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ABSTRACT

TOBACCO ENHANCES BACTERIAL-INDUCED PERIODONTAL BONE LOSS IN MICE

Mina Iskander

July 30, 2020

**Background:** Tobacco smoking is the leading environmental risk factor for periodontal diseases. Delineation of the mechanisms underlying tobacco-induced or exacerbated periodontitis is hampered by the lack of an appropriate and reliable animal model.

**Hypothesis:** We hypothesized that *Porphyromonas-gingivalis*-infected, cigarette smoke-exposed mice would represent reproducible models of acute (ligature model) and chronic (oral gavage model) tobacco-enhanced periodontitis that reflect multiple aspects of the disease noted in human smokers.

**Methods:** In a chronic oral gavage disease model, Balb/c mice (6-8 weeks, 4 groups of \( n = 6 \) per group) were exposed to smoke produced by a Teague-10 smoking machine from 1R6F research cigarettes (20 cigarettes per day over 3 hours; mean carbon monoxide (CO), 150 ppm; mean particulate exposure, 4.9 mg/m\(^3\)) or exposed to ambient air, over 68 days. The mice were repeatedly orally inoculated with *Streptococcus gordonii* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* or sham inoculated. At euthanasia, the IgM and IgG response to infection; systemic inflammatory mediators; specific local gingival inflammatory indices (IL-1\(\beta\), MMP-8, MMP-9, CD14 and CD45); as well as alveolar bone loss were assessed.
In an acute ligature-induced disease model, Balb/c mice (6-8 weeks, 4 groups of \( n = 7 \) per group) were exposed to smoke (20 cigarettes per day over 3 hours; mean CO, 200 ppm; mean particulate exposure, 9.8 mg/m\(^3\)) or exposed to ambient air, over 14 days. The mice were repeatedly orally inoculated with \( P. \) gingivalis or sham inoculated. At euthanasia, IgM and IgG response to infection, alveolar bone loss was assessed. Plans to assess local and systemic inflammatory indices were curtailed by the Covid-19 outbreak.

**Results:** In a chronic model of periodontitis, tobacco smoke exposure enhanced bacteria-induced bone loss \((p< 0.01)\). Systemic innate immune suppression was also apparent, as indicated by reduced levels of systemic CCL2, CXCL1, MIP-1b,GM-CSF,IL-13, and IL-10 (all \( p< 0.05\)), while local expression of MMP-8 was augmented in infected mice \((p< 0.05)\). However, tobacco smoke exposure did not influence murine mass, IgM or IgG, or the mRNA signal of inflammatory mediator’s in murine gingiva.

In the acute model of periodontitis, tobacco smoke exposure, again, enhanced bacteria-induced bone loss \((p< 0.01)\). Body mass differentials were also influenced by smoke exposure \((p<0.001)\). However, no significant differences between groups were noted in the IgM and IgG responses.

**Conclusions:** Tobacco-enhanced periodontitis, as assessed by alveolar bone resorption, in both acute and chronic murine models. Such models will facilitate multiple studies that can provide mechanistic insights into increased susceptibility to periodontal diseases in smokers.
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I. Smoking

1.1 Smoking prevalence and ill-health:

Tobacco smoking is a primary preventable driver of morbidity and mortality globally accounting for 7 million deaths per annum, including the 480,000 fatalities associated with passive smoking [1]. In 2018, the prevalence of smoking in the U.S. population remains significant, with the number adult cigarette smokers estimated at >34 million adults [2] despite campaigns to minimize or eliminate consumption. According to the World Health Organization (W.H.O.), there were around 1.3 billion smokers worldwide in 2003, primarily residing in middle- and low-income countries, a number that is expected to rise to 1.7 billion by the end of 2020 [3]. U.S. smoking prevalence is highest among in middle-aged individuals (45-64 years) at 18.0%, is 17.6% in adults of 25-44 years, 13.1% in young adults (18-24 years), and 8.8% in the elderly (65 years or older) [4]. The W.H.O. suggests tobacco use is responsible for the annual deaths of 6 million people worldwide, a number projected to rise to 7 million in 2020 and to >8 million by 2030, assuming unabated smoking rates [5].

Smoking imposes a heavy economic burden, particularly in North America and Europe, where the tobacco epidemic is most advanced [6] estimated to be
approximately 0.5 trillion dollars annually all over the world[5]. In 2010, smoking was estimated to account for 8.7% of the aggregated annual healthcare spending in U.S. Approximately two-thirds of this cost was met through Medicaid, Medicare or other governmental programs [7]. In the United States the percent attributable fraction of deaths due to smoking in U.S. adults in 2014 was highest in Kentucky (22.1%) followed by Arkansas (21.5%), Nevada (21.3%), Tennessee (21.1%), West Virginia (20.6%), Oklahoma (20.2%) and Missouri (20.1%) [8].

About half of all smokers suffer from serious smoking related diseases [9]. Smoking is the major driver of at least 30% of all cancer deaths and is associated with 80% of early deaths from cardiovascular disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases [10]. Furthermore, smokers are more susceptible than nonsmokers to premalignant lesions, systemic lupus erythematosus, hypertension, osteoporosis, diabetes, Crohn’s disease, impotence and destructive periodontal diseases [11-13].

The most common product smoked is cigarettes but also smoking can include electronic cigarettes, water pipes, pipes, cigar and cigarillos [14]. Indeed, more than 7000 constituents that are toxic to human health are contained in cigarettes and at least 69 are carcinogenic. Some of these constituents are naturally found in tobacco (e.g., nicotine), some are added during the manufacturing process (e.g., ammonia), but most are generated during the burning process (e.g., acrolein) [15, 16].
1.2 Smoking and infectious diseases:

Smoking, either active or secondhand, increases susceptibility to a multitude of infectious, including tuberculosis [17], nasopharyngeal and respiratory tract infections [18], surgical infections [19], bacterial meningitis [20] and, of particular importance here, periodontal diseases [21, 22]. Whereas the evidence to correlate the harmful consequences of smoking to infectious diseases is clear, the underlying mechanisms of predisposition require elucidation [23]. To summarize, tobacco smoking could enhance the risk of bacterial infection through three general mechanisms: (a) tobacco-induced host structural and physiological changes, and / or (b) enhancement of bacterial virulence, and/ or (c) immune dysregulation [12].

The structural changes induced by tobacco smoke may be dependent on the specific tissue. For example, cerebral vessels dilate upon exposure to smoke [24], whereas, peripheral arterial vessels constrict [25]. In the gingival and periodontal tissues, smoking leads to suppression of the gingival inflammation characterized by a compromised bleeding response to plaque associated with angiogenesis impairment rather than any acute vasoactive activity [26].

With respect to bacterial virulence, it was recently reported that cigarette smoke promotes the pathogenicity of variant species, such as *Staphylococcus aureus* [27], *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* [28] and, the subject of this research project, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* [22, 29]. While there is still much
research performed on this topic, smoking alters the phenotypic and genotypic virulence traits, to be addressed later.

Tobacco smoking affects both innate and adaptive immunity [30]. The innate immunity is significantly deregulated by smoke. For example, cigarette smoke negatively influences differentiation, viability and function, such as phagocytic capacity, of neutrophils and monocytes, aiding pathogenic colonization and infection [31, 32]. Tobacco smoke components also negatively regulates the maturation and function of dendritic cells, the primary antigen presenting cells required for adaptive immune function against pathogens [30, 33]. Indeed, T cell proliferation, antigen-mediated T-cell signaling and B cell responsiveness are all compromised by smoke exposure. function is compromised in smokers [34, 35]. There is a decrease in CD4 lymphocytes and increase in CD8 lymphocytes CD8 in heavy smokers [36]. Because CD4 lymphocytes stimulate B-cell proliferation and differentiation as well as synthesis of immunoglobulins, serum levels of Immunoglobulin G (IgG), an important anti-microbial antibody, in smokers are reduced when compared to non-smokers [35].

1.3 Smoking and the microbiome:

In recent years, the effects of smoking on the oral microbiome were extensively studied and the oral microbiome shift occurs in response to this environmental stress [37-39]. However, after periodontal nonsurgical treatment and smoking cessation, a vast number of health associated species recolonize in the
subgingival microbiome while a significantly lower abundance and prevalence of putative periodontal pathogens exists [40]. Smoking develops commensal-poor, pathogen-rich microbial ecosystem, allowing pathogen to proliferate even in clinically healthy individuals that closely resemble disease-associated communities [41, 42]. Smoking also may promote an anaerobic oral environment and a bacterial community with reduced capacity of xenobiotic degradation [37]. As mentioned early different infectious diseases are associated with smoking, we will focus on periodontal diseases.
II. Periodontal diseases

2.1 Periodontal disease classification:

Periodontal diseases include a wide variety of chronic inflammatory conditions of the bone, ligament (the connective tissue collagen fibers that anchor a tooth to alveolar bone) and soft tissues supporting the teeth and/or the gingiva. Periodontal diseases begin with localized inflammation of the gingiva, or gingivitis, characterized by reversible redness, swelling, and bleeding [43]. This inflammatory response is induced by dental plaque, a complex bacterial biofilm attached to the teeth and gingival surface. Further, periodontal disease initiation and progression is thought to be associated with dysbiotic ecological changes in the oral microbiome composition that occurs due to fluctuations in available nutrients including tissue breakdown products, interspecies microbial interactions and immune system subversion [44]. Host-derived proteinases are a key factor among the multifaceted aspects of an overall inflammatory response, particularly matrix metalloproteinases and related destructive enzymes which are thought to be upregulated, activated, and involved in soft and hard tissue destruction. Among other consequences, such proteases lead to loss of periodontium fibers helping the bacterial biofilm to migrate along the root surface [45]. The classification periodontal disease subtypes depend on severity of the disease (e.g., periodontal pocket depth magnitude, clinical attachment loss and alveolar bone loss at the affected site) and the geographical context (the number of affected teeth) [46].
2.2 Periodontal disease prevalence:

The high prevalence of periodontitis reported in adolescents, adults and older people makes it a dominant public health concern [47]. It is considered the sixth most common human disease [48]. Globally >500 million people suffer from severe periodontal disease, while total tooth loss has been reported in > 270 million people [49]. However, in USA, periodontitis has been reported to affect almost half of population aged over 30 years, representing over 60 million people [50]. Periodontitis-associated expenses comprise a significant part of the annual global economic burden over $400 billion for oral diseases [51].

