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ABSTRACT

FUNCTIONALIZING NANOPARTICLES WITH CAFA PROTEIN TO TARGET BAR PEPTIDE FOR ORAL DELIVERY APPLICATIONS

May 1, 2020

Background: *Porphyromonas gingivalis* adherence to *Streptococcus gordonii* may be important for *P. gingivalis* colonization in the oral cavity. Nanoparticles encapsulating synthetic peptide BAR (BAR-NPs) inhibit *P. gingivalis* adherence more potently than free BAR. However, BAR-NPs would exhibit low retention in an open flow environment.

Hypothesis: Targeting BAR-NPs to the streptococcal surface using CafA protein will enhance their efficacy.

Methods: CafA-modified NPs encapsulating BAR were synthesized using double emulsion approach. Surface binding and retention, and release kinetics of BAR
from CafA-modified NPs was assessed. Functional inhibition assays were performed using dual a species biofilm.

Results: CafA-modified NPs demonstrated specificity of adhesion, remained bound to *S. gordonii* surfaces and released inhibitory concentrations of BAR for over 8hr. CafA-modified NPs inhibited *P. gingivalis* adherence to *S. gordonii* potently for over 8hr.

Conclusions: CafA-modified NPs represent a delivery vehicle that targets BAR to preferred niches of *P. gingivalis* in the oral cavity.
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Chapter 1
Introduction

Periodontitis is the chronic inflammation of tooth-supporting structures, which begins as gingivitis and progressively leads to the destruction of periodontal ligament and alveolar bone. From 2009 to 2012, 46% of adults (age > 30 years) in the United States, were diagnosed with periodontal diseases. Of these, 8.9% had advanced periodontitis. Domestically, over 14 billion dollars are spent annually towards the prevention and treatment of periodontal diseases [1]. Periodontal disease is the major cause of tooth loss after the age of 30 and has been associated with systemic diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes mellitus, low birth weights, premature labor and osteoporosis [2]. Periodontitis is caused by the interplay of host susceptibility and changes in the normal microbiota of dental plaque [3].

Role of biofilm in periodontal disease

Periodontal disease is a biofilm-mediated infection. Biofilms consist of a consortium of organisms that are embedded in a matrix containing extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). As the bacterial colonies in the biofilm grow, the biofilm develops “emergent properties” which differ from those of organisms in the planktonic phase [4]. These properties protect the biofilm and render them resilient to minor environmental alterations. However, environmental alterations beyond a threshold could prompt competitive overgrowth of certain microorganisms, leading to dysbiosis and disease [5]. Gaining a thorough understanding of the mechanism of biofilm formation, its properties, and disease etiology will aid in developing effective therapeutics for periodontal diseases.
Formation of dental plaque

Dental plaque is a highly complex biofilm consisting of a diverse bacterial community and their products [6]. It forms via a series of specific, sequential molecular interactions between bacteria and host surfaces that ultimately result in the development of a structurally and functionally organized heterogenous microbial community [7].

Stages of plaque formation:

(i) Initial Adhesion

The formation of dental plaque begins with the development of acquired pellicle on the tooth enamel. The acquired pellicle is a thin coating formed by the adsorption of proteins and other macromolecules from the saliva and gingival crevicular fluid on the tooth surface. It is comprised of proline-rich proteins (PRPs), albumin, sialic acid, alpha amylase, and glycoproteins, and provides substrates for attachment to early colonizing bacteria [8]. Streptococci constitute 80% of these primary colonizers [9, 10] and bind to components of the salivary pellicle via adhesins, e.g., antigen I/II protein family, amylase-binding adhesin (AbpA), and serine-rich repeat glycoproteins (Has, GspB) [8, 11, 12]. Actinomyces oris is another primary colonizer and its adherence to the PRPs and statherin of the salivary pellicle is mediated via type 1 fimbriae [13]. Initial adherence of the bacteria to the tooth surface is a crucial event in plaque development which prevents the organisms from being washed away by mechanical shearing forces of salivary fluid flow and tongue movement [14].

(ii) Bacterial Coaggregation and Biofilm Maturation

After initial adherence, the plaque evolves to contain additional species of bacteria, primarily consisting of gram-negative rods. Biofilm formation and growth occurs by the adherence of secondary colonizers to already attached bacterial cells and their metabolic products [14, 15].
Specific interspecies bacterial adhesion is termed coaggregation and leads to an increase in the biovolume and complexity of the biofilm [16]. Coaggregation can occur via direct cell-cell interactions mediated by reciprocal adhesin-receptor binding or via multivalent molecules such as mucin and glucans [14]. Bacterial coaggregation promotes microbial interactions by co-locating physiologically relevant organisms in the same milieu, thereby facilitating development of complex nutritional co-operation, gene transfer and cell-cell signalling [5]. In microbial communities, nutritional interdependence develops amongst the residing organisms, where the product of metabolism of one bacterial species becomes the food source for another, resulting in the development of food webs [4]. Furthermore, metabolic pathways drive the order of colonization, whereby different layers of the biofilm are associated with a specific metabolic pathway that results in a functionally-structured community [17]. The close proximity of the cells also facilitates horizontal gene transfer and increases the adaptive ability of the organisms to changing oral environment [18]. Moreover, cell-cell signalling enables bacterial cells to sense and adapt to various environmental stimuli and control the expression of virulence-regulating genes [5].

As the biofilm grows by bacterial coaggregation, the organisms induce the genetic program to promote biofilm formation which facilitates interbacterial agglutination by the formation of a slimy matrix. All microorganisms residing within biofilms are embedded in this matrix containing extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) [19]. The matrix allows the bacteria to adhere to each other and the colonizing surfaces, protects the bacteria from host defenses, enhances the mechanical stability of the biofilm, facilitates communication between bacterial species, and forms chemical/nutrient gradients that create microenvironments within the biofilm which vary widely in pH, oxygen and nutrient availability [20, 21]. Thus the matrix allows individual bacterial cells to behave as a cohesive multicellular unit, whose properties and
characteristics collectively differ from those of free-living bacteria. These “emergent properties” of the biofilms make them more conducive to survival in harsher environments [4, 21].

(iii) Biofilm Dispersion

Detachment of cells from the mature biofilm occurs by various processes, e.g., mechanical sloughing, enzymatic degradation of biofilm matrix, and downregulation of genes responsible for matrix production [15, 22]. The detached cells disperse and can initiate the formation of a new biofilm under favorable conditions [22].

These details surrounding the process of biofilm formation can provide insights for developing novel, effective strategies to prevent their initial formation (prophylactic approach) and disrupt existing biofilms (therapeutic approach). Treating biofilm-mediated infections poses a special challenge due to the “emergent properties” of the biofilm and the resulting biofilm-mediated resistance [22, 23]. The effectiveness of antibiotics in treating biofilm-mediated infections is limited due to: (i) compromised penetration of antibiotics through the polysaccharide matrix of the biofilm [24], (ii) increased drug resistance of bacteria in the biofilm versus planktonic state [23], and (iii) reduced/altered cellular activity of bacteria within the biofilm [25]. Some novel anti-biofilm strategies to prevent, weaken or disrupt biofilm formation are illustrated in Figure 1B.
Figure 1: (A) Schematic representation of plaque development. (B) Schematic representation of anti-biofilm strategies (i) coating tooth surfaces with materials to limit initial bacterial adherence and prevent biofilm formation, (ii) interrupting bacterial communication to inhibit development of biofilm properties such as expression of virulence factors, And (iii) disrupting pre-established mature biofilms using “anti-biofilm” peptides and matrix degrading enzymes leading to biofilm destabilization.

**Etiology of periodontal diseases**

The oral cavity harbors more than 700 bacterial species, some of which have been implicated in the initiation and progression of periodontal diseases. In a study done by Socransky et al., it was observed that periodontal diseases are caused by a consortium of organisms rather than individual pathogens. Moreover, the composition of the microbiome at healthy and diseased periodontal sites varied drastically and certain microbes were repeatedly found clustered together at diseased and healthy sites. Based on these findings, five microbial complexes were defined. Of these, the red complex, which appears later in biofilm development, and comprises three species, *Tannerella forsythia*, *P. gingivalis* and *Treponema denticola*, has been considered to be the most pathogenic microbial complex [26-28].

In recent times, metatranscriptome analysis of dental biofilms from sites with active periodontal disease have found that in addition to periodontal pathogens such as *Tannerella forsythia* and *P. gingivalis*, organisms such as *Veillonella parvula*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and various streptococci are highly active in transcribing putative virulence factors [29]. These findings have provided evidence for the role of the entire community and not just a few pathogens in causing the disease.

Our current understanding of periodontal disease is based on the Polymicrobial Synergy and Dysbiosis model, which proposes that periodontitis is caused by the dysbiosis of the host microbiome, rather than an exogenous pathogen [3]. *P. gingivalis* has been shown to play a key role in inducing dysbiosis by modulating the innate host responses and elevating the virulence of the microbiome by altering gene expression via interspecies signalling [3, 30].
One of the proposed ways in which *P. gingivalis* modulates host immunity is by initiating C5aR1-TLR2 crosstalk to subvert immune response and promote inflammation [31, 32]. *P. gingivalis* also suppresses the expression of IL-8 and Th1 chemokines which aid in the recruitment of neutrophils. Transient delays in neutrophil recruitment facilitate initial colonization and allow an increase in the overall microbial load [33]. Uncontrolled bacterial growth disrupts tissue homeostasis and triggers a robust inflammatory response causing tissue breakdown [34]. Under these circumstances, bacterial species that thrive well in an inflammatory environment may outcompete those that do not, resulting in a shift in the host microbiome towards a disease-causing microbiota [3]. Subsequent tissue breakdown yields nutrients (e.g., degraded collagen and heme compounds) which promote the growth of subgingival proteolytic and asaccharolytic bacteria. The gene expression of the microbial community is altered such that genes associated with proteolysis and LPS synthesis are upregulated [35-37], which in turn leads to more inflammation. The dysbiosis-inflammation interplay generates a vicious cycle that drives periodontitis [35, 38, 39].

