, CVvsC200, HVvsH20 and HVvsH200 are shown in Tables 52-55. These analyses are based on gene ontology, therefore the transcription factors ranked highest in the list of engaged transcription factors were those with the most literature-based relationships among the DTGs in each dataset. Many of these connections are based on chromatin immunoprecipitation studies or computational binding site prediction, and are of unspecified effect, however, when only the defined relationships (activation or inhibition via transcriptional regulation) were considered, we were able to develop a percent activation for each listed transcription factor under each condition: the number differentially regulated in a direction consistent with TF activation/total differentially regulated. These are listed in Tables 56 and 57. Overall, transcription factors tended to increase in percent activation with increasing PCB concentration and decrease with HFD exposure. Interestingly, transcription factors which were targets of PXR regulation by binding showed evidence of increased activation at the 20 mg/kg exposure, compared to the 200 mg/kg exposure, shown in Figure 13. PXR targets of regulation by protein-protein interaction.

Specific targets within pathways engaged in these experiments are related to metabolic syndrome components, including fatty liver disease and diabetes, as well as liver damage and regeneration pathways.

Several of the targets differentially regulated under one or more of the experimental conditions have been characterized as potential contributors to metabolic diseases, including

Table 52

Top ten transcription factor enrichments for condition CVvsC20

Network	GO processes	Nodes	p-Value
CREB1	circadian rhythm (36.1%; 6.650e-17), rhythmic process (41.7%; 1.725e-15), single-organism developmental process (86.1%; 7.277e-11), developmental process (86.1%; 1.097e-10), regulation of circadian rhythm (22.2%; 2.706e-10)	37	3.100E-121
c-Myc	circadian rhythm (35.5%; 2.158e-14), rhythmic process (38.7%; 3.449e-12), circadian regulation of gene expression (19.4%; 1.757e-09), regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter (54.8%; 2.296e-09), regulation of circadian rhythm (22.6%; 3.264e-09)	31	1.550E-102
p53	circadian rhythm (37.5%; 6.220e-16), rhythmic process (40.6%; 2.013e-13), negative regulation of cellular process (84.4%; 7.367e-12), negative regulation of biological process (84.4%; 5.156e-11), regulation of circadian rhythm (25.0%; 9.657e-11)	31	4.960E-101
SP1	rhythmic process (45.2%; 3.802e-15), circadian rhythm (35.5%; 2.158e-14), cellular response to chemical stimulus (71.0%; 2.982e-11), circadian regulation of gene expression (19.4%; 1.757e-09), regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter (54.8%; 2.296e-09)	30	1.100E-97
HIF1A	circadian rhythm (37.9%; 8.995e-15), rhythmic process (41.4%; 1.326e-12), cellular response to chemical stimulus (72.4%; 4.566e-11), regulation of developmental process (69.0%; 5.890e-11), response to oxygen-containing compound (62.1%; 1.198e-10)	29	2.400E-94
RelA (p65 NF- κ B subunit)	circadian rhythm (36.7%; 1.406e-14), rhythmic process (40.0%; 2.161e-12), cellular response to chemical stimulus (70.0%; 1.282e-10), regulation of developmental process (66.7%; 1.521e-10), response to oxygen-containing compound (60.0%; 2.670e-10)	29	2.400E-94
c-Jun	circadian rhythm (42.9%; 8.721e-17), rhythmic process (46.4%; 2.371e-14), cellular response to chemical stimulus (75.0%; 1.495e-11), response to oxygen-containing compound (60.7%; 6.514e-10), circadian regulation of gene expression (21.4%; 9.074e-10)	27	1.100E-87
C/EBPbeta	circadian rhythm (40.7%; 3.458e-15), rhythmic process (48.1%; 1.299e-14), cellular response to chemical stimulus (74.1%; 6.790e-11), negative regulation of gene expression (59.3%; 8.863e-11), negative regulation of cellular macromolecule biosynthetic process (55.6%; 1.788e-10)	26	2.310E-84
ESR1 (nuclear)	circadian rhythm (38.5%; 1.298e-13), rhythmic process (46.2%; 2.637e-13), circadian regulation of gene expression (23.1%; 5.579e-10), negative regulation of gene expression (57.7%; 5.891e-10), regulation of circadian rhythm (26.9%; 8.435e-10)	26	2.310E-84
GCR	circadian rhythm (44.4%; 5.034e-17), rhythmic process (51.9%; 3.145e-16), cellular response to chemical stimulus (81.5%; 2.376e-13), response to oxygen-containing compound (66.7%; 2.040e-11), response to organic substance (77.8%; 6.517e-11)	26	2.310E-84
Other significantly enriched transcription factors included Androgen receptor, C/EBPalpha, PPAR-gamma, HNF4-alpha, SMAD3, Oct-3/4, TCF7L2 (TCF4), c-Fos, YY1, FKHR, PPAR-alpha, IRF8, SMAD2, NRF2, SP3, STAT3, AP-2A, SRF, NRSF, and SMAD4.			

Table 53

Top ten transcription factor enrichments for condition CVvsC200

Network	GO processes	Nodes	p-Value
CREB1	negative regulation of biological process (49.1%; 3.269e-14), negative regulation of cellular process (46.7%; 3.566e-14), positive regulation of biological process (50.9%; 1.600e-12), positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (32.6%; 4.023e-11), positive regulation of metabolic process (34.0%; 6.487e-11)	300	0.000E+00
c-Myc	negative regulation of biological process (59.8%; 2.064e-18), negative regulation of cellular process (56.3%; 1.927e-17), regulation of apoptotic process (32.2%; 4.137e-17), regulation of programmed cell death (32.2%; 6.263e-17), regulation of cell death (33.3%; 8.005e-17)	179	0.000E+00
SP1	response to organic substance (59.6%; 2.008e-26), single-multicellular organism process (75.2%; 8.820e-24), regulation of apoptotic process (41.1%; 9.997e-24), regulation of programmed cell death (41.1%; 1.594e-23), negative regulation of biological process (68.1%; 5.543e-23)	143	0.000E+00
p53	regulation of apoptotic process (46.2%; 9.045e-28), regulation of programmed cell death (46.2%; 1.488e-27), regulation of cell death (46.9%; 9.259e-27), regulation of cell communication (57.7%; 7.792e-24), regulation of signalling (57.7%; 1.761e-23)	133	0.000E+00
ESR1 (nuclear)	regulation of cell death (42.0%; 1.379e-19), regulation of apoptotic process (40.3%; 1.898e-19), regulation of programmed cell death (40.3%; 2.785e-19), negative regulation of biological process (66.4%; 3.771e-18), negative regulation of cellular process (63.9%; 3.980e-18)	121	2.410E-279
RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit)	regulation of apoptotic process (50.0%; 2.902e-28), regulation of programmed cell death (50.0%; 4.657e-28), regulation of cell death (50.9%; 2.054e-27), negative regulation of biological process (76.8%; 1.145e-26), positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (61.6%; 2.046e-26)	113	1.400E-260
Oct-3/4	positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (56.5%; 9.314e-21), positive regulation of metabolic process (57.4%; 6.092e-20), regulation of macromolecule biosynthetic process (63.0%; 6.818e-19), regulation of cellular biosynthetic process (63.9%; 1.872e-18), positive regulation of cellular metabolic process (53.7%; 2.444e-18)	110	1.500E-253
Androgen receptor	positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (58.1%; 1.262e-21), positive regulation of metabolic process (59.0%; 8.077e-21), positive regulation of biological process (72.4%; 2.877e-18), developmental process (73.3%; 9.619e-17), single-organism developmental process (72.4%; 2.108e-16)	107	1.470E-248
HIF1A	regulation of apoptotic process (47.1%; 1.991e-23), regulation of programmed cell death (47.1%; 2.993e-23), regulation of cell death (48.1%; 8.857e-23), positive regulation of metabolic process (60.6%; 5.779e-22), positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (57.7%; 4.422e-21)	103	3.570E-237
c-Jun	response to organic substance (62.4%; 1.132e-21), positive regulation of metabolic process (59.4%; 2.226e-20), positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (55.4%; 1.163e-18), response to lipid (37.6%; 3.606e-18), regulation of primary metabolic process (74.3%; 1.951e-17)	101	1.660E-234
Other significantly enriched transcription factors included STAT3, YY1, GCR, NANOG, E2F1, C/EBPbeta, PPAR-gamma, SRF, GATA-3, HNF4-alpha, SP3, FKHR, SOX2, C/EBPalpha, NF-κB, KLF4, p63, NF-κB1 (p50), HSF1, PU.1			

Table 54

Top ten transcription factor enrichments for condition HVvsH20

Network	GO processes	Nodes	p-Value
CREB1	response to organic substance (47.0%; 3.429e-19), response to oxygen-containing compound (35.4%; 1.098e-18), response to organonitrogen compound (26.3%; 4.006e-17), response to extracellular stimulus (19.7%; 7.007e-17), response to nitrogen compound (26.8%; 2.991e-16)	199	1.150E-299
c-Myc	regulation of apoptotic process (45.3%; 1.557e-28), regulation of cell death (46.8%; 2.561e-28), regulation of programmed cell death (45.3%; 2.621e-28), negative regulation of cell death (36.0%; 6.566e-25), negative regulation of apoptotic process (33.1%; 3.790e-23)	141	5.530E-290
SP1	response to organic substance (66.7%; 1.091e-33), cellular response to chemical stimulus (61.5%; 9.303e-32), regulation of cell death (49.6%; 3.956e-31), response to oxygen-containing compound (51.9%; 1.154e-30), regulation of apoptotic process (46.7%; 1.956e-29)	135	1.890E-284
Androgen receptor	regulation of cell death (43.7%; 2.988e-21), regulation of apoptotic process (42.0%; 3.922e-21), regulation of programmed cell death (42.0%; 5.874e-21), response to organic substance (57.1%; 2.958e-20), response to chemical (66.4%; 4.642e-19)	120	3.290E-264
p53	regulation of cell death (50.0%; 8.565e-28), regulation of apoptotic process (46.6%; 7.797e-26), regulation of programmed cell death (46.6%; 1.231e-25), positive regulation of biological process (77.1%; 5.883e-25), positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (57.6%; 1.154e-23)	117	1.410E-249
ESR1 (nuclear)	response to organic substance (66.4%; 4.157e-28), cellular response to chemical stimulus (61.9%; 2.760e-27), response to oxygen-containing compound (51.3%; 2.433e-25), response to chemical (73.5%; 1.489e-24), regulation of cell death (47.8%; 2.494e-24)	114	5.010E-242
c-Jun	response to organic substance (68.6%; 2.067e-28), response to oxygen-containing compound (54.3%; 1.411e-26), regulation of cell death (51.4%; 2.464e-26), regulation of apoptotic process (49.5%; 4.376e-26), regulation of programmed cell death (49.5%; 6.780e-26)	106	5.370E-237
HIF1A	regulation of cell death (54.9%; 3.336e-29), regulation of apoptotic process (52.0%; 6.901e-28), regulation of programmed cell death (52.0%; 1.084e-27), response to organic substance (67.6%; 9.912e-27), cellular response to chemical stimulus (63.7%; 1.848e-26)	101	1.150E-299
RelA (p65 NF- κ B subunit)	regulation of cell death (55.1%; 2.578e-28), regulation of apoptotic process (53.1%; 5.735e-28), regulation of programmed cell death (53.1%; 8.944e-28), response to oxygen-containing compound (56.1%; 1.138e-26), response to organic substance (68.4%; 2.053e-26)	98	5.530E-290
GCR	cellular response to chemical stimulus (68.8%; 8.421e-30), response to organic substance (71.9%; 2.788e-29), response to organic cyclic compound (51.0%; 6.592e-29), response to oxygen-containing compound (57.3%; 2.736e-27), regulation of cell death (54.2%; 7.799e-27)	96	1.890E-284
Other significantly enriched transcription factors included Oct-3/4, C/EBPbeta, STAT3, HNF4-alpha, C/EBPalpha, PPAR-gamma, NANOG, SP3, TCF7L2 (TCF4), c-Fos, AHR, E2F1, HNF3-beta, YY1, KLF4, FOXO3A, GATA-3, NF-kB, EGR1, VDR			

Table 55

Top ten transcription factor enrichments for condition HIVsh200

Network	GO processes	Nodes	p-Value
CREB1	negative regulation of cellular process (66.4%; 7.735e-20), negative regulation of biological process (66.4%; 1.009e-17), regulation of cell death (39.7%; 6.005e-17), response to hormone (31.9%; 3.075e-16), negative regulation of metabolic process (47.4%; 3.775e-16)	116	0.000E+00
c-Myc	positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (60.5%; 9.952e-20), positive regulation of metabolic process (60.5%; 2.515e-18), response to organic substance (61.6%; 4.053e-18), regulation of cell death (46.5%; 7.414e-18), regulation of apoptotic process (44.2%; 2.690e-17)	87	4.730E-245
SP1	response to endogenous stimulus (52.4%; 8.326e-21), negative regulation of cellular process (73.8%; 6.342e-20), response to organic substance (64.3%; 1.169e-19), negative regulation of biological process (75.0%; 5.559e-19), response to hormone (40.5%; 9.947e-19)	83	1.280E-231
p53	regulation of cell death (50.6%; 5.417e-20), response to organic substance (64.2%; 6.069e-19), response to endogenous stimulus (50.6%; 9.129e-19), positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (60.5%; 1.103e-18), regulation of apoptotic process (46.9%; 2.117e-18)	80	5.070E-223
ESR1 (nuclear)	response to organic substance (68.0%; 2.838e-20), response to endogenous stimulus (53.3%; 2.041e-19), negative regulation of cell death (42.7%; 4.796e-19), cellular response to chemical stimulus (61.3%; 3.687e-18), regulation of cell death (49.3%; 1.071e-17)	77	2.480E-216
RelA (p65 NF-KB subunit)	response to organic substance (69.2%; 5.822e-22), cellular response to chemical stimulus (64.1%; 7.683e-21), positive regulation of metabolic process (65.4%; 3.572e-20), response to chemical (76.9%; 7.676e-20), regulation of cell death (51.3%; 8.300e-20)	77	1.930E-214
C/EBPbeta	response to organic substance (70.1%; 2.198e-22), cellular response to chemical stimulus (63.6%; 2.997e-20), regulation of cell death (51.9%; 4.482e-20), response to chemical (76.6%; 2.190e-19), response to oxygen-containing compound (53.2%; 4.302e-19)	76	1.390E-211
HIF1A	regulation of cell death (53.9%; 2.327e-21), response to organic substance (67.1%; 7.032e-20), regulation of apoptotic process (50.0%; 1.274e-19), regulation of programmed cell death (50.0%; 1.753e-19), positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (63.2%; 1.858e-19)	75	9.920E-209
GCR	response to organic substance (76.0%; 1.606e-26), cellular response to chemical stimulus (70.7%; 4.137e-25), response to endogenous stimulus (58.7%; 1.692e-23), response to hormone (48.0%; 7.672e-23), response to oxygen-containing compound (58.7%; 1.099e-22)	74	7.060E-206
STAT3	positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process (68.1%; 5.873e-22), positive regulation of metabolic process (68.1%; 1.405e-20), response to organic substance (69.4%; 1.574e-20), regulation of cell death (54.2%; 1.805e-20), regulation of apoptotic process (51.4%; 1.144e-19)	71	2.490E-197
Other significantly enriched transcription factors included Androgen receptor, c-Jun, C/EBPalpha, Oct-3/4, HNF4-alpha, STAT1, FOXO3A, SOX2, c-Fos, NANOG, STAT6, PPAR-gamma, PPAR-alpha, SREBP1 (nuclear), FKHR, VDR, YY1, IRF4, AHR, EGR1			

Table 56

Percent activation for engaged transcription factors identified in all conditions

	<i>CVvsC20</i>		<i>CVvsC200</i>		<i>HVvsH20</i>		<i>HVvsH200</i>	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>% CWA</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>% CWA</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>% CWA</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>% CWA</i>
<i>CREB1</i>	31	16%	48	52%	168	13%	93	24%
<i>c-Myc</i>	25	32%	72	32%	116	28%	62	18%
<i>p53</i>	19	37%	68	60%	92	34%	50	46%
<i>SP1</i>	23	30%	69	59%	109	32%	58	43%
<i>HIF1A</i>	21	38%	54	63%	62	34%	44	48%
<i>RelA (p65 NF-kB subunit)</i>	16	25%	53	64%	69	38%	43	56%
<i>c-Jun</i>	14	50%	37	73%	71	38%	35	49%
<i>C/EBPbeta</i>	14	64%	34	56%	58	47%	41	61%
<i>ESR1 (nuclear)</i>	18	33%	52	54%	84	33%	50	50%
<i>GCR</i>	16	56%	47	43%	60	27%	37	68%
<i>Androgen receptor</i>	13	15%	37	54%	89	25%	33	24%
<i>C/EBPalpha</i>	13	46%	25	60%	47	38%	33	39%
<i>PPAR-gamma</i>	9	78%	30	57%	36	28%	15	47%
<i>HNF4-alpha</i>	14	50%	29	62%	45	33%	30	53%
<i>Oct-3/4</i>	12	42%	54	50%	56	39%	31	39%
<i>YY1</i>	7	29%	19	42%	33	15%	15	27%
<i>FKHR</i>	7	43%	24	63%	24	21%	18	50%
<i>STAT3</i>	10	50%	44	45%	62	48%	37	57%

Relative activation of the most enriched transcription factors (second column for each comparison) was determined by comparing the proportion of differentially transcribed targets in which the direction of transcription was concordant with activation of the transcription factor, based on the MetaCore curated TF→target relationship and normalized to the total number of targets for that TF differentially transcribed in our experiment (first column for each comparison). This table shows % engagement for TFs which were enriched in all group 1-2 comparisons.

Table 57

Percent activation for engaged transcription factors identified in 1-3 conditions

	CVvsC20		CVvsC200		HVvsH20		HVvsH200	
	<i>Total</i>	% CWA	<i>Total</i>	% CWA	<i>Total</i>	% CWA	<i>Total</i>	% CWA
<i>SP3</i>	6	33%	25	52%	38	37%	0	-
<i>TCF7L2 (TCF4)</i>	7	43%	0	-	41	34%	0	-
<i>c-Fos</i>	9	33%	0	-	35	49%	22	55%
<i>SMAD3</i>	8	38%	0	-	0	-	0	-
<i>PPAR-alpha</i>	8	50%	0	-	-	-	14	43%
<i>IRF8</i>	8	13%	0	-	-	-	0	-
<i>SMAD2</i>	5	20%	0	-	-	-	-	-
<i>NRF2</i>	6	83%	0	-	-	-	-	-
<i>AP-2A</i>	8	25%	0	-	-	-	-	-
<i>SRF</i>	5	40%	26	42%	0	-	-	-
<i>NRSF</i>	4	25%	0	-	-	-	-	-
<i>SMAD4</i>	5	100%	0	-	-	-	-	-
<i>NANOG</i>	0	-	27	48%	45	31%	23	26%
<i>E2F1</i>	0	-	21	81%	37	35%	0	-
<i>GATA-3</i>	0	-	9	33%	26	15%	0	-
<i>SOX2</i>	0	-	18	39%	0	-	24	33%
<i>NF-kB</i>	0	-	27	70%	28	32%	0	-
<i>KLF4</i>	0	-	20	55%	29	62%	0	-
<i>p63</i>	0	-	25	52%	0	-	-	-
<i>NF-kB1 (p50)</i>	0	-	13	62%	0	-	-	-
<i>HSF1</i>	0	-	27	26%	0	-	-	-
<i>PU.1</i>	0	-	26	65%	0	-	-	-
<i>AHR</i>	0	-	0	-	33	12%	18	22%
<i>HNF3-beta</i>	0	-	0	-	26	15%	19	47%
<i>FOXO3A</i>	0	-	0	-	29	28%	23	57%
<i>EGR1</i>	10	50%	0	-	32	47%	21	43%
<i>VDR</i>	0	-	0	-	26	27%	16	50%
<i>STAT1</i>	0	-	0	-	0	-	20	70%
<i>STAT6</i>	0	-	0	-	0	-	16	0%
<i>SREBP1 (nuclear)</i>	0	-	0	-	0	-	14	7%
<i>IRF4</i>	0	-	0	-	0	-	16	38%

Relative activation of the most enriched transcription factors (second column for each comparison) was determined by comparing the proportion of differentially transcribed targets in which the direction of transcription was concordant with activation of the transcription factor, based on the MetaCore curated TF→target relationship and normalized to the total number of targets for that TF differentially transcribed in our experiment (first column for each comparison). This table shows % engagement for TFs which were enriched in 1, 2, or 3 of the group 1-2 comparisons.

Figure 13. Transcription factors regulated by PXR or PXR/RXR via protein-protein interactions.

Targets of inhibition shown in the blue boxes and targets of activation shown in the red box. The pie charts represent the proportion of targets differentially transcribed in a direction concordant with activation (red) or inhibition/inactivation (blue) of the TF in each of the group 1-2 comparisons. PPAR α , a target of PXR activation, is shown in the red box, while targets of PXR or PXR/RXR protein-protein inhibition are shown in the blue boxes. The apparent status of activation of CREB-1, HNF3 β , AR, HNF4 α , and FKHR (FOXO1) is more indicative of inhibition (concordant with PXR activity) in the moderate-exposure conditions compared with the high-exposure conditions.

Trib3/NIPK, Arntl, and claudin-1. Associated pathway maps are shown in Figures 14-16, respectively.

The Tribbles 3 pseudokinase (NIPK or TRIB3) interrupts insulin signaling by binding and preventing phosphorylation of AKT, thereby promoting gluconeogenic down-stream targets such as PEPCK and diminishing the activity of GSK3B and associated glycogen synthesis^{127, 128} (Figure 14). TRIB3 can also dephosphorylate several MAP kinases and AMPK. TRIB3 is activated by PPAR α and by endoplasmic reticulum stress (PERK-eIF2 α -ATF4-CHOP arm of the unfolded protein response),¹²⁹ thereby providing a mechanism by which ER stress can modulate the transduction energy and growth factor signaling to intermediary metabolic and developmental transcriptional outputs. The human TRIB3 polymorphism Q84R, which enhances its inhibition of AKT, has been linked to increased prevalence of insulin resistance and associated cardiovascular risk¹³⁰. TRIB3 is up-regulated in obesity and metabolic syndrome.

The arylhydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator-like (Arntl) forms a heterodimer with CLOCK, an active complex driving transcription of circadian output genes such as the Period (Per1, 2, etc.) and Cryptochrome (Cry1, 2, etc.) genes (Figure 15). Another target up-regulated in all four comparisons was nuclear factor interleukin-3 (Nfil-3, or E4BP4), which encodes a protein that represses expression of the Period genes^{131, 132}. Processes related to circadian rhythm and control of rhythmic processes were enriched with moderate PCB exposure in our model. Again, disruption of normal circadian rhythm may be both cause and effect in metabolic disease^{133, 134}. The oscillatory patterns of circadian clock output genes (BMAL/CLOCK target genes) are disrupted in response to nutritional challenges^{135, 136}. Disrupted sleep patterns due to sleep apnea¹³⁷ or shift work¹³⁸ are also associated with metabolic disease progression. Another target of circadian rhythm circuitry is Kruppel-like factor 9 (KLF9), a transcription factor which expressed in epithelial cells and regulates daytime-specific expression of differentiation-related targets (Elf3) as well as targets related to glucocorticoid signaling (Fkbp5)¹³⁹.

Other targets associated with cell differentiation/identity, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and regenerative processes were differentially regulated, particularly within the moderate exposure models. These included up-regulated cell adhesion proteins such as claudin-

Figure 14. Nipk/Trib3 signaling pathway downstream of PPAR-alpha.

PCB exposure and PCB/HFD coexposures induced transcriptional changes in elements of signaling pathways downstream of PPAR-alpha. In particular, the tribbles pseudokinase (Nipk/Trib3) differentially regulated in all comparisons: CVvsC20 (1), CVvsC200 (2), HVvsH20 (3), HVvsH200 (4), C20vsH20 (5), C200vsH200 (6), and CVvsHV (7). Notably, transcription was upregulated in both control diet comparisons and in CVvsHV and downregulated in other comparisons. Image generated using MetaCore™ from Thomson Reuters.

Figure 15. Arntl/Bmal1 pathway related to circadian signaling.

PCB exposure and PCB/HFD coexposures induced transcriptional changes in elements of signaling pathways related to circadian signaling. In particular, the arylhydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator-like (Arntl/Bmal1) was differentially regulated in comparison CVvsC20 (1), CVvsC200 (2), and C20vsH20 (5). Clock output targets such as Per1/2, and Cry1/2 were differentially regulated in comparisons HVvsH20 (3), HVvsH200 (4), and CVvsHV (7), as well as comparison 5. Image generated using MetaCore™ from Thomson Reuters.

1, endothelin-1, and vimentin, changes in which signal epithelial-to-mesenchymal or mesenchymal-to-epithelial transitions in hepatocytes¹⁴⁰ (Figure 16). Other differentially regulated targets in this category, including Frizzled, bone morphogenic protein 2 (BMP-2, a TGF β -family member), Kruppel-like factors 10/11 (KLF-10, KLF-11: TGF β -inducible growth regulators) and ID-1/2 (inhibitor of DNA binding) are components of multiple development and differentiation pathways such as WNT (Frizzled), Hedgehog (BMP2), TGF β (BMP2, TIEG), and Hippo (ID-1/2). Alterations in cell identity that accompany EMT are classically associated with neoplastic liver disease¹⁴¹, however there is evidence to link alterations in Hedgehog^{142, 143}, TGF β ¹⁴⁴, WNT^{145, 146}, and Hippo¹⁴⁷ signaling to the progression of steatohepatitis and fibrosis.

Figure 16. Claudin-1 and e-cadherin pathways related to EMT.

PCB exposure and PCB/HFD coexposures induced transcriptional changes in elements of signaling pathways related to epithelial to mesenchymal transition. The cell adhesion molecules claudin-1 and e-cadherin were differentially regulated in all or most conditions CVvsC20 (1), CVvsC200 (2), a HVvsH20 (3), HVvsH200 (4), C20vsH20 (5), C200vsH200 (6) and CVvsHV (7). Image generated using MetaCore™ from Thomson Reuters.

CHAPTER TWO: DISCUSSION

PCB exposure induced transcriptional changes in mouse liver, and higher PCB exposure substantially increased the number of genes differentially transcribed compared to lower PCB exposure in the absence of dietary coexposure, the pathway characteristics of which are summarized in Figure 17. The addition of HFD as a coexposure greatly affected the number of differentially transcribed genes produced by PCB exposure: increasing the number and changing the distribution of engaged pathways. HFD itself produces many transcriptional changes in mouse liver, as have previously been described. PCB exposure modulates this effect, resulting in sets of differentially transcribed genes which overlap substantially. In the CVvsC20 comparison, nutritional and metabolic disease biomarkers were over-represented, and along with cardiovascular and vascular disease categories (also metabolic syndrome components), occupied five of the top ten most enriched categories in this group. It is interesting that these indicators were enriched in regular defined diet-fed animals exposed to PCBs, and that the difference between vehicle and moderate PCB exposure was lost in HFD coexposure. This suggests that PCB and HFD exposure may converge on pathways regulating nutritional homeostasis, and that PCBs may contribute to metabolic dysregulation even in the absence of HFD.

With or without HFD coexposure, exposure to PCBs at 200 mg/kg increases enrichment in biomarkers of neoplastic disease. This is consistent with findings in previously reported rodent toxicity studies. Cancer outcomes have been a historic focus of PCB research, mainly due to the presence of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs and dibenzofurans within the exposure mixture. Dioxins are potent carcinogens in mice, and have been classified as human carcinogens. Other than a recently reported increased prevalence of liver cancer among male Yusho (but not Yucheng)

Figure 17. Summary of transcriptional differences between groups.

victims, however, the evidence linking PCB to cancer in humans has been underwhelming¹¹⁰. Interestingly, other than ovarian neoplasms in the HVvsH20 comparison, neoplastic disease categories were not in the top 10 disease enrichments by biomarker for either of the moderate exposure groups.

Our 20 mg/kg PCB exposure was based on the highest serum PCB level reported in the Anniston population^{23, 79}, which itself has a mean several times higher than that of the US average¹⁴⁸. The moderate PCB dose, therefore, is more representative of environmentally-relevant human exposures, and we find that the distribution of diseases in these enrichments reflects this. Our analysis of the differential transcriptomes produced by liver tissue in these experiments in some ways recapitulated the proteomic and metabolic phenotype assessments. Within the HVvsH20 comparison, however, the most highly enriched disease category was IL-6 signaling (Table 45 and Figures 11-12). This is consistent with the findings of Wahlang *et al.*, which indicated that the increased liver injury observed in the HVvsH20 model was not due to increased steatosis, but due to increased inflammation²³. Increases in serum IL6 and tPAI1 protein were observed in the HFD/moderate exposure. In our transcriptomic analysis of liver tissue, pathways involving hepatocyte acute-phase response triggered by IL6 were observed in the moderate exposure (comparison HVvsH20).

We also saw enrichment in pathways and processes associated with control of circadian rhythm and rhythmic processes with moderate PCB exposure. Interestingly, in a 2015 report using metabolic cages to carry out metabolic phenotyping on PCB-exposed mice, our laboratory showed increased movement during the light (typical sleep) cycle in transgenic mice chronically exposed to 20mg/kg Aroclor 1260. These differences were observed only in mice with ablated constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) or pregnane and xenobiotic receptor (PXR), with a nonsignificant increase in wild-type mice. HFD feeding alone has been reported to alter the oscillatory patterns of circadian rhythm output genes in wild-type mice (Namt, Acss2/ACSA-downreg in all CDvsHFD comparisons, Cyp2a5)¹³⁶, but not the core clock genes, which are more resistant to reprogramming by nutritional challenge^{135, 136}. We also found differences in the

transcription of clock output genes with HFD and HFD/PCB coexposure, but with moderate PCB exposure alone, we saw differences in transcription of the core clock genes as well, suggesting that PCB exposure and nutritional coexposures may impact these systems by different and potentially interacting mechanisms.

Although neoplastic disease biomarkers may not be captured by enrichment analysis in more realistic models of human environmental exposure, processes such as cell adhesion, cell-cell communication, and pathways dictating cell identity changes through the processes of development and remodeling are over-represented in these models. The liver has extraordinary regenerative potential requiring plasticity in development and differentiation pathways even in adult hepatic tissue. Multiple pathways involved in development as well as repair were engaged in these models, including TGF-beta signaling, Wnt/ β -Catenin signaling, Hedgehog signaling, and Hippo signaling. Because these pathways are involved in development and regeneration, they also share common processes related to cell identity, orientation, and cell-cell recognition. Although pathway analysis shows engagement of these pathways in our animal model of environmental liver disease, it is unclear whether this engagement indicates regenerative response to ongoing PCB/HFD-dependent liver damage or modulation of basal or HFD-driven liver regeneration through xenobiotic receptor mediated PCB effect.

Responses to endogenous and exogenous organic compounds were over-represented among engaged processes both in the presence and absence of HFD coexposure. Responses to xenobiotics are thought to be mediated by xenobiotic receptors, of which AhR, PXR, and CAR are the prototypical example. In addition, xenobiotic receptors may also be activated by endogenous molecules, and crosstalk at multiple levels with receptors transducing hormone and metabolite sensing to control of intermediary metabolic processes. Among the direct transcriptional targets of these receptors with defined relationships curated by MetaCore™, few were differentially regulated, however, MetaCore™ software does not offer a comprehensive database of interactions for these receptors, and even some prototypical transcriptional effects of receptor-target interactions, such as *Mus musculus* PXR transcriptional activation of Cyp3a11, are not among the curated dataset. Canonical prototypical targets of PXR, including Cyp3a11,

were up-regulated in the CVvsC20 condition. Alternative pathway analysis tools may offer more insight into the direct transcriptional effects of xenobiotic receptors in this model. It is interesting to note, however, that transcription factors which directly interact with and are inhibited by PXR showed a pattern consistent with increased engagement in the moderate exposure compared to the high exposures. Since these protein-protein interactions are ligand-dependent, it suggests that PXR (and potentially other xenobiotic receptors) may indeed be engaged, and that the mechanism of their effect in PCB-associated liver injury requires further study.

In summary, our RNAseq and subsequent pathway analysis of liver tissue from an animal model of PCB/HFD coexposure revealed several transcriptional changes which may be related to the observed phenotypic differences between groups. In particular, the enrichments in nutritional disease terms observed in the control diet animals exposed to PCBs may explain some of the differences in glucose handling which were previously reported for this experiment.²³ Enrichments in IL-6 signaling with the moderate PCB/HFD coexposure may explain the increased histological evidence of liver injury observed only in this condition, while differential transcription affecting pathways involved in development and regeneration, as well as cell adhesion and cell-cell recognition may provide some insight into differences in regenerative/fibrotic processes observed in the animal model.

CHAPTER THREE

PCB EXPOSURE INDUCES A DIFFERENTIAL TRANSCRIPTOME WHICH PARTIALLY OVERLAPS WITH THAT OF PROTOTYPICAL XENOBIOTIC RECEPTOR LIGANDS IN A MOUSE PRIMARY HEPATOCYTE MODEL

Xenobiotic receptors are transcription factors which allow an organism to respond to chemical changes in the environment with appropriate metabolic adaptations. Although these changes are often circumscribed in the literature as encounters with environmental toxicants, it is important to recognize that xenobiotic receptors often respond to endobiotic signals as well, and are intimately involved in intermediary metabolism and inter-tissue communication along with the metabolism of xenobiotics. Even the arylhydrocarbon receptor (AhR), a classic focus of xenobiotic and toxicant research, affects¹⁴⁹ and is affected by¹⁵⁰, hormonal signaling pathways and the metabolic products of gut commensals. It is not surprising, therefore, that AhR and other xenobiotic receptors such as the pregnane and xenobiotic receptor (PXR) and the constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), have been implicated in metabolic derangements associated with toxicant exposures. Such derangements may manifest clinically and experimentally as components of metabolic syndrome, including steatohepatitis.

