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ABSTRACT

STABILITY AND REACTIVITY ANALYSIS OF SINGLE METAL AND BIMETALLIC NANOSTRUCTURES BY ANODIC STRIPPING VOLTAMMETRY

Dhruba Kumar Pattadar

July 23, 2019

This dissertation has two main themes. The first involves studies aimed at developing anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) as an analytical tool to characterize metal nanoparticles (NPs), with a focus on the size and aggregate structure of single metal NPs and the composition and atomic arrangement of bimetallic NPs. The second main theme involves studies that use ASV and electrochemical surface area-to-volume (SA/V) measurements to study the unique reactivity and transformations of single metal and bimetallic NPs. The transformations involve size and composition changes in response to ozone and electrochemical potential. Reactions involve size dependent galvanic exchange and electrocatalytic activity.
The size of 1.6 nm diameter tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (THPC)-stabilized and 0.9 nm diameter triphenylphosphine monosulfonate (TPPS)-stabilized Au NPs were characterized by ASV, which show $E_p$ (oxidation peak potential) values of 0.45 and 0.20 V, respectively, vs. Ag/AgCl. The $E_p$ values followed the trend of decreasing values as the size of the Au NPs decrease and fit well with theory and the size determined by electron microscopy. 15 nm diameter Au NPs aggregated by addition of THPC displayed an $E_p$ value of 0.77 V, which is the same $E_p$ as that of isolated, non-aggregated 15 nm diameter Au NPs. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements and SA/V measurements showed that the aggregates were highly linear and the NPs were not well connected with THPC, which led to no change in SA/V between aggregated and non-aggregated Au NPs. The pH-induced Au NP aggregates, in contrast, were fused together, 3D in nature, and had lower SA/V. This explains the larger $E_p$ values for oxidation (~0.95 V). ASV also provides the composition of Cu and Au in bimetallic Cu$_{1}$Au$_{x}$ ($x = 0.1-1$) NPs in one scan in KCl electrolyte. The ASV shows a different peak signature for different arrangements of Cu and Au in the NP, including Cu/Au core/shell, Au/Cu core/shell, and CuAu mixed alloy arrangements.

The second aim of this work involves the use of ASV and SA/V measurements to monitor the size-dependent reactivity of Au NPs and reactivity of bimetallic AuCu NPs. 1.6 nm THPC-stabilized and 0.9 nm diameter TPPS-stabilized Au NPs increased in size to 4-10 nm diameter following 1-2 min of ozone treatment or one electrochemical oxidation-reduction cycle based on a positive shift in their $E_p$ in ASV. 4 nm diameter Au NPs and larger are stable during these
same treatments. 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs exchange with Ag\(^+\) ions by galvanic exchange, whereas 4 nm diameter Au NPs and larger show no exchange with Ag\(^+\) ions. Finally, 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs show higher activity than 0.9 or 4 nm diameter Au NPs for CO\(_2\) reduction or the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). The activity is related to the number of corner and edge sites available for the different sized NPs.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

1.1. MAIN GOALS AND OVERVIEW

The primary goal of this research was to study the ASV of controllably synthesized sub 4 nm diameter Au nanoparticles (NPs) coated with weak stabilizers in order to understand their size-dependent reactivity and develop ASV as a size characterization tool for small sized metal NPs. A second goal was to develop ASV for characterization of aggregate structures of Au NPs. A third goal was to characterize the composition and atomic arrangement of bimetallic AuCu nanoparticles (NPs). After developing ASV for characterization, we then used ASV to study the stability of small single metal and bimetallic NPs by monitoring changes in size, composition, and arrangement under various conditions. The dissertation is divided into four main sections. Chapters I and II are the first section, providing introduction to the topics and experimental details relevant to the research. Chapters III-VII make up the second section, providing the results on the characterization, reactivity, and stability of single metal Au NPs. The third section consists of Chapters VIII-IX, which deals with the analysis and reactivity of bimetallic AuCu NPs. Finally, Chapter X provides the fourth section, which is the summary and future directions of the research.
The first section of this dissertation is made up of Chapters I and II. Chapter I provides the background information, theory, and previous research findings related to the synthesis of water-soluble ultra-small metal NPs, details about size-dependent electrooxidation of metal NPs, stability of different size NPs during various chemical and electrochemical treatments, size-dependent Ostwald ripening of Au NPs, size-dependent galvanic exchange of Au NPs with Ag\(^+\) ions, and composition and atomic arrangement analysis of alloy NPs. These are all topics relevant to the research. Chapter II describes the experimental procedures and characterization techniques used in this study.

The second section of this dissertation includes Chapters III-VII, which are dedicated to the ASV and electrochemical analysis of size, aggregate structure, stability, and reactivity of single metal Au NPs ranging from about 0.9 nm to 15 nm in diameter. Specifically, Chapter III describes the ASV characterization of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs synthesized with weak stabilizers as compared to 4 nm diameter Au NPs, their stability and electrocatalytic activity. Chapter IV focuses on the ASV characterization, stability, and reactivity of atomically precise ~0.9 nm diameter Au\(_{11}\) clusters synthesized with triphenylphosphine monosulfonate (TPPS) as a stabilizer. Chapter V describes how the aggregate structure of Au NPs effects the thermodynamics of oxidation and therefore the ASV characterization. Chapter VI describes the effect of size, size dispersity, and coverage on the electrochemically-induced Ostwald ripening kinetics of electrode-attached 1.6, 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs. Ostwald ripening is a common process that transforms the size of Au NPs, making this an important type of size stability study. Chapter VII describes
the use of ASV to study size-dependent galvanic exchange between Au NPs of different sizes and Ag\(^+\) ions. This study demonstrates unique reactivity of sub 2 nm diameter Au NPs coated with weak stabilizers.

The third section of this dissertation includes Chapters VIII-IX, which are dedicated to ASV and electrochemical analysis and reactivity studies of bimetallic AuCu alloy NPs. Chapter VIII describes the effect of halide ions on the dealloying of citrate-stabilized core/shell Cu\(_1\)/Au\(_x\) (x = 0.001 to 2) NPs for composition analysis by ASV. This work provides the conditions where composition analysis is possible but also describes a unique dealloying process. Chapter IX describes the use of ASV to determine the atomic arrangement of binary citrate-stabilized CuAu NPs having different synthetic arrangements and the effect of heating on their atomic arrangement.

The fourth and final section is Chapter X, which summarizes the results of this research and provides possible future directions.

1.2. MOTIVATION/OBJECTIVE

The motivation behind this research was to better understand the unique electrochemical properties and chemical reactivity of ultra-small metal and metal alloy NPs. In order to do that, our goal was to develop electrochemical methods for analyzing the size, composition, and atomic arrangement of single metal and metal alloy NPs. Our findings provide valuable information regarding the effect of NP size on their standard potential (E\(^0\)) in KBr solution when we change the size
of the Au NPs from 50 to 1 nm diameter. The synthesis of Au NPs in the range of 1-2 nm diameter with strongly attached stabilizers, such as thiols, is relatively straightforward and has been described in the literature. However, the strongly-bound stabilizer does not allow one to study the electrochemical properties of the actual metal NPs because the stabilizer-metal interaction greatly alters the properties of the bare metal. Our findings provide valuable information regarding the reactivity of weakly-stabilized (assumed to behave more like bare metal) sub 2 nm diameter Au NPs for oxidation in KBr solution, electrochemical CO₂ reduction, the hydrogen evolution reaction, oxidation-reduction in acidic electrolyte, ozone exposure for different times, and electrochemical Ostwald ripening in halide-containing electrolyte.

The synthesis and characterization of the smallest size NPs, which are about 0.8-1.0 nm in diameter (Au₁₁ clusters), is very challenging. Au₁₁ clusters have been previously characterized by mass spectrometry (MS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). We explore electrochemical ASV as an alternative approach to determine their size and size stability under various conditions, and other size-related properties. ASV is faster, more portable than microscopic techniques, and provides the NPs size directly on the electrode surface following a variety of different electrochemical conditions and treatments. On the other hand, spectroscopic and microscopic techniques are more-costly, performed under vacuum, and need special sample preparation.

In addition to determining the size and size stability of Au NPs, we also studied the effect of NP size on electrochemical Ostwald ripening of Au NPs. Ostwald
ripening is a common phenomenon which occurs during metal NP synthesis, different catalytic applications of NPs, and thermal treatment. Since Au NPs show size-dependent oxidation peak potentials, it is useful to monitor the size changes during Ostwald ripening by monitoring the electrochemical oxidation of the metal NPs. We also determined the rate of Ostwald ripening by monitoring the surface area-to-volume ratio (SA/V) of the metal NPs before and after Ostwald ripening.

Another motivation for this study was to understand the NP size effect on the galvanic exchange of metal NPs, where we used the exchange of Au NPs with Ag\(^+\) ions as our model system. Galvanic replacement is a common method to synthesize bimetallic core/shell structures with tunable optical and electrochemical properties. Since ASV can be used to monitor the composition of Au and Ag in NPs, it is useful to quantify the amount of Ag exchange for different sized Au NPs.

One more goal for this research was to use ASV to analyze the composition and atomic arrangement of bimetallic alloy NPs. Previously, spectroscopy and microscopy techniques have been mainly used for the analysis of metal composition and atomic arrangement in some bimetallic nanostructures. Again, those techniques are costly, time consuming, and it is not possible to analyze NPs on electrode surfaces directly during dealloying of nanostructures. Therefore, direct analysis of NP composition and atomic arrangement by ASV would be highly valuable. The introduction of this dissertation was organized to describe the importance of metal NPs, various synthesis protocols available in the literature to synthesize sub 4 nm diameter Au NPs, and electrochemical analysis of single metal and bimetallic NPs as prepared and following various chemical and
1.2. IMPORTANCE OF METAL NANOPARTICLES RESEARCH

Metal NPs have a higher SA/V as compared to their bulk analog, which makes them useful for various catalytic applications such as CO₂ reduction, oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), CO oxidation, hydrogen evolution reactions (HER), organic transformations, photovoltaic applications, and numerous other electrocatalytic processes. Due to the higher SA/V, metal NPs have been an object of intensive studies during the past couple of decades. The optical, magnetic, thermal, chemical and electrochemical properties of metal NPs are usually very different from the bulk material and strongly related to their size, shape and morphology. Recently, NPs with various shape and structure have been controllably synthesized and used for a vast number of applications, such as catalysis, sensing, nanoelectronics, imaging, photothermal therapy, nanophotonics and others. Over the past few decade’s researchers have developed various methods to synthesize shape- and size-controlled metal nanostructures with various stabilizers. This has led to fundamental interest and research of their size-, shape- and composition-dependent electrochemical and chemical properties of the metal.

There have been reports on the size- and shape-dependent catalytic and sensing applications of metal NPs. For example, Mistry and coworkers showed that the catalytic CO₂ reduction activity of Au NPs increased with a decrease of
size from 8 to 1 nm in diameter. Mirkin and co-workers developed DNA sensors using hybridization-induced changes in distance-dependent optical properties of Au-particle modified oligonucleotides and a scanometric DNA array based on silver amplification of hybridization events. Various methods such as ozone cleaning, thermal treatment, and electrochemical oxidation-reduction cycling have been used prior to the applications of NPs to clean their surface from stabilizer ligands. Many of these cleaning processes can change the NPs size, composition and reactivity, however.

Despite their usefulness, nanostructure characterization is one of the most important aspects in the field of nanoresearch. The most commonly-used techniques are transmission electron microscopy (TEM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry, which provide the size and composition of metal NPs. X-ray diffraction (XRD) provides information about different crystal planes of NPs and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) gives information about the size, shape and crystal structure of the NPs. Mass spectrometry provides the total mass and size of the NPs. However, high vacuum techniques are very costly and time consuming for the analysis of metal NPs. Also, they do not provide all of the important information, such as the oxidation relevant properties. Understanding the oxidation relevant properties is very important since it determines the NP stability and reactivity during their applications. Developing a simple electrochemical method to understand the metal NP size and size relevant properties would be very useful. This dissertation focuses on the size, stability, and
reactivity analysis of sub 4 nm diameter Au NPs by ASV. Also, ASV was used to
determine the composition and atomic arrangements of bimetallic CuAu NPs.

1.4. SYNTHESIS OF METAL NANOPARTICLES

1.4.1. Synthesis of water-soluble colloidal 4-50 nm diameter Au
nanoparticles. Metal NPs are prepared in the solution phase by reducing the
metal salt with an appropriate reducing reagent in the presence of some stabilizing
ligand. The ligand usually controls the NPs size and shape. The surface chemistry
of the NP is intrinsically related to the type of ligand used for the NPs synthesis or
a desired ligand could be later incorporated by a ligand exchange approach. The
ligand shell has several roles in control of the NP solubility, functionality (targeting
biomolecules) and electronic properties. Various stabilizers such as citrate,32
thiols,33 amines,34 surfactants,35 biomolecules36, phosphines37 and polymers38
have been used for the synthesis of ligand protected Au NPs. Also, various
reducing agents such as NaBH₄,32 H₂O₂,39 citrate40 and ascorbic acid41 are used
for the reduction of Au ions to metal Au during synthesis. Figure 1.1. shows the
general experimental protocol for the chemical synthesis of Au NPs.
Figure 1.1. General experimental protocol for the chemical synthesis of Au NPs.

During the last couple of decades, a significant amount of effort has been taken on synthetically controlling the size and shape of metal nanoparticles. John Turkevich published pioneering work on the synthesis of spherical Au NPs in 1951. He prepared citrate-stabilized spherical Au NPs by the reduction of Au salt with aqueous boiling citrate solution. Later, Frens showed the synthesis of different sized spherical Au NPs by modifying the method reported by Turkevich. They changed the citrate to gold salt ratios to get various size citrate-stabilized Au NPs. The amount of citrate relative to the Au salt controlled the nucleation and growth of the Au NPs. Higher ratios of citrate to Au salt led to smaller NPs due to faster nucleation and lower ratios of Au salt to citrate provide bigger sized Au NPs due to slower nucleation. Murphy and coworkers synthesized citrate-coated ~4 nm diameter Au by the reduction of Au salt with sodium borohydride and extended their work on the seeded growth synthesis of up to 40 nm diameter Au NPs. Others synthesized water-soluble colloidal Au NPs with polymer stabilizers. For example, Eunkeu and coworkers reported the synthesis of colloidal Au NPs stabilized with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG). The size of the Au NPs was
controlled by the ratio of HAuCl₄ to PEG stabilizer. DNA based synthesis of water-soluble Au NPs is also very popular for biological applications.⁴⁴

1.4.2. Synthesis of strong ligand protected 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs. In recent days it is possible to synthesize metal NPs with atoms from thousands to just only a few atoms. The synthesis of ultra-small NPs with few atoms is possible with the help of organic ligands such as thiols, amines and polymers. The Brust method of synthesis of Au monolayer protected clusters (MPCs) are a good example of Au NPs stabilized with thiol ligands (e.g. Au₂⁵ and Au₁₄₄).²,⁴⁵ The interaction between Au and thiol groups of the stabilizer ligand are considered to be very strong, which makes it possible to form very small Au clusters. Figure 1.2. shows a representation of Au MPCs and the gold-sulfur interface at the nanoscale level. These methods allow the synthesis of NP sizes below 1 nm but the main drawback of this method of Au cluster synthesis is the blocking of most of the surface Au atoms with thiols, which ultimately makes the NPs less reactive for their electrochemical and chemical applications. In fact, it was found that the Au-S bond is stronger than Au-Au bond in monolayer-protected clusters.⁴⁶

Crooks and coworkers developed the synthesis protocol for few nanometer size NPs within dendrimers.¹⁹ This method of synthesis allows partial access to the metal core and makes it possible to access the surface atoms of small metal NPs. Figure 1.3. shows a representation of dendrimer encapsulated Au NPs.
Figure 1.2. Representation of monolayer protected Au cluster (a) thiophenyl coated Au cluster and (b) alkane thiol coated Au cluster.

Figure 1.3. Crooks method of synthesis of dendrimer coated 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs.
1.4.3. Synthesis of water-soluble weak ligand protected 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs. There are a number of reports on the synthesis of water soluble 1-2 nm diameter Au nanoclusters stabilized with phosphines ligands in the literature. A potential approach to the synthesis of small Au clusters with water-soluble phosphine ligands was reported by Duff and coworkers. In 1993, Duff and coworkers synthesized sub 2 nm diameter Au NPs stabilized with tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride (THPC) ligands in water. This alkane chain phosphine ligand allows one to synthesize a very narrow size distribution of sub 2 nm diameter Au NPs. Figure 1.4. (A) shows the typical synthesis protocol of sub 2 nm Au NPs by the Duff method. In this method, the Au salt was mixed with THPC in basic conditions with a specific Au(III)/THPC ratio. THPC produces formaldehyde by reacting with NaOH, which acts as a strong reducing agent to reduce the Au salt. This method of synthesis has been used for various biological and chemical applications. Recently, different groups synthesized small Au clusters having 8-12 atoms of Au using a triphenylphosphine ligand stabilizer. For example, Yao and coworkers reported the synthesis of Au$_{11}$ clusters using triphenylphosphine monosulfonate (TPPS) as a stabilizer and sodium borohydride as a reducing agent in methanol media. They determined the number of atoms in the nanocluster by mass spectrometric analysis. On the other hand, other groups reported the synthesis of triphenylphosphine coated Au$_{11}$ clusters by the reduction of Au triphenylphosphine compound (AuPPh$_3$Cl). The synthesis of Au$_6$ clusters by TPP ligand was also reported in the literature. For example, Huang and coworkers synthesized Au$_6$ clusters by adjusting the ratio of
AuPPh₃Cl to NaBH₄. They also found that Au₆ clusters can be converted to Au₇ or Au₉ by mixing different percentages of ammonia solution. Figure 1.4. (B) show the general experimental protocol for synthesis of TPP coated Au clusters.

![Figure 1.4](image.png)

**Figure 1.4.** (A) Duff method of synthesis of Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium chloride coated 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs and (B) representation of the triphenylphosphate coated Au nanocluster.

### 1.5. ELECTROCHEMICAL STUDIES OF METAL NANOPARTICLES

Recently, the electrochemical analysis of metal NPs has become very popular due to its simple operation, high throughput and low cost. Various electrochemical
studies have been reported in the literature for metal NP analysis, including electrodeposition,\textsuperscript{52} electron transfer or double layer charging,\textsuperscript{53} electrocatalysis,\textsuperscript{7} single particle collisions,\textsuperscript{54} and oxidation\textsuperscript{17}. This dissertation focuses on electrooxidation studies of single metal and bimetallic NPs and describes the electrooxidation properties of metal NPs in detail in this introduction section.

1.5.1. Oxidation of metal NPs. The oxidation of metal is an important electrochemical process which happens during corrosion of a metal structure and even during the synthesis of metal NPs. Metal NPs have been widely used for different electrochemical process including electrochemical sensing,\textsuperscript{55} electrocatalysis,\textsuperscript{19} and electron transport.\textsuperscript{56} During these applications metal NPs can oxidize or corrode and ultimately lose their desirable function. Understanding metal NP oxidation properties could be useful to synthesize corrosion-protected nanomaterials. The oxidation-reduction behavior of metal NPs is directly related to the Nernst equation. The Nernst equation directly correlates the half-cell potential to the standard potential and to the activities of the electro active species. For example, a redox couple M(Ox) + ne → M (Red), the Nernst equation will be

\[ E = E^0 + \frac{0.059}{n} \log(\frac{aM(Ox)}{aM(\text{Red})}) \]  

Where, \( n \) is the number of electrons transferred during the reaction, \( E \) is the half cell potential (V), \( E^0 \) is the standard redox potential, \( aM(Ox) \) is the activity of the oxidized form of the metal and \( aM(\text{Red}) \) is the activity of the reduced form of the metal. The standard electrode potential is different for different metal/metal ion pairs. Tables show the standard reduction potential for metal/metal ion pairs considering the bulk metal, which significantly changes for smaller nanomaterials.
The current tables do not consider the metal particle size effect on the standard reduction potential.

In 1977, Henglein found that small metal Ag clusters showed unusual catalytic reactivity during radiolysis and he explained the reactivity of the small metal clusters based on their standard potential. He found that 1 Ag atom and a 2- atom Ag cluster have a standard potential of -1.8 V and -1.0 V, respectively, as compared to +0.799 V for bulk Ag (vs. NHE). He calculated the standard reduction potential for different-sized Ag clusters based on the difference in sublimation energy. In 1982, Plieth published a theoretical equation that predicts the shift in oxidation potential of metal NPs as a function of size. For this calculation he considered an electrochemical redox cell consisting of two half-cells. One cell having a bulk metal particle (\(\text{M}_{\text{ex, bulk}}\)) containing more than hundred thousand atoms, which is considered a bulk size. This bulk metal particle becomes oxidized into the solvated metal ions, \(\text{M}_{\text{ex, bulk}}^{z+}\) (Equation 1.2). The other cell consists of metal ions from Equation 1.2 being reduced into several smaller NPs, which are known as being dispersed, \(n\text{M}_{\text{ex, disperse}}\) (Equation 1.3).

\[
\text{M}_{\text{ex, bulk}} \rightarrow \text{M}_{\text{ex, bulk}}^{z+} \text{(solv)} + ze^- \quad (1.2)
\]

\[
\text{M}_{\text{ex, bulk}}^{z+} \text{(solv)} + ze^- \rightarrow n\text{M}_{\text{ex, disperse}} \quad (1.3)
\]

The potential of this type of electrochemical cell can be calculated from the difference of Gibbs free energy of the dispersion process,

\[
\Delta G_D = G_{\text{disperse}} - G_{\text{bulk}} \quad (1.4)
\]

In terms of potential,
\[ \Delta E_D = E_{\text{disperse}} - E_{\text{bulk}} = -\Delta G_D/zF \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

Where \( \Delta E_D \) is defined as the difference in the oxidation potential between NPs or clusters (\( E_{\text{disperse}} \)) and bulk metal (\( E_{\text{bulk}} \)), \( z \) is the number of electrons, and \( F \) is Faraday’s constant. Plieth considered the change in surface free energy to determine the total energy change associated with this process. The change in surface free energy of a metal NP is related to the surface area (\( A \)) and the surface stress (\( \Upsilon \)) as follows:

\[ dG = \Upsilon dA \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)

The surface area of a sphere is as follows:

\[ A = 4\pi r^2 \]

The change in surface area with respect to the radius is given by the first derivative as follows:

\[ dA = 8\pi r dr \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.7)

The molar volume of the metal (\( V_M \)) is related to the radius (\( r \)) and number of moles of metal (\( n \)) as follows:

\[ V_M = \frac{(4/3)\pi r^3}{n} \]

With rearrangement and taking the derivative of \( n \) with respect to \( r \), we get:

\[ n = \frac{(4/3)\pi r^3}{V_M} \]

\[ dn = \frac{(4\pi r^2)}{V_M} dr \]

\[ dr = \frac{(V_M/4\pi r^2)dn}{(1.8)} \]

Integration of equation 1.8 between \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) moles and combination with equations 1.6 and 1.7 gives the free surface energy of one mole of metal NPs of radius \( r \). The free surface energy of the bulk can be neglected, and we obtain,
\[ \Delta G_D = \frac{(2\gamma V_M)}{r} \quad (1.9) \]

Finally, by replacing \( \Delta G_D \) value in equation 1.5, we get the Plieth equation as follows:

\[ \Delta E_D = \frac{-2\gamma V_M}{zF} \quad (1.10) \]

Based on the above equation we plotted the shift in the standard reduction potential of Au NPs as a function of NP radius as shown in the Figure 1.5. We used surface stress (\( \gamma \)) of Au, 1880 erg cm\(^{-2} \) and molar volume (\( V_m \)) of Au, 10.21 cm\(^3 \) mol\(^{-1} \) for plotting the Figure 1.5. The potential shifts negative as the radius (or diameter) of the Au NPs decreases with a 1/radius dependence.

![Figure 1.5. Theoretical oxidation peak potential shift of Au NPs based on Plieth's equation.](image)

After Plieth, several groups conducted experimental studies to understand the effect of NP size on their oxidation potential. For example, Schalow and
coworkers studied the interface-controlled oxidation mechanism for Pd NPs supported on Fe$_3$O$_4$ films by molecular beam CO titration experiments on oxygen-pre-covered Pd NPs. They observed a pronounced particle-size dependence of the oxidation and reduction behavior for the Pd NPs. Specifically, for small particles (<3 nm) there is complete oxidation of Pd to PdO, whereas for intermediate particle size range (4–10 nm) only partial oxidation of the NPs occurred at the particle Fe$_3$O$_4$ interface by exposed O$_2$ and CO. In the case of larger particles (approximately 10–100 nm) the formation of Pd oxide at the interface is kinetically hindered, possibly due to slow ion transport along the particle/support interface. Brus and coworkers explained the Ostwald ripening of Ag NPs based on the theoretical explanation of the Plieth equation. They found that Ag NPs attached to indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glass electrodes (glass/ITO) increased in size with time when a drop of water was added to the Ag NPs on glass/ITO and it was heated. However, they did not show electrochemical results for the size dependent oxidation of Ag NPs. Compton and coworkers reported theoretically and experimentally the effect of Ag NPs size and coverage for the oxidation potential shift. However, in their studies they focused on diffusional effects and ignored size-dependent shifts in E$^0$. Additionally, Compton et al. described the oxidation of hemispherical deposits of bismuth attached to a single crystal Au (111) electrode under electrochemically irreversible conditions. Their conclusions established that the difference in the voltammetry occurs mainly due to the morphology/orientation of deposits, leading to differences in the kinetics and thermodynamics of the process of stripping. Sieradzki and coworkers reported
both theoretically and experimentally the size dependent stability of Pt NPs towards oxidation in acidic solution. They found that Pt NPs smaller than 4 nm were oxidized directly to Pt$^{2+}$ whereas those higher than 4 nm in size formed a Pt oxide. They proved their theoretical explanations by confirming them with experimental observation using electrochemical scanning tunneling microscopy (ECSTM).

In 2010, Ivanova and Zamborini showed size-dependent oxidation behavior of citrate-coated Ag NPs chemically attached to glass/ITO electrodes for the first time by ASV. They observed a negative shift in the peak oxidation potential ($E_p$) from 391 mV to 278 mV for a decrease in size from ~45 nm to ~8 nm. For that work, they attached 8 nm, 16 nm, 20 nm, 26 nm, 28 nm, 38 nm and 45 nm Ag NPs to 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) functionalized indium tin oxide (ITO) glass electrodes. The attachment of the NPs to the electrode was due to electrostatic interactions between the negatively-charged citrate group on the NPs with the positively-charged amine group on APTES. Ag can be oxidized by the following reaction:

$$\text{Ag}^0 \rightarrow \text{Ag}^+ + 1e^-, E^0 = 0.79 \text{ V}$$

The stripping analysis of Ag NPs was performed in 0.1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ and significant size dependent negative shifts in $E_p$ were observed for the small-sized Ag NPs. The general trend followed the expectation of the Plieth equation, but the dependence did not exactly fit the Plieth curve.

Later, Ivanova and Zamborini showed the size dependent $E_p$ of Au NPs synthesized both chemically (4 nm Au NPs) and directly electrodeposited to a
glass/ITO electrode. They observed an approximately 100 mV negative shift in $E_p$ for Au NPs as the size decreased from 250 nm to 4 nm in diameter. The small sized 4 nm Au NPs were attached electrostatically via aminopropyltriethoxy silane (APTES) while 8 nm, 13 nm, 23 nm and 249 nm were deposited directly on the electrode by applying -0.2 V, 0.2 V, 0.4 V and 0.8 V for different times, respectively. The oxidation of Au NPs is caused by halide containing acidic electrolyte solutions as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Au}^0 + 4\text{Br}^- & \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_4^- + 3e^- (E^0 = 0.85 \text{ V}) \\
\text{Au}^0 + 2\text{Br}^- & \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_2^- + e^- (E^0 = 0.96 \text{ V}) \\
2\text{Au}^0 + \text{AuBr}_4^- + 2\text{Br}^- & \rightarrow 3\text{AuBr}_2^- (\text{Chemically})
\end{align*}
\]

The amount of total Au metal was kept constant on the electrode for different size NPs in both the Ag and Au NPs analyses. The size-dependent oxidation behavior was much better correlated with the Plieth predicted size for Au than it was for Ag, which seems to be due to the effect of APTES linker for the Ag NPs. Similar to Ivanova and Zamborini, size dependent oxidation of metal NPs was also observed by Brainina and coworkers for Au, Ag and Bi NPs of varying sizes. In their work, the different metal NPs were immobilized on the surface of indifferent carbon containing screen printed electrodes. Based on theoretical calculations and experimental studies they found that with decreasing size, there was a negative shift in $E_p$ of nanoparticle oxidation towards more negative potentials.
The oxidation peak potential for 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs is expected to be in the range of 0.4 to 0.0 V vs Ag/AgCl. Masitas and Zamborini studied the negative shift in the \( E_p \) of citrate-coated Au NPs less than 4 nm in diameter and observed a shift of \( \sim 850 \) mV from bulk Au \( E_p \) in acid containing halide electrolyte solution, which is in the expected range.\(^6\) They also observed that the \( E_p \) for smaller sized (less than 4 nm) Au NPs was independent of the halide ions. All of these size-dependent studies for various metal NPs were fairly well correlated to the \( 1/\text{radius} \) dependence predicted by Plieth.\(^5\)

Buttry and coworkers studied highly purified water-soluble 2,2'-bicinhoninic acid-capped Pd NPs using ASV in 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) and 10 mM NaCl.\(^6\) They found that the \( E_p \) also shifted negative as a function of NPs size. This shift was also in agreement with the Plieth model.\(^5\) The bulk Pd oxidized at 0.70 V vs Ag/AgCl, while 1 nm diameter Pd NPs oxidized at 0.34 V. The positive correlation between experimental and calculated data for the electrooxidation of different metal NPs confirms the Plieth model, which is based on the increase of Gibbs surface free energy with a decrease in particle radius. Since this shift is quantitatively related to the value of Gibbs free surface energy, it is also possible to formulate the reverse problem, which is to estimate the magnitude of Gibbs free surface energy based on experimentally recorded \( E_p \) values for electrooxidation from the stripping voltammetry.

In addition to the size determination of metal NPs based on \( E_p \), our group recently developed a method to electrochemically analyze the metal NP size directly on the electrode surface by measuring the electroactive surface area (SA)
of the NPs and the total volume (V) by stripping voltammetry on the same electrode. The electroactive SA was determined by measuring the surface oxidation-reduction reaction by cyclic voltammetry of Au NPs in acidic electrolyte and the total volume of the same NPs was determined by ASV in bromide-containing electrolyte. The results correlated amazingly well with the SEM measured size of different-sized spherical Au NPs by using the relation of SA/V = 3/r, where r is the radius of the spherical Au NPs. The citrate-stabilized Au NPs had to be cleaned with ozone prior to electrochemical analysis for the best results.

1.6. SIZE STABILITY STUDY OF METAL NPs.

1-2 nm diameter Au NPs are widely studied for different electrochemical reactions due to their unique properties. Most of these properties lead to a variety of electrochemical applications in catalysis, sensing and electronics. The reactivity of Au nanomaterials during these applications depend mostly on their size, their combination with other metals, stabilizer ligands and the attachment method of the NPs to the electrode surface. In each of these cases, the interactions of the NPs with the substrate surface affects their properties. Various electrochemical and chemical treatments, such as ozone cleaning, thermal treatment, electrocatalytic reactions with gas-phase molecules, oxidation-reduction cycling in acid, and exposure to acidic or basic environments, can induce transformations of the NPs that lead to changes in the desirable properties of the metal NPs. These are common treatment strategies that metal NPs encounter during their applications or prior to their applications. However, during
these treatments the size of the metal NPs usually increases, which significantly decreases their reactivity due to the dramatic decrease in SA/V. The size and structural stability of the metal NPs is critical to maintain their reactivity for different applications. In particular, NP coarsening that directly leads to a decrease in NP SA/V is highly undesirable for electrocatalysis experiments.

Two main mechanisms for coarsening have been described, which are known as Ostwald ripening and Smoluchowski ripening. In general, dissolution of small particles and redeposition of dissolved ions/species on the surface of bigger-sized particles is called Ostwald ripening. Ostwald ripening is a major process that occurs during NP synthesis and even over time in NP solutions. It is characterized by a larger average NP size and large size dispersity. A better understanding of the phenomenon will help to improve the size uniformity during NP synthesis and the post synthesis stability. The main driving force for Ostwald ripening of NPs is the difference in chemical potential between small-sized and bigger-sized particles. The larger interfacial area of smaller-sized particles results in larger surface free energy. The reduction in surface free energy drives them to oxidize (dissolve) and redeposit onto bigger-sized particles in the case of electrochemical Ostwald ripening. Heat-induced detachment of metal atoms from small NPs and reattachment to larger NPs can also occur, which is also a form of thermally induced Ostwald ripening. The SA/V decreases with an average increase in particles size due to Ostwald ripening, which makes them thermodynamically more stable. Ostwald ripening of metal NPs in solution can also be described based on the critical radius of NPs. The critical radius is the radius
at which NPs do not grow further in size by Ostwald ripening due to the equilibrium that is established with the surrounding concentration of monomer atoms or ions.\textsuperscript{81} The critical radius is

\[
    r = \left( \frac{2\gamma V_m}{RT \ln S} \right)                         (1.11)
\]

Where, \( V_m \) is the molar volume of monomer, \( T \) is the temperature, \( \gamma \) is the specific surface energy, \( R \) is the gas constant and \( S \) is the supersaturation of the monomer. \( S \) is the ratio of the actual monomer concentration to the monomer solubility over a flat surface. NPs below the critical radius grow in size by dissolution and redeposition onto NPs above the critical radius. The dissolution and growth of supported metal NPs was also explained by the curvature dependence on the chemical potential of NPs.\textsuperscript{82} The chemical potential of the supported metal NPs follows as

\[
    \Delta \mu_{\text{NP}} = \frac{2V_m \gamma}{R}                         (1.12)
\]

Where, \( V_m \) is the molar volume of the bulk metal atoms, \( \gamma \) is the surface energy and \( R \) is the radius of curvature. Small metal NPs have high curvature and higher surface energy. Therefore, small metal NPs will disappear faster and redeposit onto a lower energy NP with higher \( R \).
Smoluchowski ripening is the coarsening of particles by diffusion and subsequent collision and fusion of intact particles. This kind of ripening is also very common in the field of nano research. For example, in the bottom up synthesis of metal NPs, the first step is a fast reduction of the metal ions by reducing agents.\textsuperscript{83} The metal atoms will form dimers and small clusters which marks the second step. In the final and third step, the clusters grow due to aggregation and coalescence until reaching a final particle size at which the particles are sufficiently stabilized in the presence of ligand. This method of NP growth is very popular and in most of the cases they follow Smoluchowski ripening to
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**Figure 1.6.** Schematic representation of two types ripening mechanisms of metal NPs known as (A) Ostwald ripening and (B) Smoluchowski ripening.
some degree. Both forms of ripening can occur simultaneously as was recently imaged in real time by TEM. Figure 1.6. illustrates the two different ripening mechanisms.

There have been a significant number of reports on the ripening of metal NPs, both heat-induced and electrochemically-induced. For example, Redmond et al. studied electrochemical Ostwald ripening of colloidal Ag NPs on a conductive surface by using scanning electron microscopy. They deposited Ag metal NP thin films on the surface of glass/ITO and then added a couple of drops of water on the surface of the electrode. After being exposed to water, bigger Ag NPs were formed at the expense of smaller Ag NPs by electrochemical Ostwald ripening. Recently Liu et al. reported the electrochemical Ostwald ripening of Au/TiO$_2$ composite materials. They synthesized TiO$_2$ nanoparticles first and then made a TiO$_2$/Au composite mixture by reducing HAuCl$_4$.3H$_2$O with ascorbic acid. After that, they attached the composite mixture onto a clean ITO-coated glass electrode and performed a few cyclic voltammetry cycles in H$_2$SO$_4$ solution. They observed the formation of bigger sized Au NPs from the smaller sized Au NPs supported by larger TiO$_2$. Interestingly, they did not observe the Ostwald ripening phenomenon of Au NPs without TiO$_2$. Recently, Jang and coworkers observed the growth of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)-stabilized Au NPs in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$ by Ostwald ripening. In this case Br$^-$ from the CTAB stabilizer in combination with the oxidizing properties of H$_2$O$_2$ oxidized Au, presumably to AuBr$_4^-$ or AuBr$_2^-$, followed by redeposition to Au$^0$ on larger Au NPs. H$_2$O$_2$ sets the
chemical potential that aids in both the oxidation and reduction processes. During this process Au NPs grow in bigger size at the expense of smaller ones.

Others reported the ripening of metal NPs during electrocatalytic applications. For example, Crooks and coworkers showed that Au NPs 1-2 nm in size are very reactive for electrochemical CO\(_2\) reduction.\(^{19}\) However, they found that the NPs lack long-term stability since the 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs converted to 5-6 nm diameter, becoming inactive for CO\(_2\) reduction. Encapsulating the Au NPs in dendrimers aided in keeping the size stable and maintaining their electrocatalytic activity for longer time. Hu and coworkers reported the size dependent ripening of Au nanoclusters by using high angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscope (HAADF-STEM) when the nanocluster was used for CO electrocatalysis.\(^{8}\) For this study the nanoclusters were attached to an amorphous carbon film. They found that small size clusters such as Au\(_{561\pm13}\) and Au\(_{923\pm20}\) grow bigger in size by direct Ostwald ripening. However, bigger sized particles such as Au\(_{2057\pm45}\) grew by Smoluchowski Ripening. Karthish and coworkers observed the dendritic assembly of Au NPs when used for CO\(_2\) reduction.\(^{89}\) Such an assembly was observed due to the conversion of CO\(_2\) to CO gas, which facilitated easy movement of particles along the substrate, leading to an aggregative Smoluchowski type of ripening. They confirmed the assembly of the particles by SEM and TEM imaging. The size changes make them difficult to use for different applications.

The stabilizers used in the synthesis of metal NPs are necessary to synthesize the NPs with size and shape control but then act as a hindrance usually
for catalysis and other applications. Many researchers use post-synthetic treatment with ozone to remove these stabilizers in order to improve reactivity.\textsuperscript{90} However, it was found that the metal NP size changes after ozone treatment. For example, Elliott and coworkers studied the size transformation of alkanethiolate-stabilized Au NPs following ozone treatment by TEM imaging.\textsuperscript{25} They found that the NP size was increased from 1.4 nm to 1.6 nm in diameter after 16 min of ozone treatment, but remained the same after 8 min of ozone treatment.

There are numerous studies on the thermal treatment of metal NPs prior to their application, especially in catalysis that show a significant heat-induced increase in NP size. For example, Shivhare and coworkers showed that thiolate (phenylethanethiolate, and hexanethiolate) removal from the surface of Au\textsubscript{25} NPs took place at as low as 125 °C by extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{85} The complete removal of thiol occurred at 250 °C where the Au NPs showed the highest catalytic activity for 4-nitrophenol reduction. However, after the ligand loss, the NP size increased 1.3 to 1.9 nm in diameter. Geoffroy and coworkers reported the phase separation of bimetallic AuPd alloy NPs when annealed at 873 K.\textsuperscript{91} They observed 3.5 nm diameter Au rich and 25 nm diameter Pd rich NPs after the heat treatment. The phase separation was based on an Ostwald ripening process. Another example is the report of Li and coworkers, who showed an increase in the catalytic performance of oleyamine-coated 2-3 nm diameter Pt NPs after thermal treatment at 185° C for 5 hours.\textsuperscript{92} However, the method ultimately changed the NP size despite having the higher reactivity.
Metal NP aggregation can also occur and diminish the performance of the NPs for certain applications. The aggregation of NPs is usually directed by interparticle attractions which is typically achieved by intermolecular forces such as van der Waals forces, π-π interactions, dipole-dipole interaction, or hydrogen bonding. For example, Wei and coworkers reported a photo-switchable hydrosilylation reaction mediated by dynamic aggregation of Au NPs. They observed that upon irradiation with UV light Au NPs aggregate and their catalytic reactivity decreases, but upon irradiation with visible light, the catalytic reactivity increased due to the NPs becoming isolated. The aggregation was monitored by dynamic light scattering (DLS) and TEM imaging. Others studied aggregated NPs for electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol, glucose, and ethanol, often showing improved activity for aggregated structures. For example, Wen and coworkers showed that electrocatalytic glucose oxidation reactivity increased for 5-6 nm diameter Au aerogels synthesized with beta-cyclodextrin and aggregated using dopamine as compared to isolated, citrate-stabilized Au NPs of similar size. The increased reactivity of the aggregated NPs was thought to occur by the increase of porosity. Some studies have examined the effect of aggregation on the metal NP oxidation, mainly focusing on how aggregation affected the peak current. In contrast, Allen et al. was the first to study the effect of aggregation on the $E_p$ of oxidation. In that work, the aggregation of citrate-stabilized Au NPs was controlled by pH and characterized by ASV. It was found that after aggregation, the Au NP surface area decreases significantly and the $E_p$ shifts positive, whose magnitude depends on the aggregate size with the limit of shifting
to the bulk Au $E_p$ for aggregates above a certain size where the SA/V is close to that of bulk Au.

These different studies show significant increases in the size of metal NPs during electrocatalysis or cleaning by different method, such as ozone, thermal treatment, or electrochemical cycling. In most of these cases, the aggregation or ripening of metal NPs was monitored by microscopic methods, which are costly, tedious and don’t provide all the valuable information relevant to size dependent oxidation of NPs under potential control on an electrode surface. Therefore, our study of metal NP size-dependent reactivity based on their oxidation behavior is highly desirable. It is simple, cheap, fast, and offers a wealth of information on size, composition, atomic arrangement, and aggregation for NPs assembled directly on electrode surfaces in order to follow different size transformations under a variety of conditions with the ability to obtain good statistical data. This is a significant advantage. It will not completely replace electron microscopy and spectroscopic characterization but can serve as a complementary method to screen many samples initially and choose samples that need further analysis.