In summary, *P. gingivalis* may exert a community-wide effect in oral biofilms. It modulates the host immune response to facilitate an overall increase in bacterial load, along with a shift in microbial composition to a more disruptive microbial community. The altered microbiota triggers an uncontrolled inflammatory host response leading to periodontal tissue destruction. *P. gingivalis* also alters the gene expression of the microbiome [40]. Thus, *P. gingivalis* plays a key role in promoting dysbiosis and elevating the virulence of the host microbiome.
Treatment of periodontal diseases

The conventional treatment of periodontal diseases involves the mechanical removal of plaque/calculus by scaling and root planning, along with the use of antibiotics, administered by systemic or local routes, as adjuncts to prevent its reoccurrence [41-44]. Systemically delivered antibiotics reach the deep periodontal pockets, which are not amenable to professional cleaning, via serum, but require patient compliance and must be administered at a higher dose to be effective [45]. However, chronic, indiscriminate use of antibiotics in the treatment of periodontal disease may lead to adverse side effects such as toxicity, allergies, alteration of gut microflora and increased antimicrobial resistance [46]. Moreover, antibiotic effectiveness in periodontal treatment is limited due to biofilm-mediated resistance and the prevalence of resistant pathogens. In a recent study, 25.49%, 23.52% and 21.56% of *P. gingivalis* isolates from patients with periodontitis were found to be resistant to amoxicillin, clindamycin and metronidazole, respectively [47].

Organisms such as *P. gingivalis* can also evade host immune surveillance by residing and multiplying within gingival epithelial cells and preventing host cell apoptosis posing a special challenge in the treatment of periodontitis [48, 49]. At disease sites, *P. gingivalis* have been found to reside within all the layers of the epithelial tissue and in the connective tissue [50]. Commonly used antibiotics such as metronidazole do not readily cross the plasma membrane of cells and therefore are inefficient at killing intracellular organisms. In many cases, after the cessation of antibiotic therapy, these intracellular organisms recolonize periodontal tissue and cause recalcitrant disease. These findings underscore the importance of developing innovative, antimicrobial agents for targeting oral pathogens.
**Why target *P. gingivalis***?

A longitudinal metatranscriptomic analysis of microbiota from stable to disease-progressing sites showed that only *P. gingivalis* expressed virulence factors at healthy sites, whereas the virulence of *T. denticola* and *T. forsythia* was upregulated after tissue breakdown [29]. This suggests that of all the red complex organisms, *P. gingivalis* likely initiates dysbiosis at healthy sites and other organisms contribute to the acceleration of disease process after homeostasis has already been disrupted [30]. Thus, although *P. gingivalis* is not essential for periodontal diseases, it is an important risk factor in periodontal diseases [30].

Studies have also shown that antibody levels to *P. gingivalis* are consistently higher in patients diagnosed with adult periodontitis [51, 52]. These findings, combined with the role of *P. gingivalis* in inducing host dysbiosis and modulating immunity, makes targeting *P. gingivalis* an effective strategy for developing novel therapies for the prevention and treatment of periodontal diseases.

**Current novel treatment strategies targeting *P. gingivalis***

- **Quorum sensing inhibitors (QSI)**

  Bacteria in biofilms produce signalling molecules called autoinducers (AI) to communicate with each other. As the density of the bacteria increases, the concentration of AI also increases. By sensing the concentration of AI in the environment, bacteria gauge their population density, and when the density of the population reaches a certain threshold, it triggers gene expression. This mechanism of intercellular signalling is known as quorum sensing (QS) (Figure 2).
Differential gene expression in response to quorum sensing allows bacteria to modulate their phenotypes and behavioral activities when growing in biofilms. At low densities, the bacteria behave as solitary organisms; however, at high densities they develop beneficial traits that facilitate their survival at a community-level. Quorum sensing-dependent activities include biofilm development, expression of virulence factors and bioluminescence [53]. For *P. gingivalis* specifically, the LuxS/AI-2 signalling system is used for quorum sensing and biofilm development [54, 55]. Therefore, inhibiting this signalling system can potentially weaken biofilm formation and suppress the expression of the quorum controlled virulence genes [56].

QSI such as furanone compound [(5Z)-4-bromo-5-((bromomethylene)-2(5H)-furanone] and D-ribose inhibit AI-2 and have been shown to inhibit *P. gingivalis* biofilm formation *in vitro* and mitigate bone loss in a murine model of periodontitis [57].
Figure 2: Schematic representation of the mechanism of quorum sensing in bacteria.

• **Naturally Derived Compounds**

Plant and marine based compounds such as essential oils, capsaicin, chitosan (crustaceans), and OligoG (oligosaccharide from brown algae alginate) that have the potential to inhibit *P. gingivalis* biofilm formation are currently being investigated for therapeutic use [58]. Presently, a thorough understanding of their precise mechanism of action and cytotoxic potential is lacking, which precludes their use as therapeutic agents [58]. However, some are believed to induce this effect by reducing *P. gingivalis* adherence to gingival epithelial cells, interfering with proteolytic activity of gingipain and inhibiting cytokine secretion. Some naturally derived compounds and their mechanism of action have been listed in Table 1 [59-61].

**Table 1**: Mechanism of action of some naturally derived compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Naturally Derived Compound</th>
<th>Mechanism of Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A-type cranberry proanthocyanidin | • Anti-*P. gingivalis* biofilm activity  
• Anti-inflammatory (Inhibits IL8 & chemokine ligand 5 secretion)  
• Reduces the adherence of *P. gingivalis* to oral epithelial cells |
| Lacinartin | • Anti-*P. gingivalis* biofilm activity  
• Reduces the adherence of *P. gingivalis* to oral epithelial cells  
• Disrupts pre-formed biofilms |
| Prenylated flavonoids | • Inhibits *P. gingivalis* biofilm  
• Interferes with Rgp and Kgp gingipain activity |
Antimicrobial Peptides (AMPs)

Antimicrobial peptides have been deemed as promising drug candidates since they are conserved and therefore exhibit broad-spectrum activity. AMPs target negatively-charged bacterial cell membranes and kill bacteria by membrane disruption or pore formation [62]. Synthetic AMPs can be engineered to be species-specific with the addition of a targeting moiety [63, 64]. AMPs also have a lower tendency to induce resistance compared to conventional antibiotics [64]. Some currently studied antimicrobial peptides are discussed below.

- **Lactoferrin (LF)** is an iron binding glycoprotein and plays an important role in innate immunity. The lipopolysaccharide (LPS) found on the outer membrane of *P. gingivalis* is a crucial virulence factor that mediates its biofilm formation. Lactoferrin binds to LPS and inhibits biofilm formation. Additionally, *P. gingivalis* has an absolute requirement of iron for its growth and LF, due to its ability to sequester iron, can inhibit its growth [65].

- **Nal-p-113** is a cationic AMP which exhibits bactericidal activity by perforating the plasmalemma, resulting in cell death. Moreover, it is resistant to proteolytic degradation. *In vitro* studies have shown that it is effective in eradicating *P. gingivalis* in the planktonic state and inhibiting subsequent biofilm formation [66].

- **BAR peptide** is a synthetic peptide derived from a specific region of the streptococcal SspB polypeptide (residues 1167 to 1193) and is comprised of the functional motifs VXXLL and NITVK. BAR peptide blocks the interaction between *P. gingivalis* and *S. gordonii* and therefore limits the colonization of *P. gingivalis* and has been shown to reduce virulence in murine model of periodontitis [67].
• **Small molecules:**

Owing to the high cost of peptide synthesis and the susceptibility of peptides to degradation by proteases [68], small molecules with antimicrobial activity against *P. gingivalis* are being researched for therapeutic potential. For example, BAR peptide consists of lysine residues that are susceptible to Lys-gingipain expressed by *P. gingivalis*. 1,2,3-Triazole-based compounds, formed by joining the synthetic mimics of the functional motifs of BAR peptide (VXXLL and NITVK) by a click-reaction, have been found to be compact, stable and potent inhibitors of *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* biofilm formation [69, 70]. Of these compounds, PCP-III-201 was found to inhibit *P. gingivalis* biofilm formation even in the presence of *F. nucleatum*, to disrupt pre-established three-species biofilms, and to significantly reduce alveolar bone loss in murine models of periodontitis [71].

**Use of nanotechnology in the control of biofilm mediated infection**

In recent times, nanoparticles (NPs) have emerged as promising delivery vehicles to combat biofilm formation due to their unique nanoscale physicochemical properties [72]. Properties such as NP size, shape and surface charge help to facilitate or hinder penetration into biofilms via fluid channels. The self-diffusion coefficient of NPs decreases with the square of the NP radius [73]. For a constant volume, decreasing the size and increasing the aspect ratio enhances the efficacy of the NP [74]. Furthermore, while anionic and neutral quantum dots have been shown to have difficulty penetrating biofilms, cationic quantum dots have been found to widely distribute throughout biofilms. In addition, factors such as hydrophobicity can impact bacterial internalization or localization at the bacterial surface. As one example, cationic quantum dots with hydrophobic terminal groups are more readily internalized by the bacteria relative to hydrophilic quantum dots which remain in the EPS matrix of the biofilm [75]. Hence, manipulation of physical attributes such as size and shape, combined with
chemical characteristics of surface charge and hydrophobicity, can enhance NP penetration and alter their ability to be internalized by bacteria.

Along with improved biofilm penetration and increased cellular uptake, another advantage of NPs is the reduced likelihood of resistance development since NPs use several mechanisms simultaneously to combat microbes [76]. For instance, chitosan nanoparticles have a positive charge and therefore can associate with negatively-charged bacterial cell walls, increase cell permeability and cause osmotic damage. Additionally, chitosan can bind to DNA in bacterial cells and inhibit mRNA transcription and protein translation. It may also decrease the activity of metalloproteins by chelating metals [77]. Therefore, multiple simultaneous genetic mutations are required to occur in the same bacterial cell for resistance development. NPs may also be used to overcome existing bacterial resistance mechanisms such as permeability regulation, multidrug efflux pumps and target site mutations [78-80]. Figure 3 depicts some advantages of using nanosized carriers as drug delivery systems over traditional systematic and local routes. A more in-depth description of delivery vehicle options that can be used in oral delivery can be found in review papers by Zupancic, S., et al and Goyal, G., et al.
Figure 3: Overview of drug delivery systems.