Nuclear receptors including PXR, CAR, farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and the liver X receptor (LXR) are known to cross-talk at multiple levels, from common ligands¹⁵¹, binding partners, and cofactors¹⁵² to conserved response elements characterized by a DR4¹⁵³ or other shared motifs. This last intersection is most intriguing because while PXR and CAR are known to be sensors and effectors in xenobiotic metabolism, induction of the sterol-sensor LXR and the bile acid receptor FXR directly induce lipogenic gene targets, a phenomenon which is known to be modulated by CAR, PXR, and AhR. LXR induction, particularly as an off-target effect of

pharmacotherapy, has been shown to lead to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)¹⁵⁴.

Considering the interplay of these factors, it is not surprising that PXR, CAR, and LXR have been implicated along with the AhR in toxicant-associated steatohepatitis.

A further degree of complexity arises when we consider the effects of toxicant mixtures such as PCBs, which can be expected to affect several of these sensors simultaneously. PCBs are always encountered and bioaccumulated as mixtures of congeners, and persist at detectable levels in the serum of every adult in the United States³⁷. The distribution of congeners found in the adipose tissue and serum of humans contains species which are known to modulate the activity of AhR, PXR, CAR^{19, 34, 35, 155} and other receptors, and may, through crosstalking pathways, affect the transcriptional function of LXR. Because each of these receptors modulates gene targets related to steatohepatitis, an understanding of the contribution of each of these transcription factors to the overall PCB-modified transcriptome would assist with both risk assessment and the rational development of therapies.

Other groups have elucidated the subsets of the transcriptome modulated by AhR, PXR, and CAR individually in response to prototypical ligands. Findings have been intriguing for several reasons. Both in vivo and in vitro experiments suggest that for both AhR and PXR, differential transcription of target genes appears to be ligand-specific¹⁵⁶. Additionally, for primary hepatocytes, variations in cell isolation and culture techniques including culture time and plating substrate may drastically affect the expression levels of prototypical targets of AhR, CAR and PXR. In light of this, we sought to consolidate our assessment into a single primary murine hepatocyte model, examining the relationships between prototypical ligand responses and response to PCBs using RNAseq. We further sought to evaluate the PCB-dependent transcriptional output of this model for components of metabolic pathways related to steatosis and for gene ontology (GO) term enrichments within hepatic and metabolic disease categories.

CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and primary cell isolation procedure

Male C57BL6 mice 8-10 weeks of age were purchased from Jackson Labs and allowed to acclimate for 1 week on a defined control diet (Harlan Teklad Cat TD.06416). Mice were deeply anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine, and the liver was flushed by retrograde perfusion first with a washing solution for 6 minutes, then with a buffered collagenase solution for 10 minutes. After perfusion, the liver was isolated and the gallbladder removed in a dish of ice-cold FBS-supplemented Waymouth's media. The liver was finely minced and the resulting suspension filtered through a 70 μ m cell strainer. Cells were immediately centrifuged, washed twice with ice cold HBSS, and selected by density gradient centrifugation. The cell pellet was then resuspended in cold, supplemented Waymouth's media, and viability and cell count were determined. Cells were plated on collagen-coated 12-well plates and allowed to adhere. Adherent cells were exposed for 6 hours to 4 μ l/well vehicle (DMSO) or test substance solubilized in DMSO: Aroclor 1260 at 5 μ g/ml or a prototypical ligand for mPXR – summarized in Table 58 (10 μ M pregnane carboxynitrile, PCN), mCAR (10 μ M, TCPOBOP), LXR (1 μ M GW3965 hydrochloride, GW) or AhR (50 μ M benzathracene, BA). After exposure, media was removed and RNA was isolated by the RNeasy60 manufacturer's protocol.

RNAseq and gene transcription analysis

RNA samples were multiplexed using sequence barcoding, and sequenced single ended to 75 base pair reads using a NextSeq500 to an approximate read count of 40 million reads per

Table 58Treatment summary

Exposure	Anticipated Target
DMSO	Control
Benzanthrane (BA), 50 μ M	AhR
Pregnane Carboxynitrile (PCN), 10 μ M	mPXR
GW3965 1 μ M	LXR
TCPOBOP μ M	mCAR
Aroclor 1260 (PCB) 5 μ g/ml	--

Isolated primary mouse hepatocytes were exposed to Aroclor 1260 (a representative PCB mixture) or prototypical ligand for the above anticipated targets for 6h.

sample. The bcl files produced were basecalled using Illumina's bcl2fastq software. All sequences produced were aligned to the mouse reference genome (GRCm38.83) using the alignment software TopHat, and transcript expression levels were calculated in FPKM units using Cufflinks¹²². The quantification was guided by transcriptome annotation for the mouse downloaded from NCBI. Records corresponding to both mitochondrial and ribosomal RNA were removed (annotated as transcript_biotype rRNA or Mt_tRNA) to improve the accuracy of the transcriptome quantification. Differential analyses (FPKM units averaged from 4 replicates of each test vs. DMSO-treated controls) were performed using CuffDiff.

Pathway and GO enrichment term analysis

For each treatment, the fold changes and p-values for each differentially transcribed gene (indexed by Ensembl ID) were uploaded into MetaCore™ (Thompson Reuters) and recognized genes were associated with one or more MetaCore™-curated network objects. Experiments were analyzed using the MetaCore™ Enrichment Analysis Workflow tool, using a species (*M. musculus*) and tissue (liver) prefilter. With prefilters, the number of tags/genes was reduced to 87 targets (from 119).

qPCR validation of selected targets:

Targets for validation were selected based on RNAseq-indicated up-regulation and relevance to implicated transcription factors, pathways and disease processes. cDNA was generated using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen #205313) according to manufacturer recommendations. Multiplexed qPCR (target and GAPDH) was carried out on BioRad CFX384 system using the following TaqMan Gene Expression Array probes: Cyp1a2 (Applied Biosystems Mm00487224_m1/FAM), Cyp3a11 (Applied Biosystems Mm00731567_m1/FAM), Cyp2b10 (Applied Biosystems Mm01972453_s1/FAM) and GAPDH (Applied Biosystems, Mm99999915_g1/VIC).

CHAPTER THREE: RESULTS

Aroclor1260 exposure at 5 ug/mL for 6h induced differential transcription in primary mouse hepatocytes

Under these conditions, 123 targets were significantly differentially transcribed with Aroclor 1260 treatment vs. DMSO control (Figure 18 and Table 59). Among these, 68 were down-regulated ($FC > 0.5$), with 13 genes showing greater than 1-fold reduction in transcription. Aroclor 1260 exposure resulted in up-regulation ($FC < 0.5$) of 55 genes, with 3 genes up-regulated more than 1-fold.

In this model, the Aroclor 1260-dependent DTG sets partially overlapped with DTG sets produced by exposure to ligands for AhR, PXR, CAR, and LXR

Of the 123 targets within the PCB-DTG set, 41 (33%) were not shared with the DTG sets produced by the prototypical ligands investigated, as shown in Figure 19. Most (67.5%) of the genes within the PCB-DTG set overlapped with DTG sets produced by prototypical ligands for murine AhR, PXR, CAR, and/or LXR, as shown in Figure 20. The largest areas of overlap as a proportion of the total PCB-DTG set occurred with the PXR agonist PCN (65 targets in common with PCB exposure) and the AhR agonist BA (64 targets in common with PCB exposure). These two prototypical ligands produced by far the largest differential transcriptomes: 672 and 720 total DTGs, respectively, and the proportion of these transcriptomes which were also modulated by PCBs was relatively small (9.7% and 8.9%, respectively). The smallest area of overlap occurred between PCB and GW3960, a specific inducer of LXR, with only 14 targets differentially transcribed under both conditions. Although the direct murine CAR agonist TCPOBOP produced

Figure 18. Histograms of differential transcriptomes produced by PCB/prototypical ligand exposure. The differential transcriptome produced by Aroclor 1260 exposure in this model included both up-regulated and downregulated targets, with fold changes ranging from -3.73 to +1.3 (Panel A). Differential transcriptome FC ranges for prototypical ligands are shown in Panels B-E.

Table 59

Targets differentially regulated with Aroclor 1260 Exposure

Ensembl ID	Name	FC	Ensembl ID	Name	FC
ENSMUSG00000026442	Nfasc	-3.73	ENSMUSG00000035171		-0.61
ENSMUSG00000002489	Tiam1	-2.51	ENSMUSG00000011305	Plin5	-0.59
ENSMUSG00000076434	Wfdc3	-2.45	ENSMUSG000000100798	Gm19589	-0.59
ENSMUSG00000034780	B3galt1	-1.81	ENSMUSG00000036941	Elac1	-0.56
ENSMUSG00000032315	Cyp1a1	-1.78	ENSMUSG00000045502	Hcar2	-0.53
ENSMUSG00000084923	Gm15611	-1.57	ENSMUSG00000028088	Fmo5	-0.53
ENSMUSG00000069919	Hba-a1	-1.47	ENSMUSG00000035202	Lars2	-0.52
ENSMUSG00000038156	Spon1	-1.43	ENSMUSG00000032310	Cyp1a2	-0.52
ENSMUSG00000050097	Ces2b	-1.41	ENSMUSG00000059325	Hopx	-0.51
ENSMUSG00000097461	Gm26735	-1.20	ENSMUSG00000030643	Rab30	-0.51
ENSMUSG00000076431	Sox4	-1.17	ENSMUSG00000019832	Rab32	-0.49
ENSMUSG00000004038	Gstm3	-1.10	ENSMUSG00000019726	Lyst	-0.49
ENSMUSG00000050069	Grem2	-1.00	ENSMUSG00000039270	Megf9	-0.47
ENSMUSG00000041992	Rapgef5	-0.99	ENSMUSG00000049404	Rarres1	-0.46
ENSMUSG00000030909	Anks4b	-0.97	ENSMUSG00000019866	Aim1	-0.46
ENSMUSG00000053168		-0.96	ENSMUSG00000012428	Steap4	-0.45
ENSMUSG00000052305	Hbb-bs	-0.96	ENSMUSG00000023073	Slc10a2	-0.43
ENSMUSG000000101206	Gm5266	-0.95	ENSMUSG00000018102	Hist1h2bc	-0.42
ENSMUSG00000064128	Cenpj	-0.92	ENSMUSG00000038332	Sesn1	0.42
ENSMUSG00000091572	Vmn2r3	-0.92	ENSMUSG00000021190	Lgmn	0.45
ENSMUSG00000052131	Akr1b7	-0.90	ENSMUSG00000056204	Pgpep1	0.45
ENSMUSG00000031169	Porcn	-0.89	ENSMUSG00000000303	Cdh1	0.46
ENSMUSG00000057068	Fam47e	-0.86	ENSMUSG00000041483	Zfp281	0.46
ENSMUSG00000021260	Hhip1	-0.84	ENSMUSG00000022512	Cldn1	0.49
ENSMUSG00000041132	N4bp2l1	-0.84	ENSMUSG00000037260	Hgsnat	0.50
ENSMUSG00000055312	Them7	-0.84	ENSMUSG00000016382	Pls3	0.50
ENSMUSG00000038403	Hfe2	-0.83	ENSMUSG00000024773	Atg2a	0.51
ENSMUSG00000078612		-0.81	ENSMUSG00000021998	Lcp1	0.51
ENSMUSG00000020000	Moxd1	-0.80	ENSMUSG00000035671	Zswim4	0.51
ENSMUSG00000030107	Usp18	-0.79	ENSMUSG00000030790	Adm	0.51
ENSMUSG00000061540	Orm2	-0.76	ENSMUSG00000047777	Phf13	0.52
ENSMUSG00000030089	Slc41a3	-0.75	ENSMUSG00000024042	Sik1	0.52
ENSMUSG00000057425	Ugt2b37	-0.75	ENSMUSG00000068876	Cgn	0.52
ENSMUSG00000028359	Orm3	-0.74	ENSMUSG00000024014	Pim1	0.53
ENSMUSG00000022032	Scara5	-0.72	ENSMUSG00000032350	Gclc	0.54
ENSMUSG00000063659	Zbtb18	-0.71	ENSMUSG00000019854	Reps1	0.54
ENSMUSG00000046027	Stard5	-0.71	ENSMUSG00000020034	Tcp11i2	0.55
ENSMUSG00000032715	Trib3	-0.69	ENSMUSG00000025351	Cd63	0.56
ENSMUSG00000022244	Amacr	-0.67	ENSMUSG00000078866	Gm14420	0.56
ENSMUSG00000020553	Pctp	-0.67	ENSMUSG00000000957	Mmp14	0.57
ENSMUSG00000046794	Ppp1r3b	-0.66	ENSMUSG00000024486	Hbegf	0.58
ENSMUSG00000003762	Adck4	-0.65	ENSMUSG00000019960	Dusp6	0.59
ENSMUSG00000056148	Rdh9	-0.65	ENSMUSG00000028381	Ugcg	0.60
ENSMUSG00000073565	Prr16	-0.65	ENSMUSG00000025779	Ly96	0.61
ENSMUSG00000036181	Hist1h1c	-0.64	ENSMUSG00000052609	Plekhg3	0.61
ENSMUSG00000030827	Fgf21	-0.63	ENSMUSG00000027954	Efna1	0.61
ENSMUSG00000031482	Slc25a15	-0.63	ENSMUSG00000021765	Fst	0.61
ENSMUSG000000107198	Gm19619	-0.63	ENSMUSG00000024810	Il33	0.62
ENSMUSG00000022946	Dopey2	-0.62	ENSMUSG00000040152	Thbs1	0.64
ENSMUSG00000040562	Gstm2	-0.61	ENSMUSG00000079427	Mthfsl	0.65

Table 59 (Continued)

Targets differentially regulated with Aroclor 1260 Exposure

Ensembl ID	Name	FC
ENSMUSG00000078952	Lincenc1	0.65
ENSMUSG00000044134	Fam109a	0.65
ENSMUSG00000024912	Fosl1	0.66
ENSMUSG00000068299	1700019G17Rik	0.67
ENSMUSG00000078650	G6pc	0.68
ENSMUSG00000051439	Cd14	0.70
ENSMUSG00000022218	Tgm1	0.71
ENSMUSG00000017002	Slpi	0.71
ENSMUSG00000018774	Cd68	0.75
ENSMUSG00000020607	Fam84a	0.76
ENSMUSG00000041836	Ptpre	0.77
ENSMUSG00000026728	Vim	0.77
ENSMUSG00000063531	Sema3e	0.77
ENSMUSG00000056515	Rab31	0.84
ENSMUSG00000097073	9430037G07Rik	0.85
ENSMUSG00000097879	Gm26869	0.85
ENSMUSG00000046733	Gprc5a	0.89
ENSMUSG00000032643	Fhl3	0.91
ENSMUSG00000075707	Dio3	0.92
ENSMUSG00000029304	Spp1	0.93
ENSMUSG00000024803	Ankrd1	1.09
ENSMUSG00000037239	Spred3	1.31
ENSMUSG00000029188	Slc34a2	1.34

Gene targets differentially regulated with Aroclor 1260 exposure are shown. FC = fold change compared to DMSO control.

Figure 19. Venn diagram showing the overlapping differentially transcribed gene sets between PCB and prototypical ligand exposures.

Aroclor 1260 (PCB) exposure resulted in differential transcription of 41 (33.3%) targets not attributable to ligand-activated transcription by any of the other four transcription factors investigated. This uniquely regulated section of the PCB-dependent transcriptome included unique of CREB1, SP1, and c-Myc. Several of the differentially transcribed targets, including SOX-4, Ptpre (Receptor-type Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase epsilon) and Sik-1 (salt-inducible kinase 1) are implicated in regulation of hepatic intermediary metabolism.

Figure 20. A portion of the PCB-DTG overlapped with DTGs for AhR, PXR, CAR, and LXR prototypical ligands. The differential transcriptome produced by Aroclor 1260 (PCB) exposure in this model overlapped with the differential transcriptome produced by each of the 4 prototypical ligands studied. The largest differentially-transcribed gene (DTG) sets were produced by **A.** pregnane carboxynitrile (PCN), a pregnane and xenobiotic receptor agonist and **B.** Benzantracene (BA), an arylhydrocarbon receptor agonist. These two prototypical ligands also produced the largest areas of overlap with PCB exposure (65 and 64 common DTGs, respectively). Two other prototypical ligands produced smaller total DTG sets. **C.** GW3965, a LXR agonist, produced a total differential transcriptome of 78 genes, 14 of which were also affected by PCB exposure. **D.** TCPOBOP, a direct constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) agonist, caused differential transcription of the smallest number of total genes (56), 20 of which were shared with PCB exposure. PCB exposure regulated a larger proportion of the total CAR differential transcriptome, however, than any other prototypical ligand studied (36%, vs 8% of BA-DTGs, 9% of PCN-DTGs, and 18% of LXR-DTGs).

the smallest differential transcriptome (56 targets), 20 targets within this set were also targets of differential regulation by Aroclor 1260 exposure.

The direction of change in these common DTGs varied between treatment with Aroclor and prototypical ligands, but agreed with the direction of PXR and AhR differential transcription in most cases, as expected (Table 60), with more shared targets down-regulated than up-regulated. Of the 65 targets shared between PCB and PCN, 87.7% agreed in direction. Exceptions included the gene target *Usp18*, which was moderately up-regulated in the PXR prototypical ligand condition and down-regulated with both Aroclor 1260 treatment and CAR prototypical ligand treatment. Of the 64 targets shared between PCB and BA, 85.9% agreed in direction. The six genes up-regulated with BA and down-regulated with Aroclor 1260 were all differentially regulated by at least one other transcription factor, and in fact, two of the three DTGs common to all 5 conditions were represented in this group (*Cyp1a1* and *Lars2*). DTGs shared between GW3965/PCB and TCPOBOP/PCB included both up-regulated and down-regulated targets. In both cases, the up-regulated target sets were entirely concordant (6/6 for GW3965 and 10/10 for TCPOBOP, concurrently up-regulated/shared). 87.5% of genes were concordantly down-regulated between GW3965 and PCB, while only half of the shared targets of TCPOBOP down-regulated with PCB exposure agreed in direction. Although a tremendous amount of overlap existed between the conditions investigated, we were surprised to find that 41 DTGs (33.3%) were unique to Aroclor exposure and are not shared with other prototypical ligand treatment (Figure 19 and Appendix Table 1), with 21 up-regulated and 20 down-regulated.

As expected, exposure to each of the prototypical ligands resulted in up-regulation of the corresponding prototypical target (Table 61). With Aroclor 1260 exposure, however, no significantly different transcription occurred (vs. DMSO control) in any prototypical target other than *Cyp1a2*, which was significantly down-regulated. This finding validates previous reports that while PCB exposure leads to induction of CAR, PXR, AhR and LXR prototypical targets *in vivo*²³, these effects are not recapitulated *in vitro*.

Table 60

Concordance in direction of differential transcription between PCB-DTGs and Prototypical Ligand DTGs

Prototypical ligand:		BA			PCN			GW3965			TCPOBOP						
Targets in PCB-DTG Dataset	Total	up	down	concordance	Total	up	down	concordance	Total	up	down	concordance					
	64				65				14				20				
	shared																
	Upregulated	23	20	3	87.0%	25	18	7	72.0%	6	6	0	100%	10	10	0	100%
	Downregulated	41	6	35	85.4%	40	1	39	97.7%	8	1	7	87.5%	10	5	5	50.0%
	Total concordance (%)	85.9%				87.7%				92.9%				75.0%			

Concordance in direction between targets differentially regulated by Aroclor 1260 (PCB-DTG) and targets differentially regulated by prototypical ligands. Of the targets shared between PCB exposure and each prototypical ligand, most showed concordance in direction of regulation. Numerically, the largest gap in concordance existed between the 25 shared targets of Aroclor 1260 and PCN which were upregulated by Aroclor 1260 exposure, 18 of these were also upregulated by PCN and 7 were downregulated by PCN, resulting in an overall concordance of 72%. As a percentage, the largest gap in concordance existed between the 10 shared targets of Aroclor 1260 and TCPOBOP and downregulated by Aroclor 1260 exposure. Only half these targets (5) were also upregulated by TCPOBOP.

Table 61

Fold induction of prototypical targets for AhR, PXR, and CAR with prototypical ligand exposure				
	BA	PCN	TCPOBOP	Aroclor 1260
Cyp1a2	1.25	-1.10	0.89	-0.52
Cyp3a11	0.41	0.970		
Cyp2b10			2.54	

Fold change in each of the prototypical targets with exposure to prototypical ligands is shown.

As expected, exposure to each prototypical ligand induced upregulation of the corresponding prototypical targets (shown in bold). Exposure to Aroclor 1260 did not result in significant change in any prototypical target except for Cyp1a2 (AhR target), which was downregulated.

Aroclor1260 differentially transcribed genes are significantly enriched in pathway maps involved in cell identity and development, and in GO terms related to liver, endocrine, and metabolic disease

Initial output of *in silico* analysis using the MetaCore™ Enrichment analysis workflow identified 9 pathway maps significantly (FDR < .05) over-represented in the Aroclor1260 differentially transcribed gene set, shown in Table 62. Within these pathways, network objects related to differentiation or epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) were enriched, particularly E-cadherin, vimentin, and claudin-1, which appeared separately or together in half of the top 10 enriched pathways. Pathways related to EMT were enriched for the PCB-DTG members. Figure 21 illustrates elements of this pathway.

Components of the PCB-DTG set were enriched in GO terms related to liver (31/4260 curated network objects, $p = 6.0 \text{ E-}05$, FDR = $4.7 \text{ E-}04$), pancreatic (27/3463 curated network objects, $p = 6.8\text{e-}5$, FDR = $5.2 \text{ e-}4$) and metabolic (29/3584 curated network objects, $p = 1.6 \text{ e-}5$, FDR = $2.0 \text{ e-}4$) disease biomarkers. Within these sets, E-cadherin, vimentin and claudin-1 figured prominently, as did HB-EGF, AMACR, and osteopontin.

Aroclor1260 DTGs contain known or putative targets of transcription factors with known involvement in fatty liver disease and metabolic dysfunction. Transcription factor analysis of Aroclor 1260 indicated that large subsets of the PCB-DTGs set were predicted to be influenced by specific transcription factors including CREB-1, SP-1 and cMyc. Nuclear receptors such as HNF4- α and PPAR- γ , with known roles in steatosis and steatohepatitis, were also found to modulate the transcription of targets within this set. Significantly overconnected transcription factors are listed in Table 63. Notably, several of these transcription factors are direct or downstream targets of EGFR activation.

Table 62

Enriched pathways for PCB-DTG set

Pathway	p-value	FDR	Targets in-network/total	Targets
Development WNT signaling pathway. Part 2	1.524E-04	2.544E-02	4/53	E-cadherin, Vimentin, Claudin-1, Fra-1
Cell adhesion Endothelial cell contacts by junctional mechanisms	3.090E-04	2.544E-02	3/26	Vimentin, Claudin-1, Cingulin
Apoptosis and survival Granzyme A signaling	4.755E-04	2.544E-02	3/30	Histone H2B, CD63, Histone H1
NETosis in SLE	5.246E-04	2.544E-02	3/31	Histone H2, Histone H1.2, Histone H1
Development TGF-beta-dependent induction of EMT via SMADs	7.528E-04	2.921E-02	3/35	E-cadherin, Vimentin, Claudin-1
Role of cell adhesion in vaso-occlusion in Sickle cell disease	1.379E-03	3.849E-02	3/43	CD63, Thrombospondin 1, CD14
Neurophysiological process Receptor-mediated axon growth repulsion	1.574E-03	3.849E-02	3/45	HB-EGF, Tiam1, Ephrin-A
Development TGF-beta-dependent induction of EMT via RhoA, PI3K and ILK.	1.678E-03	3.849E-02	3/46	E-cadherin, Vimentin, Claudin-1
Development TGF-beta-dependent induction of EMT via MAPK	1.786E-03	3.849E-02	3/47	E-cadherin, Vimentin, Claudin-1
Development Regulation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT)	4.315E-03	8.237E-02	3/64	E-cadherin, Vimentin, Claudin-1

Metacore™ pathway analysis of the differentially transcribed gene set produced by Aroclor 1260 exposure (PCB-DTG) identified 9 significantly enriched pathways (FDR < .05). Targets E-cadherin, Vimentin, and/or Claudin-1 were associated with more than half of the top ten pathways.

Figure 21. Pathways related to EMT were enriched in genes in the PCB-DTG set. The cell adhesion molecules claudin-1 and E-cadherin, as well as vimentin were important components of this pathway as well as others related to cell differentiation and tissue regeneration pathways. Image generated using MetaCore™ from Thomson Reuters.

Table 63

Transcription factors with targets in the PCB-dependent differentially-transcribed gene set

Network	Seed nodes	p-Value	zScore
CREB1	33	3.050E-105	212.83
SP1	23	8.940E-73	176.55
c-Myc	21	2.420E-66	168.36
p53	20	3.910E-63	164.12
C/EBPbeta	19	6.230E-60	159.76
Androgen receptor	17	1.530E-53	150.68
c-Jun	15	3.570E-47	141.01
ESR1 (nuclear)	14	5.360E-44	135.93
PPAR-gamma	14	5.360E-44	135.93
HNF4-alpha	14	5.360E-44	135.93
NANOG	13	7.920E-41	130.65
C/EBPalpha	13	7.920E-41	130.65
STAT3	12	1.150E-37	125.15
HIF1A	12	1.150E-37	125.15
GATA-3	12	1.150E-37	125.15
ATF-4	12	1.150E-37	125.15
c-Fos	11	1.660E-34	119.4
RelA (p65 NF-kB subunit)	11	1.660E-34	119.4
Bcl-6	10	2.340E-31	113.37
SMAD3	10	2.340E-31	113.37
VDR	10	2.340E-31	113.37
IRF1	10	2.340E-31	113.37
SMAD2	10	2.340E-31	113.37
Oct-3/4	10	2.340E-31	113.37
JunD	9	3.250E-28	107.02
GCR	9	3.250E-28	107.02
FKHR	9	3.250E-28	107.02
PPAR-alpha	8	4.430E-25	100.27
TCF7L2 (TCF4)	8	4.430E-25	100.27
p63	8	4.430E-25	100.27

Transcription regulation pathway analysis was performed on the PCB-DTG dataset without prefilters.

CHAPTER THREE: DISCUSSION

Classically, the transcriptional effects of PCB exposure have been attributed entirely to ligand-dependent activation of AhR, PXR and CAR. We expected that the subset of genes differentially transcribed with Aroclor1260 exposure in this acute primary hepatocyte model would overlap almost entirely with the transcriptional output of prototypical ligands for these three receptors. We were therefore surprised to discover that fully 1/3 of DTGs were unique to Aroclor1260 in this system, possibly due to the transcriptional effects of another xenobiotic receptor or by an indirect mechanism. Our laboratory has recently reported that EGFR is also a target of inhibition by both Aroclor1260 and individual PCB congeners. PCBs therefore would be expected to function as an indirect CAR activator in both mice and humans by the same mechanism as phenobarbital²¹.

The canonical cytochrome P450 targets of CAR and PXR activation *cyp2b10* and *cyp3a11* did not appear among the RNA-seq derived PCB-DTG gene set, while *cyp1a1* and *cyp1a2* (prototypical targets of AhR induction) were both down-regulated in the PCB-DTG set. Interestingly, *cyp1a1* and *cyp1a2* were also down-regulated by PCN treatment in this model. Regulation of *cyp1a1* was particularly complex: up-regulated by inducers of CAR and LXR as well as AhR and down-regulated by PXR induction. We conclude that differential regulation of the proportion of PCB-DTGs overlapping with targets of the evaluated receptors is complex and may be influenced by the combined effects of these and other xenobiotic receptors.

The targets most strongly up-regulated with Aroclor 1260 exposure, *slc34a2* (+1.34-fold vs. control) and *spred3* (+1.31-fold vs. control) were uniquely up-regulated by PCBs in this model. Although *slc34a2* was also a target differentially regulated by PCN exposure, it was down-regulated in that condition and unchanged with exposure to other prototypical ligands. The targets

most strongly down-regulated with Aroclor 1260 exposure, *nfasc* (-3.73-fold vs. control) *Tiam1* (-0.51-fold vs. control) and *wfdc3* (-2.45-fold vs. control) were also strongly down-regulated by PCN treatment (-4.20, -2.73, and -2.13-fold vs. control, respectively). PXR is involved in differential regulation of transcription related to PCB exposure, however, it seems more involved in inhibition of these targets than induction.

Several of the genes differentially transcribed with PCB exposure in this model are differentially transcribed in the incidence or progression of liver disease or liver disease biomarkers. Osteopontin (*Spp*), which was up-regulated with PCB (+0.93-fold vs. control) is up-regulated in NASH fibrosis has been shown to oppose EMT in liver progenitor cells¹⁵⁷. Four And A Half LIM Domains 3 (*FHL3*), which was up-regulated with PCB (+0.91-fold vs. control) affects transcription of *tPAI1*, a fibrosis biomarker up-regulated in PCB-exposed humans. *RAB31*, a member RAS Oncogene Family, which was up-regulated with PCB (+0.84-fold vs. control), is associated with invasive/metastatic characteristics and worse prognosis in HCC.¹⁵⁸ Semaphorin 3E (*Sema3e*), which was up-regulated in PCB exposure (+0.77 vs. control) is expressed by damaged hepatocytes and secreted, inducing contraction of sinusoidal epithelial cells and activation of stellate cells. Chronic exposure to Semaphorin 3E in a mouse model of CCl₄-induced chronic hepatitis lead to disorganized sinusoidal regeneration and exacerbated fibrosis.¹⁵⁹ Cytochrome P450 1a1 (*Cyp1a1*), which was down-regulated (-1.78-fold vs. control) is a prototypical target of the arylhydrocarbon receptor and is up-regulated in animal models of TCDD-induced nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.¹⁶⁰ Interestingly, in this model, although it was up-regulated by prototypical ligands of LXR and CAR in addition to AhR, it was strongly down-regulated by PXR ligand exposure. Carboxyesterase 2 (*Ces2b*), which was down-regulated in our model (-1.41-fold vs. control), and in the livers of NASH patients. Experimental ablation of *Ces2b* in a mouse model causes ER stress in hepatocytes and stimulates lipogenesis in an SREBP-1-dependent manner.¹⁶¹ Aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B7 (*Akr1b7*), which was down-regulated in our model (-0.90-fold vs. control) is enriched in adipose stromal vascular fraction, but not in mature adipocytes. In a mouse model, *Akr1b7* KO increased basal adiposity (adipocyte

hyperplasia and hypertrophy) with diet-independent development of liver steatosis and insulin resistance.¹⁶² Hemochromatosis, type 2 (Hfe2), which was down-regulated in our model (-0.83 vs. control), is expressed at significantly lower levels in NAFLD patients vs. those with no disease. Because Hfe2 is involved in iron-sensing pathways, it is hypothesized that lower levels of Hfe2 are related to the dysregulated iron-sensing pathways leading to iron overload in NAFLD.¹⁶³ Thrombospondin-1 (Thbs1), was up-regulated in our model (+0.64 vs. control). Transcription of Thrombospondin-1 is increased in individuals with chronic liver disease and in mouse models of liver fibrosis.¹⁶⁴ Interleukin 33 (Il33) was up-regulated in our model (+0.62 vs. control), and is elevated in the serum of NASH patients, increasing with increasing histologic severity.¹⁶⁵

Several of the genes differentially transcribed with PCB exposure in this model affect pathways of interest. Sprouty-related protein with EVH-domain 3 (Spred-3), which was up-regulated with PCB (+1.31-fold vs. control) potentially inhibits ERK1/2 signaling^{166, 167}. Four And A Half LIM Domains 3 (FHL3) physically interacts with SMAD proteins (TGF β) pathway.¹⁶⁸ RAB31 is associated with modulation of EGFR trafficking. It physically interacts with EGFR and its overexpression increases early-late endosome trafficking and degradation of EGFR.^{169, 170} T lymphoma invasion and metastasis 1 (Tiam1), which is strongly down-regulated with PCB (-2.51-fold vs. control) is differentially regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma and pancreatic cancer tissues.^{171, 172} In pancreatic cancers, the Par3 interacts with Tiam1 to affect tight junctions by downregulating Claudin-1 and Occludin.¹⁷² Tiam1 is also a target suppressed by several miRNAs.^{173, 174} Sustained ectopic exposure to Sema3E (up-regulated by PCB exposure in this model) has been found to result in disorganized regeneration of liver sinusoids, contributing to fibrosis, in a mouse model of liver regeneration.¹⁵⁹ SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 4 (Sox-4), was down-regulated with PCB exposure in our model (-1.17-fold vs. control). In HepG2 cells, inhibition of Sox-4 blocks caspase-1-dependent apoptosis.¹⁷⁵ Glutathione S-transferase, mu 3 (Gstm3), which was down-regulated with PCB exposure in our model (-1.10-fold vs. control) is involved in glutathione-dependent clearance of xenobiotics (Phase II metabolism).¹⁷⁶ Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase, Receptor Type E (Ptpre) was up-regulated with PCB exposure, and functions to modulate insulin signaling in hepatocytes and skeletal muscle by suppressing

phosphorylation of targets down-stream of insulin signaling such as Akt, ERK and GSK3.¹⁷⁷ Porcupine homologue (Porcn), which was down-regulated (-0.89-fold vs. control) is an ER protein essential for the processing of Wnt proteins, and its inhibition inhibits Wnt signaling.¹⁷⁸

Lastly, several of the genes differentially transcribed with PCB exposure in this model are affected by pathways of interest. Na⁺-Pi Cotransporter NaPi-IIb is regulated by glucocorticoid, estrogen, EGF, aging,¹⁷⁹ dietary phosphate status, hormones like parathyroid hormone, 1,25-OH₂ vitamin D3 or FGF23¹⁸⁰. Expression of Gstm is induced by wnt/ β -catenin activation and inhibited with Ras activation.¹⁸¹ Aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B7 (Akr1b7) is induced in the liver by activation of FXR¹⁸² CAR, PXR, and LXR via direct interaction with DR4 elements in the promoter, and that the influence of PXR/LXR is additive.¹⁸³

The MetaCore™ curated GO processes most significantly enriched in genes affected by Aroclor 1260 exposure included several recurring objects with roles in Wnt signaling, cell adhesion and/or EMT: pathways which are altered in the progression of fatty liver disease¹⁸⁴. Of these, up-regulation of Claudin-1 was unique to Aroclor 1260 exposure and although more than one prototypical ligand induced differential expression of E-cadherin (PCN and BA), it was up-regulated only with PCB exposure. Vimentin, in contrast, was up-regulated with PCN and TCPOBOP as well as PCB exposure. Importantly, EMT in hepatocytes is characterized by a decrease in E-cadherin and claudin-1, corresponding to increased inhibition by SNAIL/SLUG signaling down-stream of TGF β . EMT in hepatocytes is characterized by decreases in E-cadherin and claudin-1, and increased Vimentin, however, with PCB exposure, we saw increases in expression of both epithelial (E-cadherin and Claudin-1) biomarkers, along with increased Vimentin (a mesenchymal biomarker). Although decreases in expression are associated with mesenchymal change, exogenously expressed Claudin-1 promotes the acquisition of mesenchymal characteristics in hepatocellular carcinoma¹⁸⁵. Decreased Claudin-1 and E-cadherin in Increases in Claudin-1 expression characterize HUVEC cells undergoing differentiation to endodermal and hepatic stages.¹⁸⁶

In the context of liver damage and regeneration, the designation of “epithelial” or “mesenchymal” refers not to the inflexible characteristic of lineage (origin) but rather, the plastic

cellular organization and metabolic commitments underlying tissue-level function¹⁸⁷. Epithelial cells are characterized by tight cell-cell contacts and apico-basal polarity. In epithelioid adult liver parenchymal cells, (hepatocytes and cholangiocytes), these characteristics are critical to their function as a selectively permeable, transformative metabolic layer receiving input from enterohepatic circulation (sinusoidal/basolateral) and contributing modified output to systemic circulation and the biliary system (cannicular/apical). The levels, ratio, and distribution of claudins, members of the main transmembrane protein family forming hepatocyte intercellular tight junctions form the structural underpinning of this polarity/permeability, and so define specific identity and function of the cell.