2.3 Systemic disease and periodontal disease association:

A consistent body of evidence supports a negative association between periodontal diseases and systemic diseases, such as pulmonary infections and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [52-54], poor glycemic control and diabetes [55, 56], cardiovascular diseases and stroke [57, 58], rheumatoid arthritis [59-62], poor pregnancy outcome [63] And specific cancers. As an example, negative associations between periodontal disease pancreatic, esophageal, gastric and head and neck cancer have been reported [64-68]. Interestingly, each millimeter of alveolar bone loss, a common measure of periodontal disease severity, has been estimated to increase the risk of tongue cancer > 5.23 times [65].
2.4 Periodontal disease prevention and treatment modalities:

Good oral hygiene, which includes, regular tooth brushing and flossing, is crucial in preventing periodontal diseases [69]. Since smoking is a, or perhaps the, major risk factor for destructive forms of periodontal disease [26], smoking cessation can prevent a considerable proportion of periodontitis cases [70]. Indeed, the gingival bleeding response, suppressed in cigarette users [71], recovers rapidly following smoking cessation, indicating a recovery of the innate immune response to plaque bacteria [26]. Although the role of diet in dental caries is more significant compared to periodontal disease, poor diet can, nevertheless, negatively affect periodontal tissue homeostasis leading to disease progression [72]. For example, vitamin C scavenges excessive ROS, this nutrient is considered an important dietary oxidant in the periodontium [73]. Vitamin C also plays a key role in preventing and slows down the progression of periodontal disease by inducing the differentiation of periodontal ligament progenitor cells [74]. insufficient vitamin C intake increases periodontal disease risk in a dose-related manner [75].

The universal approach to treating periodontal disease is the instrumental debridement of dental plaque, sometimes accompanied by an antibiotic regimen. However, in case of severe subgingival pockets, surgical intervention can be necessary [76-78]. While mechanical debridement has been successfully implanted in the treatment of periodontal diseases, this technique has many drawbacks, for instance patient response is not ideally, universally and the outcome is multifactorial dependent [79]. Deep subgingival pockets may not be
completely accessed by scaling devices, mechanical debriding might also be inefficient against all periodontal pathogens, as well as other negative impacts, particularly dentin hypersensitivity and teeth loss, reduce the efficiency of mechanical treatment approaches [80]. Consequently, in conjunction with mechanical debridement, antimicrobial therapy has been suggested to inhibit pathogenic bacterial colonization and enhance clinical results [81, 82]. Considering the benefits of conjunctional localized or systemic antibiotics to the mechanical approach, these strategies reveal non-specific activity and affect beneficial organisms living in the oral cavity. In addition, there are many other potential threats including the growth of resistant bacteria, possible allergic reactions and development of opportunistic fungal infections, suggesting careful consideration [83]. Indeed, various non-surgical and surgical options are available to treat periodontitis. However, no periodontal treatment option has shown superiority over another option [84].

2.5 The etiology of periodontal diseases:

The onset and development of periodontal diseases are promoted by several factors, including the oral microbiome, the immune system, oral hygiene, systemic health, genetics and environmental risk factors, such diet, stress and – the focus of this work – tobacco use. Traditionally, two major hypotheses have been
considered. The non-specific plaque theory posited that specific bacteria did not play a role in the development of periodontitis. Rather, the combined bacterial insult was considered the primary etiological factor [85]. The specific plaque theory, on the other hand, posited that there are specific microbial organisms correlated with the development of periodontitis [85]. In the latter years of the 20th century, this developed into what is known as “red complex theory” which stated that a group of three bacterial species, *Treponema denticola*, *Tannerella forsythia* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, are most frequently associated with disease and so, treatment and prevention was aimed at their eradication [86]. However, this theory was based on assessments of bacterial species that were defined *a priori*. In other words, red complex theory is not a genuine reflection of the oral microbiome, which contains multiple uncultivable species and, more importantly, potential pathogens unrecognized at the time. In either case, the molecular probes that have been employed were highly selective.

The current paradigm, based on modern, total microbiome analyses in periodontal disease is based on the polymicrobial synergy and dysbiosis model, where periodontitis results from dysbiosis of the microbiome [87]. A diverse microbiota colonizes the gingival crevice where compatible organisms assemble into heterotypic communities. Normally, these communities are in balance with the host. The community’s microbial components can vary over time, from site to site and from one individual to another. Theoretically, colonization even at low levels by a keystone pathogen, such as *P. gingivalis*, can negatively influence host defense mechanisms and enhance the virulence of the entire community [88].
Such microbial dysbiosis is associated with a disruption of tissue homeostasis and ultimately leads to periodontal tissue destruction.

III. 3. Smoking and periodontal disease

3.1 Risk Factors:

Non-modifiable risk factors

Genetics is key to the noted variations in predisposition to periodontal diseases [46] and may explain approximately half the population variance in periodontitis susceptibility [89]. Genetic variations contributing to functional defects in neutrophils and in genes involved in cytokine production are of particular importance [90-92]. For instance, the intrafamilial occurrence of Papillon-Lefèvre syndrome (PLS), which is a rare autosomal recessive disorder, is associated with onset of periodontitis at childhood and early loss of both deciduous and permanent teeth. This syndrome caused by mutation in the cathepsin C gene [93, 94]. Prepubertal periodontitis in some families could represent partly penetrant PLS [95]. Another example, gene polymorphism of IL-1α has been associated with about four-fold increase in IL-1α protein levels in sever periodontitis patients [96]. IL-1 is a potent stimulators of bone resorption, and as hyperproduction of this cytokines following infection by periodontal pathogens is believed to be one of the mechanism of periodontal tissue destruction [97].
Different racial and ethnic groups frequently exhibit great variations in the outcome of periodontal diseases. Among the three largest race-ethnicity groups in the United States, adult blacks show the highest prevalence of periodontitis and the most periodontal tissue loss, followed by Mexican Americans, whereas whites show the lowest prevalence of disease and tissue loss [98].

Periodontal disease is regularly reported to be more prevalent or more severe in men than in women at compatible ages signifying a possible sex/gender entanglement in the disease pathogenesis [99-101]. Men exhibit poorer oral hygiene and report fewer visits to the dentist than do women. However, when correcting of oral hygiene, socioeconomic status, visits to the dentist and age, being male is still associated with more severe disease when either attachment loss or bone height is used as a measure of periodontal disease[102, 103].

Advancing age is another major non-modifiable risk factor [104], with clinical attachment loss significantly higher in patients aged 60-69 years compared to those in the 40-50 age bracket. [105].

Modifiable risk factors

Poor oral hygiene is associated with periodontal disease, and absence of sufficient tooth brushing and other oral hygiene measures may promote bacterial deposition and dental plaque buildup on teeth surface that can pave the way for inflammatory changes in periodontal tissue [46, 106].

Diabetes and periodontitis are complex chronic diseases, related by a close and bidirectional association. The risk of periodontitis in diabetic patients is
increased up to three times relative to healthy individuals. Moreover, the degree of glycemic control is essential in evaluating the risk since the risk for periodontitis increased with poor glycemic control [55, 107]. Periodontal disease is considered to be one of the six major complications of diabetes and the majority of evidence demonstrates a direct relationship between diabetes mellitus and periodontal disease [108]. Adult patients with type 2 diabetes or type 1 diabetic patients at all ages have more severe periodontal disease than age-matched healthy individuals [109-111].

It is also apparent that stress reduces salivary flow secretion which, in turn, can enhances plaque development [112]. A positive association was observed between stress scores, clinical attachment loss and tooth exfoliation [113]. Further, academic stress has been associated with poor oral hygiene and gingival inflammation, including elevated gingival crevicular levels of IL-1β [112].

Depression may be an additional risk factor for periodontal-disease. Various clinical studies imply a causal correlation between depression and periodontitis [114, 115]. Moreover, periodontal disease may contribute to the onset of depression through psychosocial effects (e.g. shame, isolation, embarrassment, loneliness) of poor oral hygiene and halitosis, frequent characteristics of patients with periodontal disease [115].

Marijuana, which is used widely for medical and recreational purposes in the United States, is a major emerging risk factor for chronic periodontitis. Multiple human studies showed increased alveolar bone loss in people using cannabis. Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2011-2012) verify
that frequent recreational cannabis use is associated with an increase in both pocket depth and clinical attachment loss, and higher chances of severe periodontitis [116]. Also, a recent meta-analysis demonstrated that cannabis use is associated with higher prevalence of periodontitis [117].

Cigarette smoking is perhaps the most significant environmental risk factors for periodontitis. Smoking alone may account for most cases of periodontitis in developed nations [26]. Smokers exhibits earlier disease onset [118], elevated rates of diseases progression [119], increased severity and prevalence of the disease [118, 120], and less favorable response to treatment [121], compared to non-smokers. Further, studies suggest that smoking has a strong dose-related influence on periodontal disease [122]. Clinical evidence shows increased gingival recession and greater periodontal ligament attachment loss and also deeper periodontal pocket depth formation and fewer teeth in smokers than non-smokers [21, 123, 124]. Compared to nonsmokers, young adult smokers aged 19 to 30 years have a higher prevalence and severity of periodontitis when controlled for plaque levels [125]. Nicotine, a major component of tobacco smoke, has been reported to contribute to degradation of periodontal collagen, and other key architectural proteins, by increasing expression and activity of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), and associated periodontal ligament detachment [126, 127]. Multiple studies have also indicated that nicotine and one of its major metabolites, cotinine, adversely affect human periodontal fibroblasts proliferation, attachment and chemotactic responsive in a dose-related manner [128, 129]. Acrolein, another harmful component of smoke may be an impactful player in
periodontal tissue destruction, producing a dose-related cytotoxic effect on human gingival fibroblasts, with complete inhibition of proliferation and attachment apparent at high concentrations [130]. In the inflamed area of periodontium in chronic periodontitis patients, a significant decrease in the number of vessels and a significant reduction in the intensity of the vascular reaction was observed in smokers versus nonsmokers [131]. These data suggest that smoking may induce angiogenesis suppression, providing a possible explanation of reduced gingival bleeding on probing (a common characteristics of periodontitis) in smokers compared to nonsmokers among periodontitis patients [26].

In sum, tobacco smokers are not only more susceptible to periodontal disease, but they are also more likely to develop a severe form of infection which is often times refractory to treatment [12, 21]. Moreover, the clinical parameters of periodontitis (redness, edema and gingival bleeding) don't correlate to the disease level in tobacco smokers, who consistently manifest a reduced inflammatory response [21, 132].

3.2 Quantification of smoking-related periodontitis risk:

Worldwide, there are approximately 1.1 billion smokers, most of whom live in low- and middle-income countries, where the morbidity and mortality burden of smoking is higher [133]. In a study in New York State, involving 1361 people aged 25 to 74 years, smokers were at greater risk of experiencing severe bone loss than nonsmokers, with odds ratios ranging from 3.25 (95% CI: 2.33 to 4.54) to 7.28
(95% CI: 5.09 to 10.31) for light and heavy smokers, respectively [103]. Data derived from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, conducted in 1988 to 1994 on 12,329 adults conclude that smoking may be responsible for more than half of periodontitis cases among adults in the United States [70]. A recent meta-analysis, pooled adjusted risk ratio estimate that smoking increases the risk of periodontitis by 85% with risk ratio 1.85 (95% CI: 5 to 2.2) [134]. All of these findings and others [135, 136] provide extensive evidence that smoking may be the major preventable risk factor for periodontitis.