1.7. SIZE DEPENDENT GALVANIC EXCHANGE REACTION OF METAL NPs.

In recent years core/shell metal NPs with various structures, such as nanocubes$^{106}$, nanorods$^{107}$ and nanotubes$^{108}$ have gained tremendous interest in the field of nanoscience due to their potential applications in catalysis and sensing. The formation of these core/shell nanostructure has been mostly performed during
the chemical reduction process, where ions of one metal were reduced onto the surface of another metal in the presence of some type of stabilizer. However, the synthesis of nanomaterials by this strategy takes on multiple steps, which sometimes makes it difficult to control the shape and structure. Therefore, researchers have been searching for alternative methods to synthesize nanomaterials of well-controlled structure. Recently, galvanic exchange reactions have become a promising alternative approach to make designer, well-engineered nanomaterials. The galvanic exchange reaction is driven by the difference in the standard potential of two different metals. Usually this reaction leads to the deposition of a more stable metal by the oxidation of a less stable metal. This strategy has been used recently for making various uniquely-structured nanomaterials, including hollow nanocubes, nanotubes, nanorattles, and nanocages. For example, Murshid and coworkers performed a galvanic exchange reaction between Ag decahedral NPs and an Au\(^{3+}\) ions to form stable core/shell Ag/Au decahedral alloy NPs. The following reaction is one example of a typical galvanic exchange reaction between two metals, Ag(0) and an Au(III) complex in this case.

\[
3\text{Ag}(s) + \text{AuCl}_4^-(aq) \rightarrow \text{Au}(s) + 3\text{Ag}^+(aq) + 4 \text{Cl}^-(aq)
\]

There are examples of non-conventional galvanic exchange between the ion of the less noble metal and the metallic form of the more noble metal, which should not occur thermodynamically. For example, galvanic exchange between thiolate-stabilized Au\(_{25}\) nanoclusters with Ag\(^+\) and Cu\(^{2+}\) ions was reported first by Murray and coworkers. They believe that addition of metal ions on the Au\(_{25}\)
nanocluster was possible because thiolate ligands gain a partial negative charge after attaching to the Au or Ag surface, which assisted in Ag⁺ ion reduction on the Au surface. Later, Wu and coworkers reported that galvanic exchange of thiol-stabilized Au nanoclusters with Ag⁺ ions is only possible if the Au nanocluster size becomes lower than 3 nm in diameter. They also believe that this reaction occurs due to the partial negative charge of thiolate ligand, which acts as a reducing agent. In 2014, Wang and coworkers reported the anti-galvanic exchange reaction of naked Au NPs. Ligand free naked Au NPs were obtained by the reduction of Au⁺ ions with NaBH₄ and the resultant aggregated NPs were dispersed by the laser ablation method. In this process they were able to get well disperse 1 to 19 nm diameter Au NPs with an average size of 13.5 ± 0.5 nm in diameter. After addition of an aqueous solution of AgNO₃ to the Au NPs solution they found that Ag atoms deposited on the Au NPs based on the peak in the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). This finding indicates that anti-galvanic exchange of Au NPs with Ag⁺ ions is not due to the thiolate ligand but could be due to the thermodynamic negative shift of the oxidation peak potential of naked Au NPs. Yao and coworkers reported that Ag atoms do not replace Au atoms in the precursor particles, but that they instead place side by side of Au atoms by depositing on Au. They proved this phenomenon based on the mass spectroscopic analysis and the finding was also supported by theoretical calculations. Recently, Tian and coworkers reported that anti-galvanic exchange between Au nanoclusters and Ag⁺ ions depends on the structure ions precursor. For example, galvanic exchange between aqueous AgNO₃ and Au₂₅(PET)₁₈, (PET
= SC$_2$H$_4$Ph) shows Au$_{25}$Ag$_2$(PET)$_{18}$ as a main product, while galvanic exchange between Au$_{25}$(PET)$_{18}$ with Ag–DTZ complexes (DTZ is dithizone) shows Au$_{24}$Ag(PET)$_{18}$ as a main product in the mass spectrum. Luo and coworkers reported the conversion of a 23 atom [Au$_{23}$(SR)$_{16}$]$^-$ nanocluster to a 21 atom [Au$_{21}$(SR)$_{12}$(Ph$_2$PCH$_2$PPh$_2$)$_2$]$^+$ nanocluster by resection of two surface Au atoms first with two Ag$^+$ ions followed by replacement of the two Ag atoms by galvanic exchange with a Au(I)-diphosphine complex, Au$_2$Cl$_2$(P–C–P)$_2$. This slight surgery on the Au clusters provided a 10-fold increase in the luminescent properties of the Au nanoclusters.

Several other groups also studied galvanic exchange reactions with 2-3 nm diameter Au nanoclusters for use of the resulting alloy nanoclusters for catalytic applications. For example, Young and coworkers reported that the addition of Ag atoms on the surface of Au nanoclusters by galvanic exchange significantly increased the 4-electron oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) in alkaline media as compared to other Au-Ag alloy NPs. These studies indicate that galvanic exchange between Au and Ag$^+$ ions is possible, however, the mechanism of this exchange is unknown in the literature. In this dissertation we report that galvanic exchange between Au NPs and Ag$^+$ is thermodynamically favorable when the NP size becomes less than 2 nm in diameter due to the negative shift of the oxidation potential of Au NPs with decreasing size. Details of the size-dependent galvanic exchange between Au NPs with Ag$^+$ ions will be the focus of Chapter VII.
1.8. BIMETALLIC NANOPARTICLES

The synthesis, characterization and application of alloys NPs, such as bimetallic alloy NPs, is an important area of research due to the differences in properties of alloy NPs versus single metal NPs. The properties of alloy NPs can be varied by the arrangement of different atoms, the ratio, and number of different metals used during their synthesis. Alloy NPs can be bimetallic, trimetallic, tetrametallic, and so on. Among these different varieties, bimetallic nanostructures are very popular in the field of nanoalloy research. Bimetallic NPs with different structures often show superior performance compared to their monometallic counterparts due to the combination and arrangement of two different metal atoms and metal-metal interactions. Recently, bimetallic or trimetallic particles were used for different applications in catalysis, sensing, photothermal therapy, nanophotonics and others. Among the different types of bimetallic structures, core-shell NPs are highly popular. Core-shell NPs are composite nanomaterials constructed with a core of inner metal and shell of outer metal, both at the nanometer scale. Bimetallic core-shell NPs may show enhanced catalytic reactivity because combining two metals allows tuning of the electronic and geometric structures of the metal NPs.

While the development of highly active, selective, robust, low-cost and environmentally friendly catalytic systems is very challenging for researchers, there has been significant progress with the development of bimetallic alloy NPs. For example, Kim et al. successfully synthesized bimetallic CuAu alloy NPs with
different ratios and used them to catalyze CO₂ reduction.\textsuperscript{134} Citrate-coated core-shell Cu-Au NPs were synthesized by Zhang \textit{et al.} for calorimetric iodine,\textsuperscript{126} sulfide anion and cysteine recognition and sensing.\textsuperscript{135} Recently, core-shell Cu-Au NPs were synthesized by Liu \textit{et al.} and used to study unidirectional thermal diffusion of Au atoms from the shell to the core.\textsuperscript{136} Several groups have reported many types of core-shell NPs for various applications. However, core-shell Au-Cu NPs have received comparatively less attention because Cu is easily oxidized to Cu\textsuperscript{2+} or copper oxide under ambient conditions and Cu shows lower free electrons on the surface compared to other plasmonic NPs, such as Au and Ag.\textsuperscript{137-138} The catalytic activity of bimetallic particles may vary during the reaction because one metal of the core-shell nanostructure may undergo oxidation or rearrangement by diffusion from the core to the shell or vice-versa due to heat or other reaction conditions.

Recently, dealloying of one metal from the bimetallic NPs attracted tremendous attention in nanoscience research as an excellent method to prepare nanoporous metals, which ultimately increases the metal’s performance in catalytic and sensing applications when compared to individual metal NPs.\textsuperscript{139} Both chemical and electrochemical methods of dealloying are used to produce nanoporous NPs. In the case of chemical dealloying, the dissolution of one metal from bimetallic alloy NPs occurs in a suitable chemical solution. For example, Li and coworkers reported nanoporous Au formation by the chemical etching of AuAg NPs with Fe(\text{NO}_3)_3 and NH₃OH. After the dealloying of Ag, they found that catalytic conversion of 4-nitrophenol to 4-aminophenol increased due to the increase in Au surface area.\textsuperscript{140} Other groups reported the electrochemical dealloying of the less
noble metal of an alloy NP by the appropriate applied potential in an electrolyte solution. For example, Sieradzki and coworkers reported the synthesis of nanoporous Au NPs from ATP-coated 2-6 nm diameter AuAg NPs and citrate-coated 40 nm diameter AuAg alloy NPs by an electrochemical method.\textsuperscript{141} They observed porous Au NPs once they held the potential above 0.6 V in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution, which enables dissolution of Ag. Xu and coworkers studied dealloying of Al from AuAl\textsubscript{2} alloy NPs in the presence of halide ions and observed a decrease in the dealloying critical potential after addition of halide ions.\textsuperscript{142} The dealloying critical overpotential decreases in the order of I\textsuperscript{−} < Br\textsuperscript{−} < Cl\textsuperscript{−} < no halides.\textsuperscript{143} Also, addition of halide ions increases the porosity, where it was found that the porosity of the Au film increased by nine times in the presence of iodide-containing electrolyte as compared to those without the addition of iodide.

Others reported electrochemical studies on different shaped Au NPs. Since spherical Au NPs and other shapes, such as nanorods, have different crystallographic planes, mainly the low index (111), (110), and (100) surfaces, the sensitivity of those planes to particular electrochemical reactions were previously used for characterizing the Au NP structures. For example, Hernandez and coworkers specifically characterized the (111) and (110) planes of Au NPs and nanorods by lead underpotential deposition.\textsuperscript{144} They also confirmed the (111) and (110) planes of Au NPs and nanorods by high resolution transmission electron microscopy and selective area electron diffraction. Similarly, this group characterized 40 nm cubic Au NPs which consisted mostly of the (100) plane by analyzing the oxygen reduction reactivity of NPs in alkaline media.\textsuperscript{145} In alkaline
media they observed mainly water as a final product for all potential ranges, which suggested that cubic Au NPs have mostly the (100) plane on its surface.

Most research reports describe the use of other non-electrochemical techniques for NP characterization. UV-visible spectroscopy analysis gives information about particle size and distribution due to the plasmonic properties. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy provide the composition of particles. X-ray diffraction (XRD) provides information about different crystal planes of NPs and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) gives information about the size, shape and crystal structure of the NPs. However, most of these characterization tools don’t give detailed information’s about both composition and atomic arrangement. In this dissertation we describe the use of ASV for the determination of composition and atomic arrangement of bimetallic Cu/Au NPs and monitor the changes in their arrangements during heating. Details of composition analysis of CuAu NPs is discussed in Chapter VIII and atomic arrangements of CuAu NPs upon thermal treatment is discussed in Chapter IX.

1.9. SUMMARY AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The middle two sections of this dissertation (Chapter III to Chapter IX) describe size characterization and stability studies of 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs, characterization of aggregate structures, galvanic exchange reaction studies of 1 to 4 nm diameter Au NPs with Ag⁺ ions, and composition and atomic arrangements analysis of bimetallic CuAu NPs by ASV.
In Chapter III, we report on the size characterization, stability, and reactivity analysis of 1-4 nm diameter Au NPs. We found that THPC coated 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs are active for both electrochemical CO₂ reduction and the hydrogen evolution reaction, but that they lack thermodynamic size stability. These Au NPs show significant size transformation during one cycle of surface oxidation and reduction in acidic electrolyte, 1-2 min of ozone treatment and 10 min of holding at a potential of 0.3 V versus Ag/AgCl in Br⁻. On the other hand, citrate-stabilized 4 nm diameter Au NPs do not show any size changes during these treatments. These results were confirmed by monitoring the positive shift in the oxidation peak potential of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs from 0.46 V to about 0.7 V vs Ag/AgCl after the various treatments. Chapter IV describes the electrochemical characterizations of TPPS stabilized atomically precise Au₁₁ clusters that are about 0.9 nm in diameter. These clusters show a single oxidation peak at 0.25 V vs Ag/AgCl, consistent with the Plieth equation. However, they are also not stable after just one electrochemical oxidation-reduction cycle, ozone treatment, and in low pH environments. Furthermore, this NPs are not very active for catalytic CO₂ reduction and the hydrogen evolution reaction as compared to THPC stabilized 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs.

In Chapter V, we report the oxidation behavior of different aggregate structures of 15 nm diameter Au NPs. The Eₚ of 15 nm diameter Au NPs show a 100 mV positive shift from that of isolated NPs when they were aggregated by acid, while the Eₚ of the same NPs doesn’t show any changes when they were aggregated by THPC. However, the optical signal shows plasmonic properties consistent with
aggregated NPs. Electrochemical SA/V measurements and TEM image analysis of the aggregates provided the reason for this. THPC aggregated NPs did not show any change in their SA/V while acid aggregated NPs showed a significant decrease in SA/V as compared to isolated 15 nm diameter Au NPs. TEM images revealed that acid-aggregated NPs formed three dimensional structures with fused Au NPs, which is why they had a lowered SA/V. In contrast, THPC-aggregated NPs formed one dimensional or two-dimensional planar aggregates with ~1 nm spacing between the NPs, which did not alter the SA/V. The $E_p$ value reflected the SA/V value. These differences in aggregation structure was easily distinguishable by electrochemical measurements but not with UV-vis spectroscopy.

Chapter VI describes experiments aimed as using ASV and electrochemical SA/V measurements to study the kinetics of electrochemical Ostwald ripening as a function of electrode potential, NP size, size dispersity, and coverage for electrode-confined Au NPs. We determined the rate of electrochemically-induced Ostwald ripening of 1.6, 4 and 15 nm Au NPs by measuring ASV $E_p$ and SA/V as a function of ripening potential and time in Br$^-$ containing acidic electrolyte solution. Since different size Au NPs oxidize at different potential and the SA/V provides the size of Au NPs, the rate of electrochemically-induced Ostwald ripening can be determined. Our results show that the rate increases with a decrease in Au NP size for similar reactions conditions. These findings were significant, since Ostwald ripening is a very common phenomenon that occurs during the synthesis of Au NPs and causes size instability during electrochemical applications. Therefore, understanding the Ostwald ripening reactivity of Au by electrochemical methods
could be helpful for designing new nanomaterials with tunable size and better stability.

Chapter VII describes the size-dependent galvanic exchange of Au NPs with Ag⁺ ions. The main finding of this work was that TPPC coated Au NPs show complete exchange with Ag⁺ ions, 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs show 50-60 percent exchange with Ag⁺ ions, while 4 nm diameter Au NPs do not show only minimal exchange with Ag⁺ ions (<10%). This is unique reactivity that could lead to new methods for catalyst design.

Chapter VIII describes the composition analysis of citrate stabilized core/shell Cu₁/Auₓ (x = 0.001 to 2) NPs by ASV. Our results show that the composition analysis of the NPs is possible in Cl⁻ containing electrolyte while composition analysis becomes impossible in Br⁻ containing acidic electrolyte due the migration of Au atoms and possible blocking of Cu oxidation by Au atoms during dealloying.

Chapter IX describes an analysis of the atomic arrangement of citrate stabilized CuAu bimetallic NPs having three different arrangements of Cu and Au atoms by ASV. Core/shell Cu₁/Au₀.₃₃ or Au₀.₃₃/Cu₁ NPs display three oxidation peaks at -0.1 V (for bare Cu), 0.25 V (for Cu directly in contact with Au) and 0.9 V (Au bonded to Au) while mixed Cu₁-Au₀.₃₃ alloy NPs show only two oxidation peaks at 0.25 V (Cu bonded to Au) and 0.9 V (Au bonded to Au). However, after 1 hour at 473 K the oxidation peak at 0.25 V shifted to -0.05 V for mixed Cu₁-Au₀.₃₃ alloy NPs, indicative of segregation of Cu atoms. A similar trend has been observed for core/shell NPs as well.
CHAPTER II
EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. SUBSTRATE

Indium tin oxide (tin-doped indium oxide) is made of tin (IV) oxide (SnO₂) and indium (III) oxide (In₂O₃), usually 90% In₂O₃, 10% weight of SnO₂. Indium Tin Oxide (ITO) coated glass (glass/ITO) is a widely used electrode for electrochemical, spectroelectrochemical, and solar cell applications because of its electrical conductivity and optical transparency. We used unpolished float (soda-lime) glass coated ITO slides (Delta Technologies, LTD, Part No. CG-50IN-CUV) in our experiments as an electrode material for voltammetric analysis of NPs, for electrocatalysis experiments, and also for UV-Vis measurements. These electrodes have a resistance of 8-12 ohms. We cut the slide to a final size of 25 x 6 mm using a diamond cutter and cleaned them by sonication for 30-min in ethanol, acetone, and 2-propanol. Finally, we rinsed the slides with water and dried them under N₂ before use.

2.2. CHEMICALS AND REAGENTS

HAuCl₄·3H₂O was synthesized from metallic Au (99.99%) in our lab. Sodium borohydride, hydrogen peroxide (30 wt.%), (3-aminopropyl)
triethoxysilane (≥ 98.0%), 2-propanol (ACS reagent), CuSO₄·5H₂O (ACS reagent), and potassium bicarbonate (ACS reagent) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Ethyl alcohol and acetone (ACS/USP grade) were purchased from Pharmco-AAPER. Trisodium citrate salt, potassium perchlorate (99.0-100.5%), potassium bromide (GR ACS), and perchlorate acid (60%) were purchased from Bio-Rad laboratories, Beantown Chemical, EMD, and Merck, respectively. Sodium hydroxide (solid) was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)phosphonium Chloride (80% solution in water) was purchased from ACROS ORGANICS. triphenylphosphine monosulfonate (TPPS) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (TCI). A CO₂ gas cylinder was supplied by Welders Supply (Louisville, KY). Thiocyanate-capped 2 nm diameter Au NPs were purchased from BBI Solutions (Madison, WI).

2.3. METAL NANOPARTICLES SYNTHESIS PROCEDURE

2.3.1. Synthesis of 0.9 nm Diameter TPPS-Protected Au₁₁ Clusters.

TPPS stabilized Au₁₁ clusters were synthesized using the protocol reported by Yao and coworkers.³¹ Briefly, a mixture of HAuCl₄ (0.5 mmol) and TPPS sodium salt (0.75 mmol) were mixed in methanol (11.5 mL) under an inert atmosphere, followed by rapid addition of 3 mL of freshly prepared ice-cooled aqueous NaBH₄ solution (0.2 M) under vigorous stirring. After the addition of NaBH₄ a brown color immediately formed in the solution, indicative of the formation of small Au clusters in the solution. Figure 2.1 shows the reaction for the synthesis of the Au₁₁ cluster.
Procedure for the synthesis of Au$_{11}$ clusters stabilized with triphenylphosphine monosulfonate.

2.3.2. Synthesis of 1.6 nm Diameter THPC Au NPs. THPC-stabilized 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs were synthesized using the procedure described by Duff and co-workers. Briefly, 500 µL of 0.2 M NaOH solution was added to glass vials containing 15.16 mL of nanopure water followed by the addition of 400 µL of the reducing agent THPC (200 µL of 80% THPC diluted to 16.66 mL of nanopure water). Finally, 660 µL of 25 mM HAuCl$_4$·3H$_2$O was added to the vials with constant stirring. After the addition of HAuCl$_4$·3H$_2$O, immediately an orange-brown color formed in solution, indicative of small Au NPs. Figure 2.2 shows the reaction for
the synthesis of 1.6 nm THPC Au NPs (Figure 2.2 A) and the proposed reaction mechanism (Figure 2.2 B)

![Reaction scheme for Duff method of synthesis of 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs](image)

2.3.3. Synthesis of ~2 nm Diameter Glutathione-Capped Au NPs. Glutathione-capped Au NPs were synthesized by using the protocol reported by Negishi et al. Briefly, a mixture of 50 mL of 0.25 mmol of HAuCl₄ and 1.0 mmol of glutathione was prepared in methanol solution. The mixture was then cooled to 0
°C in an ice bath for 1 hour. Then, an aqueous solution of ice cold NaBH₄ (0.2 M, 12.5 mL) was injected rapidly into this mixture under vigorous stirring. The mixture was allowed to react for another 2 hours. The resulting precipitate was spun down by centrifugation and washed with methanol to remove the starting materials. This was repeated multiple times. Finally, methanol was added to the precipitate, and the solution was sonicated for 10 min before attachment of the glutathione-capped Au NPs to a glass/ITO/APTES electrode.

2.3.4. Synthesis of Dendrimer-Coated 1-2 nm Diameter Au NPs. Dendrimer stabilized 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs were synthesized by using the protocol reported by Crooks and coworkers. Briefly, 200 μL of 100 μM PAMAM dendrimer was added to 8.65 mL of nanopure water with vigorous stirring. Then, 147 μL of a 20.0 mM HAuCl₄ stock solution was added dropwise to the stirred PAMAM solution. Finally, after 2 min an ~10-fold molar excess of NaBH₄ mixed with 1.0 mL of 0.30 M NaOH solution was added to that solution. After the addition of NaBH₄, immediately a light brown color formed in the solution, indicating the formation of small Au clusters in the solution. The reaction mixture was then stirred at 25 ± 2 °C for 12 h to deactivate excess NaBH₄.
2.3.5. Synthesis of Citrate Stabilized 4 nm Diameter Au NPs. 4 nm average diameter Au NPs were synthesized by using the procedure described by Murphy and co-workers. In this method, 0.5 mL of 10 mM HAuCl₄·3H₂O and 0.5 mL of 10 mM trisodium citrate was added to 20 mL of water followed by the addition of 0.6 mL of ice-cold 100 mM NaBH₄ at once with rapid stirring for 2 hours. After the addition of NaBH₄, the solution turned red within 5 min, indicating the formation of Au NPs. Figure 2.3 shows the reactions involved during the formation of 4 nm diameter Au NPs.

![Diagram of synthesis process](image)

Figure 2.3. Synthesis of citrate coated 4 nm diameter Au NPs.
2.3.6. Preparation of THPC 4 nm Diameter Au NPs. We prepared 4 nm diameter THPC Au NPs by the ligand exchange method reported by Gulka et al.\textsuperscript{148} Briefly, 500 µL of 100 µM concentration of THPC was added to a 10 mL aqueous solution of citrate-coated 4 nm Au NPs. After addition of THPC, the Au NP solution immediately changed from red to blue as shown in Figure 2.4(i). The replacement of citrate with THPC is due to the strong interaction of the Au-P bond as compared to the Au-citrate. The NPs became red again after 24 hours of reaction. The disassembly of NPs is due to the catalytic formation of pentavalent phosphorene that occurred with Au NPs when the pH is above the pKa of the THPC ligand (Figure 2.4(ii)). The pentavalent THPOH isomers remain adsorbed on the surface of Au NPs and the excess negative charge of THPOH ligand causes the assembly to break apart into monodisperse components with time. After 24 hours, the NPs were attached to the glass/ITO/APTES electrode by directly soaking the electrode in the solution of THPC 4 nm Au NPs.
Figure 2.4. Proposed mechanism of assembly and disassembly of 4 nm Au NPs with THPC. (i) The citrate coated 4 nm Au NPs immediately assemble into linear chains by reacting with THPC and slowly disassemble with time. The rapid assembly of NPs after addition of THPC due to the displacement of citrate with THPC. However, disassembly of Au NPs is only observed when the pH is above the pKa of the THPC. (ii) The catalytic formation of pentavalent phosphorene occurred with Au NPs when the pH is above the pKa of the THPC ligand. (iii) These pentavalent THPOH isomers remain adsorbed to the surface of Au NPs and the excess negative charge of the ligand cannot maintain the assembly, which breaks apart into monodisperse components.
2.3.7. Synthesis of 15 nm Average Diameter Citrate-Stabilized Au NPs. 15 nm average diameter Au NPs were synthesized by a modified Turkevich method. Briefly, 500 µL of 0.01 M HAuCl₄·3H₂O was added to 17.0 mL of nanopure water and heated to a rolling boil for 10 min. Immediately after, 2.5 mL of 0.01 M citric acid, trisodium salt solution was added and the solution was stirred for another 10 minutes. Finally, the resulting solution was stirred for another 15 min at room temperature and allowed to cool. At this point, the solution turned to a bright red color indicating the formation of citrate-capped 15 nm Au NPs. Figure 2.5 shows the procedure for the synthesis of citrate capped 15 nm Au NPs.
Figure 2.5. Synthesis mechanism of 15 nm diameter Au NPs by the citrate reduction method.

Figure 2.6. Proposed mechanism of seeded growth synthesis of 50 nm diameter Au NPs with H₂O₂.
2.3.8. Synthesis of Citrate-Stabilized 50 nm Diameter Au NPs. We used the seed-mediated growth method reported by Wang and coworkers\textsuperscript{13} to prepare fairly monodisperse citrate-stabilized 50 nm diameter Au NPs. Briefly, 500 µL of 0.01 M H\textsubscript{Au}Cl\textsubscript{4}·3H\textsubscript{2}O and 1.0 mL of 0.01 M trisodium citrate were added to 18.0 mL of 30 wt\% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} while stirring. Next, 500 µL of as-prepared 15 nm Au NPs was added to the solution. After the addition of the 15 nm diameter Au seed NPs, the color of the solution changed within a few seconds to a pink red color, suggesting the formation of larger Au NPs. Reduction of AuCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{−} with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} produced fairly monodisperse 50 nm Au NPs due to the moderate reducing power of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, which reduces AuCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{−} to Au\textsuperscript{0} directly onto the 15 nm Au NPs surface instead of producing new Au NPs. The proposed reduction reaction of AuCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{−} for the seeded growth synthesis of 50 nm diameter Au NPs proceeds as follows:

\[
\text{AuCl}_4^- + \frac{3}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Au}^0 + 4\text{Cl}^- + 3\text{H}^+ + \frac{3}{2}\text{O}_2
\]

The final size of Au NPs can be calculated by considering that all the H\textsubscript{Au}Cl\textsubscript{4} reduced during reaction with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and deposited to seed, particles are spherical in shape, and reduction and growth take place without further nucleation, the final size of the NPs after seeded growth can be estimated by the following relations:

\[
d_p = d_{p0} \left(1 + \frac{nM}{nM_{\text{seed}}}ight)^{1/3}
\]

where, \(d_{p0}\) and \(d_p\) is the initial and final diameter of Au NPs, \(n_M\) = molarity of the metal ion in the solution and \(n_{M_{\text{seed}}}=\) molarity of the metal in the seed. Figure 2.6 show the procedure for the synthesis of 50 nm diameter Au NPs.
2.3.9. Synthesis of Core/Shell Cu1/Aux NPs with Varied Au. Cu/Au core/shell NPs were synthesized by the using the method described by Zhang and co-workers. In a typical synthesis, we added 50 µL of 0.1 M CuSO\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O and 50 µL of 0.1 M trisodium citrate to 20 mL of nanopure water cooled in an ice bath with stirring. We then reduced CuSO\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O to metallic Cu NPs in the presence of the citrate stabilizer by adding 1 mL of freshly-prepared 0.027 M ice cold NaBH\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O solution. A yellow color formed immediately in solution, consistent with the formation of Cu NPs. Finally, after 30 min of continued stirring, we added 50 µL of 0.2 M, 0.1 M, 0.05 M, 0.03 M, 0.02 M, 0.01 M, 0.001 M, or 0.0001 M HAuCl\textsubscript{4}.3H\textsubscript{2}O to prepare Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{2}, Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{1}, Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.5}, Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.3}, Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.2}, Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.1}, Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.01} and Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.001} core/shell NPs, respectively. In the case of core/shell Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{2} NPs we added an additional 1 mL of 0.027 M NaBH\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O to the solution after the addition of HAuCl\textsubscript{4}.3H\textsubscript{2}O to make sure all HAuCl\textsubscript{4}.3H\textsubscript{2}O reduced to Au on the Cu core surface (as opposed to galvanic exchange). After addition of HAuCl\textsubscript{4}.3H\textsubscript{2}O we stirred the solution for 30 min before attaching them to functionalized glass/ITO electrodes for ASV analysis. Pure Cu NPs were prepared using the same procedure but without adding HAuCl\textsubscript{4}.3H\textsubscript{2}O (no second step). Figure 2.7. (A) illustrates the synthesis of citrate coated core/shell Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{x} NPs.

2.3.10. Synthesis of CuAu Mixed Alloy NPs. We synthesized Cu\textsubscript{1}Au\textsubscript{2} mixed alloy NPs by adding 50 µL of 0.1 M CuSO\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O, 100 µL of 0.1 M trisodium citrate and 50 µL of 0.2 M HAuCl\textsubscript{4}.3H\textsubscript{2}O to 20 mL of ice cold nanopure water with stirring.
Then we co-reduced the two metals by adding 2 mL of freshly-prepared ice cold 0.027 M NaBH₄·2H₂O. After addition of ice cold NaBH₄·2H₂O, a black solution formed immediately in the solution. We continued stirring the solution for 30 min before attaching them to the functionalized glass/ITO electrodes for ASV analysis.

Similarly, we synthesized citrate stabilized mixed Cu₁·Au₀.₃₃ alloy NPs by adding 50 µL of 0.1 M CuSO₄·2H₂O and 50 µL of 0.1 M trisodium citrate to 20 mL of nanopure water cooled in an ice bath with stirring. Then we added 50 µL 0.1 M HAuCl₄·3H₂O to prepare Cu₃·Au₁ NPs. Then we co-reduced the two metals by adding 2 mL of freshly-prepared ice cold 0.027 M NaBH₄·2H₂O. After addition of ice cold NaBH₄·2H₂O, a black solution formed immediately in the solution. We continued stirring the solution for 20 min before attaching them to the functionalized glass/ITO electrodes. Figure 2.7. (B) illustrates the synthesis procedure of Cu₁·Au₂ alloy NPs.

2.3.11. Synthesis of Core/Shell Au₀.₃₃/Cu₁ NPs. We used the procedure described by Murphy and co-workers to synthesize citrate-stabilized Au NPs first. In a typical synthesis, we added 50 µL of 0.03 M HAuCl₄·3H₂O and 50 µL of 0.1 M trisodium citrate to 20 mL of nanopure water cooled in an ice bath with stirring. We then reduced HAuCl₄·3H₂O to metallic Au NPs in the presence of the citrate stabilizer by adding 1 mL of freshly-prepared 0.027 M ice cold NaBH₄·2H₂O solution. A red color formed immediately in solution, consistent with the formation of Au NPs. Finally, after 2 hours of continued stirring, we added 50 µL of 0.1 M CuSO₄·2H₂O to prepare Au₀.₃₃/Cu₁. After 10 min we added 1 mL of 0.027 M
NaBH$_4$.2H$_2$O to the solution to make sure all CuSO$_4$.2H$_2$O reduced to Cu on the Au core surface. After addition of CuSO$_4$.2H$_2$O, we stirred the solution for 20 min before attaching them to functionalized glass/ITO electrodes.

**Figure 2.7.** Synthesis strategy of citrate coated Cu, core/shell Cu/Au and alloy Cu-Au NPs.

(A)  
(i) CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O + NaBH$_4$ + Citrate → Cu NPs  
(ii) Cu + AuCl$_4^-$ + NaBH$_4$ + Citrate → Core/shell Cu/Au NPs

(B) CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O + AuCl$_4^-$ + NaBH$_4$ + Citrate → Cu-Au alloy NPs
2.4. NANOPARTICLE ATTACHMENT PROCEDURE

2.4.1. Functionalization of Glass/ITO Electrodes with 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES). Glass/ITO electrodes were cleaned by sonication in acetone, ethanol, and 2-propanol for 20 min in each solvent. The glass/ITO electrode was then functionalized with APTES by immersing into a solution containing 100 µL of APTES, 10 mL of 2-propanol, and 2 to 3 drops of nanopore water and heating at 90° C for 30 min. After 30 min, the electrode was thoroughly rinsed with 2-propanol and dried under N₂. Figure 2.8 show the step involved for the functionalization of Glass/ITO electrode.

Figure 2.8. Functionalization of Glass/ITO electrode with aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES).
2.4.2. Attachment of Citrate-Coated Nanoparticles to Functionalized Electrodes. The glass/ITO/APTES electrodes were soaked in the appropriate solution of NPs for 15 min, then rinsed with nanopure water thoroughly and dried with N\textsubscript{2} before ASV analysis. The glass/ITO electrodes were placed in NP solutions immediately after APTES functionalization and rinsing and characterized electrochemically immediately after metal NP attachment in order to reduce surface contamination and improve reproducibility. Figure 2.9 illustrates the procedure for the attachment of NPs onto electrode.

![Diagram](image.png)

**Figure 2.9.** Attachment of metal NPs onto APTES functionalized glass/ITO electrode.

2.4.3. Galvanic replacement of Au NPs with Ag\textsuperscript{+} ions

THPC stabilized 4.1 and 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs and TPPS stabilized 0.9 nm diameter Au NPs were attached directly on the APTES functionalized electrode surface by soaking the electrodes in the corresponding NP solution. Then, the glass/ITO/APTES/Au NPs electrode was placed in a solution containing 1x10\textsuperscript{-4} M
Ag⁺ ions for 3 min. After 3 min, the electrode was thoroughly rinsed with nanopure water and dried under N₂ for ASV analysis.

2.5. CHARACTERIZATION AND INSTRUMENTATIONS

2.5.1. Electrochemical Techniques

Electroanalytical techniques are a branch of analytical chemistry techniques which have been developed to study the electrical and chemical changes on electroactive analytes as a function of potential, current, or charge at the interface of an electrode and electrolyte. Traditionally, electrochemical techniques have been used to determine the concentration of electroactive species. However, recently they are also developed for measuring electrochemical reactivity of the analyte. In most cases the analyte is part of an oxidation–reduction reaction which occurs at the interface of the electrolyte and an electrode. This section of the dissertation will focus on the complete electrochemical set-up and different electrochemical techniques used for this study. The main component of an electrochemical set up consists of: (i) a three-electrode cell containing a working, reference and counter electrode, (ii) a beaker with electrolyte, (iii) a potentiostat and (iv) a computer for plotting the electrochemical signal.

**Electrochemical Cell.** In our experiment we used a 50 ml beaker having three electrodes (working, reference and counter electrode) as an electrochemical cell.

**Working Electrode.** The working electrode is the main electrode of an electrochemical system where the electrochemical reactions of interest occur. At
the working electrode, the potential is controlled, and the current is measured. In our experiment we used glass/ITO, glass/ITO/NPs, glass/ITO/APTES, and glass/ITO/APTES/NPs as working electrodes.

**Reference Electrode.** The reference electrode is an electrode having a stable and well-known potential to determine and control the potential of the working electrode. In our experiment we used an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. This electrode consists of a silver wire electrode coated with solid AgCl and immersed in a solution of 3 M KCl electrolyte solution.

**Counter Electrode.** A counter or auxiliary electrode is generally used to complete the circuit and allow charge to flow which ultimately balances the current flowing at the working electrode. The counter electrode is made from inert materials, like platinum, gold, or carbon. In our work we used a Pt wire as the counter electrode.

**Potentiostat.** A potentiostat is an electronic instrument which is capable of controlling the voltage difference between the reference electrode and the working electrode and measuring the current flowing between the working and counter electrode. In our studies we used A CH Instruments model CHI 660E electrochemical workstation (Austin, TX).

### 2.5.1.1. Linear Sweep Voltammetry (LSV)

In our experiment we used LSV for studying the NPs size dependent oxidation peak potential ($E_p$) of Au NPs, which has been used in our lab for
determining the size of Au and Ag NPs. Figure 2.10. shows a typical linear sweep voltammogram of glass/ITO/Au NPs (~2 nm) at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s in a solution of 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M KClO₄. The starting potential was -0.2 V and the ending potential 1.2 V. The peak in the plot at ~450 mV vs Ag/AgCl results from an increase in current that corresponds to the oxidation of Au by Br⁻ and formation of soluble AuBr₄⁻ (mostly) and possibly AuBr₂⁻ complexes from the oxidation reaction. This is defined as $E_p$ as labeled in the plot.

![Figure 2.10. Typical linear sweep voltammogram of glass/ITO/APTES/Au NPs (~2 nm) at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s in a solution of 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M KClO₄.](image)

2.5.1.2. Cyclic Voltammetry (CV).

CV is a type of potentiodynamic electrochemical method and similar to LSV. In CV, the electrochemical response of electroactive species is recorded as current
while a potential scan is applied to the working electrode at a constant scan rate in the forward and reverse directions. The resulting current is measured as a function of potential. The oxidation and reduction process of an electroactive species is possible to record by CV due to the complete cycle of this technique. Typically, the experiment begins at a potential where there is no oxidation or reduction reaction and moves to potentials where there is reduction or oxidation of the electroactive species. A typical response of a redox active species in CV is shown in Figure 2.11. In the figure, \( i_{p,c} \) is the cathodic peak current, \( i_{p,a} \) is anodic peak current, \( E_{p,c} \) is potential of the cathodic peak and \( E_{p,a} \) is potential of the anodic peak. In our studies we used CV to measure the surface Au oxidation-reduction in 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte for determining the surface area (SA) of different sized Au NPs.

![Cyclic Voltammogram](image)

**Figure 2.11.** Typical cyclic voltammogram for a solution of K₃Fe(CN)₆ in M KNO₃.
2.5.2. Ultraviolet-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-vis)

Ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometry (UV-Vis) was performed using a Varian instrument, Cary 50 Bio-spectrophotometer. In our experiment we measured the UV-Vis spectra of different sized Au NPs. Au NPs solution is placed inside a glass or quartz cuvette and the absorbance measured from 800 nm to 350 nm. Au NPs of 4 nm and larger absorb strongly in the visible region due to the excitation and oscillations of conducting electrons of Au by light, which is referred to as localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR). The LSPR band of Au NPs is size and shape dependent. The peak position shifts to longer wavelengths with an increase in NP size. However, small Au clusters (particles smaller than about 2 nm in diameter) do not show a well-defined LSPR band. In our study we used UV-vis spectroscopy to qualitatively determine the size of all of the Au NPs synthesized and to measure their aggregation.

2.5.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Scanning electron microscopy is a powerful analytical tool which produces an image of a sample by scanning the surface of the sample with a focused beam of electrons. SEM images are used for analyzing the size, shape and morphology of nanomaterials. Fig 2.12 shows (a) the results of the interactions of an electron beam with a sample and (b) a typical SEM image of 50 nm diameter Au NPs, where the bright circular regions are the Au NPs attached to the glass/ITO electrode. The
essential components in a SEM are the electron gun, electromagnetic lenses, apertures, a high-vacuum environment, a specimen stage, electron beam scanning coils, signal detection and a processing system that provide real time observation and image recording. The interaction of electrons with a sample produces a set of signals such as secondary electrons (SE), reflected or back-scattered electrons, characteristic X-rays and transmitted electrons. Secondary electron are produced very close to the surface of interest. Therefore, SE detectors are standard equipment in all SEMs because it produces a very nice image. Back-scattered electron imaging is most valuable because it can produce images by the reflected electrons from the sample by elastic scattering, which images deeper into the sample than SE. The resolution of back-scattered electron imaging is better than SE imaging.

In our studies, we used SEM to measure the size of different Au NPs before and after different treatments while attached to the glass/ITO or glass/ITO/APTES electrode. We collected SEM images with a Carl Zeiss SMT AG SUPRA 35VP field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) operating at an accelerating voltage of 17.00 kV using an in-lens ion annular secondary electron detector.
Figure 2.12. (a) Scheme of electron beam and sample interactions. (b) Typical SEM image of 50 nm Au NPs attached to the surface of a glass/ITO/APTES electrode.

2.5.4. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM)

The transmission electron microscope (TEM) is one of the most popular electron microscopic techniques for characterization of nanomaterials. In this electron microscopic techniques, a beam of electrons transmits through the samples to form an image. The essential parts of a TEM are similar to SEM which
includes electron gun, acceleration tube, a vacuum system, condenser, specimen holder, objective aperture, objective lens, intermediate lens, projector lens, fluorescent screen, camera chamber, binocular and viewing port. TEM operates in a fully enclosed environment to reduce interference from outer sources and can be operated remotely. The resolution of TEM is higher than the SEM. Figure 2.13 shows the image formation process in TEM by the interaction of the electron beam and the sample.

In our study we used high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM). The images of core/shell Cu₁/Au₂ NPs (Figure 2.13B) were obtained from the Electron Microscopy Center at the University of Kentucky using a FEI Talos F200X TEM operating at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The NPs appear as a dark feature due to them blocking the electron beam from reaching the detector. Also, several TEM images of various sized Au NPs, AuAg alloys after galvanic exchange and CuAu alloy NPs before and after thermal treatment were obtained from VINSE (Vanderbilt Institute of Nanoscale Science and Engineering) at different magnifications using a JEOL 2010F TEM operating at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV and current density of 2.60 pA. NPs were directly drop cast deposited on a silicon dioxide-coated 400 mesh Ni TEM grid and dried under air before analysis.
Figure 2.13. (a) Scheme of electron beam and sample interactions in TEM.

(b) Typical TEM image of 5 nm average diameter of core/shell Cu$_1$/Au$_2$ NPs.
2.5.5. **Electrocatalysis Experiments.** CO$_2$ reduction experiments were carried out in a closed glass cell containing three electrodes (glass/ITO/APTES/Au NPs working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode) in a CO$_2$-saturated aqueous 0.1 M KHCO$_3$ solution of pH 6.8. The CV scan ran from 0 V to -0.8 V at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s. The ORR and HER was examined in the same set up used for CO$_2$ reduction, but under O$_2$ and N$_2$ saturated 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution. The potential was cycled from 0 V to -0.8 V at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s.