In contrast, traits associated with mesenchymal cells include a lack or loss of polarity and loss or differential organization of the protein architecture required to maintain cell-cell and cell-ECM contacts. A transition from epithelial to mesenchymal or mesenchymal to epithelial qualities involves the gradual loss of characteristic markers of one state and gain of markers characteristic of the other, with transitioning cells often expressing some of each. In this case, high E-cadherin and claudin would be indicative of epithelioid character, while Vimentin is typically a mesenchymal marker¹⁴⁰.

In summary, in primary hepatocytes, differential transcription induced by PCB exposure cannot be completely explained by direct ligand-induced transcriptional activity of the AhR, PXR, or CAR by congeners within the Aroclor 1260 mixture. This is surprising, considering the vast amount of research that has focused on these three receptors as mediators of PCB effect. AhR and PXR had the largest areas of overlap, however, the direction of differential transcription was often opposite between Aroclor 1260 DTGs and the DTGs produced by treatment with these prototypical ligands, particularly PXR. LXR, which is unlikely to be bound by congeners within the mixture, is likely to crosstalk with PXR and CAR at the level of chromatin binding, as it recognizes the same DR-4 motif response element. LXR is often implicated as a key transcription factor involved in the development of steatosis leading to steatohepatitis, however, transcriptional changes due to direct ligand activation of LXR did not have a large overlap with the Aroclor 1260-dependent differential transcriptome either. We conclude from the information in this model that

other transcription factors are likely responsible for a large portion of the transcriptional changes observed with PCB exposure.

Transcription factors that appear to be involved in PCB-dependent transcription are often directly or indirectly influenced by EGFR-mediated cell signaling via phosphorylation, consistent with our recent report that PCBs inhibit EGFR signaling²⁰. MetaCore™ pathway analysis of the PCB-DTG set indicated enrichment in biomarkers associated with liver disease, and components pathways known to be involved in the progression of fatty liver disease. Targets uniquely modified by PCB exposure in this model include markers of epithelioid character and hepatocyte polarity, as well as ECM modifiers. Together, these suggest that at least some of the diseases associated with PCB exposure are due to differential transcription mediated by one or more receptors. More work is needed to determine the relevance of engaged pathways suggested by this preliminary investigation.

CONCLUSIONS

The study of human disease related to environmental exposures requires a multidisciplinary approach unique in biomedical research. It requires a balance between overly reductionist approaches that ignore poorly understood pathways and massive, non-mechanistic association studies which muddy the waters and yield unapproachable data. The experimental approaches to PCB research suffer from both problems, resulting in a body of literature that is measured “by the pound, not by the page”³⁹: a massive body of exposure data with mechanistic assumptions based on changes to one or two transcriptional targets of one or two xenobiotic receptors. The overall result of this status is that even 80 years after publication of the first paper linking PCB exposure to human disease, the mechanisms of PCB-related diseases are poorly understood.

The Cave laboratory began with a study of the people exposed to PCBs – every adult in the US population, represented by the massive, cross-sectional National Health and Nutrition Evaluation Survey, or NHANES. That study demonstrated that PCBs are ubiquitous and associated with liver injury in the general population³⁷. A logical next step was then an epidemiological evaluation of liver disease in a population with a relatively high exposure to environmental PCBs – that of Anniston, Alabama, already under investigation to determine whether PCB exposure was associated with multiple metabolic diseases.

Both the positive and negative findings of our study were striking and novel – although nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Anniston was clearly elevated compared to expected prevalence in the general US and worldwide population, the mechanistic biomarkers employed showed that the overall level of the PCB exposure represented by the sum of all congeners was

not correlated with the severity of liver injury or inclusion in a liver disease category in the overall population.

We considered two potential reasons for this observation which were not mutually exclusive. First, the Anniston population represents a complex, but not atypical, exposure situation, which was one of the acknowledged limitations of the study. Chemical exposures alone included not only PCBs, but also heavy metals and pesticides, many of which are associated with liver or metabolic disease^{37, 121, 188}, while nutritional coexposures inferred from the high prevalence of obesity/overweight in this population could contribute independently to fatty liver disease. A second possibility is that, like other endocrine/metabolism-disrupting chemical exposures¹⁸⁹, increasing PCB dose could result in a nonmonotonic dose-response (NMDR) with respect to damage biomarkers – not steadily increasing or decreasing with increasing exposure concentration. At the cellular level, differential engagement of crosstalking mechanisms may result in different output at different exposures. At the organismal level, PCB effects on multiple systems must be considered: suppressive effects on inflammatory pathways could lead to higher overall liver damage indicators at a lower exposure level, interference with circadian control could contribute to hyperphagy and decreased energy expenditure, while effects on hormonal signaling could communication between concerted systems. For this last consideration, nutritional coexposures play a role as well: direct effects of PCBs to reduce insulin output could limit the compensatory role of the pancreas in glucose allostasis, putting more stress on connected systems including the liver.

The animal study previously reported by Wahlang *et al.*²³ was an attempt to disambiguate the effects of PCB exposure level and nutritional coexposure in an animal model of chronic PCB/HFD coexposure. We integrated our epidemiological study with our animal model by comparing serology and phenotype in both studies. The condition represented by animals coexposed to HFD and moderate PCBs (20 mg/kg) was designed to most closely model the Anniston exposure, and, indeed, the phenotype of animals within this condition approximated the findings in Anniston with respect to physical characteristics of metabolic syndrome and

serological evidence of liver injury, inflammation, and metabolic dysfunction. The exposure to higher levels of PCBs in this animal model did not result in additional histological evidence of liver injury, suggesting that it was possible to observe nonmontonic dose responses to PCBs with respect to serological biomarkers of liver injury.

One of the major findings of the Wahlang *et al.* study was that Aroclor 1260 exposure contributed to liver injury not by exacerbating steatosis, but by increasing inflammation, particularly in the HVvsH20 model. Our original hypothesis was that, at higher levels of Aroclor 1260 exposure, the concentration of trace dioxin-like PCBs within the mixture would reach a “tipping point” that would quell immune response in our inflammation-primed HFD-fed mice. Previous reports suggested that the ED₅₀ for Aroclor 1260 immunotoxicity (in mice) was 360 mg/kg¹⁹⁰, still higher than our highest *in vivo* dose. We saw differences in the moderate vs. high dose with regards to transcriptional output of IL-6→JAK→STAT-3 signaling, which plays a role in chronic inflammation.

Inflammatory response is a process that is both context-modulated and dynamic, involving initiation and resolution processes that confound a simple understanding of “pro-inflammatory” and “anti-inflammatory” signaling molecules. IL-6, which is produced by many cell types, including hepatocytes and Kupffer cells (liver-resident macrophages), is often categorized as a “pro-inflammatory” cytokine, and was elevated in both the ACHS cohort and in the *in vivo* moderate dose + HFD. Certainly, IL-6 is elevated in inflammation and has down-stream effects that promote inflammation; on the other hand, IL-6 has distinctly “anti-inflammatory” effects in the liver as well, particularly to oppose exaggerated cytokine release from neighboring Kupffer cells.

Components of IL-6 signaling pathways were more enriched in the moderate PCB/HFD model (Figure 22). IL-6 signaling mediators down-stream of STAT-3 transcriptional regulation leading to cell differentiation pathways (cFos and cJun – 1.23-fold and 0.64-fold increased, respectively), were also affected with the high PCB exposures (cJun only: 0.80-fold, p=0.00005 and 1.15-fold, p=0.00005 in the high PCB exposure with HFD and CD, respectively). Another group of STAT-3 targets with PCB-dependent transcriptional changes were the suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS2 and SOCS3 – 1.10-fold and 0.71-fold increased, respectively in the

Figure 22. Exposure to PCB with or without HFD coexposure alters transcription of multiple components of IL-6 signaling. The red circles correspond to targets differentially regulated in a comparison between 0 and 20mg/kg Aroclor 1260 within the control diet group while the black circles correspond to targets differentially regulated in a comparison between control and HFD within the Aroclor 1260 20mg/kg group.

moderate PCB/HFD exposure). SOCS3 is an important player in the attenuation of IL-6-mediated STAT-3 signaling. Potently up-regulated by active STAT-3, SOCS3 binds to and inhibits JAK2/gp130 kinases, which couple IL-6 to STAT-3 signaling. SOCS3 also independently contributes to hepatic insulin resistance and metabolic dysfunction by binding to and inhibiting both insulin receptor and its down-stream mediator IRS-1¹⁹¹ and well as leptin receptor¹⁹². SOCS3 was only up-regulated in the moderate PCB/HFD exposure, while in the high PCB/HFD exposure, SOCS2 was up-regulated as well (0.71-fold, $p=0.00005$).

In addition to transcriptional changes down-stream of STAT-3 signaling, the HFD/moderate exposure animals had decreased transcription of IL-6 receptor alpha (IL-6R α : -0.67-fold, $p=0.0000$). IL-6R α plays an important role in mechanisms contributing to chronic STAT-3 activation and related metabolic dysfunction and chronic inflammation. Of interest is the relationship between IL-6R α and EGFR. Independent of EGF activation, EGFR forms a complex with both IL6R α and STAT-3 in a mechanism which potentiates STAT-3 phosphorylation even in the presence of SOCS-3¹⁹³. EGFR/IL-6R α -mediated STAT-3 activation contributes to chronic IL-6 expression by hepatocytes¹⁹³. Expression of EGFR itself was down-regulated in HFD alone (-0.85-fold, $p=0.0027$), but was up-regulated in both the moderate and high PCB + HFD exposures (1.15-fold, $p=0.00005$ and 0.78-fold, $p=0.0011$, respectively). If protein levels were similarly affected, this might represent a mechanism by which PCBs and HFD contribute to chronic IL-6 release. Alternatively, liver-specific knockout of IL-6R α has been shown to enhance the release of IL-6 and TNF α from Kupffer cells (liver-specific tissue-resident macrophages), preventing the IL-6-mediated down-regulation of these cytokines. Abrogation of this receptor in liver parenchymal cells also reduces insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in white adipose tissue and skeletal muscle, contributing to systemic insulin resistance¹⁹⁴. Therefore, by altering IL-6 signaling within hepatocytes, PCBs may affect not only the transduction of inflammatory signals in the liver, but also signals coordinating metabolic response between tissues. Future studies may be able to pinpoint whether PCB-mediated EGFR/IL-6R α /STAT-3 perpetuation of STAT-3 signaling and/or IL-6R α inhibition contribute to systemic IL-6 elevation, which has been associated with T2DM and many other metabolic diseases.

Another factor contributing to increased systemic IL-6 may be hyaluronic acid, an extracellular matrix protein which was significantly increased with PCB exposure in sera from the ACHS-II cohort. Hyaluronic acid binds and stabilizes extracellular IL-6, resulting in increased serum protein levels without affecting IL-6 mRNA transcription¹⁹⁵. Multiple factors contributing to high chronic systemic IL-6 may therefore represent a self-sustaining system by which PCBs exacerbate pre-existing metabolic dysfunction. Chronic IL-6 elevation may also represent a point of convergence for liver injury mechanisms, agreeing well with the “multiple-hits hypothesis”.

PCB exposure did not contribute to increased steatosis in our animal model beyond the effects of HFD, nevertheless, genes encoding elements of hepatic lipid processing were differentially transcribed in the exposure models relative to vehicle-treated controls on the same diet. Key events regulating the development of steatosis include increased fatty acid uptake, increased lipid synthesis, decreased fatty acid oxidation, and decreased lipid efflux.⁵⁶ Transcription of CD36, a FA uptake receptor, was up-regulated in high-exposure/control diet (0.74, $p=0.0004$) and with HFD alone (CVvsHV: 0.57-fold, $p=0.00185$), and was down-regulated in both moderate exposure models (CVvsC20: -0.75-fold, $p=0.00045$, and HVvsH20: -0.89-fold, $p=0.00005$). Transcription of long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase 4 (ACSL4), involved in lipid synthesis, was down-regulated in high-exposure/control diet (-0.47-fold, $p=0.00315$) as in HFD alone (-0.91-fold, $p=0.00005$), but was up-regulated in moderate exposure/HFD (1.05-fold, $p=0.00005$). These patterns do not suggest a consistent increase in pro-steatotic molecular changes, which would be consistent with the observation that Aroclor 1260 exposure (particularly at the moderate dose) did not worsen steatosis. They do, however, suggest that PCB exposure at both high and low levels affects cellular events which can alter hepatocellular lipid flux, and, moreover, PCB exposure affects the components of those events which are known to be influenced by nuclear receptor-driven transcriptional changes.⁵⁶

The classically understood function of xenobiotic receptors is to respond to the presence of chemical ligands by heterodimerizing with RXR (nuclear receptors) or ARNT (AhR), translocating to the nucleus, and binding response elements in the promoters of their respective cytochrome p450 oxidase targets. A more nuanced understanding includes the association of

unliganded receptors with specific binding partners in a corepressor complex and liganded receptors with coactivators. In addition to ligand-binding, post-translational modifications such as phosphorylation, acetylation, and sumoylation can change the receptor's protein-protein associations, adding tremendous range to both the direct and indirect transcriptional targets affected by xenobiotic sensing. This provides a compelling mechanism by which the changing chemical environment can shape the pattern of physiological metabolism.

Just as multiple systems create a dynamic balance by shifting chemical pressures, rhythmic metabolic processes affected by the central or peripheral circadian clock alter metabolic tides in response to temporal cues. An exploration of PCB effects on the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) which regulates the central clock is beyond the scope of this work, however, the behavioral changes in our mouse model described in Chapter 2 (anachronistically increased movement during typical sleep cycles in transgenic mice chronically exposed to 20mg/kg Aroclor 1260) are most likely a manifestation of central clock alterations. In peripheral tissues including the liver, however, oscillations in the transcription of specific gene sets create feedback loops which reinforce the periodic cycling within those tissues. Importantly, the challenge of nutritional stress – HFD¹³⁶ or time-restricted¹³⁵ feeding – can uncouple the peripheral circadian clock from the central, leading to differential temporal expression of targets of timekeeping transcription factors (CLOCK and BMAL1) as well as new oscillations of previously non-cycling gene targets of transcription factors such as PPAR γ ¹³⁶.

It is not now known whether the behavioral changes seen in our transgenic mouse model were a direct effect of PCBs on the SCN neurons or a product of peripheral PCB effect feeding back by some mechanism to reprogram the central clock. Studies investigating the effects of dietary stresses on circadian cycling have indicated that while peripheral tissues are reprogrammed by nutritional challenge, the SCN central pacemaker remains unaffected. However, these studies have also reported changes to the clock output genes (Per1/2 and Cry1/2 isoforms) without changes to expression of the core clock genes (Clock and Bmal1). In our in vivo experiment, we observed changes in both clock output and core clock genes under multiple conditions (Table 64). Differential transcription of Clock, however, was unique to the moderate

Table 64Differentially transcribed circadian-rhythm related targets from *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments

	HFD vs CD	In vivo				In vitro	
		FC vs. CD/V		FC vs. HFD/V		FC vs. DMSO	
		C20	C200	H20	H200	PCN	BA
Core Clock Genes							
Clock		0.77					
Bmal1		2.19	1.04			-0.81	-0.73
Clock output Genes							
Cry1	-0.73				0.65		
Cry2			0.48			-0.47	
Per1				-0.97			
Per2		-1.39	-0.74				
Selected Clock-associated genes							
Melatonin receptor 1A	2.80		1.51				
ROR-g		-0.74	0.88		0.53		
TrkB	0.66	-1.28	-2.03		0.72		

Table 64 shows fold changes for the comparisons listed above. Targets are grouped by their relationship to circadian rhythm control machinery (core clock genes, clock output genes, or clock-associated genes). Numbers are fold change vs. the indicated control, $p < .05$. Blank fields were not significantly different in the listed comparison.

PCB/control diet condition, however, where it was up-regulated compared to vehicle/control diet (0.77-fold, $p=0.00005$). Our *in vitro* experiment did not indicate differential regulation of clock-related genes with Aroclor 1260 exposure, but did indicate down-regulation of one core clock gene (*Bmal1*) with PCN or BA, and down-regulation of one clock output gene (*Cry2*) with PCN exposure. The direction of fold change was again opposite from any of the *in vivo* conditions in which these genes were differentially transcribed.

The mechanism by which PCBs affect the transcription of peripheral circadian rhythm machinery is unclear from our *in vivo* or *in vitro* experiments, although there exists no shortage of potential interactions between elements of these processes and xenobiotic receptors. BMAL1, also known as the arylhydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator-like (ARNTL), and CLOCK are PAS-domain proteins which readily associate with AhR, and their regulation of *Per1* has been shown to be disrupted by AhR activity in response to TCDD¹⁹⁶. In addition, the hepatocyte-specific cyclic AMP responsive element-binding protein (CREBH) is a transcription factor with transcriptional activity controlling the rhythmic expression of hepatic triglyceride and fatty acid metabolic targets via its associations with PPAR α and LXR α ¹³¹, which crosstalk with xenobiotic nuclear receptors as previously described. The processes leading to circadian activation of CREBH are controlled by BMAL1 via GSK3 β /AKT pathways¹³¹. Other isoforms of CREB physically interact with and are inhibited by PXR (Figure 13).¹⁹⁷

A clear limitation of the experiment in this area is the lack of tissue harvest timepoints designed to capture oscillations in transcription at multiple timepoints. Harvest was carried out only during the light cycle and over a period of approximately 8 hours. Future studies to explore PCB effect in this area could be modeled on the methods of Eckel-Mahan *et al.*¹³⁶, to capture potential PCB exposure-related shifts in the temporal expression of normally cyclic or normally acyclic hepatic genes.

A final pattern observed in the enrichment analyses of both the *in vivo* and *in vitro* study was that of cell adhesion and related cell-cell communications apparatus. Components of ephrin signaling were highly differentially expressed between conditions. Ephrin receptors are part of a large class of receptor tyrosine kinases which form bi-directional communication complexes

between cells. Ephrins and ephrin receptors are well-studied in the context of cytoskeletal remodeling during neuronal path-finding and development, and, importantly, play a role in adhesion and communication between cells of the same or different types, including monocytes and endothelial cells¹⁹⁸. The ephrin signaling system consists of two classes of receptor (A and B, based on sequence homology and corresponding to structural characteristics) which respond to ligands produced by neighboring cells¹⁹⁹. Both receptor and ligand are generally membrane-bound, facilitating communication between cells that are in physical contact, however, there is evidence that some ligands, specifically Ephrin A1, can be shed as soluble ligands to affect cells over longer distances²⁰⁰. In the ephrin signaling system, signal transduction in the direction of the receptor is described as forward-signaling and signal transduction in the direction of the ligand is described as reverse signaling. Ephrin-A/EphA forward signaling affects many of the pathways activated by other RTKs, including IGF-1-activated Ras-Erk, and may inhibit or activate these cascades in a cell-type specific manner²⁰¹.

In our experimental models, both ephrin receptors and ephrin ligands were differentially regulated under some or all conditions (Figures 23-25). Interestingly, Ephrin A1 (Efna1) was significantly up-regulated in every in vivo comparison except moderate PCB/control diet exposure as well as in vitro PCB exposure, and was one of the targets which was uniquely regulated in PCB exposure (Figure 23). Ephrin A5 (Efna5), another ligand, was also up-regulated in all HFD-exposed groups and upon exposure to 200 mg/kg PCB with CD (Figure 24). Ephrin A3 (Efna3) was strongly up-regulated only in the moderate PCB/HFD coexposure (3.85-fold, $p=0.00095$), and was otherwise not significantly different from vehicle/CD. Ephrin receptor B2 was differentially regulated under all conditions (Figure 25).

Differential expression of ephrin receptors and ligands is associated with pro-inflammatory states and changes in inflammatory cytokines in multiple tissue. Expression of EphA2 (receptor) and Ephrin A1 (ligand) have been shown to increase in lung tissue after LPS exposure, and posttreatment with mAb against EphA2 receptor reduces lung injury and permeability in LPS-exposed animals, as well as the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL1 β , MIP-2)²⁰².

Figure 23. Ephrin ligand A1 (Efna1) was differentially regulated in multiple comparisons. The vertical axis shows aggregate fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) for each condition, with significant differences indicated by bridging lines. Panel A shows the respective aggregate FPKM for six *in vivo* conditions, while Panel B shows the same for the *in vitro* experiment, with exposure to Aroclor 1260 and PCN shown. Treatment with prototypical ligands for AhR, CAR, and LXR did not induce significant changes in Efna1 expression.

Figure 24. Ephrin ligand A5 (Efna5) was differentially regulated in multiple comparisons. The vertical axis shows aggregate fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) for each condition, with significant differences indicated by bridging lines.

Figure 25. Ephrin receptor B2 (EphB2) was differentially regulated in multiple comparisons. The vertical axis shows aggregate fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) for each condition, with significant differences indicated by bridging lines.

Differential expression of ephrin receptors and ligands is also associated with transitions between differentiated (epithelial) and undifferentiated (mesenchymal) cell characteristics. In the context of cancer, it has been observed that overexpression of ephrin receptors tends to favor metastatic characteristics (loss of adhesion), while overexpression of ligand tends to favor increased cell adhesion²⁰³. To that effect, EphA2 was found to be overexpressed in neoplastic cells, and the distribution changed from clustered localization of EphA2 at sites of cell-cell contacts to either a diffuse pattern or concentration in the leading edge of migrating cells²⁰⁴. This loss of localization at sites of stable cell-cell contacts led to decreased ligand-dependent phosphorylation of EphA2, because the membrane-bound Ephrin-A ligands also localize at these sites. E-cadherin forms homodimers with E-cadherin in adjacent cells to mediate highly stable calcium-dependent cell adhesion foci, and E-cadherin function in these cell-cell junctions is necessary to prevent decreased phosphorylation of EphA2. Given the role of ephrin receptors and ligands in cytoskeletal remodeling during growth and development as well as regeneration, development, especially with the sequential events necessary for cells to form properly oriented relationships with one another. In all PCB exposures, both in vivo and in vitro, the expression of adhesion proteins such as Claudin-1 and E-Cadherin was up-regulated vs. untreated control. Most literature describing changes to these proteins in the context of liver disease deals with their importance as biomarkers of cell identity during periods of physiological or pathological change: development, regeneration, and neoplasia. Up-regulation of these proteins is associated with a more terminally differentiated, epithelioid cell, but in the larger context, expression of these proteins is necessary for proper orientation of cells relative to one another within the larger tissue structure.

Ephrin signaling is important in other tissues which participate in metabolic allostasis. For instance, pancreatic beta cells employ ephrin signaling to synchronize release of insulin in response to glucose, using engagement of EphA forward signaling to inhibit insulin secretion and ephrin-A reverse signaling to stimulate insulin secretion²⁰⁵. If expression of Ephrin-A1 is similarly up-regulated in the pancreas as it is in the liver, this may represent another mechanism by which

PCBs and PCB+HFD contribute to the phenotype of reduced serum insulin observed in both Anniston and our mouse model.

Xenobiotic receptors are known to crosstalk with signaling cascades down-stream of tyrosine kinase signaling. Ephrin receptor signaling may crosstalk with regulation of the constitutive androstane receptor in a similar way to EGFR. The receptor for activated c-kinase 1 (RACK-1) associates with ligand-bound, autophosphorylated and active EphB3, forming a complex with PP2A and AKT and promoting the dephosphorylation of AKT at S473²⁰³, an event which leads to reduced cell migration as well as apoptosis. Active (dephosphorylated) RACK-1 also facilitates the interaction of CAR with PP2A, an event which is necessary for the nuclear translocation of CAR, the indirect or ligand-independent pathway for CAR activation described by Mutoh *et al.*²¹ This pathway is critical to PCB research, because it activates CAR via EGFR inhibition, a mechanism which is shared by phenobarbital and PCB congeners²⁰. Input into CAR transcriptional activity via ephrin signaling represents a mechanism by which inflammatory status and cell-cell communication status can crosstalk with the output of xenobiotic receptors, providing more nuanced control over adaptive metabolic control. Our *in vitro* experiment, however, indicated that only one target related to ephrin signaling was differentially regulated by Aroclor 1260 exposure: the Ephrin A ligand Efna1 (Figure 26, Panel B). Interestingly, Efna1 was also differentially regulated in hepatocytes cells exposed to PCN, although in an opposite direction (-0.66-fold, $p=0.00005$), which may be another example of the trend of PCB-dependent up-regulation of targets *in vivo* which are negatively regulated by PCN exposure, and presumably PXR activation, *in vitro*.

Our combined approach of epidemiological assessment followed by *in vivo* and *in vitro* mechanistic exploration has uncovered many interesting relationships between environmental PCB exposure and human disease. While the question of the roles of xenobiotic receptors in PCB-associated fatty liver disease is far from resolved, we have at least pushed the understanding of those roles beyond direct, ligand-activated transcriptional activity of a few (or worse, one), xenobiotic-responsive transcription factor. It is likely that a great many of the effects of PCB-associated TASH are independent of direct effects of CAR, PXR, or AhR. It is equally

likely that input from these receptors is indirectly involved in the pathways and processes modulated by PCB effects. We have described three groups of effects which are related to effects seen in all three of our models: systemic inflammatory dysregulation via IL-6 signalling, disruption of circadian rhythm processes, and modulation of cell adhesion and cell-cell communication *via* differential expression of E-cadherin/claudin-1 and related ephrin signaling pathways. These effects appear to be directly related to PCB exposure, are strongly involved in and modulated by dietary coexposures, and are likely to affect multiple systems involved in metabolic disease. Simultaneous dysregulation of these systems during PCB exposure could explain the “2-hit” phenomenon, providing a mechanism by which PCB/HFD coexposures could accelerate allostatic overload – crippling the normal adaptive response to metabolic challenge.

Future studies will likely focus on individual components of these effects, and may involve analysis of tissues other than liver. It remains to be determined whether PCB-dependent changes to IL-6 signaling lead to failure of feedback inhibition from hepatocytes to Kupffer cells and subsequent uncontrolled inflammation, or whether the systemic IL-6 elevations seen in Anniston arise from a different tissue – adipose, for instance. Likewise, an assessment of the PCB-dependent changes to circadian signaling require evaluation of PCB-dependent changes to clock output and, more intriguingly, core clock gene transcription not only in peripheral tissues, but in the SCN as well. Exploring the mechanism and effects of the PCB-dependent transcriptional changes to ephrin signaling components and cell adhesion molecules may allow us to determine whether cell-cell communication between hepatocytes, endothelial cells, and/or Kupffer cells are dysregulated in PCB exposure, and whether changes to cell adhesion mediators is indicative of failed regenerative processes which could explain the apparent “chronic necrosis” observed in the Anniston population as well as the increased biomarkers of fibrosis.

As for the activities of the xenobiotic receptors themselves, our *in vitro* model was interesting from the standpoint that it showed that a substantial portion of the targets differentially transcribed with Aroclor 1260 exposure were not attributable to activation of AhR, PXR, CAR, or LXR. Targets within the set differentially transcribed with Aroclor 1260 exposure were associated with many liver and metabolic disease states, however, in a direct comparison between targets

differentially transcribed with Aroclor 1260 exposure *in vitro* and *in vivo*, there were very few shared between the *in vitro* (Figure 27) and moderate exposure, with far more shared between the *in vitro* and high exposure. Although the *in vitro* experiment used 5 µg/ml Aroclor 1260, a concentration lower than the concentration used to induce activity of human PXR, CAR, and AhR transfected into a HepG2 model luciferase reporter system¹⁹, there were more DTGs shared between the *in vitro* and the two high-dose *in vivo* models than the *in vitro* and two moderate-dose *in vivo* models. It is possible, therefore, that 5 µg/ml is still too high to accurately model a relevant environmental exposure in primary hepatocytes. With that in mind, however, targets such as Claudin-1, E-Cadherin, Ephrin-A, and Tribbles-3 pseudokinase were conserved across more than one of the comparisons, suggesting that they may be part of common mechanisms for PCB effect. Because the raw data from the *in vitro* work will be available for further interrogation, it is likely that alternative bioinformatics tools may bring some clarity to the specific roles of these receptors in the observed transcriptional changes. If, instead of direct transactivation PXR, CAR, and/or AhR are involved *via* protein-protein interactions with other transcription factors, this may be due to a PCB-dependent intracellular milieu that favors specific post-translational modifications and corresponding specific protein associations.