A wide variety of materials have been used to fabricate nanoparticle delivery vehicles for oral applications, which include metals and metal oxides, such as silver [81]; gold and copper [82, 83]; lipids [84]; and polymers [85]. Metal and metal oxide particles have been used due to their inherent antimicrobial properties [86]; however, there are several concerns regarding the toxicity associated with their accumulation at target sites [87]. Biodegradable materials such as polymers have gained popularity in recent times due to their biocompatibility, reduced side-effects, diverse features (e.g., hydrophilicity, lipophilicity) and ease of modifying their physical properties by changing their chemical structure or by using polymer blends to optimize NP function [88, 89]. Owing to their ability to be internalized, polymeric NPs can be developed to address special challenges posed by intracellular dwelling organisms such as *P. gingivalis* that can cause recalcitrant disease. Polymersomes encapsulating metronidazole have been shown to penetrate through two-third of the epithelium in 24 hr and reduce intracellular *P. gingivalis* levels significantly relative to free drug, due to their ability to be internalized by the gingival epithelial cells [90]. Polymeric nanoparticle that respond to stimuli have been developed to provide more control over the release of the active agent and diminish undesirable side effects. These NPs demonstrate therapeutic selectivity by responding to stimuli such as shifts in pH, pressure, temperature and oxygen [91]. Horev et al. designed farnesol loaded pH-responsive polymeric nanoparticles to bind avidly to the pellicle and EPS of the biofilm, enhancing drug retention at target sites at physiologic pH. The nanoparticles were tuned to rapidly release the drug when the local pH became acidic, as would be observed in a cariogenic environment [92].

In addition to the aforementioned features, polymeric nanoparticles offer some special advantages such as the protection and stabilization of drugs/proteins, high drug loading capacity, controlled and sustained drug release, modifiable rate of polymer degradation and drug release. The rate of polymer degradation can be reduced with the use of hydrophobic
polymers such as polylactic acid (PLA) and polycaprolactone (PCL) which decrease the diffusion of water, whereas, the use of hydrophilic polymer such as polyglycolic acid (PGA) demonstrates the opposite effect. Additionally, the size and surface characteristics of polymeric NPs can also be easily manipulated to promote penetration of NPs, increase residence time at target sites and enable site-specific targeting [93]. Lately, there has been a tremendous interest in developing targeted NPs to improve safety, biodistribution and efficacy of drugs. In vivo, targeting has been shown to increase accumulation and retention of NPs at target sites free drug [94-97]. Moreover, localized release of encapsulated agent from the accumulated NPs at the target sites can enhance the potency of the drug relative to free drug [94-97].

Development of targeted nanoparticles

Two basic strategies of active and passive targeting have been applied to design NPs that promote site-specific delivery of active agents. Passive targeting relies on the physicochemical properties of the NPs (i.e., size, surface charge, mucoadhesivity) and features of the disease causing agent/disease process (negative charge on bacterial surfaces, leakiness of tumor vasculature) [98]. Passive targeting strategies have involved integrating carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) [99], polyacrylic acid (Carbopol) [100], polyethylene glycol (PEG) [101, 102], polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) [103] or polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) [103, 104] on the surfaces of NPs, which has been shown to improve NP retention and accumulation at target sites. The nanoparticles act as drug depots at target sites and release the active agent at the desired sites in a controlled and sustained manner [105]. Chitosan has been widely used in oral applications, due to its inherent mucoadhesive properties [106].

In comparison, active targeting relies on a biological interaction between ligands on the NP surface and target cell receptors. The use of specific targeting ligands has been shown to
increase NPs binding efficiency by more than two to four-fold at target sites, due to multivalent interactions [107-109]. Moreover, minimizing non-specific interactions between NPs and non-target cells helps to reduce indiscriminate distribution of active agents at non-target sites and promotes localized delivery to target sites, enhancing NP efficacy [110, 111].

**Polymeric nanoparticles and treatment of periodontal diseases**

Both passively and actively targeted NPs have been developed for use in the treatment of periodontal disease.

**Passively targeted NPs**

Chitosan NPs have been extensively used as passively targeted NPs due to their mucoadhesive properties. NPs formulated using thiolated chitosan (TCS)-poly (methacrylic acid) (PMAA) (TCS-PMAA) encapsulating metronidazole benzoate (MET) have been shown to exhibit high mucoadhesiveness due to water uptake from the mucosal tissue by adsorption, swelling and capillary effect, leading to stronger adhesion. These NPs also demonstrated sustained release of MET over 24 hr and improved its oral availability at target sites [112]. In another study, PLGA lovastatin-chitosan-tetracycline nanoparticles were found to exhibit potent antibacterial activity against *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *P. nigrescens* in vitro. They also improved alkaline phosphatase activity leading to a significant increase in new bone formation in beagle dogs [113].

**Actively targeted NPs**

Actively targeted NPs involve modifying the surfaces of the NPs with biological ligands that promote specific interactions between the ligands on the NP surfaces and target cell receptors. Several biological ligands such as antibodies, aptamers, peptides and small molecules have been used to modify the surfaces of the NPs to improve their binding efficiency on target cells [94, 95]. Often, ligands are directed to exploit endogenous differences between normal and pathological tissues and to direct therapy to classical or diseased target
site markers. As one example in periodontitis, inflamed gingival epithelial cells express a higher level of the $\beta_1$ integrin including $\alpha_2\beta_1$, $\alpha_3\beta_1$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and $\alpha_6\beta_1$, relative to normal epithelial cells. Surface modification of NPs with a peptide, RGD, which binds to the $\beta_1$ integrin, helps to facilitate NP adherence and retention at periodontal disease sites for a prolonged duration. As such, minocycline-loaded poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(lactic acid) (PEG–PLA) NPs functionalized with RGD peptides demonstrated potent anti-periodontitis activity relative to non-targeted NPs and free minocycline [114]. Additionally, RGD-modified minocycline NPs delivered a higher localized concentration of minocycline to the gingiva and retained the effective concentration for a longer duration, relative to unmodified minocycline NPs [114].

While few studies have employed active targeting approaches for the treatment of periodontal diseases, previous work in our group sought to utilize the targeting capabilities of BAR peptide to improve NP targeting, and thereby enhance potency, via surface-modification. In our previous work, we developed PLGA nanoparticles functionalized with a synthetic peptide (BAR) ($IC_{50}=0.2 \mu M$), and showed that BAR-modified NPs are more efficacious and inhibit $P. gingivalis$ adherence to $S. gordonii$ more potently relative to free BAR ($IC_{50}=1.3 \mu M$) in vitro. In murine models of periodontitis, BAR-modified NPs reduced alveolar bone loss and promoted an anti-inflammatory response by decreasing the expression of IL-17, a pro-inflammatory cytokine [115].

**Considerations for developing actively targeted nanoparticles**

Although active targeting can enhance NP efficacy by improving binding efficiency to target cell receptors, increasing NP residence time at target sites and promoting the localized delivery of active agents, several factors must be considered in the design of optimally functioning actively-targeted nanocarriers. Generally, increasing the surface ligand density improves the receptor binding avidity of surface-modified NPs by promoting multivalent
interactions. However, several studies have found that NPs with an intermediate ligand density are more efficacious and exhibit higher binding relative to higher ligand density NPs [116-118]. This may be due to steric hindrance that affects receptor accessibility (Figure 4A); increased size of NPs following surface modification, which limits NP diffusion to receptors at target sites; and improper ligand orientation, with high packing density that interferes with ligand functionality [105, 119]. It has also been found that the addition of surface ligands improves binding efficiency only if the receptors are spaced closely enough together to allow for multiple ligands on the same NP to simultaneously bind to several target cell receptors (Figure 4B) [119]. Furthermore, receptor clustering due to multiple receptor binding on the target cell could result in cell activation, proliferation and other adverse effects [105]. Moreover, surface modification with target ligands may impede the release of the encapsulant. Slower release rates may result in lower available concentrations of the active agent at the target sites and reduced effectiveness of the surface-modified NPs [107]. Therefore, while designing NPs for optimal function, the addition of ligands to enhance binding efficiency must be weighed against its impact on key parameters including encapsulation efficiency, release kinetics of the encapsulant, and intracellular signalling [107].
Figure 4: Considerations for developing actively targeted nanoparticles. (A) High ligand density may not always improve NP binding efficiency. One reason may be limited accessibility to receptors on the target cell. (B) Binding efficiency improves only when multiple ligands on the same NP bind to multiple receptors on target cell, promoting multivalent interactions. However, when receptors on target cell are placed further apart and do not allow for multiple ligands on the same NP to bind to them simultaneously, addition of ligands does not improve NP binding efficiency. Figure not drawn to scale.
**BAR peptide**

Oral biofilm formation occurs via sequential, species-specific bacterial coaggregation. One of the bacteria involved in this coaggregation is *P. gingivalis*, a gram-negative, assacharolytic, obligatory anaerobe that resides mainly in the subgingival sulcus [120]. However, the subgingival colonization of *P. gingivalis* is likely to occur only after its initial colonization within the supragingival biofilm. The initial supragingival colonization of *P. gingivalis* is mediated through interactions with certain species of oral streptococci and/or *Fusobacterium nucleatum* that provide physiologic support to facilitate its survival in the supragingival environment [121]. After its supragingival colonization, *P. gingivalis* disperse and colonize in their preferred niches of the anaerobic subgingival sulcus [120]. Given the downstream events initiated by *P. gingivalis* binding, these initial supragingival interspecies interactions present viable targets for early therapeutic intervention to limit *P. gingivalis* colonization.

One of the primary organisms that promotes the supragingival colonization of *P. gingivalis* is *S. gordonii*. Adherence of *P. gingivalis* to *S. gordonii* is a multimodal protein-protein interaction that involves both the short and long fimbriae of *P. gingivalis* [122]. FimA, the structural subunit of the major fimbriae, interacts with cell surface glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase of *S. gordonii* [123, 124], while Mfa1, the structural subunit of the minor fimbriae, interacts with discrete domains of the streptococcal cell surface protein SspB of the antigen I/II family [125]. The Mfa-SspB interaction is the driving force that mediates interbacterial coaggregation and is essential for the development of *P. gingivalis* biofilms on a streptococcal substratum. SspB polypeptide is 1500 residues in length and consists of seven structural domains that are conserved in all antigen I/II polypeptides. A region encompassing residues 1167 to 1250 promotes the adherence of SspB protein of *S. gordonii* to *P. gingivalis* [126]. Although virtually
all oral streptococci express antigen I/II proteins, *P. gingivalis* selectively adheres to certain species such as *S. oralis* and *S. gordonii* but not *S. mutans* and *S. intermedius*. Further comparison of the adherence-promoting region of SspB protein of *S. gordonii* to the corresponding region of *S. mutans* antigen I/II showed that a protein determinant comprising of 1167 to 1193 was adequate to promote *P. gingivalis* adherence [127]. The recognition of this discrete structural motif of SspB (SspB Adherence Region), that is not conserved in SspA, a related antigen I/II protein of *S. mutans*, accounts for the species specificity of *P. gingivalis* adherence. More specifically, Asn^{1182}, Thr^{1184} and Val^{1185} in SspB are not conserved in the SpaP sequence of *S. mutans* [127].