2.5.6. **Ozone Treatment of Au NPs Samples.** Ozone treatment of different sized Au NPs were performed with a Jelight Company Inc. UVO CLEANER Model No. 42. Au NPs were first attached to a glass/ITO/APTES surface by directly soaking the electrode in the NPs solution. This electrode was then rinsed with water and dried under N$_2$. Finally, the glass/ITO/APTES/Au NP electrodes were put in the ozone chamber for different times (typically 1 min to 60 min).

2.5.7. **Thermal Treatment of glass/ITO Attached Au NPs.** Thermal treatment of glass/ITO electrodes with different sized Au NPs attached was performed in a Barnstead Thermolyne furnace (Model No. FB1315M).
CHAPTER III

A SIZE STABILITY STUDY OF CATALYTICALLY-ACTIVE SUB-2 nm DIAMETER GOLD NANOPARTICLES SYNTHESIZED WITH WEAK STABILIZER

3.1 INTRODUCTION

Metal nanoparticles (NPs) exhibit unique size-dependent electrochemical and chemical reactivity, which can be potentially useful for a variety of applications. The size-dependent properties and reactivity are largely due to their increasing surface area-to-volume (SA/V) ratio with decreasing size. This leads to a material with more surface atoms, which have a lower coordination number, relative to the interior, fully coordinated atoms. Since the atoms with lower coordination number have different properties and reactivity, this can lead to NPs with vastly different properties and reactivity as the size decreases, especially below about 4 nm in diameter. The reactivity of NPs may not only be related to the overall surface area, however, because specific surface sites may dominate the reactivity. For example, the number of surface vertex and edge site atoms on a NP increases more dramatically than the overall SA/V with decreasing NP radius. In the case of cubic particles, for the same overall volume of material, decreasing the edge length of the cubes by a factor of 2 by breaking up the cubes increases the overall SA/V by
a factor of 2, but increases the total number of cubes and corner vertex sites by a factor of 8. This could potentially lead to a larger change in properties (or reactivity) than expected just based on SA/V only because corner atoms have an even lower coordination number than other surface atoms (such as terraces) and often dominate the observed reactivity. The change in reactivity would be 8-fold based on the increase of corner sites instead of an increase of 2-fold based on the increase in SA/V.

Changes in NP size have led to dramatic changes in their electronic, \(^\text{152}\) thermal, \(^\text{153}\) and optical properties, \(^\text{13}\) sparking a number of fundamental size-dependent studies on metallic NPs and optimization of their properties for specific applications by tuning the size. Researchers have explored catalysis, \(^\text{5}\) sensing, \(^\text{74}\) melting point changes, \(^\text{153}\) changes in optical properties \(^\text{13}\) and oxidation \(^\text{154,155}\) as a function of metal NP size. During the last two decades sub 2 nm sized NPs (or clusters) have attracted tremendous interest in the nanotechnology field due to their unique properties, which bridge the gap between molecule-like and bulk-like behavior. For example, Au NPs transition from bulk-like properties to those exhibiting single electron double layer capacitive charging \(^\text{156}\) to those exhibiting a semiconductor-like band gap or molecule-like HOMO-LUMO gap \(^\text{157}\). Semiconductor NPs exhibit an increasing band gap with decreasing size, which leads to size-dependent photoluminescence. \(^\text{158}\) In terms of reactivity, small NPs often exhibit increased activity as electrocatalysts. For example, ultrasmall (< 2 nm) Au NPs are excellent catalysts for CO\(_2\) reduction and CO oxidation. \(^\text{159,160}\) Also, tiny NPs provide enhanced surface area for improved sensitivity in sensing
applications.\textsuperscript{161} Unfortunately, the smaller NPs are also more prone to oxidization, decomposition, and formation of bigger sized particles through various ripening processes, which causes the loss of their useful properties.\textsuperscript{19} Therefore, understanding the fundamental electrochemical and chemical reactivity of very small-sized NPs (< 2 nm) is critically important.

Our group has recently focused on the use of anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) to study the size-dependent standard potential for the oxidation of Au and Ag NPs, which is an important parameter directly related to their stability against oxidative dissolution. In addition to providing information about their stability against oxidation, ASV can be used as an analytical tool to determine the NP size\textsuperscript{154,155,162} and aggregation state.\textsuperscript{105} It is a simple and very low-cost method to determine NP size directly on an electrode surface. This is perfect for studying the effect of various electrochemical and chemical treatments on the size stability of NPs. The experiments offer very high throughput, allowing us to quickly determine the effect of a variety of different electrochemical conditions and treatments on the NP size while the NPs are attached to the electrode surface. In comparison, electron microscopy techniques are much more expensive and tedious. The throughput is too low for these kinds of studies and it is not possible to observe size changes directly on the electrode surface in solution unless one uses an electrochemical transmission electron microscopy (TEM) set up, which is quite challenging.

In this work, we describe the use of ASV to monitor the size stability of catalytically-active THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs. We show that glass/ITO electrodes coated
with these NPs are electrocatalytically active for CO$_2$ reduction and the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). However, the THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs are not stable towards surface oxidation/reduction cycling in acidic solution, low potential treatment in halide-containing electrolyte, and exposure to ozone. These are relatively mild conditions that researchers often use in standard metal NP treatment and cleaning procedures or they are often encountered in electrocatalysis applications, such as the acidic environment of fuel cells. It is important to know how detrimental these treatments are to the size and reactivity of metal NPs.

The reactivity and size stability of THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs is compared to citrate-stabilized 4 nm diameter Au NPs (Cit Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs). THPC and Cit stabilizers are excellent for this study since they are weakly bound to Au and have open molecular structures compared to the thiols, amines, polymers, or surfactants commonly used as stabilizers. The Cit and THPC stabilizers generally do not hinder the Au NP reactivity, ensuring that the differences we observe between the different Au NPs are due to the metal NP size and properties, rather than the stabilizer. We chose the THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs and Cit Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs for this work because these are the two smallest Au NPs that we know of reported in the literature that are stabilized with small, weakly bound molecules. There are smaller Au NPs (or clusters), such as the $<1.0$ nm diameter Au$_{25}$ clusters, but those smaller clusters are generally prepared with strongly bound thiol ligands or larger polymer/surfactant stabilizers. The small, weakly bound THPC and citrate ligands do not perturb the Au electrochemistry as much as the strongly bound or large molecule stabilizers, allowing us to better understand the size dependent behavior of the relatively
unmodified metal. We did not include a study of larger Au NPs because their size stability is much better than 4 nm Au NPs and we do not have a method to synthesize Au NPs below 1.5 to 2.0 nm with weak stabilizers at this time. It is important to note that THPC binds relatively stronger than citrate, but we consider both to be weakly-adsorbed on Au.\textsuperscript{163}

3.2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3.1 (A) shows ASVs of various-sized Au NPs attached to aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES)-functionalized indium-tin-oxide (ITO)-coated glass electrodes (glass/ITO/APTES) in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO\textsubscript{4} electrolyte using the same conditions reported by our group previously.\textsuperscript{105} The potential was scanned from -0.2 V to 1.2 V versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode at 0.010 V/s. The anodic peaks in the scans are due to oxidation of Au by bromide to produce mostly AuBr\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{-} and possibly some AuBr\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-}. The peak oxidation potential (E\textsubscript{p}) of the different sized Au NPs decreased as the diameter decreased in the order of 50 nm (E\textsubscript{p} = 0.92 V) > 15 nm (E\textsubscript{p} = 0.78 V) > 4 nm (E\textsubscript{p} = 0.71 V) > 2 nm (E\textsubscript{p} = 0.46 V). This is consistent with our previous work and others, showing a negative shift in oxidation potential with decreasing size for Au, Ag, and other metals.\textsuperscript{154,155,164} It is also consistent with the work of Plieth, who predicted a negative shift in the oxidation potential relative to the bulk value proportional to 1/radius\textsuperscript{165} and the work of Henglein, who calculate a negative shift in the oxidation potential of metal NPs with decreasing size based on sublimation energies.\textsuperscript{166} We previously reported on the oxidation of 250 nm to 4 nm diameter Au NPs, showing about a 200 mV
negative shift over this range, and later described the oxidation of Au NPs with average diameters in the 1-3 nm diameter range. Similar to the THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs shown here, our previous work on Au NPs in the 1-3 nm range showed $E_p$ values in the 0.40 to 0.50 V range (vs. Ag/AgCl), but also showed a population of Au NPs that oxidized at 0.7 V due to the presence of 4 nm diameter Au NPs. In our previous work, the Au NPs had very high size dispersity and low coverage of Au NPs on the glass/ITO/APTES electrode surface. The low yield and high size dispersity was not ideal in our previous work.

Figure 3.1. (A) ASV obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO\textsubscript{4} solution at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with different sized Cit Au NPs and THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs. (B) UV-Vis spectra of Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs (Blue) and THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs (Red).
The THPC Au_{2nm} NPs represents a significant improvement in both coverage and size dispersity compared to our previous work. Duff et al. reported their synthesis in 1993 and measured the size to be 1.5 nm in diameter based on transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging. The ASV of these THPC Au_{2nm} NPs has not been reported previously and is significant here because they are stable in solution and display only one peak near 0.46 V (0.45 – 0.50 V negative of bulk Au) without any additional peaks at lower or higher potentials. This means that the synthesis leads to long-lived, solution stable Au NPs near 2 nm average diameter with high yield and a relatively narrow size distribution compared to our previous work. This allows us to study the behavior of this size Au NP without interference from other sizes. The \( E_p \) is clearly separated from 4 nm and larger Au NPs, making it easy to study size changes by ASV following various chemical and electrochemical treatments.

Equation 3.1 shows the predicted oxidation potential of metal NPs (\( E_{\text{particles}} \)) relative to the oxidation potential of the bulk metal (\( E_{\text{bulk}} \)) based on the work of Plieth.\(^{165}\)

\[
E_{\text{particles}} = \left( -\frac{2YZV_m}{ZF} \right) \left( \frac{2}{d} \right) + E_{\text{bulk}} \quad (3.1)
\]

Here, \( \gamma \) is the surface stress of Au (1880 erg cm\(^{-2}\)), \( V_m \) is the molar volume of Au (10.21 cm\(^3\) mol\(^{-1}\)), \( Z \) is the number of electrons transferred per Au atom during oxidation, \( F \) is Faraday’s constant, and \( d \) is the diameter of the NP. We used an \( E_{\text{bulk}} \) value of 0.936 V and \( Z = 1 \) to fit the 50 nm diameter Au NPs the best, which
was consistent with our previous bulk value.\textsuperscript{155} Using the oxidation potential of 0.46 V for the THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs, equation 1 predicts an average diameter of 1.7 nm, which is very close to the previously reported TEM value of 1.5 nm.\textsuperscript{47} Equation 1 predicts a size of 3.5 nm for the 4.0 nm Au NPs, which is also in excellent agreement with electron microscopy for Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs. Unfortunately, the equation predicts a diameter of 5.1 nm for the 15 nm Au NPs. We do not understand why the 15 nm diameter Au NPs do not fit the trend well. Based on the equation, those NPs should actually oxidize around 0.88 V. The oxidation potential for \textasciitilde15 nm diameter Au NPs electrodeposited directly onto glass/ITO electrodes previously\textsuperscript{155} was near 0.87 V, so it might be possible that the charge of the APTES has an effect on the oxidation potential for some Au NP sizes as described by our group previously.\textsuperscript{168} Regardless, this data shows that the THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs oxidize at drastically more negative potentials compared to 4 nm diameter Au NPs and larger sizes, which is a thermodynamic effect and in excellent general agreement with the prediction of Plieth. The ASV results also confirm that the THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs do not change in size during attachment to the glass/ITO/APTES electrode or throughout the ASV scan. They appear to be stable enough to make the ASV measurements. Finally, since the \(E_p\) is more negative than Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} and larger sized Au NPs, the THPC stabilizer clearly does not significantly alter the oxidation potential of the Au. If it did, it would likely hinder Au oxidation, which would cause the \(E_p\) value to shift positive, not negative.

The UV-vis data in Figure 3.1. (B) show the spectrum of Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs, which clearly shows a localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) band near 506 nm,
and the spectrum of THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs, which does not have a distinct LSPR band.\textsuperscript{47,32} The LSPR band at 506 nm is consistent with the UV-vis spectrum of Cit Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs and it is well-known that the LSPR band disappears for NPs of about 2 nm diameter and smaller. This is consistent with the ASV data and further evidence that the THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs are below 2 nm in diameter.

Before exploring the size stability of the THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs, we wanted to confirm that they exhibited useful electrocatalytic properties. Researchers already discovered that small Au NPs are able to electrocatalyze both CO$_2$ reduction and the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). Both theoretical and experimental studies have been performed for CO$_2$ reduction with different sized Au NPs.\textsuperscript{169,19} For example, Mistry and coworkers reported a drastic increase in current density for CO$_2$ reduction when the Au NP size was decreased from 8 nm to ~1 nm in size.\textsuperscript{5} In most of these electrocatalysis studies, however, the NPs contained strongly bound stabilizers, which may inhibit the interaction of CO$_2$ with the Au surface. Also, the Au NPs were usually attached to the electrode by the drop-cast method, which can cause NP aggregation and significantly affect their reactivity. Here we control the assembly of the Au NPs onto a glass/ITO/APTES electrode by directly soaking in the Au NP solution. With our method of attachment, the NPs are well dispersed and separated on the electrode surface and they are also presumably less hindered by the Cit and THPC stabilizers compared to polymers, surfactants, and strongly-coordinated ligands (such as thiols) because they are relatively weak adsorbates and have open molecular structures. As evidence, Figure 3.2. shows a comparison between the oxidation of THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs and those stabilized with
stronger thiocyanate and glutathione ligands, where the oxidation potential is more positive by 100-200 mV.

**Figure 3.** ASV of a glass/ITO/APTES electrode containing 2 nm diameter thiocyanate-coated (A) and glutathione-coated (B) Au NPs. (C) ASV of a glass/ITO/APTES electrode containing THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs (red) and THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs after 30 min of soaking in 10 mM glutathione solution (blue). All ASVs were
run in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO₄ solution from -0.2 V to 1.2 V at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s.

**Figure 3.** (A) Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with THPC Au₂nm NPs (Red), Cit Au₄nm NPs (Blue) and bulk Au (black) in CO₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ solution. (B) Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with THPC Au₂nm NPs in CO₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ solution (Red) and N₂ purged 0.1 M KHCO₃ solution (Blue). (C) CVs obtained in N₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solution at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with Cit Au₄nm NPs (blue) and THPC Au₂nm NPs (red).
Since the mechanism of CO₂ reduction with small Au NPs is well-known, this was a good electrochemical reaction to study. Figure 3.3 (A) shows CVs in CO₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ solution at pH 6.8 of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with Cit Au₄nm NPs and THPC Au₂nm NPs. During the negative scan from 0.0 to -0.6 V (vs RHE) we observed a broad peak at -0.40 V for the THPC Au₂nm NPs with an onset potential of ~-0.20 V. The CV for the Cit Au₄nm NPs does not show a distinct peak, however. It instead shows a general increase in current as the potential is scanned negative, but no clear peak for CO₂ reduction. This shows drastically different electrocatalytic activity for the 2 nm and 4 nm Au NPs. The CO₂ reduction peak was completely absent in the CV for bulk Au, confirming that it is not active for CO₂ reduction. In N₂-saturated solution (pH ~8.1) the current density was lower and the peak was absent for the THPC Au₂nm NPs (Figure 3.3.(B)), confirming that the peak is due to CO₂ reduction in Figure 3.3.A. Figure 3.4 of shows the same voltammograms for Cit Au₄nm NPs in CO₂- compared to N₂-saturated solutions. Our findings indicate that THPC Au₂nm NPs are more active as electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction with a lower overpotential than Cit Au₄nm sized particles. This lower overpotential is due to the NP size, not the different ligands, consistent with previous work. Importantly, the THPC Au₂nm NPs have an overpotential about 200-250 mV less than thiol-stabilized Au₂₅ nanoclusters. This is likely due to less hindrance from the weak, open THPC stabilizer. These could therefore be highly promising materials for CO₂ reduction provided they exhibit good size stability under the reaction conditions.
Figure 3.4. Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs in CO\textsubscript{2}-saturated 0.1 M KHCO\textsubscript{3} solution (Red) and N\textsubscript{2} purged 0.1 M KHCO\textsubscript{3} solution (Black).

We also compared the Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs and THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs under N\textsubscript{2} to explore their ability to catalyze the HER based on previous reports.\textsuperscript{169,172} Figure 3.3. (C) shows the CVs (vs. RHE) of glass/ITO/APTES coated with Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs and THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution under N\textsubscript{2} saturated solution. Interestingly, we found that the H\textsuperscript{+} reduction peak began at -0.10 V for THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs, but not until about -0.3 V (and less current) for the Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs. Back and coworkers reported that small sized Au NPs are effective toward HER and CO\textsubscript{2} reduction due to an increase in the number of edge and corner sites based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations.\textsuperscript{169} The corner sites of the Au NPs are
more favorable towards CO₂ reduction while edge sites are more active for the HER. Our results indicate that both CO₂ reduction and the HER are favored with THPC Au₂nm NPs as compared to Cit Au₄nm NPs. Having shown good electrocatalytic activity for THPC Au₂nm NPs for two important electrochemical reactions relevant to renewable energy, we then explored the size stability, which is a critical issue in NP catalysis.

Our simple ASV technique for measuring the size of Au NPs synthesized with weak stabilizers (Figure 3.1) allows us to study size transformations that may occur following common treatments, such as surface oxidation/reduction cycling in acid, electrochemistry in halide-containing salt solution, and ozone treatment. Importantly, the ASV technique provides size information on the metal NPs under solution conditions directly following the particular treatment. There is no interference from placing them in a vacuum and no effect of an electron beam, which are issues that are encountered when using electron microscopy. These are important advantages of ASV for these types of measurements. ASV is also comparably much faster and can be performed directly on electrode surfaces, as opposed to a special holder as in transmission electron microscopy (TEM). We compared the behavior of the THPC Au₂nm NPs to that of the Cit Au₄nm NPs. The use of ASV and other electrochemical methods to monitor the dramatic difference between the size stability of these two different, but closely-sized Au NPs under mild, commonly encountered conditions is the focus of the work and described in the rest of the article.
First, we compared the behavior of THPC Au$_{2nm}$ NPs to Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs during and after electrochemical cycling in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ electrolyte. Figure 3.5. (A) shows the cyclic voltammogram (CV) of the Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs attached to glass/ITO/APTES in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s in the range of 0.0 V to 1.6 V versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. In the first CV, the surface Au oxidation peak appeared at about 1.20 V, which is due to the formation of Au$_2$O$_3$, and the subsequent reduction peak on the reverse scan, due to the reduction of Au$_2$O$_3$ back to Au, appeared at 0.78 V. In the 2$^{nd}$ CV scan, the anodic and cathodic peaks remain in the same position, suggesting that the Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs remained stable during the two oxidation and reduction cycles in acidic electrolyte. The ASVs obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO$_4$ of glass/ITO/APTES coated with Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs untreated (red plot) and previously cycled once from 0.0 to 1.6 V in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ (blue plot) are shown in Figure 3.5. (B). Consistent with the CVs, the ASVs show the same oxidation potential for uncycled and once-cycled Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs, showing that they are stable and unchanged during the oxidation/reduction cycle in 0.1 M HClO$_4$. In fact, these Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs are stable and unchanged for at least 20 CV oxidation/reduction cycles.
Figure 3.5. Cyclic voltammogram (CVs) of glass/ITO/APTES coated with (A) Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs and (C) THPC Au$_{2nm}$ NPs in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution from 0.0 V to 1.6 V at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s (Red is the 1$^{st}$ CV cycle and Blue is the 2$^{nd}$ CV). ASV of glass/ITO/APTES coated with (B) Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs and (D) THPC Au$_{2nm}$ NPs in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO$_4$ solution from 0.0 V to 1.2 V at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s (Red is the ASV of as-prepared Au NPs and Blue is ASV after 1 CV cycle in 0.1 M HClO$_4$).

Figure 3.5. (C) shows the first CV from 0.0 to 1.6 V versus Ag/AgCl in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ for glass/ITO/APTES coated with THPC Au$_{2nm}$ NPs. There was a significant
shoulder from 0.6 to 1.0 V and major oxidation peak at 1.15 V and reduction peak at 0.65 V. In the second CV, the shoulder peak disappeared, the major anodic peak shifted positive to 1.19 V, and the cathodic peak shifted positive to 0.78 V. The shoulder peak and more negative oxidation/reduction peaks compared to Cit Au4nm NPs on the first scan was due to the smaller size of the THPC Au2nm NPs and the shift to larger potentials on the second scan suggests that the Au NPs changed in size during the first scan. Figure 3.5. (D) shows ASVs obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO₄ of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with THPC Au2nm NPs untreated and cycled once in 0.1 M HClO₄. The oxidation peak for the THPC Au2nm NPs appeared at 0.46 V for the untreated sample and at 0.65 V for the sample cycled once in 0.1 M HClO₄. This 190 mV positive shift indicates that the small sized THPC Au2nm NPs are unstable and transformed into a more stable, larger size near about 4 nm in diameter after just 1 cycle in acid. This relatively mild treatment to these sub 2 nm Au NPs results in a dramatic size change. We performed a similar set of experiments on Cit Au4nm and THPC Au2nm NPs using an Ag wire as the reference electrode to avoid possible chloride contamination from the Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The results were the same, indicating that the size transformation occurs during surface Au oxidation and reduction, not chloride-induced Au dissolution and redeposition. The exact mechanism for the change in size for the THPC Au2nm NPs size during the CV cycle is not understood at this time, but it could be due to Ostwald ripening due to Au dissolution and redeposition during Au surface oxide formation and reduction, as observed recently with carbon supported Au NPs in the 1-3 nm range, or due to the surface
reconstruction of Au in acid, which is known to occur on 2D surfaces.\textsuperscript{173-174} It is important to note that this is the first time we have observed an \textasciitilde 100 mV negative shift of the oxidation/reduction peaks in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} for small NPs. A small negative shift was observed previously for the oxidation/reduction of Au in acid for a single Au NP\textsuperscript{175} while another study suggested a positive shift occurs.\textsuperscript{176} Our data clearly supports a negative shift.

We next studied the behavior of Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs and THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs in bromide solutions at potentials below their oxidation potential to see if their size was stable. We held the potential of a glass/ITO/APTES electrode containing the Au NPs at 0.30 V in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution for various times. This potential is well before the oxidation potential of either Au NPs, so that the Au NPs would not dissolve fully by bromide-induced oxidation. After holding for different times at 0.30 V, we removed the electrode containing the Au NPs, rinsed with water, and dried under nitrogen before performing a full ASV in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO\textsubscript{4} solution to determine if any changes occurred. The oxidation peak in the ASV of Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs was the same for a sample untreated (0 min, red plot) and treated by holding the potential at 0.30 V for 35 min (blue plot) as shown in Figure 3.6 (A). It wasn't until 105 min at 0.30 V (Figure 3.6. (A), green plot) that a second peak appeared in the ASV at about 0.95 V, indicating the presence of larger NPs on the electrode surface. In contrast, the ASV of THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs showed one peak at 0.46 V for the untreated NPs but also a second peak near
Figure 3.6. ASVs of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with (A) Cit Au$_{4nm}$ NPs and (B) THPC Au$_{2nm}$ NPs that were untreated (red plot, 0 min) or held at 0.30 V for the times indicated in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ electrolyte. ASVs obtained under same conditions as in Figure 3.1.

0.70 V for those held at 0.30 V for only 5 min (Figure 3.6.(B), black plot). After 10 min (pink plot), the peak at 0.46 V decreased further while the peak at 0.70 V
increased. After 35 min (blue plot) the peak at 0.46 V was completely gone and replaced by a new peak at about 0.75 V. This almost 300 mV positive shift (from 0.46 V to 0.75 V) over the 35 min indicates that the small-sized THPC Au_{2nm} NPs increased in size to about 4-10 nm during the electrochemical treatment, which we believe is due to electrochemical Ostwald ripening.\textsuperscript{177} At a potential of 0.3 V, Br\textsuperscript{-} oxidized the Au atoms of the smallest NPs to AuBr\textsuperscript{4-} or AuBr\textsuperscript{2-} and the Au complexes subsequently redeposited onto slightly larger-sized NPs due to the size dispersity in the sample and the different standard potentials for the different-sized NPs. This process presumably continues for 35 min until the NPs are >4 nm in diameter on average and more stable. The THPC Au_{2nm} NPs begin to transform (ripen) into >4 nm diameter Au NPs within 5 min while the Cit Au_{4nm} NPs do not show any significant change to larger sizes until about 105 min. The rate of Ostwald ripening is related to the applied potential (E_{applied}) relative to the E_p value for oxidation in the ASV (or E^{0'}) of the two Au NPs. Since the E_p is more negative for the THPC Au_{2nm} NPs, they will oxidize more readily at 0.3 V and ripen faster compared to the larger Au NPs. The effect of NP size and applied potential on the Ostwald ripening rate will be the focus of a more detailed future report.

Nanostructures synthesized with strongly adsorbed ligands, such as thiols or certain polymers or surfactants, are typically quite stable and retain their core size under a variety of conditions.\textsuperscript{178} Unfortunately, the stabilizing ligands can block the surface of the NPs, which inhibits the catalytic activity and can introduce a barrier to electron transfer.\textsuperscript{179} Researchers have therefore used ozone treatment
to remove these inhibiting ligands from the nanostructure surface, since ozone reacts strongly with organics.\textsuperscript{180,26}

It is undesirable to change the NP size, however. Accordingly, we decided to determine the effect of ozone treatment on the two differently sized NPs synthesized in this study. We attached the Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs and THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs onto glass/ITO/APTES electrodes and treated them with ozone for different times before measuring ASVs and comparing them to the ASVs of untreated samples. Figure 3.7. (A) shows that the $E_p$ value for the Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs in the ASV is the same for the untreated (red plot) and ozone-treated sample after 8 min (blue plot), but that the peak shifted positive after 90 min (black plot), consistent with an increase in NP size after the longer ozone exposure to about 10-15 nm in diameter. In contrast, the peak at 0.46 V for the THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs (red plot) almost disappeared while a new peak appeared at about 0.68 V after just 1 min in ozone (blue plot) (figure 3.7.(B)). By 2 min (black plot), the peak at 0.46 V was completely gone and only one peak appeared at about 0.70 V, showing that the size changed to about 4 nm in diameter. The ASV looked the same at 8 min (pink plot) as it did at 2 min. The ASV clearly shows a very rapid size transformation for the THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs relative to the Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs. Although the mechanism is not well understood at this time, there is clearly some type of ripening process occurring. This is valuable information to know since one would like to choose an ozone cleaning time that effectively removes strongly bound ligands but does not alter
the NP size. Clearly just 1 min can significantly alter the size for the sub 2 nm Au NPs, which is quite surprising.

**Figure 3.7.** ASVs of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with (A) Cit Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs and (B) THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs that were untreated (Red) and exposed to ozone for the different times as indicated. ASVs obtained under same conditions as in Figure 3.1.
In order to confirm the size changes due to Ostwald ripening and ozone treatment, we measured SEM images of THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs before and after the two different treatments. Figure 8 shows SEM images of as-prepared THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs (Figure 3.8. (A)) and THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs after 35 min of Ostwald ripening at 0.3 V (Figure 3.8.(B)) and after 8 minutes of ozone treatment (Figure 3.8.(C)). The THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs are not visible in the SEM image as prepared because 1.5 nm to 2 nm is beyond the resolution of the SEM. However, after 35 min of Ostwald ripening, we were able to image the NPs because they had grown to ~4-10 nm in diameter, a size observable by SEM. Similarly, we were able to image the NPs after ozone treatment for 8 minutes in Figure 3.8 (C) since the NPs increased in size to 3-4 nm. The SEM images therefore confirmed what the ASVs already told us about the size transformations during these two different treatments. This again highlights the power of ASV as an analytical tool for monitoring size changes of nanostructures on electrode surfaces.
Figure 3. 8. SEM images of glass/ITO/APTES coated with THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs (A) as-prepared, (B) after 35 min of Ostwald ripening at 0.3 V in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution and (C) after 8 min of ozone treatment.

3.3. CONCLUSIONS

We reported the chemical reactivity and size stability of THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs under a variety of conditions and compared their behavior to Cit Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs. We observed a 250 mV negative shift in the Au oxidation potential in Br$^-$ of THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs as compared to Cit Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs. The THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ NPs show higher electrocatalytic activity towards CO$_2$ reduction and the HER with a lower overpotential compared to Cit Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs, consistent with the literature. However,
the THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs are more active towards CO\textsubscript{2} reduction compared to thiol-protected Au\textsubscript{25} clusters reported in the literature likely due to the weaker interaction of THPC with Au and it’s more open molecular structure. After repetitive oxidation and reduction cycles in acidic solution, the Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} NPs remained stable for at least 20 cycles, but THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs showed an increase in size to about 4 nm after just one cycle. THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} NPs are also drastically more susceptible to Ostwald ripening and a size increase during ozone treatment compared to Cit Au-\textsubscript{4nm} NPs. The rates of the size transformation are at least an order of magnitude faster for the smaller Au NPs. This work importantly shows that sub 2 nm diameter Au NPs containing a weakly adsorbed stabilizer with an open structure have drastically different reactivity and size stability compared to a closely sized 4 nm diameter Au NP that also contains a weakly adsorbed stabilizer. These differences are due to the size difference, as opposed to the stabilizer difference, which we confirmed by performing the same studies with 4 nm diameter Au NPs also containing the THPC stabilizer (Figure 3.9). This provides insight into how sensitive the reactivity and size stability of a metal changes below the 4 nm diameter size range. Our work shows that while sub 2 nm metal NPs have great potential as highly active catalysts, the high reactivity also leads to low size stability under fairly mild conditions (acid, halides, ozone). The size stability is an important consideration for potential catalytic and other applications. In addition, our work shows the tremendous value of using ASV to probe size transformations of very small metal NPs attached directly to electrode surfaces.
Figure 3. 9. (A) Cyclic voltammogram (CVs) of glass/ITO/APTES coated with THPC Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution from 0.0 V to 1.5 V at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s (Red is the 1$^{\text{st}}$ CV and Blue is the 2$^{\text{nd}}$ CV). (B) ASV of glass/ITO/APTES coated with THPC Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs before (red) and after 1st CV cycle (blue). (C) ASV of glass/ITO/APTES coated with THPC Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs before (red) and after 35 min of ripening in Br$^-$-containing electrolyte at 0.3 V (blue). (D) ASV of glass/ITO/APTES coated with THPC Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs before (red) and after 8 min of ozone treatment (blue). All ASVs were obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO$_4$ solution from -0.2 V to 1.2 V at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s.
CHAPTER IV

ELECTROXIDATION AND SIZE STABILITY OF AN 11-ATOM Au CLUSTER LESS THAN 1 NM IN DIAMETER

4.1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the size-dependent electrochemical and chemical reactivity of metal NPs has gained a significant amount of attention due to its wide range of applications such as in catalysis,\textsuperscript{181} sensing\textsuperscript{182} and nanoelectronics devices.\textsuperscript{183} Smaller sized metal NPs often show unique electrochemical and chemical reactivity compared to bigger ones due to their higher surface free energy as compared to bigger ones. The difference in reactivity of small sized metal NPs is also due to the dramatic increase in surface area (SA) to total volume (V) ratio and increase in number of active sites with decease in size. The electronic,\textsuperscript{184} thermal,\textsuperscript{185} optical\textsuperscript{39} and magnetic\textsuperscript{186} properties of metal NPs also changes with the change of SA/V ratios. These changes are sometimes very useful for fundamental research on metal NPs. Researchers have explored size dependent reactivity of metal NPs towards oxidation,\textsuperscript{64} toxicity,\textsuperscript{187} catalysis,\textsuperscript{188} sensing,\textsuperscript{189} melting\textsuperscript{185} and optical behaviors.\textsuperscript{39} This sort of properties and applications of the metal NPs further depends upon the shape of the NPs and the stabilizing ligands used during the synthesis.
In the last two-decades, ~1 nm sized Au nanoclusters (NCs) have attracted tremendous attraction in the research field due to their distinctive molecular like properties such as quantized double layer charging,\textsuperscript{156} discrete electronic energy level\textsuperscript{190} and photoluminescence\textsuperscript{191} which render them useful for diverse applications. However, small sized NPs are more prone to be easily oxidized\textsuperscript{192} and also undergo easy transformation to larger size by sintering,\textsuperscript{34} which causes rapid deactivation of their reactivity during applications. Therefore, understanding the electrochemical properties of these small nanocrystal will be useful to further widen their applications.

One of the major challenges to study the size dependent electrochemical and chemical reactivity of 1 nm diameter Au NCs is to synthesize monodisperse NCs with appropriate ligand and attach them to the electrode surface. In most of the cases, Au NCs with magic number has been synthesized by thiol (or thiolate) ligands.\textsuperscript{193-194} Thiolate ligands bridge Au centers by strong S–Au interactions and lifting the gold atoms out of the cluster surface to form staple or oligomer motifs (RS–(Au–SR)n).\textsuperscript{195} For example, Chen and coworkers synthesized different-sized glutathione-coated Au NCs and used them as photosensitizers.\textsuperscript{196} However, it is hard to understand the actual reactivity of those strong ligand protected Au NCs because the interaction between ligand and NCs surface is stronger than reactant molecules. It is also well known that the bond between Au-S is stronger than the bond between Au-Au.\textsuperscript{46} Besides thiolate, phosphine ligands have been successfully used to synthesize atomically precise Au clusters. Specially, unidentate phosphines such as triphenylphosphine (PPh\textsubscript{3}) and its derivatives have
been used to synthesize atomically precise Au clusters with the size range of 0.5 to 2 nm in diameter both in aqueous and organic media.\textsuperscript{31, 197} Phosphine ligands usually prefer to coordinate surface Au atoms, yielding a simple Au-P interface which in some sense is an improvement over the Au-S bond due to their water-soluble nature and lower toxicity to living cells. However, in recent years phosphine-stabilized Au cluster have also been used for the synthesis of atomically precise thiol-stabilized Au clusters by the ligand-exchange method. For example, McKenzie and coworkers reported the solution phase synthesis of glutathione coated Au\textsubscript{25} clusters by the ligand exchange reaction of triphenylphosphine stabilized Au\textsubscript{11} cluster.\textsuperscript{198} This finding indicates that the Au-P bonds is weaker than the Au-S bond.

Various treatments, such as ozone cleaning, thermal treatment, or chemical and electrochemical reactions during applications can cause changes in size and eventually their reactivity. For example, Trindell and coworkers recently reported that 2 nm diameter Au NCs coated with thiol or dendrimers when employed for electrochemical CO\textsubscript{2} reduction transform to around 6 nm in size via sintering.\textsuperscript{34} Similarly, Hu and coworkers reported that Au NCs with lower size Au\textsubscript{561±31} and Au\textsubscript{923±20} undergo size transformation by Ostwald ripening during CO oxidation while Au\textsubscript{2057±45} undergo size change by cluster diffusion and coalescence as revealed by their particle size histogram.\textsuperscript{8} Therefore, it is hard to properly correlate the actual NP size and their corresponding electrocatalytic or sensing behavior when the size is not stable. Recently, we reported that sub 1.6 nm diameter Au NCs coated with weak stabilizers are more reactive for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction and the hydrogen
evolution reaction as compared to those coated with thiols.\textsuperscript{199} However, weakly stabilized 1.6 nm Au NCs were unstable and converted to 4-10 nm diameter Au NPs just by one oxidation-reduction cycle, 2 min of ozone treatment and when holding at 0.30 V in acidic KBr solution. We also reported the NP size analysis,\textsuperscript{64, 154, 200} aggregation state analysis,\textsuperscript{104} surface area to volume (SA/V) analysis,\textsuperscript{201-202} and composition and atomic arrangements analysis of CuAu bimetallic nanostructures by ASV.\textsuperscript{203}

In this work we focus on the electrochemical characterization and stability of weakly stabilized water-soluble \textasciitilde{}1 nm diameter Au NCs during various chemical and electrochemical treatment. Previous studies for size determination of less than 1 nm diameter Au NCs were mostly performed by mass spectrometry because microscopic techniques are not suitable for this size NCs due to lack of lattice fringes. Electrochemical methods have the advantage of simple operation, low cost and quick analysis time for the analysis of metal and metal alloy nanocrystals attached to electrode surfaces under various conditions. Contrary to this, microscopic methods are tedious, costly, and it is difficult to accurately determine the size change during electrochemical and chemical treatments of these small sized Au NCs. The microscopic techniques themselves can actually cause size transformations during the analysis of these NCs due to thermal and electronic effects generated during their operation.
4.2. EXPERIMENTAL

4.2.1. Aggregation of Au Nanoparticles with Acid. The pH of aqueous solutions of TPPS Au0.9nm, THPC Au1.6nm NCs and Cit Au4.1nm NPs was lowered by adding variable amounts of 2 and 8 M perchloric acid solution depending on the desired pH. After addition of acid, the NPs were attached to the glass/ITO/APTES electrodes by directly soaking the electrode in the NP solution for variable times. Then this electrode was rinsed with water and dried under N2 for electrochemical ASV analysis.

4.2.2. Deposition of Alkanethiol Self-assembled Monolayers (SAMs) on the Au NPs. TPPS Au0.9nm and THPC Au1.6nm NCs were first attached on the APTES functionalized glass/ITO surface by directly soaking the electrode in the solution of NCs. Then the electrode was rinsed with water and dried under N2. Each of the electrodes were then soaked overnight in a 200 proof ethanol solution of 2 mM 1-butanethiol. Then this electrode was cleaned with nanopure water and dried under N2 or electrochemical analysis.

4.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We collected UV-vis spectra of TPPS Au0.9nm, THPC Au1.6nm, and Cit Au4.1nm diameter NPs as shown in Figure 4.1(A). Cit Au4.1nm NPs show a localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) band at 506 nm (pink graph, which is consistent with those reported by our group201 and others previously.39 However, TPPS Au0.9nm and THPC Au1.6nm NPs do not display distinct LSPR bands in the UV-vis region,
indicating that UV-vis spectra can't distinguish the NPs with sizes smaller than 2 nm in diameter. We then measured the size of TPPS $\text{Au}^{0.9}\text{nm}$ and THPC $\text{Au}^{1.6}\text{nm}$ by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). Figure 4.1 (Frames B and C) show the TEM image of TPPS $\text{Au}^{0.9}\text{nm}$ and THPC $\text{Au}^{1.6}\text{nm}$, respectively (STEM image of TPPS $\text{Au}^{0.9}\text{nm}$ and THPC $\text{Au}^{1.6}\text{nm}$ are shown in inset). We used STEM images and image j software to determine the average size of TPPS $\text{Au}^{0.9}\text{nm}$ and THPC $\text{Au}^{1.6}\text{nm}$ NPs. The average sizes were $0.9 \pm 0.2$ nm and $1.6 \pm 0.4$ nm, respectively, which is very close to the size reported in the literature. We measured the size of Cit $\text{Au}^{4.1}\text{nm}$, Cit $\text{Au}^{15}\text{nm}$ and Cit $\text{Au}^{50}\text{nm}$ NPs by SEM as shows in Figures 4.1 (Frames D, E & F), respectively (inset show the zoom in image of those NPs).
Figure 4.1. (A) UV-vis spectra of TPPS Au_{1nm} (red), THPC Au_{2nm} NPs (blue), Cit Au_{4nm} NPs (pink), Cit Au_{15nm} NPs (black) and Cit Au_{50nm} NPs (green). TEM image of TPPS Au_{1nm} and THPC Au_{2nm} NPs (B, C). SEM image of Cit Au_{4nm}, Cit Au_{15nm} NPs and Cit Au_{50nm} NPs (D, E & F). ASV of the corresponding NPs (G). ASV obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO_4 solution at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s.
Next, we monitored the oxidation behavior of these various sized Au NPs by performing ASV in Br⁻ containing electrolyte solution as described by our group previously.\textsuperscript{192, 199} Figure 4.1G shows the ASVs of glass/ITO/APTES modified with TPPS Au\textsubscript{0.9nm} (red graph), THPC Au\textsubscript{1.6nm} (blue graph), and Cit Au\textsubscript{4.1nm} (pink graph). The ASV of Cit Au\textsubscript{50nm} (green graph) NPs serves as an estimate of the bulk Au peak oxidation potential (E\textsubscript{p}). The oxidative stripping of Au in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M KClO\textsubscript{4} solution produces AuBr\textsubscript{4}⁻ and possibly some AuBr\textsubscript{2}⁻ by the following reactions:

\[
\text{Au}^0 + 4\text{Br}^- \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_4^- + 3e^- (E^0 = 0.85 \text{ V vs. NHE}) \\
\text{Au}^0 + 2\text{Br}^- \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_2^- + e^- (E^0 = 0.96 \text{ V vs. NHE})
\]

The potential was scanned from -0.2 V to 1.2 V versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode at a scan rate of 0.010 V/s. The E\textsubscript{p} of Cit Au\textsubscript{50nm}, Cit Au\textsubscript{4.1nm}, THPC Au\textsubscript{1.6nm} and TPPS Au\textsubscript{0.9nm} were found to be 0.965 V (green), 0.72 V (pink), 0.46 V (blue) and 0.20 V (red) vs Ag/AgCl respectively. The negative shift in oxidation potential with decreasing size is consistent with the experimental work for Au,\textsuperscript{64} Ag,\textsuperscript{154} and Pd\textsuperscript{204} NPs and also with the theoretical work of Pleith\textsuperscript{58} and Henglein.\textsuperscript{205} Pleith derived a theoretical equation for the size-dependent oxidation behavior of metal nanocrystals by considering the change in surface free energy, whereas Henglein calculated a negative shift in the oxidation potential of metal nanocrystals with decreasing size based on sublimation energies.