This laboratory set out to investigate the relationship between exposure to PCBs a widespread class of persistent organic pollutant, and steatohepatitis, a widespread manifestation of metabolic disease. Because xenobiotic receptors drive the metabolic machinery responsible for recognizing and detoxifying foreign chemicals, and because of historic attention to the role of one part of this machinery, the cytochrome p450s, in generating carcinogenic metabolites from polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons²⁰⁶ including PCBs, we and others expected to find a central role for these receptors which placed down-stream transcriptional targets as effectors in disease. Although some targets of these receptors are undoubtedly involved in steatohepatitis and other disease states, I find that the evidence from this study suggests a more peripheral role for these xenobiotic receptors in PCB-associated TASH: modulating metabolic responses with the additional information about the presence of toxicants. This makes sense from a standpoint of phenotype: moderate-dose PCBs alone did not induce steatohepatitis in our mouse model, and

Figure 26. *In vivo* comparison diagrams. Venn diagrams show the overlapping region between *in vitro* study (white), 20 mg/kg Aroclor 1260 *in vivo* (red) and 200 mg/kg *in vivo* (black) on *in vivo* datasets grouped by diet. **Panel A**, control diet, shows a larger proportion of DTGs overlapping between the *in vivo* and high Aroclor. Shared targets include Gstm3, Cldn1, Pim1, Orm3, Trib3, and Akr1b7. In **Panel B**, which shows the overlap with the HFD *in vivo* exposures, a larger proportion of the DTGs identified in the *in vitro* Aroclor 1260 exposure overlapped with the moderate *in vivo* exposure + high fat diet. Shared targets include Cdh1, Moxd1, Slc10a2, Efna1, Orm3, Rab30, Cyp1a2, Hist1h1c, Rarres1, Ces2b, Akr1b7, Ugt2b37, Orm2, and G6pc.

there is not a clear dose-response relationship between total PCB load or the concentration of a defined PCB functional grouping and TASH in Anniston. The requirement for co-occurring metabolic stress would also explain the convergence in phenotype seen in both human NASH/TASH and in mouse models. PCB exposure appears to change elements of the response to this metabolic pressure – hepatocyte death mechanism, and inflammatory response, for instance, or elements of cell-cell signaling and adhesion important in regeneration. Lastly, the extrahepatic effects of PCBs on the liver cannot be overemphasized. Our human population showed inappropriate insulin production in response to hyperglycemia, strongly suggesting direct PCB effects in the pancreas, and a transgenic mouse (CAR^{-/-}) in our laboratory demonstrated altered circadian patterns in response to PCB. One or both of these effects may involve the activity of xenobiotic receptors – their role in mediation of PCB effect in extrahepatic tissues is beyond the scope of this project – however, both effects have the potential to impact the development of steatohepatitis through effects on other metabolic allostasis machinery. These studies have strengthened the body of evidence connecting environmental PCB exposures to toxicant-associated steatohepatitis, have shifted the focus of mechanism from strictly xenobiotic-receptor-mediated effects, and have pointed to new areas of inquiry we can explore to address the health effects of PCB exposure in the Anniston population and in all PCB-exposed humans.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.T.S.D.R. (2015) The Priority List of Hazardous Substances That Will Be the Candidates for Toxicological Profiles.
- [2] US Environmental Protection Agency (1979) Polychlorinated Biphenyls 1929-1979: Final Report National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA.
- [3] Erickson, M. D. (2015) Introduction: PCB properties, uses, occurrence and regulatory history, In *PCBs : recent advances in environmental toxicology and health effects* (Robertson, L. W., and Hansen, L. G., Eds.), pp xi-xxx, The University Press of Kentucky, Lexington .:
- [4] Saba, T., and Boehm, P. D. (2011) Congener-based analysis of the weathering of PCB Aroclor 1242 in paper mill sludge, *Chemosphere* 82, 1321-1328.
- [5] Metcalfe, T. L., and Metcalfe, C. D. (1997) The trophodynamics of PCBs, including mono- and non-ortho congeners, in the food web of North-Central Lake Ontario, *Sci Total Environ* 201, 245-272.
- [6] Drinker, C. K., Warren, M. F., and Bennett, G. A. (1937) The Problem of Possible Systemic Effects from Certain Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, *Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology* 19, 283-299.
- [7] Maroni, M., Colombi, A., Arboni, G., Cantoni, S., and Foa, V. (1981) Occupational exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls in electrical workers. II. Health effects, *Br J Ind Med* 38, 55-60.
- [8] Smith, A. B., Schloemer, J., Lowry, L. K., Smallwood, A. W., Ligo, R. N., Tanaka, S., Stringer, W., Jones, M., Herwin, R., and Glueck, C. J. (1982) Metabolic and health consequences of occupational exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls, *Br J Ind Med* 39, 361-369.
- [9] Fischbein, A. (1985) Liver function tests in workers with occupational exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs): comparison with yusho and yu-cheng, *Environ Health Perspect* 60, 145-150.
- [10] Lawton, R. W., Ross, M. R., Feingold, J., and Brown, J. F., Jr. (1985) Effects of PCB exposure on biochemical and hematological findings in capacitor workers, *Environ Health Perspect* 60, 165-184.
- [11] Prince, M. M., Hein, M. J., Ruder, A. M., Waters, M. A., Laber, P. A., and Whelan, E. A. (2006) Update: cohort mortality study of workers highly exposed to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) during the manufacture of electrical capacitors, 1940-1998, *Environ Health* 5, 13.
- [12] Schecter, A., Stanley, J., Boggess, K., Masuda, Y., Mes, J., Wolff, M., Furst, P., Furst, C., Wilson-Yang, K., and Chisholm, B. (1994) Polychlorinated biphenyl levels in the tissues of exposed and nonexposed humans, *Environ Health Perspect* 102 Suppl 1, 149-158.
- [13] Wolff, M. S., and Schecter, A. (1992) Use of PCB blood levels to assess potential exposure following an electrical transformer explosion, *J Occup Med* 34, 1079-1083.
- [14] Masuda, Y., Kuroki, H., Haraguchi, K., and Nagayama, J. (1985) PCB and PCDF congeners in the blood and tissues of yusho and yu-cheng patients, *Environ Health Perspect* 59, 53-58.
- [15] Ikeda, M. (1996) Comparison of clinical picture between Yusho/Yucheng cases and occupational PCB poisoning cases, *Chemosphere* 32, 559-566.
- [16] Safe, S. H. (1994) Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs): environmental impact, biochemical and toxic responses, and implications for risk assessment, *Crit Rev Toxicol* 24, 87-149.
- [17] Sorg, O. (2014) AhR signalling and dioxin toxicity, *Toxicol Lett* 230, 225-233.
- [18] Patterson, A. T., Kaffenberger, B. H., Keller, R. A., and Elston, D. M. (2016) Skin diseases associated with Agent Orange and other organochlorine exposures, *J Am Acad Dermatol* 74, 143-170.

- [19] Wahlang, B., Falkner, K. C., Clair, H. B., Al-Eryani, L., Prough, R. A., States, J. C., Coslo, D. M., Omiecinski, C. J., and Cave, M. C. (2014) Human receptor activation by aroclor 1260, a polychlorinated biphenyl mixture, *Toxicol Sci* 140, 283-297.
- [20] Hardesty, J. E., Wahlang, B., Falkner, K. C., Clair, H. B., Clark, B. J., Ceresa, B. P., Prough, R. A., and Cave, M. C. (2017) Polychlorinated biphenyls disrupt hepatic epidermal growth factor receptor signaling, *Xenobiotica* 47, 807-820.
- [21] Mutoh, S., Sobhany, M., Moore, R., Perera, L., Pedersen, L., Sueyoshi, T., and Negishi, M. (2013) Phenobarbital indirectly activates the constitutive active androstane receptor (CAR) by inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling, *Sci Signal* 6, ra31.
- [22] Wahlang, B., Falkner, K. C., Gregory, B., Ansert, D., Young, D., Conklin, D. J., Bhatnagar, A., McClain, C. J., and Cave, M. (2013) Polychlorinated biphenyl 153 is a diet-dependent obesogen that worsens nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in male C57BL6/J mice, *J Nutr Biochem* 24, 1587-1595.
- [23] Wahlang, B., Song, M., Beier, J. I., Cameron Falkner, K., Al-Eryani, L., Clair, H. B., Prough, R. A., Osborne, T. S., Malarkey, D. E., Christopher States, J., and Cave, M. C. (2014) Evaluation of Aroclor 1260 exposure in a mouse model of diet-induced obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 279, 380-390.
- [24] Wong, P. W., and Pessah, I. N. (1996) Ortho-substituted polychlorinated biphenyls alter calcium regulation by a ryanodine receptor-mediated mechanism: structural specificity toward skeletal- and cardiac-type microsomal calcium release channels, *Mol Pharmacol* 49, 740-751.
- [25] Pessah, I. N., Hansen, L. G., Albertson, T. E., Garner, C. E., Ta, T. A., Do, Z., Kim, K. H., and Wong, P. W. (2006) Structure-activity relationship for noncoplanar polychlorinated biphenyl congeners toward the ryanodine receptor-Ca²⁺ channel complex type 1 (RyR1), *Chem Res Toxicol* 19, 92-101.
- [26] Pessah, I. N., Cherednichenko, G., and Lein, P. J. (2010) Minding the calcium store: Ryanodine receptor activation as a convergent mechanism of PCB toxicity, *Pharmacology & therapeutics* 125, 260-285.
- [27] Abdelrahim, M., Ariazi, E., Kim, K., Khan, S., Barhoumi, R., Burghardt, R., Liu, S., Hill, D., Finnell, R., Wlodarczyk, B., Jordan, V. C., and Safe, S. (2006) 3-Methylcholanthrene and other aryl hydrocarbon receptor agonists directly activate estrogen receptor alpha, *Cancer Res* 66, 2459-2467.
- [28] Zhang, Q., Lu, M., Wang, C., Du, J., Zhou, P., and Zhao, M. (2014) Characterization of estrogen receptor alpha activities in polychlorinated biphenyls by in vitro dual-luciferase reporter gene assay, *Environ Pollut* 189, 169-175.
- [29] Bonefeld-Jorgensen, E. C., Andersen, H. R., Rasmussen, T. H., and Vinggaard, A. M. (2001) Effect of highly bioaccumulated polychlorinated biphenyl congeners on estrogen and androgen receptor activity, *Toxicology* 158, 141-153.
- [30] Schrader, T. J., and Cooke, G. M. (2003) Effects of Aroclors and individual PCB congeners on activation of the human androgen receptor in vitro, *Reprod Toxicol* 17, 15-23.
- [31] Van den Berg, M., Birnbaum, L. S., Denison, M., De Vito, M., Farland, W., Feeley, M., Fiedler, H., Hakansson, H., Hanberg, A., Haws, L., Rose, M., Safe, S., Schrenk, D., Tohyama, C., Tritscher, A., Tuomisto, J., Tysklind, M., Walker, N., and Peterson, R. E. (2006) The 2005 World Health Organization reevaluation of human and Mammalian toxic equivalency factors for dioxins and dioxin-like compounds, *Toxicol Sci* 93, 223-241.
- [32] Rushneck, D. R., Beliveau, A., Fowler, B., Hamilton, C., Hoover, D., Kaye, K., Berg, M., Smith, T., Telliard, W. A., Roman, H., Ruder, E., and Ryan, L. (2004) Concentrations of dioxin-like

- PCB congeners in unweathered Aroclors by HRGC/HRMS using EPA Method 1668A, *Chemosphere* 54, 79-87.
- [33] Jacobs, M. N., Nolan, G. T., and Hood, S. R. (2005) Lignans, bacteriocides and organochlorine compounds activate the human pregnane X receptor (PXR), *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 209, 123-133.
- [34] Al-Salman, F., and Plant, N. (2012) Non-coplanar polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are direct agonists for the human pregnane-X receptor and constitutive androstane receptor, and activate target gene expression in a tissue-specific manner, *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 263, 7-13.
- [35] Gahrs, M., Roos, R., Andersson, P. L., and Schrenk, D. (2013) Role of the nuclear xenobiotic receptors CAR and PXR in induction of cytochromes P450 by non-dioxinlike polychlorinated biphenyls in cultured rat hepatocytes, *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 272, 77-85.
- [36] Nault, R., Forgacs, A. L., Dere, E., and Zacharewski, T. R. (2013) Comparisons of differential gene expression elicited by TCDD, PCB126, betaNF, or ICZ in mouse hepatoma Hepa1c1c7 cells and C57BL/6 mouse liver, *Toxicol Lett* 223, 52-59.
- [37] Cave, M., Appana, S., Patel, M., Falkner, K. C., McClain, C. J., and Brock, G. (2010) Polychlorinated biphenyls, lead, and mercury are associated with liver disease in American adults: NHANES 2003-2004, *Environ Health Perspect* 118, 1735-1742.
- [38] Tee, P. G., Sweeney, A. M., Symanski, E., Gardiner, J. C., Gasior, D. M., and Schantz, S. L. (2003) A longitudinal examination of factors related to changes in serum polychlorinated biphenyl levels, *Environ Health Perspect* 111, 702-707.
- [39] Hansen, L. G. (2013) *The Ortho Side of Pcb's Occurrence and Disposition*, Springer Verlag.
- [40] Virtue, S., and Vidal-Puig, A. (2010) Adipose tissue expandability, lipotoxicity and the Metabolic Syndrome--an allostatic perspective, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1801, 338-349.
- [41] Yang, Z. H., Miyahara, H., Takeo, J., and Katayama, M. (2012) Diet high in fat and sucrose induces rapid onset of obesity-related metabolic syndrome partly through rapid response of genes involved in lipogenesis, insulin signalling and inflammation in mice, *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 4, 32.
- [42] Aydin, S., Aksoy, A., Aydin, S., Kalayci, M., Yilmaz, M., Kuloglu, T., Citil, C., and Catak, Z. (2014) Today's and yesterday's of pathophysiology: biochemistry of metabolic syndrome and animal models, *Nutrition* 30, 1-9.
- [43] Lee, J. S., Jun, D. W., Kim, E. K., Jeon, H. J., Nam, H. H., and Saeed, W. K. (2015) Histologic and Metabolic Derangement in High-Fat, High-Fructose, and Combination Diet Animal Models, *ScientificWorldJournal* 2015, 306326.
- [44] Della Vedova, M. C., Munoz, M. D., Santillan, L. D., Plateo-Pignatari, M. G., Germano, M. J., Rinaldi Tosi, M. E., Garcia, S., Gomez, N. N., Fornes, M. W., Gomez Mejiba, S. E., and Ramirez, D. C. (2016) A Mouse Model of Diet-Induced Obesity Resembling Most Features of Human Metabolic Syndrome, *Nutr Metab Insights* 9, 93-102.
- [45] Chen, L. Z., Xin, Y. N., Geng, N., Jiang, M., Zhang, D. D., and Xuan, S. Y. (2015) PNPLA3 I148M variant in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: demographic and ethnic characteristics and the role of the variant in nonalcoholic fatty liver fibrosis, *World J Gastroenterol* 21, 794-802.
- [46] Prudente, S., Hribal, M. L., Flex, E., Turchi, F., Morini, E., De Cosmo, S., Bacci, S., Tassi, V., Cardellini, M., Lauro, R., Sesti, G., Dallapiccola, B., and Trischitta, V. (2005) The functional Q84R polymorphism of mammalian Tribbles homolog TRB3 is associated with insulin resistance and related cardiovascular risk in Caucasians from Italy, *Diabetes* 54, 2807-2811.

- [47] Shi, Z., Liu, J., Guo, Q., Ma, X., Shen, L., Xu, S., Gao, H., Yuan, X., and Zhang, J. (2009) Association of TRB3 gene Q84R polymorphism with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Chinese population, *Endocrine* 35, 414-419.
- [48] Barroso, I., Gurnell, M., Crowley, V. E., Agostini, M., Schwabe, J. W., Soos, M. A., Maslen, G. L., Williams, T. D., Lewis, H., Schafer, A. J., Chatterjee, V. K., and O'Rahilly, S. (1999) Dominant negative mutations in human PPARgamma associated with severe insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus and hypertension, *Nature* 402, 880-883.
- [49] Silverstone, A. E., Rosenbaum, P. F., Weinstock, R. S., Bartell, S. M., Foushee, H. R., Shelton, C., and Pavuk, M. (2012) Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) exposure and diabetes: results from the Anniston Community Health Survey, *Environ Health Perspect* 120, 727-732.
- [50] Wang, S. L., Tsai, P. C., Yang, C. Y., and Guo, Y. L. (2008) Increased risk of diabetes and polychlorinated biphenyls and dioxins: a 24-year follow-up study of the Yucheng cohort, *Diabetes Care* 31, 1574-1579.
- [51] Mitoma, C., Uchi, H., Tsukimori, K., Yamada, H., Akahane, M., Imamura, T., Utani, A., and Furue, M. (2015) Yusho and its latest findings-A review in studies conducted by the Yusho Group, *Environ Int* 82, 41-48.
- [52] Tschuor, C., Kachaylo, E., Limani, P., Raptis, D. A., Linecker, M., Tian, Y., Herrmann, U., Grabliauskaite, K., Weber, A., Columbano, A., Graf, R., Humar, B., and Clavien, P. A. (2016) Constitutive androstane receptor (Car)-driven regeneration protects liver from failure following tissue loss, *J Hepatol* 65, 66-74.
- [53] Gruttadauria, S., Pagano, D., Liotta, R., Tropea, A., Tuzzolino, F., Marrone, G., Mamone, G., Marsh, J. W., Miraglia, R., Luca, A., Vizzini, G., and Gridelli, B. G. (2015) Liver Volume Restoration and Hepatic Microarchitecture in Small-for-Size Syndrome, *Ann Transplant* 20, 381-389.
- [54] Michalopoulos, G. K. (2013) Principles of liver regeneration and growth homeostasis, *Compr Physiol* 3, 485-513.
- [55] Italian Association for the Study of the, L. (2017) AISF position paper on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): Updates and future directions, *Dig Liver Dis* 49, 471-483.
- [56] Angrish, M. M., Kaiser, J. P., McQueen, C. A., and Chorley, B. N. (2016) Tipping the Balance: Hepatotoxicity and the 4 Apical Key Events of Hepatic Steatosis, *Toxicol Sci* 150, 261-268.
- [57] Stancu, C., and Sima, A. (2001) Statins: mechanism of action and effects, *J Cell Mol Med* 5, 378-387.
- [58] Gervois, P., Torra, I. P., Fruchart, J. C., and Staels, B. (2000) Regulation of lipid and lipoprotein metabolism by PPAR activators, *Clin Chem Lab Med* 38, 3-11.
- [59] Pernicova, I., and Korbonits, M. (2014) Metformin--mode of action and clinical implications for diabetes and cancer, *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 10, 143-156.
- [60] Kumar, V., Abbas, A. K., Aster, J. C., Cotran, R. S., and Robbins, S. L. (2015) Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease, Ninth edition. ed., Elsevier/Saunders, Philadelphia, PA :.
- [61] Grundy, S. M., Brewer, H. B., Jr., Cleeman, J. I., Smith, S. C., Jr., Lenfant, C., American Heart, A., National Heart, L., and Blood, I. (2004) Definition of metabolic syndrome: Report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition, *Circulation* 109, 433-438.
- [62] International Diabetes Federation (2006) The IDF consensus worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome, IDF Communications Brussels, Belgium.
- [63] Prati, D., Taioli, E., Zanella, A., Della Torre, E., Butelli, S., Del Vecchio, E., Vianello, L., Zanuso, F., Mozzi, F., Milani, S., Conte, D., Colombo, M., and Sirchia, G. (2002) Updated

- definitions of healthy ranges for serum alanine aminotransferase levels, *Ann Intern Med* 137, 1-10.
- [64] Musso, G., Gambino, R., Bo, S., Uberti, B., Biroli, G., Pagano, G., and Cassader, M. (2008) Should nonalcoholic fatty liver disease be included in the definition of metabolic syndrome? A cross-sectional comparison with Adult Treatment Panel III criteria in nonobese nondiabetic subjects, *Diabetes Care* 31, 562-568.
 - [65] Anstee, Q. M., Targher, G., and Day, C. P. (2013) Progression of NAFLD to diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease or cirrhosis, *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 10, 330-344.
 - [66] Cave, M., Falkner, K. C., Ray, M., Joshi-Barve, S., Brock, G., Khan, R., Bon Homme, M., and McClain, C. J. (2010) Toxicant-associated steatohepatitis in vinyl chloride workers, *Hepatology* 51, 474-481.
 - [67] Cuccherini, B., Nussbaum, S. J., Seeff, L. B., Lukacs, L., and Zimmerman, H. J. (1983) Stability of aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase activities, *J Lab Clin Med* 102, 370-376.
 - [68] Williams, K. M., Williams, A. E., Kline, L. M., and Dodd, R. Y. (1987) Stability of serum alanine aminotransferase activity, *Transfusion* 27, 431-433.
 - [69] Cave, M., Falkner, K. C., Henry, L., Costello, B., Gregory, B., and McClain, C. J. (2011) Serum cytokeratin 18 and cytokine elevations suggest a high prevalence of occupational liver disease in highly exposed elastomer/polymer workers, *J Occup Environ Med* 53, 1128-1133.
 - [70] Aida, Y., Abe, H., Tomita, Y., Nagano, T., Seki, N., Sugita, T., Itagaki, M., Ishiguro, H., Sutoh, S., and Aizawa, Y. (2014) Serum cytokeratin 18 fragment level as a noninvasive biomarker for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Int J Clin Exp Med* 7, 4191-4198.
 - [71] Feldstein, A. E., Alkhouri, N., De Vito, R., Alisi, A., Lopez, R., and Nobili, V. (2013) Serum cytokeratin-18 fragment levels are useful biomarkers for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in children, *Am J Gastroenterol* 108, 1526-1531.
 - [72] Sumer, S., Aktug Demir, N., Kolgelier, S., Cagkan Inkaya, A., Arpaci, A., Saltuk Demir, L., and Ural, O. (2013) The Clinical Significance of Serum Apoptotic Cytokeratin 18 Neoepitope M30 (CK-18 M30) and Matrix Metalloproteinase 2 (MMP-2) Levels in Chronic Hepatitis B Patients with Cirrhosis, *Hepat Mon* 13, e10106.
 - [73] Gonzalez-Quintela, A., Abdulkader, I., Campos, J., Fernandez-Hernandez, L., and Lojo, S. (2009) Serum levels of keratin-18 fragments [tissue polypeptide-specific antigen (TPS)] are correlated with hepatocyte apoptosis in alcoholic hepatitis, *Dig Dis Sci* 54, 648-653.
 - [74] Hermanson, M. H., Scholten, C. A., and Compher, K. (2003) Variable air temperature response of gas-phase atmospheric polychlorinated biphenyls near a former manufacturing facility, *Environmental science & technology* 37, 4038-4042.
 - [75] Hermanson, M. H., and Johnson, G. W. (2007) Polychlorinated biphenyls in tree bark near a former manufacturing plant in Anniston, Alabama, *Chemosphere* 68, 191-198.
 - [76] Goncharov, A., Bloom, M., Pavuk, M., Birman, I., and Carpenter, D. O. (2010) Blood pressure and hypertension in relation to levels of serum polychlorinated biphenyls in residents of Anniston, Alabama, *J Hypertens* 28, 2053-2060.
 - [77] Registry, A. f. T. S. a. D. (2014) Anniston Community Health Survey, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 4770 Buford Hwy NE Atlanta, GA 30341 USA.
 - [78] Pavuk, M., Olson, J. R., Sjodin, A., Wolff, P., Turner, W. E., Shelton, C., Dutton, N. D., Bartell, S., and Anniston Environmental Health Research, C. (2014) Serum concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in participants of the Anniston Community Health Survey, *Sci Total Environ* 473-474, 286-297.

- [79] Aminov, Z., Haase, R. F., Pavuk, M., Carpenter, D. O., and Anniston Environmental Health Research, C. (2013) Analysis of the effects of exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and chlorinated pesticides on serum lipid levels in residents of Anniston, Alabama, *Environ Health* 12, 108.
- [80] Birnbaum, L. S., Dutton, N. D., Cusack, C., Mennemeyer, S. T., and Pavuk, M. (2016) Anniston community health survey: Follow-up and dioxin analyses (ACHS-II)--methods, *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 23, 2014-2021.
- [81] Pavuk, M., Olson, J. R., Wattigney, W. A., Dutton, N. D., Sjodin, A., Shelton, C., Turner, W. E., Bartell, S. M., and Anniston Environmental Health Research, C. (2014) Predictors of serum polychlorinated biphenyl concentrations in Anniston residents, *Sci Total Environ* 496, 624-634.
- [82] Goncharov, A., Pavuk, M., Foushee, H. R., Carpenter, D. O., and Anniston Environmental Health Research, C. (2011) Blood pressure in relation to concentrations of PCB congeners and chlorinated pesticides, *Environ Health Perspect* 119, 319-325.
- [83] Joshi-Barve, S., Kirpich, I., Cave, M. C., Marsano, L. S., and McClain, C. J. (2015) Alcoholic, Nonalcoholic, and Toxicant-Associated Steatohepatitis: Mechanistic Similarities and Differences, *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1, 356-367.
- [84] Wahlang, B., Beier, J. I., Clair, H. B., Bellis-Jones, H. J., Falkner, K. C., McClain, C. J., and Cave, M. C. (2013) Toxicant-associated steatohepatitis, *Toxicol Pathol* 41, 343-360.
- [85] Wallace, T. M., Levy, J. C., and Matthews, D. R. (2004) Use and abuse of HOMA modeling, *Diabetes Care* 27, 1487-1495.
- [86] Hornung, R. W., and Reed, L. D. (1990) Estimation of Average Concentration in the Presence of Nondetectable Values, *Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 5, 46-51.
- [87] Bernert, J. T., Turner, W. E., Patterson, D. G., Jr., and Needham, L. L. (2007) Calculation of serum "total lipid" concentrations for the adjustment of persistent organohalogen toxicant measurements in human samples, *Chemosphere* 68, 824-831.
- [88] Matthews, D. R., Hosker, J. P., Rudenski, A. S., Naylor, B. A., Treacher, D. F., and Turner, R. C. (1985) Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man, *Diabetologia* 28, 412-419.
- [89] Feldstein, A. E., Wieckowska, A., Lopez, A. R., Liu, Y. C., Zein, N. N., and McCullough, A. J. (2009) Cytokeratin-18 fragment levels as noninvasive biomarkers for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a multicenter validation study, *Hepatology* 50, 1072-1078.
- [90] Pan, J. J., and Fallon, M. B. (2014) Gender and racial differences in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *World J Hepatol* 6, 274-283.
- [91] Syn, W. K., Choi, S. S., and Diehl, A. M. (2009) Apoptosis and cytokines in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, *Clin Liver Dis* 13, 565-580.
- [92] Syn, W. K., Teaberry, V., Choi, S. S., and Diehl, A. M. (2009) Similarities and differences in the pathogenesis of alcoholic and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, *Semin Liver Dis* 29, 200-210.
- [93] Younossi, Z. M., Koenig, A. B., Abdelatif, D., Fazel, Y., Henry, L., and Wymer, M. (2016) Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes, *Hepatology* 64, 73-84.
- [94] Stern, S. E., Williams, K., Ferrannini, E., DeFronzo, R. A., Bogardus, C., and Stern, M. P. (2005) Identification of individuals with insulin resistance using routine clinical measurements, *Diabetes* 54, 333-339.
- [95] Serdar, B., LeBlanc, W. G., Norris, J. M., and Dickinson, L. M. (2014) Potential effects of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and selected organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) on

- immune cells and blood biochemistry measures: a cross-sectional assessment of the NHANES 2003-2004 data, *Environ Health* 13, 114.
- [96] Kumar, J., Lind, L., Salihovic, S., van Bavel, B., Ingelsson, E., and Lind, P. M. (2014) Persistent organic pollutants and liver dysfunction biomarkers in a population-based human sample of men and women, *Environ Res* 134, 251-256.
- [97] Yorita Christensen, K. L., Carrico, C. K., Sanyal, A. J., and Gennings, C. (2013) Multiple classes of environmental chemicals are associated with liver disease: NHANES 2003-2004, *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 216, 703-709.
- [98] Kim, M. J., Marchand, P., Henegar, C., Antignac, J. P., Alili, R., Poitou, C., Bouillot, J. L., Basdevant, A., Le Bizec, B., Barouki, R., and Clement, K. (2011) Fate and complex pathogenic effects of dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls in obese subjects before and after drastic weight loss, *Environ Health Perspect* 119, 377-383.
- [99] Rantakokko, P., Mannisto, V., Airaksinen, R., Koponen, J., Viluksela, M., Kiviranta, H., and Pihlajamaki, J. (2015) Persistent organic pollutants and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in morbidly obese patients: a cohort study, *Environ Health* 14, 79.
- [100] Perkins, J. T., Petriello, M. C., Newsome, B. J., and Hennig, B. (2016) Polychlorinated biphenyls and links to cardiovascular disease, *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 23, 2160-2172.
- [101] A.T.S.D.R. (2000) Toxicological Profile For Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), (Services, U. S. D. o. H. a. H., Ed.), ATSDR Division of Toxicology/Toxicology Information Branch, Atlanta, GA.
- [102] National Toxicology, P., and National Institutes of, H. (2006) *NTP technical report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of 3,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 126) (CAS NO. 57465-28-8) in female Harlan Sprague-Dawley rats : (gavage studies)*, National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, [Bethesda, Md.] :.
- [103] Walker, N. J., National Toxicology, P., and National Institutes of, H. (2010) *NTP technical report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of 2,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 118) [CAS no. 31508-00-6] in female Harlan Sprague-Dawley rats : (gavage studies)*, National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, NC :.
- [104] N.C.H.S. (2003-2004) NHANES 2003-2004 Laboratory Procedure Manual: Alanine Amino Transferase (ALT) in Refrigerated Serum., Available: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_03_04/l40_c_met_alanine_aminotransferase.pdf.
- [105] Warner, J., Osuch, J. R., Karmaus, W., Landgraf, J. R., Taffe, B., O'Keefe, M., Mikucki, D., and Haan, P. (2012) Common classification schemes for PCB congeners and the gene expression of CYP17, CYP19, ESR1 and ESR2, *Sci Total Environ* 414, 81-89.
- [106] Beilke, L. D., Aleksunes, L. M., Olson, E. R., Besselsen, D. G., Klaassen, C. D., Dvorak, K., and Cherrington, N. J. (2009) Decreased apoptosis during CAR-mediated hepatoprotection against lithocholic acid-induced liver injury in mice, *Toxicol Lett* 188, 38-44.
- [107] Shi, X., Wahlang, B., Wei, X., Yin, X., Falkner, K. C., Prough, R. A., Kim, S. H., Mueller, E. G., McClain, C. J., Cave, M., and Zhang, X. (2012) Metabolomic analysis of the effects of polychlorinated biphenyls in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Journal of proteome research* 11, 3805-3815.
- [108] Wahlang, B., Prough, R. A., Falkner, K. C., Hardesty, J. E., Song, M., Clair, H. B., Clark, B. J., States, J. C., Arteel, G. E., and Cave, M. C. (2016) Polychlorinated Biphenyl-Xenobiotic Nuclear Receptor Interactions Regulate Energy Metabolism, Behavior, and Inflammation in Non-alcoholic-Steatohepatitis, *Toxicol Sci* 149, 396-410.

- [109] Hennig, B., Reiterer, G., Toborek, M., Matveev, S. V., Daugherty, A., Smart, E., and Robertson, L. W. (2005) Dietary fat interacts with PCBs to induce changes in lipid metabolism in mice deficient in low-density lipoprotein receptor, *Environ Health Perspect* 113, 83-87.
- [110] Li, M. C., Chen, P. C., Tsai, P. C., Furue, M., Onozuka, D., Hagihara, A., Uchi, H., Yoshimura, T., and Guo, Y. L. (2015) Mortality after exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and polychlorinated dibenzofurans: a meta-analysis of two highly exposed cohorts, *Int J Cancer* 137, 1427-1432.
- [111] Anstee, Q. M., and Day, C. P. (2013) The genetics of NAFLD, *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 10, 645-655.
- [112] Houghton-Rahrig, L., Schutte, D., Fenton, J. I., and Awad, J. (2014) Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and the PNPLA3 gene, *Medsurg nursing : official journal of the Academy of Medical-Surgical Nurses* 23, 101-106, 121.
- [113] Sookoian, S., Castano, G. O., Burgueno, A. L., Gianotti, T. F., Rosselli, M. S., and Pirola, C. J. (2010) The nuclear receptor PXR gene variants are associated with liver injury in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 20, 1-8.
- [114] Kumar, J., Lind, P. M., Salihovic, S., van Bavel, B., Ingelsson, E., and Lind, L. (2014) Persistent organic pollutants and inflammatory markers in a cross-sectional study of elderly Swedish people: the PIVUS cohort, *Environ Health Perspect* 122, 977-983.
- [115] Lim, J. E., and Jee, S. H. (2015) Association between serum levels of adiponectin and polychlorinated biphenyls in Korean men and women, *Endocrine* 48, 211-217.
- [116] Turyk, M., Fantuzzi, G., Persky, V., Freels, S., Lambertino, A., Pini, M., Rhodes, D. H., and Anderson, H. A. (2015) Persistent organic pollutants and biomarkers of diabetes risk in a cohort of Great Lakes sport caught fish consumers, *Environ Res* 140, 335-344.
- [117] Ghosh, S., Trnovec, T., Palkovicova, L., Hoffman, E. P., Washington, K., and Dutta, S. K. (2013) Status of LEPR Gene in PCB-exposed Population: A Quick Look, *Int J Hum Genet* 13, 27-32.
- [118] Ferrante, M. C., Amero, P., Santoro, A., Monnolo, A., Simeoli, R., Di Guida, F., Mattace Raso, G., and Meli, R. (2014) Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB 101, PCB 153 and PCB 180) alter leptin signaling and lipid metabolism in differentiated 3T3-L1 adipocytes, *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 279, 401-408.
- [119] Ewald, N., and Bretzel, R. G. (2013) Diabetes mellitus secondary to pancreatic diseases (Type 3c)--are we neglecting an important disease?, *Eur J Intern Med* 24, 203-206.
- [120] Castera, L. (2015) Noninvasive Evaluation of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, *Semin Liver Dis* 35, 291-303.
- [121] Al-Eryani, L., Wahlang, B., Falkner, K. C., Guardiola, J. J., Clair, H. B., Prough, R. A., and Cave, M. (2015) Identification of Environmental Chemicals Associated with the Development of Toxicant-associated Fatty Liver Disease in Rodents, *Toxicol Pathol* 43, 482-497.
- [122] Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., Kelley, D. R., Pimentel, H., Salzberg, S. L., Rinn, J. L., and Pachter, L. (2012) Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks, *Nature protocols* 7, 562-578.
- [123] Zhang, H., Wu, J., and Yu, L. (2014) Association of Gln27Glu and Arg16Gly polymorphisms in Beta2-adrenergic receptor gene with obesity susceptibility: a meta-analysis, *PLoS One* 9, e100489.
- [124] Moreno-Navarrete, J. M., Botas, P., Valdes, S., Ortega, F. J., Delgado, E., Vazquez-Martin, A., Bassols, J., Pardo, G., Ricart, W., Menendez, J. A., and Fernandez-Real, J. M. (2009)