The synthetic analog of SspB adherence region (BAR), comprised of residues 1167 to 1193, also potently inhibits Mfa-SspB interaction. It consists of two structural motifs, VXXLL and NITVK (amino acids 1171 to 1180 and 1182 to 1186, respectively in the full-length antigen I/II protein of *S. gordonii*) which are essential for the interaction of the peptide with Mfa. Additonally, a motif EXXP, upstream of VXXLL, also contributes to the interaction. Analogs of BAR that lack the EXXP motif, exhibit a lower specific activity relative to BAR [67, 128]. In a study by Daep et al. it was found that *P. gingivalis* bound efficiently to BAR-like peptides with amino acid substitutions at both the non-conserved residues 1182 and 1185. Substitution of positively-charged amino acids at position 1182 and hydrophobic residues at 1185, further enhanced the activity of the peptide, whereas substitution with acidic residues at position 1182 reduced *P. gingivalis* adherence and no other amino acids were tolerated at position 1185. These findings suggest that the (i) properties and characteristics of the amino acids occupying these positions were more important, not the specific amino acids, and (ii) Mfa-SspB interaction is driven by both electrostatic and hydrophobic bonding [67]. Substitution of cysteine residues for Leu^{1167} and Leu^{1191}, which yielded a cyclic structurally-constrained
peptide was found to be a more competitive inhibitor of *P. gingivalis* biofilm formation than BAR peptide, suggesting that the secondary structure and conformation of the peptide is crucial to the function of the peptide. Overall these results suggested that peptides or peptidomimetics with greater specific inhibitory activity than that of BAR can be synthesized. *In vivo* studies demonstrated that BAR peptide inhibits *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* biofilm formation potently mitigates the bone loss in murine model of periodontitis [129].
**Figure 5**: Schematic representation of *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* interaction. *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* adhesion is mediated via the interaction of major (fimA) and minor fimbriae (Mfa1) of *P. gingivalis* and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and discrete domains on SspA/B (Antigen I/II) adhesin of *S. gordonii*, respectively. The domains of SspA/B that interact with the minor fimbriae subunit, Mfa1, are highlighted on the right. These domains reside within the SspA/B adherence region (BAR) and are required to promote *P. gingivalis* adherence. *P. gingivalis* does not adhere to organisms lacking the BAR motif in the antigen I/II protein.

**P. gingivalis – S. gordonii Interaction (Figure 6A and B)**

*P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* aggregation is mutualistic and tightly regulated. *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* aggregation is regulated by contact-based signalling and through the detection of diffusible mediators such as *para*-amino benzoic acid (*p*ABA). These interactions initially facilitate the formation of heterotypic community and ultimately limit the development of excessive microcolonies to prevent states of depleted nutrition and exposure to elevated levels of oxygen [130, 131].

The accumulation of *P. gingivalis* on a substratum of *S. gordonii* is facilitated by increased expression of *mfa1* and *luxS* genes. Increased transcription of *mfa1* results in increased expression of fimbrial protein, which in turn primes the organism for colonization, whereas, increased *luxS* transcription results in elevated levels of AI-2, a quorum signalling molecule that aids in *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* community development [125, 132].

In *P. gingivalis*, the expression of *mfa1* is suppressed through a pathway involving Ltp1 (Low Molecular Weight Tyrosine Phosphatase), which dephosphorylates Ptk1 and indirectly upregulates the transcription of CdhR (Community Development and Hemin Regulator), a negative regulator of *luxS* and *Mfa* gene [130].

Following contact with *S. gordonii*, a streptococci derived contact based signal propogating through Mfa elevates the levels of Ltp1 in *P. gingivalis*. Increased Ltp1 levels, indirectly results in increased CdhR levels, which in turn reduces the transcription of *luxS* and *mfa* gene and consequently restrains *P. gingivalis* accumulation on *S. gordonii*. Furthermore, AI-2 is a negative regulator of CdhR. Therefore, reduced levels of *luxS*/AI-2 further increases *cdhR* expression which further constrains community development. Thus, reduction of AI-2 levels
compensates for the loss of contact based signalling due to the reduction of Mfa fimbrial expression and continues to restrain community development [130].

CdhR is a positive regulator of hmu operon involved in hemin uptake and dephosphorylation of gingipain proteases. Increased levels of CdhR increases hmu transcription and in turn elevates the pathogenic potential of the organism [130].

In summary, the activation of Ltp1-CdhR pathway in P. gingivalis via contact-based signalling limits P. gingivalis – S. gordonii heterotypic community development by downregulating the expression of mfa1 and luxS genes and increases the pathogenicity of P. gingivalis by upregulating the expression of hmu gene.

Chorismate-binding enzyme encoded by cbe gene in S. gordonii produces a metabolite 4-amino benzoate/para-amino benzoic acid (pABA) which can be released extracellularly (Figure 6a). PABA inactivates Ltp1-CdhR pathway in P. gingivalis and upregulates the expression of Mfa1 fimbrial protein. Consequently, the dephosphorylation and inactivation of Ptk1 is reduced. Since Ptk1 activity converges on fimA gene, the level of FimA fimbrial protein is elevated. Therefore, pABA upregulates the expression of both Mfa1 and FimA, priming the organism for coadhesion with S. gordonii and community development. Concomitantly, pABA diminishes the pathogenicity of P. gingivalis by reducing the production of extracellular polysaccharides. However, following the aggregation of P. gingivalis on S. gordonii, the calming effects of pABA are reduced, most likely due to spatial constraints in the accessibility of pABA and subsequently, the pathogenicity of the P. gingivalis – S. gordonii community is increased due to the activation of the Ltp1-CdhR pathway via contact based signalling [131]. P. gingivalis – S. gordonii coadhesion and signalling is crucial for development of a dysbiotic microbial community. P. gingivalis virulence is increased following coadhesion with
S. gordonii. Co-infection with both bacterial species causes a greater amount of alveolar bone loss relative to infection with either species alone [129]. Therefore blocking these early interactions could potentially limit the colonization of P. gingivalis and reduce the pathogenicity of the subsequent biofilm.
**Figure 6a:** Schematic representation of *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* signalling mechanism.

(a) Binding of Mfa1-SspB (contact dependent signalling) increases the levels of Ltp1 and cdhR(indirectly). Higher levels of cdhR decreases the levels of Mfa and luxS which results in decreased *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* aggregation. Reduction in the levels of AI-2 further increases the levels of cdhR and limits community development.

Figure 6b: Schematic representation of *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* signalling mechanism.

(b) Binding of Mfa1 –SspB increases Ltp1 activity and reduces the expression of fimbrial adhesins. Mfa1-SspB binding also decreases the expression of chorismate binding enzyme (Cbe), resulting in reduced pABA production. Increased Ltp1 activity and reduced Cbe expression limits bacterial aggregation and enhances the pathogenicity of *P. gingivalis*.

Our approach

In our previous work, we demonstrated that a synthetic peptide, consisting of residues 1167 to 1193 of the surface protein SspB expressed by *S. gordonii*, designated BAR (SspB Adherence Region), blocks the Mfa1-SspB interaction and reduces the virulence of *P. gingivalis* in murine models of periodontitis [67, 129]. However, free peptide is only retained transiently in the oral cavity owing to the constant flow of saliva. Moreover, while BAR potently inhibited the initial adherence of *P. gingivalis* to *S. gordonii*, it was less effective at inhibiting pre-established *P. gingivalis* biofilms.

To enhance the efficacy of BAR peptide (IC\textsubscript{50}=1.3 µM), we developed polymeric poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) nanoparticles (NPs) encapsulating BAR (BAR-NPs). These NPs inhibited *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* biofilm formation more potently relative to free peptide (IC\textsubscript{50} = 0.7 µM). BAR-NPs also disrupted pre-established biofilms more potently than free BAR.

We envision our NPs to be ultimately incorporated in oral hygiene products such as oral gels which are used two to three times daily. Hence, we ideally seek to develop NPs that will be retained in the oral cavity and release inhibitory concentrations of BAR peptide for a minimum of 8 to 12 hours. However, BAR-NPs released > 50% of BAR peptide within the first 2 hr of delivery and would exhibit low retention in an open flow environment such as the oral cavity [133]. Hence, the BAR-NPs would benefit from a strategy that would improve their retention in the oral cavity and promote a gradual release of the BAR peptide.

Several approaches have been employed to improve NP retention, including alteration of properties such as surface charge and hydrophobicity, and functionalizing NPs with non-specific mucoadhesive or specific targeting ligands that bind to host receptors [134]. As
previously discussed, the use of specific targeting ligands has been shown to increase NP binding efficiency at target sites, due to multivalent interactions with host cell receptors [107-109]. These ligands seek to minimize non-specific interactions between NPs and non-target cells reducing indiscriminate distribution of active agents at non-target sites and promoting localized delivery at target sites, thereby enhancing NP efficacy [110, 111]. Thus, developing actively targeted NPs, using specific ligands, is a promising strategy to enhance the efficacy of NPs. For our experiments, we utilized Coaggregation Factor A protein (CafA) as a targeting ligand to functionalize the BAR-NPs.

**Coaggregation Factor A Protein (CafA)**

*Actinomyces oris* expresses two types of heterodimeric fimbriae:

**Type 1** – Mediates the binding of the bacteria to the proline rich salivary proteins which coat the tooth surface.

**Type 2** – Mediates the coaggregation of streptococci and actinomyces species [135].

The type 2 fimbriae is composed of a fimbrial shaft made of FimA subunits and tip fimbrillin consisting of either FimB or CafA protein. During plaque formation, CafA protein binds to the GalNAcβ1-3Gal motif of the receptor polysaccharides (RPS) found on oral commensal streptococci such as *S. gordonii* and *S. oralis*, facilitating the coaggregation of actinomyces and streptococci. Since CafA is the key adhesin which mediates actinomyces–streptococci binding [136], we anticipated that functionalization of NPs with CafA would enable us to actively target NPs to areas of the oral cavity harboring commensal streptococci, to gradually release BAR in *P. gingivalis* preferred niches.