3.3 Potential mechanisms of tobacco-enhanced periodontal disease:

Smoking compromises multiple and varied aspects of the innate and adaptive immune responses [13, 137]. Neutrophils are the primary leukocytes involved in host defense against bacterial invasion [91]. However, both in vivo and in vitro studies show that smoking impairs chemotaxis and phagocytosis in neutrophils in periodontal tissues [138, 139], leading to defective bacterial clearance and increased pathogen colonization.

Cigarette smoke exposure also causes T cell unresponsiveness. Nicotine impairs antigen mediated signal transduction in lymphocytes and induces a state of T cell anergy [140]. Nicotine inhibits the antibody forming cell responses through impairment of antigen mediated signaling in T cells by suppressing the intracellular calcium responses [36]. Besides affecting T cell responses, nicotine modulates the
production of inflammatory cytokines by alveolar macrophages [141]. Higher levels of TNF-α have been reported in smoker’s gingival cervical fluid (GCF) compared with non-smokers [142, 143]. Also, elevation in interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 levels in gingival cervical fluids was observed in periodontally diseased subjects as compared to healthy subjects [144]. In addition, higher concentration of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-8 [145] and MMP-9 in GCF, key host-derived destructive proteolytic enzymes, has been described [145, 146]. Smokers have a vast increase in ratio between the receptor activator of nuclear factor-κβ ligand (RANKL) and its inhibitor osteoprotegerin (OPG) [147]. Osteoclast which considered the key player in tissue degradation, differentiated from macrophage/monocyte precursor under the regulation of RANKL. Osteoclastic activity is promoted by TNF-α and IL-1 especially in inflammatory osteolysis states such as those seen in periodontitis [148]. Furthermore, smoking has been found to be inversely associated with levels of serum IgG antibodies specific to certain periodontal pathogens [35, 149], including P. gingivalis [150, 151]. Additionally, smoke can inhibit the reactive oxygen species in phagocytic innate cells, which are important in bacterial killing [152].

Taken all together, the neutrophils impairment, T-cell anergy, elevated TNF-α, higher destructive enzymes in GCF, inhibited immunoglobulins and ROS, and higher ratio of RANKL/OPG ultimately leads to upregulation of bone resorption and increase severity of periodontitis.
Nicotine and periodontitis

Smokers oral tissues are exposed to high concentrations of nicotine which may have a negative impact on local cell population. The nicotine concentration in GCF has been reported to be almost 300 times that of plasma in smokers [153]. In smokers, nicotine binds to the root surface [154] and in vitro experiments indicate that it causes alteration of the fibroblast attachment [155] and integrin expression [156] as well as reducing collagen production and enhancing collagenase synthesis [157]. Smokers root surfaces show reduced attachment of PDL fibroblasts compared to those of non-smokers [158]. Higher amounts of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-1 are produced from cultured fibroblasts [159] and keratinocytes [160], in contrast to the innate suppression widely reported in innate immune cells.

Moreover, there is strong evidence of a synergistic effect of nicotine and bacterial lipopolysaccharide [159, 161]. Animal studies have clarified some of the negative impacts on bone healing following local nicotine exposure [162] being positively correlated to delayed revascularization [163] and inhibition of specific cytokines [164]. During hard and soft tissue wound recovery, smoking has been found to inhibit revascularization [163, 165], which is a crucial component of periodontal regeneration, dental implant success and plastic surgery procedures.

Tobacco smoke toxicity

The smoke generated from tobacco burning represents a dynamic, complex and reactive mixture of over 5000 chemicals [63, 166], including carbon monoxide,
carbon dioxide, tar, ammonia, acrolein, formaldehyde, acetone, benzopyrenes, hydroxyquinone, cadmium, nitrogen oxides, and the primary neuroactive compound, nicotine [167]. Nicotine has been shown to be able to alter specific cellular functions. For example, nicotine exposure to human fibroblasts derived from periodontium affects cell growth, as well as attachment [168]. Both gingival fibroblasts (GFs) and periodontal ligament fibroblasts (PDLFs) exhibit lower cell viability with greater nicotine and cigarette smoke extract (CSE) concentrations [169], while. PDLFs are more sensitive to nicotine compared to GFs [170] and hence, nicotine induce cytotoxicity on PDLFs by inhibiting cell growth, proliferation and protein synthesis [168, 171].

Dysbiosis

There is a significant difference in the microbial profiles of smokers and nonsmokers. The microbial communities are more highly diverse and commensal-poor in smokers, versus non-smokers [172, 173]. However, in another study, the overall oral microbiome composition of former smokers did not differ significantly from that of never smokers; indicating that smoking-related changes to the oral microbiome are not permanent [37]. Several important periodontal pathogens, including *Treponema denticola*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *P. gingivalis*, are over-represented in cigarette users, relative to non-smokers [174], while commensal species, such as *Streptococcus* species, may be in higher abundance in non-smokers compared to smokers [38]. Several mechanisms were proposed to clarify how smoking may alter microbial ecology, including significantly low
oxygen tension within the periodontal pockets in smokers, which might favor the growth of anaerobic periodontal pathogens even in shallow pockets [175]. This was supported by the clinical findings that smoking creates a favorable habitat for bacteria, such as \textit{P. gingivalis} at shallow sites ($\leq$5 mm) [138], increasing the acidity of saliva [176], influencing bacterial adherence to mucosal surfaces [177] and impairing host immunity [178].

4. \textit{Porphyromonas gingivalis}

4.1 \textit{Porphyromonas gingivalis} characteristics:

\textit{P. gingivalis} is a Gram-negative, rod-shaped, asaccharolytic bacterium that is a secondary colonizer of subgingival plaque, forming black colonies on blood agar due to its potent hemin-acquisition capacity [179]. The primary site of \textit{P. gingivalis} in the human oral cavity is the subgingival sulcus. \textit{P. gingivalis} depends on the fermentation of amino acids for the production of energy and, thus, for its existence in deep periodontal pockets [180]. \textit{P. gingivalis} possesses a variety of virulence factors that are important in colonization and persistence in the oral cavity [181]. The onset and progression of periodontal tissue damage is a complex process involving plaque deposition and dysbiosis, secretion of bacterial products and the inflammatory response of the host.
4.2 *P. gingivalis* and periodontal disease:

The oral cavity houses the second most diverse microbial community in the body containing over 700 species of bacteria, only a small number of which have been associated with disease [181]. Of these, *P. gingivalis* is heavily implicated in periodontal disease development and progression. Undesirable shifts in periodontal biofilm communities, such as pathogenic *P. gingivalis* growth, drive the dysregulation of host homeostasis. *P. gingivalis* is the archetypal periodontal pathogen and, as such, will be used herein to induce periodontitis in mice. Indeed, *P. gingivalis* has been considered as a keystone pathogen of periodontitis, at least in mice [182]. *P. gingivalis* can be commonly isolated from plaque biofilms in periodontal disease patients but is rarely isolated from healthy individuals [183]. *P. gingivalis* has also been strongly associated with the extent of gingival pocket depth. There is an estimated 10-fold rise in the number of *P. gingivalis* cells for every 1-millimeter increase in pocket depth at human diseased sites [184].

An animal study [185] supports the evidence that *P. gingivalis*, even at low abundance, is capable of inducing significant alteration to host commensal microbiota in a way that supports its own viability while promoting pathogenesis of the disease. In this study, specific pathogen free mice infected with *P. gingivalis* exhibited significant bone loss, which is a major characteristic of periodontal disease. In contrast, germ free mice infected with *P. gingivalis* mono-infection showed no significant bone loss indicating that *P. gingivalis* cannot induce bone loss by itself.
4.3 *P. gingivalis* virulence factors:

**Capsule**

The polysaccharide-rich external capsule of *P. gingivalis* serves a role in microbial adhesion to the teeth or mucosal surface. The capsule also provides protection from phagocytic clearance by neutrophils [186]. *P. gingivalis* shows at least six capsular antigen serotypes, K1-K6 [187]. Studies using mouse infection models showed that encapsulated *P. gingivalis* strains are much more virulent than non-capsulated. Non-encapsulated strains are mostly responsible for non-invasive, localized abscesses, whereas encapsulated strains are responsible for destructive lesions and systemic spreading. The non-encapsulated strains also suffer increased phagocytosis and are quickly removed by dendritic cells and macrophages [187]. Further, a decreased level of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-8) was detected when human gingival fibroblast was infected with a *P. gingivalis* mutant which lacks a capsule as opposed to a wild-type strain which produces a capsule [188]. Therefore, the capsule appears to be a key activator of the inflammatory response.

**Gingipains**

The RgpA, RgpB (arginine specific) and Kgp (Lysine specific) gingipains are a primary virulence factor of *P. gingivalis* [189][190]. Gingipains are detected at high concentrations in GCF collected from deep pockets that are infected with *P. gingivalis* [191]. Their major roles in periodontitis pathogenicity are related to their
ability to manipulate host immune defense and degrade host proteins. The broad specificity of gingipains means that they target multiple mammalian structural proteins (e.g., collagens and fibronectin, and laminin) and immune effector proteins (e.g., cytokines, antibodies, complement components, antimicrobial peptides and leukocytes surface receptors) [192, 193]. In addition, RgpB activity is essential for assembly of fimbriae, another major virulence factor of *P. gingivalis* [194]. Treatment with a specific gingipain inhibitor strongly attenuates *P. gingivalis* virulence [195]. Importantly, gingipains are an attractive therapeutic target as immunization with purified gingipains or DNA vaccines protects against *P. gingivalis* infection in mice [196].

Fimbriae:

Fimbriae are protein-like, filamentous appendages that protrude from the cell surface of bacteria. They play a vital role in virulence by promoting bacterial attachment to the host cell and other bacteria. *P. gingivalis* possesses both major fimbriae, largely comprised of the FimA protein [197], and minor fimbriae, largely comprised of Mfa1 protein [198].

*P. gingivalis* major fimbriae are crucial virulence to colonization, facilitating adhesion to several host proteins such as laminin, fibronectin, collagen and salivary proteins [199] and to other bacteria, such as oral *streptococci* species [197]. Fimbriae also help in the invasion of oral epithelial cells and gingival fibroblasts [200] and induce the expression several pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-6 and TNF-α, thus priming the immune system [201].
The minor fimbriae are key in adhesion to the primary periodontal colonizer, *S. gordonii* through specific interaction between Mfal and the SspB protein expressed on the surface of *S. gordonii* [202]. Unlike FimA [203], Mfa1 is highly inflammatory and induces IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α in mouse peritoneal macrophages and is associated with alveolar bone loss in various mouse models of periodontitis [204].