In our previous study, we reported the oxidation behavior of 250-1.6 nm diameter Au NPs.\textsuperscript{64, 199} In this study we report the oxidation behavior of atomically precise 0.9 nm diameter Au\textsubscript{11} NPs (or clusters) synthesized by the method reported
by Yao and coworkers. They determined the size of TPPS coated Au NPs by electrospay ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) and solution-phase small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). According to their results, TPPS coated Au NCs show a primary peak for $[\text{Au}_{11}(\text{TPPS})_9\text{Cl}]^{7-}$ (with H$^+$; $m/z = 879$) and $[\text{Au}_{11}(\text{TPPS})_7\text{Cl}_3]^{7-}$ ($m/z = 666$) in ESI-MS, indicating that TPPS coated Au NPs mainly consist of 11 atoms. Also, based on the SAXS, they found the average diameter of these NCs to be 0.8 nm in diameter, which is also consistent with the ESI-MS results. The ASV of these TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$ NPs has not been reported previously and is significant here because they are stable in solution and display only one peak near 0.20 V. We calculated the size of the Au NPs based on the oxidation potential of metal NPs ($E_{\text{NP}}$) relative to the oxidation potential of the bulk metal ($E_B$) by the relation derived by Plieth:\textsuperscript{58}

$$E_{\text{NP}} = \left(-\frac{2YZ_m}{ZF}\right)^2 \frac{2}{d} + E_B$$

(4.1)

Here, $\gamma$ is the surface stress of Au (1880 erg cm$^{-2}$), $V_m$ is the molar volume of Au (10.21 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$), $Z$ is the number of electrons, $F$ is Faraday's constant, and $d$ is the diameter of the nanocrystal. We used an $E_B$ value of 0.980 V and $Z = 1$ (1 electron oxidation of Au) to fit the 50 nm diameter Au NPs the best, which was consistent, but a little higher than our previous bulk value. Using the oxidation potential of 0.2 V for the TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$ NPs, equation 1 predicts an average diameter of 1.0 nm, which is very close to the STEM determined size of 0.9 ± 0.2 nm and previously reported SAXS determined size of 0.8 nm. This result shows the potential of ASV to determine the size of metal NPs down to ~1 nm in diameter. Similarly, equation 1 predicts a size of 1.5 nm and 3.1 nm for the THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$
and Cit Au$_{4.1\text{nm}}$ NPs which is also in fairly good agreement with the TEM-
determined size of 1.6 ± 0.4 and 4.1 nm, respectively. As mentioned previously,
ASV dramatically underestimates the size of Cit Au$_{15\text{nm}}$ NPs for reasons that are
not clear at this time.
Figure 4.2. CVs of TPPS Au\textsubscript{1nm} (red), THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} (blue) and Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} (pink) diameter Au particles at 1\textsuperscript{st} oxidation-reduction cycle (A) and the same electrode in 2\textsuperscript{nd} oxidation-reduction cycle (B). ASVs of TPPS Au\textsubscript{1nm} (C), THPC Au\textsubscript{2nm} (D) and Cit Au\textsubscript{4nm} (E) of NPs before and after 1\textsuperscript{st} CV cycle. CVs were obtained in 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} electrolyte solution and ASVs were obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 m KClO\textsubscript{4} electrolyte solution.
Next, we studied the reactivity of TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$ NPs during an electrochemical oxidation-reduction cycle of the Au in acid electrolyte, which normally forms a surface Au oxide (Au$_2$O$_3$) as opposed to dissolving the Au. It is interesting to determine how a Au$_{11}$ cluster will behave in this type of reaction. Figure 4.2 shows the cyclic voltammogram of TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$, THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ and Cit Au$_{4.1\text{nm}}$ diameter particles attached to glass/ITO/APTES in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution in the potential range of 0.0 V to 1.4 V versus Ag/AgCl with a scan rate of 0.1 V/s. In the first scan, the surface Au$_2$O$_3$ reduction peak for TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$, THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ and Cit Au$_{4.1\text{nm}}$ diameter Au particles appeared at 0.60 V, 0.67 V and 0.78 V, respectively. However, in the second scan the surface Au$_2$O$_3$ reduction peak shifted to higher potential (0.78 V) for TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$ and THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ NPs, indicating that sub 2 nm diameter Au NPs are not stable during the surface Au oxidation-reduction cycle. One important point to mention here is that we observed a 180 mV negative shift of the reduction peak potential of TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$ NPs. In our previous work,$^{199}$ we reported the 110 mV negative shift of the surface Au reduction peak for THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ NPs but TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$ show another $\sim$70 mV more negative shift of the reduction peak due to the smaller size of the Au, which makes it easier to reduce the Au oxide. The negative shift of the surface Au reduction peak has been observed by other groups previously for a single Au NP undergoing an oxidation-reduction cycle,$^{206}$ while others observed a positive shift in potential.$^{176}$ Our results clearly confirm that the surface Au reduction peak shifts negative with decreasing size down to $\sim$1 nm diameter NPs. The ligand does not seem to be a major factor, but more work is needed to determine the full effect of
the ligand on this surface oxide formation and reduction reaction. To further confirm our findings, we performed oxidation-reduction cycles in acid on dendrimer coated 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs. Our results show the reduction peak of dendrimer coated 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs appeared at 0.6 V vs Ag/AgCl which is also 180 mV negative of bulk Au NPs (Figure 4.3). Also, during multiple CV cycles the reduction peak shifts to positive potential, indicating that smaller sized Au NPs do not remain stable during these cycles when formed with dendrimers as well.

![Graph showing CVs of glass/ITO/APTES attached dendrimer coated 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs.](image)

Figure 4.3. CVs of glass/ITO/APTES attached dendrimer coated 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs. CVs were performed in 0.1 m HClO₄ solution at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s with Ag/AgCl as a reference and Pt were as a counter electrode.
Figure 4.4. ASV of Cit Au_{4nm} at pH 9.0, 2.4 (A), corresponding UV-Vis spectra (D) and SEM image at pH 2.4. ASV of THPC Au_{2nm} at pH 11.1, 6.1 and 2.4 (A), corresponding UV-vis spectra (E) and TEM image at pH 2.4. ASV of TPPS Au_{1nm} at pH 11.1, 6.1 and 2.4 (A), corresponding UV-vis spectra (F) and TEM image at pH 2.4. ASVs of Au nanocrystal were obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO_{4} solution.
We next studied the pH stability of TPPS Au_{0.9nm}, THPC Au_{1.6nm}, and Cit Au_{4.1nm}. Our group previously studied the pH stability of citrate-stabilized Au NPs with the range of 4 to 50 nm in diameter.\textsuperscript{104} The ASV of aggregated NPs showed a positively-shifted oxidation peak that depended on the size of the aggregates, reaching as high as \(~0.9\) V, which is similar to bulk Au oxidation. We did not previously report on the pH stability of NPs smaller than 2 nm in diameter or those coated with different stabilizers than the citrate ligands.\textsuperscript{104} In this work we describe the pH stability of the 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs coated with the two different ligands, THPC and TPPS, as compared to the 4.1 nm diameter citrate Au NPs. The initial pH of the Cit Au_{4.1nm} is 9.0. At this pH, Cit Au_{4.1nm} shows only one single oxidation peak at \(~0.7\) V (Figure 4.4(A), red graph) but with the decrease of pH to 2.4, the \(E_p\) shifted to 0.92 V (Figure 4.4(A), blue graph) due to the aggregation of NPs caused by protonation of the carboxylate groups of the citrate ligand stabilizer, which removes the needed electrostatic repulsion between NPs that keeps them stable in aqueous solution.\textsuperscript{104} The UV-vis spectra of Cit Au_{4.1nm} NPs show a significant decrease in the LSPR band at 505 nm and a broad absorbance band observed around 700 nm, which is consistent with our ASV results. SEM images of Cit Au_{4.1nm} NPs show clear aggregation (Figure 4.4(G)), which is vastly different than the SEM images of well-isolated Cit Au_{4.1nm} NPs as shown in Figure 4.1(D).

Figure 4.4(B) shows the ASV of THPC Au_{1.6nm} NPs at various pH values. At the initial pH of 11.1, the ASV of THPC Au_{1.6nm} NPs shows one single oxidation peak at \(E_p\) of 0.45 V as reported by us previously.\textsuperscript{199} There is no significant change in the ASV over the pH range of 2.4 to 11.1, other than a small shoulder peak at
~0.65 V, indicating that THPC Au$_{1.6 \text{nm}}$ NPs are very stable against aggregation in both acidic and basic aqueous solutions. The stability is likely due to the positively-charged phosphine ligands, whose charge is not affected by the pH. UV-vis spectra show a slight decrease in the absorbance band with the decrease in pH (Figure 4.4(E)). Also, TEM images of THPC Au$_{1.6 \text{nm}}$ at pH 2.4 show that the NPs were clearly dispersed, consistent with our ASV and UV-vis results.

Finally, we studied the pH stability of TPPS Au$_{0.9 \text{nm}}$ NPs. At pH 7.4, the ASV shows only one single oxidation peak at ~0.2 V vs Ag/AgCl. However, at pH 6.4, the ASV consists of multiple oxidation peaks, including those at 0.35 V and 0.65 V, which corresponds to ~1.3 and ~3.4 nm diameter Au NPs based on the Pleith equation. We believe this indicates partial NP aggregation in solution (dimers, trimers, etc.). At pH 2.4, the ASV of TPPS Au$_{0.9 \text{nm}}$ shows three distinct oxidation peaks at 0.35 V, 0.65 V and 0.90 V. The peak at 0.9 V represents larger aggregates of the NPs, leading to an oxidation potential similar to that of bulk. Interestingly, the UV-vis spectra of TPPS Au$_{0.9 \text{nm}}$ NPs don't show significant changes at the different pH, except for a decrease in the absorbance intensity (Figure 4.4(F)), which is similar to THPC Au$_{1.6 \text{nm}}$ NPs. Plasmon coupling between small Au NPs does not occur when there is no plasmon band on the initial Au NP, due to the small size. The TEM of TPPS Au$_{0.9 \text{nm}}$ NPs shows clearly a huge size variation in NP sizes including aggregation to larger size NPs (sizes larger than 10 nm in diameter) with average size of 3.0 ± 2.7 nm in diameter. The aggregation of TPPS Au$_{0.9 \text{nm}}$ NPs in solution is likely due to the partial protonation of the sulfonate group of the TPPS ligand in acid which causes a decrease in the electrostatic
repulsion between NPs, leading to partial aggregation in solution. While aggregation of these sub 2 nm Au NPs cannot be detected by UV-vis absorbance spectroscopy, it can clearly be detected by ASV measurements and confirmed by TEM imaging.

We next studied the stability of the 1-4 nm diameter Au NPs to ozone treatment. Ozone cleaning is a common method researchers use for removing ligands from the surface of the NPs to make them more active for catalysis and other applications. The goal is to cleanly remove the ligands from the NP surface without altering the NP size and structure, but this is not always successful.

![Graph and images showing ASVs and STEM images of Au particles before and after ozone treatment.](image)

**Figure 4.5.** ASVs of glass/ITO/APTES coated TPPS Au$_{1\text{nm}}$ NCs before and after different time of ozone exposure (A). ASVs were performed in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO$_4$ electrolyte solution. STEM images of Au particles before (B) and after 1
For example, Elliot and coworkers reported that 1.4 nm diameter Au NPs increased in size after 16 min of ozone cleaning, while the size didn’t change significantly for up to 8 min of ozone cleaning. We performed similar experiments on the NPs studied in this work and monitored size changes by ASV. Figure 4.5. (A) shows the ASVs of TPPS Au1nm NPs before and after 1, 2 and 8 min of ozone treatment. ASVs of TPPS Au1nm NPs before treatment shows a single oxidation peak at 0.2 V as discussed previously, but after 1 min of ozone exposure two distinct oxidation peaks at 0.2 V and 0.65 V appeared in the ASV (Figure 4.5. (A), blue graph). The peak at 0.65 V corresponds to 4-5 nm diameter Au particles and is still the minority population after this treatment. After 2 min of ozone, the ASV of TPPS Au1nm NPs shows mostly one single peak at 0.65-0.70 V with very little shouldering peak at 0.2-0.3 V region (Figure 4.5. (A), pink graph), indicating that almost all of the NPs converted their size from 1 nm to 4-5 nm in diameter by some type of sintering process. After 8 min of ozone, the peak at 0.2 V completely disappeared with one single peak at 0.7 V (Figure 4.5. (A), black graph). These findings were also confirmed by STEM imaging of TPPS Au1nm NPs before (Figure 4.5. (B)) and after 1 min of ozone exposure (Figure 4.5.(C)). Before exposure to ozone we observed small size NCs with the average size of 0.9 ± 0.2 nm and the size histogram show narrow size distribution (figure 4.5.(D)). However, after 1 min of ozone we found significant size variation with the average size of 1.4 ± 1.3 nm, include 4-5 nm in
diameter NPs in the image as a well. The size histogram (figure 4.5.(E)) show wide size distribution of NPs, indicating that NCs are growing in size by Ostwald ripening mechanism. We reported a similar trend for THPC Au1.6nm NCs before and after ozone treatment previously.\(^{199}\) Despite the different molecular structure of the stabilizer ligands and different NP size, the results show a similar trend of NP size transformation under the presence of ozone. It seems to be an Ostwald ripening mechanism, but the details are unclear at this time.

We next studied the stability of NPs after exchange of the TPPS ligands and THPC ligands on Au0.9nm and Au1.6nm, respectively, with 1-butanethiol. Thiol place exchange is a common synthetic strategy to replace one type of thiol coating Au NPs with new thiols in order to impart new functionality\(^{207-210}\) and it can also be used to completely replace other more weakly-bound ligand stabilizers to improve stability, add functionality, or alter properties, such as solubility.\(^{211-212}\) In this work we soaked TPPS Au0.9nm- and THPC Au1.6nm-coated glass/ITO/APTES electrodes in a 2 mM ethanolic solution of 1-butanethiol overnight to determine the ability of the short alkanethiol to replace the TPPS or THPC ligand on these NPs, with the goal of probing potential size and ligand effects on the ability for thiol displacement.\(^{213-214}\) We compared the ASV of the Au NPs before and after thiol soaking. Figure 4.6A shows the ASVs of THPC Au1.6nm NPs untreated (red graph), after overnight soaking in 1-butanethiol (pink graph), and after overnight soaking with 1-butanethiol followed by ozone cleaning for 30 min (blue graph). The \(E_p\) of untreated THPC Au1.6nm NPs appeared at 0.46 V (vs Ag/AgCl) as before, while there was no well-defined peak following thiol exposure (2 broad, low current
peaks). We attribute this to the blocking of the Au NP surface by the more strongly bound butane thiolate, which has a strong Au-S bond. A second possibility is that treatment with 1-butanol caused removal of the Au NPs physically or chemically, or by becoming soluble.

Figure 4. ASV of THPC Au$_{2nm}$ and TPPS Au$_{1nm}$ NCs before (red graph), after treatment with C4 alkane thiols (pink graph) and after treatment with C4 alkane thiols followed by 30 min of ozone cleaning (blue graph). ASV were performed in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 m KClO$_4$ electrolyte solutions.
To test that, THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$-coated glass/ITO/APTES was soaked first in 1-butanethiol solution overnight, removed, rinsed with ethanol, dried under N$_2$, and finally treated with 30 min of ozone cleaning in order to remove the 1-butanethiol. If the Au NPs remained on the surface, then we should observe the Au peak in the ASV after ozone cleaning. Figure 4.6.(A) (blue plot) shows the ASV after this treatment. The peak for Au oxidation appeared after ozone cleaning, indicating that the Au NPs remained on the surface after the 1-butanethiol soaking. The $E_p$ for the peak was at 0.7 V due to the size increase caused by ozone. Ozone both removed the 1-butanethiol and increased the Au NP size. We performed the same set of experiments on the TPPS Au$_{1\text{nm}}$ NPs. In this case, the $E_p$ for Au oxidation was at 0.2 V for as-prepared Au NPs, while after treatment with 1-butanethiol there is no noticeable peak observed (figure 4.6. (B). Following ozone cleaning of separate sample first treated with 1-butanethiol, there was also not peak observed in the ASV. This indicates that replacement of TPSS with 1-butanethiol leads to removal of the Au NPs from the glass/ITO/APTES surface. This could be due to dissolution of Au as Au-thiolates or removal of the Au NPs through solubility in the solution. The different behavior for TPPS Au$_{1\text{nm}}$ versus THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ is likely due to the Au NP size rather than the nature of the ligand.
Figure 4.7. (A) CVs of glass/ITO/APTES attached THPC \( \text{Au}_{2\text{nm}} \) (blue graph), TPPS \( \text{Au}_{1\text{nm}} \) (pink graph), Cit \( \text{Au}_{4\text{nm}} \) (red graph) and glass/ITO/APTES in \( \text{N}_2 \)-saturated 0.1 M \( \text{HClO}_4 \) solution with a scan rate of 0.01 V/s. (B) Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes attached THPC \( \text{Au}_{2\text{nm}} \) NPs (blue graph), TPPS \( \text{Au}_{1\text{nm}} \) (pink graph) and Cit \( \text{Au}_{4\text{nm}} \) NPs (blue) in \( \text{CO}_2 \)-saturated 0.1 M \( \text{KHCO}_3 \) solution.
We studied the TPPS Au0.9nm NPs as a catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and CO2 reduction reaction and compared those with THPC Au1.6nm and Cit Au4.1nm NPs. CO2 reduction and HER was performed in CO2-saturated 0.1 M KHCO3 (pH 6.8) and N2-saturated 0.1 M HClO4 electrolyte solution, respectively. It is well known that small-sized Au NPs are good catalysts for HER in acidic media.215-216 Back and coworkers reported that small-sized Au NPs are effective toward HER due to an increase in the number of edge and corner sites based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations.217 The edge sites are more active for the HER and corner sites are more favorable towards CO2 reduction. Recently, we also reported that THPC Au1.6nm NPs are good catalysts for the HER in acidic electrolyte.199 Figure 4.7 shows CVs in 0.1 M HClO4 of TPPS Au0.9nm NPs (red graph) and THPC Au1.6nm (blue graph) NPs attached to glass/ITO/APTES. The onset potential for the HER was found to be -0.1 to -0.2 V vs RHE for THPC Au1.6nm and -0.25 to -0.30 V vs RHE for TPPS Au0.9nm NPs, indicating that it is relatively less catalytic for HER compared to THPC Au1.6nm. We also studied CO2 reduction with TPPS Au0.9nm in a CO2 saturated 0.1 M KHCO3 solution and compared to that with THPC Au1.6nm and Cit Au4.1nm NPs. THPC Au1.6nm NPs show a broad peak at -0.4 V vs RHE as reported by us in our recent work.199 TPPS Au0.9nm and Cit Au4.1nm NPs do not show any peak in the CVs, indicating that both of these particles are not catalytic for the CO2 reduction reaction. There are several examples in the literature of a NP actually losing its catalytic activity below a certain size. For example, Bard and coworkers recently showed that the catalytic activity of Pt NPs for the HER increased with increasing Pt NP size from 0.25 nm
to 0.75nm, indicating that there is an optimum size of NPs for their specific catalytic applications. Our results indicate that the HER is favored with THPC Au$_{1.6nm}$ NPs and Cit Au$_{4.1nm}$ NPs but not favored for TPPS Au$_{0.9nm}$ NPs. The lower reactivity of TPPS Au$_{0.9nm}$ NPs for the HER could be due to the zero number of edge site$^{218}$ type of atoms, which is the main active site for catalytic HER with Au NPs$^{217}$ and also could be due to the instability$^{9}$ of NPs during applications.

4.4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have described the electrooxidation and size stability of TPPS-coated Au$_{0.9nm}$ NPs under a variety of conditions and compared those results with THPC Au$_{1.6nm}$ NPs and Cit Au$_{4.1nm}$ NPs. We found that TPPS Au$_{0.9nm}$ NCs oxidized at 0.20 V as compared to 0.46 V, 0.70 V, and 0.97 V for THPC Au$_{1.6nm}$, Cit Au$_{4.1nm}$, and bulk Au, respectively. TPPS Au$_{0.9nm}$ NPs and THPC Au$_{1.6nm}$ have very low size stability towards electrochemical surface Au oxidation/reduction cycles in acid electrolyte, converting to 4 nm or larger-sized Au NPs after just one oxidation/reduction cycle. Also, TPPS Au$_{0.9nm}$ NPs are not stable in acidic environment, possibly due to the protonation of the TPPS ligand, whereas the positively-charged THPC Au$_{1.6nm}$ NPs are stable in both acidic and basic environments. TPPS Au$_{0.9nm}$ NPs increase in size after just 1 min of ozone treatment, similar to THPC Au$_{1.6nm}$ NPs. Also, TPPS Au$_{0.9nm}$ NPs either dissolved completely in solution or were somehow removed from the electrode surface during replacement of their ligands with 1-butanethiol by simple soaking. On the
other hand, the oxidation peak potential was largely blocked for THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ NPs following soaking with 1-butanol. With THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ NPs, the butanol strongly adsorbs to the Au NP surface and passivates it from oxidation in KBr. With TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$ NPs, the assembly of 1-butanol causes the Au NPs to be removed from the surface somehow, which we believe is due to dissolution of the Au. THPC Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ NPs are more reactive for HER and CO$_2$ reduction reactions as compared to TPPS Au$_{0.9\text{nm}}$ NPs, which is likely due to the 1.6 nm diameter size having a large number of active corner and vertex sites, whereas the 0.9 nm diameter size has a completely different NP structure and electronic structure due to the much fewer Au atoms. In that case the active Au atoms no longer exist. Overall, this work demonstrates the effective implementation of ASV for revealing interesting NP size-dependent reactivity and size stability under a variety of conditions common to their treatment and use.
CHAPTER V

NANOPARTICLES AGGREGATE STRUCTURE EFFECT THE THERMODYNAMICS OF ELECTROCHEMICAL METAL NANOPARTICLES OXIDATION

5.1. INTRODUCTION

The aggregation of metal nanoparticles (NPs) has been studied for several years, mainly because of the fascinating changes in optical properties upon aggregation of metal NPs. The unique properties of aggregated metal NPs is mainly due to electronic coupling between adjacent particles which leads to many distinct properties of nanostructures. For example, electronic coupling between adjacent particles (Au, Ag) is widely considered as a hot spot for surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS).\textsuperscript{219-221} However, aggregated NPs display a fewer number of surface atoms (SA) as compared to total number of atoms, or volume (V), which is an important parameter to determine the NP stability and reactivity. In general, small metal NPs show higher SA/V value as compared to the bulk metal, which ultimately changes their electronic structure. Usually small metal NPs are highly unstable,\textsuperscript{1, 68} show toxicity,\textsuperscript{222-223} and oxidize,\textsuperscript{64, 200, 202-203} sinter,\textsuperscript{25, 147} or aggregate easily,\textsuperscript{103, 105, 224} which causes a change in their reactivity during applications.\textsuperscript{225} Aggregation of NPs is a very common phenomenon which plays
an important role even in the synthesis of NP structures. It causes the dramatic decrease of surface area and ultimately NPs behave like bulk metal. Various methods have been developed to synthesize and understand the properties of aggregated NPs. In most cases, aggregation of NPs was achieved by template, polymer, pulse laser, the electron beam of a microscope, molecular linker, or ligand. The aggregation of NPs is usually directed by the interparticle attractions, which is typically achieved by intermolecular van der Waals forces, π-π interactions, dipole-dipole interactions, or hydrogen bonding.

Aggregation has mainly been studied by optical and imaging methods and is useful for sensing and catalytic applications. For example, Wei and coworkers reported on a photo-switchable hydrosilylation reaction mediated by dynamic aggregation of Au NPs. They observed that upon irradiation with UV light Au NPs aggregate and catalytic reactivity decreases, but upon irradiation with visible light catalytic reactivity increased due to the NPs becoming isolated. This aggregation of NPs was monitored by dynamic light scattering (DLS) and TEM imaging. Others used aggregated NPs for electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol, glucose, and ethanol. For example, Wen and coworkers showed that electrocatalytic glucose oxidation reactivity increased for a dopamine induced, aggregated 5-6 nm diameter Au aerogel synthesized with beta-cyclodextrin as compared to citrate stabilized isolated NPs of similar size. The increased reactivity was thought to be caused by the increase of porosity in aggregated NPs. However, there are very few studies on the electrochemical monitoring of the aggregation process on metal NPs. Some studies have looked at the effect of
aggregation on the metal NP oxidation current, but Allen was the first to study the effect of aggregation on peak oxidation potential (Ep). In that work, aggregation of NPs was controlled by pH and characterized by ASV. It was found that after aggregation, the Au NP surface area decreases dramatically and they oxidize at larger potentials for small aggregates and the potential of bulk metal for larger aggregates.

In this work we focus on the electrochemical behavior of assembly-aggregated Au NPs and compare this result with the pH-induced aggregated Au NPs. These studies are important for several reasons. First, aggregated NPs behave like a bulk metal and they oxidize at higher potentials because they lose surface area after aggregation. For example, the SA/V value of 4 nm diameter Au NPs decreases by roughly a factor of 7 when they are aggregated as compared to isolated NPs. The trend of losing surface area is higher for smaller sized NPs as compared to larger sized NPs. However, small sized NPs are more reactive for catalytic applications. Therefore, it is important to know how different types of NP assemblies (or aggregates) change their SA/V value and Ep. Second, assembly-aggregated NPs will be connected by a molecular linker. Therefore, the distance between the nearest NP will be in the sub-nanometer level. At this distance, NPs should be able to participate in electronic coupling between adjacent particles but not necessarily lose much surface area. It is important to determine if the oxidation potential of NPs is affected by their electronic coupling or change in SA/V. Third, the study of aggregation behavior of NPs by electrochemical methods is interesting fundamentally (related to SA/V) and could be useful for sensing applications. It
may be more sensitive than optical and imaging methods and it does not require plasmonic NPs of a certain size.

5.2. EXPERIMENTAL

5.2.1. Aggregation of 15 nm Average Diameter Au NPs with THPC.
Aggregation of Au NPs with THPC was obtained by the method reported by Gulka and coworkers.\textsuperscript{148} Briefly, we added 1 µL of 100 µM, 400 µM, 600 µM and 1 mM of THPC to the 5 mL aqueous solution of citrate coated 15 nm diameter Au NPs, which gave Au to THPC ratios of 62.5, 31.3, 10.4 and 6.3. Immediately after addition of THPC, the color of the Au NPs changed from red to blue, indicating that the NPs were aggregated in solution. After 5 min of THPC addition, we directly attached aggregated Au NPs to a glass/ITO/APTES electrode by soaking for 3-5 min.

5.2.2. Aggregation of 15 nm Average Diameter Au NPs with Acid. The pH of an aqueous solution of 15 nm diameter Au NPs was lowered by adding 10 µL of 8 M perchloric acid solution. After addition of acid, the NPs began to precipitate at this pH condition. Stripping voltammetry of the aggregated NPs was obtained of the aggregated Au NPs attached to the glass/ITO/APTES surface.
Figure 5.1. General experimental procedure involved in these studies.
5.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 5.1 shows the general experimental procedure involved in these studies. Step 1 is the synthesis of citrate-coated 15 nm diameter Au NPs. We synthesized 15 nm diameter Au NPs by the procedure reported by Turkevich\textsuperscript{40} and the initial pH of the solution was \(\sim\) 5.8. In step 2a we lowered the pH from 5.8 to 2.6 by adding 1 \(\mu\)L of concentrated perchloric acid in order to aggregate the NPs as described by Allen \textit{et al.} previously.\textsuperscript{105} In step 2b we added THPC instead of acid in order to get 1D and 2D aggregated assemblies of NPs.\textsuperscript{148} In the case of acid aggregation, the carboxylic acid group of the citrate ligand became protonated, which decreases the electrostatic repulsion between the NPs and ultimately the NPs became aggregated as reported by our group and others. However, in the case of THPC aggregation, it has been shown that THPC rapidly replaces citrate from the Au NPs surface and produces a temporary dipole moment on each NP, which causes the NPs to move into a liner or 2D assemblies.\textsuperscript{148, 231} Step 3 is the attachment of aggregated (both acid and THPC) NPs to aminopropyltriethoxysilane-functionalized glass/ITO electrodes (glass/ITO/APTES) by directly soaking the functionalized electrodes in the aggregated (or non-aggregated for comparison) Au NP solutions.

Figure 5.2.(A) shows UV-vis spectra of citrate-coated 15 nm diameter Au NPs in an isolated (pH 5.8), acid aggregated (pH 2.6), and THPC aggregated (pH 5.8) (Au : THPC = 62.5) states. UV-visible spectra were performed directly in the solution. Isolated 15 nm diameter Au NPs show an LSPR band at 518 nm (Figure
5.2. (A), red plot), which is consistent with the LSPR band position of 15 nm Au NPs reported previously by our group\(^{105}\) and
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**Figure 5.2.** (A) ASV of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with 15 nm diameter Au NPs attached from solution at pH 5.8 (red graph), THPC aggregated (blue graph) and acid aggregated pH 2.6 (black graph). All this ASV was performed in 0.1 M KClO\(_4\) + 0.01 M KBr from 0.0 to +1.2 V at a scan rate of 10 mV/s. (B) UV–vis spectra of 15 nm diameter Au NP solutions at pH 5.8 (red graph), THPC aggregated (blue graph) and acid aggregated at pH 2.6 (black graph). (C–D) are the STEM images of acid aggregated (pH 2.60) (figure C) and THPC aggregated (pH 5.8) (figure D) respectively.
ASV of isolated NPs show a single oxidation peak at 0.73 V vs Ag/AgCl (Figure 5.2.(B), red plot), which also supports the results obtained from the UV-vis spectra. We also obtained scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of those isolated NPs on the glass/ITO/APTES electrode and found that NPs were isolated as showed in Figure 5.3. However, after addition of acid (pH 2.6) the solution color change red to blue immediately as shown in figure 5.4. The UV-vis spectra shows a slight red-shift from 518 to 523 nm (Figure 5.2.(A), black plot) and a significant increase of the baseline absorbance value at higher wavelengths as reported by our group previously. This indicates that NPs were aggregated in the solution. The ASV of acid-aggregated NPs showed the $E_{p,ox}$ at 0.9 V (Figure 5.2.(B), black plot), indicating that the NPs were aggregated in solution, consistent with the UV-vis spectra (Figure 5.2.(A), black plot). The corresponding STEM image shows clear 3D aggregation and fusion of NPs after addition of acid as show in Figure 5.2.(C) (SEM image of pH-aggregated NPs are shown in Figure 5.3.B). We then analyzed THPC-aggregated NPs by obtaining UV-vis spectra, ASV, and STEM images. After the addition of THPC, the color of the solution immediately changes from red to blue as shown in Figure 5.4. The LSPR band at 518 nm decreased significantly and a new plasmon band appears at 710 nm (Figure 5.2.(A), blue plot). The color of the solution turned to a blue/purple color immediately, which indicates that the NPs were aggregated in the solution. Interestingly, the ASV of THPC-aggregated NPs showed a single oxidation peak at 0.73 V (Figure 5.2.(B), blue plot), identical to the ASV of isolated Au NPs. This was puzzling since we expected the $E_{p,ox}$ in increase upon aggregation as with the pH-induced
Figure 5.3. SEM image of glass/ITO/attached Au NPs (A) isolated, (B) acid aggregated, (C) THPC aggregated (Au to THPC 62.5) and (D) higher amount of THPC (Au to THPC 10.4) 15 nm diameter Au NPs.

aggregated NPs. We then obtained an STEM image of the THPC-aggregated NPs (Figure 5.2.(D)), which revealed a very different aggregated structure compared to the pH-aggregated NPs. The NPs remained spaced out and there was no apparent fusion of the NPs. This is interesting since the Au NPs are close enough to exhibit strong plasmon coupling, which altered the UV-vis spectrum, but they are not close enough to alter the $E_{p,ox}$, which depends on a change in the surface
area-to-volume ratio (SA/V). The SA/V is not significantly altered by aggregation due to the open space between the NPs.

Figure 5. 4. Pictures of solution of isolated (pH 5.8), THPC aggregated (pH 5.8) and acid aggregated (pH 2.6) 15 nm diameter Au NPs.

To confirm our belief that the $E_{p,ox}$ remained the same due to no change in SA/V of the NPs after aggregation, we performed electrochemical SA/V measurements as reported by our group previously for the size analysis of isolated Au NPs$^{201}$ and aggregated NPs.$^{105}$ The SA was obtained by performing cyclic voltammetry (CV)
of Au NPs attached to glass/ITO/APTES in 0.1 M HClO₄ solution and the total volume of the same electrode was obtained from ASV in a Br⁻ containing KClO₄ electrolyte solution. Figure 5.5.(B) shows the ASVs of isolated, THPC aggregated, and acid aggregated 15 nm diameter Au NPs having coverage of 2.03 x 10⁻⁵ C, 2.31 x 10⁻⁵ C, and 2.25 x 10⁻⁵ C, respectively. Despite having similar ASV coverages, their SA was found to be 9.42 x 10⁻⁶ C, 8.66 x 10⁻⁶ C, and 1.19 x 10⁻⁶ C for the isolated, THPC-aggregated, and pH-aggregated NPs respectively (figure 5.5.(A)). The average SA/V ratio of isolated, THPC-aggregated, and pH-aggregated NPs from 3 samples was 0.40 ± 0.04, 0.36 ± 0.02 and 0.046 ± 0.005, respectively. The measured geometric size considering that the diameter of a sphere is equal to 6V/SA corresponds to a diameter of 15.0 ± 1.4 nm, 16.9 ± 1.0 nm, and 133 ± 15 nm, respectively. This result indicates that THPC-aggregated NPs barely lose their electroactive surface area while pH-aggregated NPs drop by almost a factor of 10. The dramatic decrease in SA/V is the reason for the large shift in E_p,ox for the pH-aggregated NPs. The THPC-aggregated NPs only show a slight shoudering in the oxidation peak from 0.8 to 0.95 V (Figure 5.5.(B), blue plot) since the SA/V only changes minimally. The large decrease in SA/V for pH-aggregated NPs is likely due to the 3D nature of the aggregates and apparent fusion of the NPs in the STEM images. In contrast, the THPC-aggregated NPs were aggregated low dimensionally (1D and 2D) with significant spacing between the NPs. It is remarkable that the ASV can distinguish between these different types of aggregation, showing the sensitivity of ASV to not only NPs size and aggregation, but specific aggregation structure.
Figure 5.5. (A) CVs of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with 15 nm diameter Au NPs attached from solution at pH 5.8 (red graph), THPC aggregated (blue graph) and acid aggregated pH 2.6 (black graph). CVs was performed in 0.1 M HClO₄ solution. (B) ASV of the same electrode after CV cycle. ASV was performed in 0.1 M KClO₄ + 0.01 M KBr from 0.0 to +1.2 V at a scan rate of 10 mV/s.
We also studied the effect of higher THPC concentration on the \( E_{p,ox} \) and the structure of the NP aggregates. Figure 5.6.(A) shows the UV-vis spectra of Au NPs with Au:THPC ratios of 62.5, 31.3, 10.4, and 6.3. The results show minimal change in the LSPR band position with increasing THPC concentration by up to a factor of 10. The absorbance at 710 nm slightly decreased, indicating the potential start of NP precipitation, but is otherwise very similar. We also obtained ASVs of glass/ITO/APTES containing the Au NPs with the same THPC : Au ratios used in the UV-vis study. With ASV, the \( E_{p,ox} \) shifted by \( \sim 150 \) mV from the highest to lowest ratio. The \( E_{p,ox} \) values for the various ratios were \( 0.733 \pm 0.002 \) V, \( 0.781 \pm 0.010 \) V, \( 0.819 \pm 0.010 \) V, and \( 0.882 \pm 0.005 \) V vs. Ag/AgCl for the Au : THPC ratios of 62.5, 31.3 10.4 and 6.3, respectively, as show in Figure 5.6.(B). The positive shift in oxidation potential indicates that the NPs were aggregated in a similar fashion to the 3D assembly with possible NP fusion for the higher amounts of THPC. In order to compare their SA/V ratios, we compared the SA values for samples that had a very similar ASV coverage as shown in Figure 5.6.(C). The electroactive surface area was found to decrease with a decrease in Au : THPC ratio. The SA/V ratio was found to be \( 0.36 \pm 0.02 \), \( 0.19 \pm 0.01 \), \( 0.15 \pm 0.02 \) and \( 0.11 \pm 0.01 \) for Au : THPC ratios of 62.5, 31.3, 10.4, and 6.3 ratios, respectively. This finding shows that the NPs aggregated into a 3D assembly with some fusion of NPs as the THPC concentration increased. The ASV signature correlates very well with the STEM images of aggregated NPs. For Au : THPC ratio of 62.5, we can clearly see NPs were aggregated into 1D/2D structures and with clear gaps between the NPs (Figure 5.6.(D)). However, for Au : THPC ratio of 6.3, most of the NPs were
assembled into 3D structures as shown by the bright regions and were also interconnected, or fused, as shown by the arrows (Figure 5.6(E)). It is not clear at this time why the larger amount of THPC leads to interconnected, or fused, Au NPs. The interconnection leads to a decrease in electroactive surface area and more positive $E_{p,ox}$ values, similar to pH-aggregated Au NPs.

**Figure 5.6.** (A) UV–vis spectra of 15 nm diameter Au NP solutions aggregated with various amount of Au : THPC ratios of 62.5 (red graph), 31.3 (blue graph) 10.4
(pink graph) and 6.3 (black graph). (B) CVs of the corresponding aggregated Au NPs. CVs were performed in 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions. (C) ASVs of corresponding aggregated Au NPs performed in 0.1 M KClO₄ + 0.01 M KBr from 0.0 to +1.2 V at a scan rate of 10 mV/s. (D, E) are the STEM images of THPC-aggregated NPs with the Au:THPC ratios of 62.5 and 6.3, respectively.

We also measured the surface charge of NPs by monitoring the zeta potential of isolated NPs, pH-aggregated NPs, and THPC-aggregated Au NPs with various Au:THPC ratios. The zeta potential was -25 ± 2, and 16 ± 1 mV for isolated and pH-aggregated NPs, consistent with previous reports in literature. The zeta potential was -46.8 ± 0.6, -42.1 ± 1.5, -35.9 ± 3.7, and -22 ± 4 mV for Au:THPC ratios of 62.5, 31.3, 10.4, and 6.3, respectively. The pH of the corresponding solutions was 5.8, 5.0, 4.6 and 3.6, respectively. The initial decrease in the zeta potential for the Au:THPC ratio of 62.5 is due to the rapid displacement of citrate ions, which causes the slowdown of NPs electrophoretic mobility due to the assembly of NPs. Also, Au NPs act as a catalyst to oxidize THPC to tri(hydroxymethyl) phosphine oxide (THPO). However, in this conversion process THPC forms an intermediate compound of THPC hydroxide (THPOH) as reported by Gulka and observed by others. The THPOH, having negative charge, decrease the initial zeta potential. However, with the increase of THPC, the zeta potential eventually increases, and the pH of the solution also decreases, indicating that attractive force being dominant over repulsion force between particles and ultimately NPs were fused. The decrease of pH could be due to the
excess amount of positive charge ligand (THPC) which is not directly binding to the NPs surface but placed in between NPs as shown in the STEM image (Figure 5.6E). The excess THPC decreases the electrostatic repulsion between particles and as a results NPs became fused.

5.4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we showed here that the oxidation potential ($E_{p,ox}$) of 15 nm diameter citrate-stabilized Au NPs depends on whether they are isolated or in a 1D/2D aggregated assembly with space between the NPs, or in a 3D aggregate with the NPs interconnected, or fused. The addition of low amount of THPC (Au:THPC = 62) led to 1D/2D assemblies with gaps between the aggregated NPs. The addition of acid to lower the NP solution pH below 3 or addition of large amounts of THPC (Au:THPC = 6) to the Au NPs led to 3D assemblies of interconnected Au NPs. In all 3 cases, the solutions turned purple/blue and the UV-vis showed significant absorbance at higher wavelengths, indicative of plasmonic coupling between the aggregated NPs in the assembly. Interestingly, the $E_{p,ox}$ of the Au NPs shifted positive relative to isolated Au NPs at low pH and with high amounts of THPC, where the NPs were in a 3D assembly with interconnected NPs. The $E_{p,ox}$ did not shift with low amounts of THPC, where the aggregated NPs were in a 1D/2D assembly with gaps between the NPs. The 3D interconnected assemblies showed a significantly lower electrochemically-measured SA/V compared to isolated or 1D/2D NP assemblies with low THPC.
The measured SA/V was consistent with the measured $E_{p,ox}$, where a lower SA/V led to a higher $E_{p,ox}$. Zeta potential measurements were consistent with pH neutralization of the NPs or replacement of citrate with THPC during aggregation. Studying the electrochemical properties of aggregated metal nanostructures is important for gaining a better fundamental understanding of metal NP reactivity and for applications in aggregation-based sensors and electrocatalysis.
CHAPTER VI

EFFECT OF SIZE, COVERAGE, AND DISPERSITY ON THE POTENTIAL-CONTROLLED OSTWALD RIPENING OF METAL NANOPARTICLES

6.1. INTRODUCTION

Ultra-small metallic nanoparticles (NPs) are widely used for catalytic and sensing applications due to their higher surface area-to-volume ratio (SA/V) and increased reactivity as compared to their bulk counterpart.\textsuperscript{181, 188, 234-236} For different catalytic and sensing applications, NPs are usually used at relatively high temperature,\textsuperscript{78} high electrochemical potential,\textsuperscript{237} or under gaseous conditions.\textsuperscript{225} The structural stability of the metal NPs is critical to maintain their reactivity for their particular application. Coarsening (size increase) is an undesirable process that directly leads to a decrease in the SA/V of the NP catalyst, resulting in loss in surface activity, by different mechanisms. Two common mechanisms are known as Smoluchowski ripening\textsuperscript{238} and Ostwald ripening.\textsuperscript{91} The growth of bigger metal NPs at the expense of smaller metal NPs is called Ostwald ripening. Smoluchowski ripening involves the coarsening of particles by diffusion, subsequent collision, and fusion of intact particles.
Ostwald ripening is a major process that occurs during NP synthesis and even over time in a solution of metallic NPs. A better understanding of Ostwald ripening is needed to improve NP synthesis and size stability. The main driving force for the Ostwald ripening mechanism is the chemical potential difference between small and large NPs brought about by the larger surface free energy for the smaller NPs, which drives them to become larger in size in order to reduce their $SA/V$.\footnote{58} The larger interfacial area of the smaller-sized particles results in larger surface free energy, which drives them to become oxidized and redeposit onto larger-sized particles in the case of electrochemical Ostwald ripening. The thermodynamic NP stability against oxidation depends on the particles size.\footnote{192, 202-203, 239} Various methods, such as ozone treatment,\footnote{25} thermal treatment,\footnote{26} or electrochemical\footnote{27} and chemical treatment\footnote{240} have been used prior to applications of NPs in catalysis and sensing, which can change their size by Ostwald ripening. This negatively effects their performance in the catalytic or sensing application.