We hypothesized that modifying the surface of BAR-encapsulated NPs with CafA, would enhance NP efficacy by augmenting their adhesion to commensal streptococci, facilitating retention for a longer duration, promoting more gradual release of BAR peptide, and resulting in potent inhibition of *P. gingivalis* adhesion to *S. gordonii* in a dual-species biofilm.
Figure 7: Schematic representation of type 2 fimbriae of *A. oris*. The type 2 fimbriae facilitates bacterial coaggregation and consists of a shaft comprising of FimA subunit and tip fimbrillin consisting of either CafA or FimB.

CHAPTER 2
HYPOTHESIS AND SPECIFIC AIMS

Antibiotics are commonly used as adjuncts in the treatment of periodontal diseases to prevent its reoccurrence [42-44]. However, the effectiveness of antibiotics in the treatment of periodontal diseases is limited owing to (i) biofilm-mediated resistance and (ii) reduced susceptibility of resistant pathogens in subgingival microflora [58]. Therefore, there is a compelling need to develop novel, targeted, treatment strategies beyond antibiotics for the prevention and treatment of periodontal diseases.

This project builds on previous work which demonstrated that a synthetic peptide (BAR) blocks the *P. gingivalis* – *S. gordonii* interaction and reduces the virulence of *P.gingivalis* in the murine model of periodontitis. Furthermore, nanoparticles encapsulating BAR peptide inhibit the biofilm formation more potently relative to free BAR [133]. However, similar to free peptide, NP delivery vehicles may exhibit low retention in an open flow environment such as the oral cavity, necessitating administration of higher, more frequent doses. Furthermore, due to the time frame of peptide release (over the course of hours) from BAR-NPs, we hypothesized that BAR-NPs may benefit from a strategy that augments their retention in an open flow environment. Our vision is to develop delivery vehicles that will ultimately be incorporated into oral healthcare products such as oral gels which are commonly used twice daily. Therefore, ideally, we seek to formulate nanoparticles that will be retained in the oral cavity and release inhibitory concentrations of BAR peptide for a minimum of 8 to 12 hours.
Our hypothesis is that modifying the surfaces of NPs with specific targeting moieties such as CafA will (i) augment the retention of the NPs in the oral cavity via multivalent interactions and increased avidity of contact with targeted receptor polysaccharides and (ii) prolong the release of BAR peptide from the NPs by facilitating a more gradual release of the encapsulant.

Thus, the efficacy of the BAR-NPs can be further enhanced by modifying the surfaces of the BAR-NPs with a specific targeting moiety, such as CafA. Surface modification would augment the adhesion of the NPs to specific receptors on bacterial surfaces, facilitate their accumulation at target sites and promote a gradual, localized release of the BAR peptide in P. gingivalis preferred niches.

To accomplish this, we propose three specific aims:

Specific Aims

1. Synthesize and characterize CafA-modified nanoparticles encapsulating BAR peptide.

2. Evaluate the functionality of the surface modification and the release kinetics of BAR peptide from surface-modified NPs.

3. Determine the efficacy of the CafA-modified NPs using biofilm inhibition assays.
Figure 8: (A) Schematic representation of nanoparticles and (B) NP targeting strategy. Surface modification of nanoparticles with CafA protein will aid in directing NPs to *S. gordonii* for targeted delivery of BAR peptide.
CHAPTER 3
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Peptide Synthesis

BAR peptide consists of residues 1167 to 1193 of the SspB surface protein expressed by S. gordonii. BAR peptide constitutes the following amino acid sequence:

NH$_2$-LEAAPKKVQDLLKKANITVKGAFLFS-COOH

To visualize and quantify the release of the peptide from CafA-modified NPs, 6-carboxyfluorescien was covalently attached to the ε – amine of the lysine residue highlighted in red in the peptide sequence above to produce fluorescent BAR (F-BAR). Functional studies of CafA-modified NPs was carried out using NPs encapsulating unlabeled BAR peptide. Both labelled and unlabeled BAR peptide were synthesized by Biosynthesis, Inc. (Lewisville, TX) and were obtained with a greater than 94% purity.

Growth of Bacterial Strains

Porphyromonas gingivalis ATCC 33277 was cultured in Trypticase soy broth media (TSBY media) (Difco laboratories Inc., Livonia, MI, USA) supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 1 μg/ml menadione, and 5 μg/ml hemin. The growth medium was reduced for 24hr in an anaerobic chamber (10% CO2, 10% H2 and 80% N2). Twenty mls of reduced media was subsequently inoculated with 2 mls of an overnight P. gingivalis culture and incubated under anaerobic conditions for 48hrs at 37°C. S. gordonii DL-1, S. oralis SO34, S. mutans KPSP2 and A actinomycetemcomitans 652 were cultured aerobically without shaking in brain-heart infusion (BHI) broth supplemented with 1% yeast extract for 16 hr at 37°C.
**CafA expression and purification**

CafA synthesis was done by isolating the genomic DNA of *Actinomyces oris* (ATCC 43146) from 10 mL of an overnight culture using the Wizard Genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison WI) as specified by manufacturer. The cafA gene was amplified by PCR using 200 ng of genomic DNA as the template and 30 pmol each of the following primers: Forward: 5’-AAG GAT CCC TGA GGC CGT TCA -3’; Reverse: 5’- CCG GAA TTC TAC GAC TTG CGG TTG GAG-3’. PCR amplification was conducted by denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, annealing of primers and template at 63°C for 30 s, strand extension at 72°C for 2 min 45 s for 30 cycles, followed by a final extension cycle at 72°C for 5 min.

The PCR product was subsequently electrophoresed in 1% agarose at 90 V for 40 min and the cafA band was excised and purified using the gel purification kit (Qiagen). The purified cafA DNA (1 µg) and a sample of the pGEX-6p-1 expression vector (0.5 µg) were digested with *Bam*HI and *Eco*RI overnight at 37°C. Prior to ligation, 50 µL of the digested vector were dephosphorylated with 4 µL calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (NEB) at 37°C for 30 min. Subsequently, 3 µL of protease K were added and incubated for 30 min at 50°C to terminate the reaction. The vector and cafA fragments were purified using the DNA clean and concentrator kit (Zymoresearch) and ligated with T4 ligase. Ligation reactions comprised 3 µL vector, 5 µL cafA fragment, 1 µL 10x ligase buffer and 1µL T4 ligase.

The ligation mixture was initially transformed into *E. coli* Top10. Fifty µL of competent *E. coli* Top10 were incubated with 5 µL of ligation mixture on ice for 30 min, then the sample was heat shocked at 42°C for 45 s and placed on ice for 2 min. Two hundred µL of SOC media were added, the sample was incubated at 37°C for 1 hr and plated on LB agar. After overnight incubation at 37°C, single colonies were selected and cultured in 5 mL LB broth supplemented with 100 µg ampicillin. Plasmid purification was carried out using the miniprep kit (Qiagen) and the cafA insert was excised and confirmed by sequencing.
For CafA expression, the purified cafA plasmid was transformed into E. coli BL21 using the transformation protocol described above. After selecting and confirming the appropriate transformant, 400 mL of LB broth was inoculated with 10 mL of an overnight culture and incubated to OD$_{600}$ of 0.5. Protein expression was induced by the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG and the culture was then incubated at 18°C for 17 hr. After centrifugation at 4,250 x g, the cell pellet was suspended in 40 mL 50 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mg/mL lysozyme, 10 µg/mL Dnase I, protease inhibitor cocktail, 10 mM CHAPS, incubated overnight at 4°C, then for an additional 2 hr at 25°C. The cell suspension was then sonicated for 2 min on ice.

CafA purification was carried out with the Pierce GST Spin Purification Kit (Thermo Fisher). Seventeen mL of crude cell lysate were bound to the GST column for 2 hr at room temperature and the column was then centrifuged to remove unbound protein according to the specifications of the manufacturer. After washing the column with loading buffer, the GST tag was cleaved by the addition of 50 µL precision protease (GE Health) and overnight incubation at 4°C. Released CafA was then collected by centrifugation. The sample was then sequentially dialyzed against 30 mM, 20 mM, and 10 mM Tris for 2 hr each. CafA purity was determined by PAGE gels and protein concentration was determined using the BCA assay (Pierce).

**Conjugation of CafA protein with Palmitic acid (CafA-Palmitate Synthesis)**

CafA-palmitate was synthesized as previously described [137-139]. Briefly, 2 mg of purified CafA was dissolved in 1.2 mL of 2% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate (NaDC) in phosphate-buffered saline (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1.8 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 10 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$; PBS) and warmed to 37°C. Next, a solution with 14-fold molar excess of the palmitic acid-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (NHS- palmitic acid; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was prepared by dissolving NHS–palmitic acid in 2% (w/v) NaDC at 0.125 mg/mL. The solution was sonicated until well mixed in an ultrasonic bath and 800 µL of this solution was added dropwise to the reaction
vial containing CafA and reacted overnight at 37°C. To remove excess fatty acid and hydrolyzed ester, reactants were dialyzed against 1.2 L of PBS with 0.15% deoxycholate, using a 3,500 molecular weight cut-off dialysis tube. After overnight dialysis at 37°C, CafA-palmitate was stored at 4°C until use.

**Synthesis of CafA-modified nanoparticles**

Nanoparticles were synthesized using poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) carboxyl-terminated polymer (0.55–0.75 dL/g inherent viscosity; LACTEL®). To formulate CafA-modified nanoparticles, a previously described oil-in-water (o/w) single emulsion technique was used [140, 141]. Briefly, 100 mg PLGA was dissolved in 2 mL dichloromethane (DCM) by overnight incubation at 25°C. The next day, 2 mL of 5% (w/v) polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) was added to 2mL CafA-palmitate solution. This solution was vortexed and 2 mL of PLGA/DCM solution was added to it in a dropwise manner. The resulting solution was ultrasonicated and excess DCM was evaporated by adding the solution to 50 mL of 0.3% (w/v) PVA and mixing using a magnetic stir bar for 3 hr. After evaporation, the NP solution was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm (20,442 x g) at 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the NPs were washed twice with deionized water (diH2O) followed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm (20,442 x g) at 4°C for 10 min. After washing, CafA-modified NPs were suspended in 5 mL of diH2O, freeze dried at -80°C and lyophilized.