Lipopolysaccharide

Another virulence component of *P. gingivalis* is the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) that makes up outer leaflet of the bacterial outer membrane. LPS is a hybrid molecule of lipids and carbohydrates that is abundant in, and adds structure integrity to, the outer membrane [205, 206]. LPS plays a crucial role in initiation of inflammation, triggering macrophage [207], neutrophils [208] and gingival fibroblasts [209] to secret inflammatory cytokine on interaction with cognizant innate receptor molecules. *P. gingivalis* LPS, as well as LPS from other Gram-negative species, induce the production of cytokines and other inflammatory mediators, through LPS-Toll-like receptor-4 (TLR-4)- nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) axis [210]. *P. gingivalis* LPS has also been implicated in increasing the risk of important systemic conditions, such as atherosclerosis via the induction of foam cell formation in murine macrophages [211]. *P. gingivalis* LPS can directly activate osteoclasts and causes the release of the cytokines IL-1β and TNF-α from macrophages, monocytes, and fibroblasts [212]. These compounds are potent local mediators of bone resorption and, moreover, can inhibit collagen synthesis.
by osteoblasts and induce the production of host metalloproteases that destroy bone and connective tissue [148].

4.4 Smoking and *P. gingivalis* prevalence:

A correlation between cigarette smoking and subgingival infection with periodontal pathogens has been long established. *P. gingivalis* is found in significantly higher numbers in smokers compared to non-smokers and the infection is more persistent [213]. In a sample of 272 adults with periodontal disease, it was confirmed a significant difference in proportions of red-complex bacteria including *P. gingivalis*, in current smokers compared to those in former smokers and never smokers [214]. Another self-reported data on 1426 subjects aged 25 to 74, showed a significantly higher proportions of smokers harbored *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Tanmirella forsythia* and *P. gingivalis* than were non-smokers [215]. Eggert et al. showed that smoking extends a favorable habitat for bacteria such as *P. gingivalis* to shallow sites (≤5 mm) [216]. Also, based on the results of qPCR, 40 smokers showed significantly higher amount of *P. gingivalis* than 40 nonsmokers in chronic periodontitis with equal probing depths [217]. From all these finding we conclude that the evidence for tobacco smoke increasing susceptibility to *P. gingivalis* infection and increasing the *P. gingivalis* infectious load is particularly strong.
4.5 Smoking and alterations to *P. gingivalis* virulence:

Nicotine and its major metabolite, cotinine, do not influence the growth of *P. gingivalis* even at concentrations of 400µg/mL, which are higher than their physiological levels in saliva and GCF [218]; and indicative of profound resistance to these antimicrobial alkaloids [219]. Similarly, *P. gingivalis* growth showed to be unaffected by whole cigarette smoke extract (CSE) exposure at concentrations of 0.5, 2, and 4 µg/mL nicotine equivalents [29, 220]. While *P. gingivalis* growth is not directly influenced by smoke, some changes in the virulence factors of bacteria are observed. For example; CSE influences the cell-bound Kgp and RgP gingipain production in a strain-specific manner (suppression in *P. gingivalis* ATCC 33277 but augmentation in *P. gingivalis* W83) [221]; CSE exposure decreased the proinflammatory capacity (TNF-α, IL-6) of *P. gingivalis* biofilms [22]; CSE exposure altered multiple gene expression profiles (such as DNA repair and oxidative stress-related genes) [29]; CSE up-regulated the expression of FimA, suppressed the production of capsular polysaccharides, altered the proinflammatory response to CSE-exposed bacteria, and induced the expression of the outer membrane virulence factors, RagA and RagB [29, 201]. However, many of these effects were reversed when bacteria exposed to CSE were sub-cultured in fresh medium without CSE [29]. Therefore, smoking may profoundly influence the *P. gingivalis* phenotype and its subsequent interactions with the immune system.
5. Animal models of periodontitis:

To gain better insight into the mechanisms underlying periodontal diseases, animal models that replicate key aspects of this human disease set will be useful. Despite the limitation of utilizing animal models, it is often less severe than those encountered during *in vitro* experiments, in which cells are examined on plastic surface with limited number of cell types presented. Moreover, animal models often allow more definitive analysis of cause and effect relationships than human clinical studies [222]. Animal periodontal disease models that have been employed include mice, rats, dogs and non-human primates [223]. Animal models generate substantial and relevant data on the interactions between soft and hard tissue, especially during inflammation, and hence periodontal inflammatory models, can be simulated and tested in animals. Another major advantage is that the potential mechanisms of systemic inflammation and its impact on periodontal healing processes can be studied *in vivo* using genetically produced transgenic and knockout animals [224]. A crucial aspect in animal models is the opportunity to explore complex interactions between bacteria and host which cannot be carried out under artificial laboratory conditions using single cell populations.

In contrast, animal models such as rodents differ substantially from humans in that their incisors lack a root structure and grow continuously throughout life, with only the front of the incisors having enamel and providing minute amount of gingival tissue. Therefore, relatively large numbers of animals per group are needed. Also, it is important to keep in mind these anatomical differences and similarities when
considering which aspects of oral anatomy and health can be appropriately modeled in periodontitis [225].

However, tobacco-specific disease mechanisms have received relatively little attention in in vivo systems [26]. Characteristics of smoking-enhanced periodontitis in humans include a tobacco-specific microbial dysbiosis [226], dysregulated cytokine networks [30], amended neutrophil and other innate cell function [152], a protease-antiprotease imbalance [13], and an altered adaptive immune response [178], most notably reflected in a profound suppression of IgG production [227]. All of these phenomena culminate in increased soft and hard tissue destruction [228]. An ideal animal model, which does not exist, would encompass all of these disease-related traits.

5.1 Mouse models of periodontitis:

A model was developed in which mice were orally infected for studying the effect of host response on P. gingivalis-induced alveolar bone loss [229, 230]. It has been hypothesized that P. gingivalis initiates experimental periodontitis, at least in part, by alternating the endogenous subgingival biofilm to acquire increased virulence properties [231]. On one hand, this model may not reproduce all aspects of human periodontitis as the use of a single is not representenive of the complex microflora that comprises the dental plaque biofilm [232]. On the other hand, the use of P. gingivalis in murine models provides many advantages such as allowing the study of natural history of periodontal tissue destruction,
comparison of the level of virulence of *P. gingivalis* and other oral pathogens including ), a controlled environmental conditions, and estimations of efficacy of periodontal therapeutic strategies [234].

In an alternative periodontitis model, a silk ligature is be placed around the molar teeth in the gingival sulcus which enhances biofilm accumulation in addition to disturbing the gingival epithelium, which results in enhanced osteoclastogenesis and bone loss [223]. A major benefit of the ligature-induced periodontitis model is that disease can be initiated in a matter of days with a predictable sequence of events culminating in alveolar bone loss [235]. However, in small animals such as mice, the possibility for mechanical trauma by the ligatures, might contribute to bone loss cannot be excluded [236].

5.2 Rat models of periodontitis:

Rats periodontal anatomy in the molar region shares some similarities with that of humans. So, they are often used in models of experimental periodontitis. Also, rats are easy to handle and can be obtained with different genomes and microbial status. Periodontitis can be induced by placing a bacterial plaque-retentive silk or cotton ligature in the gingival sulcus around the molar teeth [61]. In addition, injection of *P. gingivalis* can induce alveolar bone loss in rats [62]. Previous studies have shown the influence of smoking on the periodontal disease induced in rats. It has been found that cigarettes smoking potentiated bone loss in ligature-induced
periodontitis in rats [237]. Also, smoke exposure cessation seems to reverse its impact on mandibular bone [238].

In sum, rodents include some unique features for evaluating microbial and host response to complement primate and human periodontal studies. Rodents have in each quadrant three molars and one incisor only [223].

One of periodontal disease aspects is bacterial colonization of the tooth surface and connective tissue invasion. A challenge with many rodent models of periodontal diseases is that the bacteria employed to induce the infection development only infect the oral cavity transiently, because rodents are not natural host for many human bacteria [230]. The presentation of human bacterial strains into the oral cavity and consequent effects on periodontal tissue has been studied in varies rodent models [239, 240]. Different human bacterial strains were used in animal models such as *Treponema denticola* [241], *A. actinomycetemcomitans* [242], *Tannerella forsythia* [243] and *P. gingivalis* [229, 244]. In many cases, the oral infection by human bacteria is transient. Nevertheless, *T. denticola* has been detected 71 days after inoculation in mice [241] and *P. gingivalis* has been detected at up to 11 weeks [245]; 45% of rats exposed to *P. gingivalis* and 80% exposed to *T. forsythia* or *T. denticola* were found to harbor these bacteria after 4-6 weeks [246].

Another hallmark of periodontal diseases is the alveolar bone resorption [44]. Significant bone loss can be measured histologically, by macroscopic analysis or by micro-computed tomography. Alveolar bone resorption often assessed around the maxillary molars since bone resorption in lower molars is slower due to wider
buccolingual dimensions and thicker cortical alveolar bone [230]. In many reports, bone resorption was detectable 6 weeks after the final inoculation [229, 247], although other studies have shown a detectable alveolar bone loss as early as 3 weeks after first infection or 2 weeks after the final bacterial challenge [248]. Both mice and rats are susceptible to alveolar bone loss however there are differences in the susceptibility to experimental periodontal disease among various strains. BALB/c, DBA/2J and C3H/HEJ are more susceptible than C57/B16, SJL/J and C3H/HeJ [249]. These differences are correlated to genetic variation in the strains affecting components of the immune response. For example, the point mutation on Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) in C3H/HeJ mice or differences in adaptive immunity [250]. Normal variation in the genetics, in addition to modification by genetic engineering, in mice creates a potentially valuable option for developing a cause-and-effect association with both aspects of the host response and periodontal disease.

Bacterial inoculation-induced immune response in mice might be comparable to that associated with periodontitis in humans. Downregulation of the innate immune response promotes host-microbe homeostasis and highly orchestrated expression of certain host defense cytokines and mediators is associated with healthy periodontal tissues [251]. Virulence factors produced by some oral pathogens might inhibit neutrophils transmigration into the periodontium; cytotoxic to recruited neutrophils; or promote leukocytic longevity [91]. For example, P. gingivalis secretes a serine phosphatase enzyme (SerB), may play an important role in preventing granulocyte recruitment to the periodontal tissues as, in a rat
model [245]. SerB also responsible for inhibition of interleuken-8 secretion from epithelial cells infected with *P. gingivalis*, so in the absence of SerB more neutrophils are recruited into the gingival tissue [245]. Also, in rats ligature induce periodontitis model, administration of nicotine enhanced alveolar bone loss concomitant with a reduced pro- and anti- acute inflammatory cytokine response to LPS (TNF, transforming growth factor- β, IL- 10) [252]. Chronic exposure of rats to nicotine inhibits antibody-forming cell responses and this immunosuppression appears to be the result of impairment of antigen mediated T cell signaling [253, 254].