There have been previous studies on Ostwald ripening of metal NPs both in the solution phase\footnote{241} and on conductive substrates.\footnote{60} Some studies reported ripening of NPs during catalytic applications,\footnote{34, 242} but others observed it during the physiochemical treatment.\footnote{25, 76} The size change of NPs after Ostwald ripening has mainly been monitored by microscopic methods. For example, Hu and coworkers reported the size-dependent ripening of Au nanoclusters by using a high angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscope (HAADF-STEM) while the nanocluster was being used as a CO oxidation catalyst.\footnote{8} Nanoclusters were attached to an amorphous carbon film. Based on the microscopic size
measurement they found that small sized clusters, such as Au$_{561\pm13}$ and Au$_{923\pm20}$, grew bigger in size by Ostwald ripening. However, bigger sized particles, such as Au$_{2057\pm45}$ grew bigger in size by Smoluchowski ripening. Recently, Crooks and coworkers reported that the size of citrate-coated 2 nm diameter Au NPs increased to 6-7 nm by ripening after CO$_2$ reduction based on STEM size measurements.$^{19}$ However, they found that similar-sized dendrimer-capped 2 nm Au NPs almost remained the same size after the same catalytic cycle. Challa and coworkers reported the in-situ monitoring of Ni NPs (attached to an MgAl$_2$O$_4$ substrate) during exposure to an equimolar mixture of H$_2$ and H$_2$O at 750 $^\circ$C (conditions relevant to methane stream reforming) by transmission electron microscopy (TEM).$^{243}$ They found that smaller Ni NPs remained immobile and shrunk in size at a steady rate and finally disappeared. However, the size of bigger Ni NPs increased within a few seconds. This study clearly showed the NP sizes increased by Ostwald ripening.

Besides these studies, there have been reports on chemically- and electrochemically-induced Ostwald ripening of metal NPs attached to conductive surfaces. For example, Brus and co-workers studied electrochemical Ostwald ripening of colloidal Ag NPs on a conductive surface using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).$^{60}$ They deposited thin films of Ag metal on the surface of ITO and then added a couple of drops of water on the surface of the electrode. After being exposed to water, bigger Ag NPs formed on the ITO over time at the expense of smaller NPs, which they attributed to an Ostwald ripening mechanism. Recently, Lee and coworkers showed the applications of electrochemical Ostwald
ripening towards the welding of Ag nanowires (Ag NWs) by spontaneous deposition of Ag NPs on the surface of Ag NWs.\textsuperscript{244} The as-synthesized Ag NWs were dispersed onto a glass/ITO electrode followed by electron beam evaporation of Ag NPs. Addition of a drop of water to the electrode surface led to the welding of the Ag NWs for hours by the oxidation of Ag to Ag\textsuperscript{+} and redeposition onto the Ag NWs. This occurred due to the potential difference between the Ag NPs and Ag NWs and improved the stretchability of Ag NWs. Recently, Steven and coworkers studied the stability of different sized carbon-supported Au NPs in acidic electrolyte and found that the NPs size increased by Ostwald ripening during the acidic oxidation cycle based on the SEM-determined size of those NPs.\textsuperscript{173}

To the best of our knowledge there is no report on the electrochemical determination of the NP size transformation rate during Ostwald ripening. Also, details of the ripening mechanism remains undiscovered, especially under potential control. There have not been systematic studies on the effect of NP size, electrode potential, NP coverage and NP size dispersity on the Ostwald ripening rate of metal NPs. Therefore, exploring the electrochemically-induced Ostwald ripening kinetics of electrode-immobilized metal NPs is highly desirable. In this work we describe the use of electrochemical methods, ASV and electrochemically-measured SA/V, to study the electrochemically-controlled Ostwald ripening rate of 1.6, 4, 15 nm, and mixed 4 nm/15 nm-sized Au NPs attached to amine-functionalized glass/ITO electrodes. We recently described the use of electrochemical ASV and SA/V measurements for the simple, fast, and low cost analysis of Au NP sizes from sub 2 nm up to 250 nm in diameter.\textsuperscript{200-201, 245-246}
Electrochemistry is ideal for this study because it would be costly and tedious to measure NP sizes from multiple samples under multiple conditions by electron microscopy or scanning probe techniques. Our electrochemical approach is fast, low cost, and simple, allowing high throughput and statistical analysis of the results. Another unique aspect of this work is that the Ostwald ripening process is measured under potential control. This allows us to better understand the effect of electrode potential on the ripening rate. Most studies are performed at an unknown open circuit potential but the applications involving electrocatalysis are under potential control. It is therefore important to control and better understand the effect of potential and other factors (NP size, NP coverage, and NP size dispersity) on the Ostwald ripening rate.

6.2. EXPERIMENTAL

6.2.1. UV-Vis Characterization. UV-vis spectra of as synthesized 1.6, 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs are shown in Figure 6.1.(A). The UV-vis spectra were normalized for absorbance of the different sized Au. The localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) band of citrate-coated 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs appeared at 506 and 518 nm, respectively, which is consistent with the plasmon band position of 4 and 15 nm Au NPs reported previously by our group\textsuperscript{201} and others.\textsuperscript{39} However, THPC-coated 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs did not show a plasmon band over this wavelength range. It is widely-known that the LSPR band disappears for NPs of about 2 nm in diameter or smaller.\textsuperscript{47, 239, 247}
6.2.2. Microscopic Characterization. The as-synthesized Au NPs were attached to aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APTES)-functionalized glass/ITO (glass/ITO/APTES) electrodes by directly soaking the electrodes into solutions of the NPs. We monitored the successful assembly of 4 and 15 nm Au NPs on the glass/ITO/APTES electrode by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging (Figure 6.1. (C) and 6.1. (D). Statistical analysis showed that the 15 nm Au NPs were 15.1 ± 1.6 nm in diameter. The 4 nm Au NPs were difficult to analyze accurately by SEM imaging but had previously been determined by our group to be 4.1 ± 0.7 nm by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging. TEM images of THPC-coated 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs were obtained with a 200 kV FEI Tecnai F20 operated in TEM mode. First, we functionalized silicon oxide coated 400 mesh Au grids (SPI supplies, West Chester, PA) with APTES using the same procedure as we used for the functionalizing the glass/ITO electrode. NPs were attached to grids by directly soaking APTES-functionalized grids in the NP solution for 4-5 min. After that, the grids were rinse with water and dried gently under N2. TEM images of the 1.6 nm Au NPs showed them to be 1.6 ± 0.4 nm in diameter (Figure 6.1.B), which is consistent with Duff et al., who reported their size to be 1.5 nm in diameter based on TEM.

6.2.3. Au NP Size Analysis by Anodic Stripping Voltammetry (ASV). A CH Instruments (Austin, TX) model CHI 660E electrochemical cell consisting of a 3-electrode set-up with the Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) as a reference electrode, a Pt wire counter electrode and glass/ITO/APTES/Au NPs as the working electrode, was
used to perform ASV measurements. We measured the peak oxidation potential ($E_p$) of the Au NPs by scanning from -0.2 to 1.6 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO$_4$ electrolyte at 0.01 V/s as shown in Figure 6.1(E). The downward anodic peaks in the scans are due to oxidation of Au by bromide as shown in equations below:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{Au}^0 + 4\text{Br}^- & \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_4^- + 3e^- \quad (E^0 = 0.85 \text{ V vs. NHE}) \\
    \text{Au}^0 + 2\text{Br}^- & \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_2^- + e^- \quad (E^0 = 0.96 \text{ V vs. NHE})
\end{align*}
\]

The $E_p$ of 1.6, 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs decreased as the size of the NPs decreased in the order of 1.6 nm ($E_p = 0.45$ V) < 4 nm ($E_p = 0.69$ V) < 15 nm ($E_p = 0.77$ V). This is consistent with our previous work\textsuperscript{192, 239, 246} and others,\textsuperscript{248} showing a negative shift in $E_p$ (and $E^0$) with decreasing size. The size-dependent oxidation of metal NPs is also consistent with the theoretical work of Plieth, who predicted a negative shift in the oxidation potential relative to the bulk value proportional to $1/\text{radius.}$\textsuperscript{58} Henglein calculated a negative shift in the oxidation potential of metal NPs with decreasing size based on sublimation energies.\textsuperscript{205}
Figure 6.1. (A) UV-Vis spectra of THPC-stabilized 1.6 nm and citrate-coated 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs. (B) TEM image of THPC-stabilized 1.6 nm Au NPs. (C, D) SEM images of 4 and 15 nm citrate-stabilized Au NPs. (E) ASV of 1.6, 4, and 15 nm diameter Au NPs. ASVs were obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO₄ electrolyte at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s.
6.2.4. Au NP Size Analysis by Electrochemical Surface Area-to-Volume (SA/V) Measurement. Cyclic voltammetry was performed on Au NP-coated glass/ITO/APTES electrodes by scanning from -0.2 V to 1.6 V and back in 0.1 M HClO₄ at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s to measure the electroactive surface area (SA) of the Au NPs from the Au oxide reduction peak as described by our group previously.²⁰¹ The oxidation/reduction reaction of the Au NP surface in 0.1 M HClO₄ occurs by the following reversible reaction as shown in equation below.

\[
\text{Au}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Au} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The Au oxide layer only forms on the Au NP surface. The integrated charge of the peak corresponding to the oxide reduction corresponds to the electrochemical Au NP surface area (SA). The oxidation peak in the ASV from reactions 1 and 2 discussed earlier corresponds to the dissolution of all of the Au from the Au NPs and therefore reflects the total volume (V) of the sample of the Au NPs. SA/V is then determined by dividing the Coulombs of charge from the reduction of Au oxide by the Coulombs of charge from full stripping of the Au NPs and that further gives the radius of the spherical NP, which is equal to 3SA/V. This is a useful method to electrochemically determine the average size of the Au NPs on the electrode surface.²⁰¹

6.2.5. Ostwald Ripening Studies. We kept a similar total volume (total amount of Au) of different sized Au NPs on the glass/ITO/APTES surface for all of our Ostwald ripening studies in order to relate the Ostwald ripening rate to size as opposed to Au coverage effects. In order to keep the coverage same, we obtained CVs of the glass/ITO/APTES/Au NPs in 0.1 M HClO₄ in order to determine their
electroactive surface area (SA). Since we know the Au NP size, we then estimated the volume (V) since \( V = \frac{SA \cdot r}{3} \). The CV coverage was 2.0-2.7 \( \times 10^{-5} \) C and 0.7-1.0 \( \times 10^{-5} \) C for 4 and 15 nm Au NPs, respectively, which gives a total volume of Au in the range of 3.25 \( \times 10^{-5} \) to 4.25 \( \times 10^{-5} \) C. We unfortunately could not directly measure the electroactive surface area of 1.6 nm Au NPs because they are unstable and convert to 4 nm Au NPs in just one CV cycle.\(^{239}\) In this case, we obtained the coverage by performing the ASV after 3 min of soaking the glass/ITO/APTES in a solution of 10-fold diluted 1.6 nm diameter THPC-coated Au NPs. The reproducibility of the soaking conditions was sufficient to obtain good statistics on the Ostwald ripening rate of different-sized Au NPs, independent of the Au coverage.

After we measured the surface area of glass/ITO/APTES/Au NPs (or assumed it in the case of 1.6 nm Au NPs), we held the potential of the various Au NP-coated electrodes at 0.0 V, 0.30 V and 0.45 V for 35, 70, 105 or 140 min in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) electrolyte solution. We skipped 0.45 V for the 1.6 nm Au NPs for reasons discussed later. Then we removed the electrode from the cell, rinsed with nanopore water, and dried under N\(_2\). The same electrode was then used to measure the SA in 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) electrolyte solution and total V in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KCIO\(_4\) electrolyte solution. Finally, the measured SA/V was converted to NP diameter for the different ripening times, which allowed the determination of the rate of electrochemical Ostwald ripening. The experiments were performed similarly for studies that varied the Au NP coverage and size dispersity. In those
studies, we kept the size constant but varied the coverage or we changed from one size NP to a mixture of 4 nm and 15 nm Au NPs to introduce size dispersity.

6.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6.3.1. Monitoring Ostwald Ripening as a Function of Nanoparticle Size and Electrode Potential by Anodic Stripping Voltammetry (ASV). We monitored the effect of potential and NP size on the electrochemically-controlled Ostwald ripening by using ASV. ASV is a powerful technique to monitor NP size changes on the electrode surface due to the dependence of the peak oxidation potential \( E_p \) on the NP size (Figure 6.1).\(^{239}\) Figure 6.2 shows ASVs of glass/ITO/APTES/15 nm Au NP electrodes held at 0.0 V (Figure 6.2. (A)), 0.30 V (Figure 6.2.(B)) and 0.45 V (Figure 6.2. (C)) for 0, 35, 70, 105 and 140 min in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) electrolyte. There is no shift in \( E_p \) for up to 105 min at 0.0 V (vs Ag/AgCl), but a small shift of about 40-50 mV after holding for 140 min in the Br\(^–\)-containing electrolyte (Figure 6.2.(A)). However, the \( E_p \) starts to show significant changes after 35 min of ripening at 0.30 V (Figure 6.2. (B), blue plot). We observed a new shoulder peak at 0.95 V after 70 min of holding the potential at 0.30 V, indicating an increase in the NP size. The shoulder peak at 0.95 V increased with potential holding time and became a clearly distinct peak after 140 min of Ostwald ripening (Figure 6.2.(B), brown plot). Based on the theoretical work by Pleith \(^{58}\) and experimental work by our group,\(^{245}\) the new peak at 0.95 V indicates that some of the NPs increased to sizes larger than 20 nm during ripening. Also, the peak at 0.76 V indicates that some particles remained at \( \sim 15\)
nm in size. There are also some very small peaks at potentials between 0.0 and 0.4 V, which are likely very small NPs that did not fully dissolve during ripening. This inhomogeneous size distribution clearly indicates that a significant fraction of NPs grew in size by Ostwald ripening.
Figure 6.2. ASV of citrate coated 15 nm Au NPs after Ostwald ripening at 0.0 (A), 0.3 (B) and 0.45 V (C) for 0, 35, 70, 105 and 140 min of ripening each in Br⁻ containing acidic electrolyte solution. ASVs were obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 KClO₄ electrolyte at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s. SEM images of 15 nm Au NPs at 0 min of ripening (A) and after 140 min of ripening at 0.3 V (E) and 0.45 (F).
To confirm our findings, we obtained SEM images of 15 nm Au NPs after 140 min of ripening (Figure 6.2.(E)) and compared to that for no ripening (Figure 6.2.(D)). The average NP size increased from 15 ± 2 nm to 22 ± 8 nm after 140 min of ripening at 0.30 V. The larger standard deviation after ripening is due to the inhomogeneous size distribution of the NPs, consistent with the ASV. We determined the size histogram of 15 nm Au NPs before (Figure 6.3. (A)) and after 140 min of ripening at 0.30 V (Figure 6.3.(B)). In the size histogram we found more NPs in the size range of 20-24 nm. The size histogram further supports our ASV finding that NPs grew by potential-controlled Ostwald ripening.
Figure 6.3. Size histogram of 15 nm Au NPs (A) without Ostwald ripening, (B) after 140 min of ripening at 0.3 V and (C) after 140 min of ripening at 0.45 V.
Next, we held the potential at 0.45 V vs Ag/AgCl in the same electrochemical cell as we just discussed. In this case we found that the shoulder peak at 0.95 V appeared within 35 min (Figure 6.2.(C), blue plot). We observed that the peak at 0.95 V increased relatively faster than for the same peak at 0.30 V. After 140 min at 0.45 V we observed two well distinct oxidation peaks for Au at 0.76 and 0.95 V, representing two different size populations. This clearly indicates that the rate of NP size transformation of 15 nm Au NPs is potential dependent, and it is faster at higher potentials. The final average size of the Au NPs was found to be 26 ± 7 nm based on SEM images. The size histogram of the Au NPs after 140 min at 0.45 V is shown in Figure 6.3.(C). We observed a higher population of 26-29 nm diameter Au NPs, consistent with the ASV.

We were able to successfully monitor the average NP size change following ripening by ASV as discussed previously. However, we were not able to observe a small population of 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs at any stage of Ostwald ripening in the SEM images because that is beyond the resolution. Small Au NPs would be expected to appear in samples due to NPs not being fully oxidized. As the NPs dissolve, the dissolution rate increases, but it is common to observe NPs smaller than the original population in Ostwald Ripening studies.8 In some samples we did observe peaks at lower oxidation potentials in the ASV of 15 nm Au NPs in the potential range of 0.0-0.45 V after ripening for 105 min at 0.30 V. Figure 6.4.(A) shows an $E_p$ at 0.75 V and a small shoulder at 0.95 V as discussed previously. Figure 6.4.(B) shows the same ASV zoomed in from 0.0-0.65 V, where it is clear there is a series of small peaks in the 0.10 to 0.55 V range. The peaks in this
region correspond to the oxidation of Au NPs which were in the range of 1-2 nm diameter based on the Plieth

Figure 6.4. ASV of citrate-stabilized 15 nm diameter Au NPs after 105 min of Ostwald ripening at 0.3 V (A). ASV obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO₄ electrolyte at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s. (B) The zoomed in portion of Figure A as indicated by the dashed rectangle.
Figure 6.5. ASV of citrate coated 4 nm Au NPs after Ostwald ripening at 0.0 (A), 0.3 (B) and 0.45 V (C) for 0, 35, 70, 105 and 140 min of ripening each in Br\textsuperscript{-} containing acidic electrolyte solution. ASV obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 KClO\textsubscript{4} electrolyte with a scan rate 0.01 V/s. SEM images of 4 nm Au NPs at 0 min of ripening (A), after 140 min of ripening at 0.3 V (E) and after 140 min of ripening at 0.45 (F).
prediction\textsuperscript{56} and earlier reports by our group.\textsuperscript{192, 239} These small Au NPs come from Au NPs that dissolved significantly, but didn’t quite fully dissolve. It is typically very hard to catch these smaller Au NPs after dissolving/ripening, but they occasionally appear in the ASV (25-30% frequency) as shown in Figure 6.2.(B) and Figure 6.4.

Figure 6.5. shows the effect of potential on the Ostwald ripening of 4 nm diameter Au NPs under the same conditions as shown for 15 nm diameter Au NPs in Figure 6.2 in order to understand the effect of size on the Ostwald ripening of Au NPs. Figure 6.5.(A) shows the ASV of 4 nm Au NPs after 0, 35, 70, 105 and 140 min of ripening at 0.0 V vs Ag/AgCl. The $E_p$ does not show any significant change up to 105 min. However, after 140 min we observe the main peak becoming a doublet and a small peak also appeared around 0.95 V (Figure 6.5.(A), brown plot). The doublet and new peak at higher potential clearly indicates a size increase at 0.0 V for 4 nm diameter Au NPs.

After holding at 0.30 V the peak at 0.95 V became much more distinct from the peak at 0.69 V after 140 min of ripening compared to the same time at 0.0 V (Figure 6.5.(B), brown plot). We obtained SEM images after 140 min of ripening at 0.3 V (Figure 6.5.(E)) and found the average diameter increased to 14 ± 6 nm. The average size of the NPs is larger and there is also greater size dispersity. The size histogram in Figure 6.6 of shows that most of the NPs were larger than 8 nm in diameter. We don’t have size histograms of 4 nm Au NPs without ripening to directly compare our results because this is near the lower limit of resolution of our
SEM instrument. However, our group previously measured the size of 4 nm Au NPs by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and found them to be $4.1 \pm 0.7$ nm.\textsuperscript{167} Based on SEM images of 4 nm Au NPs before and after ripening and the size histogram after ripening (Figure 6.6), we can clearly see increased size and increased inhomogeneity of the NPs. This confirms that the NPs are growing by electrochemical Ostwald ripening. The ripening of 4 nm Au NPs at 0.45 V was faster compared to 0.30 V (Figure 6.5.(C)). At this potential the oxidation peak at 0.95 V was more prominent than the peak at 0.69 V, indicating that most of the smaller NPs are gone. The average size after 140 min of ripening at 0.45 V was $27 \pm 14$ nm based on the SEM images. The size range was 5 to 60 nm (Figure 6.5.(F) and Figure 6.6.(B)).

![Size histogram of 4 nm Au NPs](image)

**Figure 6.6.** Size histogram of 4 nm Au NPs after 140 min of ripening at 0.3 V (A) and after 140 min of ripening at 0.45 V (B).
Figure 6. 7. ASV of THPC stabilized 1.6 nm Au NPs after Ostwald ripening at 0.3 (A) for 0, 35, 70, 105 and 140 min of ripening each in Br\(^{-}\) containing acidic electrolyte solution. SEM image of 1.6 nm Au NPs after 140 min of ripening at 0.30 V (B). ASV of a 1:3 mixture of 4 and 15 nm Au NPs after Ostwald ripening at 0.30 V for 0, 35, 70, 105 and 140 min of ripening each in Br\(^{-}\) containing acidic electrolyte solution (C). SEM image of 1:3 mixture of 4 and 15 nm Au NPs after 140 min of ripening at 0.30 V (D). All ASVs were obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 KClO\(_4\) electrolyte at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s.
The ripening of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs under the same reaction conditions at 0.30 V occurred very fast as reported in our recent article. In our previous work we didn’t determine the rate of transformation of NPs and only showed the data for 35 min of ripening. Here we held the potential for 35, 70, 105 and 140 min and compared to the 4 nm and 15 nm Au NPs. Figure 6.7.(A) shows the ASV signature of 1.6 nm Au NPs before (0 min) and after ripening at 0.30 V for the different times. The \( E_p \) shifted from 0.45 V to 0.70 V after 35 min of ripening and after 105 and 140 min we found a very distinct peak appeared at 0.95 V (Figure 6.7.(A)). After 35 min all of the 1.6 nm sized Au NPs transformed to 4-5 nm diameter Au NPs and some of those particles ripened further to form even larger NPs with time. SEM images (Figure 6.7.(B)) showed the Au NPs to be 13 ± 5 nm.

Figure 6.8. Size histogram of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs before treatment (A) and after 140 min of ripening at 0.3 V (B).
6.3.2. Monitoring Ostwald Ripening as a Function of Size Dispersity by Anodic Stripping Voltammetry. In order to study the effect of size dispersity on the rate of Ostwald ripening, we attached a mixture of 4 nm and 15 nm Au NPs to the glass/ITO/APTES electrode. We hypothesized that the ripening rate would be higher for the mixture of two different size NPs due to the higher potential difference ($\Delta E_p$ or $\Delta E^0$) between the NPs, which partly drives the ripening process. The glass/ITO/APTES was soaked in a 1:3 mixture of 4 and 15 nm Au NPs and we held the potential of the electrode at 0.30 V vs. Ag/AgCl for 0, 35, 70, 105 and 140 min. Figure 6.7.(C) shows the ASV signature of the mixture of NPs with no ripening (0 min) and after ripening for the indicated times. The ASV at 0 min has a dominant peak at 0.75 V, near the $E_p$ of the 15 nm Au NPs. This is due to the larger fraction of 15 nm Au NPs in the sample. This sample should be compared to 15 nm Au NPs without 4 nm Au NPs in Figure 6.2. In this case we found that 140 min of ripening completely shifted the $E_p$ to 0.95 V with very little shouldering at 0.75 V. This is dramatically different than 15 nm Au NPs without 4 nm Au NPs at 0.30 V after 140 min (Figure 6.2.(B), where there was only a very small peak at 0.95 V. This result clearly shows that the ripening rate of NPs is significantly higher when there is a large size distribution of the NPs. In this case, the ripening rate of the 15 nm Au NPs increased dramatically by adding 4 nm Au NPs to the electrode. A 1:3 4 nm:15 nm Au NP ratio amounts to about 25% of the NPs being 4 nm but >99% of the total Au on the electrode resides within the 15 nm Au NPs because of the large difference in the total number of Au atoms per NP, which is proportional to (radius)$^3$. A similar effect of NP size dispersity on Ostwald ripening in solution has
been reported by others\textsuperscript{249-250} but our studies show direct evidence of the effect of size dispersity on Ostwald ripening of electrode surface-attached NPs under potential control. SEM images (Figure 6.7.(D)) found the NPs to be 45 ± 9 nm, which is about twice as large as the 22 nm size at this potential without 4 nm Au NPs (Figure 6.2.(E)). The size histogram (Figure 6.9) shows the maximum number of particles remains in the size range of 40-50 nm in diameter. The size based on the $E_p$ values in ASV correlated well with the SEM images of the NPs after ripening.

\textbf{Figure 6.9.} Size histogram of 1:3 mixture of 4:15 nm Au NPs after 140 min of ripening at 0.3 V.
6.3.4. Monitoring Ostwald Ripening as a Function of Size by Electrochemical SA/V Measurements. The surface area-to-volume ratio (SA/V) is equal to 3/radius for spherical-shaped NPs. It is therefore a good method to measure NP size (radius = 3V/SA). Measuring SA/V as a function of time at a given Ostwald ripening potential allowed us to determine the average NP size as a function of ripening time. This allowed us to measure the average rate of ripening at different electrode potential for different Au NP sizes, dispersity, and coverage in order to better understand Ostwald ripening. Figure 6.10.(A) shows a CV of 15 nm Au NPs before (0 min) and after (105 min) of Ostwald ripening at 0.3 V in 0.1 M HClO₄. The electroactive surface as determined by the integrated area of the reduction peak at 0.8 V decreased from 7.65 x 10⁻⁶ C to 5.76 x 10⁻⁶ C during that time. The decrease in SA is consistent with an increasing size of the Au NPs due to Ostwald ripening. A similar trend was observed for all sizes of Au NPs studied in this work. The volume (V) of the same sample was measured by performing ASV in Br⁻-containing electrolyte which gave the total integrated charge of 3.96 x 10⁻⁵ C (Figure 6.10.(B)). The SA/V value for this sample was 0.145, determined by dividing the charge for the peak at 0.8 V from the CV by the charge for the stripping peak in ASV (5.76 x 10⁻⁶/3.96 x 10⁻⁵ = 0.145). Similarly, we measured the SA/V ratios for all the sample we studied quantitatively for determining the NPs size after Ostwald ripening. Table A1-A10 (appendex) show all data of SA/V obtained for different sized Au NPs for various conditions.
Figure 6.10. (A) Cyclic voltammogram (CV) of 15 nm Au NPs before (0 min) and after 105 min of holding at 0.3 V vs Ag/AgCl in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte solution. (B) ASV of the same electrode after 105 min of ripening at 0.3 V. ASV was performed in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 m KClO₄ solution. The CV coverage (electroactive surface area, SA) decreases from 7.65 x 10⁻⁶ C to 5.76 x 10⁻⁶ C and the ASV coverage (total volume of NPs, V) 3.96 x 10⁻⁵ C. The SA/V value before and after were 0.19 and after 0.14 which is corresponds to 22.4 and 35.9 nm diameter Au NPs respectively.
Table 6.1. Microscopy measured radius and electrochemically measured surface area-to-total volume ratio (SA/V) of different sized Au NPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of trials</th>
<th>Microscopy measured radius (nm)</th>
<th>Average SA/V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.11. Plot of electrochemically-measured surface area-to-total volume ratio (SA/V) as a function of 1/radius as measured by TEM or SEM for 1.6, 4, 15, 31, 50, and 70 nm diameter Au NPs. The surface area was measured by surface Au oxide formation and reduction in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ and the total volume was measured by complete Au stripping in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO$_4$ electrolyte.
Table 6.2. Calculated size of NPs based on electrochemically-measured SA/V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs size (nm)</th>
<th>Time of ripening (min)</th>
<th>Calculated sized of NPs after ripening at 0.0 V</th>
<th>Diameter (final)/Diameter (initial)</th>
<th>Calculated sized of NPs after ripening at 0.30 V</th>
<th>Diameter (final)/Diameter (initial)</th>
<th>Calculated sized of NPs after ripening at 0.45 V</th>
<th>Diameter (final)/Diameter (initial)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.05</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.05</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.56 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.05</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.51 ± 0.4</td>
<td>11.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.05</td>
<td>28.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>11.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.11 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.05</td>
<td>28.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.05</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.05</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.08</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.07</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.09</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.07</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.1</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.1</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.7</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.05</td>
<td>28.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19.9 ± 2.7</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.2</td>
<td>22.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.2</td>
<td>25.3 ± 3.2</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.07</td>
<td>28.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.1</td>
<td>34.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>21.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.07</td>
<td>32.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.2</td>
<td>43.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>22.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.1</td>
<td>40.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.3</td>
<td>60.3 ± 9.0</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix. of 4 &amp; 15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.1</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>18.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.2</td>
<td>25.3 ± 3.2</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.2</td>
<td>25.3 ± 3.2</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>33.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.2</td>
<td>34.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.1</td>
<td>34.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>53.8 ± 9.3</td>
<td>3.72 ± 0.7</td>
<td>43.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.2</td>
<td>43.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>115 ± 36</td>
<td>7.95 ± 2.6</td>
<td>60.3 ± 9.0</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.5</td>
<td>60.3 ± 9.0</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
after Ostwald ripening for 0.0, 35, 70, 105 and 140 min for 2, 4, 15 and 1:3 mixture of 4 and 15 nm Au NPs at different ripening potential (0.0 V, 0.30 V, and 0.45 V).

**Figure 6.12.** Electrochemically-determined average NP diameter (A-C) and relative diameter (D-F) as a function of ripening time at a potential of 0.0 V (A,D), 0.30 V (B,E) and 0.45 V (C,F) for various sized and mixed Au NPs.
We did not use $E_p$ values to determine the NP average size (and rate) because $E_p$ values can't accurately determine the size if the sample has high size dispersity and it does not distinguish sizes very well above about 30 nm in diameter. We converted the electrochemically-measured SA/V to NP diameter using the relation of $d = 6V/SA$ (where, $d$ is the diameter of NPs) for all sized NPs. Unfortunately, the SA/V ratio did not match well with the SEM measured size without first cleaning with ozone as reported by our group recently.\textsuperscript{201} In this work, we could not clean the NPs with ozone for Ostwald ripening studies because ozone causes NP size changes as reported by us\textsuperscript{245} and others,\textsuperscript{25} especially for smaller 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs.

We instead used a calibration curve to determine the size of the NPs. The SA/V values for different sized Au NP standards is shown in Table 6.1. Figure 6.11 shows the SA/V as a function of 1/radius of NPs for 1.6, 4, 15, 32, 50 and 70 nm diameter Au NPs. The line of best fit was used to approximate the size of the Au NPs after different Ostwald ripening experiments. The size measured after Ostwald ripening by SA/V values was found to be very close to the SEM measured size of NPs. For example, based on the calibration curve the SA/V measured size of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs after 140 min of ripening at 0.30 V was 11.9 ± 0.6 nm, which is very close to the SEM measured size of 13.4 ± 4.5 nm, indicating that SA/V measurements are an excellent method for determining the average NP size. Table 6.2. shows the electrochemically-measured size of NPs and the relative
change in diameter (final diameter divided by initial diameter, $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$) based on the SA/V measurements and the calibration curve for various ripening potentials and time.

Figure 6.12 shows the NP diameter and relative diameter, respectively, as a function of ripening time at 0.0 V (Figure 6.12. (A,D)), 0.30 V (Figure 6.12. (B,E)), and 0.45 V (Figure 6.12. (C,F)) for 4 and 15 nm Au NPs. At 0.0 V, 4 nm Au NPs showed about a 35% increase in size after 140 min, while the 15 nm Au NPs showed about 20% size increase. At 0.30 V (Figure 6.12. (B,E)) all samples changed more significantly with increasing ripening time. 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs increased by about a factor of 2 to 2.5. The final diameter was larger for the 15 nm diameter due to the initially larger size, but the 4 nm diameter Au NPs increased by a higher percentage. The 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs increased to a similar final diameter as 4 nm but had a larger factor of 8 increase due to the initially smaller size. Figure 6.12. (C,F) shows the NP diameter as a function of ripening time at a potential 0.45 V for 4 and 15 nm Au NPs. Both sizes grew to a final 60-70 nm diameter size while the 4 nm Au NPs showed a higher relative change, growing by a factor of 13-14 as compared to 3-4 for the 15 nm Au NPs.
Table 6.3. Data of electrochemically measured NPs diameter/min and NPs diameter (% increase per min) as a function of ripening potential for 1.6, 4, 15 and mix of 4 & 15 nm Au NPs at 0.0, 0.30 and 0.45 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs size (nm)</th>
<th>Ripening potential (V)</th>
<th>NPs diameter (nm/min)</th>
<th>NPs diameter (% increase/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mix 4/15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. 13. Electrochemically measured NPs diameter/min (A) and % increase of NPs diameter/min (B) as a function of ripening potential for 1.6, 4, and 15 nm Au NPs at 0.0, 0.30 and 0.45 V.
Next, we determined the slope of the best fit line of NPs diameter vs ripening time and $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs ripening time plot for all sized NPs and potential. The $R$ value of the best fit line was higher than 0.92 indicating that our data fitted well with the linear lines. Table 6.3 shows the slope for NP diameter vs time plot (Table 6.3, column 3) and slope of $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs time plot (Table 6.3, column 4). We multiplied the slope of $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs time plot to show the percent increase. Figures 6.13. shows the plot of NPs diameter/min vs ripening potential (Figure 6.13.(A)) and % increase of NPs diameter/min vs ripening potential (Figure 6.13. (B)) for all sized Au NPs. The ripening rate increased with an increase in ripening potential for all Au NPs studied. At 0.0 V the rate is low, but it starts to show an exponential increase for 4 nm Au NPs. We don’t have ripening data at 0.0 V and 0.45 V for 1.6 nm Au NPs. However, we put the slope of best fit line of 1.6 nm Au NPs as shown in the green square box at 0.30 V. It clearly shows that the % increase of NP diameter is very high for 1.6 nm Au NPs compared to individual 4 and 15 nm Au NPs at 0.30 V. We also measured the microscopy size of Au NPs at different time of ripening for 0.30 V and 0.45 V. The average size of NPs before and after ripening are shown in table 6.4. We then plotted microscopically measured NP diameter vs ripening time and $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs ripening time for all Au NPs samples at 0.30 and 0.45 V (Figure 6.14). The results were found to be very close to the electrochemically-determined sizes. For example, 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs increased by a factor of 8 based on the microscopically measured size which is also a factor of 8 for the electrochemically-measured size. Similarly, 4 nm diameter Au NPs increase by a factor of 3.4 which is close to the electrochemically
measured value of 2.5 for 4 nm Au NPs. This is indicating that our electrochemical measured size is very closely matches with the microscopically measured size of NPs.
Table 6.4. Microscopy measured size of various Au NPs after different ripening times and different ripening potentials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs size (nm)</th>
<th>Time of ripening (min)</th>
<th>Microscopic sizes (nm) of NP after ripening at 0.30 V</th>
<th>Diameter (final)/Diameter (initial) at 0.30 V</th>
<th>Microscopic sizes (nm) of NPs after ripening at 0.45 V</th>
<th>Diameter (final)/Diameter (initial) at 0.45 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.15 ± 3.6</td>
<td>4.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>13.4 ± 4.5</td>
<td>8.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>10.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.8</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>17.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>14.4 ± 6.0</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>26.5 ± 14</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>16.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>17.9 ± 3.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>17.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>19.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>19.3 ± 4.5</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>21.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>22.0 ± 7.5</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>26.1 ± 7.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix. 4 &amp; 15</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24 ± 6.0</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>31 ± 7.5</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>45.5 ± 9.0</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.14. SEM determined NP diameter vs ripening time and $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs ripening time at 0.30 V (A, C) and 0.45 V (B, D) for all Au NPs samples.
Figure 6.15. Electrochemically determined NP diameter vs ripening time (A) and $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs ripening time (B) at 0.30 V for 1:3 mixture of 4 and 15 nm Au NPs samples.
Figure 6.16. SEM determined NP diameter vs ripening time (A) and $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs ripening time (B) at 0.30 V for 1:3 mixture of 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs samples.
6.3.5. Monitoring Ostwald Ripening as a Function of NP Size Dispersity and Coverage by Electrochemical SA/V. We monitored the effect of NPs size dispersity and coverage on the Ostwald ripening of NPs. Figure 6.15. (A & B) show the NP diameter and relative diameter respectively, as a function of ripening time at 0.3 V for 1:3 mixture of 4 and 15 nm Au NPs. The 4 and 15 nm diameter mixed sample showed an extremely large ripening rate in terms of total final diameter (~120 nm) and size increase relative to the initial 15 nm diameter NPs (~800%) for electrochemical measured NPs diameter. Size measured by microscopic method showed the ~300 % increase in size relative to the initial size of 15 nm (figure 6.16. (A & B)). We also determined the slope of the best fit line of NPs diameter vs ripening time and $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs ripening time plot. The slope of found to be 0.67 nm/min and 4.63 diameter % increase per min as shown in table 6.3. The slope value (rate) is significantly higher than individual 4 and 15 nm Au NPs, indicates that the dispersity of NPs significantly effects the Ostwald ripening rate.

Table 6.5. Data of electrochemically measured NPs diameter/min and NPs diameter (% increase per min) as a function of coverage for 15 nm Au NPs at 0.30 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs coverage (NPs/µm²)</th>
<th>NPs diameter (nm/min)</th>
<th>NPs diameter (%increase/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0-1.3</td>
<td>0.0814</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0-8.0</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-51</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. ASVs of citrate-stabilized 15 nm diameter Au NPs after Ostwald ripening at 0.3 V with the coverage of (A) 1.1-1.3 NPs/µm² (or (5.0-6.5) x 10⁻⁶ C) and (B) 39.5-51.3 NPs/µm² (or (2.0-2.6) x 10⁻⁴ C). ASVs were obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO₄ electrolyte with a scan rate of 0.01 V/s.
Figure 6.18. NP diameter vs ripening time (A) and D_{final} / D_{initial} vs ripening time (B) for 15 nm diameter Au NPs at 0.30 V for various coverage of NPs on the electrode surface as indicated. NPs diameter/min (C) and % increase of NPs diameter/min (D) as a function of NPs coverage.
Also, we hypothesized that the coverage of NPs on the electrode surface would also play a significant role on the rate of Ostwald ripening since the AuBr\textsuperscript{x-} ions from a smaller dissolving Au NP has to diffuse to a larger Au NP before deposition. Close proximity of the Au NPs should increase the ripening rate and allow greater collection of the AuBr\textsuperscript{x-} ions at the larger NPs. Accordingly, we studied the effect of coverage on the ripening rate for 15 nm Au NPs at a potential of 0.30 V vs Ag/AgCl. The coverage was determined from the ASV of the 15 nm Au NPs, which was \((5.0-6.5) \times 10^{-6} \text{ C}\) and \((2.0-2.6) \times 10^{-4} \text{ C}\) for low and high coverage, respectively. This corresponds to about 1 and 45 NPs/µm\(^2\), respectively. The \(E_p\) and SA/V ratio of 15 nm Au NPs at low coverage did not show any significant change with ripening time as shown in Figure 6.17.(A). However, the \(E_p\) and overall SA/V ratio changed significantly for higher coverage as shown in Figure 6.17. (B), showing that the average NP size increased due to significant ripening. We plotted the NP diameter vs ripening time and \(D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}\) vs ripening time for the coverage range of \((5.0-6.5) \times 10^{-6} \text{ C}\), \((3.5-4.2) \times 10^{-5} \text{ C}\) and \((2.0-2.6) \times 10^{-4} \text{ C}\) at a ripening potential of 0.30 V as shown in the Figure 5.18.(A and B). For higher coverage, \(D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}\) vs ripening time showed an increase in NP diameter by about 8 times but for the lower two coverages it showed an increase of only 2 and 1.3 times, respectively. These results clearly confirm that the rate of Ostwald ripening increases with an increase in NP coverage on the electrode surface. Furthermore, we determined the slope of the best fit line of NPs diameter vs ripening time and \(D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}\) vs ripening time plot of figure 6.18. (A) and 6.18. (B) respectively for various coverage of 15 nm diameter Au NPs at potential 0.3 V.
Table 6.5 shows the slope for NP diameter vs ripening time plot (Table 6.5, column 2) and slope of $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs time plot (Table 6.5, column 3) for three different coverage of 15 nm Au NPs as indicated in table 6.5, column 1. We multiplied the slope of $D_{\text{final}}/D_{\text{initial}}$ vs ripening time plot to show the percent increase. Figures 6.18. (C & D) shows the plot of NPs diameter/min vs NPs coverage (Figure 6.18.(C)) and % increase of NPs diameter/min vs NPs coverage (Figure 6.18.(D)) for 15 nm diameter Au NPs studied at potential 0.3 V. Figure 6.18. (C & D) clearly show that ripening rate increased linearly with an increase of NPs coverage.