- Val1483Ile in FASN gene is linked to central obesity and insulin sensitivity in adult white men, *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 17, 1755-1761.
- [125] Karim, S., Adams, D. H., and Lalor, P. F. (2012) Hepatic expression and cellular distribution of the glucose transporter family, *World J Gastroenterol* 18, 6771-6781.
- [126] Du, K., Herzig, S., Kulkarni, R. N., and Montminy, M. (2003) TRB3: a tribbles homolog that inhibits Akt/PKB activation by insulin in liver, *Science* 300, 1574-1577.
- [127] Marinho, R., Ropelle, E. R., Cintra, D. E., De Souza, C. T., Da Silva, A. S., Bertoli, F. C., Colantonio, E., D'Almeida, V., and Pauli, J. R. (2012) Endurance exercise training increases APPL1 expression and improves insulin signaling in the hepatic tissue of diet-induced obese mice, independently of weight loss, *J Cell Physiol* 227, 2917-2926.
- [128] Liu, W. J., Ma, L. Q., Liu, W. H., Zhou, W., Zhang, K. Q., and Zou, C. G. (2011) Inhibition of hepatic glycogen synthesis by hyperhomocysteinemia mediated by TRB3, *Am J Pathol* 178, 1489-1499.
- [129] Li, K., Xiao, Y., Yu, J., Xia, T., Liu, B., Guo, Y., Deng, J., Chen, S., Wang, C., and Guo, F. (2016) Liver-specific Gene Inactivation of the Transcription Factor ATF4 Alleviates Alcoholic Liver Steatosis in Mice, *J Biol Chem* 291, 18536-18546.
- [130] Prudente, S., and Trischitta, V. (2015) The TRIB3 Q84R polymorphism, insulin resistance and related metabolic alterations, *Biochem Soc Trans* 43, 1108-1111.
- [131] Zheng, Z., Kim, H., Qiu, Y., Chen, X., Mendez, R., Dandekar, A., Zhang, X., Zhang, C., Liu, A. C., Yin, L., Lin, J. D., Walker, P. D., Kapatos, G., and Zhang, K. (2016) CREBH Couples Circadian Clock With Hepatic Lipid Metabolism, *Diabetes* 65, 3369-3383.
- [132] Ohno, T., Onishi, Y., and Ishida, N. (2007) A novel E4BP4 element drives circadian expression of mPeriod2, *Nucleic Acids Res* 35, 648-655.
- [133] Wolk, R., and Somers, V. K. (2007) Sleep and the metabolic syndrome, *Exp Physiol* 92, 67-78.
- [134] Martins, R. C., Andersen, M. L., and Tufik, S. (2008) The reciprocal interaction between sleep and type 2 diabetes mellitus: facts and perspectives, *Braz J Med Biol Res* 41, 180-187.
- [135] Damiola, F., Le Minh, N., Preitner, N., Kornmann, B., Fleury-Olela, F., and Schibler, U. (2000) Restricted feeding uncouples circadian oscillators in peripheral tissues from the central pacemaker in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, *Genes Dev* 14, 2950-2961.
- [136] Eckel-Mahan, K. L., Patel, V. R., de Mateo, S., Orozco-Solis, R., Ceglia, N. J., Sahar, S., Dilag-Penilla, S. A., Dyar, K. A., Baldi, P., and Sassone-Corsi, P. (2013) Reprogramming of the circadian clock by nutritional challenge, *Cell* 155, 1464-1478.
- [137] Depner, C. M., Stothard, E. R., and Wright, K. P., Jr. (2014) Metabolic consequences of sleep and circadian disorders, *Curr Diab Rep* 14, 507.
- [138] Wang, X. S., Armstrong, M. E., Cairns, B. J., Key, T. J., and Travis, R. C. (2011) Shift work and chronic disease: the epidemiological evidence, *Occup Med (Lond)* 61, 78-89.
- [139] Sporl, F., Korge, S., Jurchott, K., Wunderskirchner, M., Schellenberg, K., Heins, S., Specht, A., Stoll, C., Klemz, R., Maier, B., Wenck, H., Schrader, A., Kunz, D., Blatt, T., and Kramer, A. (2012) Kruppel-like factor 9 is a circadian transcription factor in human epidermis that controls proliferation of keratinocytes, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109, 10903-10908.
- [140] Zeisberg, M., and Neilson, E. G. (2009) Biomarkers for epithelial-mesenchymal transitions, *J Clin Invest* 119, 1429-1437.
- [141] Jou, J., and Diehl, A. M. (2010) Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions and hepatocarcinogenesis, *J Clin Invest* 120, 1031-1034.
- [142] Fleig, S. V., Choi, S. S., Yang, L., Jung, Y., Omenetti, A., VanDongen, H. M., Huang, J., Sicklick, J. K., and Diehl, A. M. (2007) Hepatic accumulation of Hedgehog-reactive

- progenitors increases with severity of fatty liver damage in mice, *Lab Invest* 87, 1227-1239.
- [143] Verdelho Machado, M., and Diehl, A. M. (2016) Role of Hedgehog Signaling Pathway in NASH, *Int J Mol Sci* 17.
- [144] Giannelli, G., Mikulits, W., Dooley, S., Fabregat, I., Moustakas, A., ten Dijke, P., Portincasa, P., Winter, P., Janssen, R., Leporatti, S., Herrera, B., and Sanchez, A. (2016) The rationale for targeting TGF-beta in chronic liver diseases, *Eur J Clin Invest* 46, 349-361.
- [145] Miao, C. G., Yang, Y. Y., He, X., Huang, C., Huang, Y., Zhang, L., Lv, X. W., Jin, Y., and Li, J. (2013) Wnt signaling in liver fibrosis: progress, challenges and potential directions, *Biochimie* 95, 2326-2335.
- [146] Wang, S., Song, K., Srivastava, R., Dong, C., Go, G. W., Li, N., Iwakiri, Y., and Mani, A. (2015) Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease induced by noncanonical Wnt and its rescue by Wnt3a, *FASEB J* 29, 3436-3445.
- [147] Machado, M. V., Michelotti, G. A., Pereira, T. A., Xie, G., Premont, R., Cortez-Pinto, H., and Diehl, A. M. (2015) Accumulation of duct cells with activated YAP parallels fibrosis progression in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *J Hepatol* 63, 962-970.
- [148] Pavuk, M., Olson, J. R., Sjodin, A., Wolff, P., Turner, W. E., Shelton, C., Dutton, N. D., Bartell, S., and Anniston Environmental Health Research, C. (2014) Serum concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in participants of the Anniston Community Health Survey, *Sci. Total Environ.* 473-474, 286-297.
- [149] Wormke, M., Stoner, M., Saville, B., Walker, K., Abdelrahim, M., Burghardt, R., and Safe, S. (2003) The aryl hydrocarbon receptor mediates degradation of estrogen receptor alpha through activation of proteasomes, *Mol Cell Biol* 23, 1843-1855.
- [150] Matthews, J., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2006) Estrogen receptor and aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling pathways, *Nucl Recept Signal* 4, e016.
- [151] Mitro, N., Vargas, L., Romeo, R., Koder, A., and Saez, E. (2007) T0901317 is a potent PXR ligand: implications for the biology ascribed to LXR, *FEBS Lett* 581, 1721-1726.
- [152] Kodama, S., Koike, C., Negishi, M., and Yamamoto, Y. (2004) Nuclear receptors CAR and PXR cross talk with FOXO1 to regulate genes that encode drug-metabolizing and gluconeogenic enzymes, *Mol Cell Biol* 24, 7931-7940.
- [153] Quack, M., Frank, C., and Carlberg, C. (2002) Differential nuclear receptor signalling from DR4-type response elements, *J Cell Biochem* 86, 601-612.
- [154] Grefhorst, A., Elzinga, B. M., Voshol, P. J., Plosch, T., Kok, T., Bloks, V. W., van der Sluijs, F. H., Havekes, L. M., Romijn, J. A., Verkade, H. J., and Kuipers, F. (2002) Stimulation of lipogenesis by pharmacological activation of the liver X receptor leads to production of large, triglyceride-rich very low density lipoprotein particles, *J Biol Chem* 277, 34182-34190.
- [155] Safe, S. (1997) Limitations of the toxic equivalency factor approach for risk assessment of TCDD and related compounds, *Teratog Carcinog Mutagen* 17, 285-304.
- [156] Murray, I. A., Morales, J. L., Flaveny, C. A., Dinatale, B. C., Chiaro, C., Gowdahalli, K., Amin, S., and Perdew, G. H. (2010) Evidence for ligand-mediated selective modulation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor activity, *Mol Pharmacol* 77, 247-254.
- [157] Coombes, J. D., Swiderska-Syn, M., Dolle, L., Reid, D., Eksteen, B., Claridge, L., Briones-Orta, M. A., Shetty, S., Oo, Y. H., Riva, A., Chokshi, S., Papa, S., Mi, Z., Kuo, P. C., Williams, R., Canbay, A., Adams, D. H., Diehl, A. M., van Grunsven, L. A., Choi, S. S., and Syn, W. K. (2015) Osteopontin neutralisation abrogates the liver progenitor cell response and fibrogenesis in mice, *Gut* 64, 1120-1131.

- [158] Sui, Y., Zheng, X., and Zhao, D. (2015) Rab31 promoted hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) progression via inhibition of cell apoptosis induced by PI3K/AKT/Bcl-2/BAX pathway, *Tumour Biol* 36, 8661-8670.
- [159] Yagai, T., Miyajima, A., and Tanaka, M. (2014) Semaphorin 3E secreted by damaged hepatocytes regulates the sinusoidal regeneration and liver fibrosis during liver regeneration, *Am J Pathol* 184, 2250-2259.
- [160] Shan, Q., Huang, F., Wang, J., and Du, Y. (2015) Effects of co-exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and polychlorinated biphenyls on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in mice, *Environ Toxicol* 30, 1364-1374.
- [161] Li, Y., Zalzal, M., Jadhav, K., Xu, Y., Kasumov, T., Yin, L., and Zhang, Y. (2016) Carboxylesterase 2 prevents liver steatosis by modulating lipolysis, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and lipogenesis and is regulated by hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha in mice, *Hepatology* 63, 1860-1874.
- [162] Volat, F. E., Pointud, J. C., Pastel, E., Morio, B., Sion, B., Hamard, G., Guichardant, M., Colas, R., Lefrancois-Martinez, A. M., and Martinez, A. (2012) Depressed levels of prostaglandin F2alpha in mice lacking Akr1b7 increase basal adiposity and predispose to diet-induced obesity, *Diabetes* 61, 2796-2806.
- [163] Aigner, E., Theurl, I., Theurl, M., Lederer, D., Haufe, H., Dietze, O., Strasser, M., Datz, C., and Weiss, G. (2008) Pathways underlying iron accumulation in human nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Am J Clin Nutr* 87, 1374-1383.
- [164] Smalling, R. L., Delker, D. A., Zhang, Y., Nieto, N., McGuinness, M. S., Liu, S., Friedman, S. L., Hagedorn, C. H., and Wang, L. (2013) Genome-wide transcriptome analysis identifies novel gene signatures implicated in human chronic liver disease, *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 305, G364-374.
- [165] Gao, Y., Liu, Y., Yang, M., Guo, X., Zhang, M., Li, H., Li, J., and Zhao, J. (2016) IL-33 treatment attenuated diet-induced hepatic steatosis but aggravated hepatic fibrosis, *Oncotarget* 7, 33649-33661.
- [166] King, J. A., Corcoran, N. M., D'Abaco, G. M., Straffon, A. F., Smith, C. T., Poon, C. L., Buchert, M., I, S., Hall, N. E., Lock, P., and Hovens, C. M. (2006) Eve-3: a liver enriched suppressor of Ras/MAPK signaling, *J Hepatol* 44, 758-767.
- [167] Yoshida, T., Hisamoto, T., Akiba, J., Koga, H., Nakamura, K., Tokunaga, Y., Hanada, S., Kumemura, H., Maeyama, M., Harada, M., Ogata, H., Yano, H., Kojiro, M., Ueno, T., Yoshimura, A., and Sata, M. (2006) Spreds, inhibitors of the Ras/ERK signal transduction, are dysregulated in human hepatocellular carcinoma and linked to the malignant phenotype of tumors, *Oncogene* 25, 6056-6066.
- [168] Ding, L., Wang, Z., Yan, J., Yang, X., Liu, A., Qiu, W., Zhu, J., Han, J., Zhang, H., Lin, J., Cheng, L., Qin, X., Niu, C., Yuan, B., Wang, X., Zhu, C., Zhou, Y., Li, J., Song, H., Huang, C., and Ye, Q. (2009) Human four-and-a-half LIM family members suppress tumor cell growth through a TGF-beta-like signaling pathway, *J Clin Invest* 119, 349-361.
- [169] Chua, C. E., and Tang, B. L. (2014) Engagement of the small GTPase Rab31 protein and its effector, early endosome antigen 1, is important for trafficking of the ligand-bound epidermal growth factor receptor from the early to the late endosome, *J Biol Chem* 289, 12375-12389.
- [170] Chua, C. E., and Tang, B. L. (2015) The role of the small GTPase Rab31 in cancer, *J Cell Mol Med* 19, 1-10.
- [171] Huang, J., Ye, X., Guan, J., Chen, B., Li, Q., Zheng, X., Liu, L., Wang, S., Ding, Y., Ding, Y., and Chen, L. (2013) Tiam1 is associated with hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis, *Int J Cancer* 132, 90-100.

- [172] Guo, X., Wang, M., Zhao, Y., Wang, X., Shen, M., Zhu, F., Shi, C., Xu, M., Li, X., Peng, F., Zhang, H., Feng, Y., Xie, Y., Xu, X., Jia, W., He, R., Jiang, J., Hu, J., Tian, R., and Qin, R. (2016) Par3 regulates invasion of pancreatic cancer cells via interaction with Tiam1, *Clin Exp Med* 16, 357-365.
- [173] Liu, Y., Ding, Y., Huang, J., Wang, S., Ni, W., Guan, J., Li, Q., Zhang, Y., Ding, Y., Chen, B., and Chen, L. (2014) MiR-141 suppresses the migration and invasion of HCC cells by targeting Tiam1, *PLoS One* 9, e88393.
- [174] Chen, G., Lu, L., Liu, C., Shan, L., and Yuan, D. (2015) MicroRNA-377 suppresses cell proliferation and invasion by inhibiting TIAM1 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma, *PLoS One* 10, e0117714.
- [175] Ahn, S. G., Kim, H. S., Jeong, S. W., Kim, B. E., Rhim, H., Shim, J. Y., Kim, J. W., Lee, J. H., and Kim, I. K. (2002) Sox-4 is a positive regulator of Hep3B and HepG2 cells' apoptosis induced by prostaglandin (PG)A(2) and delta(12)-PGJ(2), *Exp Mol Med* 34, 243-249.
- [176] Fan, X. P., Ji, X. F., Li, X. Y., Gao, S., Fan, Y. C., and Wang, K. (2016) Methylation of the Glutathione-S-Transferase P1 Gene Promoter Is Associated with Oxidative Stress in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis B, *Tohoku J Exp Med* 238, 57-64.
- [177] Nakagawa, Y., Aoki, N., Aoyama, K., Shimizu, H., Shimano, H., Yamada, N., and Miyazaki, H. (2005) Receptor-type protein tyrosine phosphatase epsilon (PTPepsilon) is a negative regulator of insulin signaling in primary hepatocytes and liver, *Zoolog Sci* 22, 169-175.
- [178] Dong, Y., Li, K., Xu, Z., Ma, H., Zheng, J., Hu, Z., He, S., Wu, Y., Sun, Z., Luo, L., Li, J., Zhang, H., and Zhang, X. (2015) Exploration of the linkage elements of porcupine antagonists led to potent Wnt signaling pathway inhibitors, *Bioorg Med Chem* 23, 6855-6868.
- [179] Radanovic, T., Wagner, C. A., Murer, H., and Biber, J. (2005) Regulation of intestinal phosphate transport. I. Segmental expression and adaptation to low-P(i) diet of the type IIb Na(+)-P(i) cotransporter in mouse small intestine, *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 288, G496-500.
- [180] Wagner, C. A., Hernando, N., Forster, I. C., and Biber, J. (2014) The SLC34 family of sodium-dependent phosphate transporters, *Pflugers Arch* 466, 139-153.
- [181] Giera, S., Braeuning, A., Kohle, C., Bursch, W., Metzger, U., Buchmann, A., and Schwarz, M. (2010) Wnt/beta-catenin signaling activates and determines hepatic zonal expression of glutathione S-transferases in mouse liver, *Toxicol Sci* 115, 22-33.
- [182] Schmidt, D. R., Schmidt, S., Holmstrom, S. R., Makishima, M., Yu, R. T., Cummins, C. L., Mangelsdorf, D. J., and Kliewer, S. A. (2011) AKR1B7 is induced by the farnesoid X receptor and metabolizes bile acids, *J Biol Chem* 286, 2425-2432.
- [183] Liu, M. J., Takahashi, Y., Wada, T., He, J., Gao, J., Tian, Y., Li, S., and Xie, W. (2009) The aldo-keto reductase Akr1b7 gene is a common transcriptional target of xenobiotic receptors pregnane X receptor and constitutive androstane receptor, *Mol Pharmacol* 76, 604-611.
- [184] Moylan, C. A., Pang, H., Dellinger, A., Suzuki, A., Garrett, M. E., Guy, C. D., Murphy, S. K., Ashley-Koch, A. E., Choi, S. S., Michelotti, G. A., Hampton, D. D., Chen, Y., Tillmann, H. L., Hauser, M. A., Abdelmalek, M. F., and Diehl, A. M. (2014) Hepatic gene expression profiles differentiate presymptomatic patients with mild versus severe nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Hepatology* 59, 471-482.
- [185] Suh, Y., Yoon, C. H., Kim, R. K., Lim, E. J., Oh, Y. S., Hwang, S. G., An, S., Yoon, G., Gye, M. C., Yi, J. M., Kim, M. J., and Lee, S. J. (2013) Claudin-1 induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition through activation of the c-Abl-ERK signaling pathway in human liver cells, *Oncogene* 32, 4873-4882.

- [186] Erdelyi-Belle, B., Torok, G., Apati, A., Sarkadi, B., Schaff, Z., Kiss, A., and Homolya, L. (2015) Expression of Tight Junction Components in Hepatocyte-Like Cells Differentiated from Human Embryonic Stem Cells, *Pathol Oncol Res* 21, 1059-1070.
- [187] Choi, S. S., and Diehl, A. M. (2009) Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions in the liver, *Hepatology* 50, 2007-2013.
- [188] Kim, J. T., and Lee, H. K. (2014) Metabolic syndrome and the environmental pollutants from mitochondrial perspectives, *Rev Endocr Metab Disord* 15, 253-262.
- [189] Vandenberg, L. N., Colborn, T., Hayes, T. B., Heindel, J. J., Jacobs, D. R., Jr., Lee, D. H., Shioda, T., Soto, A. M., vom Saal, F. S., Welshons, W. V., Zoeller, R. T., and Myers, J. P. (2012) Hormones and endocrine-disrupting chemicals: low-dose effects and nonmonotonic dose responses, *Endocr Rev* 33, 378-455.
- [190] Harper, N., Connor, K., Steinberg, M., and Safe, S. (1995) Immunosuppressive activity of polychlorinated biphenyl mixtures and congeners: nonadditive (antagonistic) interactions, *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 27, 131-139.
- [191] Cieslak, M., Wojtczak, A., and Cieslak, M. (2015) Role of pro-inflammatory cytokines of pancreatic islets and prospects of elaboration of new methods for the diabetes treatment, *Acta Biochim Pol* 62, 15-21.
- [192] Howard, J. K., and Flier, J. S. (2006) Attenuation of leptin and insulin signaling by SOCS proteins, *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 17, 365-371.
- [193] Wang, Y., van Boxel-Dezaire, A. H., Cheon, H., Yang, J., and Stark, G. R. (2013) STAT3 activation in response to IL-6 is prolonged by the binding of IL-6 receptor to EGF receptor, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110, 16975-16980.
- [194] Wunderlich, F. T., Strohle, P., Konner, A. C., Gruber, S., Tovar, S., Bronneke, H. S., Juntti-Berggren, L., Li, L. S., van Rooijen, N., Libert, C., Berggren, P. O., and Bruning, J. C. (2010) Interleukin-6 signaling in liver-parenchymal cells suppresses hepatic inflammation and improves systemic insulin action, *Cell Metab* 12, 237-249.
- [195] Vincent, T., Molina, L., Espert, L., and Mechti, N. (2003) Hyaluronan, a major non-protein glycosaminoglycan component of the extracellular matrix in human bone marrow, mediates dexamethasone resistance in multiple myeloma, *Br J Haematol* 121, 259-269.
- [196] Xu, C. X., Krager, S. L., Liao, D. F., and Tischkau, S. A. (2010) Disruption of CLOCK-BMAL1 transcriptional activity is responsible for aryl hydrocarbon receptor-mediated regulation of Period1 gene, *Toxicol Sci* 115, 98-108.
- [197] Kodama, S., Moore, R., Yamamoto, Y., and Negishi, M. (2007) Human nuclear pregnane X receptor cross-talk with CREB to repress cAMP activation of the glucose-6-phosphatase gene, *Biochem J* 407, 373-381.
- [198] Poitz, D. M., Ende, G., Stutz, B., Augstein, A., Friedrichs, J., Brunssen, C., Werner, C., Strasser, R. H., and Jellinghaus, S. (2015) EphrinB2/EphA4-mediated activation of endothelial cells increases monocyte adhesion, *Mol Immunol* 68, 648-656.
- [199] Pasquale, E. B. (2008) Eph-ephrin bidirectional signaling in physiology and disease, *Cell* 133, 38-52.
- [200] Zygmunt, M., Wienhard, J., Boving, B., Munstedt, K., Braems, G., Bohle, R. M., and Lang, U. (1998) [Expression of cell adhesion molecules in the extravillous trophoblast in placentas of preterm pregnancies and in placentas at term], *Zentralbl Gynakol* 120, 488-492.
- [201] Lisabeth, E. M., Falivelli, G., and Pasquale, E. B. (2013) Eph receptor signaling and ephrins, *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 5.
- [202] Hong, J. Y., Shin, M. H., Douglas, I. S., Chung, K. S., Kim, E. Y., Jung, J. Y., Kang, Y. A., Kim, S. K., Chang, J., Kim, Y. S., and Park, M. S. (2016) Inhibition of EphA2/EphrinA1 signal attenuates lipopolysaccharide-induced lung injury, *Clin Sci (Lond)* 130, 1993-2003.

- [203] Li, G., Ji, X. D., Gao, H., Zhao, J. S., Xu, J. F., Sun, Z. J., Deng, Y. Z., Shi, S., Feng, Y. X., Zhu, Y. Q., Wang, T., Li, J. J., and Xie, D. (2012) EphB3 suppresses non-small-cell lung cancer metastasis via a PP2A/RACK1/Akt signalling complex, *Nat Commun* 3, 667.
- [204] Zantek, N. D., Azimi, M., Fedor-Chaiken, M., Wang, B., Brackenbury, R., and Kinch, M. S. (1999) E-cadherin regulates the function of the EphA2 receptor tyrosine kinase, *Cell Growth Differ* 10, 629-638.
- [205] Konstantinova, I., Nikolova, G., Ohara-Imaizumi, M., Meda, P., Kucera, T., Zarbalis, K., Wurst, W., Nagamatsu, S., and Lammert, E. (2007) EphA-Ephrin-A-mediated beta cell communication regulates insulin secretion from pancreatic islets, *Cell* 129, 359-370.
- [206] Sims, P., Grover, P. L., Swaisland, A., Pal, K., and Hewer, A. (1974) Metabolic activation of benzo(a)pyrene proceeds by a diol-epoxide, *Nature* 252, 326-328.
- [207] Ding, Y., Chen, B., Wang, S., Zhao, L., Chen, J., Ding, Y., Chen, L., and Luo, R. (2009) Overexpression of Tiam1 in hepatocellular carcinomas predicts poor prognosis of HCC patients, *Int J Cancer* 124, 653-658.
- [208] Yang, W., Lv, S., Liu, X., Liu, H., Yang, W., and Hu, F. (2010) Up-regulation of Tiam1 and Rac1 correlates with poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma, *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 40, 1053-1059.
- [209] Moreau, T., Baranger, K., Dade, S., Dallet-Choisy, S., Guyot, N., and Zani, M. L. (2008) Multifaceted roles of human elafin and secretory leukocyte proteinase inhibitor (SLPI), two serine protease inhibitors of the chelonianin family, *Biochimie* 90, 284-295.
- [210] Zhang, C., Xu, Y., Gao, P., Lu, J., Li, X., and Liu, D. (2015) Down-regulation of carboxylesterases 1 and 2 plays an important role in prodrug metabolism in immunological liver injury rats, *Int Immunopharmacol* 24, 153-158.
- [211] Yang, J., Shi, D., Yang, D., Song, X., and Yan, B. (2007) Interleukin-6 alters the cellular responsiveness to clopidogrel, irinotecan, and oseltamivir by suppressing the expression of carboxylesterases HCE1 and HCE2, *Mol Pharmacol* 72, 686-694.
- [212] Meyer, M. R., Schutz, A., and Maurer, H. H. (2015) Contribution of human esterases to the metabolism of selected drugs of abuse, *Toxicol Lett* 232, 159-166.
- [213] McCormack, N., Molloy, E. L., and O'Dea, S. (2013) Bone morphogenetic proteins enhance an epithelial-mesenchymal transition in normal airway epithelial cells during restitution of a disrupted epithelium, *Respir Res* 14, 36.
- [214] Myllarniemi, M., Lindholm, P., Ryyanen, M. J., Kliment, C. R., Salmenkivi, K., Keski-Oja, J., Kinnula, V. L., Oury, T. D., and Koli, K. (2008) Gremlin-mediated decrease in bone morphogenetic protein signaling promotes pulmonary fibrosis, *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 177, 321-329.
- [215] Lu, M. M., Yang, H., Zhang, L., Shu, W., Blair, D. G., and Morrissey, E. E. (2001) The bone morphogenic protein antagonist gremlin regulates proximal-distal patterning of the lung, *Dev Dyn* 222, 667-680.
- [216] Sato, Y., Hatta, M., Karim, M. F., Sawa, T., Wei, F. Y., Sato, S., Magnuson, M. A., Gonzalez, F. J., Tomizawa, K., Akaike, T., Yoshizawa, T., and Yamagata, K. (2012) Anks4b, a novel target of HNF4alpha protein, interacts with GRP78 protein and regulates endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced apoptosis in pancreatic beta-cells, *J Biol Chem* 287, 23236-23245.
- [217] Trak-Smayra, V., Dargere, D., Noun, R., Albuquerque, M., Yaghi, C., Gannage-Yared, M. H., Bedossa, P., and Paradis, V. (2009) Serum proteomic profiling of obese patients: correlation with liver pathology and evolution after bariatric surgery, *Gut* 58, 825-832.

- [218] Koyanagi, M., Hijikata, M., Watashi, K., Masui, O., and Shimotohno, K. (2005) Centrosomal P4.1-associated protein is a new member of transcriptional coactivators for nuclear factor-kappaB, *J Biol Chem* 280, 12430-12437.
- [219] Yang, S. T., Yen, C. J., Lai, C. H., Lin, Y. J., Chang, K. C., Lee, J. C., Liu, Y. W., Chang-Liao, P. Y., Hsu, L. S., Chang, W. C., Hung, W. C., Tang, T. K., Liu, Y. W., and Hung, L. Y. (2013) SUMOylated CPAP is required for IKK-mediated NF-kappaB activation and enhances HBx-induced NF-kappaB signaling in HCC, *J Hepatol* 58, 1157-1164.
- [220] Saha, S., Aranda, E., Hayakawa, Y., Bhanja, P., Atay, S., Brodin, N. P., Li, J., Asfaha, S., Liu, L., Tailor, Y., Zhang, J., Godwin, A. K., Tome, W. A., Wang, T. C., Guha, C., and Pollard, J. W. (2016) Macrophage-derived extracellular vesicle-packaged WNTs rescue intestinal stem cells and enhance survival after radiation injury, *Nat Commun* 7, 13096.
- [221] Ledo, N., Ko, Y. A., Park, A. S., Kang, H. M., Han, S. Y., Choi, P., and Susztak, K. (2015) Functional genomic annotation of genetic risk loci highlights inflammation and epithelial biology networks in CKD, *J Am Soc Nephrol* 26, 692-714.
- [222] Katoh, Y., and Katoh, M. (2006) Comparative genomics on HHIP family orthologs, *Int J Mol Med* 17, 391-395.
- [223] Lopez-Mejias, R., Corrales, A., Vicente, E., Robustillo-Villarino, M., Gonzalez-Juanatey, C., Llorca, J., Genre, F., Remuzgo-Martinez, S., Dierssen-Sotos, T., Miranda-Fillooy, J. A., Huaranga, M. A., Pina, T., Blanco, R., Alegre-Sancho, J. J., Raya, E., Mijares, V., Ubilla, B., Ferraz-Amaro, I., Gomez-Vaquero, C., Balsa, A., Lopez-Longo, F. J., Carreira, P., Gonzalez-Alvaro, I., Ocejo-Vinyals, J. G., Rodriguez-Rodriguez, L., Fernandez-Gutierrez, B., Castaneda, S., Martin, J., and Gonzalez-Gay, M. A. (2017) Influence of coronary artery disease and subclinical atherosclerosis related polymorphisms on the risk of atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis, *Sci Rep* 7, 40303.
- [224] Sasahira, T., Kurihara, M., Nishiguchi, Y., Fujiwara, R., Kirita, T., and Kuniyasu, H. (2016) NEDD 4 binding protein 2-like 1 promotes cancer cell invasion in oral squamous cell carcinoma, *Virchows Arch* 469, 163-172.
- [225] Cohen, D. E. (2013) New players on the metabolic stage: How do you like Them Acots?, *Adipocyte* 2, 3-6.
- [226] Xin, X., Mains, R. E., and Eipper, B. A. (2004) Monooxygenase X, a member of the copper-dependent monooxygenase family localized to the endoplasmic reticulum, *J Biol Chem* 279, 48159-48167.
- [227] Jee, S., Hwang, D., Seo, S., Kim, Y., Kim, C., Kim, B., Shim, S., Lee, S., Sin, J., Bae, C., Lee, B., Jang, M., Kim, M., Yim, S., Jang, I., Cho, J., and Chae, K. (2007) Microarray analysis of insulin-regulated gene expression in the liver: the use of transgenic mice co-expressing insulin-siRNA and human IDE as an animal model, *Int J Mol Med* 20, 829-835.
- [228] Fang, T., Cui, M., Sun, J., Ge, C., Zhao, F., Zhang, L., Tian, H., Zhang, L., Chen, T., Jiang, G., Xie, H., Cui, Y., Yao, M., Li, H., and Li, J. (2015) Orosomucoid 2 inhibits tumor metastasis and is upregulated by CCAAT/enhancer binding protein beta in hepatocellular carcinomas, *Oncotarget* 6, 16106-16119.
- [229] de Baaij, J. H., Arjona, F. J., van den Brand, M., Lavrijsen, M., Lameris, A. L., Bindels, R. J., and Hoenderop, J. G. (2016) Identification of SLC41A3 as a novel player in magnesium homeostasis, *Sci Rep* 6, 28565.
- [230] de Baaij, J. H. (2015) The art of magnesium transport, *Magnes Res* 28, 85-91.
- [231] Rowland, A., Miners, J. O., and Mackenzie, P. I. (2013) The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases: their role in drug metabolism and detoxification, *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 45, 1121-1132.

- [232] Jo, M., Kim, J. H., Song, G. J., Seo, M., Hwang, E. M., and Suk, K. (2017) Astrocytic Orosomucoid-2 Modulates Microglial Activation and Neuroinflammation, *J Neurosci* 37, 2878-2894.
- [233] Li, J. Y., Paragas, N., Ned, R. M., Qiu, A., Viltard, M., Leete, T., Drexler, I. R., Chen, X., Sanna-Cherchi, S., Mohammed, F., Williams, D., Lin, C. S., Schmidt-Ott, K. M., Andrews, N. C., and Barasch, J. (2009) Scara5 is a ferritin receptor mediating non-transferrin iron delivery, *Dev Cell* 16, 35-46.
- [234] Xu, Z., Hong, Z., Ma, M., Liu, X., Chen, L., Zheng, C., Xi, X., and Shao, J. (2016) Rock2 promotes RCC proliferation by decreasing SCARA5 expression through beta-catenin/TCF4 signaling, *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 480, 586-593.
- [235] Depienne, C., Nava, C., Keren, B., Heide, S., Rastetter, A., Passemard, S., Chantot-Bastaraud, S., Moutard, M. L., Agrawal, P. B., VanNoy, G., Stoler, J. M., Amor, D. J., Billette de Villemeur, T., Doummar, D., Alby, C., Cormier-Daire, V., Garel, C., Marzin, P., Scheidecker, S., de Saint-Martin, A., Hirsch, E., Korff, C., Bottani, A., Faivre, L., Verloes, A., Orzechowski, C., Burglen, L., Leheup, B., Roume, J., Andrieux, J., Sheth, F., Datar, C., Parker, M. J., Pasquier, L., Odent, S., Naudion, S., Delrue, M. A., Le Caignec, C., Vincent, M., Isidor, B., Renaldo, F., Stewart, F., Toutain, A., Koehler, U., Hackl, B., von Stulpnagel, C., Kluger, G., Moller, R. S., Pal, D., Jonson, T., Soller, M., Verbeek, N. E., van Haelst, M. M., de Kovel, C., Koeleman, B., Monroe, G., van Haaften, G., Study, D. D. D., Attie-Bitach, T., Bouteaud, L., Heron, D., and Mignot, C. (2017) Genetic and phenotypic dissection of 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome and neurodevelopmental phenotypes associated with mutations in ZBTB18 and HNRNPU, *Hum Genet* 136, 463-479.
- [236] Rodriguez-Agudo, D., Ren, S., Hylemon, P. B., Montanez, R., Redford, K., Natarajan, R., Medina, M. A., Gil, G., and Pandak, W. M. (2006) Localization of StarD5 cholesterol binding protein, *J Lipid Res* 47, 1168-1175.
- [237] Letourneau, D., Lorin, A., Lefebvre, A., Frappier, V., Gaudreault, F., Najmanovich, R., Lavigne, P., and LeHoux, J. G. (2012) StAR-related lipid transfer domain protein 5 binds primary bile acids, *J Lipid Res* 53, 2677-2689.
- [238] Letourneau, D., Lefebvre, A., Lavigne, P., and LeHoux, J. G. (2013) STARD5 specific ligand binding: comparison with STARD1 and STARD4 subfamilies, *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 371, 20-25.
- [239] Letourneau, D., Lorin, A., Lefebvre, A., Cabana, J., Lavigne, P., and LeHoux, J. G. (2013) Thermodynamic and solution state NMR characterization of the binding of secondary and conjugated bile acids to STARD5, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1831, 1589-1599.
- [240] Rodriguez-Agudo, D., Calderon-Dominguez, M., Medina, M. A., Ren, S., Gil, G., and Pandak, W. M. (2012) ER stress increases StarD5 expression by stabilizing its mRNA and leads to relocalization of its protein from the nucleus to the membranes, *J Lipid Res* 53, 2708-2715.
- [241] Sekine, S., Ogawa, R., Ojima, H., and Kanai, Y. (2011) Overexpression of alpha-methylacyl-CoA racemase is associated with CTNNB1 mutations in hepatocellular carcinomas, *Histopathology* 58, 712-719.
- [242] Zha, S., and Isaacs, W. B. (2005) A nonclassic CCAAT enhancer element binding protein binding site contributes to alpha-methylacyl-CoA racemase expression in prostate cancer, *Mol Cancer Res* 3, 110-118.
- [243] Dolis, D., de Kroon, A. I., and de Kruijff, B. (1996) Transmembrane movement of phosphatidylcholine in mitochondrial outer membrane vesicles, *J Biol Chem* 271, 11879-11883.