A variety of proteolytic enzymes are involved in the normal homeostatic remodeling of the periodontium including natural turnover and pathological degradation of the alveolar bone. In periodontal disease, degradation of ligament and alveolar bone can be excessive and lead to progressive break down of the periodontal supportive tissue [255]. Matrix metalloproteinase 8 (MMP-8), a collagenolytic enzyme responsible for pathological degradation of type I collagen, the predominant type of collagen in periodontium. The role of MMP-8 was investigated in mouse model study, where MMP-8 knockout mice and wild type mice were orally infected by *P. gingivalis* to induce periodontitis [256]. A histological analysis showed that bone loss was significantly higher in the *P. gingivalis*-infected *Mmp-8*−/− group compared to the *P. gingivalis*-infected WT group. The study concluded that MMP-8 plays a protective role in alveolar bone loss during periodontal infection, possibly by inactivating pro-inflammatory cytokines.
5.3 Canine models of periodontitis:

Dogs provide a suitable model for testing naturally occurring gingivitis and periodontitis [257]. The genera isolated from the oral cavities of dogs were typical of those found in human dental plaque included *Actinomyces*, *Porphyromonas*, *Fusobacterium*, *Neisseria*, and *Streptococcus* [258]. Also, periodontal disease in dogs is highly correlated with aging and thus the etiopathology is closely related to humans [259]. A study to investigate deleterious effect of nicotine on the canine periodontium, oral or systemic nicotine administration to dogs has been associated with increased blood flow to the anterior gingiva, relative to untreated controls [260]. Another study noted that applying a solution of tobacco smoke to gingiva of dogs might suppress crevicular innate cell migration in neutrophils isolated from both inflamed and healthy sites [261].

As a limitation, some major difference exists between humans and dogs as the lack of lateral movements, presence of open contacts between teeth and no occlusal contacts for all premolars [262]. Other important differences between dogs and humans are lack off gingival sulcus and cervical fluids, and a different composition of periodontal plaque and calculus [263].

5.4 Non-human primate models of periodontitis

Monkeys have the advantage of probably being phylogenetically similar to humans. Most of species have same dental formula as human and have naturally
occurring dental plaque, calculus, oral microbial pathogens (e.g., *P. gingivalis*), and periodontal disease [223, 262]. Microbiologically, in *Macaca fascicularis* (cynomolgus monkeys), the composition of the plaque is Gram positive rods and cocci for supragingival plaque and anaerobic Gram negative rods for subgingival plaque [264, 265]. The inflammatory response to periodontal disease is quite similar to that found in humans wherein connective tissues are infiltrated by plasma cells, lymphocytes and neutrophils [262]. To study periodontitis and promote plaque formation, sutures or orthodontic elastics are commonly placed around selected molars until pocket formation is confirmed by probing [266]. Another alternative modification to use primates for periodontitis, Cynomolgus monkeys with no previously detectable *P. gingivalis* have been treated with the bacteria. About 5 months later, infection by *P. gingivalis* was confirmed and plaque formation leading to bone loss was observed [267].

The disadvantages of non-human primate models are difficulty in obtaining a large number of animals to perform adequate statistical analysis of the results, high cost to provide special husbandry requirements, animals are prone to systemic infections and diseases. Moreover, ethical considerations and regulations must be fulfilled in order to prevent any trafficking of protected species [268]. Collectively, these limitations make non-human primates a less practical model for periodontal disease.

In conclusion, relevant similarities in gingival and tooth anatomy between humans and mice, availability of mouse smoke-exposure chambers, existing literature on rodent models of periodontal disease and the need to prioritize the
use of lower vertebrates, all collectively suggested mice as the most appropriate model to experimentally advance our knowledge of smoking-related periodontal disease mechanisms.
Hypothesis and Aims

We hypothesized that *Porphyromonas-gingivalis*-infected, cigarette smoke-exposed mice would represent reproducible models of acute (ligature model) and chronic (oral gavage model) tobacco-enhanced periodontitis that reflect multiple aspects of the disease noted in human smokers.

Aims

We set out to examine if tobacco-smoke exposure, in two murine bacterial-induced periodontitis models, was associated with:

- A suppressed local and/or systemic innate response,
- A suppressed anti-bacterial IgG response.
- Enhanced alveolar bone loss.
CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS

Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich Corporation (St. Louis MO, USA). Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) was purchased from Life Technologies (Grand Island NY, USA). *Streptococcus gordonii* (S. gordonii) DL-1 and *P. gingivalis* 33277 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and maintained as frozen stocks. BD BBL Brain-heart infusion broth, Mitis Salivarius agar and Difco bacteriological agar were purchased from Difco Laboratories Inc. Potassium tellurite was from SIGMA (St. Louis, MO). Gifu anaerobic medium was from (GAM; Nissui Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan). Oral gavage needles were obtained from Cadence Science Inc. Cranston, RI). Puritan swabs were from (25-800 D 50) (Puritan Medical Products Co., Guilford, ME). Gentamicin solution was purchased from SIGMA (St. Louis, MO). Sheep blood was purchased from Lampire Biological Laboratories (Pipersville, PA). Midori Green was from Bulldog-Bio (Portsmouth, NH). Methylene Blue and Eosin were obtained from Ricca Chemical Company (TX, USA). RNeasy mini kit and RLT buffer were from QIAGEN (Hilden, Germany). Taq 2X Master Mix (M0270) was from NEW ENGLAND BIOLABS (MA, USA). qScript XLT reverse transcriptase was from (Quantabio, USA). Real-Time PCR Master Mix and mouse primers were from TaqMan Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA. BD Microtainer blood collection tubes were from Becton Dickenson. Trizol™ Reagent
was from Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Mouse/Rat Cotinine ELISA was from CALBIOTECH (CA, USA). Genomic DNA Purification kits were from Promega (Madison, WI). 16s PCR primers were purchased from Bio-Synthesis Inc. (Lewisville, TX, USA). Anti- mouse IgM-HRP antibody was purchased from BETHYL Laboratories (Montgomery, TX) and horse anti-mouse IgG-HRP antibody from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Mouse Cytokine / Chemokine Magnetic Bead Panel was purchased from (MiliporeSigma, USA). Immunocal was purchased from StatLab Medical Products (McKinney TX, USA). Research cigarettes (1R6F) were purchased from University of Kentucky. Membrane filters were from Pall life sciences (Port Washington, NY). 6–0 black non absorbable surgical silk (SURGICAL SPECIALTIES LOOK Co, USA). DEXDOMITOR was from Zoetis, USA. Ketamine was from Henry Schein, Inc Co. Puralube Vet Ointment was from (1-800-PetMeds, Delray Beach, FL). Heat Pad from K & H Pet Products. Ligature holders were from University of North Carolina [269] and the modified design was from University of Louisville Oral Health and Rehabilitation Department. CO2 was provided by Welders Supply Co. (Louisville, KY). Nikon SMZ 800 dissecting microscope (40X, Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY, USA) fitted with a Boeckeler VIA-170K video image marker measurement system was from Boeckeler Instruments Inc, Tucson, AZ, USA.
Mice

White BALB/c mice, 6-8 weeks old, females, were purchased from Jackson laboratory. All the experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of University of Louisville, as described in the Federal Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Animals were housed and maintained at the University of Louisville, School of Dentistry in a room maintained by the Research Resources Facility. Cages for animal housing were changed weekly, unlimited food and water provided throughout the duration of the experiment with a 12-hour light/dark cycle and controlled temperature and humidity.

Smoking machine and cigarettes:

Teague TE-10 whole body smoke inhalation exposure system was utilized to conduct the mouse exposures (Teague Enterprises; Davis, CA) [270]. 1R6F research cigarettes were from University of Kentucky (8.58mg of tar/cigarette; 0.721mg nicotine/cigarette; additives).
Figure 1: The smoking machine Teague Enterprises TE-10C

The TE-10c is a microprocessor-controlled cigarette smoking machine that produces smoke from research cigarettes. From one to ten cigarettes can be smoked at a time. The machine’s applications include use with animal and cell culture exposure systems and serial animal exposure chambers. The machine is composed of four sections: cabinet, cigarette handling, chimney, and ash collection tray.
We performed two different \textit{in vivo} experiments. Firstly, a modified Baker Model was utilized where an \textit{S. gordonii} infection was added along with an increase in the number of \textit{P. gingivalis} infections with smoke/no smoke exposure for 68 days. Secondly, we utilized a ligature model with \textit{P. gingivalis} infection with smoke/no smoke exposure for 14 days.

\textbf{Growth of Bacterial Strains}

\textit{S. gordonii} was cultured anaerobically for 16 hr. at 37\textdegree C without shaking in brain-heart infusion supplemented with 1\% yeast extract. \textit{P. gingivalis} was cultured in Gifu medium under anaerobic conditions (80\% N2, 10\% H2, and 10\% CO2) at 37\textdegree C. Growth was monitored by tracking optical density at a wavelength of 600 nm. The oral infection of mice by \textit{S. gordonii} and/or \textit{P. gingivalis} was performed as showed in Figure 2 timeline strategy.

\textbf{Animal Exposure to Smoke}

Female white BALB/C mice were placed into either a cigarette smoke exposure (CSE) chamber or a sham exposure chamber (ambient filtered room air) of the Teague TE-10C exposure apparatus chamber for a period of 3 hours daily. Cigarettes were smoked using the standard Federal Trade Commission method: a two second, 35 cm\textsuperscript{3} puff, once a minute for a total of 9 minutes. One pack of 20 research cigarettes was used per day. In order to monitor the daily cigarette smoke levels, carbon monoxide (CO) concentration and total suspended particulates
(TSPs) were measured twice daily. The level of CO within each exposure chamber was determined using a carbon monoxide detector with a digital readout in parts per million. TSPs were recorded twice daily by drawing a known volume of air during a 5-minute period from the exposure chamber via a sampling port and collecting TSPs on a piece of filter paper. The difference in weight pre- and post-sampling was divided by the volume of air that was drawn from the chamber during an interval of five minutes while sampling the exposure chamber. After the smoke exposure, animals were returned to their home cages. Food and water were changed daily in smoke cages.

For the Baker model, mice were exposed to ambient air or cigarette smoke, 20 cigarettes per day over 3 hours, 7 days per week for 68 days. CO levels during the exposure were kept at an average of 150 ppm. Mean TSP throughout the experiment was 4.9 mg/m$^3$. For the ligature model, mice were exposed to ambient air or cigarette smoke 20 cigarettes per day over 3 hours, 7 days per week for 14 days. CO levels during the exposure were kept at an average of 200 ppm. TSP mean was 9.8 mg/m$^3$. 
**Modified Baker Model:**

The Baker Model of bacterially initiated periodontal disease [229] describes a method of orally infecting mice with *P. gingivalis* leading to alveolar bone loss. It is aimed at allowing mice to naturally develop periodontitis over a period of time similar to development of periodontitis in humans. It closely mimics the chronic nature of periodontitis. Alveolar bone loss is detected after 10 weeks.

In our modified Baker Model, mice were repeatedly orally inoculated with *S. gordonii* and *P. gingivalis* ($10^9$ colony-forming units (CFU) in 100 ml 2% carboxymethylcellulose) or sham inoculated (vehicle alone) as shown in Figure 2.
Timeline strategy for Baker model bacterial inoculation

Figure 2: Bacterial inoculation timeline for smoke- or ambient air-exposed mice.