**Figure 6.19.** Mechanism of electrochemically induced Ostwald ripening of Au NPs attached to glass/ITO electrode.
Based on our work, Ostwald ripening of Au NPs occurs by multistep reactions and several factors controlled the rate of ripening as shown in figure 6.19. Oxidation is the first step of Ostwald ripening. The smaller Au NPs dissolve at lower electrochemical potentials and with faster rates. At potentials below the \( E_p \) value, the particles are not completely dissolved, but a small amount of dissolution from the NPs that are much smaller than the average size occurs based on the following Nernst equation, assuming \( 3e^- \) oxidation of Au is with \( Br^- \) dominant electrochemical reaction:

\[
E = E^0 + \frac{(0.0592/3)\log ([AuBr_4^-]/[Br^-]^4)}{}
\]

Solving for the concentration of dissolved Au in terms of \( AuBr_4^- \) in the Nernst equation gives:

\[
[AuBr_4^-] = [Br^-]^4 \times 10^{\left(\frac{(3(E-E^0)/0.0592)}{}\right)}
\]

Where, \( E \) is the applied potential and \( E^0 \) is the size-dependent standard potential for the electrochemical half reaction of Au oxidation in \( Br^- \). Since \( [Br^-] \) is constant (in excess), \( [AuBr_4^-] \) next to the Au NPs depends exponentially on how large \( E \) is relative to \( E^0 \), or the magnitude of \( E-E^0 \). Since \( E \) is constant, but \( E^0 \) is smaller for smaller Au NPs, this means that there will be a larger \( [AuBr_4^-] \) next to smaller Au NPs and smaller \( [AuBr_4^-] \) next to larger Au NPs as shown in figure 6.19, step 2. The concentration gradient leads to diffusion of \( AuBr_4^- \) from the small NPs to the large NPs. This causes \( [AuBr_4^-] \) to be too large according to the Nernst equation at the large Au NPs, leading to Au deposition on the larger Au NPs (figure 6.19, step 3). As the larger Au NPs become even larger, their \( E^0 \) value shifts more positive, which further drives reduction of \( AuBr_4^- \) in solution by deposition onto the
Au NPs. This causes those NPs to grow larger until equilibrium is established with AuBr₄⁻ in solution (figure 6.19, step 4). In contrast, the E⁰ shifts more negative as the smaller Au NPs dissolve. The combination of this and diffusion of AuBr₄⁻ away from the small NPs leads to further dissolution of those Au NPs until they eventually fully dissolve. The variation of the E⁰ values for different-sized Au NPs leads to different local [AuBr₄⁻] at the different-sized Au NPs, and then diffusion and the changing E⁰ values as large NPs grow and small NPs dissolve lead to the Ostwald ripening process. Based on this description, the ripening rate depends on the value of E relative to the average E⁰ of the sample, the variation of E⁰ values within the sample, and the distance between Au NPs. The variation of E⁰ within the sample and distance between the Au NPs control the diffusion gradient and flux caused by diffusion. The value of E relative to the average value of E⁰ determines how much dissolution and overall [AuBr₄⁻] appears in the solution. Our data on potential, size, size dispersity, and coverage are consistent with the Ostwald ripening model.

6.4. CONCLUSIONS

We report the size dependent Ostwald ripening reactivity of 1.6, 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs under control potential. The size change before and after ripening at different potential and time were monitored by both electrochemical and microscopic methods. Our results show smaller sized Au NPs display a higher rate of Ostwald ripening as compared to larger size Au NPs under similar electrochemical conditions. The rate of Ostwald ripening also depends on the
dispersity of NPs. A mixture of two different sized NPs displayed a higher rate of ripening as compared to the individual NPs under the same reaction conditions due to the larger variation in $E - E^0$ at different NPs. The mechanism of Ostwald ripening was confirmed from the SEM measured size and size histogram of NPs before and after ripening. The size histogram shows larger size variation after ripening, indicating that NPs grew bigger in size at the expense of others that reduced in size. The Ostwald ripening of Au NPs, as confirmed by ASV, showed two distinct oxidation peaks at 0.75 and 0.95 V for two different NP populations after ripening, but also showed multiple small oxidation peaks in the potential range of 0.0-0.45 V vs Ag/AgCl. These peaks represent small Au NPs which were formed during the dissolution of bigger-sized Au NPs, also confirming Ostwald ripening. The rate of Ostwald ripening rate increased dramatically with the increasing NP coverage, showing the importance of diffusion of AuBr$_x$ species from small to larger NPs. The rate of Ostwald ripening determined from the electrochemically-measured SA/V of Au NPs was comparable to the rate measured by SEM, but much faster and simpler. Our work clearly shows the importance of electrochemical methods as analytical tools to understand the fundamental reactivity and size stability of metals on the nanoscale. This work may lead to improved NP size stability but also new electrochemical methods to control NP sizes on electrodes surfaces.
CHAPTER VII
SIZE DEPENDENT GALVANIC EXCHANGE BETWEEN Au NANOPARTICLES WITH Ag⁺ IONS

7.1. INTRODUCTIONS

Recently, the formation of bimetallic nanostructures by a galvanic exchange reaction has become very popular as it provides enormous opportunities to engineer new nanomaterials for catalytic and sensing applications. Galvanic exchange is a simple oxidation/reduction reaction which involves the spontaneous reduction of a noble-metal cation by a less noble metal in a solution driven by the difference in standard potential of the two metals. Galvanic replacement has been used recently for engineering various nanomaterials including hollow nanocubes, nanotubes, nanorattles, and nanocages. For example, Murshid and coworkers showed a galvanic exchange reaction between Ag decahedral NPs with Au(III) complexes to form stable core/shell Ag/Au decahedral alloy NPs. The following reaction is an example of a galvanic exchange reaction between Ag metal and Au(III) complex ions:

\[ 3\text{Ag (S)} + \text{AuCl}_4^- (\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{Au (s)} + \text{Ag}^+ (\text{aq}) + 4 \text{Cl}^- (\text{aq}) \]

Other than the traditional galvanic exchange reaction there have been reports on thermodynamically unfavorable galvanic exchange reactions, which
have been termed anti-galvanic exchange. The occur in the opposite direction of
the thermodynamically favorable reaction. Reactions between Au clusters and
metal ions of Ag, Hg, Cd, and Cu fall into this category. Murray and coworkers
observed anti-galvanic exchange between thiolate stabilized Au$_{25}$ nanoclusters
and Ag$^+$ and Cu$^{2+}$ ions for the first time.$^{113}$ They reported that the addition of Ag$^+$
ions onto the Au$_{25}$ nanocluster was possible because of the thiolate ligands, which
gain a partial negative charge after attaching to the Au surface. This negative
charge assists in the reduction of Ag$^+$ ions on the surface of the Au cluster. Later,
Wu and coworkers reported that anti-galvanic exchange between Ag$^+$ ions and a
thiol stabilized neutral Au nanoclusters is only possible if the Au nanocluster size
is lower than 3 nm in diameter.$^{114}$ These findings indicated that the negative charge
of thiolate ligands do not affect the anti-galvanic exchange reaction. Wang and
coworkers reported the anti-galvanic exchange reaction of naked Au NPs.$^{115}$
Ligand-free naked Au NPs were obtained by the reduction of Au$^+$ ions with NaBH$_4$
and the resultant aggregated NPs were dispersed by the laser ablation method. In
this process they were able to get well dispersed Au NPs with the average size of
13.5 ± 0.5 nm in diameter. After the addition of an aqueous solution of AgNO$_3$ to
the Au NPs solution they found that Ag atoms were deposited on the Au NPs based
on the Ag peak in the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). This finding further
suggested that anti galvanic exchange of Au NPs with Ag$^+$ ions is not due to the
thiolate ligand, but could be due to the thermodynamic negative shift of the
oxidation peak potential of naked Au NPs. Yao and coworkers reported that Ag
atoms do not replace Au atoms in the precursor particles, but instead are placed
side by side with the Au atoms (deposited on them).\textsuperscript{116} They proved this phenomenon with UV-visible spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. Their finding was also supported by theoretical calculations. Recently, Tian and coworkers reported that anti galvanic exchange between Au nanoclusters and Ag\textsuperscript{+} ions depends on the structure of the precursor ions.\textsuperscript{117} For example, galvanic exchange between aqueous AgNO\textsubscript{3} and Au\textsubscript{25}(PET)\textsubscript{18}, (PET = SC\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}Ph) results in Au\textsubscript{25}Ag\textsubscript{2}(PET)\textsubscript{18} as the main product while galvanic exchange between Au\textsubscript{25}(PET)\textsubscript{18} and Ag–DTZ complexes (DTZ is dithizone) produced Au\textsubscript{24}Ag(PET)\textsubscript{18} as a main product in the mass spectrum. Li and coworkers reported the formation of heavily doped Au\textsubscript{25–x}Ag\textsubscript{x}(SC\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11})\textsubscript{18} nanoclusters (where x = 9) by reacting Au\textsubscript{23}(SR)\textsubscript{16}– with a Ag(I)–thiolate complex. Based on the X-ray crystallographic analysis they reported that Ag atoms not only go into the core of the Au clusters, but they also incorporate into the surface motif. Luo and coworkers reported conversion of the 23-atom [Au\textsubscript{23}(SR)\textsubscript{16}]– nanocluster to a 21-atom [Au\textsubscript{21}(SR)\textsubscript{12}(Ph\textsubscript{2}PCH\textsubscript{2}PPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} nanocluster by the resection of two surface Au atoms first with Ag\textsuperscript{+} ions followed by replacement of two Ag atoms by galvanic exchange with a Au(I)-diphosphine complex, Au\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}(P–C–P).\textsuperscript{118} This slight modification on the Au clusters provided a 10 fold increase in the luminescent properties of Au nanoclusters.

Several others also studied galvanic exchange with Au nanoclusters in the 2-3 nm diameter range and used those alloy nanoclusters for catalytic applications.\textsuperscript{119-120} For example, Young and coworkers reported that galvanic exchange addition of Ag atoms on the surface of Au nanoclusters significantly
increased the 4-electron oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) electrocatalytic activity in alkaline media as compared to other Au-Ag alloy NPs. All these studies indicate that galvanic exchange between Au and Ag ions is possible, however the mechanism of this exchange is still unclear in the literature. To the best of our knowledge no literature report has correlated the anti-galvanic exchange reaction of Au NPs with the size-dependent oxidation peak potential of Au NPs and used ASV to monitor the exchange process. Our studies show that the anti-galvanic exchange reaction is thermodynamically favorable when the NP size becomes less than 4 nm in diameter, which we believe is due to the size-dependent negative shift of the oxidation peak potential of Au NPs.

### 7.2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We synthesized THPC-stabilized 4.1, 1.6 and TPPS-stabilized 0.9 nm diameter Au NPs as described in the experimental Chapter II. Figure 4.1. (A) shows the UV-visible spectra of 4.1, 1.6 and 0.9 nm diameter Au NPs. 1.6 and 0.9 nm diameter Au NPs do not display any localized surface plasmon resonance band (LSPR) in the UV-vis range. It is well known that the LSPR band disappears for Au NP sizes of about 2 nm or smaller in diameter. The LSPR of 4 nm diameter Au NPs shows a peak at 507 nm which is consist with the literature reported value for 4 nm diameter Au NPs, indicating that we were able to successfully synthesize 4 nm diameter Au NPs. For further confirmation of size, we measured the TEM images of 1.6 and 1 nm diameter Au NPs and found NPs would be 1.6 ± 0.4 nm and 0.9 ± 0.2 nm respectively (Figure 4.1), which is also consist with the literature
reported sizes of corresponding NPs.\textsuperscript{31, 47} We do not have TEM image of 4 nm diameter Au NPs, however sizes of 4 nm diameter Au NPs previously measured by our group\textsuperscript{192} from TEM images and found to be $4.1 \pm 0.7$ nm in diameter. Next, we attached those NPs on the glass/ITO/APTES electrode surface by directly soaking glass/ITO/APTES electrode to the NPs solution. Electrode attached Au NPs were then analyze by monitoring their oxidation peak potential. Oxidation peak potential of 0.9, 1.6 and 4 nm diameter Au NPs appeared at 0.2, 0.45 and 0.71 V vs Ag/AgCl respectively as shown in figure 4.1. We determined the size of 0.9, 1.6 and 4 nm diameter Au NPs based on the Pleith equation\textsuperscript{58} and it was found to be 1.0 1.6 and 3.1 nm in diameter respectively.

We monitored the size dependent galvanic exchange of Au NPs with Ag$^+$ ions by ASV. Figure 7.1 shows the ASV signature of glass/ITO/APTES coated with 0.9, 1.6 and 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs after 3 min of exchange with a 1 x $10^4$ M solution of Ag$^+$ ions. We kept a similar total coverage of all sized Au NPs in order to determine the size dependent reactivity of Au NPs with Ag$^+$ ions. After galvanic exchange, ASV were performed first in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ in order to determine the amount of Ag incorporated into the Au NPs. The same electrode was then rinsed with water, dried under N$_2$ and used to perform ASV in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO$_4$ electrolyte solution to determine the amount of Au in the NPs relative to the amount of Ag. Olga and coworkers showed that Ag NPs oxidize
Figure 7.1. ASV of 4.1 (A), 1.6 (B) and 0.9 nm (C) diameter Au NPs after 3 min of galvanic exchange with $1 \times 10^{-4}$ M AgNO$_3$ solution. The ASV for Ag analysis was obtained in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte solution first and then the ASV of Au was obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M KClO$_4$ electrolyte solution, both at a scan rate of 0.01 V/s.
at 0.27-0.45 V in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution based on the size of Ag NPs. Citrate stabilized 8 nm diameter Ag NPs oxidized at 0.27 V vs Ag/AgCl. The ASV of glass/ITO/APTES in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ after soaking in Ag$^+$ ions does not show any oxidation peak for Ag (Figure7.1A, black graph), indicating that the Ag$^+$ ions do not directly attach to the electrode surface. This important control experiment confirms that any Ag observed will be due to exchange with the Au NPs.

Next, we performed ASV of the glass/ITO/APTES/Au$_{4.1\text{nm}}$ NPs in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ after a 3 min exposure to Ag$^+$ ions. As shown in Figure 7.1A (red plot), there was no oxidation peak for Ag in the potential range of 0 to 0.6 V, indicating that 4.1 nm Au NPs do not exchange appreciably with Ag$^+$ ions under these conditions. The ASV obtained in KBr show a single oxidation peak for Au at 0.71 V, indicating the presence of the 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs. Figure 7.1B shows the same set of ASVs after exposing glass/ITO/APTES/Au$_{1.6\text{nm}}$ NPs to Ag$^+$ for the same amount of time. The ASV in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ now shows a sharp oxidation peak at 0.35 V due to the oxidation of Ag (red plot). The $E_p$ for Ag is $\sim$80 mV positive of the value for 8 nm diameter Ag NPs. It is likely that it is more positive after exchange due to the interaction with Au, which has been observed previously by us and others.

The ASV in KBr electrolyte shows one oxidation peak for Au at 0.65 V, which is consistent with Au NPs, but the $E_p$ is about 200 mV positive from the $E_p$ of 0.45 V for the original 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs. This increased $E_p$ is either due to the first ASV scan in H$_2$SO$_4$, which leads to a particle size increase, or due to a size change in the Au NPs during exchange with Ag$^+$. Figure 7.1C shows the ASV
analysis of glass/ITO/APTES/Au$_{0.9nm}$ NPs after monitored the exposure to with Ag$^+$ ions. There is one sharp oxidation peak at 0.28 V for the ASV in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ (red plot) but no noticeable peak for Au oxidation in the ASV in KBr electrolyte (blue plot). This indicates that Ag$^+$ ions completely, or near completely, exchanged with all of the Au atoms for these smallest size NPs. Taken together, the results of Figure 7.1 indicate that for the same amount of total Au on the electrode surface, the amount of exchange with Ag$^+$ ions increases as the size of the Au NPs decreases.

**Figure 7.2.** ASV of 8 nm diameter Ag NPs in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution (black graph). ASV of AuAg alloy (50 %-50%) NPs in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution (red graph) and ASV of the same electrode in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 m KClO$_4$ electrolyte solution (blue graph). All ASV obtained with a scan rate of 0.01 V/S.
Table 7.1. Integrated charge obtained from ASV peak of Ag and Au for 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs after 3 min of galvanic exchange with 1 x 10^-4 M AgNO₃ solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Ag Coverage (C)</th>
<th>Ag Eₚ</th>
<th>Number of Ag atoms from Ag coverage</th>
<th>Au Coverage (C)</th>
<th>Number of Au atoms</th>
<th>% Au</th>
<th>% Ag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.65x10^-5</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>1.03x10^14</td>
<td>8.50x10^-6</td>
<td>1.77x10^13</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>85.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.45x10^-5</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>9.06x10^13</td>
<td>9.57x10^-6</td>
<td>1.99x10^13</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.08x10^-5</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>1.30x10^14</td>
<td>1.57x10^-5</td>
<td>3.27x10^13</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.88x10^-5</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>1.17x10^14</td>
<td>1.42x10^-5</td>
<td>2.95x10^13</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To verify the Eₚ for Ag within AuAg alloy NPs and confirm that we can quantitatively determine the amount of Au and Ag in AuAg alloy NPs, we performed ASV analysis of chemically-synthesized AuAg alloy NPs with a Au:Ag 1:1 composition and compared that to the ASV of pure 8 nm diameter Ag NPs. As shown in Figure 7.2, the Eₚ for Ag in the alloy is at about 0.35 V (red plot) as compared to it being at 0.25 V (black plot) for pure Ag. The ASV of the alloy in H₂SO₄ even has some peaks in the 0.7 to 0.9 V range, which could be due to even more stable forms of Ag in the alloy. This is consistent with the Ag Eₚ values in Figure 7.1. The ASV in KBr (blue plot) shows the Au oxidation peak at 0.7 V as expected. Integration of the Ag and Au in Figure 7.2 gave a Au:Ag ratio of 3.2 ± 0.2, which is close to the expected 1:1 value considering that Au oxidizes with 3 electrons and Ag with 1 electron. This validates our ASV analysis of AuAg alloy NPs, showing that it indicates the presence of Au and Ag and gives an accurate composition.
Confirming that the ASV analysis is quantitative, we next determined the composition of Ag and Au after exchange based on the total integrated Coulombs of charge from the Ag and Au peaks in the ASVs. Table 7.1 shows the integrated charge and calculated %Ag and %Au from four samples of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs after exchange. The AuAg alloy NPs contained on average 18.2% Au and 81.8% Ag following exchange. Since the loss of 1 Au atom leads to the deposition of 3 Ag atoms, this means that about 60% of the Au from the original Au NPs were exchanged to produce the new composition. 40% of the original Au atoms are still in the NPs. We did not quantitatively analyze the 4.1 nm Au NPs or the 0.9 m Au NPs because there was no clearly distinguishable Ag peak or Au peak in the ASVs, respectively.

The extent of the galvanic exchange reaction between Ag$^+$ ions and the Au NPs could be related to the available surface area of the Au as opposed to the energetics of the Au NPs. For the same volume of Au, the overall available surface area is much higher for the smaller Au NPs since the SA is equal to 3V/r. To make sure the reactivity differences were not simply due to surface area differences, we compared the reactivity of Ag$^+$ ions with 1.6 and 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs that had the same overall surface area by increasing the number of 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs on the electrode. The geometric surface area of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs is ~2.6 time higher than 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs. Figure 7.3A shows the ASV of 4.1 nm Au NPs after exchange with Ag$^+$ ions. The ASV again does not show any oxidation peak for Ag in acidic electrolyte, indicating that the 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs do not undergo any exchange with Ag$^+$ ions. We performed the same
experiment with 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs and a significant amount of exchange with Ag again occurred as discussed in the previous sections. This finding clearly confirms the high reactivity of the 1.6 nm and 0.9 nm Au NPs with Ag⁺ while the 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs are highly inert to this exchange reaction.

Figure 7.3. ASV of 4.1 (A) and 1.6 (B) nm diameter Au NPs after 3 min of galvanic exchange with 1 x 10⁻⁴ M AgNO₃ solution. ASVs for Ag were obtained in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte solution and ASVs of Au were obtained in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1
M KClO₄ electrolyte solution with a scan rate of 0.01 V/S. These Au NP electrodes had the same overall Au surface area.

We confirmed our findings by obtaining the composition of Au and Ag in the NPs after galvanic exchange using STEM-EDS mapping. Figure 7.4 shows the STEM images (A,C) and EDS mapping (B,D) of 4.1 and 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs having a similar overall Au surface area by drop-casting 2.6 times the amount of 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs. STEM-EDS mapping shows the 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs remain mostly red, indicating a high level of Au. The 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs are mostly the green color ascribed to Ag atoms. Based on the EDS mapping we obtained a Au:Ag composition of 89:11 and 10:90 for the 4.1 and 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs, respectively. The STEM-EDS determined value of 90% Ag after exchange of the 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs is very close to the 82% value determined by ASV. It is also a much higher level of exchange than observed previously with thiol-protected Au clusters. This finding further confirmed that galvanic exchange of Au NPs with Ag⁺ ions is possible with small sized Au NPs, which we believe is due to the size-dependent negative shift of the oxidation peak potential of Au NPs. Based on the large extent of exchange, it is likely that thiols likely hindered the exchange, as opposed to helping it, for small thiol-protected Au clusters.
Figure 7.4. STEM (A) and STEM-EDS mapping (B) images of 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs after 3 min of exchange with $1 \times 10^{-4}$ M AgNO$_3$ solution. Similarly, STEM (C) and STEM-EDS mapping (D) images of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs. Images were obtained on silicon oxide coated 400 Ni mesh TEM grids. The NPs were directly drop-cast deposited on the grid, dried in air, and then reacted by directly soaking the grid in the Ag$^+$ solution.
7.3. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have described the size dependent galvanic exchange reaction between Au NPs of 0.9 to 4.1 nm, and Ag$^+$ ions. Au NPs of 0.9 nm, 1.6 nm, and 4.1 nm diameter showed final Ag composition of ~100% and 82%, and 0%, respectively, after galvanic exchange with Ag$^+$ ions as determined by ASV. STEM-EDS analysis showed good agreement of 90% Ag and 11% Ag for the 1.6 nm and 4.1 nm diameter Au NPs after exchange. We believe the driving force for this reaction is the decrease in the Au oxidation potential with decreasing NP size and the ability of small metal NPs to catalyze metal deposition. This fact could result in new strategies for modification of the composition of metallic NPs, especially for metal systems whose galvanic replacement is not thermodynamically favorable for bulk sizes. New alloy NPs could result with enhanced catalytic properties and other potential applications.
CHAPTER VIII
HALIDE-DEPENDENT DEALLOYING OF Cu<sub>x</sub>/Au<sub>y</sub> CORE/SHELL NANOPARTICLES FOR COMPOSITION ANALYSIS BY ANODIC STRIPPING VOLTRAMMETRY

8.1. INTRODUCTION

Bimetallic nanoparticles (NPs) with different structures often show superior performance compared to their monometallic counterparts due to the combination and arrangement of the two different metal atoms and the metal-metal interactions. Recently, bimetallic NPs have been used for different applications such as catalysis, sensing, imaging, drug delivery, photothermal therapy, nanophotonics, and others. By considering the atomic arrangement, bimetallic NPs can be placed into two categories, including intermixed or intermetallic alloys, where the two metals are randomly and thoroughly mixed or segregated with long range atomic order, respectively. Core/shell NPs are a type of intermetallic structure constructed with a core of inner material and a shell of outer material, both at the nanometer scale, that have become very popular for electrocatalysis applications.

A key issue for catalysis is NP stability. There are several factors causing the low stability of mono-metal and alloy NPs including aggregation, oxidation, and migration of atoms from core-to-shell, for example.
Aggregation can be caused by a change in solution pH and removal of capping agents during catalysis. Metal oxidation can be an issue, especially for smaller-sized NPs or relatively thin shell thicknesses for the core/shell structure, which makes the metal atoms vulnerable to oxidation because the oxidation peak potential ($E^0$) shifts negative as size decreases, which has been described by Plieth and also known as the Gibbs-Thomson effect. The catalytic and sensing activity of bimetallic NPs may vary during the application because one metal undergoes rearrangement by diffusion from the core to the shell or vice-versa due to heat or other reaction conditions. While the development of highly active, selective, robust, low-cost and environmentally friendly catalytic systems is very challenging, there has been significant progress with the development of bimetallic core/shell NPs.

Citrate-stabilized core/shell Cu/Au bimetallic NPs are the focus of this paper. These structures were previously synthesized by Yang and co-workers for the colorimetric detection of iodine, sulfide anion and cysteine. Recently Wei and coworkers synthesized core/shell Cu/Au NPs and studied the unidirectional thermal diffusion of Au atoms from the shell to the core. Core/shell Au/Cu NPs have received comparatively less attention than Cu/Au because Cu is easily oxidized to CuO and Cu$_2$O under ambient conditions when it is in the shell and Cu does not exhibit the plasmonic properties of Au and Ag. Cu is commonly used as a sacrificial metal to synthesize stable metal NP catalysts with Au or to make nanoporous Au catalysts through galvanic place exchange reactions.
In recent years, the composition and atomic arrangement of bimetallic core/shell or other alloy NPs have been widely characterized by using high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), x-ray diffraction (XRD), x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, x-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS), high angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM), energy-dispersive X-ray line spectra (EDX), and UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. These techniques are generally expensive and tedious or offer limited information in the case of UV-vis spectroscopy. Accordingly, our goal is to develop electrochemical methods, such as anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV), for the analysis of NPs. The benefit of electrochemistry as a method of analysis is its simplicity, speed of operation, and low cost.

While there are many spectroscopic and microscopic dealloying studies of metal alloy NPs, mostly aimed at the synthesis of porous Au NPs, there have only been a very few studies on the electrochemical characterization of NP composition, atomic arrangement, or general oxidation behavior by voltammetry for assemblies of metal NPs, especially for core/shell NPs. For example, Bard and co-workers electrochemically characterized core/shell Ag/Ag₂O NPs by cyclic voltammetry of the NPs in buffer solution. During the first positive scan, they did not observe any surface oxidation of Ag, which indicated that the core Ag atoms of the core/shell NPs were blocked by an Ag₂O shell. After scanning to negative potentials where the reduction of Ag₂O to Ag took place, an Ag surface oxidation peak then appeared, which provided structural information about the core/shell
nature of the Ag/Ag₂O NPs. Tsung and coworkers showed that the reduction of 
Au is blocked during the first few cyclic voltammetry cycles of core/shell Au/Pd NPs 
in H₂SO₄ solution.²⁷³ After a few cycles in acid electrolyte solution, however, core 
Au atoms migrated to the shell and their oxide reduction peak became visible, 
providing valuable information about the initial structure of the NPs and the effect 
of oxidative/reductive cycling in acid. Dimitrov and coworkers compared the 
dealloying of CuₓAuₙ₋ₓ (x=0.7 and 0.8) alloy films and nanorods in acidic 
electrolyte.²⁸² They observed multistage dealloying in alloy films with the critical 
potential shifting positive with higher Au content. For alloy nanorods about 10 nm 
wide and 20 nm long, the critical potential for dealloying shifted about 200 mV 
negative and complete dealloying occurred.²⁸² The shift is greater than expected 
based on the nanorod curvature and Gibbs-Thomson effect. Erlebacher and co-
workers observed an inverse Gibbs-Thomson effect for dealloying of sub-10 nm 
AuAg alloy NPs, where the more noble metal passivated the less noble metal due 
to fluctuations in the passivating layer being too short lived to allow dissolution of 
the less noble metal.²⁷⁰ This leads to incomplete dealloying and no porosity 
formation. In larger NPs, the fluctuations are longer lived, allowing full dealloying 
and porosity formation to occur.

In this work, we aim to show that ASV can be useful to analyze the composition 
of core/shell citrate-stabilized Cu/Au NPs when performed in chloride containing 
electrolyte and at ratios of Cu₁/Auₓ, where x ≤ 1. Complete stripping of each metal 
occurs at separate potentials, allowing the composition ratio of Cu and Au to be 
simply obtained by peak integration of the appropriate Cu and Au oxidation peaks
and by taking into account the number of electrons involved in the oxidation reaction. When \( x \geq 2 \), the Au shell is substantially thick that it prevents oxidation (dealloying) of the Cu core until the Au oxidizes. In comparison of \( \text{Cu}_1/\text{Au}_2 \) core/shell NPs to mixed (not core/shell) \( \text{Cu}_1\text{Au}_2 \) NPs reveals a big difference in the ASV due to more available surface Cu in the latter, providing strong electrochemical evidence of the core/shell arrangement in the former. Our results show that accurate composition analysis is not possible in bromide containing electrolyte for core/shell \( \text{Cu}_1/\text{Au}_x \) nanoparticles for any value of \( x \) due to a unique bromide-induced stabilization of Cu in the presence of Au at all compositions. This causes some amount of Cu to oxidize at the same time as Au for all compositions, preventing accurate analysis of the two elements separately. The possible reasons for this are discussed.

8.2. EXPERIMENTAL

8.2.1. Anodic Stripping Voltammetry (ASV). For ASV measurements, the electrochemical cell consisted of the glass/ITO/APTES electrode containing the appropriate metal NPs as the working electrode, a Pt wire counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl (3.0 M KCl) reference electrode. All electrochemical measurements were performed with a CH Instruments model 660E electrochemical work station (Austin, TX) in different electrolyte solution, including 0.1 M HClO₄, 0.1 M HClO₄ + 10 mm KBr, and 0.1 M HClO₄ + 10 mM KCl solution for citrate-coated Cu, Cu/Au core/shell, and CuAu mixed alloy NP electrodes using the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) mode in the CH Instruments software. The scan was started at -0.6 V with the reference and counter electrodes in the
electrolyte solution and the working electrode out of solution. The potential was scanned positive at 0.005 V/s and paused when it reached -0.57 V, at which time the working electrode was immersed into the electrolyte solution under potential control. The scan was then “unpaused” and the potential scanned up to 1.6 V at a scan rate of 0.005 V/s. This protocol was followed so that the working electrode was introduced into the electrolyte while under potential control and therefore never experienced the open circuit potential.

Figure 8.1 shows the general experimental procedure for the synthesis and electrochemical analysis. Step 1 is the synthesis of cit-Cu NPs by reduction of Cu$^{2+}$ with NaBH$_4$ in the presence of trisodium citrate as described in the experimental section. After 30 minutes, addition of various amounts of HAuCl$_4$·3H$_2$O to the solution led to the reduction of Au onto the Cu NPs to form the various core/shell Cu$_{1-x}$/Au$_x$ NPs as described in the experimental section (Step 2). After synthesis, we attached the NPs electrostatically to a glass/ITO/APTES electrode by soaking the electrode in the NP solution for 15 min (Step 3). Finally, we performed ASV in 10 mM KBr (or 10 mM KCl) plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution (Step 4) to correlate the voltammetry with the composition and/or atomic arrangement of Cu and Au in the alloy NPs. Au and Cu oxidation in the presence of halides by the following reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Au}^0 + 2\text{Br}^- & \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_2^- + e^-, \quad E^0=0.96 \text{ V (vs. NHE)} \\
\text{Au}^0 + 4\text{Br}^- & \rightarrow \text{AuBr}_4^- + 3e^-, \quad E^0=0.85 \text{ V (vs. NHE)} \\
\text{Au}^0 + 2\text{Cl}^- & \rightarrow \text{AuCl}_2^- + e^-, \quad E^0=1.15 \text{ V (vs. NHE)} \\
\text{Au}^0 + 4\text{Cl}^- & \rightarrow \text{AuCl}_4^- + 3e^-, \quad E^0=0.99 \text{ V (vs. NHE)}
\end{align*}
\]
8.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As described in the experimental section, we synthesized citrate (cit)-stabilized core/shell Cu/Au NPs with compositions of Cu$_x$/Au$_{1-x}$, where x=0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1, and 2. After successfully synthesize, we used those NPs for optical, microscopic and electrochemical analysis.
8.3.1. Optical and Microscopic Characterization the Cu/Au Core/Shell Nanoparticles.

We collected UV-vis spectra of as-synthesized cit-Cu NPs and bimetallic core/shell cit-Cu$_1$/Au$_x$ NPs for qualitative assessment. Figure 8.2.(A) shows UV-vis spectra of cit-stabilized Cu, Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.5}$, Cu$_1$/Au$_1$, and Cu$_1$/Au$_2$ NPs. The as-synthesized Cu NPs did not display any features in the UV-vis region other than an exponential decrease in absorbance with increasing wavelength, consistent with previous literature on the synthesis of Cu NPs prepared in this size range.$^{149-150}$ The core/shell Cu$_1$/Au$_x$ NPs displayed a prominent peak near 510-530 nm, which is the well-known localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) band for Au. The peak shifts positive and increases with increasing Au content, as expected for Cu$_1$/Au$_x$ core/shell NPs with increasing Au thickness.$^{149,283}$ The Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.001}$, Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.01}$, Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.1}$ and Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.2}$ did not display any plasmon band in the UV-Vis spectrum (not shown) due to the very small amount of Au. In Figure 8.2.(A) with the higher Au concentrations, the UV-vis spectra show a more intense and red-shifted Au LSPR band with increasing Au content, consistent with the expected composition of the Cu and Au based on the synthesis conditions, but the spectra do not confirm that a Cu/Au core/shell structure formed.
Figure 8. 2. UV-Vis spectra of citrate coated Cu, Cu$_1$-Au$_2$, Cu$_1$-Au$_1$ and Cu$_1$-Au$_{0.5}$ NPs (A) and HRTEM image of Cu$_1$-Au$_2$ NPs (B, C and D)
Figure 8.2. (Frames B-D) shows high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images of core/shell cit Cu1/Au2 NPs. Size analysis of the NPs revealed an average size of 7.0 ± 0.9 nm, excluding NPs that were clearly aggregated. Assuming a core/shell structure, spherical shape, and 0.128 nm radius for Cu and 0.144 nm radius for Au, simple geometry predicts a Cu core of ~2.2 nm radius (~4.4 nm diameter, ~3700 Cu atoms) and Au shell of ~1.3 nm thick (~7400 Au atoms) for a Cu:Au 1:2 atomic ratio. That would be about 4-5 atomic layers of Au as the shell. Unfortunately, the TEM images could not directly confirm the Cu/Au core/shell structure.

8.3.2. Atomic Arrangement Determination by ASV (Core/Shell vs. Mixed Alloy).

While UV-vis and TEM images could not definitively confirm the core/shell structure, ASV offers very solid evidence of it. Figure 8.3 shows the ASV of Cu1/Au2 core/shell NPs formed by reduction of Cu first followed by Au later, which is known to have the core/shell structure (red plot) as compared to the ASV of Cu1Au2 mixed alloy NPs (blue plot), which was expected to be more mixed since it was formed by the co-reduction of Cu and Au at the same time. In the latter case, the Au is more likely to be in the core due to its more positive reduction potential compared to Cu2+. Even though the amounts of Cu and Au are the same in the two different alloys, the ASVs are very different. In the core/shell Cu1/Au2 NPs, there was one predominant peak at 0.9 V, which is consistent with the
oxidation of Au in the presence of Cl\textsuperscript{−}.\textsuperscript{68} The fact that there was not a significant oxidation peak before this peak (only a very small one at \(~0.2\ V\)) indicates that the Au formed a mostly closed, passivating shell over the Cu core, preventing oxidation of the Cu. This positive shift of Cu oxidation peak is due to the direct contact of Cu with Au, which has

![Anodic stripping voltammograms](image)

**Figure 8.3.** Anodic stripping voltammograms (ASVs) of core/shell cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{2} NPs (red plot) and mixed alloy cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}Au\textsubscript{2} NPs (blue plot) in 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution.

been reported recently by Dimitrov group\textsuperscript{251} and others.\textsuperscript{284} The peak at 0.9 V therefore includes the oxidation of both Au and Cu simultaneously. In contrast, the ASV of the Cu\textsubscript{1}Au\textsubscript{2} mixed alloy NPs showed two distinct peaks, one for Cu at 0.2 V and one for Au at 0.9 V. The integration of the Cu and Au gives a 1:2 Cu:Au
ratio, taking into account that Cu oxidizes by 2 electrons and Au oxidizes by 3 electrons. The Cu is fully oxidized separately from the Au in this case, indicating that the Cu is fully accessible and dealloyed from Au. This is expected for a CuAu mixed alloy or a reversed Au/Cu core/shell alloy, since there would be no Au shell preventing the oxidation of the Cu. In this way, the ASV provides excellent qualitative evidence of the atomic arrangement of the two different elements in the NP, confirming that we have successfully prepared the Cu/Au core/shell structure according to the literature.\textsuperscript{149-150}

8.3.3. ASV Analysis of Cu/Au Core/Shell NPs in Acidic KCl.

Figure 8.4.(A) shows ASVs versus Ag/AgCl obtained in acidic KCl solution of Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{x} core/shell NPs for x values ranging from 0 to 0.1. The ASV of pure cit-Cu NPs (x = 0) (blue plot) shows one oxidation peak at -0.1 V vs an Ag/AgCl reference electrode, which is consistent with pure Cu oxidation. For cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.001} core/shell NPs (green plot), we still observed mainly one peak for Cu at -0.1 V due to the very small amount of Au (~3-4 Au atoms per NP), but peaks also exist at 0.0, 0.35, and 0.6 V as well (Figure 8.5. (A) for expanded ASV). The signal is fairly low for these peaks and it is difficult to accurately make peak assignments, other than the -0.1 V peak, without more information. The ASV of cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.01} core/shell NPs (red plot) also shows the main peak at -0.1 V, which is broad and has a shoulder in this example, but peaks also appear at 0.25 V, 0.55 V, and 0.75 V (figure 8.5. (B) for expanded ASV).
Figure 8.4. Anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) of citrate coated Cu, Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.001}$, Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.01}$, Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.1}$ NPs (A) and Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.2}$, Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.3}$, Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.5}$, Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{1}$ and Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{2}$ NPs (B) in 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO$_{4}$ solution.
Figure 8.5. ASVs of (A) Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.001}$ and (B) Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.01}$ core/shell nanoparticles in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ (green), 0.01 M KBr + 0.1 M HClO$_4$ (blue), and 0.01 M KCl + 0.1 M HClO$_4$ (red) as indicated. The peaks labeled as Cu$^1$ or Cu$^2$ are known for sure and the peaks labeled with a number are uncertain.
Peak assignments are again difficult other than the peak at -0.1 V. Some of the multiple peaks are likely due to Cu bound to Au with different coordination number (atop, bridging, or three-fold hollow sites, for example). Other peaks could be due to oxidation of the Au that remains after dealloying the Cu, which appear at potentials well negative of bulk Au due to the small amount of Au in these alloys (3-40 Au atoms per NP). It is interesting to think about what might happen to the remaining Au atoms after Cu removal for the Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.01}$ and Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.001}$ NPs. Do the Au atoms collapse into small spherical or irregular-shaped 3-40 atom clusters? Does the Au combine with other nearby NPs to form larger NPs in some type of ripening process? Do they retain Cu atoms for added stability? Studies are ongoing to address these questions.

The ASV of Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.1}$ core/shell NPs (figure 8.4, brown plot) is quite different from the alloys with x = 0.01 and 0.001. In this case there are three clearly dominant peaks in the ASV that are assignable. The first peak at -0.1 V is due to Cu oxidation. Since this is where bare Cu NPs oxidize, we assign this peak to oxidation of Cu coordinated to other Cu atoms (labeled as Cu-Cu). The second peak is at 0.25 V. We assign this peak to Cu oxidation as well, but it is due to Cu atoms coordinated to Au atoms that are in the shell, which shifts the oxidation potential positive (labeled as Cu-Au). The third peak is a clear, easily detected Au oxidation peak at ~0.85 V (labeled as Au). The addition of more Au to the outer shell compared to x = 0.01 and 0.001 alloys led to a larger amount of Cu bonded to Au, resulting in a larger peak at 0.25 V and smaller peak at -0.1 V, and a larger,
detectable amount of Au oxidation at 0.85 V. We are confident with these peak assignments at this level of Au in the shell.