**Synthesis of CafA-modified NPs encapsulating C6/F-BAR/BAR**

For our experiments, three different types of CafA-modified NPs were synthesized. CafA-modified NPs encapsulating the fluorescent dye, Coumarin6 (C6), were synthesized to assess the binding functionality of CafA surface modification. CafA-modified NPs encapsulating fluorescent BAR (F-BAR) were synthesized to determine the loading and controlled release
characteristics of the NPs, and CafA-modified NPs encapsulating unlabeled BAR were synthesized to determine the efficacy of NP-mediated inhibition of *P. gingivalis* adherence to streptococci using a two-species biofilm model.

CafA-modified NPs encapsulating C6 were synthesized using a previously described w/o/w double emulsion solvent evaporation technique [138, 139]. Briefly, C6 was dissolved overnight in 200 μl DCM at a concentration of 15 μg/mg PLGA. In parallel, 100 mg of PLGA crystals were dissolved in 2 ml of DCM by overnight incubation at 25°C. The following day, the C6 DCM solution was first emulsified in the PLGA/DCM solution by vortexing followed by ultrasonication to achieve a homogenous suspension. Next, the homogenous suspension was added dropwise to a mixture of 2ml of 5% (w/v) polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) and 2ml CafA-palmitate while vortexing followed by ultrasonication. Excess DCM was evaporated and NPs were collected as described above. CafA-modified NPs encapsulating F-BAR/BAR were synthesized using a similar approach. All synthetic reactions were protected from exposure to light. For the synthesis of CafA-modified NPs encapsulating either F-BAR or unlabeled BAR, the peptide was dissolved in 200 μl Tris EDTA buffer (VWR; 100 mM Tris HCl, 10 mM EDTA at a pH of 8.0; T.E buffer) at a concentration of 43 μg/mg PLGA [133].
Figure 9: (A) Schematic representation of synthesis of CafA-modified NPs encapsulating BAR peptide using double emulsion solvent evaporation technique. (B) Collection of NPs after solvent evaporation, centrifugation and washing.

Bottom image adapted from McCall, R.L., Sirianni, R.W. PLGA Nanoparticles Formed by Single or Double-emulsion with Vitamin E-TPGS. J. Vis. Exp. (82), e51015.
NP Characterization: NP morphology and size

Unhydrated NP morphology, diameter, and size distribution were determined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, XL-30 ESEM-FEG SEM, FEI Company, USA). Lyophilized NPs were mounted on carbon tape and sputter coated with a thin layer of gold/palladium. Average diameters of 500 particles were determined from SEM images (n=3) using image analysis software (ImageJ, National Institutes of Health, version 1.5a, ImageJ.nih.gov).

Quantification of Surface Density of CafA

CafA-modified NPs were synthesized using varying input concentrations of CafA protein (5 to 80 ug/mg polymer). For each input condition, the resulting concentration of CafA conjugated to the NP surface was measured using the microBCA assay (Pierce). CafA-modified NPs (1 mg) were suspended in 1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in PBS. Aliquots (100 uL) of the NP samples were analyzed in triplicate in a microtiter plate and NP-associated absorbance was measured by spectrophotometry at a wavelength of 562 nm. The concentration of CafA was determined by comparing absorbance values to a known standard curve of CafA and subtracting the background absorbance values of unmodified NPs (control group).

Loading and release kinetics of BAR peptide from unmodified and CafA-modified NPs

Nanoparticles modified with an intermediate density of CafA (20 ug/mg polymer) were selected for subsequent characterization and functionality studies. To determine BAR peptide loading, approximately 2 mg CafA-modified NPs encapsulating F-BAR were dissolved in 1 mL DMSO. Aliquots (100 uL) of the NP samples were analyzed in triplicate in a microtiter plate and the amount of F-BAR in the dissolved solution was determined by measuring fluorescence
(488/518 nm excitation/emission) and quantified by comparing these values to a known standard curve of F-BAR.

To analyze the release kinetics of F-BAR, aliquots of CafA-modified and unmodified NPs encapsulating F-BAR were incubated in microcentrifuge tubes containing 1 mL PBS (pH 7.4) at 37°C with gentle horizontal agitation. At fixed time points (1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 hr) after the initial suspension, the samples were centrifuged at 18,900 x g and the supernatant was collected. The pelleted NPs were then suspended in fresh PBS and incubated until the next time point. The amount of F-BAR in the supernatant was determined by measuring fluorescence (488/518nm excitation/emission) and quantified by comparing these values to a known standard curve of F-BAR.

**Determination of functionality of surface modification**

The functionality of surface modification and preservation of the function of CafA protein during NP synthesis was analyzed using two approaches.

To determine the duration of retention of CafA-modified C6 NPs on *S. gordonii* DL-1 cells, *S. gordonii* was cultured as previously described and bacterial cells were harvested by centrifuging 10 mL of culture at 3700 x g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pelleted cells were suspended in 1 mL of 1X PBS. The O.D. at 600 nm of the cell suspension was adjusted to 0.2 and 100 µL of the *S. gordonii* cell suspension was added to each well of a 96-well microtiter plate and incubated overnight at 4°C. After removing unbound cells, the wells were blocked for non-specific binding with 300 µL of 0.3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 hr. Thereafter, the microtiter plate was washed three times with 1X PBS containing 0.05% Tween (PBST). Immobilized *S. gordonii* cells were then incubated with 100 µL of CafA-modified C6 NPs (0.25 mg/mL), avidin-modified C6 NPs (0.25 mg/mL) or PBST in the absence of NPs in triplicate for 1 hr on a rocker platform. After washing three times with PBST, the cell-
associated fluorescence was measured using Synergy HT reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) (485/520 nm excitation/emission). After subtracting the control fluorescence (S. gordonii in PBST), this initial reading (at t = zero) was defined as 100% binding. After obtaining the initial reading, 100 µL of PBST was added to each well and at fixed time points (1, 2, 4 and 8 hr), the PBST was removed and the cell-associated fluorescence that remained was measured. Subsequently, an additional aliquot of fresh PBST was added per well and incubated until the next time point was reached.

For determining the specificity of CafA adhesion, the adherence of CafA-modified C6 NPs to S. gordonii DL-1, S. oralis SO34, P. gingivalis ATCC 33277, A. actinomycetemcomitans 652 or S. mutans KPSP2 cells was measured. CafA binds to receptor polysaccharides (RPS) found only on commensal oral streptococci such as S. gordonii and S. oralis. It does not bind to bacteria that are RPS- negative and therefore, P. gingivalis ATCC 33277, A. actinomycetemcomitans 652 and S. mutans KPSP2 were selected as negative bacterial controls. Each of the organisms was cultured and harvested as described previously, and the final O.D. at 600 nm for each cell suspension was adjusted to 0.2. The bacterial cells were immobilized on a 96-well microtiter plate as described above and after overnight incubation, wells were blocked for non-specific binding with 300 µL of 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 hr. The plate was washed three times with PBST and immobilized bacterial cells were incubated with 100 µL of CafA-modified C6 NPs (0.25 mg/mL) or with PBST in triplicate for 1 hr on a rocker platform. The microtiter plate was again washed three times with PBST and cell-associated fluorescence was measured (485/520 nm excitation/emission). To determine the final cell associated fluorescence, the reading obtained from bacteria incubated in PBST alone (background) was subtracted from that of bacteria incubated with CafA-modified C6 NPs. Data was analyzed using an unpaired t-test.
Figure 10: Schematic of duration of retention assay. *S. gordonii* cells were immobilized on a microtiter plate and incubated with CafA C6-NPs. At fixed time points, the supernatant containing the unbound NPs was removed and the cell associated fluorescence was measured. Thereafter, fresh buffer was added to the wells, until the next time point was reached. Avidin-modified C6 NPs were used as controls. To measure specificity of adhesion, adherence of CafA-modified NPs to *S. mutans* KPSP2, *S. oralis* SO34, *P. gingivalis* ATCC 33277 or *A. actinomycetemcomitans* 652 cells was compared to the level of adherence to *S. gordonii* DL-1 cells.
CafA-modified NP-mediated inhibition of *P. gingivalis* adherence to streptococci

*S. gordonii* DL-1 was cultured as previously described and bacterial cells were harvested by centrifuging 10 mL of culture at 3700 x g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pelleted cells were suspended in 1 mL of 1X PBS in a microcentrifuge tube. The cells were labelled with 20 µL of 10 mM hexidium iodide (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 15 min on a rocker platform at room temperature. The microcentrifuge tube was covered with foil, centrifuged at 3700 x g for 5 min, and the pelleted cells were suspended in 1 mL of 1X PBS. The O.D. at 600 nm was measured as previously described and adjusted to 0.8. One mL of the resulting cell suspension was added to each well of a 12-well microtiter plate containing a glass coverslip. The cells were incubated overnight under anaerobic conditions on a rocker platform and protected from light.

On the following day, the wells were washed to remove unbound *S. gordonii* cells. The immobilized *S. gordonii* cells were incubated with CafA-modified BAR NPs (treatment) or CafA-modified blank NPs (control) at a concentration of 240 µg/mL for different durations on a rocker platform. Due to the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) of free BAR peptide equivalent to 1.3 µM or ~4 µg, and NP loading results, we calculated that 240 µg CafA-modified BAR NPs would encapsulate an equivalent amount of BAR. After the first hour of binding (t = 0) and at each subsequent time point (t = 2, 4, 8, 12 hr) the supernatant containing the unbound NPs and released BAR was removed and *P. gingivalis* was added in triplicate to the control and treatment plates as described below.

*P. gingivalis* ATCC 33277 was cultured and harvested as previously described. *P. gingivalis* was labelled with 15 µL of 5-(6) carboxyfluorescien-succinylester (4 mg/mL) for 30 min, centrifuged at 3700 x g for 2 min and the pelleted cells were suspended in 1 mL of 1X PBS. The O.D. at 600 nm was measured and adjusted to 0.4. At each time point, 1 mL of labelled *P. gingivalis* cell suspension (O.D. 0.4) was added to the treatment and control plates in
triplicate. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hr under anaerobic conditions. The subsequent day the supernatant was removed, the wells were washed with 1X PBS to remove the unbound bacterial cells. The adherent cells were fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde. The coverslips were mounted on a glass slide using Prolong gold anti-fade reagent and fixed with clear nail polish. The prepared slides were stored at 4°C.