Days of inoculation are represented by green arrows for *S. gordonii* (10⁹ CFU) and red arrows for *P. gingivalis* (10⁹ CFU).
Day 0

There were 4 groups:

1. Group 1 (Sham infection / no smoking exposure),
2. Group 2 (Bacteria infection / no smoking exposure),
3. Group 3 (Sham infection / smoking exposure),
4. Group 4 (Bacteria infection / smoking exposure).
Figure 3: Baker model group assignment: Female Balb/c mice (6-8 weeks) distributed in four groups (n=6). Group 1, sham infection and no smoking exposure; Group 2, bacterial infection and no smoking exposure; Group 3, sham infection and smoking exposure and Group 4, bacterial infection and smoking exposure.
Day 0 through Day 4

Mice acclimated to the surrounding environment; no smoking exposure or infection was done at this time.

Day 5

Mice were exposed to ambient air or cigarette smoke (20 cigarettes per day over 3 hours), continued daily until euthanasia.

Day 12 though Day 18

Mice were infected orally with *S. gordonii* in PBS and carrier CMC at a concentration of 10⁹ CFU/mouse in 100 μl PBS and 2% CMC. A gavage needle was used to infect mice locally in the buccal vestibule around the molar area. Sham infected mice received 2% CMC in 100 μl PBS with 2% CMC. The mice were infected with *S. gordonii* for a total of four times. The infection was done every other day.

Day 20 through Day 55

Mice were infected orally with *P. gingivalis* in PBS and carrier CMC at a concentration of 10⁹ CFU/mouse in 100 μl PBS and 2% CMC. A gavage needle was used to infect mice locally in the buccal vestibule around the molar area. Sham infected mice received just PBS and CMC. The sham-infected mice were treated in the same manner as the bacterial infected mice except that they received just PBS and CMC.
Day 72

Mice were euthanized 42 days after the last *P. gingivalis* infection. Inhalation of carbon dioxide was used as the standard method to euthanize the mice. The flow of carbon dioxide was maintained at (1.5 liters) until at least 1 minute after respiratory arrest. The secondary method was decapitation/exsanguination.

Oral swabs for *S. gordonii* and *P. gingivalis*:

Oral swabs were obtained from all mice by swabbing the buccal vestibule molar area of the gingiva using Puritan polyester tipped swabs. This was done to detect the presence of *S. gordonii* in the oral cavity, to assess the effectiveness of *S. gordonii* infection, to monitor the prevalence of *S. gordonii* in the different treatment groups, to detect the presence of *P. gingivalis* in the oral cavity, to assess the effectiveness of *P. gingivalis* infection and to monitor the prevalence of *P. gingivalis* in the different treatment groups. Oral swabs were collected for *S. gordonii* detection one day after the second infection, one day after the third infection, one day after the six rounds of *P. gingivalis* infection, then every two weeks until the final swab at the time of euthanasia.
Oral swabs time frame for Baker model.

Figure 4: Oral swabs time frame for Baker model represented by blue arrows.
**S. gordonii** detection was performed by two methods:

1. **Plate culture:**

   The periodontal microbiota was sampled by swabbing the buccal vestibule around the molars, then streaked directly onto *Mitis Salivarius* agar plates supplemented with 0.1% potassium tellurite solution (1%) for aerobic culture at 37°C for 1-2 days.

   Plates were examined for appearance of colonies and those with small deep dense colonies believed to be more representative of *S. gordonii* colonies. Entire plates scraped into 200 μl nuclease free water. 5 μl suspension used in the gftG PCR.

2. **Standard Polymerase Chain Reaction for S. gordonii:**

   Entire plates scraped into 200 μl nuclease free water. 5 μl suspension used for Standard PCR procedure and run on 2% agarose gel electrophoresis at 120V to detect the presence of the amplified glucosyltransferase Gene (*gftG*) [271].

   - *gftG* primers, Fragment size is 440 (bp);
     
     *gftG* upstream 5’-CTATGCGGATGATGCTAATCAAG-3’
     
     *gftG* downstream 5’-GGAGTCGCTATAATCTTGTCAGA-3’

   and the cycling conditions: 10min at 95°C, 35 cycles of 15 sec at 95oC, 35 cycles of 15 sec at 50°C, 35 cycles of 30 sec at 68°C, 5min at 68°C and holding at 4°C. This assay was done to detect presence of *S. gordonii* only using a crude preparation. No attempt was made for quantification.
**P. gingivalis** detection:

Mice were sampled by swabbing the maxillary gingiva using Puritan swabs. This was done to detect the presence of *P. gingivalis* in the oral cavity, to assess the effectiveness of infection and to monitor the prevalence and persistence of *P. gingivalis* in the different treatment groups. Oral swabs were collected for *P. gingivalis* detection one day after each infection and then every two weeks after last infection.

*P. gingivalis* detection was performed by two methods:

1. Plate culture.

The periodontal microbiota was sampled by swabbing the buccal vestibule around molars, then the swab tips were submerged into 300μl anaerobic balanced GAM broth followed by plating onto blood agar plates (100 μl/plate) and CFU were enumerated following anaerobic growth for 1 month and examined at 1, 2 and 4 weeks. *P. gingivalis* was identified by black pigmented colonies and Gram staining.

2. Standard PCR procedure and 2% agarose gel electrophoresis at 120V to detect the presence of the amplified *P. gingivalis* 16S Gene [272].

- *P. gingivalis* 16S primers, Fragment size is 404 (bp);
  
  *P. gingivalis* 16S upstream  5’-AGGCAGCTTGGCAT ACTGCG-3’
  
  *P. gingivalis* 16S downstream  5’-ACTGTTAGCAACTA CCGATGT-3’

  and the cycling conditions: 30 sec at 95°C, 30 cycles of 15 sec at 95oC, 30 cycles of 15 sec at 48°C, 30 cycles of 1 min at 68°C, 5min at 68°C and holding at 4-10°C.
Specimen collection and analysis:

1. Tissue harvest

Gingival tissue of the entire maxilla was excised around the molar area and was immersed in RLT buffer (Qiagen). Samples were flash frozen on dry ice then, stored at -80°C. The tissue was disrupted by sonication and RNA prepared using RNeasy kit following the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA concentration was determined using a NanoDrop nucleic acid quantification instrument (Thermo Fisher) and equalized before cDNA preparation using qScript XLT (Quanta bio). Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis of gingival tissue was performed using the TaqMan system to determine cytokine mRNA expression of inflammatory markers (IL-1β, MMP-8, MMP-9, CD14, CD45) with GAPDH housekeeping gene.

2. Serum:

Cardiac puncture was used to collect blood from each mouse, which was added to gel tubes (BD Microtainer) and allowed to clot at room temperature for 30 minutes followed by centrifuging according to the protocol. Sera were aliquoted and stored at -80°C for later assessment of systemic inflammatory mediators by multiplex ELISA and IgG and IgM. *P. gingivalis* reactive antibodies were quantified by ELISA.
Serum *P. gingivalis* immunoglobulin detection protocol

Serum was tested for *P. gingivalis* cognizant IgG and IgM antibodies, as determined by ELISA. Briefly, *P. gingivalis* was fixed in formalin and washed three times in PBS and diluted to an OD$_{600}$nm of 0.3 and plated in 96 well microtiter plate after blocking for 12 hours at 4°C as described [245]. Diluted mouse sera (1:200) were reacted with bacteria for 2h at room temperature. After washing, goat anti-mouse IgM or horse anti-mouse IgG (both conjugated to HRP) (1:1000) were added to the plate. After washing 7 times, TMB was applied and incubated for 5min. The assay reactions were terminated by the addition of 1N H$_2$SO$_4$ and analyzed at an OD$_{450}$nm using a Bio-Rad microplate reader.

3. Alveolar bone loss evaluation:

Freshly harvested skulls were submerged in tap water and boiled for 8 minutes. After de-fleshing gently with micro brushes and running water, the skulls were immersed overnight in 3% hydrogen peroxide. The following day, the skulls were immersed for 1 min in 1% bleach, washed and air dried. The maxillae were stained with 0.5% eosin for 5 min followed by 1% methylene blue for 1 minute. Alveolar bone loss was measured using a SMZ 800 dissecting microscope fitted with a VIA-170K video image marker measurement system. Bone loss was measured at 14 predetermined points on the maxillary molars of de-fleshed maxillae, determined as the distance from the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) to the alveolar bone crest (ABC). Bone loss was calculated by subtracting the mean CEJ-ABC distance of the control group from the CEJ-ABC distance of each experimental group.
Figure 5: Microscopic image of mice maxillary teeth showing the seven sites of measurement from the CEJ to ABC (depicted by yellow stars) on the left buccal side after staining with methylene blue for visualization of the CEJ.
Ligature model:

The ligature-induced periodontitis model is another method of modeling periodontal disease. This model has the advantage of being initiated at a known time with a predictable sequence of events culminating in alveolar bone loss within a few days [235]. In the present study, mice were repeatedly orally inoculated with *P. gingivalis* (10⁹ colony-forming units (CFU) in 100 ml 2% carboxymethylcellulose) or sham inoculated (vehicle alone) as in Figure 6.
Figure 6: Ligature placement and bacterial inoculation strategy for smoke- or ambient air-exposed mice. Days of inoculation are represented by red arrows where *P. gingivalis* (10⁹ CFU) or sham infections (CMC) were administered orally 3 times/as shown in the timeline.
Day 0

Upon arrival of mice, mice were randomized and distributed in groups (n=7 per group). Mice tails were marked for identification of groups and individual animals. Mice weights were recorded every other day till the end of experiment.

There were 4 groups of mice:

1. Group 1 (Sham infection / no smoking exposure / Ligature),
2. Group 2 (Bacteria infection / no smoking exposure/ Ligature),
3. Group 3 (Sham infection / smoking exposure/ Ligature),
4. Group 4 (Bacteria infection / smoking exposure / Ligature).
Mice group assignment for Ligature model

Figure 7: Ligature model mouse group assignment: female Balb/c mice (8 weeks) distributed in four groups (n=7). Group 1 ligature, sham infection and no smoking exposure, Group 2 ligature, Bacteria infection and no smoking exposure, Group 3 ligature, sham infection and smoking exposure and Group 4 ligature, Bacteria infection and smoking exposure.
All four experimental groups had their right maxillary second molar ligated by 6–0 black non absorbable surgical silk positioned around the tooth with the ligature holder [269] and then tied gently with a lingual side knot to prevent damage to the periodontal tissue. The contralateral molar tooth in each mouse was left unligated to serve as baseline control for bone height measurements.

Day 0 through Day 3
Mice acclimated to the surrounding environment. No smoking exposure or infection was done at this time.

Day 3 through Day 7
Mice were exposed to ambient air or cigarette smoke 20 cigarettes per day over 3 hours till the day of euthanasia.