The trend of the peaks at 0.25 V and 0.85 V growing at the expense of the peak at -0.1 V continues in Figure 8.4. (B) for the Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.2}$ and Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.3}$ core/shell compositions (yellow and red plots). The Au shell oxidation peak at 0.85 V shifts slightly positive to 0.90 V due to a higher coverage of Au or larger size, which is reported by our group previously.$^{155}$ In the ASV for the Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.5}$ core/shell NPs (blue plot) the peak at -0.1 V disappears completely. A geometric calculation estimates we have about 1.5 layers of Au in the shell at this ratio. This indicates that the outer Cu atoms of the core are all bound to Au shell atoms and the more interior Cu atoms coordinated with other Cu atoms are not accessible for oxidation. This explains the loss of the peak at -0.1 V. Once the outer Cu atoms bound to Au begin to oxidize at 0.2 V, the interior Cu atoms then become accessible for oxidation through a connected network of Cu. As a result, all of the Cu atoms oxidize, but the peak oxidation is near 0.2 V, while the peak at -0.1 V is absent. This same trend occurs for the Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{1}$ core/shell NPs (green plot), where there are 2-3 layers of Au in the shell. All of the Cu becomes oxidized, but the peak potential is again near 0.2 V (no peak for bare Cu at -0.1 V). For the Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{2}$ core/shell NPs (light blue plot), the ASV just shows one dominant peak at the Au shell oxidation potential of 0.95 V. This ratio corresponds to about 4-5 layers of Au in the shell, which completely passivates the Cu core atoms, other than a very small amount shown by the small oxidation peak at 0.2 V. Once the Au shell oxidizes, the interior Cu atoms also must oxidize.$^{269-271, 282}$
We integrated the Au peak at 0.85 – 0.95 V and related it to the integrated sum of the 2 Cu peaks at -0.1-0.0 V and 0.20-0.25 V for all Cu_{1}/Au_{x} core/shell NPs, where x = 0.1 to 2.0. We determined the theoretical peak ratio of Au/Cu charge (Table 1, Column 4) from the mole ratio used in the NP synthesis and the assumption of a 3-electron oxidation for Au and 2 electron oxidation for Cu. We found that the experimental Au/Cu charge ratio matched the theoretical value for all compositions except for Cu_{1}/Au_{2} (Table 8.1, Column 2 compared to Column 4) (individual charge obtained for different composition are show in table A11-A26 (appendix)). The x = 2 alloy is inaccurate because the Au shell passivates the Cu from being oxidized separately. The charge under the Au peak is due to both Au and Cu oxidation, making them indistinguishable. The good agreement between experimental and theoretical values for x = 0.1 to 1.0 indicates that our peak assignments are correct and that ASV can be useful for accurate alloy composition analysis.
Table 8.1. Comparison of theoretical and experimental Au/Cu ratio obtained from ASV in both Br- and Cl- containing acid electrolyte solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthesis ratio</th>
<th>Au:Cu ratio obtained from ASV in Cl- containing solution</th>
<th>Au:Cu ratio obtained from ASV in Br- containing solution</th>
<th>Theoretical Au:Cu ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₂</td>
<td>14.4 ± 2</td>
<td>26.3 ± 2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₁</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₀.5</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₀.3</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₀.2</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₀.1</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.3.4. ASV Analysis of Cu/Au Core/Shell NPs in Acidic KBr.

We performed a similar set of ASV experiments for cit-Cu$_1$/Au$_x$ in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution. Figure 8.6. (A) shows ASVs versus an Ag/AgCl reference obtained in acidic KBr solution of Cu$_1$/Au$_x$ core/shell NPs for x values ranging from 0 to 0.1. The ASV of pure cit-Cu NPs (x=0) (blue plot) shows one oxidation peak at -0.1 V, which is consistent with pure Cu oxidation as we observed in acidic KCl electrolyte. For cit-Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.001}$ (green plot) and Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.01}$ (red plot) core/shell NPs, the peak at -0.1 V is dominant, but there are also an additional 2-3 smaller peaks at more positive potentials (See Figure 8.5.(A) and 8.5.(B) for expanded ASVs). These peaks are again small and difficult to assign as with the ASVs in KCl because they could be due to different coordination environments for Cu with Au or due to tiny Au NPs, or clusters, that remain after Cu removal.\textsuperscript{68, 264} In addition to be shifted more negative in KBr compared to KCl, the intensity of the smaller peaks are larger relative to the Cu peak at -0.1 V in KBr electrolyte compared to the ASV of the same composition in KCl. It is remarkable to clearly observe peaks in the ASV resulting from 3-4 Au atoms out of ~3700 Cu atoms in the NP. It is again interesting to consider what happens to the 3-4 Au atoms when x=0.001 and the 35-40 Au atoms when x=0.01 after Cu oxidation.

The ASV of Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.1}$ core/shell NPs (brown plot) in acidic KBr is similar to KCl, except that the Au oxidation peak at 0.85 V in KCl now appeared at 0.70 V in KBr and the peak is comparatively larger relative to the two Cu oxidation peaks at -0.1 and 0.25 V compared to the ASV in KCl electrolyte. This larger peak indicates that
the peak is a combination of Au and Cu oxidation. Accordingly, we assign the peak at -0.1 V to Cu oxidation for Cu atoms bound to other Cu atoms (labeled as Cu-Cu). We assign the peak at 0.25 V to Cu oxidation for Cu atoms coordinated to Au atoms (labeled as Cu-Au). Finally, we assign the peak at 0.70 V to the oxidation of Au and Cu trapped by Au (labeled at Au-Cu-Au).

**Figure 8.6.** Anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) of citrate coated Cu, Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.001}$, Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.01}$, Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.1}$ NPs (A) and Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.2}$, Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.3}$, Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.5}$, Cu$_1$/Au$_1$ and Cu$_1$/Au$_2$ (B) NPs in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution.
The trend of the peaks at 0.25 V and 0.70 V growing at the expense of the peak at -0.1 V continues in Figure 8.6.(B) for the Cu/1/Au0.2 and Cu/1/Au0.3 core/shell compositions (yellow and pink plots) and the Au shell oxidation peak at 0.70 V shifts slightly positive to 0.75 V due to a higher coverage of Au and the larger size as discussed before. In the ASV for the cit-Cu/1/Au0.5 (blue plot) and cit-Cu/1/Au1 core/shell NPs (light green plot) the peak at -0.1 V completely disappears. These results were similar to the oxidation behavior of core/shell NPs in KCl solution. Similarly, for the Cu/1/Au2 core/shell NPs (light blue plot), the ASV shows just one main peak at about 0.75 V because the Au shell mostly passivates the interior Cu core at this composition. As mentioned earlier, geometrically an Au:Cu 2:1 ratio corresponds to about 4-5 atomic layers of Au surrounding the Cu core, which is apparently the amount needed to almost fully passivate the Cu interior from oxidation. The ASV is consistent with the geometric approximation.

As mentioned, the peak integration for Au (0.70-0.75 V) related to the sum of the 2 Cu peak integrations at -0.1-0.0 V and 0.20-0.30 V did not match the theoretical Au/Cu charge ratio based on the NP synthesis ratio, assuming a 2 electron oxidation for Cu and 3 electron oxidation for Au, for any of the compositions when the ASV was performed in Br⁻ (Table 8.1, Column 3 compared to Column 4). This is in contrast to the excellent agreement in Cl⁻ electrolyte for x = 0.1 to 1.0. All of the ASV-calculated Au/Cu ratios in Br⁻ are much larger than the actual ratios based on the synthesis mole ratio. The only explanation for this is that some of the Cu oxidizes at the same time as the Au instead of both metals
oxidizing independently. This would make the area of the peak at 0.70-0.75 V larger relative to the combined areas of the peaks at -0.1-0.0 V and 0.20-0.30 V since the peak at 0.70-0.75 V would consist of both Au and Cu oxidation. This would explain the inaccurate ratios. Integrated charge of Au and Cu atoms obtained for different composition of CuAu NPs from Br⁻ and Cl⁻ electrolyte are shown in table 8.2-8.13.

8.3.5. Dealloying Mechanism of Cu/Au Core/Shell NPs in Acidic KBr.

Three possible explanations for Cu oxidizing along with Au instead of oxidizing separately are: (1) Br⁻ adsorption could cause the Au atoms to diffuse on the NP surface during initial Cu oxidation until Au formed a full shell surrounding the remaining Cu, which then does not become oxidized until the Au oxidizes at a higher potential. (2) Br⁻ could possibly form a stable complex structure bound to both Au and Cu, which makes the Cu oxidize only when the Au oxidation occurs. (3) Cu could oxidize by 1 electron to form CuBr while Au oxidizes by 3 electrons (the ratios would be closer but still too large in this case, however).

We believe the first explanation is the most plausible. There have been several studies on the potential-induced diffusion of Au atoms by Br⁻ in the literature. For example, Blizanac and co-workers reported a potential-induced hexagonal (“hex”) to (1 × 1) transition of an Au surface, which coincides with Br⁻ adsorption, and they found that the transition of the Au was faster for Br⁻ containing acid electrolyte solution. They characterized the reconstruction of an Au (100) surface by cyclic voltammetry and surface X-ray scattering (SXS) measurements.
Figure 8.7. An illustration of the surface diffusion and rearrangement of Au atoms during the initial stages of Cu dealloying in the presence of Br\textsuperscript{-} from Cu/Au core/shell NPs with incomplete or thin Au shells, which leads to a more stable Cu/Au core/shell structure with a complete, passivating Au shell.

Au atom diffusion would be less likely to occur in Cl\textsuperscript{-} containing solution since Cl\textsuperscript{-} does not adsorb as strongly to Au. Previous research on CuAu bulk alloy films showed a negative shift in the critical potential for Cu dealloying in the presence of Cl\textsuperscript{-} and Br\textsuperscript{-} (Br\textsuperscript{-} was more negative) due to enhanced diffusion of Au surface atoms, which opened up pathways for Cu oxidation.\textsuperscript{271, 287-288} With sub-10 nm diameter NPs, however, McCue \textit{et al.} showed that enhanced surface diffusion of the more
noble alloy decreases the lifetime of these surface fluctuations, which decreases the probability of dealloying, leading to greater passivation of the less noble metal. We believe the latter is occurring in our system. The more strongly bound Br⁻ ligand leads to better corrosion protection of the Cu compared to Cl⁻ or no halide due to enhanced Au surface diffusion and rearrangement, which allows a passivating Au shell to form over the remaining Cu after dissolution of some initial Cu. Figure 8.7 shows an illustration of a possible Br⁻ - induced rearrangement of the Au atoms during Cu oxidation to form a smaller Cu/Au core/shell structure that leads to passivation of some of the Cu in the alloy.

Figure 8.8.(A) shows the difference in oxidation behavior of core/shell Cit-Cu₁/Au₀.₅ NPs in Br⁻ (blue graph) and in Cl⁻ (red graph) electrolyte solution. For comparison we kept the second oxidation peak coverage similar to indicate how different the peak ratios are in Br⁻ versus Cl⁻ even though the Cu and Au composition is exactly the same in both samples. This is due to the fact that the second peak in Br⁻ includes Au oxidation and trapped Cu oxidation (labeled as Au-Cu-Au, blue plot) whereas the second peak in Cl⁻ is due to Au oxidation only (labeled as Au, red plot). To test if Br⁻ induced rearrangement of Au occurs, we stripped Cu first in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ solution up to a potential of 0.42 V on a cit-Cu₁/Au₁ core/shell NP sample, which is beyond the observed Cu oxidation peak but before the Au oxidation peak (Figure 8.8B, dashed blue scan). Next, we rinsed the same electrode thoroughly with water and dried it under N₂ before scanning
Figure 8.8. (A) ASV of core/shell cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.5} NPs in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution (blue plot) and 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution (red plot). (B) ASV of core/shell cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{1} NPs in 10 mM KBr plus 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution first (blue plot) followed by ASV of the same sample in 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO\textsubscript{4} solution second (red plot).

We found no significant peaks appearing in the Cu region in KCl electrolyte. Only the one peak at 0.92 V appeared and the large size of this peak relative to the peak near 0.2 V in the blue plot indicates that this peak also contains Au and
trapped Cu oxidation (Au-Cu-Au). We integrated the charge under the peak for Cu in Br\textsuperscript{−} electrolyte (blue plot) and the peak for Au in Cl\textsuperscript{−} containing electrolyte solution (red plot) and found that the ratio of Au/Cu was larger than the actual synthesis ratio (Au/Cu = 3.9 ± 0.4) even though the ASV on the second run was in Cl\textsuperscript{−} (integrated charge for individual experiment are shown in table 8.14 and 8.15 for cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{1} and cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.5} respectively). We performed a similar experiment with cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.5} and obtained the same result. In both samples, the calculated Au:Cu ratios were the same (too large) when ASV was performed in Br\textsuperscript{−} first followed by Cl\textsuperscript{−} as compared to performing it in Br\textsuperscript{−} only. This shows that Br\textsuperscript{−} ions assist in the rearrangement of Au atoms during the initial oxidation of the Cu near 0.2 V and that the newly formed structure remains stable and is not reversed by removing from Br\textsuperscript{−} and performing ASV in Cl\textsuperscript{−}. Once formed the structure remains stable and the remaining Cu does not oxidize until the Au oxidizes, whether stripping occurs in Br\textsuperscript{−} or Cl\textsuperscript{−}. The details of the structure are not fully understood at this time, but it is likely a Cu/Au core/shell arrangement with a passivating closed Au shell. It could also involve adsorbed Br\textsuperscript{−}, but it is not clear if the adsorbed bromide would remain on the NP surface after removal from Br\textsuperscript{−}, rinsing with water, and placing it in 10 mM Cl\textsuperscript{−}. It seems that the stability is more determined by the rearrangement of the Au during initial Cu oxidation in the presence of Br\textsuperscript{−} and once formed, the new structure is quite stable and doesn’t rely on the continued presence of Br\textsuperscript{−}. 
Table 8.2. Composition and size information on the rearranged Cu/Au NPs following initial Cu stripping in KBr electrolyte.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NP Synthesized</th>
<th>Au:Cu Ratio under the Au Oxidation Peak</th>
<th>% Cu Retained</th>
<th>Estimated Comp. Before Cu Ox.</th>
<th>Estimated Comp. After Cu Ox.</th>
<th>Core Radius After Cu Ox. (nm)</th>
<th>Shell Thickness After Cu Ox. (nm)</th>
<th>Total Radius After Cu Ox. (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₀.₁</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.06</td>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
<td>Cu₃₇₀₀Au₃₇₀</td>
<td>Cu₇₀₃Au₇₀</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.26 (0.90)</td>
<td>1.54 (2.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₀.₂</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.03</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
<td>Cu₃₇₀₀Au₇₄₀</td>
<td>Cu₁₀₃₆₆₄₀</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.38 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.84 (2.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₀.₃</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.22</td>
<td>34 ± 8</td>
<td>Cu₃₇₀₀Au₁₁₁₀</td>
<td>Cu₁₂₅₉₆₁₁₀</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.48 (1.7)</td>
<td>2.04 (2.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₀.₅</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.15</td>
<td>41 ± 5</td>
<td>Cu₃₇₀₀Au₁₈₅₀</td>
<td>Cu₁₅₁₈₆₁₈₅₀</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.66 (2.3)</td>
<td>2.32 (2.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₁</td>
<td>2.₀₀ ± 0.₀₇</td>
<td>5₀ ± 2</td>
<td>Cu₃₇₀₀Au₃₇₀₀</td>
<td>Cu₁₈₅₁₈₅₀</td>
<td>1.₇₇</td>
<td>1.₀₀ (3.₅)</td>
<td>2.₇₇ (3.₀₀)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₁/Au₂</td>
<td>2.₃₄ ± 0.₀₃</td>
<td>8₅ ± ₁</td>
<td>Cu₃₇₀₀Au₇₄₀₀</td>
<td>Cu₃₁₄₆₆₇₄₀₀</td>
<td>2.₁₁</td>
<td>1.₃₄ (4.₇)</td>
<td>3.₄₅ (3.₅₀)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To better understand the stabilized Cu/Au structure formed by Au rearrangement during initial Cu oxidation in the presence of Br⁻, we calculated the Au:Cu ratio under the Au peak at 0.7 V for the different Cu¹/Auₓ (x=0.1 to 2) compositions based on the peak integrations from the ASV and the known synthesis ratios. Table 8.2 shows the results of the calculations. The details of the calculations along with one example are in the appendix. These calculations reveal that as the amount of Au increases, the amount of Cu retained under the Au peak increases, but the overall Au:Cu ratio also increases, showing that there is actually less Cu retained per Au atom with increasing Au in the NP. In the NP with the least amount of Au (Cu¹/Au₀.₁), for example, 19% of the Cu is oxidized along with the Au, which amounts to each Au atom retaining a maximum of ~2 Cu atoms. Since the initial Cu core contained about 3700 atoms, this is an estimated NP composition of Cu⁷₀₃/Au₃₇₀ for the rearranged NP after the initial 81% Cu oxidation. This results in a ~2.6 nm diameter Cu core with about a 0.26 nm thick shell for a final diameter of ~3.1 nm (Initial diameter ~4.6 nm). A complete shell requires about 413 Au atoms based on a geometric calculation with a 703 Cu core. This is reasonably close to the 370 Au atoms available per NP (determined by ASV) to form this type of stable core/shell structure. Table 8.16 shows the Au:Cu ratio under the Au peak, the %Cu retained, the approximate compositions of the Cu/Au core/shell NPs before and after removal of the initial amount of Cu (up to 0.4 V), and the radius of the core, the shell, and overall NP after initial Cu oxidation and Au rearrangement. The number in parentheses in Column 7 is the number of Au shell layers surrounding the Cu core for the rearranged alloy structures after
initial Cu oxidation, which was determined by dividing the shell thickness by 0.288 nm. The number in parentheses in Column 8 of Table 8.16 is the initial Cu/Au core/shell NP radius based on TEM and geometric considerations. The number of Au layers ranges from 1 to 5 layers for \( x = 0.1 \) to 2.0, showing that there is enough Au to act as a shell and passivate the Cu core in all of these rearranged alloy structures. A sample calculation for the atomic composition and NP dimensions is shown below.

Based on the fact that we were able to electrochemically form a stable Cu/Au alloy NP with an Au:Cu ratio of 1:2 in the presence of Br\(^-\) for Cu\(_1\)/Au\(_{0.1}\) after initial Cu oxidation, we attempted to directly synthesize a NP with a cit-stabilized Cu\(_1\)Au\(_{0.5}\) alloy NP in the presence of Br\(^-\). The synthesis was performed the same way as the mixed alloy NPs already discussed but with the addition of 100 µL of 10 mM KBr in the solution. Figure 8.9.(A) shows the ASVs of these NPs obtained in 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) solution (to avoid electrochemical rearrangement) after attachment to glass/ITO/APTES electrodes 15 minutes (red plot) and 2 hours (blue plot) after the introduction of borohydride during the synthesis. After 15 minutes of synthesis, the ASV showed both Cu and Au oxidation peaks separately with an integrated Au:Cu ratio of 0.74 ± 0.11, which is consistent with the synthesis ratio of 0.75 (in terms of Coulombs). After 2 hours of synthesis, however, there is just primarily one peak for Au oxidation. This is consistent with the passivation of Cu by the Au via Br\(^-\) induced rearrangement of Au to form a passivating Cu/Au core/shell structure. We performed the same synthesis of cit-Cu\(_1\)Au\(_{0.5}\) NPs in the absence of Br\(^-\) and the NPs crashed out of solution fairly quickly and were not
stable. We believe that the synthesis initially leads to a large amount of Au in the
core due to its more positive reduction potential, which leaves Cu more in the shell.
In the absence of Br\textsuperscript{-}, the NPs crash out due to the instability of Cu in the shell (it’s
easy to oxidize). In the presence of Br\textsuperscript{-}, however, the NPs rearrange by Au
diffusing to the shell, leading to stable Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.5} core/shell NPs. The Br\textsuperscript{-} facilitates
Au rearrangement by adsorption to the NP surface.
Figure 8.9. (A) ASV of cit-Cu$_{1}$Au$_{0.5}$ mixed alloy NPs in 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ after 15 min (red) and 2 hour (blue) of synthesis in the presence of 100 µL of 10 mM KBr. (B) Normalized UV-Vis spectra of the same alloy cit-Cu$_{1}$Au$_{0.5}$ NPs after 15 min (red) and 2 hour (blue) of synthesis.

Figure 8.9.(B) shows the UV-vis spectra of the Cu$_{1}$Au$_{0.5}$ NP solution 15 min (red) and 2 hours (blue) after borohydride reduction. The emergence of an Au LSPR peak with time is consistent with Au diffusion from the core to the shell of the alloy NP. Halide-induced transformation of Au-containing NPs have been observed
previously and used for optical-based detection of iodide. Our results show Cu/Au alloy NP transformations occur during electrochemical Cu dealloying of Cu/Au core/shell NPs with incomplete or thin Au shells and during the synthesis of mixed CuAu alloy NPs in the presence of Br\textsuperscript{-}. This highlights the power of ASV to track these types of transformations within alloy nanostructures.

8.4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusions, we monitored the oxidation behavior of core/shell Cu/Au NPs by ASV and found consistent with the core/shell structure and varied significantly with different halides and different amounts of Au in the shell. The ASV in KCl show accurate composition analysis of Cu and Au in a Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{x} core/shell alloy NP for this range of x = 0.1 to 1.0. The ASV in KCl is therefore useful for accurate composition analysis of Cu and Au in a Cu/Au core/shell alloy NP for this range of ratios. However, in KBr solution different Cu oxidation potentials appear due to different bonding environments, but the sum integration of the Cu peaks relative to Au does not correlate with the composition due to much of the Cu being oxidized along with the Au. The amount of Cu retained with the Au depends upon the amount of Au in the shell. For the smallest amount of Au in the shell, there are \(~2\) Cu atoms retained for every 1 Au atom. Our results show rearrangement of the Au atoms in the partial or thin shells occurs by surface diffusion during the initial oxidation of Cu in KBr, which results in stable Cu/Au core/shell NP structures. While the full details of the Br\textsuperscript{-} - induced stabilization of the Cu/Au core/shell NPs is not completely understood, three important discoveries have been revealed in
this work. (1) ASV is useful to distinguish the atomic arrangement of bimetallic alloy NPs as was observed in the big difference between the ASV of Cu/Au core/shell and CuAu mixed alloy NPs with a 1:2 Cu/Au ratio. (2) ASV in the presence of KCl is a very useful analytical tool for the accurate composition analysis of Cu$_1$/Au$_x$ core/shell alloy NPs (and likely other atomic arrangements) over a wide composition range ($x = 0.1$ to $1.0$). (3) ASV is excellent for detecting and analyzing interesting NP rearrangements on the nanoscale, which in this case occurred due to the oxidation of Cu from Cu/Au core/shell NPs (with incomplete or thin Au shells) in Br$^-$ and during the chemical synthesis of mixed CuAu alloy NPs in the presence of Br$^-$. 
9.1. INTRODUCTION

Recently bimetallic AuCu NPs with different composition and structures have been extensively studied due to their tunable optical and electrochemical properties which are useful for catalytic\(^{289-290}\) and sensing\(^{291-292}\) applications. The thermal stability of NPs is one of the key factors to understand the long-term reactivity of NPs during many catalytic applications. NPs are usually synthesized or often operated at high temperatures for aforementioned applications. During this, the reactivity of NPs decreases as they undergo sintering either by Ostwald ripening\(^{76}\) or Smoluchowski ripening.\(^{8}\) Sintering leads to a significant decrease in the surface area-to-volume ratio of metal NPs which further results in a remarkable decrease in their reactivity. The sintering or ripening in metal NPs has been observed by various researchers during their thermal treatment,\(^{76}\) ozone cleaning,\(^{25}\) electrochemical cycling\(^{249}\) or by electron beam radiation on NPs.\(^{293}\) For example, Prevot and coworkers reported the Ostwald driven phase separation behavior of AuPd alloy NPs during thermal treatment.\(^{76}\) It was observed that
bimetallic AuPd NPs segregated into individual 3.5 nm radius Au-rich NPs and 25 nm radius Pd-rich NPs after thermal treatment at 873 K. Very recently, Liu and coworkers studied the fusion behavior of AgCu bimetallic NPs induced by a high energy electron beam. The fusion phenomenon of NPs was observed by the decrease of their active surface area, increase of their sintering neck radii and decrease of neck curvature. Further, the Wei group reported unidirectional diffusion of Au atoms from shell to core for bimetallic core/shell Cu/Au NPs. They found that Au atoms start to diffuse after annealing at 423 K. This change was monitored by in situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy and compared with theoretical simulations. In most cases, high vacuum techniques, such as transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) or scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) with electron dispersion spectroscopy (EDS) and X-ray absorption spectroscopy have been routinely used to characterize those changes in the NPs. However, all these techniques are expensive, time consuming and cannot provide all of the necessary information, such as stability towards oxidation.

Electrochemical methods, such as anodic particle coulometry, cyclic voltammetry or anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) are being considered as alternative approaches for analysis of NPs due to the selectivity, low-cost operation, and very short analysis time. Our group recently developed ASV for the analysis of metal NP size, aggregation state, and surface area-to-volume ratio (SA/V). Recently, we also reported the composition analysis of bimetallic
core/shell Cu/Au NPs in one ASV. In this work we demonstrate the use of ASV to characterize bimetallic AuCu NPs having different atomic arrangements, including core/shell Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.33}$, Core/shell Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_1$ and mixed Cu$_1$-Au$_{0.33}$ alloy NPs before and after thermal treatment. Bimetallic AuCu NPs have been widely studied due to their tunable optical and electronic properties and ability to form chemically ordered structures, which are very important for high-density magnetic storage devices. One important property that needs to be better understood for long-term applications is their oxidation behavior, which often plays a significant role in the stability. We believe that ASV can provide information on oxidation properties but also fundamental information regarding the atomic structure of the bimetallic NPs, since the bond strength between two different metals atoms will be different when they are arranged in different ways. The different bonding should be reflected in the measurement of their oxidation potentials.

There have been previous reports on the electrochemical characterization of bimetallic NPs. For example, Brodsky and coworkers reported migration of Au atoms from well-defined core/shell Au/Pd NPs by repetitive electrochemical oxidation-reduction cycles in cyclic voltammetry (CV). In the first CV cycle, no significant oxidation peak for surface Au atoms was observed. However, the drastic change in core/shell structure after the 3rd oxidation cycle was observed, where Au oxidation peaks appeared in higher coverage than from the 1st and 2nd cycles. However, they couldn’t observe any such changes in mixed Au-Pd NPs. This study was important to understand the difference in arrangements of bimetallic NPs. Recently, Compton and coworkers reported anodic particle
coulometry to determine the size of core/shell Au/Ag NPs.\textsuperscript{303} The size that they determined from the collision oxidation peak closely matched with the TEM determined size. This method of analysis was useful for analyzing the size of NPs but it can’t show differences between different atomic arrangements. In this work, we focused on the use of ASV to analyze the atomic arrangement and thermal stability of the arrangement for bimetallic core/shell Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.33}, Core/shell Au\textsubscript{0.33}/Cu\textsubscript{1} and mixed Cu\textsubscript{1}-Au\textsubscript{0.33} alloy NPs.

9.2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

9.2.1. Synthesis and Characterizaton of AuCu Bimetallic NPs. Bimetallic AuCu NPs with three different atomic arrangements were synthesized based on the controlled reduction of two different metal ions as discussed in the experimental sections. Figure 9.1. (A) shows the UV-vis spectra of as-synthesized citrate (cit)-stabilized bimetallic core/shell Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.33} (blue plot), core/shell Au\textsubscript{0.33}/Cu\textsubscript{1} (pink plot) and mixed Cu\textsubscript{1}-Au\textsubscript{0.33} (red plot) alloy NPs. The core/shell cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.33} and mixed cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}-Au\textsubscript{0.33} NPs do not display any localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) band in the UV-Vis region, indicating that there is an insufficient amount of Au atoms to display a noticeable absorbance. The cit-Au\textsubscript{0.33}/Cu\textsubscript{1} core/shell NPs also do not show a LSPR band, which is due to a small amount of Au but it being in the NP core. Unfortunately, The UV-Vis spectra can’t distinguish between core/shell and mixed alloy AuCu NPs. Figure 9.1.(B,C) shows transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of mixed Cu\textsubscript{1}-Au\textsubscript{0.33} alloy (B) and core/shell Au\textsubscript{0.33}/Cu\textsubscript{1} (C) NPs, revealing an average NP size of 3.4 ± 0.8 nm and 5.1 ± 1.0 nm in
diameter, respectively, for mixed Cu$_1$-Au$_{0.33}$ alloy and core/shell Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_1$ NPs. TEM also does not provide details of the atomic arrangement of Au and Cu.

Figure 9.1. (A) UV-Vis spectra of citrate stabilized core/shell Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.33}$, core/shell cit-Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_1$ and mixed Cu$_1$-Au$_{0.33}$ alloy NPs. TEM image of mixed Cu$_1$-Au$_{0.33}$ alloy (B) and core/shell cit-Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_1$ alloy (C) NPs.

9.2.2. ASV Analysis of Citrate-Stabilized AuCu Bimetallic Nanoparticles. Figure 9.2 shows ASVs of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with citrate-stabilized Cu NPs (dark green), cit-Au NPs (gray), mixed cit-Cu$_1$-Au$_{0.33}$ NPs (red), core/shell cit-Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.33}$ NPs (blue) and core/shell cit-Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_1$ NPs.
ASVs of cit-Cu and cit-Au NPs display one oxidation peak at -0.1 V (dark green plot) and 0.95 V (gray plot) vs. an Ag/AgCl reference electrode, respectively, which is consistent with the oxidation peak potential ($E_p$) of pure Cu and Au NPs reported by our group and others previously. The ASV of mixed cit-Cu$_{1}$-Au$_{0.33}$ alloy NPs (red plot) displays two distinct oxidation peaks at 0.25 V and 0.89 V (red plot). The peak at 0.25 V is due to oxidation of Cu which shifted positive of pure Cu oxidation because of Cu bonding with Au atoms (Cu-Au bonds). The oxidation peak at 0.89 V is due to the oxidation of pure Au (Au-Au bonds) following dealloying of Cu at the lower potentials, as reported by our group previously. Interestingly, the ASV of the mixed cit-Cu$_{1}$Au$_{0.33}$ does not have a peak at -0.1 V, which shows that there are no Cu atoms in the NP that are not bonded to Au atoms. Clearly having ~1 Au atom for every 3 Cu atoms allows all Cu atoms to be bonded to 1 or more Au atoms. In a face-centered cubic structure, each bulk atom has 12 atoms surrounding it and each surface atom has a maximum of 9 atoms surrounding it. With a 1:3 Au:Cu ratio, each bulk Cu would likely have at least 3 Au atoms bound to it and each surface Cu would have at least 2 Au atoms bonded to it based on the atomic ratio during synthesis and assuming that the atoms were mixed homogeneously. We believe the presence of 1 to 2 Au atoms bonded to each Cu atom causes the 2 peak signature in the ASV. The ratio of the integrated areas of the Au and Cu peaks (Au:Cu ratio) is 0.50 ± 0.10, which matches very well with the theoretical Au:Cu atomic ratio of 0.49 based on the moles of Au and Cu used during the synthesis, showing that ASV is an excellent method to determine the composition of AuCu mixed alloy bimetallic NPs.
The ASV of core/shell cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.3} NPs (Figure 9.2, blue plot) displays three distinct oxidation peaks at -0.05 V, 0.25 V and 0.89 V vs Ag/AgCl. The main difference between this ASV and that of the mixed cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}Au\textsubscript{0.33} NPs is the additional oxidation peak at -0.05 V, which is due to the oxidation of Cu atoms only bonded to other Cu atoms. With Au in the outer shell of the Cu core instead of the Au being evenly mixed throughout creating Au-Cu bonds for every Cu, there are interior core Cu atoms not bonded to Au at all. There will also be some surface Cu atoms not bonded to Au because a 0.33 relative amount of Au compared to Cu is not enough to form a complete shell of Au over the Cu core. The Au shell is only a partial shell, leaving many surface and interior Cu atoms not bonded to Au. This results in the three-peak signature in the ASV due to the Cu-Cu bond peak at -0.05 V. In addition, the peak at 0.25 V is a doublet peak instead of 1 single peak in the mixed AuCu alloy. The reasons for this are not well understood. There could be different Au-Cu sites accounting for this. The integrated peak ratio of Au:Cu for the core/shell cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.3} NPs was found to be 0.49 ± 0.11, similar to the theoretical synthesis ratio of 0.49.

The ASV of the core/shell Au\textsubscript{0.33}/Cu\textsubscript{1} NPs (Figure 9.2, pink plot) shows oxidation peaks at -0.05, 0.25 (doublet) and 0.89 V. The ASV signature of the core/shell Au\textsubscript{0.33}/Cu\textsubscript{1} NPs is very similar to the core/shell Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{0.33} NPs. The main difference is the ratio between the peak for Cu oxidation at -0.05 V relative to the Au oxidation peak at 0.95 V. With Au in the core and Cu in the shell, there are fewer Cu-Au bonding interactions and more Cu-Cu interactions as compared to Cu in the core and Au in the shell, which makes the ASV signature similar, but still
noticeably different for the cit-Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.33}$ NPs compared to the cit-Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_{1}$ NPs. This explains the larger peak at -0.5 V for Cu-Cu interactions when Cu is in the shell. The overall integrated Au:Cu peak area is still very close to the actual synthesis ratio in this ASV as it was with the other atomic arrangements.

**Figure 9.2.** ASV of citrate stabilized Cu, Au, mixed Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.33}$, core/shell Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.33}$ and core/shell Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_{1}$ alloy NPs. ASV were performed in 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution.

Figure 9.3 shows the different arrangements of Au and Cu atoms in (a) mixed Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.33}$ alloy, (b) core/shell Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_{1}$ and (c) core/shell Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.33}$ NPs. These three different possible arrangements of Cu and Au atoms are clearly reflected in our ASV signatures, where mixed Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.33}$ alloy NPs show oxidation
peaks at 0.25 V and 0.90 V corresponding to Cu and Au atoms, indicating that Au atoms are mixed evenly, and every Cu atom is bound to at least one Au atom. Core/shell NPs show three distinct oxidation peak due to three different interaction of atoms (Au-Au, Au-Cu and Cu-Cu bonds). The difference between Au/Cu core/shell and Cu/Au core/shell is due to the number of Au-Cu and Cu-Cu bonds. As illustrated, the Au/Cu core/shell has 14 Au-Cu bonds whereas the Cu/Au core/shell has 10 Au-Cu bonds. This leads to a larger peak at 0.25 V relative to the peak at -0.05 V for the Au/Cu as compared to the Cu/Au core/shell. The ASV signature of these bimetallic AuCu NPs with controlled arrangement along with the pure Au and pure Cu NPs provide good reference ASVs to probe atomic arrangements and changes in the atomic arrangement with various treatments, such as high temperature.

**Figure 9.3.** Illustration of Au and Cu atoms arrangements in (a) mixed Cu$_1$-Au$_{0.33}$ alloy, (b) Core/shell Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_1$ and (C) core/shell Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.33}$ NPs.
We next studied the thermal stability of the bimetallic AuCu NPs with the different atomic arrangements. Thermal treatment of metal NPs has been used regularly for cleaning the NPs before applications\textsuperscript{92} and also to make thin films of NPs.\textsuperscript{304} Also, NPs are often used as a catalyst under high temperature\textsuperscript{305} and gas phase conditions.\textsuperscript{225} There have been reports stating that the reactivity of bimetallic NPs decreases due to the sintering of NPs during their catalytic applications.\textsuperscript{306} Our goal here was to demonstrate the use of ASV to probe changes in atomic arrangement upon heating to better understand the thermal stability/sintering behavior of bimetallic AuCu NPs. Figure 9.4 shows the effect of thermal treatment of glass/ITO/APTES electrodes coated with mixed Cu\textsubscript{1}-Au\textsubscript{0.33} alloy NPs at temperatures of 423 K for 1 hour (blue plot), 473 K for 1 hour (pink plot), and 473 K for 16 hour (dark red plot) as compared to the untreated NPs (red plot). The ASVs of 2 nm diameter Cu NPs (green plot) and 4 nm diameter Au NPs (black plot) after heating are also shown as references for the pure metal.

The ASV of the untreated mixed cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}-Au\textsubscript{0.33} alloy NPs shows two distinct oxidation peaks at 0.25 V and 0.89 V, which corresponds to the oxidation of Cu bonded to Au and oxidation of Au after dealloying of Cu, respectively, as discussed previously. The ASV signature of mixed cit-Cu\textsubscript{1}-Au\textsubscript{0.33} alloy NPs after 1 hour of heating at 423 K does not look significantly different, other than two very broad peaks appearing in the -0.2 to 0.1 V range and a small positive shift in the Au
peak near 0.92 V. The ASV after 1 hour of heating at 473 K shows a more dramatic change, with a new peak clearly present at 0.0 V, while the original peak at 0.25 V mostly disappeared. The Au peak at 0.92 V remained the same. The new oxidation peak at 0.0 V is close to the peak oxidation for pure Cu, but is shifted positive by about 100 mV relative to the 2 nm diameter Cu NPs. This could be due to the formation of larger Cu NPs upon heating, which should oxidize at potentials more positive than -0.1 V due to the effect of size on oxidation peak potentials.\(^{64,154}\) To confirm this, we heated glass/ITO/APTES/Cu\(_{2\text{nm}}\) NPs to 473 K for 1 hour and found that the Cu oxidation peak appeared at 0.0 V, suggesting that the peak
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**Figure 9.4.** ASV of citrate stabilized Cu, Au, and mixed Cu\(_1\)-Au\(_{0.33}\) NPs before and after thermal treatment at different temperatures and times as indicated in the graph. ASVs were obtained in 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) solution.
after heating, the ASV also shows very small peaks at 0.05 V and 0.2 V, which are likely Cu oxidation peaks for Cu bonded to Au in different arrangements. The majority of the Cu oxidizes at 0.0 V, however. We integrated the individual peaks of Cu and Au and determined the Au:Cu ratio to be 0.44 ± 0.01 which closely matches with the synthesis ratio. This shows that the same amount of Au and Cu remained on the surface after heating, but the arrangement of the atoms changed as reflected in the ASV signature. We heated the glass/ITO/APTES coated with cit-Cu1-Au0.33 to 473 K for 16 hours to determine whether a longer heating time had a significant effect on the atomic arrangement of the NPs. The ASV showed a similar signature as we observed after 1 hour of heating, except that the peak at 0.0 V became larger relative to Au and a very small broad peak remained at 0.1 V. We believe the ASVs after heating indicate that Cu separates from the Au and becomes segregated into larger Cu structures or domains. This process is mostly finished after 1 hour, but small changes still occur after that at 473K.

The segregation of Cu atoms from ~5 nm diameter AuCu alloy NPs supported on TiO2 during thermal treatment at 773 K was observed by Llorca and coworkers. The segregation of Cu atoms was determined by the expansion of lattice fringes of AuCu from 2.18-2.19 to 2.19-2.20 and 2.21 Å after thermal treatment at 773 K and 873 K respectively. Very recently, the segregation of Cu atoms from ~8 nm diameter AuCu alloy NPs after thermal treatment at 523 K was reported by Zhan and coworkers. They monitored the surface segregation of Cu atoms by gradual shifting of the X-ray diffraction pattern towards low angle from
40.4° to 38.6° and 40.6° to 39.2° for the fcc and fct AuCu NPs after 1 hour at 473 K. Our ASV signature clearly confirms that segregation of Cu atoms from AuCu alloy NPs occurred at much lower temperature, which could depend on the support and other factors.

We performed a similar ASV temperature study for core/shell cit-Cu₁/Au₀.₃₃ NPs (Figure 9.5 A) and core/shell cit-Au₀.₃₃/Cu₁ NPs (Figure 9.5 B). The ASV of untreated core/shell Cu₁/Au₀.₃₃ NPs (Figure 9.5 A, red plot) shows three distinct oxidation peaks at -0.05 V, 0.25 V (doublet) and 0.89 V before heating as discussed previously. After heating at 423 K for 1 hour (Figure 9.5A), blue plot), the ASV is similar except that the peak at -0.05 V shifted positive to about 0.0 V (Cu-Cu bonds), the doublet at 0.25 V (Cu-Au bonds) split a little more, and the peak at 0.89 V (Au--Au bonds) shifted slightly positive. The small positive shift of the Cu and Au oxidation peaks indicates a small amount of sintering into larger Cu and Au NPs. It is not clear why the doublet peak splitting increased as the doublet peak in this region is not well understood, other than it is likely some form of Cu bonded to Au, possibly in different arrangements. The ASV indicates some small structural changes, but the details are not well understood. Next, we heated glass/ITO/APTES coated with core/shell Cu₁/Au₀.₃₃ NPs to 473 K for 1 hour (Figure 9.5A), pink plot). The ASV shows decent sized peaks at -0.05 V and 0.08 V, a very small broad peak at 0.25 V, and the Au oxidation peak near 0.90 V. The peak at 0.90 V is again due to the Au oxidation after Cu dealloying. The Cu oxidation peak at 0.0 V is due to large domains of Cu-Cu bonds and those at 0.08 V and 0.20 V are due to oxidation of Cu atoms bonded to Au atoms. The two different
potentials could again correspond to different Au-Cu bonding arrangements. After heating at 473K for 16 h (Figure 9.5A, maroon plot), the peak at -0.05 V increased while the peak intensity at 0.08 V decreased significantly. We calculated the ratio of the integrated area of the peak at -0.05 V to that of 0.25 before and after thermal treatment at these conditions. The ratio was 0.43 ± 0.06 before and 8.0 ± 1.2 after thermal treatment, which is an 18 fold increase. Only very small peaks existed in the 0.0 to 0.2 V range after heating. The main peak at -0.05 V again indicates the presence of larger NP domains consisting of Cu-Cu bonds. We determined the integrated Au:Cu peak ratio to be 0.50 ± 0.05, which matches with the synthesis ratio, indicating that no Au or Cu is lost during the temperature-induced atomic rearrangement.