**Confocal Microscopy and Imaging**

The dual-species biofilms were visualized using a LEICA SP8 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL) under 60 X magnification. Three dimensional z-stack biofilm images were obtained using a z-step size of 0.7 µm. Images were analyzed using Volocity software (version 6.3; Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) to quantify the bacterial populations by quantifying fluorescence (*S. gordonii* – red, *P. gingivalis* – green). Adherence of *P. gingivalis* to streptococci was determined by measuring the green to red fluorescence ratio (GR). Inhibition at each time point was analyzed in triplicate (treatment and control plates) and three independent frames were obtained for each well. The percentage of *P. gingivalis* inhibition was calculated using the formula: \((1 - \frac{GR \text{ treatment}}{GR \text{ control}}) \times 100\). The mean and S.D. of inhibition at each time point was calculated and the data was analyzed using a paired t-test.
Figure 11: Schematic representation of inhibition assay using dual-species biofilm. The efficacy of the CafA-modified NPs was evaluated by performing functional inhibition assays using a two species biofilm model.
CHAPTER 4

RESULTS

NP Characterization: NP morphology and size

The morphology of CafA-modified BAR NPs, relative to unmodified BAR NPs, is shown in Figure 12. CafA-modified BAR NPs demonstrated a spherical morphology and were smaller relative to unmodified BAR NPs. The average unhydrated diameters of CafA-modified BAR NPs and unmodified BAR NPs measured from SEM images were 89.7 ± 26.3 nm and 165.8 ± 33.4 nm, respectively.
**Figure 12:** SEM images of (A) CafA-modified BAR-encapsulated NPs and (B) Unmodified BAR-encapsulated NPs PLGA NPs. Images are representative of a minimum of 3 independent samples, with n > 500 NPs assessed in total. Scale bar represents 1 µm.

*This data was collected by Mohamed Y. Mahmoud*
Quantification of ligand surface density

The concentration of CafA conjugated to the surface of the NP was measured using the microBCA assay. The total protein content ranged from 3 to 36 µg CafA/mg polymer and varied directly with the input concentration of CafA (5 to 80 µg CafA/mg polymer) used during synthesis. The conjugation efficiency ranged from 45 to 79%, with higher conjugation efficiency observed at lower concentrations (Figure 13, Table 2). Although saturation was not achieved under these conditions, the results suggest that an increased surface density may be attained with higher CafA input.
**Figure 13:** Quantification of surface density of ligands. The total amount of CafA conjugated to the NP surface was determined using the microBCA assay. The amount of CafA conjugated to the NP surface varied directly with the input concentration of CafA during synthesis. Data represent mean NP associated CafA ± S.D, n = 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Concentration (µg CafA/ mg NP)</th>
<th>Output Concentration (µg CafA/ mg NP)</th>
<th>Conjugation Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.4 ± 2.6</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>25.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>36.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Input and output concentration of CafA**

**Data collected together with Mohamed Y. Mahmoud**
**Loading and release kinetics of BAR peptide from unmodified and CafA-modified NPs**

Nanoparticles modified with an intermediate density of CafA (20 ug/mg polymer) were selected for subsequent characterization and functionality studies, as they represent a practical minimum modification density that in preliminary studies (and results here) provided a therapeutically-relevant concentration of BAR release. To determine the loading of BAR peptide in unmodified and CafA-modified NPs, the amount of F-BAR from dissolved NPs was determined by measuring fluorescence (488/518 nm excitation/emission) and quantified by comparing these values to an F-BAR standard curve. Loading experiments showed that unmodified and CafA-modified NPs encapsulated 16.95 ± 0.8 and 15.73 ± 1.9 µg of BAR per mg of NP respectively, corresponding to loading efficiencies of 39% and 37% (Table 3), suggesting that surface modification at this density had minimal effect on BAR loading.

To measure BAR release, sample eluates were taken 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 hr after incubation in PBS. The overall release trends showed that CafA-modified NPs demonstrated slower release of BAR, relative to unmodified NPs; however, inhibitory concentrations of BAR peptide (2 to 4 µg/mg NP) were released from CafA-modified NPs at each of the measured time points up to 8 hr. For unmodified NPs, more rapid release profiles were observed, with greater than 50% of BAR peptide released within 1 hr and a plateau in release after. After 2, 4, 8 and 24 hr, less than 1 µg of peptide/mg NP was released from the unmodified NPs (Figure 14), demonstrating inadequate, non-inhibitory levels of release. In comparison, CafA-modified NPs released 23% of BAR during the first hour, and inhibitory concentrations (3.1, 2.7, 2.9, and 3.3 µg/mg) of BAR peptide after 2, 4, 8, and 24 hr. Cumulatively, after 24 hr, BAR peptide (15.5 µg/mg) was completely released from CafA-modified BAR NPs, whereas 61% of the encapsulated BAR (10.3 µg/mg) was released from unmodified BAR-encapsulated NPs. Thus, after 24 hr, the total quantity of BAR released from CafA-modified NPs was significantly higher than the amount of BAR released from unmodified NPs.
**Table 3: Encapsulation efficiency of CafA-modified and unmodified NPs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NP Type</th>
<th>BAR input (µg/mg)</th>
<th>BAR output (µg/mg)</th>
<th>Encapsulation Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unmodified NPs</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16.95 ± 0.8</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CafA-modified NPs</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15.73 ± 1.9</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 14:** Release kinetics of BAR peptide from unmodified and CafA-modified NPs

Cumulative release of BAR as (A) function of mass (µg BAR per mg NP) and (B) percent of total BAR loaded over 24 hr. Asterisks denote a statistically significant difference between two groups (* p < 0.01, ** p < 0.001, *** p < 0.0001, **** p < 0.00001).

**These data were collected together with Mohamed Y. Mahmoud**
Determination of functionality of surface modification

Duration of retention of CafA-modified C6 NPs

CafA-modified C6 NPs were incubated with immobilized *S. gordonii* cells for 1 hr, after which unbound NPs were washed and cell-associated fluorescence was measured to determine the amount of NPs that initially bound to *S. gordonii* (*t* = 0). As shown in Figure 15A, after the first hour of binding (*t* = 0), CafA-modified NPs bound to *S. gordonii* at a 2.3-fold higher concentration (5.7 µg/mL), relative to non-specific avidin-modified NPs (2.5 µg/mL). The most significant dissociation of CafA-modified NPs from *S. gordonii* was observed within 1 hr of assessing initial binding (*t* = 1), after which NP dissociation stabilized for both CafA-modified and avidin-modified NPs. After 8 hr, 65% (3.7 µg/mL) of CafA-modified and 56% of avidin-modified NPs (1.4 µg/mL) remained associated with *S. gordonii*, resulting in a 2.5-fold higher concentration of CafA-modified NPs bound to *S. gordonii* (Figure 15B). These results indicate that the ratio of CafA-modified to avidin-modified NPs bound to *S. gordonii* was maintained after the first wash and suggest that CafA modification enhances NP retention by increasing the concentration of NPs that initially bind to *S. gordonii*. After 8 hr, similar rates of subsequent dissociation resulted in a higher concentration of CafA-modified NPs remaining bound to *S. gordonii*.
**Figure 15:** Duration of retention of CafA-modified C6 NPs. (A) After one hour of initial binding (t=0), a 2.3-fold higher concentration of CafA-modified C6 NPs (5.7 µg/mL) bound to S. g. relative to avidin-modified C6 NPs (2.5 µg/mL) and this difference in concentration was maintained for up to 8 hr. (B) 65% of CafA-modified C6 NPs remain bound to S. g. after 8 hr. (C) Concentration of CafA-modified NPs bound to S. g. normalized against Avidin-modified NPs. Asterisks denote a statistically significant difference between two groups (* p < 0.01, ** p < 0.001, *** p < 0.0001, **** p < 0.00001).

**Data collected together with Mohamed Y. Mahmoud**
Specificity of adhesion of CafA-modified C6 NPs

While the overall binding of CafA-modified NPs to S. gordonii is important, we sought to assess the differences in CafA-modified NP binding across several bacteria to determine the specificity of NP adhesion. The adherence of CafA-modified NPs to S. gordonii DL-1 was measured relative to S. oralis SO34, S. mutans KPSP2, P. gingivalis ATCC 33277 and A. actinomycetemcomitans 652 cells. For commensal oral streptococci, S. gordonii DL-1 and S. oralis SO34, that express the receptor polysaccharides (RPS), there was no statistical significance in the concentration of bound CafA-modified NPs between groups. For bacterial groups that were RPS-negative, CafA-modified NPs bound to S. gordonii DL-1 at a 1.8-fold higher concentration relative to S. mutans KPSP2 and 2.6-fold higher concentration relative to P. gingivalis ATCC 33277 and A. actinomycetemcomitans 652. The concentration of CafA-modified NPs bound to S. gordonii DL-1 relative to S. mutans KPSP2, P. gingivalis ATCC 33277 and A. actinomycetemcomitans 652 was found to be statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$). Among non-commensal streptococci, the concentration of CafA-modified NPs bound to S. mutans KPSP2 relative to P. gingivalis ATCC 33277 and A. actinomycetemcomitans 652 was found to be statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$).
Figure 16: Specificity of CafA-modified C6 NP adhesion. CafA binds the receptor polysaccharides (RPS) found only on commensal oral streptococci such as S. gordonii and S. oralis. It does not bind to bacteria lacking the receptor and therefore, CafA-modified NPs bound to S. gordonii DL-1 (S. g.) and S. oralis SO34 (S. o.) at a higher concentration than S. mutans KPSP2 (S. m.), P. gingivalis ATCC 33277(P. g.), and A. actinomycetemcomitans 652 (A. a.), demonstrating specificity of adherence. Asterisks denote a statistically significant difference between two groups (* p < 0.01, ** p < 0.001, *** p < 0.0001, **** p < 0.00001).
**CafA-modified NP-mediated Inhibition of *P. gingivalis* adherence to streptococci**