Day 8
Mouse groups number 1 and 3 were anesthetized for the placement of the ligature around the right maxillary second molar with Ketamine/ Dexmedetomidine. Dosing was as follows:
Ketamine dose is 65 mg/kg.
Dexmedetomidine dose is 0.65 mg/kg.
Mice were placed on a heating bed until recovered from anesthesia, and eye ointment was applied to protect against eye dryness. Mice were sham infected locally around maxillary molars with 100 µl PBS (2% CMC) as described previously. Sham infection was repeated on alternate days for three times (Days 8, 10 and 12).
Day 9

Mouse groups 2 and 4 were anesthetized for the placement of the ligature around the right maxillary second molar with the same previously mentioned dose followed by oral infection with *P. gingivalis* (10⁹ CFU/mouse) in 100 μl PBS/2% CMC. The infection was repeated every other day for three days (Days 9, 11 and 13).

Day 15

Mouse groups number 1 and 3 were euthanized 7 days after the ligature placement. Inhalation of carbon dioxide was used as the standard method to euthanize the mice. The flow of carbon dioxide was to 1.5 liters per minute. Carbon dioxide flow was maintained for at least 1 minute after respiratory arrest. The secondary method was decapitation/exsanguination.

Day 16

Mouse groups number 2 and 4 were euthanized 7 days after the ligature placement. Inhalation of carbon dioxide was used as the standard method to euthanize the mice. The flow of carbon dioxide at 1.5 liters per minute was maintained for at least 1 minute after respiratory arrest. The secondary method was decapitation/exsanguination.
Specimen collection:

1. Tissue harvest

Gingival tissue of the entire maxilla was excised around the molar area and immersed in buffer (Trizol 500 µl). Samples were stored at -80°C until used for RNA isolation following the manufacturers protocol. Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis of gingiva is planned to determine cytokine mRNA expression of inflammatory markers using TaqMan system. However, this was not possible due to lab and core facilities closure associated with the COVID-19 outbreak.

2. Serum:

Cardiac puncture was used to collect blood from each mouse. Serum was stored at 80°C for later assessment. Serum was tested for *P. gingivalis* exposure by analyzing total *P. gingivalis* cognizant IgG and IgM antibodies, as determined by ELISA. Analysis of systemic inflammatory mediators by multiplex ELISA is planned. However, this was not possible due to lab and core facilities closure associated with the COVID-19 outbreak.

3. Alveolar bone loss evaluation:

Freshly harvested skulls were submerged in deionized water and boiled for 8 minutes, followed by de-fleshing, and gentle cleaning with micro brushes and running water. Skulls were then soaked overnight in 3% hydrogen peroxide before being immersed for 1 min in 1% bleach, washed and air dried. The maxillae were stained with 0.5% eosin for 5 min followed by 1% methylene blue for 1 minute. Alveolar bone loss was measured in millimeters at 14 predetermined points on the
maxillary molars of de-fleshed maxillae as the distance from the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) to the alveolar bone crest (ABC).

Statistical analysis:

Data were evaluated by ANOVA or t-test, as appropriate, using InStat v3.06 program (GraphPad, San Diego, CA), unless otherwise described. Significance was set at the $p < 0.05$. 
CHAPTER 3 RESULTS

We examined the influence of cigarette smoke exposure on oral health in two different murine models of periodontitis. First, 68 days, bacterial-induced, chronic disease model was exploited. Second, a 14 day, acute disease model in which bacterial-induced bone loss was accelerated by the placement of molar ligatures was utilized.

3.1 Chronic Disease (Baker) Modeling

As detailed in the Materials and Methods section, mice were randomly assigned into 4 groups (6 mice per group): uninfected and sham smoked controls; uninfected, smoke-exposed (68 days, 20 cigarettes/day, 3 hrs. per day); mice orally inoculated multiple times with \textit{S. gordonii} then \textit{P. gingivalis} and sham smoked; and mice both infected and smoke-exposed. Mass was assessed at baseline (Figure 7) and prior to euthanasia (Figure 8). Weight gain was also monitored (Figure 9). The IgM and IgG response to infection (Figures 10 and 11); systemic inflammatory mediators (Table 1); specific local gingival inflammatory indices, IL-1β (Figure 12), MMP-8 (Figure 13), MMP-9 (Figure 14), CD14 (Figure 15) and CD45 (Figure 16); as well as alveolar bone loss (Figure 17) were assessed.
Figure 8: Equal murine body mass at baseline in the chronic smoke exposure model.

6-8-week-old, female Balb/c mice were randomly divided into 4 groups ($n = 6$ / group) and weighed prior to induction, or not, of bacterial-induced chronic periodontal disease in the presence or absence of mainstream stream smoke. Data is presented as mean ± s.d. There were no significant differences in baseline mass between groups, as determined by ANOVA ($p > 0.05$).
Figure 9: Chronic smoke exposure does not influence murine body mass.

Balb/c mice were weighed at 68 days following the induction, or not, of bacterial-induced chronic periodontal disease in the presence or absence of mainstream stream smoke ($n = 6$ / group).

Data are presented as mean ± s.d.

There were no significant differences in murine mass between groups at euthanasia, as determined by ANOVA ($p > 0.05$).
Figure 10: Chronic smoke exposure does not influence murine body mass differentials.

Difference of Balb/c mice body mass between base line weight and the weight at 68 days following the induction, or not, of bacterial-induced chronic periodontal disease in the presence or absence of mainstream stream smoke ($n = 6$ / group). Data are presented as mean ± s.d.

There were no significant differences in murine mass differentials between groups, as determined by ANOVA ($p > 0.05$).
Table 1: Bacterial colonization of the oral cavity of mice in the absence of cigarette smoke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterium</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>SWAB 1</th>
<th>SWAB 2</th>
<th>SWAB 3</th>
<th>Paper Point</th>
<th>SWAB 4</th>
<th>SWAB 5</th>
<th>SWAB 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. gordonii</em></td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. gingivalis</em></td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A positive colonization score for *S. gordonii*, by PCR, was defined as the visualization of 440 bp amplicons in samples isolated from >3 / 6 mice.

A positive colonization score for *P. gingivalis*, by PCR, was defined as the visualization of 405 bp amplicons in samples isolated from >3 / 6 mice.

A positive colonization score for *S. gordonii*, by culture, was determined by the visualization of streptococcal-like colonies on Mitis Salivarius agar plates.

A positive colonization score for *P. gingivalis*, by culture, was determined by the visualization of black pigmented colonies on blood agar plates.
Table 2: Bacterial colonization of the oral cavity of mice chronically exposed to cigarette smoke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterium</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>SWAB 1</th>
<th>SWAB 2</th>
<th>SWAB 3</th>
<th>Paper Point</th>
<th>SWAB 4</th>
<th>SWAB 5</th>
<th>SWAB 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. gordonii</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. gingivalis</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A positive colonization score for *S. gordonii*, by PCR, was determined by the visualization of 440 bp amplicons in samples isolated from >3 / 6 mice.

A positive colonization score for *P. gingivalis*, by PCR, was determined by the visualization of 405 bp amplicons in samples isolated from >3 / 6 mice.

A positive colonization score for *S. gordonii*, by culture, was determined by the visualization of streptococcal-like colonies on *Mitis Salivarius* agar plates.

A positive colonization score for *P. gingivalis*, by culture, was determined by the visualization of black pigmented colonies on blood agar plates.
Figure 11: *P. gingivalis*-cognizant IgM is elevated in infected, chronically smoke-exposed mice.

IgM titers were measured by ELISA post-euthanasia.

Differences between groups were determined by ANOVA.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values (*n* = 6 per group).

*p* < 0.05.
Figure 12: Infection induces a *P. gingivalis*-cognizant IgG response that is not influenced by chronic smoke exposure in mice.

IgG titers were measured by ELISA post-euthanasia.

Differences between groups were determined by ANOVA.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values (*n* = 6 per group).

*** *p* < 0.001.
Table 3: Chronic smoke exposure alters aspects of the systemic immune response to *P. gingivalis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inflammatory Mediator</th>
<th>Bacteria/Sham Smoked Mean (s.d.) [pg/ml]</th>
<th>Bacteria/Smoke Mean (s.d.) [pg/ml]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>52(14)</td>
<td>20(22)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL5</td>
<td>17(7)</td>
<td>17(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL1</td>
<td>133(23)</td>
<td>85(30)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL10</td>
<td>499(74)</td>
<td>509(113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1a</td>
<td>73(21)</td>
<td>47(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1b</td>
<td>104(16)</td>
<td>84(14)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>375(168)</td>
<td>435(177)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>35(14)</td>
<td>11(15)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-g</td>
<td>6(2)</td>
<td>4(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-a</td>
<td>11(2)</td>
<td>9(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1a</td>
<td>755(163)</td>
<td>689(186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-5</td>
<td>21(8)</td>
<td>18(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>21(3)</td>
<td>17(2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>67(10)</td>
<td>57(5)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-15</td>
<td>18(29)</td>
<td>17(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>5(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>198(45)</td>
<td>283(89)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations of inflammatory mediators in mouse serum were measured by multiplex ELISA following euthanasia.

Differences between groups were determined by t-test.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values (*n* = 6 per group).
(IL-12p40, IL-12p70, IL-7, IL-6, IL-4, IL-2, and IL-1b) fell outside the range of the standard curve.

* \( p < 0.001 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), respectively.
Figure 13: Chronic cigarette smoke exposure does not influence IL-β message in murine gingiva.

The IL-1β mRNA relative to that of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was measured post-euthanasia by qPCR in gingival tissues in (A) non-infected exposed and (B) infected mice.

Differences between groups were determined by t-test.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values (n = 6 per group).

p > 0.05.
Figure 14: Chronic cigarette smoke augments the MMP-8 signal in bacteria-infected murine gingiva.

The MMP-8 mRNA signal, relative to that of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was measured post-euthanasia by qPCR in gingival tissues in (A) non-infected exposed and (B) infected mice. Differences between groups were determined by t-test. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values (n = 6 per group).

*p < 0.05.
Figure 15: Chronic cigarette smoke exposure does not influence the MMP-9 message in murine gingiva.

The MMP-9 mRNA signal, relative to that of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was measured post-euthanasia by qPCR in gingival tissues in (A) non-infected and (B) infected mice.

Differences between groups were determined by t-test.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values ($n = 6$ per group).

*p > 0.05.
Figure 16: Chronic cigarette smoke exposure does not influence CD14 message in murine gingiva.

The CD14 mRNA signal, relative to that of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was measured post-euthanasia by qPCR in gingival tissues in (A) non-infected and (B) infected mice.

Differences between groups were determined by t-test.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values ($n = 6$ per group).

*p > 0.05.
Figure 17: Chronic cigarette smoke exposure does not influence the CD45 signal in murine gingiva.

The CD14 mRNA signal, relative to that of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was measured post-euthanasia by qPCR in gingival tissues in (A) non-infected and (B) infected mice.

Differences between groups were determined by t-test.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values (n = 6 per group).