We performed a similar ASV temperature study of core/shell Au<sub>0.33</sub>/Cu<sub>1</sub> NPs NPs (Figure 9.5B). The ASV of untreated NPs (Figure 9.5B, red plot) again shows the three oxidation peaks at -0.05 V, 0.25 V (doublet), and 0.89 V. After heating at 423 K for 1 hour (Figure 9.5B, blue plot), the peak at -0.05 V shifted negative a bit and had a shoulder peak near 0.05 V, the doublet peak turned into a singlet at about 0.30 V, and the Au peak remained unchanged. After heating at 473 K for 1 hour (Figure 9.5B, pink plot) and 16 hours (Figure 9.5B, maroon plot), the changes in the ASV were very similar to those for the Cu<sub>1</sub>/Au<sub>0.33</sub> core/shell NPs. The peaks in the 0.05 to 0.30 V range disappeared and became replaced with one main peak at 0.0 V. The peaks in the 0.05 to 0.30 V range are completely gone for the Au/Cu core/shell NPs, where small peaks still remained in this range for the Cu/Au core/shell. Since the Cu atoms appear to segregate themselves from the Au and
Figure 9.4. ASV of citrate stabilized core/shell Cu₁/Au₀.₃₃ (A) and core/shell Au₀.₃₃/Cu₁ (B) after thermal treatment at different temperature and time as indicated in the graph. ASV were performed in 10 mM KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ solution.
minimize Cu-Au bonding, this is likely easier to do when the Cu is in the shell, which is why no peaks for Au-Cu bonding remain after heating at 473 K for 16 hours. We again integrated all of the individual peaks for Cu and Au and determined the Au:Cu ratio was 0.46 ± 0.02, which is very close to the synthesis ratio, indicating no change in atom ratio during heating, only a change in arrangement. The ratio of the Cu peak at -0.05 to 0.0 V relative to 0.20 V was 1.1 ± 0.2 and 47 ± 2 before and after thermal treatment, which is a 40 fold difference. This is again due to the movement of Cu into segregated domains away from the Au.

In order to confirm our interpretation of the ASV results, we obtained transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of mixed cit-Cu1-Au0.33 alloy and core/shell cit-Au0.33/Cu1 NPs after thermal treatment at 473 K for 1 hour and compared them to images of the untreated NPs. Figure 9.6 shows the TEM images of mixed cit-Cu1-Au0.33 alloy before (Figure 9.6(A,B)) and after heating (Figure 9.6(C,D)). The images and size histograms in Figure 5C and 5D show a significant average size increase and distribution after heating. Figure 9.6A shows a fairly uniform size distribution with an average diameter of 3.5 nm. After heating, the average size of the NPs increases to 4.6 nm in diameter with high size dispersity due to significant populations of NPs near 8-9 nm, 6-7 nm, and 3 nm. Interestingly, many of the NPs, especially the larger ones, show 2 different distinct shades of grey. We attribute the dark black shade to the heavier Au atoms, which will block the electrons more effectively, and the light gray color to the lighter Cu atoms. The color difference in TEM images for bimetallic NPs has been reported
previously for the analysis of core/shell structure of bimetallic NPs. For example, Wei and coworkers reported similar color pattern for Cu-Au system as measured by HRTEM.\textsuperscript{294} Similarly, Compton and coworkers distinguish core/shell Au/Ag NPs by looking at the dark black color inside for Au atoms and lighter gray color for Ag atoms in the same NPs.\textsuperscript{303} The distinct color patterns within the larger NPs is consistent with segregation of the Cu and Au atoms, which is what the ASV results also show. In terms of the size dispersity, Granqvist and Buhrman postulated that the mechanism of ripening could be determined by measuring the size distribution of NPs in a population.\textsuperscript{79} Later, various groups validated the method to determine the ripening mechanism of metal NPs.\textsuperscript{8, 76} The bimodal, or even trimodal, distribution indicates that the NPs grew in size during heating by Smoluchowski ripening. During this growth of NPs, Au and Cu atoms segregated into distinct domains. We are not certain about the composition of the large and small NPs in the sample after heating, but the large amount of dark regions suggests the larger NPs are rich in Au, while the smaller NPs are likely rich in Cu. We also obtained the TEM images of core/shell cit-Au\textsubscript{0.33}/Cu\textsubscript{1} NPs before and after 1 hour of thermal treatment at 473 K. The TEM images show that the NPs were fairly uniform in size with an average diameter of 5.1 ± 1.0 nm. However, after thermal treatment, NPs increased in size to 8.1 ± 2.9 nm with large size dispersity due to significant populations of NPs near 8-9 nm, 10-12 nm, and 14-15 nm in diameter. (Tables 9.1-9.12 shows the individual charge obtained for indicated experiment)
Figure 9.5. TEM images of mixed cit-Cu$_{1}$-Au$_{0.33}$ alloy NPs before (A) and after (C) heating at 473 K for 1 hour. Size histograms of the NPs before (B) and after (D) heating at 473 K for 1 hour. TEM images of core/shell cit-Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_{1}$ alloy NPs before (E) and after (G) heating at 473 K for 1 hour. Size histograms of the NPs before (F) and after (G) heating at 473 K for 1 hour.
9.3. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion we report the dramatic difference in the ASV signature of bimetallic mixed cit-Cu$_1$-Au$_{0.33}$ alloy NPs as compared to core/shell cit-Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.33}$ NPs and the reverse core/shell cit-Au$_{0.33}$/Cu$_1$ NPs. ASV of mixed alloy NPs show two distinct oxidation peaks where both types of core/shell NPs show three distinct peaks. This makes it easy to distinguish mixed alloy and core/shell NPs by ASV, but also the core/shell NPs were distinguishable by the peak ratio of the two Cu oxidation peaks. The ASV signatures for the CuAu bimetallic NPs are due to the different interactions between Cu and Au atoms in the different structures. The different arrangements led to different relative amounts of Cu-Au bonding, which affected the size of the stripping peaks in the ASV associated with Cu bonded to Au. ASV is therefore highly promising as an analytical tool to determine approximate atomic arrangements within bimetallic NPs. In addition, ASV can determine the thermal stability of bimetallic CuAu NPs. The results show the onset of changes in atomic arrangement occurs around 423 K. More significant changes occur at 473 K, where upon heating for longer times the NPs sinter into populations of larger than 8-9 nm and 6-7 nm in diameter in addition to the original 3 nm diameter NPs. In addition, the Cu and Au appear to segregate from one another into specific domains, which lowers the number of Cu-Au bonds in the NPs. The sintering and atom segregation process occurred for all of the different arrangements, indicating that the final thermodynamically most stable structure was reached independent of the original NP CuAu arrangement. More work is needed to improve the use of ASV to determine both the size and atomic
arrangement for bimetallic NPs. Correlation of ASV with other analytical techniques will be useful in pushing the development further, where it has the benefits of being cheap, simple, and fast when compared to electron microscopy and x-ray spectroscopy methods.
CHAPTER X
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

10.1 SUMMARY

This dissertation describes research focused on 1) the use of ASV for the characterization of size and aggregation state of Au NPs and the characterization of composition and atomic arrangement of various CuAu bimetallic alloy NPs and 2) the use of ASV and electrochemical SA/V measurements to study interesting size-dependent NP reactivity related to stability and catalysis. This includes size stability in response to ozone, heat, and electrochemical potential (Ostwald ripening). It also includes the interesting size-dependent exchange of Au with Ag⁺, unique rearrangements of CuAu upon dealloying in the presence of bromide (but not chloride), and the electrocatalytic activity of 1.6 nm Au NPs towards HER and CO₂ reduction, but not other Au NPs sizes. All of these studies involved electrode-attached Au or CuAu NPs that would be used in electrochemical applications, including electrocatalysis, electrochemical sensing, or electrochemical energy.

One of the main goals of this research was to extend our use of ASV for Au NPs size analysis to Au NPs below 2 nm diameter. Accordingly, we measured the ASVs of electrode-attached-a 1.6 nm diameter THPC-stabilized and 0.9 nm
diameter TPPS-stabilized Au NPs, determining that their peak oxidation potential 
($E_p$) was at 0.45 V and 0.20 V (vs. Ag/AgCl reference electrode), respectively, in 
KBr electrolyte. The $E_p$ values are in line with the trend of previously-measured 2 
nm to 250 nm diameter Au NPs and showed very good agreement between Plieth 
theory and the TEM measured diameters. This indicates the potential of ASV to 
determine the size of very small metal NPs directly on electrode surfaces, which is 
very difficult on commonly used electrodes using microscopy or other methods.

Also in the area of NP characterization, we determined the effect of different 
aggregation structures on the $E_p$ of 15 nm diameter citrate-stabilized Au NPs. The 
Au NPs aggregated at low pH showed and $E_p$ value of 0.90 V while it appeared at 
0.77 V when aggregated by THPC. UV-vis spectra showed that both pH and THPC 
led to a high degree of aggregation. Electrochemical SA/V measurements showed 
a much higher SA/V for the THPC aggregated Au NPs when compared to the pH 
aggregated NPs. The higher SA/V explains the lower $E_p$ value, showing that SA/V 
is more important in determining the $E_p$ value than the NP size or curvature, since 
both aggregates are comprised of the same NPs. TEM images provide additional 
insight, showing that the aggregation of NPs by acid formed 3-dimensionsional 
structures that were highly fused, while the THPC-aggregated Au NPs formed 
linear 1D aggregates or planar 2D aggregates that had spacing between the NPs. 
The lack of 3D arrangement and fusion allowed the latter aggregates to retain their 
high SA/V and the same $E_p$ value of individual non-aggregated 15 nm diameter Au 
NPs. These findings clearly show that the ASV signature is highly sensitive
towards size, but also the details of the aggregate structure. This provides additional details, especially when combined with spectroscopy data.

Our last work in the area of characterization involved the use of ASV for the composition analysis and analysis of atomic arrangement of different bimetallic citrate-stabilized CuAu NPs. The composition analysis was straightforward by measuring the peak area of Cu oxidation peaks and Au oxidation peaks in one ASV for citrate-stabilized core/shell Cu$_1$/Au$_x$ NPs when $x$ was 0.1-1.0 and the ASV was obtained in KCl electrolyte. Higher $x$ led to blocking of Cu oxidation and lower $x$ made it difficult to detect the Au. KBr electrolyte did not work due to Cu stabilization from mobile Au atoms during dealloying. ASV also allowed us to distinguish between citrate-stabilized Au/Cu core/shell, Cu/Au core/shell, and CuAu mixed alloy NPs from the location and relative intensities of the Cu and Au oxidation peaks in KCl electrolyte. The different ASV signatures are due to different number of Au-Au, Au-Cu, and Cu-Cu bonds in the NPs with the different arrangements, which have $E_p$ values of about 0.90 V, 0.25 V, and -0.1 V, respectively. CuAu mixed alloys have the largest proportion of Au-Cu bonds and exhibit no Cu-Cu bonding peak. Cu/Au core/shell and Au/Cu core/shell NPs both have a noticeable peak Cu-Cu and Cu-Au bonds, but the relative amount of Cu-Cu bonds is greater when Cu is in the shell as compared to being in the core, making these two arrangements distinguishable as well. ASV is much easier than x-ray absorption methods, such as EXAFS and NEXAFS, which require synchrotron radiation sources, although they offer better information about the bonding geometry of the metals in the NPs.
We also demonstrated the stability of weak stabilizer (THPC, TPPS) coated 1.6 and 0.9 nm diameter Au NPs using ASV. Our findings show that THPC stabilized 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs are very reactive for CO$_2$ reduction and show 200 mV lower over potential than literature reported value for similar sized Au NPs. Also, THPC stabilized 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs were found to be very active for hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) as compared to Cit Au$_{4\text{nm}}$ NPs. On the other hand, 0.9 nm diameter Au NPs were found to be less reactive for catalytic applications. Interestingly it was found that both 1.6 and 0.9 nm diameter Au NPs are unstable during oxidation reduction cycle, ozone treatment and during potential controlled ripening at lower potential than that of NPs actual oxidation peak potential. With just only one oxidation-reduction cycle they converted to 4-8 nm in diameter. Similarly, one min of ozone cleaning or potential holding in Br$^-$ containing acidic electrolyte solution increased their size to 4-10 nm in diameter. Interestingly, under all these conditions citrate stabilized 4 nm diameter Au NPs were found to very stable, indicating that small size NPs are very unstable despite having potential application in the field of catalysis and sensing. Additionally, TPPS stabilized 0.9 nm diameter Au show very less stability in acidic environments and are converted to 4 or higher than 4 nm diameter Au NPs but THPC stabilized 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs were found to be stable in both acidic and basic environment, indicating that ligand play a significant role for the NPs stability. Also, during the thiol exchange reaction, TPPS Au$_{1\text{nm}}$ NPs were found to be completely dissolved but THPC Au$_{2\text{nm}}$ were stable and shows oxidation peak in ASV. This indicated that 1.6
nm Au NPs are more stable as compared to 0.9 nm diameter Au NPs during thiol exchange reactions.

Finally, we demonstrated the electrochemical Ostwald ripening rate of different sized Au NPs under potential control in acidic KBr electrolyte. The rate of ripening was measured by the monitoring the ratio of electroactive surface area (SA) to total volume changes as a function of ripening time, ripening potential and NPs size. SA/V was then converted to NPs diameter and compared that diameter with the microscopically determined size of NPs. We found that 1.6 nm sized Au NPs show higher rate of Ostwald ripening as compared to 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs under similar reaction conditions due to the earlier oxidation of 1.6 nm diameter Au as compared to the other two sizes. Also, mixture of 4 & 15 nm diameter Au NPs show higher rate of ripening than individual metal NPs. Coverage of NPs has a significant effect on their ripening and rate was found to be increased with the increase of NPs coverage on the electrode surface.

We also used alloy composition analysis by ASV to study the very unique anti-galvanic exchange between sub 2 nm diameter Au NPs and Ag$^+$ ions, which is thermodynamically forbidden for larger sized Au NPs. The ASV of 0.9 nm dimeter Au NPs after exchange with Ag$^+$ showed ~100% exchange (complete absence of an Au oxidation peak) while 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs showed 50-60% Ag after exchange (presence of both Ag and Au oxidation peaks). In contrast, the ASV of 4 nm diameter Au NPs showed no measurable exchange with Ag$^+$ ions (complete absence of Ag oxidation peak, only Au peak). These results were further confirmed by STEM EDS composition analysis, which showed that 4 nm
diameter Au NPs had \(~10\%\) Ag following exchange, while 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs were \(\sim90\%\) Ag following exchange under the same conditions. The numbers were a bit higher for STEM EDS compared to the ASV analysis but the trend was the same.

All of these studies clearly demonstrate that ASV is a powerful analytical tool for determining the size, composition, aggregate structure, and atomic arrangement of single metal or bimetallic NPs. Furthermore, it can be used to track the NP size to learn about the size stability towards ozone, temperature, and electrochemical potential (Ostwald ripening). ASV can also be useful to track the NP composition to monitor temperature-induced segregation in bimetallic CuAu alloy NPs, interesting atomic rearrangements during dealloying, and unique galvanic exchange reactions with small Au NPs that are thermodynamically forbidden with larger sizes. We also described the high electrocatalytic activity for CO\(_2\) reduction and HER that is specific for the 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs, but not larger or smaller sizes. An important aspect of this work is that these metal NPs are formed with weak stabilizers and the characterization is for processes occurring directly on electrode surfaces.

10.2. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future project should include the size stability study of even more atomically precise Au clusters having atomic numbers of Au\(_1\), Au\(_6\) and Au\(_8\). Based on the theoretical prediction of Plieth, the \(E_p\) of these cluster should be below 0.0 V vs Ag/AgCl. Characterization techniques for these clusters require sophisticated
techniques such as mass spectrometry or HRTEM. However electrochemical characterization of these clusters will be very straightforward to determine their size based on $E_p$ values. The synthesis of Au$_6$ and Au$_8$ by a phosphine stabilizer is available in the literature. It will be interesting to understand the stability of these clusters during various electrochemical and chemical treatments, such as ozone treatment, thermal treatment, and Ostwald ripening.

Au clusters 1-2 nm in diameter are very active for catalytic CO and NO oxidation based on the literature. Different groups reported CO oxidation with thiol-stabilized Au clusters. Thiols are considered as a strong protecting ligand and usually most of the Au surface is blocked by thiols. Since, we were able to successfully synthesize phosphine-stabilized 1-2 nm diameter Au NPs and attach them to electrode surfaces, we should expect higher catalytic activity for CO oxidation since the phosphine stabilizer is a more open molecular structure.

In our study we found that ozone causes an increase in the size of 1-2 nm diameter phosphine-coated Au clusters within 1 minute. We passed direct ozone on the glass/ITO/APTES attached Au clusters with no control of the amount of ozone. In future it will be useful to understand the effect of the amount of ozone on the ripening by diluting with inert gases, like N$_2$ or Ar and removing any trace water. The goal would be to find the best condition where the NP size does not change but ozone removes the stabilizer ligand in order to create small, highly active metal catalysts. This study will be very important because naked Au clusters should show better catalytic and sensing reactivity as compared to ligand-protected Au cluster of similar size. Another important study of phosphine-coated clusters will be the
design of a new stabilizer to replace the phosphine ligand without changing the cluster size. Existing ligands, like thiols or polymers, are not suitable for exposing the maximum surface of Au clusters due to the blocking of Au with these ligands.

We explored the Ostwald ripening of 1.6, 4 and 15 nm diameter Au NPs under potential control. The rate of Ostwald ripening was found to increase with a decrease in Au NPs size. In the future I would like to continue this research with 1 nm diameter Au NPs. Based on our findings 1 nm diameter Au NPs should show an even higher rate of ripening as compared to 2 nm diameter Au NPs under similar reaction conditions. Also, it will be useful to monitor other parameters for Ostwald ripening, such as temperature, concentration of KBr, or the effect of different stabilizer ligands on the ripening behavior of metal NPs. This will be useful in order to avoid Ostwald ripening, which leads to the detrimental effect of forming larger NPs with lower NP density.

Chapter VIII describes the electrochemical characterization of core/shell bimetallic NPs, providing a lot of information about the potential-induced migration of surface Au atoms during ASV in acidic KBr electrolyte. In the future, I would like to continue this work with in-situ electrochemical TEM. I will start the potential scan from -0.4 V in acidic KBr and monitor the NP size and shape evolution during the stripping of Cu. In chapter VII, we studied the effect of thermal treatment on Cu$_1$Au$_{0.3}$ NPs. ASV showed that after 1 hour at 200 oC, the oxidation peak of Cu was negatively-shifted from 0.2 V to -0.1 V, which indicated that Cu became segregated during the thermal treatment. In the future we want to understand this process of nanostructure shape change using in-situ TEM. Lastly, our composition
analysis of bimetallic nanostructures could be extended to other bimetallic structures, such as AuPd and AuNi. Understanding the composition and atomic arrangement of these bimetallic nanostructures would be useful since they show better electrocatalytic performance for the oxygen reduction reaction and HER.

There are clearly many possibilities for further developing ASV for size and atomic arrangement analysis and using ASV to monitor and understand processes that lead to size, composition, and atomic rearrangement for electrode-attached NPs. The technique is not envisioned to replace electron microscopy and spectroscopic analysis, but it can serve as a complementary technique. It can be especially useful for the analysis of very small metal NPs attached to electrodes and as a quick screening method to determine if something interesting occurs on the electrode before further analysis by other microscopic and spectroscopic methods. The analysis should be very useful for characterizing electrode-attached metal NPs used in electrocatalysis, electrochemical sensing, and energy applications.
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Sample calculation for determining the amount of Cu under the Au peak from ASV.

Below is the calculation of the amount of Au and Cu in the alloys, including the amount of Cu that dealloyed separately from Au compared to the Cu that oxidized with the Au. This is for Cu1/Aux core/shell nanoparticles oxidized (stripped) in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO4 electrolyte and is based on the integrated peak charge in Coulombs for the Au and Cu oxidation peaks and the known ratio of Au and Cu used in the synthesis.

Cu1/Aux is the ratio used during the synthesis.

x = the amount of Au relative to the amount of Cu.

Q_{Cu\text{sep}} = the integrated charge of Cu from the well-separated Cu peaks that appeared negative of Au oxidation. (Peaks Cu^1+Cu^2)

Q_{Au+Cu} = the integrated charge due to Au and Cu oxidation that occurred at the Au oxidation potential. (Peak Au^1)

Q_{Cu\text{withAu}} = the integrated charge due to Cu that oxidized along with Au at the Au oxidation potential. (Part of Au^1 that is due to Cu oxidation)
Au/Cu = x

\[
\frac{[QAu+Cu - QCu(withAu)]/3}{[QCu(sep) + QCu(withAu)/2]} = x
\]

\[
\frac{2QAu+Cu - 2QCu(withAu)}{3QCu(sep) + 3QCu(withAu)} = x
\]

\[
2QAu+Cu - 2QCu(withAu) = 3xQCu(sep) + 3xCuAu
\]

\[
(3x+2)QCu(withAu) = 2QAu+Cu - 3xQCu(sep)
\]

\[
QCu(withAu) = \frac{(2QAu+Cu - 3xQCu(sep))}{(3x+2)}
\]

This gives the charge of Cu underneath the Au peak in terms of the known synthesis ratio x and known values from the ASVs (Cu\textsuperscript{1}, Cu\textsuperscript{2}, and Au\textsuperscript{1}). The remaining amount of charge under the Au\textsuperscript{1} peak is due to Au oxidation. The following equation allows one to convert the Q to moles of Cu and moles of Au as follows:

\[Q/nF, \text{ where } n = \text{number of electrons in the oxidation and } F \text{ is Faraday’s constant.} \]

**Example with Values:** Cu\textsubscript{1}/Au\textsubscript{1} core/shell. This shows how we calculated the values in Table 2 of main paper.

Q under the Au oxidation peak = \(QAu+Cu = 6.43 \times 10^{-4}\) Coulombs

Sum of the Q from all Cu oxidation peaks = \(QCu(sep) = 1.60 \times 10^{-4}\) Coulombs
\[ x = 1 \]

\[ Q_{\text{Cu(with Au)}} = \frac{(2Q_{\text{Au+Cu}} - 3xQ_{\text{Cu(sep)}})}{(3x+2)} \]

\[ Q_{\text{Cu(with Au)}} = \frac{(2(6.43 \times 10^{-4}) - 3(1.60 \times 10^{-4}))}{5} \]

\[ = \frac{(1.286 \times 10^{-3} - 4.80 \times 10^{-4})}{5} \]

\[ = 1.61 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Coulombs} \]

Total Mole Au = \( \frac{(6.43 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Coulombs} - 1.61 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Coulombs})}{(3 \times 96500)} = 1.66 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mole Au} \)

Total Mole Cu = \( \frac{(1.61 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Coulombs} + 1.60 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Coulombs})}{(2 \times 96500)} = 1.66 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mole Cu} \)

Mole Cu under Au = \( \frac{1.61 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Coulombs}}{2 \times 96500} = 8.34 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mole Cu} \)

Au/Cu ratio under Au = \( \frac{1.66 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mole}}{8.34 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mole}} = 1.99 \)

% of Cu retained by Au = \( \frac{8.34 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mole}}{1.66 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mole}} \times 100\% = 50.2\% \)

**Calculation of NP Composition and Size Before and After Cu Dealloying and Rearrangement**

\( \text{Cu}_{1/\text{Au}_{0.5}} \) core/shell alloy NP

The Cu core radius was determined to be 2.23 nm based on the average 3.5 nm core/shell radius of the \( \text{Cu}_{1/\text{Au}_{2}} \) alloy NP determined by TEM.
Using 0.128 nm radius for Cu, we obtain 0.00878 nm$^3$ for the volume of a Cu atom based on volume of a sphere ($4/3\pi r^3$).

Using 0.144 nm radius for Au, we obtain 0.01250 nm$^3$ for the volume of an Au atom based on volume of a sphere ($4/3\pi r^3$).

A 2.23 nm radius NP with 70% packing efficiency leads to 3700 Cu atoms in the Cu core.

A total radius of 3.50 nm (2.23 nm core + 1.27 nm shell) leads to 7400 Au atoms, giving a Cu$_1$/Au$_2$ ratio and composition of Cu$_{3700}$/Au$_{7400}$.

For Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.5}$, the initial composition is Cu$_{3700}$/Au$_{1850}$ based on the Cu$_1$/Au$_2$ composition.

We determined that 41% of the Cu is retained for the Cu$_1$/Au$_{0.5}$ NP after initial Cu oxidation, which is equal to:

$$3700 \times 0.41 = 1518 \text{ Cu atoms left initial oxidation}$$

That gives a composition of Cu$_{1518}$/Au$_{1850}$ after initial Cu oxidation up to 0.42 V.

The core volume would be as follows, assuming 70% packing efficiency:

$$V_{\text{Cu core}} = (V_{\text{Cu atom}} \times 1518)/0.7 = (0.00878 \text{ nm}^3 \times 1518)/0.7 = 19.04 \text{ nm}^3$$

We can then solve for radius in terms of volume as follows:

$$r (1518 \text{ Cu atoms}) = (3V/4\pi)^{1/3} = [(3 \times 19.04)/4\pi]^{1/3} = 1.66 \text{ nm Cu core radius after initial ox.}$$
To get the shell thickness we have to determine the volume of the Au from the number of atoms. This would be:

\[ V_{1850 \text{ Au atoms}} = \left( V_{\text{Au atom}} \times 1850 \right) / 0.7 = (0.01250 \text{ nm}^3 \times 1850) / 0.7 = 33.05 \text{ nm}^3 \]

The total volume of the NP is then:

\[ V_T = V_{1850 \text{ Au atoms}} + V_{1518 \text{ Cu core}} = 33.05 \text{ nm}^3 + 19.04 \text{ nm}^3 = 52.09 \text{ nm}^3 \]

The radius of the rearranged NP is then:

\[ r = \left( \frac{3V}{4\pi} \right)^{1/3} = \left[ \left(3 \times 52.09\right) / 4\pi \right]^{1/3} = 2.32 \text{ nm Cu/Au core/shell NP radius} \]

1.66 nm radius Cu_{1518} core and 0.66 nm thick Au_{1850} shell = 2.32 nm radius core/shell NP

0.66 nm / 0.288 nm = 2.3 atomic Au layers

The original Cu_{1}/Au_{0.5} core/shell NP was:

2.23 nm radius Cu_{3700} core

\[ V_{\text{Cu}_{3700}} = \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \right) = \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi \right) (2.23 \text{ nm})^3 = 46.45 \text{ nm}^3 \]

\[ V_T = V_{1850 \text{ Au atoms}} + V_{3700 \text{ Cu core}} = 33.05 \text{ nm}^3 + 46.45 \text{ nm}^3 = 79.50 \text{ nm}^3 \]

\[ r = \left( \frac{3V}{4\pi} \right)^{1/3} = \left[ \left(3 \times 79.50\right) / 4\pi \right]^{1/3} = 2.67 \text{ nm Cu}_{3700}/\text{Au}_{1850} \text{ core/shell NP radius} \]

2.23 nm Cu_{3700} core plus 0.44 nm thick Au_{1850} shell = 2.67 nm Cu_{3700}/Au_{1850} core/shell NP

0.44 nm / 0.288 nm = 1.5 atomic Au layers.
The NP goes from a 2.67 nm radius (5.4 nm diameter) Cu_{3700}/Au_{1850} NP to a 2.32 nm radius (4.6 nm diameter) Cu_{1518}/Au_{1850} NP after 41% Cu oxidation and Au rearrangement.
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Table A1. SA/V for 15 nm diameter Au NPs before and after ripening at 0.0 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial #</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald ripening (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.46 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.80 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.67 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.42 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.02 x 10^-5</td>
<td>4.39 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.05 x 10^-5</td>
<td>4.25 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.91 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.15 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.76 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.56 x 10^-6</td>
<td>6.75 x 10^-6</td>
<td>2.82 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.57 x 10^-6</td>
<td>6.38 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.18 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.08 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.54 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.24 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.61 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.20 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.58 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.35 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.84 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.86 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.48 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.73 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.88 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.67 x 10^-6</td>
<td>5.91 x 10^-6</td>
<td>2.84 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>9.64 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.13 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.57 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8.21 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.20 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.60 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.65 x 10^-6</td>
<td>6.19 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.25 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.20 x 10^-6</td>
<td>6.51 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.25 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7.37 x 10^-6</td>
<td>6.23 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.27 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9.38 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.01 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.73 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7.81 x 10^-6</td>
<td>7.10 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.45 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9.12 x 10^-6</td>
<td>6.30 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.18 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A2. SA/V ratio for 15 nm diameter Au NPs before and after ripening at 0.3 V.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald ripping (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.46 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.80 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.67 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.42 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.02 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.39 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.05 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.25 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.84 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.34 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.36 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.01 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.86 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.04 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.02 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.61 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.05 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.64 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>7.82 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.79 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>22.3 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8.46 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>7.03 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.22 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>28.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8.95 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.42 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.45 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>28.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.20 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.00 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.68 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>28.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6.02 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.66 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.83 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>28.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8.65 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.17 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.81 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>33.1 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.67 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.17 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.84 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>33.1 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.92 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.95 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.20 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>33.1 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.65 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.76 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.96 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>33.1 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9.44 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.84 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.10 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.3 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7.68 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.67 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.24 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>40.3 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7.74 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.63 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.20 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>40.3 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9.53 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.45 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.01 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>40.3 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A3. SA/V ratio for 15 nm diameter Au NPs before and after ripening at 0.45 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald rippling (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.46 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.80 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.67 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.42 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.02 x 10^-6</td>
<td>4.39 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.05 x 10^-6</td>
<td>4.25 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.20 x 10^-6</td>
<td>4.22 x 10^-6</td>
<td>2.46 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.98 x 10^-6</td>
<td>5.32 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.32 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.81 x 10^-6</td>
<td>6.41 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.08 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.83 x 10^-6</td>
<td>6.06 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.55 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.0 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6.75 x 10^-6</td>
<td>4.95 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.52 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.66 x 10^-6</td>
<td>4.68 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.42 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.20 x 10^-6</td>
<td>4.98 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.35 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6.16 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.86 x 10^-6</td>
<td>2.68 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6.58 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.99 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.29 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.00 x 10^-6</td>
<td>4.18 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.34 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7.24 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.76 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.38 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>9.20 x 10^-6</td>
<td>4.36 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.55 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43.5 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>8.08 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.21 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.71 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.52 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.51 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.39 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.74 x 10^-6</td>
<td>2.78 x 10^-6</td>
<td>2.71 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>8.09 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.41 x 10^-6</td>
<td>3.42 x 10^-5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60.0 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A4. SA/V determination of 4 nm diameter Au NPs after ripening at 0.0 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald rippling (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.77 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.70 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.01 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.10 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.30 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.08 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.15 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.94 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.17 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.52 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.25 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.73 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.15 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.94 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.17 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.71 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.47 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.01 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.65 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.46 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.15 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.40 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.04 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.40 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.73 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.54 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.61 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.17 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.15 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.15 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.03 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.30 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.10 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.77 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.95 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.59 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.08 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.62 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.64 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.07 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.92 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.72 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.58 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.96 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.66 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.14 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.31 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.96 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.80 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.94 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A5. SA/V determination of 4 nm diameter Au NPs after ripening at 0.3 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald ripping (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.77 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.70 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.01 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.10 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.30 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.08 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.48 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.43 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.93 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.30 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.28 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.15 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.86 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.61 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.56 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.41 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.27 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.59 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.10 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.96 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.50 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.66 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.43 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.98 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.81 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.03 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.03 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.58 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.70 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.21 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.47 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.32 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.52 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.50 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.23 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.57 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.58 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.00 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.63 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.47 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.86 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.07 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.11 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.44 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.18 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.10 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.85 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.1 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.38 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>8.99 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.03 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.66 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.22 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.67 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table A6.** SA/V determination of 4 nm diameter Au NPs after ripening at 0.45 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald rippling (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.77 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.70 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.01 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.10 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.30 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.08 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.90 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.31 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.86 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.45 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.13 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.65 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.21 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.85 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.71 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.23 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.07 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.65 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.00 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.20 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.14 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.22 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.68 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>7.19 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.12 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.94 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.17 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.38 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.81 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.84 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.10 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.77 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>6.16 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.91 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.62 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.62 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.85 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.67 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>6.48 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.52 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.65 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.15 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.70 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.79 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.91 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.85 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.41 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.70 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.44 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.21 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.00 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.39 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A7. SA/V determination of 1.6 nm diameter Au NPs after ripening at 0.3 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald ripping (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.85 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.54 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.36 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.64 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.40 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.98 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>8.11 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.55 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.45 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.48 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.40 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.40 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.67 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.37 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.53 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.61 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.93 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.65 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.59 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.45 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.67 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.94 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.85 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.83 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.20 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.41 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.97 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.38 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.98 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.45 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.19 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.73 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.40 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.98 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.62 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.68 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.23 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.25 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A8. SA/V determination of 1:3 mixture of 4:15 nm diameter Au NPs at 0.3 V.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald ripping (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.11 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.10 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.45 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.70 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.61 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.45 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.41 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.16 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.73 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.01 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>7.73 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.93 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.12 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>6.91 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.89 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.23 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.72 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.35 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8.61 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.22 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.59 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.23 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.12 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.60 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.54 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.0 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.21 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8.63 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.75 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.28 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.01 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.93 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.64 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8.40 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.43 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.23 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9.50 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.19 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.50 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>149.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.02 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.29 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.33 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.02 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.29 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.33 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A9. SA/V determination of lower coverage 15 nm diameter Au NPs at 0.3 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald ripping (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Diameter (final)/Diameter (initial)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.35 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.25 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>$19.2 \pm 2.0$</td>
<td>$1.0 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.17 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$5.70 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.69 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.90 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$1.33 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.23 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.77 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$1.47 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$23.7 \pm 0.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$1.41 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.19 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.16 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>$1.28 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7.44 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5.15 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>$1.27 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.02 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.65 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>$1.16 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$9.20 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5.60 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A10. SA/V determination of higher coverage 15 nm diameter Au NPs at 0.3 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of trial</th>
<th>Time of Ostwald ripping (min)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (before Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au oxide reduction charge in CV (after Ost.) (C)</th>
<th>Au stripping charge in ASV (total volume) (C)</th>
<th>Surface area (SA)/Volume (after Ost.) (V)</th>
<th>Calculated NP diameter based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Average diameter of NPs based on cal. curve (nm)</th>
<th>Diameter (final)/Diameter (initial)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.20 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.20 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>25.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>25.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.96 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.41 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.38 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.00 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.40 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.94 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.16 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>74.8 ± 11.2</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.16 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.58 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.02 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3.24 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.82 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.01 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.44 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.33 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.17 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>158.8</td>
<td>185.4 ± 31.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3.46 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.18 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.14 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>220.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4.41 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.25 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2.12 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>177.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table A11.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₂ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.54 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.27 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.18 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>9.07 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>24.03</td>
<td>26 ± 2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09 x 10⁻³ C</td>
<td>3.90 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>27.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.23 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>4.84 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>25.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A12.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₂ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.78 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.42 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.83 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>5.71 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.26 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.01 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.20 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>5.34 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>15.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A13.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₁ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.99 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.40 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.43 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.60 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.40 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>2.14 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.31 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.12 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A14.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₁ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.
Table A15. Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₅ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.54 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>9.30 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.68 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.25 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.38 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.47 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.87 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.17 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A16. Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₅ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.18 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.41 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.09 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.21 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.81 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.52 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.52 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.63 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A17. Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₃ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.69 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.15 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>6.50 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.21 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>2.10 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>1.90 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Cu⁺</td>
<td>Cu³</td>
<td>Au/Cu</td>
<td>Average Au/Cu</td>
<td>Theoretical Au/Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.53 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.57 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.24 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.62 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>5.20 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>6.65 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.76 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>8.60 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>6.68 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.55 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.22 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>2.04 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A18.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₃ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu⁺</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.03 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>3.38 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>1.90 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.26 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>3.44 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.37 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.39 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>3.65 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.34 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.28 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>3.30 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A19.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₂ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

**Table A20.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₂ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu¹</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.72 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>9.09 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>8.36 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.69 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>1.36 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>7.58 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.46 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>1.53 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>9.80 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.98 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>1.43 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>9.20 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A21.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₁ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu¹</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.14 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>4.14 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>7.76 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.66 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>4.66 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>8.55 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.67 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>1.60 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>3.16 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.66 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>1.38 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>3.21 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A22.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₁ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.
Table A23. Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₀₁ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cu¹</th>
<th>Cu²</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>8.58 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>2.71 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.01 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>1.41 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.05 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>3.39 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.57 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>2.79 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.21 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>2.06 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>6.37 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>2.49 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.61 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.03 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>5.21 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>2.15 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A24. Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₀₁ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au¹</th>
<th>Au²</th>
<th>Cu¹</th>
<th>Cu³</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.43 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>2.38 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.15 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.28 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.23 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.08 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.74 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.44 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.44 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.09 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.19 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.59 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.36 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.90 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>6.95 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>3.68 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A25. Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₀₀₁ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cu¹</th>
<th>Cu²</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average of Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>9.40 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>1.65 x 10⁻⁴ C</td>
<td>1.59 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.31 x 10⁻⁶ C</td>
<td>9.02 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>2.01 x 10⁻⁵ C</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

298
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au1</th>
<th>Au2</th>
<th>Cu1</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average of Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.07 x 10^{-6} C</td>
<td>8.92 x 10^{-7} C</td>
<td>1.03 x 10^{-4} C</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.29 x 10^{-7} C</td>
<td>1.36 x 10^{-6} C</td>
<td>1.23 x 10^{-4} C</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.70 x 10^{-6} C</td>
<td>8.50 x 10^{-7} C</td>
<td>7.29 x 10^{-5} C</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.95 x 10^{-6} C</td>
<td>1.61 x 10^{-6} C</td>
<td>1.60 x 10^{-4} C</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A26.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ electrolyte to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au₀.₀₀₁ core/shell alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Cu³ (from Br⁻)</th>
<th>Cu³ (from Cl⁻)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.83 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.96 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.38 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.68 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.05 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.29 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.21 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.91 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.99 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.06 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>1.61 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>5.38 x 10^{-7}</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A27.** Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu₁/Au¹ core/shell alloy nanoparticles by first stripping in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO₄ solution from -0.2 to 0.4 V and then stripping the same electrode in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO₄ solution from -0.2 to 1.6 V.
Table A28. Integrated charge under the stripping peaks of Au and Cu to calculate the Au to Cu ratio of Cu$_{1}$/Au$_{0.5}$ core/shell alloy nanoparticles by first stripping in 0.01 M KBr plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution from -0.2 to 0.4 V and then stripping the same electrode in 0.01 M KCl plus 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution from -0.2 to 1.6 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cu$^3$ (from Br$^-$)</th>
<th>Cu$^3$ (from Cl$^-$)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.33 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.17 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.44 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.07 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.11 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>4.33 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.62 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.27 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.19 x 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.69 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.21 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.66 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A29: Integreated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl$^-$ containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of mixed Cu$_3$.Au$_1$ alloy NPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu$^2$ (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.52 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>6.92 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.74 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>7.82 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.26 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>2.05 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A30: Integreated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl$^-$ containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of mixed Cu$_3$.Au$_1$ alloy NPs after 1 h thermal treatment at 423 °K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu$^2$ (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.52 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>2.60 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.21 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.06 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.34 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.22 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A31: Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl- containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of mixed Cu$_3$-Au$_1$ alloy NPs after 1 h thermal treatment at 473 °K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu1 (C)</th>
<th>Cu2 (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.22 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.42 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>3.25 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.17 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.03 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>5.39 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.50 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>8.68 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.43 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A32: Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl- containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of mixed Cu$_3$-Au$_1$ alloy NPs after 16 h thermal treatment at 473 °K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu1 (C)</th>
<th>Cu2 (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.35 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.62 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.41 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.93 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.45 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.42 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.32 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>8.50 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>7.39 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A33: Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl- containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of core/shell Cu$_3$/Au$_1$ alloy NPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu1 (C)</th>
<th>Cu2 (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.76 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>5.06 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.37 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.51 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.09 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.60 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.58 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>5.61 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.36 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A34: Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl\textsuperscript{-}-containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of core/shell Cu\textsubscript{3}/Au\textsubscript{1} alloy NPs after thermal treatment at 423 \textdegree K for 1 H.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu\textsubscript{1} (C)</th>
<th>Cu\textsubscript{2} (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.34 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>9.13 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>1.76 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.83 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>6.17 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>1.36 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.06 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>5.29 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>1.30 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A35: Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl\textsuperscript{-}-containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of core/shell Cu\textsubscript{3}/Au\textsubscript{1} alloy NPs after thermal treatment at 473 \textdegree K for 1 H.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu\textsubscript{1}+Cu\textsubscript{2} (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.54 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>1.62 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>3.30 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.32 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>2.25 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A36: Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl\textsuperscript{-}-containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of core/shell Cu\textsubscript{3}/Au\textsubscript{1} alloy NPs after thermal treatment at 473 \textdegree K for 16 H.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu\textsubscript{1} (C)</th>
<th>Cu\textsubscript{2} (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.08 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>2.14 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>2.34 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.41 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>1.64 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>2.61 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.31 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>2.21 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>2.55 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table A37:** Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl⁻ containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of core/shell Au₁/Cu₃ alloy NPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu1 (C)</th>
<th>Cu2 (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au (C)</td>
<td>1.37 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.06 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.63 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.52 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.50 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.38 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.89 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>3.50 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>4.01 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A38:** Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl⁻ containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of core/shell Au₁/Cu₃ alloy NPs after thermal treatment at 423 °K for 1 H

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu1 (C)</th>
<th>Cu2 (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.86 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>5.01 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>4.50 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.84 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.10 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.68 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.51 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>3.80 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.89 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A39:** Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl⁻ containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of core/shell Au₁/Cu₃ alloy NPs after thermal treatment at 473 °K for 1 H

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu1 (C)</th>
<th>Cu2 (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.27 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.37 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.37 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>5.03 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.47 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.61 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.42 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>3.35 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table A40**: Integrated charge obtained under stripping peaks of Au and Cu in Cl- containing acid electrolyte solution to calculate Au/Cu ratio of core/shell Au$_1$/Cu$_3$ alloy NPs after thermal treatment at 473 °K for 16 H

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Au (C)</th>
<th>Cu1 (C)</th>
<th>Cu2 (C)</th>
<th>Au/Cu</th>
<th>Average Au/Cu</th>
<th>Theoretical Au/Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.85 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>3.90 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.17 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.87 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>3.86 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.16 x 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.88 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.41 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.77 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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