- [244] Kang, H. W., Niepel, M. W., Han, S., Kawano, Y., and Cohen, D. E. (2012) Thioesterase superfamily member 2/acyl-CoA thioesterase 13 (Them2/Acot13) regulates hepatic lipid and glucose metabolism, *FASEB J* 26, 2209-2221.
- [245] Kawano, Y., Ersoy, B. A., Li, Y., Nishiumi, S., Yoshida, M., and Cohen, D. E. (2014) Thioesterase superfamily member 2 (Them2) and phosphatidylcholine transfer protein (PC-TP) interact to promote fatty acid oxidation and control glucose utilization, *Mol Cell Biol* 34, 2396-2408.
- [246] Wang, W. J., Baez, J. M., Maurer, R., Dansky, H. M., and Cohen, D. E. (2006) Homozygous disruption of Pctp modulates atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice, *J Lipid Res* 47, 2400-2407.
- [247] Wu, M. K., and Cohen, D. E. (2005) Phosphatidylcholine transfer protein regulates size and hepatic uptake of high-density lipoproteins, *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 289, G1067-1074.
- [248] Krisko, T. I., LeClair, K. B., and Cohen, D. E. (2017) Genetic ablation of phosphatidylcholine transfer protein/StarD2 in ob/ob mice improves glucose tolerance without increasing energy expenditure, *Metabolism* 68, 145-149.
- [249] Flores, Y. N., Velazquez-Cruz, R., Ramirez, P., Banuelos, M., Zhang, Z. F., Yee, H. F., Jr., Chang, S. C., Canizales-Quinteros, S., Quiterio, M., Cabrera-Alvarez, G., Patino, N., and Salmeron, J. (2016) Association between PNPLA3 (rs738409), LYPLAL1 (rs12137855), PPP1R3B (rs4240624), GCKR (rs780094), and elevated transaminase levels in overweight/obese Mexican adults, *Mol Biol Rep* 43, 1359-1369.
- [250] Leon-Mimila, P., Vega-Badillo, J., Gutierrez-Vidal, R., Villamil-Ramirez, H., Villareal-Molina, T., Larrieta-Carrasco, E., Lopez-Contreras, B. E., Kauffer, L. R., Maldonado-Pintado, D. G., Mendez-Sanchez, N., Tovar, A. R., Hernandez-Pando, R., Velazquez-Cruz, R., Campos-Perez, F., Aguilar-Salinas, C. A., and Canizales-Quinteros, S. (2015) A genetic risk score is associated with hepatic triglyceride content and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in Mexicans with morbid obesity, *Exp Mol Pathol* 98, 178-183.
- [251] Agius, L. (2008) Glucokinase and molecular aspects of liver glycogen metabolism, *Biochem J* 414, 1-18.
- [252] Ijssennagger, N., Janssen, A. W. F., Milona, A., Ramos Pittol, J. M., Hollman, D. A. A., Mokry, M., Betzel, B., Berends, F. J., Janssen, I. M., van Mil, S. W. C., and Kersten, S. (2016) Gene expression profiling in human precision cut liver slices in response to the FXR agonist obeticholic acid, *J Hepatol* 64, 1158-1166.
- [253] Atmaca, M., Gulhan, B., Korkmaz, E., Inozu, M., Soylemezoglu, O., Candan, C., Bayazit, A. K., Elmaci, A. M., Parmaksiz, G., Duzova, A., Besbas, N., Topaloglu, R., and Ozaltin, F. (2017) Follow-up results of patients with ADCK4 mutations and the efficacy of CoQ10 treatment, *Pediatr Nephrol* 32, 1369-1375.
- [254] Tasic, V., Gucev, Z., and Polenakovic, M. (2015) Steroid Resistant Nephrotic Syndrome- Genetic Consideration, *Pril (Makedon Akad Nauk Umet Odd Med Nauki)* 36, 5-12.
- [255] Ashraf, S., Gee, H. Y., Woerner, S., Xie, L. X., Vega-Warner, V., Lovric, S., Fang, H., Song, X., Cattran, D. C., Avila-Casado, C., Paterson, A. D., Nitschke, P., Bole-Feysot, C., Cochat, P., Esteve-Rudd, J., Haberberger, B., Allen, S. J., Zhou, W., Airik, R., Otto, E. A., Barua, M., Al-Hamed, M. H., Kari, J. A., Evans, J., Bierzynska, A., Saleem, M. A., Bockenhauer, D., Kleta, R., El Desoky, S., Hacıhamdioglu, D. O., Gok, F., Washburn, J., Wiggins, R. C., Choi, M., Lifton, R. P., Levy, S., Han, Z., Salviati, L., Prokisch, H., Williams, D. S., Pollak, M., Clarke, C. F., Pei, Y., Antignac, C., and Hildebrandt, F. (2013) ADCK4 mutations promote steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome through CoQ10 biosynthesis disruption, *J Clin Invest* 123, 5179-5189.

- [256] Hu, P., Zhang, M., and Napoli, J. L. (2007) Ontogeny of rdh9 (Crad3) expression: ablation causes changes in retinoid and steroid metabolizing enzymes, but RXR and androgen signaling seem normal, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1770, 694-705.
- [257] Yamamoto, K., Gandin, V., Sasaki, M., McCracken, S., Li, W., Silvester, J. L., Elia, A. J., Wang, F., Wakutani, Y., Alexandrova, R., Oo, Y. D., Mullen, P. J., Inoue, S., Itsumi, M., Lapin, V., Haight, J., Wakeham, A., Shahinian, A., Ikura, M., Topisirovic, I., Sonenberg, N., and Mak, T. W. (2014) Largen: a molecular regulator of mammalian cell size control, *Mol Cell* 53, 904-915.
- [258] Lunning, M. A., and Green, M. R. (2015) Mutation of chromatin modifiers; an emerging hallmark of germinal center B-cell lymphomas, *Blood Cancer J* 5, e361.
- [259] Lee, J. H., Kang, Y. E., Chang, J. Y., Park, K. C., Kim, H. W., Kim, J. T., Kim, H. J., Yi, H. S., Shong, M., Chung, H. K., and Kim, K. S. (2016) An engineered FGF21 variant, LY2405319, can prevent non-alcoholic steatohepatitis by enhancing hepatic mitochondrial function, *Am J Transl Res* 8, 4750-4763.
- [260] He, L., Deng, L., Zhang, Q., Guo, J., Zhou, J., Song, W., and Yuan, F. (2017) Diagnostic Value of CK-18, FGF-21, and Related Biomarker Panel in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, *Biomed Res Int* 2017, 9729107.
- [261] Yang, M., Xu, D., Liu, Y., Guo, X., Li, W., Guo, C., Zhang, H., Gao, Y., Mao, Y., and Zhao, J. (2015) Combined Serum Biomarkers in Non-Invasive Diagnosis of Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis, *PLoS One* 10, e0131664.
- [262] Liu, X., Zhang, P., Martin, R. C., Cui, G., Wang, G., Tan, Y., Cai, L., Lv, G., and Li, Y. (2016) Lack of fibroblast growth factor 21 accelerates metabolic liver injury characterized by steatohepatitis in mice, *Am J Cancer Res* 6, 1011-1025.
- [263] Ersoy Tunalı, N., Marobbio, C. M., Tiryakioglu, N. O., Punzi, G., Saygili, S. K., Onal, H., and Palmieri, F. (2014) A novel mutation in the SLC25A15 gene in a Turkish patient with HHH syndrome: functional analysis of the mutant protein, *Mol Genet Metab* 112, 25-29.
- [264] Wang, J. F., and Chou, K. C. (2012) Insights into the mutation-induced HHH syndrome from modeling human mitochondrial ornithine transporter-1, *PLoS One* 7, e31048.
- [265] Rachidi, M., Delezoide, A. L., Delabar, J. M., and Lopes, C. (2009) A quantitative assessment of gene expression (QAGE) reveals differential overexpression of DOPEY2, a candidate gene for mental retardation, in Down syndrome brain regions, *Int J Dev Neurosci* 27, 393-398.
- [266] Rachidi, M., Lopes, C., Costantine, M., and Delabar, J. M. (2005) C21orf5, a new member of Dopey family involved in morphogenesis, could participate in neurological alterations and mental retardation in Down syndrome, *DNA Res* 12, 203-210.
- [267] Swaminathan, S., Huentelman, M. J., Corneveaux, J. J., Myers, A. J., Faber, K. M., Foroud, T., Mayeux, R., Shen, L., Kim, S., Turk, M., Hardy, J., Reiman, E. M., Saykin, A. J., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I., and Group, N.-L. N. F. S. (2012) Analysis of copy number variation in Alzheimer's disease in a cohort of clinically characterized and neuropathologically verified individuals, *PLoS One* 7, e50640.
- [268] Swaminathan, S., Shen, L., Kim, S., Inlow, M., West, J. D., Faber, K. M., Foroud, T., Mayeux, R., Saykin, A. J., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I., and Group, N.-L. N. F. S. (2012) Analysis of copy number variation in Alzheimer's disease: the NIALOAD/ NCRAD Family Study, *Curr Alzheimer Res* 9, 801-814.
- [269] Stepanova, M., Hossain, N., Afendy, A., Perry, K., Goodman, Z. D., Baranova, A., and Younossi, Z. (2010) Hepatic gene expression of Caucasian and African-American patients with obesity-related non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Obes Surg* 20, 640-650.

- [270] Babelova, A., Burckhardt, B. C., Salinas-Riester, G., Pommerenke, C., Burckhardt, G., and Henjakovic, M. (2015) Next generation sequencing of sex-specific genes in the livers of obese ZSF1 rats, *Genomics* 106, 204-213.
- [271] Li, C. Y., Renaud, H. J., Klaassen, C. D., and Cui, J. Y. (2016) Age-Specific Regulation of Drug-Processing Genes in Mouse Liver by Ligands of Xenobiotic-Sensing Transcription Factors, *Drug Metab Dispos* 44, 1038-1049.
- [272] Chou, F. P., Chu, Y. C., Hsu, J. D., Chiang, H. C., and Wang, C. J. (2000) Specific induction of glutathione S-transferase GSTM2 subunit expression by epigallocatechin gallate in rat liver, *Biochem Pharmacol* 60, 643-650.
- [273] Bernsmeier, C., Dill, M. T., Provenzano, A., Makowska, Z., Krol, I., Muscogiuri, G., Semela, D., Tornillo, L., Marra, F., Heim, M. H., and Duong, F. H. (2016) Hepatic Notch1 deletion predisposes to diabetes and steatosis via glucose-6-phosphatase and perilipin-5 upregulation, *Lab Invest* 96, 972-980.
- [274] Najt, C. P., Senthivayagam, S., Aljazi, M. B., Fader, K. A., Olenic, S. D., Brock, J. R., Lydic, T. A., Jones, A. D., and Atshaves, B. P. (2016) Liver-specific loss of Perilipin 2 alleviates diet-induced hepatic steatosis, inflammation, and fibrosis, *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 310, G726-738.
- [275] Pawella, L. M., Hashani, M., Eiteneuer, E., Renner, M., Bartenschlager, R., Schirmacher, P., and Straub, B. K. (2014) Perilipin discerns chronic from acute hepatocellular steatosis, *J Hepatol* 60, 633-642.
- [276] Fujii, H., Ikura, Y., Arimoto, J., Sugioka, K., Iezzoni, J. C., Park, S. H., Naruko, T., Itabe, H., Kawada, N., Caldwell, S. H., and Ueda, M. (2009) Expression of perilipin and adipophilin in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; relevance to oxidative injury and hepatocyte ballooning, *J Atheroscler Thromb* 16, 893-901.
- [277] Rossmannith, W. (2011) Localization of human RNase Z isoforms: dual nuclear/mitochondrial targeting of the ELAC2 gene product by alternative translation initiation, *PLoS One* 6, e19152.
- [278] Graff, E. C., Fang, H., Wanders, D., and Judd, R. L. (2016) Anti-inflammatory effects of the hydroxycarboxylic acid receptor 2, *Metabolism* 65, 102-113.
- [279] Liu, C., Kuei, C., Zhu, J., Yu, J., Zhang, L., Shih, A., Mirzadegan, T., Shelton, J., Sutton, S., Connelly, M. A., Lee, G., Carruthers, N., Wu, J., and Lovenberg, T. W. (2012) 3,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid, a specific agonist for hydroxycarboxylic acid 1, inhibits lipolysis in adipocytes, *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 341, 794-801.
- [280] Morland, C., Lauritzen, K. H., Puchades, M., Holm-Hansen, S., Andersson, K., Gjedde, A., Attramadal, H., Storm-Mathisen, J., and Bergersen, L. H. (2015) The lactate receptor, G-protein-coupled receptor 81/hydroxycarboxylic acid receptor 1: Expression and action in brain, *J Neurosci Res* 93, 1045-1055.
- [281] Feingold, K. R., Moser, A., Shigenaga, J. K., and Grunfeld, C. (2014) Inflammation stimulates niacin receptor (GPR109A/HCA2) expression in adipose tissue and macrophages, *J Lipid Res* 55, 2501-2508.
- [282] El-Zaatari, M., and Kao, J. Y. (2017) Role of Dietary Metabolites in Regulating the Host Immune Response in Gastrointestinal Disease, *Front Immunol* 8, 51.
- [283] Gonzalez Malagon, S. G., Melidoni, A. N., Hernandez, D., Omar, B. A., Houseman, L., Veeravalli, S., Scott, F., Varshavi, D., Everett, J., Tsuchiya, Y., Timms, J. F., Phillips, I. R., and Shephard, E. A. (2015) The phenotype of a knockout mouse identifies flavin-containing monooxygenase 5 (FMO5) as a regulator of metabolic ageing, *Biochem Pharmacol* 96, 267-277.

- [284] Solda, G., Caccia, S., Robusto, M., Chiereghin, C., Castorina, P., Ambrosetti, U., Duga, S., and Asselta, R. (2016) First independent replication of the involvement of LARS2 in Perrault syndrome by whole-exome sequencing of an Italian family, *J Hum Genet* 61, 295-300.
- [285] Newman, W. G., Friedman, T. B., and Conway, G. S. (1993) Perrault Syndrome, In *GeneReviews(R)* (Adam, M. P., Ardinger, H. H., Pagon, R. A., Wallace, S. E., Bean, L. J. H., Mefford, H. C., Stephens, K., Amemiya, A., and Ledbetter, N., Eds.), Seattle (WA).
- [286] Riley, L. G., Rudinger-Thirion, J., Schmitz-Abe, K., Thorburn, D. R., Davis, R. L., Teo, J., Arbuckle, S., Cooper, S. T., Campagna, D. R., Frugier, M., Markianos, K., Sue, C. M., Fleming, M. D., and Christodoulou, J. (2016) LARS2 Variants Associated with Hydrops, Lactic Acidosis, Sideroblastic Anemia, and Multisystem Failure, *JIMD Rep* 28, 49-57.
- [287] Hart, L. M., Hansen, T., Rietveld, I., Dekker, J. M., Nijpels, G., Janssen, G. M., Arp, P. A., Uitterlinden, A. G., Jorgensen, T., Borch-Johnsen, K., Pols, H. A., Pedersen, O., van Duijn, C. M., Heine, R. J., and Maassen, J. A. (2005) Evidence that the mitochondrial leucyl tRNA synthetase (LARS2) gene represents a novel type 2 diabetes susceptibility gene, *Diabetes* 54, 1892-1895.
- [288] Reiling, E., Jafar-Mohammadi, B., van 't Riet, E., Weedon, M. N., van Vliet-Ostaptchouk, J. V., Hansen, T., Saxena, R., van Haeften, T. W., Arp, P. A., Das, S., Nijpels, G., Groenewoud, M. J., van Hove, E. C., Uitterlinden, A. G., Smit, J. W., Morris, A. D., Doney, A. S., Palmer, C. N., Guiducci, C., Hattersley, A. T., Frayling, T. M., Pedersen, O., Slagboom, P. E., Altshuler, D. M., Groop, L., Romijn, J. A., Maassen, J. A., Hofker, M. H., Dekker, J. M., McCarthy, M. I., and Hart, L. M. (2010) Genetic association analysis of LARS2 with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetologia* 53, 103-110.
- [289] Sutrisna, E. (2016) The Impact of CYP1A2 and CYP2E1 Genes Polymorphism on Theophylline Response, *J Clin Diagn Res* 10, FE01-FE03.
- [290] Li, H., Clarke, J. D., Dzierlenga, A. L., Bear, J., Goedken, M. J., and Cherrington, N. J. (2017) In vivo cytochrome P450 activity alterations in diabetic nonalcoholic steatohepatitis mice, *J Biochem Mol Toxicol* 31.
- [291] Fisher, C. D., Lickteig, A. J., Augustine, L. M., Ranger-Moore, J., Jackson, J. P., Ferguson, S. S., and Cherrington, N. J. (2009) Hepatic cytochrome P450 enzyme alterations in humans with progressive stages of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Drug Metab Dispos* 37, 2087-2094.
- [292] Al Alam, D., Danopoulos, S., Schall, K., Sala, F. G., Almohazey, D., Fernandez, G. E., Georgia, S., Frey, M. R., Ford, H. R., Grikscheit, T., and Bellusci, S. (2015) Fibroblast growth factor 10 alters the balance between goblet and Paneth cells in the adult mouse small intestine, *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 308, G678-690.
- [293] Lili, L. N., Farkas, A. E., Gerner-Smidt, C., Overgaard, C. E., Moreno, C. S., Parkos, C. A., Capaldo, C. T., and Nusrat, A. (2016) Claudin-based barrier differentiation in the colonic epithelial crypt niche involves Hopx/Klf4 and Tcf7l2/Hnf4-alpha cascades, *Tissue Barriers* 4, e1214038.
- [294] Kelly, E. E., Giordano, F., Horgan, C. P., Jollivet, F., Raposo, G., and McCaffrey, M. W. (2012) Rab30 is required for the morphological integrity of the Golgi apparatus, *Biol Cell* 104, 84-101.
- [295] Li, Q., Wang, J., Wan, Y., and Chen, D. (2016) Depletion of Rab32 decreases intracellular lipid accumulation and induces lipolysis through enhancing ATGL expression in hepatocytes, *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 471, 492-496.
- [296] Klein, C. (2009) Congenital neutropenia, *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*, 344-350.

- [297] Reissmann, M., and Ludwig, A. (2013) Pleiotropic effects of coat colour-associated mutations in humans, mice and other mammals, *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 24, 576-586.
- [298] Brandt-Bohne, U., Keene, D. R., White, F. A., and Koch, M. (2007) MEGF9: a novel transmembrane protein with a strong and developmentally regulated expression in the nervous system, *Biochem J* 401, 447-457.
- [299] Lopez-Corral, L., Corchete, L. A., Sarasquete, M. E., Mateos, M. V., Garcia-Sanz, R., Ferminan, E., Lahuerta, J. J., Blade, J., Oriol, A., Teruel, A. I., Martino, M. L., Hernandez, J., Hernandez-Rivas, J. M., Burguillo, F. J., San Miguel, J. F., and Gutierrez, N. C. (2014) Transcriptome analysis reveals molecular profiles associated with evolving steps of monoclonal gammopathies, *Haematologica* 99, 1365-1372.
- [300] Wong, S., Tan, K., Carey, K. T., Fukushima, A., Tiganis, T., and Cole, T. J. (2010) Glucocorticoids stimulate hepatic and renal catecholamine inactivation by direct rapid induction of the dopamine sulfotransferase Sult1d1, *Endocrinology* 151, 185-194.
- [301] Teufel, A., Becker, D., Weber, S. N., Dooley, S., Breitkopf-Heinlein, K., Maass, T., Hochrath, K., Krupp, M., Marquardt, J. U., Kolb, M., Korn, B., Niehrs, C., Zimmermann, T., Godoy, P., Galle, P. R., and Lammert, F. (2012) Identification of RARRES1 as a core regulator in liver fibrosis, *J Mol Med (Berl)* 90, 1439-1447.
- [302] Nakayama, K., Fukamachi, S., Kimura, H., Koda, Y., Soemantri, A., and Ishida, T. (2002) Distinctive distribution of AIM1 polymorphism among major human populations with different skin color, *J Hum Genet* 47, 92-94.
- [303] Du, J., and Fisher, D. E. (2002) Identification of Aim-1 as the underwhite mouse mutant and its transcriptional regulation by MTF, *J Biol Chem* 277, 402-406.
- [304] Teichmann, U., Ray, M. E., Ellison, J., Graham, C., Wistow, G., Meltzer, P. S., Trent, J. M., and Pavan, W. J. (1998) Cloning and tissue expression of the mouse ortholog of AIM1, a betagamma-crystallin superfamily member, *Mamm Genome* 9, 715-720.
- [305] Qi, Y., Yu, Y., Wu, Y., Wang, S., Yu, Q., Shi, J., Xu, Z., Zhang, Q., Fu, Y., Fu, Y., and Kou, C. (2015) Genetic Variants in Six-Transmembrane Epithelial Antigen of Prostate 4 Increase Risk of Developing Metabolic Syndrome in a Han Chinese Population, *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers* 19, 666-672.
- [306] Zhang, W., Tang, M., Zhong, M., Wang, Z., Shang, Y., Gong, H., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, W. (2013) Association of the six transmembrane protein of prostate 2 gene polymorphisms with metabolic syndrome in Han Chinese population, *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 7, 138-142.
- [307] Miot, A., Maimaitiming, S., Emery, N., Bellili, N., Roussel, R., Tichet, J., Velho, G., Balkau, B., Marre, M., Fumeron, F., and Group, D. S. (2010) Genetic variability at the six transmembrane protein of prostate 2 locus and the metabolic syndrome: the data from an epidemiological study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome (DESIR) study, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 95, 2942-2947.
- [308] Ramadoss, P., Chiappini, F., Bilban, M., and Hollenberg, A. N. (2010) Regulation of hepatic six transmembrane epithelial antigen of prostate 4 (STEAP4) expression by STAT3 and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha, *J Biol Chem* 285, 16453-16466.
- [309] Sparna, T., Retey, J., Schmich, K., Albrecht, U., Naumann, K., Gretz, N., Fischer, H. P., Bode, J. G., and Merfort, I. (2010) Genome-wide comparison between IL-17 and combined TNF-alpha/IL-17 induced genes in primary murine hepatocytes, *BMC Genomics* 11, 226.
- [310] Anwer, M. S., and Stieger, B. (2014) Sodium-dependent bile salt transporters of the SLC10A transporter family: more than solute transporters, *Pflugers Arch* 466, 77-89.
- [311] Shih, D. Q., Bussen, M., Sehayek, E., Ananthanarayanan, M., Shneider, B. L., Suchy, F. J., Shefer, S., Bollilini, J. S., Gonzalez, F. J., Breslow, J. L., and Stoffel, M. (2001) Hepatocyte

- nuclear factor-1alpha is an essential regulator of bile acid and plasma cholesterol metabolism, *Nat Genet* 27, 375-382.
- [312] Chen, F., Ma, L., Al-Ansari, N., and Shneider, B. (2001) The role of AP-1 in the transcriptional regulation of the rat apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter, *J Biol Chem* 276, 38703-38714.
- [313] Katoh, Y., Takemori, H., Min, L., Muraoka, M., Doi, J., Horike, N., and Okamoto, M. (2004) Salt-inducible kinase-1 represses cAMP response element-binding protein activity both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm, *Eur J Biochem* 271, 4307-4319.
- [314] Okamoto, M., Takemori, H., and Katoh, Y. (2004) Salt-inducible kinase in steroidogenesis and adipogenesis, *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 15, 21-26.
- [315] Guillemot, L., Schneider, Y., Brun, P., Castagliuolo, I., Pizzuti, D., Martines, D., Jond, L., Bongiovanni, M., and Citi, S. (2012) Cingulin is dispensable for epithelial barrier function and tight junction structure, and plays a role in the control of claudin-2 expression and response to duodenal mucosa injury, *J Cell Sci* 125, 5005-5014.
- [316] Citi, S., Guerrero, D., Spadaro, D., and Shah, J. (2014) Epithelial junctions and Rho family GTPases: the zonular signalosome, *Small GTPases* 5, 1-15.
- [317] Porat-Shliom, N., Tietgens, A. J., Van Itallie, C. M., Vitale-Cross, L., Jarnik, M., Harding, O. J., Anderson, J. M., Gutkind, J. S., Weigert, R., and Arias, I. M. (2016) Liver kinase B1 regulates hepatocellular tight junction distribution and function in vivo, *Hepatology* 64, 1317-1329.
- [318] Walpen, T., Kalus, I., Schwaller, J., Peier, M. A., Battegay, E. J., and Humar, R. (2012) Nuclear PIM1 confers resistance to rapamycin-impaired endothelial proliferation, *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 429, 24-30.
- [319] Leung, C. O., Wong, C. C., Fan, D. N., Kai, A. K., Tung, E. K., Xu, I. M., Ng, I. O., and Lo, R. C. (2015) PIM1 regulates glycolysis and promotes tumor progression in hepatocellular carcinoma, *Oncotarget* 6, 10880-10892.
- [320] Braso-Maristany, F., Filosto, S., Catchpole, S., Marlow, R., Quist, J., Francesch-Domenech, E., Plumb, D. A., Zakka, L., Gazinska, P., Lippardi, G., Meier, P., Gris-Oliver, A., Cheang, M. C., Perdrix-Rosell, A., Shafat, M., Noel, E., Patel, N., McEachern, K., Scaltriti, M., Castel, P., Noor, F., Buus, R., Mathew, S., Watkins, J., Serra, V., Marra, P., Grigoriadis, A., and Tutt, A. N. (2016) PIM1 kinase regulates cell death, tumor growth and chemotherapy response in triple-negative breast cancer, *Nat Med* 22, 1303-1313.
- [321] Gu, J. J., Wang, Z., Reeves, R., and Magnuson, N. S. (2009) PIM1 phosphorylates and negatively regulates ASK1-mediated apoptosis, *Oncogene* 28, 4261-4271.
- [322] Chiochetti, A., Gibello, L., Carando, A., Aspesi, A., Secco, P., Garelli, E., Loreni, F., Angelini, M., Biava, A., Dahl, N., Dianzani, U., Ramenghi, U., Santoro, C., and Dianzani, I. (2005) Interactions between RPS19, mutated in Diamond-Blackfan anemia, and the PIM-1 oncoprotein, *Haematologica* 90, 1453-1462.
- [323] Lu, S. C. (2013) Glutathione synthesis, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1830, 3143-3153.
- [324] Chen, Y., Yang, Y., Miller, M. L., Shen, D., Shertzer, H. G., Stringer, K. F., Wang, B., Schneider, S. N., Nebert, D. W., and Dalton, T. P. (2007) Hepatocyte-specific Gclc deletion leads to rapid onset of steatosis with mitochondrial injury and liver failure, *Hepatology* 45, 1118-1128.
- [325] Krieger, J. R., Taylor, P., Gajadhar, A. S., Guha, A., Moran, M. F., and McGlade, C. J. (2013) Identification and selected reaction monitoring (SRM) quantification of endocytosis factors associated with Numb, *Mol Cell Proteomics* 12, 499-514.

- [326] Cullis, D. N., Philip, B., Baleja, J. D., and Feig, L. A. (2002) Rab11-FIP2, an adaptor protein connecting cellular components involved in internalization and recycling of epidermal growth factor receptors, *J Biol Chem* 277, 49158-49166.
- [327] Chen, J. Y., Chou, H. C., Chen, Y. H., and Chan, H. L. (2013) High glucose-induced proteome alterations in hepatocytes and its possible relevance to diabetic liver disease, *J Nutr Biochem* 24, 1889-1910.
- [328] Royo, F., Moreno, L., Mleczko, J., Palomo, L., Gonzalez, E., Cabrera, D., Cogolludo, A., Vizcaino, F. P., van-Liem, S., and Falcon-Perez, J. M. (2017) Hepatocyte-secreted extracellular vesicles modify blood metabolome and endothelial function by an arginase-dependent mechanism, *Sci Rep* 7, 42798.
- [329] Chen, T. Y., Li, Y. C., Liu, Y. F., Tsai, C. M., Hsieh, Y. H., Lin, C. W., Yang, S. F., and Weng, C. J. (2011) Role of MMP14 gene polymorphisms in susceptibility and pathological development to hepatocellular carcinoma, *Ann Surg Oncol* 18, 2348-2356.
- [330] Blaine, S. A., Ray, K. C., Branch, K. M., Robinson, P. S., Whitehead, R. H., and Means, A. L. (2009) Epidermal growth factor receptor regulates pancreatic fibrosis, *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 297, G434-441.
- [331] Mitchell, C., Nivison, M., Jackson, L. F., Fox, R., Lee, D. C., Campbell, J. S., and Fausto, N. (2005) Heparin-binding epidermal growth factor-like growth factor links hepatocyte priming with cell cycle progression during liver regeneration, *J Biol Chem* 280, 2562-2568.
- [332] Souza Pauli, L. S., Ropelle, E. C., de Souza, C. T., Cintra, D. E., da Silva, A. S., de Almeida Rodrigues, B., de Moura, L. P., Marinho, R., de Oliveira, V., Katashima, C. K., Pauli, J. R., and Ropelle, E. R. (2014) Exercise training decreases mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase-3 expression and suppresses hepatic gluconeogenesis in obese mice, *J Physiol* 592, 1325-1340.
- [333] Patterson, K. I., Brummer, T., O'Brien, P. M., and Daly, R. J. (2009) Dual-specificity phosphatases: critical regulators with diverse cellular targets, *Biochem J* 418, 475-489.
- [334] Li, C., Scott, D. A., Hatch, E., Tian, X., and Mansour, S. L. (2007) Dusp6 (Mkp3) is a negative feedback regulator of FGF-stimulated ERK signaling during mouse development, *Development* 134, 167-176.
- [335] Jennemann, R., Rothermel, U., Wang, S., Sandhoff, R., Kaden, S., Out, R., van Berkel, T. J., Aerts, J. M., Ghauharali, K., Sticht, C., and Grone, H. J. (2010) Hepatic glycosphingolipid deficiency and liver function in mice, *Hepatology* 51, 1799-1809.
- [336] Promrat, K., Longato, L., Wands, J. R., and de la Monte, S. M. (2011) Weight loss amelioration of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis linked to shifts in hepatic ceramide expression and serum ceramide levels, *Hepatol Res* 41, 754-762.
- [337] Chi, X., Zhang, A., Luo, G., Xia, H., Zhu, G., Hei, Z., Liu, X., Wei, J., and Xia, Z. (2013) Knockdown of myeloid differentiation protein-2 reduces acute lung injury following orthotopic autologous liver transplantation in a rat model, *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 26, 380-387.
- [338] Hritz, I., Mandrekar, P., Velayudham, A., Catalano, D., Dolganiuc, A., Kodys, K., Kurt-Jones, E., and Szabo, G. (2008) The critical role of toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 in alcoholic liver disease is independent of the common TLR adapter MyD88, *Hepatology* 48, 1224-1231.
- [339] Nguyen, T. T., Park, W. S., Park, B. O., Kim, C. Y., Oh, Y., Kim, J. M., Choi, H., Kyung, T., Kim, C. H., Lee, G., Hahn, K. M., Meyer, T., and Heo, W. D. (2016) PLEKHG3 enhances polarized cell migration by activating actin filaments at the cell front, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113, 10091-10096.