Functional inhibition assays were performed to determine the impact of CafA-modified BAR NPs on the inhibition of *P. gingivalis* adhesion to *S. gordonii*. Immobilized *S. gordonii* were incubated with CafA-modified BAR NPs (treatment group) or CafA-modified blank NPs (control control) for 1 hr. After the first hour of binding (t = 0) and at each subsequent time point (t = 2, 4, 8, 12 hr) the supernatant containing the unbound NPs and released BAR was removed and *P. gingivalis* was added to the control and treatment plates. The plates were incubated for 24 hr and the formed biofilms were visualized using confocal microscopy. Representative images of treatment and control biofilms are shown in Figure 17. At each time point (t = 0, 2, 4, 8 and 12 hr), *P. gingivalis* adherence to *S. gordonii* was significantly reduced in the presence of CafA-modified BAR NPs, relative to control CafA-modified blank NPs (Table 4). After initial administration for one hour (t = 0), *P. gingivalis* adherence was inhibited by 87.9 ± 4.1% and maintained more than 80% inhibition after 2 and 4 hr, relative to control CafA-modified blank NPs. After 8 and 12 hr, time frames relevant to oral administration regimens, *P. gingivalis* binding to *S. gordonii* was inhibited by 66.3 ± 4.1% and 40.6 ± 9.3%, demonstrating the potential of CafA-modified BAR NPs to significantly inhibit *P. gingivalis* adherence to *S. gordonii*. 
Figure 17(A-E): Functional inhibition assay demonstrating inhibition of *P. gingivalis* adherence to *S. gordonii*. CafA-modified BAR NPs inhibited *P. gingivalis* adherence for more than 8 hr relative to CafA-modified blank NPs (control). Biofilms were visualized using confocal microscopy and the ratio of green (*P. g.*) to red (*S. g.*) fluorescence in z-stack images was determined using Volocity software.
**Table 4:** Percent inhibition of *P. gingivalis* adherence to *S. gordonii* at various time points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Point</th>
<th>Inhibition of <em>P.g.</em> Adherence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t = 0 hr</td>
<td>87.9 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 2 hr</td>
<td>85.5 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 4 hr</td>
<td>81.4 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 8 hr</td>
<td>66.3 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t = 12 hr</td>
<td>40.6 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The adherence of \textit{P. gingivalis} to \textit{S. gordonii} is a crucial, initial event which facilitates the colonization of \textit{P. gingivalis} in the oral cavity. The binding of \textit{P. gingivalis} to \textit{S. gordonii} is driven by a protein–protein interaction between Mfa1, the structural subunit of the minor fimbriae of \textit{P. gingivalis}, and discrete domains of the streptococcal cell surface protein, SspB, of the antigen I/II family [125, 127, 142, 143]. These early interactions provide ideal targets for therapeutic interventions to limit the supragingival colonization of \textit{P. gingivalis} in the oral cavity. Previous studies have shown that a synthetic peptide designated BAR potently inhibits \textit{P. gingivalis}/\textit{S. gordonii} adherence and reduces the virulence of \textit{P. gingivalis} in a murine model of periodontitis [67, 128, 129]. However, owing to the constant flow of saliva in the oral cavity, free peptide is only transiently retained. Moreover, a higher concentration of free peptide is needed to disrupt pre-established \textit{P. gingivalis} biofilms.

To overcome this challenge, we developed polymeric nanoparticles that encapsulate BAR. BAR-encapsulated NPs ($IC_{50} = 0.7 \ \mu M$) were found to be more efficacious relative to free peptide ($IC_{50} = 1.3 \ \mu M$) and inhibited pre-established biofilms potently. However, similar to free peptide, BAR-encapsulated NPs also lack a mechanism by which to prolong retention in the oral cavity. Since BAR-encapsulated NPs release BAR peptide over the course of hours, they may benefit from a strategy to augment their retention in the oral cavity [133]. Given this, the goal of this study was to formulate NPs with improved binding to the GalNAcβ1-3Gal motif.
of the receptor polysaccharides (RPS) expressed by commensal oral streptococci. In parallel we sought to prolong the release of inhibitory concentrations of BAR peptide for 8 to 12 hr, for future application in oral hygiene products, such as oral gels, that are traditionally administered twice daily.

CafA is the tip fimbrillin of type 2 fimbriae of A. oris, and during plaque development, it mediates the coaggregation of A. oris with commensal oral streptococci. CafA binds to the GalNAcβ1-3Gal motif of the RPS found on commensal oral streptococci such as S. gordonii and S. oralis that promote P. gingivalis adherence. We hypothesized that functionalization of BAR-encapsulated NPs with CafA would promote the adherence of BAR-encapsulated NPs to oral commensal streptococci such as S. gordonii, indicating future potential in targeting to S. gordonii harboring niches of the oral cavity. Since the S. gordonii/P. gingivalis interaction promotes supragingival P. gingivalis colonization, accumulation of BAR-encapsulated NPs and the localized, prolonged release of BAR from this niche may potently inhibit S. gordonii/P. gingivalis biofilm formation and reduce P. gingivalis colonization of the oral cavity.

Surface modification of the NPs with ligands such as CafA can be accomplished in two ways; (i) by chemical conjugation or physical adsorption of the ligands on the NP surface after NP formation, or (ii) by linking the ligands to components of the NP (eg: polymer) before formation [144]. Previous studies have shown that the lack of functional groups on the aliphatic polyester backbone of PLGA makes coupling ligands on the surfaces of the nanoparticles particularly challenging. While adsorption of ligands on the surfaces of the NPs is an effective approach for surface modification, there are drawbacks associated with this approach including limited density of surface ligands and decreased targeting effects over time due to desorption of ligands and NP degradation [137]. It has been previously shown that coupling ligands with a lipid (eg: palmitic acid) to form an amphiphilic molecule prior to NP synthesis enhances the density of ligands incorporated into the PLGA matrix and helps to achieve a stable protein
coat on NP surfaces [137, 145, 146]. To facilitate a sustained presentation of ligands, we conjugated CafA to palmitic acid prior to its addition during NP synthesis. Following synthesis, we selected NPs with an intermediate density of surface ligands (20 µg/mg polymer) for further characterization and functional studies. Although in general, higher ligand density increases binding avidity via multivalent interactions, several studies have reported that NPs with intermediate ligand density exhibit higher binding relative to higher ligand densities [116-118]. Several explanations have been offered for this effect including steric interference; improper ligand orientation which may impede ligand function; or ligand overcrowding, leading to competition between ligands for the same receptor [116-118]. Additionally, these reports have suggested that increasing the ligand density beyond a certain threshold does not improve binding efficiency [147, 148]. While NPs were formulated with an intermediate CafA concentration, due to the feasibility of using a practical amount of peptide and the satisfactory release properties, these results demonstrate the effectiveness of the formulated NPs in functionality and efficacy. Future studies may focus on improving the efficacy of CafA-modified NPs by tuning ligand surface density to optimize binding efficiency without impeding BAR release kinetics.

While both formulations exhibited high peptide loading, it is well known that polymeric NPs that encapsulate hydrophilic agents demonstrate high burst release due to the entrapment of the encapsulant in the form of small clusters on the surface or within the polymer matrix just below the surface of the particles during synthesis [149]. Studies have shown that surface modification using amphipathic molecules slows the release of the encapsulant from the polymeric NPs [102, 137, 149-151] due to the increased hydrophobic stabilization and uniform dispersion of the encapsulant in the polymer matrix [152]. Our results were consistent with these findings in that unmodified BAR NPs demonstrated more rapid release corresponding to a burst (< 50% peptide) within one hour of delivery. We attribute these findings to the
release of BAR that is adsorbed at, or just underneath the surface of the polymer matrix. After the first hour, minimal additional peptide was released from unmodified NPs. In comparison, CafA-modified NPs demonstrated a more gradual release of BAR peptide over 24 hr, likely because to the increased hydrophobic stabilization and uniform dispersion of the peptide within the matrix due to the presence of the Caf-palmitate conjugates on the NP surface. Cumulatively over 24 hr, while 61% of the BAR peptide was released from unmodified NPs, Caf-modified NPs exhibited a near complete release of the BAR peptide. This improved release was likely due to surface modification with hydrophilic ligands, which facilitated the drawing out of a higher amount of BAR peptide from CafA-modified NPs, promoting a complete release of the peptide payload.

In addition to high loading and favorable release kinetics, another desirable characteristic is NP retention at target sites for a prolonged duration. Given the site-specific nature of periodontal disease, local application of therapeutic agents has been found to have better patient acceptance, reduced side-effects and improved clinical outcomes. Although therapeutic agents applied directly to periodontal pockets are effective, they too get drained by the gingival crevicular fluid and saliva flow. Therefore, improving the binding characteristics of delivery vehicles can increase residence time at target sites and enhance NP efficacy. Here we targeted CafA-modified NPs to RPS-expressing bacteria such as S. gordonii, which promote P. gingivalis colonization. Our results suggest that CafA-modified NPs demonstrate high binding efficiency and specificity to RPS-expressing commensal bacteria relative to other bacteria including S. mutans, P. gingivalis and A.actinomycetemcomitans. Moreover, CafA-modified NPs remained bound to S. gordonii cell surfaces for a prolonged duration (over 8 hr). Functionally, in a dual-species biofilm, CafA-modified NPs inhibit P. gingivalis adherence to S. gordonii potently for up to 8 hr. While these results seem promising, future experiments will seek to develop NPs that can be retained at target sites for longer durations (12-24 hr).
Overall our study suggests that surface modification of NPs with specific biological ligands such as CafA can facilitate NP targeting and adherence to specific receptors on the surfaces of *S. gordonii* cells for a prolonged duration. Moreover, CafA-modified NPs release inhibitory concentrations of the BAR peptide and potently inhibit *P. gingivalis* adherence to *S. gordonii* for a duration of time relevant to delivery in the oral cavity. CafA-modified NPs represent an efficacious vehicle for targeting BAR peptide to *P. gingivalis* preferred niches.

Future experiments will focus on optimizing the surface density of CafA and evaluating the functionality of these NPs. We anticipate that optimizing the surface density of CafA further will also enhance the retention, drug loading and release kinetics of modified NPs for 12 to 24 hr. In the long term, we hope to apply this work to incorporate CafA-modified NPs into oral gel formulations and test their effectiveness in clinical trials.
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