- [340] Miao, H., Nickel, C. H., Cantley, L. G., Bruggeman, L. A., Bennardo, L. N., and Wang, B. (2003) EphA kinase activation regulates HGF-induced epithelial branching morphogenesis, *J Cell Biol* 162, 1281-1292.
- [341] Alisi, A., Da Sacco, L., Bruscalupi, G., Piemonte, F., Panera, N., De Vito, R., Leoni, S., Bottazzo, G. F., Masotti, A., and Nobili, V. (2011) Mirnome analysis reveals novel molecular determinants in the pathogenesis of diet-induced nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Lab Invest* 91, 283-293.
- [342] Wanninger, J., Neumeier, M., Hellerbrand, C., Schacherer, D., Bauer, S., Weiss, T. S., Huber, H., Schaffler, A., Aslanidis, C., Scholmerich, J., and Buechler, C. (2011) Lipid accumulation impairs adiponectin-mediated induction of activin A by increasing TGFbeta in primary human hepatocytes, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1811, 626-633.
- [343] Coulon, S., Heindryckx, F., Geerts, A., Van Steenkiste, C., Colle, I., and Van Vlierberghe, H. (2011) Angiogenesis in chronic liver disease and its complications, *Liver Int* 31, 146-162.
- [344] Wang, Y., Xu, L. Y., Lam, K. S., Lu, G., Cooper, G. J., and Xu, A. (2006) Proteomic characterization of human serum proteins associated with the fat-derived hormone adiponectin, *Proteomics* 6, 3862-3870.
- [345] Baggott, J. E., and MacKenzie, R. E. (2003) 5,10-methenyltetrahydrofolate cyclohydrolase, rat liver and chemically catalysed formation of 5-formyltetrahydrofolate, *Biochem J* 374, 773-778.
- [346] Noakes, C. J., Lee, G., and Lowe, M. (2011) The PH domain proteins IPIP27A and B link OCRL1 to receptor recycling in the endocytic pathway, *Mol Biol Cell* 22, 606-623.
- [347] Hasenfuss, S. C., Bakiri, L., Thomsen, M. K., Williams, E. G., Auwerx, J., and Wagner, E. F. (2014) Regulation of steatohepatitis and PPARgamma signaling by distinct AP-1 dimers, *Cell Metab* 19, 84-95.
- [348] Veiga-da-Cunha, M., Tyteca, D., Stroobant, V., Courtoy, P. J., Opperdoes, F. R., and Van Schaftingen, E. (2010) Molecular identification of NAT8 as the enzyme that acetylates cysteine S-conjugates to mercapturic acids, *J Biol Chem* 285, 18888-18898.
- [349] Grimm, F. A., Hu, D., Kania-Korwel, I., Lehmler, H. J., Ludewig, G., Hornbuckle, K. C., Duffel, M. W., Bergman, A., and Robertson, L. W. (2015) Metabolism and metabolites of polychlorinated biphenyls, *Crit Rev Toxicol* 45, 245-272.
- [350] Wang, B., Hsu, S. H., Frankel, W., Ghoshal, K., and Jacob, S. T. (2012) Stat3-mediated activation of microRNA-23a suppresses gluconeogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma by down-regulating glucose-6-phosphatase and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma, coactivator 1 alpha, *Hepatology* 56, 186-197.
- [351] Oh, K. J., Han, H. S., Kim, M. J., and Koo, S. H. (2013) CREB and FoxO1: two transcription factors for the regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis, *BMB Rep* 46, 567-574.
- [352] Yin, M., Ikejima, K., Wheeler, M. D., Bradford, B. U., Seabra, V., Forman, D. T., Sato, N., and Thurman, R. G. (2000) Estrogen is involved in early alcohol-induced liver injury in a rat enteral feeding model, *Hepatology* 31, 117-123.
- [353] Su, G. L., Rahemtulla, A., Thomas, P., Klein, R. D., Wang, S. C., and Nanji, A. A. (1998) CD14 and lipopolysaccharide binding protein expression in a rat model of alcoholic liver disease, *Am J Pathol* 152, 841-849.
- [354] Brun, P., Castagliuolo, I., Floreani, A. R., Buda, A., Blasone, L., Palu, G., and Martinez, D. (2006) Increased risk of NASH in patients carrying the C(-159)T polymorphism in the CD14 gene promoter region, *Gut* 55, 1212.
- [355] Du, L., Neis, M. M., Ladd, P. A., and Keeney, D. S. (2006) Differentiation-specific factors modulate epidermal CYP1-4 gene expression in human skin in response to retinoic acid and classic aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligands, *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 319, 1162-1171.

- [356] Scott, A., Weldon, S., and Taggart, C. C. (2011) SLPI and elafin: multifunctional antiproteases of the WFDC family, *Biochem Soc Trans* 39, 1437-1440.
- [357] La Rocca, G., Anzalone, R., and Farina, F. (2009) The expression of CD68 in human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells: new evidences of presence in non-myeloid cell types, *Scand J Immunol* 70, 161-162.
- [358] Kamino, H., Yamazaki, Y., Saito, K., Takizawa, D., Kakizaki, S., Moore, R., and Negishi, M. (2011) Nuclear receptor CAR-regulated expression of the FAM84A gene during the development of mouse liver tumors, *Int J Oncol* 38, 1511-1520.
- [359] Kogelman, L. J., Fu, J., Franke, L., Greve, J. W., Hofker, M., Rensen, S. S., and Kadarmideen, H. N. (2016) Inter-Tissue Gene Co-Expression Networks between Metabolically Healthy and Unhealthy Obese Individuals, *PLoS One* 11, e0167519.
- [360] Lee, S. J., Yoo, J. D., Choi, S. Y., and Kwon, O. S. (2014) The expression and secretion of vimentin in the progression of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, *BMB Rep* 47, 457-462.
- [361] Syn, W. K., Choi, S. S., Liaskou, E., Karaca, G. F., Agboola, K. M., Oo, Y. H., Mi, Z., Pereira, T. A., Zdanowicz, M., Malladi, P., Chen, Y., Moylan, C., Jung, Y., Bhattacharya, S. D., Teaberry, V., Omenetti, A., Abdelmalek, M. F., Guy, C. D., Adams, D. H., Kuo, P. C., Michelotti, G. A., Whittington, P. F., and Diehl, A. M. (2011) Osteopontin is induced by hedgehog pathway activation and promotes fibrosis progression in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, *Hepatology* 53, 106-115.
- [362] Singh, A. B., and Harris, R. C. (2004) Epidermal growth factor receptor activation differentially regulates claudin expression and enhances transepithelial resistance in Madin-Darby canine kidney cells, *J Biol Chem* 279, 3543-3552.
- [363] Park, J. H., Liu, L., Kim, I. H., Kim, J. H., You, K. R., and Kim, D. G. (2005) Identification of the genes involved in enhanced fenretinide-induced apoptosis by parthenolide in human hepatoma cells, *Cancer Res* 65, 2804-2814.
- [364] Engelhardt, C. M., Bundschu, K., Messerschmitt, M., Renne, T., Walter, U., Reinhard, M., and Schuh, K. (2004) Expression and subcellular localization of Spred proteins in mouse and human tissues, *Histochem Cell Biol* 122, 527-538.

Appendix Table 1

Fold change and summary information for 123 targets differentially transcribed with Aroclor 1260 exposure, compared to fold change with prototypical ligand exposure

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000026442	Nfasc	-3.73		-3.23	-4.20		Neurofascin is an L1 family immunoglobulin cell adhesion molecule with multiple IGCam and fibronectin domains.
ENSMUSG00000002489	Tiam1	-2.51			-2.73		T lymphoma invasion and metastasis 1 is upregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma with highly invasive and metastatic character ^{171, 212, 213} and pancreatic cancer tissues secondary to loss of Par3 (binding partner). ¹⁷² In pancreatic cancers, Par3 and Tiam1 affect the expression of Claudin1 and ZO-1 to reduce tight junction function. ¹⁷² Tiam1 is a target of suppression for miRNA 141 ¹⁷³ and miRNA 377. ¹⁷⁴
ENSMUSG00000076434	Wfdc3	-2.45	-1.60		-2.13		Protein structure with four disulfide cores (shared with SLP1 and elafin/Trappin-2), allows the proteins in this family to function as protease inhibitors, antimicrobials, and to regulate calcium. ²¹⁴
ENSMUSG00000034780	B3galt1	-1.81	-2.94		-2.14		Beta-1,3-galactosyltransferase expressed exclusively in the brain. Functions to transfer galactose from UDP-galactose to substrates with a terminal beta-N-acetylglucosamine (beta-GlcNAc) residue.
ENSMUSG00000032315	Cyp1a1	-1.78	3.82	1.53	-3.43	1.77	A drug-metabolizing enzyme of the p450 family, classic target of arylhydrocarbon receptor.
ENSMUSG00000084923	Gm15611	-1.57	-2.09		-1.83		predicted gene 15611, known lincRNA
ENSMUSG00000069919	Hba-a1	-1.47					hemoglobin alpha, adult chain 1

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000038156	Spon1	-1.43	0.66		-1.31		Carboxyesterase 2 is downregulated in the livers of NASH patients. Experimental ablation of Ces2b in a mouse model causes ER stress in hepatocytes and stimulates lipogenesis in an SREBP-1-dependent manner. ¹⁶¹ Pro-inflammatory cytokine signaling can affect expression of carboxyesterases: LPS treatment reduces the expression of human Ces2 (and Ces1) ²¹⁵ as does IL-6. ²¹⁶ Because Ces2 induces enzyme systems responsible for the metabolism of some xenobiotic esters both in the liver and in the intestine, reductions in expression can also affect drug metabolism. ²¹⁷
ENSMUSG00000050097	Ces2b	-1.41					
ENSMUSG00000097461	Gm26735	-1.20	-1.48		-1.46	-1.03	Predicted gene, known lincRNA SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 4, stimulates apoptosis in response to Ca++ ionophores or A23187 in HepG2 cells. ¹⁷⁵
ENSMUSG00000076431	Sox4	-1.17	-1.46		-1.64	-1.12	glutathione S-transferase, mu 3 is involved in glutathione-dependent clearance of xenobiotics (Phase II metabolism). ¹⁷⁶ Expression of Gstm is inhibited with Ras activation. ¹⁸¹
ENSMUSG00000004038	Gstm3	-1.10	-0.67			0.81	Grem1n 2, DAN Family BMP Antagonist, inhibits BMP2 and BMP4. Upregulated during mucosal regeneration, opposes cell migration in (primary airway) epithelial cells during regeneration in a model of disrupted epithelium. ²¹⁸ In mouse lung after asbestos exposure, Grem2 upregulation was
ENSMUSG00000050069	Grem2	-1.00	-1.56	0.99	-1.56		

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG000000041992	Rapgef5	-0.99					associated with decreased SMAD1/5/8 protein and increased SMAD2 phosphorylation. ²¹⁹ Antagonizes BMP4 to affect proximal-distal patterning of the lung during development. ²²⁰
ENSMUSG000000041992	Rapgef5	-0.99					Rap Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor 5
ENSMUSG000000030909	Anks4b	-0.97	-0.51	0.55			Ankyrin Repeat And Sterile Alpha Motif Domain Containing 4B is a target of HNF4a in pancreatic beta cells, and is decreased in the β -cells of an HNF4a KO mouse model and during HNF4a KD in cell line. HNF4a KD also . It binds to glucose-related protein 78 (GRP78) – an ER chaperone – and enhances ER stress/ER stress-related apoptosis. ²²¹
ENSMUSG000000053168	9030619P08Rik	-0.96	-1.02		-1.24		A known transcribed unprocessed pseudogene
ENSMUSG000000053168	9030619P08Rik	-0.96	-1.02		-1.24		Hemoglobin Subunit Beta was elevated in the serum of patients with NASH, and increased with increasing severity of disease. ²²²
ENSMUSG000000052305	Hbb-bs	-0.96					
ENSMUSG000000101206	Gm5266	-0.95	-1.74		-1.14	-0.96	Centromere Protein J is a transcriptional coactivator of NFKB, via synergistic CREB1/p300/NFKB interaction. CENPJ associates with the p65 (RelA) subunit of NFKB. ²²³ In HCC, CENPJ contributes to TNFa-induced NFKB activation by increasing phosphorylation of p65 and phosphorylation/degradation of IKBa (involved in recruitment of IKK to inactive cytoplasmic NFKB complexes). ²²⁴
ENSMUSG000000064128	Cenpi or CPAP	-0.92	-1.38		-1.42		
ENSMUSG000000091572	Vmn2r3	-0.92	-0.72		-1.21		vomeroneasal 2, receptor 3

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000052131	Akr1b7	-0.90	-1.46	0.74	-0.58	1.16	Aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B7 is enriched in adipose stromal vascular fraction, but not in mature adipocytes. In a mouse model, Akr1b7 KO increases basal adiposity (adipocyte hyperplasia and hypertrophy) with diet-independent development of liver steatosis and insulin resistance. ¹⁶² In the liver, Akr1b7 expression is induced by FXR activation (direct binding to FXRE in promoter). ¹⁸²
ENSMUSG00000031169	Porcn	-0.89	-1.17		-0.71		Porcupine homologue is an ER protein catalyzes the palmitoylation of Wnt proteins, allowing secretion and activity. Inhibition of Porcn (by LGK974, IWP-1/2, ETC/A*STAR1/2, synthetic Porcn inhibitors) used as a chemotherapeutic strategy because it inhibits aberrant Wnt signaling, which is often dysregulated in cancer. ¹⁷⁸ Loss of macrophage-derived Wnt ligands in Porcn-null mice prevents recovery after radiation-induced damage to the intestine. ²²⁵
ENSMUSG00000057068	Fam47e	-0.86					Family with sequence similarity 47, member E expression is significantly positively correlated with CKD (is a CKD-risk associated candidate gene). ²²⁶
ENSMUSG00000021260	Hhip1	-0.84			-0.48		Hedgehog interacting protein-like 1, like Hhip1, is a secreted protein with an N-terminal signal peptide, and is expressed in trabecular bone. ²²⁷ A SNP in Hhip1 is linked to coronary artery disease by GWAS. ²²⁸
ENSMUSG00000041132	N4bp2l1	-0.84			-0.73		NEDD4 binding protein 2-like 1 is highly expressed in oral squamous cell carcinoma,

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							and knockdown of this protein significantly inhibits metastasis. ²²⁹
							Thioesterase superfamily member 7 is a member of the Acyl-CoA thioesterase (ACOT) family – enzymes which catalyze the hydrolysis of fatty acyl-CoA molecules and other substrates. This family has roles in control of intracellular FFA (vs fatty acyl-CoA), as well as the production of inflammatory metabolites. Another member of this family, Them1/ACOT11 is differentially regulated in vivo, and contains a C-terminal START domain and binds to StarD14. ²³⁰
ENSMUSG00000055312	Them7	-0.84	-0.61		-0.64		Hemochromatosis type 2 is associated with hepcidin function, and is downregulated in NAFLD possibly as a consequence of impaired hepatic iron sensing, which may contribute to iron accumulation in NAFLD. Hfe expression is also negatively correlated with TNF α expression in patients with NAFLD. ¹⁶³
ENSMUSG00000038403	Hfe2	-0.83	-0.57		-0.54		RIKEN cDNA 1700024P16 gene is protein-coding and of unknown function.
ENSMUSG00000078612	1700024P16Rik	-0.81	-0.63				Monoxygenase, DBH-like 1, is a widely expressed ER protein with copper-binding capabilities. ²³¹ Its expression has been reported to be influenced by insulin signaling in the liver. ²³²
ENSMUSG00000020000	Moxd1	-0.80	-6.65		-5.84		Ubiquitin specific peptidase 18
ENSMUSG00000030107	Usp18	-0.79			0.47	-0.73	Oromusoid 2 is an acute-phase protein mainly synthesized and secreted by hepatocytes. Its ectopic expression opposes HCC metastasis. ORM
ENSMUSG00000061540	Ormi2	-0.76					

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							transcription is promoted by the activity of C/EBP β , particularly the LAP1/2 isoforms. ²³³ Mine this reference for supporting primary literature for statements: it is anti-inflammatory (opposes neutrophils, depresses cytokine secretion). Upregulated by IL-6, glucocorticoids.
							Solute Carrier Family 41 Member 3 plays a role in magnesium homeostasis in the kidney (distal convoluted tubule) and intestine by functioning as a cation transporter and regulating basolateral Mg ²⁺ extrusion (into the blood) ²³⁴ . Expression is increased by low-Mg ²⁺ diet. Mice with loss of function mutation in this transporter suffer from severe hypomagnesemia (cannot extrude recovered magnesium to blood) ²³⁴ . Interestingly, individuals on EGFR inhibitors often suffer from hypomagnesemia ²³⁵ .
ENSMUSG00000030089	Slc41a3	-0.75					In two mouse models of kidney injury, UDP Glucuronosyltransferase Family 2 Member B37 was a target of SMAD3-dependent transcription inhibition. Ugt2b37 is involved in the metabolism of endogenous compounds (bilirubin, bile acids, fatty acids, steroid hormones, thyroid hormones and fat soluble vitamins) ²³⁶ .
ENSMUSG00000057425	Ugt2b37	-0.75	-1.90		-1.58		Oromusoid 3, like Orm2, is an acute-phase protein of the immunocalin subfamily: small-molecule-binding proteins that function to modify immune response. Unlike Orm2, Orm3 transcription was not induced in astrocytes after systemic LPS challenge. ²³⁷
ENSMUSG00000028359	Orm3	-0.74	-0.64				

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000022032	Scara5	-0.72	-1.97	-1.34	-0.62		Scavenger Receptor Class A Member 5 binds to extracellular ferritin and controls its endocytosis and the capture of its iron. ²³⁸ In a human renal cell carcinoma model (Rock2 overexpression), knockdown of Rock2 increases Scara5 through beta-catenin/TCF4 signaling and suppresses migration ²³⁹ .
ENSMUSG000000063659	Zbtb18	-0.71	-1.10	-0.90			Zinc Finger And BTB Domain Containing 18 encodes a C2H2-type zinc finger protein, a transcriptional repressor of genes involved in neuronal development. ²⁴⁰
ENSMUSG000000046027	Stard5	-0.71	-0.61	-0.50			Steroidogenic Acute Regulatory - Related Lipid Transfer Domain Containing 5 encodes a cytosolic cholesterol transport protein ²⁴¹ which is additionally able to bind and transport other sterol-derived lipids such as primary bile acids and 25-hydroxycholesterol ²⁴²⁻²⁴⁴ . Its mRNA is stabilized by ER stress ²⁴⁵ .
ENSMUSG000000032715	Trib3	-0.69	-0.77	-0.85			The tribbles pseudokinase 3 gene (TRIB3) which is associated with insulin resistance/T2DM ^{46, 47} , cardiovascular risk ⁴⁶ , and polycystic ovary syndrome in various human populations.
ENSMUSG000000022244	Amacr	-0.67	-0.62				The protein encoded by Alpha-Methylacyl-CoA Racemase (p504s) interconverts pristanoyl-CoA and C27-bile acylCoAs from (R)- to (S)-stereoisomers, a necessary step in the degradation of these substrates by peroxisomal beta-oxidation. It is a putative target of beta-catenin, and is overexpressed in individuals with CTNNB1 mutations (related to Mg++ sensing) ²⁴⁶ . It is a putative

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							target of C/EBP via a non-classic binding site ²⁴⁷ .
							Phosphatidylcholine Transfer Protein is also called Stard2. It facilitates the import of phosphatidylcholine into the mitochondria ²⁴⁸ . Pctp interacts with Them2/Acot13 to increase hepatic glucose production during fasting ^{249, 250} . It has a role in the development of atherosclerosis, most likely by modulating blood lipid levels ²⁵¹ . It regulates the hepatic uptake and clearance of HDL particles in a diet-dependent manner ²⁵² . Pctp inhibits thermogenesis in brown adipose tissue ²⁵³ .
ENSMUSG00000020553	Pctp	-0.67					Protein Phosphatase 1 Regulatory Subunit 3B . SNPs in this gene (rs4240624) are associated with higher incidence of liver injury in obese Mexican-Americans ²⁵⁴ . Polymorphisms are also associated with higher hepatic triglycerides ²⁵⁵ . Depletes the phosphorylated form of glycogen phosphorylase, removing the inhibition on glycogen synthase – defects in this pathway lead to dysregulation of hepatic glucose production in T2DM ²⁵⁶ . It is a target of FXR activation ²⁵⁷ .
ENSMUSG00000046794	Ppp1r3b	-0.66	-1.59	0.60	-1.31		Coenzyme Q8B mutations are associated with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome/chronic kidney disease of unknown origin ²⁵⁸⁻²⁶⁰ .
ENSMUSG00000003762	Adck4/COQ8B	-0.65	-1.33		-1.02		Retinoid dehydrogenase 9 encodes a short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase important in steroid/retinoid metabolism. It converts 9-cis-retinol into 9-cis-retinal and 3a-
ENSMUSG00000056148	Rdh9	-0.65					

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							androstenediol into dihydrotestosterone. It is highly expressed in adult hepatocytes. Knockout causes an increase in xenobiotic/steroid metabolic enzymes (Cyp2, Cyp3, 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2, and 17 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases types 4 and 5) and a decrease in Cyp17A1 ²⁶⁷ .
ENSMUSG00000073565	Prr16	-0.65	-0.82		-0.52		Proline Rich 16 encodes the protein Largen, a factor affecting the translation of specific mRNA. Overexpression causes increased cell size and increased mitochondrial mass. Largen controls cell size independent of Hippo and mTOR pathways ²⁶² .
ENSMUSG00000036181	Hist1h1c	-0.64			-0.64		Histone Cluster 1 H1 Family Member C encodes a protein which interacts with DNA between nucleosomes to regulate the higher order structure of chromatin ²⁶³ .
							Fibroblast Growth Factor 21 encodes a starvation-induced hepatokine which functions to increase hepatic insulin sensitivity and upregulate β -oxidation pathways ²⁶⁴ . Serum levels of FGF21 can be used as a biomarker to distinguish simple steatosis from NASH ^{265, 266} . Mice lacking Fgf21 were more likely to develop NASH in an experimental model of metabolic fatty liver disease ²⁶⁷ .
ENSMUSG00000030827	Fgf21	-0.63	-2.31		-2.77		The protein encoded by Solute Carrier Family 25 Member 15 transports ornithine from the cytosol to the mitochondrial matrix (across the mitochondrial inner membrane). Mutation can cause human hyperornithinemia-hyperammonemia-
ENSMUSG00000031482	Slc25a15	-0.63	-0.90		-0.46		

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							homocitrullinuria (HHH) syndrome, an urea cycle defect ^{268, 269} .
ENSMUSG00000107198	Gm19619	-0.63	0.46	0.73			Predicted gene
							Dopey Family Member 2 encodes a protein involved in morphogenesis and embryonic patterning, which is overexpressed in Down's Syndrome and may contribute to developmental defects in the brains of those affected ^{270, 271} . May be a susceptibility gene in Alzheimers Syndrome ^{272, 273} .
ENSMUSG00000022946	Dopey2	-0.62					Glutathione S-Transferase Mu 2 encodes a protein in the class of enzymes responsible for the detoxification of xenobiotic and endobiotic compounds by conjugation with glutathione. It is differentially expressed in the livers of African-American NASH patients (upregulated) vs. Caucasian NASH patients (upregulated) and also shows age- and sex-specific patterns of expression ^{275, 276} . Gstm2 is overexpressed in (mouse) hepatoma cells with activating CTNNB (beta-catenin) mutations, and its perivenous expression preference is driven by beta-catenin ¹⁸¹ . Expression of this GST subunit is specifically upregulated with epigallocatechin gallate (green tea polyphenol) exposure ²⁷⁷ .
ENSMUSG00000040562	Gstm2	-0.61					
ENSMUSG00000035171	1110059E24Rik	-0.61					A protein-coding gene
							Perilipin 5 encodes a protein involved in hepatic lipid accumulation. It is upregulated in Notch1 KO mice, contributing to metabolic dysfunction, and ablation of Plin5 is protective in MCD-diet-induced steatosis via compensatory changes in phospholipid
ENSMUSG00000011305	Plin5	-0.59					

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							remodeling, inflammation and ER stress ^{278, 279} . Induction of perilipin 5 is pronounced in hepatocytes of livers with chronic (vs acute) steatosis and the protein localizes to large (macrovesicular) lipid droplets ^{280, 281} .
ENSMUSG00000100798	Gm19589	-0.59	-1.29		-0.71		Known lincRNA
ENSMUSG00000036941	Elac1	-0.56					Encodes a cytosolic endonuclease involved in tRNA processing ²⁸²
							Hydroxycarboxylic Acid Receptor 2 is one of a family G-protein-coupled receptors that sense endogenous intermediates of metabolism ²⁸³ . It is a high-affinity receptor for nicotinic acid and β -hydroxybutyrate ^{284, 285} . It is stimulated by LPS, and has anti-inflammatory effects ^{283, 286} . This is a good candidate for exploring the machinery coupling diet to immunomodulation ²⁸⁷ .
ENSMUSG00000045502	Hcar2	-0.53	-0.61				Not the one that keeps you from smelling like fish. Flavin Containing Monooxygenase 5 is a component of xenobiotic detoxification processes. It positively regulates cholesterol biosynthesis. Fmo3 KO mice maintain a lean phenotype during aging with carbohydrate oxidation during the active period. Transcription differed in KO mice - upregulated: HMG-CoA reductase, squalene synthase, and sterol regulatory element-binding protein-2 (SREBP-2) and downregulated: aldolase B, glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPD1), b-hydroxy-b-methylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA), and cytosolic malic enzyme (ME1) ²⁸⁸ .
ENSMUSG00000028088	Fmo5	-0.53					

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000035202	Lars2	-0.52	1.26	2.53	-0.78	0.60	Mitochondrial Leucyl-TRNA Synthetase 2 is a target gene of c-Myc. Genetic variants of Lars2 are associated with Perrault syndrome ^{289, 290} severe multisystem metabolic disorder (hydrops, sideroblastic anemia, lactic acidosis) ²⁹¹ and (controversially) T2DM ^{292, 293} .
ENSMUSG00000032310	Cyp1a2	-0.52	1.25		-1.10	0.89	Cytochrome P450 Family 1 Subfamily A Member 2 encodes a p450 enzyme which catalyzes the oxidation of some polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons as well as other xenobiotics such as caffeine, aflatoxin B1, and acetaminophen ²⁹⁴ . It is induced by TCDD/BA and considered a prototypical target of AhR. Its expression is attenuated in NAFLD progression ^{295, 296} .
ENSMUSG00000059325	Hoxp	-0.51					Encodes the HOP Homeobox protein, which lacks DNA binding capabilities. It is a stem cell marker in intestinal epithelial cells, and is downregulated by FGF10 (during differentiation) ²⁹⁷ . Along with Klf4, it controls one pathway of specific claudin (4, 7, 15) upregulation during colonic epithelium differentiation (The other is Tcf7l2/Hnf4a → Cldn23) ²⁹⁸
ENSMUSG00000030643	Rab30	-0.51	0.57		-0.91		The protein encoded by RAB30, Member RAS Oncogene Family is one of a family of small GTPases with roles in intracellular membrane trafficking. It is necessary for maintaining Golgi apparatus morphology ²⁹⁹ . Rab30 may interact with IKB kinase in the Golgi.
ENSMUSG00000019832	Rab32	-0.49					RAB32, Member RAS Oncogene Family encodes a small GTPase, involved in

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							vesicle trafficking and localized to the mitochondria and the mitochondrial associated membrane of the ER. Rab32 anchors the type II regulatory subunit of protein kinase A to the mitochondrion and aids in mitochondrial fission. In a mouse model, Rab32 KO resulted in decreased lipid accumulation within the liver. Rab 32 affects lipid accumulation via lipolysis by promoting the transcription of ATGL ³⁰⁰ .
							Lysosomal Trafficking Regulator encodes a protein which regulates intracellular protein trafficking in endosomes. Dysfunction in this gene leads to Chediak-Higashi Syndrome: a failure of phagocytosis leading to recurrent pyogenic infections ^{301, 302} . It also is responsible for some cases of congenital neutropenia ³⁰¹ .
ENSMUSG00000019726	Lyst	-0.49					Multiple EGF Like Domains 9 encodes a transmembrane protein with multiple EGF-like repeats ³⁰³ . It is progressively downregulated in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined origin, but not in multiple myeloma ³⁰⁴ . It is stimulated by dexamethasone by a GR-dependent mechanism, which is blocked by RU-486 in primary mouse hepatocytes ³⁰⁵ .
ENSMUSG00000039270	Meaf9	-0.47			-0.38		Retinoic Acid Receptor Responder 1 is overexpressed in CCl4-induced mouse liver fibrosis and TGF- β -induced rat lung fibrosis, and appears to be an early marker of fibrosis in multiple tissues ³⁰⁶ .
ENSMUSG00000049404	Rarres1	-0.46					Absent In Melanoma 1 encodes a betagamma crystalline family protein which
ENSMUSG00000019866	Aim1	-0.46	-0.53		-0.35		

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							functions in melanin transport and is one of the genes responsible for human skin color variation in several populations as well as oculocutaneous albinism ³⁰⁷⁻³⁰⁹ .
							Six-T Transmembrane Epithelial Antigen Of Prostate 4 variants are associated with metabolic syndrome in several human populations ³¹⁰⁻³¹² . Its expression is regulated by STAT3 and C/EBP α ³¹³ and is synergistically induced by TNF α /IL17 ³¹⁴ .
ENSMUSG00000012428	Steap4	-0.45	-0.49				Solute Carrier Family 10 Member 2 encodes a bile acid transporter with a role in cholesterol homeostasis ³¹⁵ . Its expression is regulated by AP-1 and HNF1 α ^{316, 317}
ENSMUSG00000023073	Slc10a2	-0.43	-0.80		-0.61		
ENSMUSG00000018102	Hist1h2bc	-0.42			0.50		
ENSMUSG00000038332	Sesn1	0.42					
ENSMUSG00000021190	Lgmn	0.45					
ENSMUSG00000056204	Pgpep1	0.45					
ENSMUSG00000000303	Cdh1	0.46	-0.75		-0.63		
ENSMUSG00000041483	Zfp281	0.46					
							Claudin-1, a structural component of intercellular tight junctions. In kidney cells, (overexpressed) Cldn1 is downregulated by EGFR signaling, resulting in an overall increase in TJ function.
ENSMUSG00000022512	Cldn1	0.49					
ENSMUSG000000037260	Hgsnat	0.50	0.50		0.37		
ENSMUSG00000016382	Pls3	0.50	0.41		0.48		
ENSMUSG00000024773	Atg2a	0.51					Autophagy-related protein 2 homolog A
ENSMUSG00000021998	Lcp1	0.51		0.56			lymphocyte cytosolic protein 1
ENSMUSG000000035671	Zswim4	0.51	0.55				

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000030790	Adm	0.51					Salt-inducible kinase 1 (Ser/Thr kinase) is a member of the AMP-activated protein kinase family. It inhibits CREB1 function independently of CREB1 localization, probably by inhibiting nuclear translocation of the CREB1 cofactor TORC2 (pSer171). ³⁷⁸ The overall effect of SIK1 overexpression on CREB1 is to inhibit expression of TORC2-dependent (ACTH-induced) CREB1 targets, including steroidogenic genes (such as Cyp11a and STAR). ³⁷⁹
ENSMUSG00000047777	Phf13	0.52					
ENSMUSG00000024042	SIK1	0.52					Cingulin is regulatory protein localizing to tight junctions (cytoplasmic side) and regulates RhoA signaling. ³²⁰ At the apical cell membrane, microtubule ends are anchored the tight junction via cingulin, an interaction which is controlled by AMPK phosphorylation of cingulin. ^{321, 322}
ENSMUSG00000068876	Cgn	0.52					Pim-1 Proto-Oncogene, Serine/Threonine Kinase phosphorylates a set of targets involved in cell survival and proliferation. Its targets partially overlap with those of mTOR, and suppression of mTOR increases Pim1 protein levels. ³²³ It is often overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma, particularly under hypoxic conditions, where its action promotes tumor growth and invasion. ³²⁴ It phosphorylates and stabilizes Myc ³²⁵ , as well as phosphorylating and activating the apoptosis stimulating kinase (ASK1), which phosphorylates MKK4/7 and
ENSMUSG00000024014	Pim1	0.53					

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							<p>MKK3/6 upstream of JNK/p38.³²⁶ Pim1 is involved in diamond blackfan anemia, where it binds and phosphorylates RPS19 (the mutated protein) as well as interacting with ribosomes.³²⁷</p>
							<p>Glutamate-Cysteine Ligase Catalytic Subunit forms part of the protein complex Glutamate-cysteine ligase, the rate-limiting enzyme of GSH synthesis.³²⁸ In a mouse model, loss of GSH secondary to Gclc KO causes rapid-onset steatosis and mitochondrial dysfunction progressing to liver failure.³²⁹</p>
ENSMUSG00000032350	Gclc	0.54	1.06				RALBP1 Associated Eps Domain Containing 1 forms an endocytic complex with Numb, which has been shown to regulate the endocytosis and trafficking of Notch, E-Cadherin, and integrins. ³³⁰ The association of Reps1 with Rab11-FIP2 and alpha-adaptin to regulate the endocytosis and trafficking of EGFR. ³³¹
ENSMUSG00000019854	Reps1	0.54			-0.63		T-Complex 11 Like 2 encodes a basic leucine zipper protein.
ENSMUSG00000020034	Tcpt11l2	0.55	0.79				CD63 is a cell surface protein which acts as a receptor for TIMP (metallopeptidase inhibitor). Expression of CD63 is altered in the liver under high glucose conditions. ³³² CD63 can be found on the surface of microsomes released by hepatocytes. ³³³
ENSMUSG00000025351	Cd63	0.56	0.76		0.85		Encodes Zinc Finger Protein 970
ENSMUSG00000078866	Gm14420	0.56	0.53		0.82		Matrix metalloproteinase 14 is a cell-surface MMP with activity against ECM components. It is overexpressed in HCC, and is associated with worse prognosis. ³³⁴
ENSMUSG00000000957	Mmp14	0.57					

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000024486	Hbeqf	0.58					Heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor binds to and activates both EGFR and ErbB4, and its overexpression can lead to pancreatic fibrosis. ³³⁶ During liver regeneration in a 1/3 hepatectomy model, which does not induce upregulation of HB-EGF, low levels of exogenous HB-EGF were required for quiescent hepatocytes to enter the cell cycle (priming). HB-EGF expression preceded EGF and TNFa expression. ³³⁶
ENSMUSG00000019960	Dusp6	0.59	1.30	0.95	0.58		Dual specificity phosphatase 6 encodes a phosphatase (DUSP6, MKP3 or PYST1) which acts as a negative regulator of MAPK signaling. DUSP6 is increased in mouse DIO models, and interacts with and dephosphorylates FOXO1, preventing its nuclear export and promoting induction of gluconeogenic gene targets (Pepck and G6pase). ³³⁷ Dusp6 is upregulated in cancers with aberrant RTK/Ras/Raf signaling as a negative feedback inhibitor of mitogenic processes, but it is downregulated in pancreatic cancer because of promoter hypermethylation. ³³⁸ Activation of ERK downstream of FGF signaling causes increased Dusp6 expression, and DUSP6 inhibits ERK signaling through dephosphorylation. ³³⁹
ENSMUSG00000028381	Ugcg	0.60	0.59	0.59	0.55		UDP-glucose ceramide glucosyltransferase catalyzes the first step of the glucosylceramide-based GSL-synthesis pathway. ³⁴⁰ Ugcg expression declined in

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							individuals with NASH reversal due to lifestyle (weight-loss) intervention. ³⁴¹
							Lymphocyte antigen 96 (MD2) expresses functional domains that facilitate the assembly of TLR2/4 and regulate in TLRs activation. Blocking Ly96 reduced TLR4-mediated lung injury after orthotopic autologous liver transplant in a rat model. ³⁴²
							MD expression is significantly higher with ethanol feeding (Lieber-De-Carli diet) in a mouse model of alcohol-induced liver injury. ³⁴³
ENSMUSG00000025779	Ly96 or MD2	0.61					Plekha3 encodes the protein pleckstrin homology domain containing, family G (with RhoGef domain) member 3, which is regulated by PI3K and acts as a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac1 and CD42. Its localization at the leading edge of migrating fibroblasts and recruitment of actin (which in turn recruits more PLEKHG3) forms a positive feedback loop driving cell polarization and directed migration. ³⁴⁴
ENSMUSG00000052609	Plekha3	0.61			0.38		Ephrin A1 is a membrane-bound ligand for the Ephrin receptor tyrosine kinase. Ephrins bind promiscuously to receptors on adjacent cells, leading to contact dependent, bidirectional cell-cell signaling (Uniquely, both receptors and ligands transmit signals toward the interior of the cell). ³⁴⁵ In a rat model of HFD-dependent steatohepatitis, Ephrin A1 was markedly decreased with HFD vs. control-fed animals. ³⁴⁶ Ephrin A1 antagonizes HGF-
ENSMUSG00000027954	Efn1	0.61			-0.66		

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							driven cell protrusions in developing kidney epithelia through a Raf/MAPK-independent but RhoA/Raf1-dependent mechanism, involving inhibition of ROCK (Raf1 competitor). ³⁴⁵
							Follistatin is a natural antagonist of members of the TGFβ superfamily, primarily Activin. In primary human hepatocytes, Fst transcription is inhibited by adiponectin, but this effect is impaired with free fatty acid loading. ³⁴⁷
ENSMUSG00000021765	Fst	0.61	0.70		0.64		Interleukin 33 is an IL-1 family member which drives production of Th2 cytokines (↑IL4, IL5, IL13) and M2 polarization of macrophages. It is upregulated in both MCD and HFD mouse models of steatohepatitis. In these models, exogenous IL33 ameliorated IR and glucose intolerance but exacerbated hepatic fibrosis, and increased transcription of TGFB and Col1A1. Serum IL33 is increased in NASH patients and levels are positively associated with NASH severity. ¹⁶⁵
ENSMUSG00000024810	Il33	0.62					Thrombospondin 1 inhibits MMPs, preventing the release of VEGF. It can also bind directly to VEGF and mediate its uptake and clearance. THBS1 is therefore anti-angiogenic. ³⁴⁸ THBS1 binds to adiponectin in serum. ³⁴⁹ Transcription of Thrombospondin-1 is increased in individuals with chronic liver disease and in mouse models of liver fibrosis (CCl4 and DDC). ¹⁶⁴
ENSMUSG00000040152	Thbs1	0.64	1.62		1.97	0.79	DDC). ¹⁶⁴

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000079427	Mthfs1	0.65					The gene 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate synthetase-like encodes a protein which catalyzes the transformation of 5-formyltetrahydrofolate to 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate. ³⁵⁰
ENSMUSG00000078952	Lincnc1	0.65	-0.59	0.54	-0.50		Long non-coding RNA, embryonic stem cells expressed 1, is a known lincRNA. Family with sequence similarity 109, member A (IP1PA, Ses1) encode a protein which binds the 5-phosphatase lncp5b to affect receptor recycling from endosomes. This protein is implicated in the pathology of Lowe syndrome and Dent disease. ³⁵¹
ENSMUSG00000044134	Fam109a	0.65				1.01	Fos-like antigen 1 is a modular constituent of the Activator Protein-1 (AP1) complex. The inclusion of Fra1 as part of the AP1 (hetero) dimer confers resistance to acetaminophen toxicity and inhibits PPARγ expression/lipid synthesis in hepatocytes. ³⁵²
ENSMUSG00000024912	Fosl1 or Fra1	0.66	0.95		0.55		N-acetyltransferase 8 (GCN5-related) family member 4, encodes an ER protein expressed in the liver and kidney. Nat8 is a catalytic enzyme in the mercapturic pathway of xenobiotic metabolism, acetylating the free amino group of cysteine S-conjugates to form more water-soluble mercapturic acids, which can be excreted to bile by MRP-family transporters. ³⁵³ This pathway metabolizes PCB epoxides such as those formed from 2,4'-5-trichlorobiphenyl. ³⁵⁴
ENSMUSG00000068299	Nat8f4	0.67	1.42		0.82	0.72	Glucose-6-phosphatase catalytic subunit localizes to the ER and functions to catalyze the hydrolysis of D-glucose 6-
ENSMUSG00000078650	G6pc	0.68	0.56		0.34	1.02	

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							phosphate to D-glucose. ³⁵⁵ G6pc transcription is increased during periods of fasting by glucagon stimulation and proceeds via cAMP-pCREB pathway. ³⁵⁶
							CD14 antigen is a receptor for TLR4 ³⁴³ predominantly expressed in myeloid cells such as Kupffer cells, and is upregulated in animal models of alcoholic steatohepatitis ^{357, 358} Individuals with a polymorphism in the CD14 promoter leading to increased expression are at higher risk for NASH. ³⁵⁹
ENSMUSG00000051439	Cd14	0.70	0.67		0.48		Transglutaminase 1, K polypeptide cross-links proteins in the epidermis during the formation of the stratum corneum. Its transcription is induced by TCDD treatment in cultured skin keratinocytes. ³⁶⁰
ENSMUSG00000022218	Tgm1	0.71					Chloracne?
							Secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor – is a protease inhibitor with antibacterial, antiviral, and immunomodulatory functions. It inhibits activation of NFkB by preventing degradation of its inhibitors and opposes the transcription of NFkB, IL8 and TNFa by competing with p65 for binding sites in the promoters of these genes. ³⁶¹
ENSMUSG00000017002	Slpi	0.71	1.07	0.65			Although Cd68 is a prototypical M1 macrophage marker, it is also expressed in other cell types. ³⁶²
ENSMUSG00000018774	Cd68	0.75			-0.57		Family With Sequence Similarity 84 Member A is a known target of CAR (phenobarbital/indirect) activation which is overexpressed in phenobarbital-promoted
ENSMUSG00000020607	Fam84a	0.76	1.12			3.91	liver tumors ³⁶³

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000041836	Ptprc	0.77					Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase, Receptor Type E suppressed phosphorylation of Akt, ERK and GSK3 and suppressed. It modulates insulin signaling, suppressing insulin-induced glycogen synthesis and inhibiting insulin-induced suppression of PEPCK expression in rat primary hepatocytes. ¹⁷⁷ An elevated differential correlation exists between leptin and PTPRE in a cross-tissue coexpression study between metabolically unhealthy obese individuals vs. metabolically healthy obese individuals. PTPRE shows altered co-expression with genes that are coming from white adipose tissue depots (SL 1 and LEP), suggesting that PTPRE may act as a link between tissues in obesity related diseases. ³⁶⁴
ENSMUSG00000026728	Vim	0.77			1.29	0.86	Vimentin is an intermediate filament protein associated with epithelial cells. Loss of Vimentin is associated with EMT. Vimentin was upregulated by LPS treatment but not lipid filling. ³⁶⁵
ENSMUSG00000063531	Sema3e	0.77	0.87		0.76		Semaphorin 3E is expressed by damaged hepatocytes and secreted, inducing contraction of sinusoidal epithelial cells and activation of stellate cells. Continuous exposure to (exogenous) Sema3e post-CCl4-induced hepatic damage causes disoriented sinusoidal regeneration and activates hepatic stellate cells, leading to fibrosis. ¹⁵⁹
ENSMUSG00000056515	Rab31	0.84	0.51		0.42	0.56	A member of the Ras superfamily of GTP-binding proteins. Overexpressed HCC, and

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
ENSMUSG00000097073	9430037G07Rik	0.85					<p>is associated with invasive and metastatic character and worse prognosis in HCC.¹⁵⁸</p> <p>An oncogene, its overexpression is anti-apoptotic via PI3K/AKT/Bcl-2/Bax axis.¹⁵⁸</p> <p>Rab31 physically interacts with EGFR and overexpression increases EGFR endocytosis and trafficking to late endosomes, while loss of Rab31 inhibits EGFR endocytosis.¹⁶⁹</p>
ENSMUSG00000097879	Gm26869	0.85					
ENSMUSG00000046733	Gprc5a	0.89				0.83	
ENSMUSG000000032643	Fhl3	0.91					
ENSMUSG00000075707	Dio3	0.92	0.77	0.87	1.02		<p>Iodothyronine 5-deiodinase type III, catalyzes the inactivation of thyroid hormone to inactive metabolites</p>
ENSMUSG00000029304	Spp1	0.93	-1.74		-1.87	0.77	<p>Osteopontin, which is induced by the hedgehog signaling pathway, is implicated in fibrogenesis during liver repair.³⁶⁶</p> <p>Notably, Spp1 was not affected by EGFR activation in kidney cell model with claudin overexpression³⁶⁷.</p>
ENSMUSG00000024803	Ankrd1	1.09			1.14		<p>A nuclear protein gene which is induced by pro-inflammatory cytokines in endothelial cells as part of the immediate early response.³⁶⁸</p>
ENSMUSG000000037239	Spred3	1.31					<p>Sprouty-related protein with an EVH1 domain. EVE-1 is the isoform expressed in the liver.¹⁶⁶ Membrane-associated substrates of tyrosine kinases, regulated by phosphorylation. Feeds back to potentially</p>

ENSEMBL ID	Gene ID	FC_A	FC_B	FC_G	FC_P	FC_T	References and summary
							<p>inhibit Ras/MAPK signaling.³⁶⁹ Spred proteins are associated with liver disease. Decreased Spred-1 and -2 are associated with increased invasiveness/malignant features in HCC.¹⁶⁷ ↑Spred1 is associated with ↓MMP2 and MMP9.¹⁶⁷</p> <p>Na⁺-Pi Cotransporter NaPi-IIb, regulated by glucocorticoid, estrogen, EGF, aging.¹⁷⁹ dietary phosphate status, hormones like parathyroid hormone, 1,25-OH2 vitamin D3 or FGF23.¹⁸⁰ Transport byNaPi-IIb of the phosphatemic arsenate has been demonstrated, and given its intestinal localization, this may have relevance for providing a potential route for arsenate accumulation. Although the levels of 1,25-OH2 vitamin D3 seem unaffected in PXR-/- mice, these animals have low serum phosphate levels associated with reduced intestinal expression of NaPi-IIb (reference 84 from ¹⁸⁰).</p>
ENSMUSG00000029188	Slc34a2	1.34			-1.18		

CURRICULUM VITAE

NAME: Heather Brooke Clair

DATE OF BIRTH: Clearwater, FL – April 12, 1977

EDUCATION AND TRAINING: Master of Science
University of Louisville Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics
May, 2015

Master of Science in Agriculture
University of Georgia College of Agricultural and Environmental Science
August, 2001

Bachelor of Science – Animal Science
University of Georgia College of Agricultural and Environmental Science
May, 1999

Bachelor of Science – Dairy Science
University of Georgia College of Agricultural and Environmental Science
May, 1999

AWARDS: Travel award – NIEHS 25 Years of Endocrine Disruption Meeting
2016

Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award Individual Fellowship
(F30ES025099)
2015-present

IPIBS Fellowship
2013-2014

Summer Research Scholar Program Fellowship (UofL School of Medicine)
2011-2012

Cum laude, BS in Animal Science, BS in Dairy Science (University of Georgia)
May, 1999

University of Georgia Honors Program
1995-1999

HOPE Scholarship (Awarded by State of Georgia)
1995-1999

Georgia Governor's Scholarship (Awarded by State of Georgia)
1995-1999

Governor's Honors Program (State of Georgia)
1994

PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES: American Physician Scientist Association
2013-present

Society of Toxicology
2013-present

American Association for the Study of Liver Disease
2016

PUBLICATIONS: **Clair, H. B.**, Pinkston, C., Pavuk, M., Dutton, N. D., Brock, G., Prough, R. A., Falkner, K. C., Wahlang, B., McClain, C., and Cave, M. C. (under review) High Prevalence of Human Environmental Liver Disease and Suspected Toxicant Associated Steatohepatitis in a Large United States Residential Cohort with High Polychlorinated Biphenyl Exposures

Wahlang, B., Prough, R. A., Falkner, K. C., Hardesty, J. E., Song, M., **Clair, H. B.**, Clark, B. J., States, J. C., Arteel, G. E., and Cave, M. C. (2016) Polychlorinated Biphenyl-Xenobiotic Nuclear Receptor Interactions Regulate Energy Metabolism, Behavior, and Inflammation in Non-alcoholic-Steatohepatitis, *Toxicol Sci* 149, 396-410.

Al-Eryani, L., Wahlang, B., Falkner, K. C., Guardiola, J. J., **Clair, H. B.**, Prough, R. A., and Cave, M. (2015) Identification of Environmental Chemicals Associated with the Development of Toxicant-associated Fatty Liver Disease in Rodents, *Toxicol Pathol* 43, 482-497.

Cave, M. C., **Clair, H. B.**, Hardesty, J. E., Falkner, K. C., Feng, W., Clark, B. J., Sidey, J., Shi, H., Aqel, B. A., McClain, C. J., and Prough, R. A. (2016) Nuclear receptors and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1859, 1083-1099.

Hardesty, J. E., Wahlang, B., Falkner, K. C., **Clair, H. B.**, Clark, B. J., Ceresa, B. P., Prough, R. A., and Cave, M. C. (2017) Polychlorinated biphenyls disrupt hepatic epidermal growth factor receptor signaling, *Xenobiotica* 47, 807-820.

Wahlang, B., Falkner, K. C., **Clair, H. B.**, Al-Eryani, L., Prough, R. A., States, J. C., Coslo, D. M., Omiecinski, C. J., and Cave, M. C. (2014) Human receptor activation by aroclor 1260, a polychlorinated biphenyl mixture, *Toxicol Sci* 140, 283-297.

Wahlang, B., Song, M., Beier, J. I., Cameron Falkner, K., Al-Eryani, L., **Clair, H. B.**, Prough, R. A., Osborne, T. S., Malarkey, D. E., Christopher States, J., and Cave, M. C. (2014) Evaluation of Aroclor 1260 exposure in a mouse model of diet-induced obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 279, 380-390.

Wahlang, B., Beier, J. I., **Clair, H. B.**, Bellis-Jones, H. J., Falkner, K. C., McClain, C. J., and Cave, M. C. (2013) Toxicant-associated steatohepatitis, *Toxicol Pathol* 41, 343-360.

Gharib, S. A., Dayyat, E. A., Khalyfa, A., Kim, J., **Clair, H. B.**, Kucia, M., and Gozal, D. (2010) Intermittent hypoxia mobilizes bone marrow-derived very small embryonic-like stem cells and activates developmental transcriptional programs in mice, *Sleep* 33, 1439-1446.

Gharib, S. A., Khalyfa, A., Kucia, M. J., Dayyat, E. A., Kim, J., **Clair, H. B.**, and Gozal, D. (2011) Transcriptional landscape of bone marrow-derived very small embryonic-like stem cells during hypoxia, *Respir Res* 12, 63.

Kheirandish-Gozal, L., Bhattacharjee, R., Kim, J., **Clair, H. B.**, and Gozal, D. (2010) Endothelial progenitor cells and vascular dysfunction in children with obstructive sleep apnea, *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 182, 92-97.

Kim, J., Bhattacharjee, R., Dayyat, E., Snow, A. B., Kheirandish-Gozal, L., Goldman, J. L., Li, R. C., Serpero, L. D., **Clair, H. B.**, and Gozal, D. (2009) Increased cellular proliferation and inflammatory cytokines in tonsils derived from children with obstructive sleep apnea, *Pediatr Res* 66, 423-428.

Li, R. C., Lee, S. K., Pouranfar, F., Brittan, K. R., **Clair, H. B.**, Row, B. W., Wang, Y., and Gozal, D. (2006) Hypoxia differentially regulates the expression of neuroglobin and cytoglobin in rat brain, *Brain Res* 1096, 173-179.

Arnold, H. B., Della-Fera, M. A., and Baile, C. A. (2001) Review of myostatin history, physiology and applications., *LifeXY* 1, 1014-1022.

Lin, J., **Arnold, H. B.**, Della-Fera, M. A., Azain, M. J., Hartzell, D. L., and Baile, C. A. (2002) Myostatin knockout in mice increases myogenesis and decreases adipogenesis, *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 291, 701-706.

ABSTRACTS
AND
CONFERENCES:

Clair, H., Falkner, K. C., Hardesty, J., Kalbfleisch, T., Prough, R., and Cave, M. C. (2017) Murine primary hepatocytes exposed to AHR, PXR, CAR or LXR agonists produce differential transcriptomes which partially overlap with one another and the transcriptome induced by PCB exposure. *Gastroenterology* 152, S1158-S1158. (Poster: Digestive Disease Week. May 06-09, 2017. Chicago, IL)

Hardesty, J., **Clair, H.**, Falkner, C., Shi, H., Wahlang, B., Wilkey, D., Prough, R., Merchant, M., and Cave, M. C. (2017). Hepatic proteome alterations due to PCB exposure and diet in the development of NASH, *Gastroenterology* 152, S1114-S1114. (Poster: Digestive Disease Week. May 06-09, 2017. Chicago, IL)

Shi, H., **Clair, H.**, Hardesty, J., Jin, J., Falkner, C., Prough, R., Song, M., and Cave, M. C. (2017) The role of arylhydrocarbon receptor in PCB-induced fatty liver disease in mice, *Gastroenterology* 152, S1108-S1109. (Poster: Digestive Disease Week. May 06-09, 2017. Chicago, IL)

Vatsalya, V., **Clair, H.**, Falkner, K. C., Cave, M. C., and McClain, C. J. (2017). Efficacy of Keratin 18 (CK18) as a biomarker of severity of liver injury in acute alcoholic hepatitis, *Gastroenterology* 152, S1110-S1111. (Poster: Digestive Disease Week. May 06-09, 2017. Chicago, IL)

Clair, H.B., Hardesty, J.E., Falkner, K.C., Kalbfleisch, T., Prough, R.A., Cave, M.C. Cyclic AMP-Responsive Element Binding protein (CREB-1) Is a Common Regulator of Genes Differentially Transcribed with Aroclor 1260 Exposure. *The Toxicologist*. Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*, 156(1). Society of Toxicology, 2017. Abstract no. 1371. (Poster: 56th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 12-16, 2017. Baltimore, MD.)

Falkner, K.C., **Clair, H.B.**, Hardesty, J.E., Kalbfleisch, T., Prough, R.A., Cave, M.C. In Murine Primary Hepatocytes, Genes Differentially Transcribed with PCB Treatment Partially Overlap with AhR, PXR, CAR, and LXR-Dependent Differential Transcriptomes. *The Toxicologist*. Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*, 156(1). Society of Toxicology, 2017. Abstract no. 1118. (Poster: 56th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 12-16, 2017. Baltimore, MD.)

Cave, M.C., **Clair, H.B.**, Pinkston, C.M., Falkner, K.C., Birnbaum, L.S., Pavuk, M. Initial Analysis of Liver Disease in the Anniston Community Health Survey-II. M.C. Cave¹, H.B. *The Toxicologist*. Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*, 156(1). Society of Toxicology, 2017. Abstract no. 1298. (Poster: 56th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 12-16, 2017. Baltimore, MD.)

Pinkston, C.M., Middleton, F.A., Rosenbaum, P.F., **Clair, H.B.**, Falkner, K.C., Pavuk, M., Birnbaum, L.S., Cave, M.C. Associations between PCB and Dioxin Exposures with Serum Cytokines in the Anniston Community Health Survey-II. *The Toxicologist*. Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*, 156(1). Society of Toxicology, 2017. Abstract no. 1299. (Poster: 56th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 12-16, 2017. Baltimore, MD.)

Hardesty, J., Falkner, C., **Clair, H.**, Shi, H., Wahlang, B., Wilkey, D., Prough, R., Merchant, M., Cave, M., Hepatic Proteome Alterations Due to PCB Exposure and a High-Fat Diet. *The Toxicologist*. Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*, 156(1). Society of Toxicology, 2017. Abstract no. 1114. (Poster: 56th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 12-16, 2017. Baltimore, MD.)

Schechter, A.J., Kincaid, J.S., **Clair, H.**, Cave, M., Bhatnagar, A., Riggs, D., Birnbaum, L. Hepatic, Cardiovascular, and Other Biomarkers Associated with Organics and Metals Exposure in Female Vietnamese Electronic Waste Workers and Comparisons. *The Toxicologist*. Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*, 156(1). Society of Toxicology, 2017. Abstract no. 1905. (Poster: 56th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 12-16, 2017. Baltimore, MD.)

Chorley, B., Carswell, G., Nelson, G.M., Angrish, M.M., **Clair, H.**, Pinkston, C.M., Pavuk, M. Serum microRNA Biomarker Identification in a Residential Cohort with Elevated Polychlorinated Biphenyl Exposures. *The Toxicologist*. Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*, 156(1). Society of Toxicology, 2017. Abstract no. 2479. (Poster: 56th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 12-16, 2017. Baltimore, MD.)

Shi, H., **Clair, H.B.**, Hardesty, J.E., Jin, J., Falkner, K., Prough, R.A., Song, M., Cave, M.C. The Role of Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor in PCB-Induced Fatty Liver Disease in Mice. *The Toxicologist*. Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*, 156(1). Society of Toxicology, 2017. Abstract no. 2523. (Poster: 56th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 12-16, 2017. Baltimore, MD.)

Vatsalya, V., **Clair, H.**, Falkner, K. C., Cave, M. C., and McClain, C. J. (2017) Efficacy of CK18 as a biomarker of severity of liver injury in acute alcoholic hepatitis. *Alcoholism-Clinical and Experimental Research* 41, 226A-226A. (Poster: 40th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Research-Society-on-Alcoholism. Jun 24-28, 2017. Denver, CO)

Hardesty, J., **Clair, H. B.**, Shi, H., Falkner, C., Prough, R., Wilkey, D., Merchant, M. L., and Cave, M. (2016) Aroclor 1260 disrupts the hepatic proteome in the development of NASH, *Hepatology* 64, 787A-788A. (Poster: 67th Annual Meeting of the American-Association-for-the-Study-of-Liver-Diseases. Nov 11-15, 2016. Boston, MA)

Vatsalya, V., Cave, M., **Clair, H. B.**, Burke, T., Falkner, K. C., and McClain, C. (2016) Role of CK18-M65 as a biomarker of acute alcoholic hepatitis and development of a modified MELD score using M65 and clinically relevant markers of severe AAH, *Hepatology* 64, 551A-551A. (Poster: 67th Annual Meeting of the American-Association-for-the-Study-of-Liver-Diseases. Nov 11-15, 2016. Boston, MA)

Clair, H.B., Pinkston, C.M., Pavuk, M., Brock, G., Prough, R.A., Falkner, K.C., McClain, C.J., and Cave, MC. PCB exposure is associated with a unique form of toxicant-associated steatohepatitis characterized by β -cell dysfunction and preserved insulin sensitivity. (Poster: 25 Years of Endocrine Disruption – NIEHS Conference. Bethesda, MD, 2016.)

Clair, H.B., Pinkston, C.M., Pavuk, M., Brock, G., Prough, R.A., Falkner, K.C., McClain, C.J., and Cave, MC. Follow-up evaluation of toxicant associated steatohepatitis in a PCB-exposed cohort reveals worsening liver injury despite reductions in serum PCB levels. (Poster: APSA/SMSS Regional joint meeting. Birmingham, AL, 2016.)

Falkner, K.C., Birnbaum, L., Schechter, A., **Clair, H.**, and Cave, M.C. Adipocytokine and Liver Injury Biomarker Assessment in Electronic Waste Recyclers. *The Toxicologist* Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*. 2016; (1):2733A. Abstract no. 2733. (Poster: 55th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 13-17, 2016. New Orleans, LA .)

Clair, H.B., Pinkston, C., Brock, G., Pavuk, M., Dutton, N., Falkner, K.C., Prough, R.A., Cave, M.C. Steatohepatitis Biomarkers Reveal Elevated Liver Disease in Polychlorinated Biphenyl-Exposed Cohort. *The Toxicologist* Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*. 2016; (1):3170A. Abstract no. 3170. (Poster: 55th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 13-17, 2016. New Orleans, LA .)

Hardesty, J.E., Falkner, K.C., Prough, R.A., **Clair, H.B.**, Wahlang, B., Cave, M.C. PCBs Diminish EGFR Signaling Pathways in Organopollutant-Mediated Steatohepatitis. *The Toxicologist* Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences*. 2016; (1):1962A. Abstract no. 1962. (Poster: 55th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 13-17, 2016. New Orleans, LA .)

Clair, H., Pinkston, C., Dutton, N., Pavuk, M., Falkner, K.C., Wahland, B., Prough, R.A., Cave, M. Steatohepatitis Associated with Adipocytokine Abnormalities in the Anniston Community Health Survey. *The Toxicologist* CD Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences* — An Official Journal of the Society of Toxicology. 2015; 144(1). Abstract no. 769. (Poster: 54th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 22-26, 2015. San Diego, CA)

Pavuk, M, Dutton, N., **Clair, H.** Wahlang, B., Pinkston, C., Cave, M. Polychlorinated Biphenyls, Diabetic Status, and Inflammatory Cytokines. *The Toxicologist* CD Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences* — An Official Journal of the Society of Toxicology. 2015; 144(1) Abstract no. 771. (Poster: 54th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 22-26, 2015. San Diego, CA)

Wahlang, B., Falkner, K.C., Song, M., **Clair, H.**, Prough, R.A., Cave, M., Role of Nuclear Receptors in Steatohepatitis Caused by Aroclor 1260 and High Fat Diet Coexposure. *The Toxicologist* CD Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences* — An Official Journal of the Society of Toxicology. 2015; 144(1). Abstract no. 2318. (Poster: 54th Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. March 22-26, 2015. San Diego, CA)

Sidey, J., **Clair, H.**, Hardesty, J., Falkner, K.C., Prough, R.A., Cave, M.C. Development Of A Primary Hepatocyte Model For TCE And PCE Toxicity. (Poster: Research! Louisville. 2015.)

Hardesty, J., Falkner, K.C., **Clair, H.**, Prough, R.A., Cave, M.C. PCBs Alter EGFR Signaling in Organopollutant-Mediated Steatohepatitis. (Poster: Research! Louisville. 2015.)

Clair, H.B., Falkner, K.C., Prough, R.A., Pinkston, C., Brock, G.N., Pavuk, M., Dutton, N., Cave, M.C. Polychlorinated biphenyl exposure in Anniston, Alabama is associated with elevated prevalence of liver Disease. *Hepatology*. 2015;61(1):205A. (Poster: 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association For The Study Of Liver Disease. November 15-17. San Francisco, CA).

Hardesty, J., Falkner, K.C., **Clair, H.B.**, Wahlang, B., Prough, R.A., Cave, M.C. Organopollutant Exposures Further Decrease Epidermal Growth Factor Signaling in Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis and Alter Hepatic Energy Metabolism. *Hepatology*. 2015;61(1):116A. (Poster: 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association For The Study Of Liver Disease. November 15-17. San Francisco, CA).

Clair, HB; Falkner, KC; Prough, RA; Cave, M. Serum Steatohepatitis Biomarker and Proinflammatory Cytokine Elevation In Response To Polychlorinated Biphenyl Exposures in the Anniston Community Health Study (ACHS). *The Toxicologist* CD Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences* — An Official Journal of the Society of Toxicology. 2014; 138(1). Abstract no. 1569. (Poster: 53rd Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. Phoenix, AZ. March 23-27, 2014.)

Cave, M; Al-Eryani, L; Wahlang, B; **Clair, H**; Falkner, KC; Prough, RA. Identification of Pesticides and Other Environmental Chemicals Associated With The Development of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Rodents. *The Toxicologist* CD Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences* — An Official Journal of the Society of Toxicology. 2014; 138(1). Abstract no. 676. (Poster: 53rd Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. Phoenix, AZ. March 23-27, 2014.)

Song, M; Al-Eryani, L; **Clair, H**; Guardiola, J; Falkner, KC; Prough, RA; States, J; Cave, M. Aroclor 1260 Exposure Causes Steatohepatitis and Activates Hepatic Receptors in an Animal Model of Diet-Induced Obesity. *The Toxicologist* CD Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences* — An Official Journal of the Society of Toxicology. 2014; 138(1). Abstract no. 1870. (Poster: 53rd Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. Phoenix, AZ. March 23-27, 2014.)

Al-Eryani, L., Wahlang, B., Falkner, K.C., **Clair, H.**, Prough, R.A., States, J.C., Cave, M. Identification of Environmental Chemicals Which Could Contribute to Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease by Nuclear Receptor Activation. *The Toxicologist* CD Supplement to *Toxicological Sciences* — An Official Journal of the Society of Toxicology. 2014; 138(1). Abstract no. 1159. (Poster: 53rd Annual Meeting of the Society of Toxicology. Phoenix, AZ. March 23-27, 2014.)

Wahlang, B; Falkner, KC; **Clair, HB**; Al-Eryani, L; Guardiola, JJ; Prough, RA; Cave, MC. Hepatic Receptor Activation By Polychlorinated Biphenyls - Implications For Xenobiotic/Energy Metabolism And Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. (Poster: 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association For The Study Of Liver Disease. Washington, DC 2013.)

Al-Eryani, L; Wahlang, B; **Clair, HB**; Guardiola, JJ; Falkner, KC; Prough, RA; Cave, MC. Identification And Validation Of Environmental Chemical Nuclear Receptor Agonists Which Could Contribute To Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. (Poster: 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association For The Study Of Liver Disease. Washington, DC 2013.)

Wahlang, B; Song, M; Beier, JI; Al-Eryani, L; **Clair, HB**; Guardiola, JJ; Falkner, KC; Prough, RA; Cave, MC. Aroclor 1260 Exposure Worsens Hepatic And Systemic Inflammation In An Animal Model Of Diet-Induced Obesity And Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. (Poster: 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association For The Study Of Liver Disease. Washington, DC 2013.)

Al-Eryani, L.; Wahlang, B; **Clair, HB**; Guardiola, JJ; Falkner, KC; Prough, RA; Cave, MC. Identification Of Pesticides And Other Environmental Chemicals Associated With The Development Of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease In Rodents. (Poster: 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association For The Study Of Liver Disease. Washington, DC 2013.)

Cave, MC; Beier, JI; Wheeler, B; Falkner, KC; Bellis-Jones, HJ; **Clair, HB**; McClain, CJ; Occupational vinyl chloride exposures are associated with significant changes to the plasma metabolome: implications for toxicant associated steatohepatitis. (Poster: 63rd Annual Meeting of the American Association For The Study Of Liver Disease. Boston, MA 2012.)

Falkner, KC; Wahlang, B; Bellis-Jones, HJ; **Clair, HB**; Prough, RA; Cave, MC. LXR and PXR crosstalk on DR4 response elements is sequence dependent. (Poster: 18th North American Regional International Society For The Study Of Xenobiotics. Dallas, TX 2012.)

Clair, HB; Wahlang, B; Falkner, KC; Cave, M. An optimized DR 4 nuclear response element is bound and activated by PXR and LXR in the presence of known ligands, but not polychlorinated biphenyls. (Poster: Research Louisville. Louisville, KY 2012)

Goel, M; Hamid, T; Ismahil, MA; Zhou, GH; Brittian, K; **Clair, H**; Guo, SZ; Prabhu, SD. Selective macrophage ablation attenuates diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance and reverses diabetic cardiomyopathy. Circulation supplement, published Nov. 22, 2011.

Clair, HB; Herbert, D; Sag, D; Hansen, R; Suttles, J. C68-driven expression of a dominant-negative AMPK α 1 protein results in spontaneous obesity and hepatosteatosis. Research Louisville. Louisville, KY 2011.

Zhou, GH; Keskey, AL; Goel, M; Hamid, T; Guo, SZ; **Clair, HB**; Brittian, KR; Sansbury, BE; Hill, BG; Prabhu, SD. Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress is critical for the development of diabetic cardiomyopathy. Circulation supplement, published Nov. 23, 2010.

Gozal LK, Bhattacharjee R, Kim J, **Clair H**, Gozal, D. Resident bone marrow stem cell are recruited to peripheral circulation in children with OSA : relevance to endothelial function. Sleep supplement, published 2010.

Nair, D.; Li, R.; **Clair, H.**; Cheng, Y; Gozal, D. Neuroglobin overexpression attenuates cognitive deficits in mice exposed to chronic intermittent hypoxia during sleep. Sleep supplement, published 2010.

Kingery, JR; Lewis, RK; Ismahil, MA; Guo, SZ; **Clair, H**; Hamid, T; Prabhu, SD. Inflammatory Cell-Localized Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase Exacerbates Post-Infarction Left Ventricular Remodeling. Abstract: 82nd Scientific Session of the American-Heart-Association. Orlando, FL 2009.

Lewis RK, Kingery JR, Ismahil MA, Guo SZ, **Clair H**, Brittian K, Henning A, Hamid T, Prabhu SD. Inflammatory cell-localized Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptors 1 and 2 have divergent effects on post-infarction left ventricular remodeling. Circulation supplement published Nov. 3, 2009.

Gozal D, Gharib S, Dayyal E, Boazza M, **Clair H**, Kucia M, Khalyfa A. Gene networks and biological pathways in bone marrow-derived very small embryonic stem cells (VSEL) from mice following intermittent hypoxia (IH). (Poster: 23rd Annual Meeting of the Associated Professional Sleep Societies. Seattle, WA 2009.)