*p > 0.05.
Figure 18: Chronic tobacco smoke exposure enhances bacteria-induced alveolar bone loss in mice.

Alveolar bone loss was determined as the distance from the CEJ to ABC at 14 predetermined maxillary buccal sites.

Differences between groups were determined by ANOVA.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values ($n = 6$ per group).

* / ** $p < 0.05, 0.01$, respectively.
3.2 Acute Disease (Ligature) Modelling

As detailed in the Materials and Methods section, mice were randomly assigned into 4 groups (7 mice per group): Uninfected and sham smoked controls; uninfected, smoke-exposed (14 days, 20 cigarettes/day, 3 hrs per day); mice orally inoculated multiple times with *P. gingivalis* and sham smoked; and mice both infected and smoke-exposed. Mass was assessed at baseline (Figure 18) and prior to euthanasia (Figure 19). Weight gain was also monitored (Figure 20) as were the IgM and IgG response to infection (Figures 21 and 22). As in the chronic disease model, it was planned to monitor systemic inflammatory mediators, and specific local gingival inflammatory indices. However, these experiments were curtailed by the COVID-19 outbreak. However, alveolar bone loss (Figure 23) was assessed.
Figure 19: Equal murine body mass at baseline in the acute periodontal disease model.

6-8-week-old, female Balb/c mice were randomly divided into 4 groups ($n = 7$ / group) and weighed prior to induction, or not, of bacterial-induced acute periodontal disease in the presence or absence of mainstream stream smoke. Data is presented as mean ± s.d. There were no significant differences in baseline mass between groups, as determined by ANOVA ($p > 0.05$).
Figure 20: Bacterial infection increased murine body mass in smoke-exposed mice in an acute model of periodontitis.

Balb/c mice were weighed at following the induction, or not, of bacterial-induced acute periodontal disease in the presence or absence of mainstream stream smoke (*n* = 7 / group). Differences between groups were determined by ANOVA. Data are presented as mean ± s.d.

* *p* < 0.05.
Figure 21: Smoking suppressed body mass differentials in an acute periodontitis model in mice.

Differences between groups were determined by ANOVA. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. ** / *** $p < 0.01, 0.001$, respectively.

Difference of Balb/c mouse body mass between the base line weight and the weight at time of euthanasia following the induction, or not, of bacterial-induced acute periodontal disease in the presence or absence of mainstream stream smoke ($n = 7 / \text{group}$).
Bacterial colonization of the oral cavity in acute periodontitis model in mice:

Detection of bacterial colonization by culture and PCR in the acute periodontitis model was planned. However, this was not possible due to lab and core facilities closure associated with the COVID-19 outbreak.
Figure 22: Infection induces a *P. gingivalis*-cognizant IgM response that is not influenced by smoke exposure in an acute periodontitis model in mice.

IgM titers were measured by ELISA post-euthanasia.

Differences between groups were determined by ANOVA.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values (*n* = 7 per group).

* *p* < 0.05.
Figure 23: *P. gingivalis* cognizant-IgG is not influenced by smoke exposure in an acute periodontitis model in mice.

IgG titers were measured by ELISA post-euthanasia.

Differences between groups were determined by ANOVA.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values (*n* = 7 per group).

All, *p* > 0.05.
The influence of smoke exposure on the systemic immune response to *P. gingivalis* in an acute model of periodontitis.

Concentrations of inflammatory mediators in mouse serum were to be measured by multiplex ELISA following euthanasia. However, this was not possible due to lab and core facilities closures associated with the COVID-19 outbreak.

The influence of smoke exposure on the gingival immune response to *P. gingivalis* in an acute model of periodontitis.

Specific local gingival inflammatory mediators were planned to be measured post-euthanasia by qPCR in gingival tissue. However, this was not possible due to lab and core facilities closure associated with the COVID-19 outbreak.
Figure 24: Tobacco smokes enhances bacteria-induced alveolar bone loss in an acute model of periodontitis in mice.

Alveolar bone loss was determined as the distance from the CEJ to ABC at 7 predetermined buccal sites on contralateral sides of maxilla (ligated and non-ligated control sides).

Differences from the non-ligated and ligation-only control groups were determined by ANOVA.

Data are presented as mean ± s.d. values ($n = 7$ per group).

* / **/ *** $p < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$ respectively, compared to untreated group.

# / ##/ $p < 0.05, 0.01$, respectively, compared to compared to ligature only group.
CHAPTER 4 DISCUSSION

Periodontal diseases are highly prevalent, chronic inflammatory diseases of the tissue surrounding the teeth that lead to significant oral depilation [273]. Disease initiates and progresses when the balance of a complex interplay between the host immune response and microorganisms of the dental biofilm – or homeostasis - is disrupted. *P. gingivalis*, a causative agent of periodontal disease, is a Gram-negative, black pigmented, assacharolytic anaerobic bacteria that facilitates the subversion of the host immune response and, as a consequence, is thought to promote dysbiotic polymicrobial synergy [187, 273, 274]. Tobacco smoking is considered the strongest modifiable environmental risk factor for periodontitis [138].

Finding a reliable and reproducible animal model of periodontal disease that reproduces all aspects of human disease is unlikely. However, we hypothesized that two murine models would reflect at least some of the tobacco-specific facets of periodontal disease in humans. Most importantly, enhanced alveolar bone loss. Mice are an attractive model due to relevant gingival and tooth anatomy relatively low cost, availability of extensive genetic tools, a large existing literature
and availability of rodent smoke-exposure chambers. When aligned with the prioritization of the use of lower vertebrates, mice appear to be the appropriate animal, to explore in the context of smoking-related periodontal disease mechanisms.

There are key aspects of tobacco-enhanced human periodontal disease that differ from the disease in non-smokers i) enhanced and persistent infection with *P. gingivalis* and other periodontal pathogens [12, 138]; ii) a suppressed IgG response to pathogens [35, 149]; iii) a suppressed local and systemic pro-inflammatory response [26]; iv) an elevated endogenous protease burden [146]; and, most critically, (v) exacerbated oral bone loss [123, 124]. In addition, we were cognizant of the reduced body mass apparent in human smokers. Thus, we evaluated these human disease traits in acute and, where possible, chronic, smoke-exposed disease models.

Murine mass was equal in all groups at the start of the experimental period in both models. In the acute, but not chronic model, bacterial infection was associated with increased body mass in smoke-exposed mice.

A positive correlation between weight gain and periodontitis risk has been established in humans [275]. It is suggested that lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of Gram-negative periodontal bacteria could lead to hepatic dyslipidemia and insulin resistance [276]. On the other side, smoking is suggested to reduce weight by suppressing the appetite and/or increasing energy expenditure in humans [277,
Also, cross-sectional studies clarify that body weight is lower in smokers than nonsmokers [279]. However, in our study, smoking by itself did not affect body mass.

In the chronic model, persistent colonization with the commensal bacterium, \textit{S. gordonii}, was readily established in both the sham-smoked and smoked groups, as determined by both PCR and culture. This is consistent with the literature, where multiple reports confirm colonization of \textit{Streptococcus} species in the oral cavity of mice [280-282].

Unlike \textit{S. gordonii}, we could not detect persistent \textit{P. gingivalis} colonization, other than a single positive culture of black-pigmented rods early in the inoculation protocol. Therefore, we must think of our model as a series of transient infections rather than the established and persistent colonization by \textit{P. gingivalis} seen in humans [230]. This is most likely explained by the fact that mice are not a natural host for \textit{P. gingivalis} [230]. The interaction between \textit{S. gordonii} and \textit{P. gingivalis} is well established [202, 283]. Therefore, we had hypothesized that an initial infection with \textit{S. gordonii} would enhance colonization of \textit{P. gingivalis} in our chronic model of periodontitis. However, while the \textit{P. gingivalis} infections may have been transient, a robust adaptive immune response was nevertheless induced, as determined by the IgG response, while, critically, infection-associated differentials in alveolar bone loss were apparent, both phenomena to be discussed later.
A robust antibody response to *P. gingivalis*, reflective of colonization, is noted in patients with periodontitis [150, 151]. In our study we examined the association between smoking and levels of serum IgM and IgG. In the chronic and acute disease models, smoking did not influence the *P. gingivalis*-cognizant IgM or IgG titers. The IgM signal in the presence or absence of *P. gingivalis* infection likely reflects the presence of related Bacteroidetes or other bacteria that may share a sub-set of antigens with *P. gingivalis*.

Such findings are in contrast to the situation in humans, where the overall and pathogen-specific IgG response is significantly suppressed in smokers compared to nonsmokers, both in general and specifically in patients with periodontal disease [35, 227, 284].

Cigarette smoking alters the development and effector function of innate immune cells [30]. However, due to prioritization of experimental outcome measures, only systemic and local inflammatory profiling was addressed herein.

In the chronic model of periodontitis, we observed that smoke exposure altered specific aspects of the systemic immune response to bacterial infection, with significantly reduced levels of CCL2, CXCL1, MIP-1b, GM-CSF, IL-13, and IL-10 noted in serum from smoked-exposed mice relative to non-smoked control animals. Interleukin-10 (IL-10) is a potent anti-inflammatory cytokine that can contribute to the maintenance of bone mass through inhibition of osteoclastic bone
resorption and regulation of osteoblastic bone formation [285]. Also, IL-10-deficient mice possess hyperinflammation and are highly susceptible to *P. gingivalis*-induced periodontitis [286]. In addition, IL-13 prevents osteoclast precursors from differentiating into osteoclasts [287]. Moreover, Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) inhibits osteoclastogenesis by diverting osteoclast precursors to a macrophage lineage [288]. Among the infected groups, our results showed significant lower serum levels of GM-CSF, IL-10, and IL-13 in smoked mice relative to non-smoked mice in the chronic model of periodontitis. This can explain the associated bone loss in smoked mice discussed later in the current study. Our findings are consistent with clinical studies. For instance, it has been found that decreased salivary IL-10 level was significantly lower in patients with chronic periodontitis as compared with healthy controls [289] Also, Zein et al. reported that levels of IL-10, IL-13 were significantly lower in plasma of aggressive periodontitis patients compared with healthy [290]. In addition, serum GM-CSF level was reduced insignificantly in chronic periodontitis patients compared to healthy control group [291].

We also analyzed the effect of smoking on the mRNA signal of the inflammatory markers, IL1β, MMP-8, MMP-9, CD14 and CD45, in the maxillary gingiva of mice. IL-1β is an archetypal proinflammatory mediator, whose upregulation contributes to inflammation and the destructive sequelae that are characteristic features of periodontal diseases [292]. IL-1 is detected early in any immune response to pathogens [293]. Therefore, elevated IL-1β levels have been suggested as a potentially sensitive aid in monitoring clinical disease activity [294]. In the chronic
model of periodontitis, tobacco smoke exposure was associated with reduced expression of IL-1β in the maxillary gingiva, regardless of infection, although the effect showed high variability and did not reach statistical significance. Rawlinson et al. (2003) have previously reported that the IL-1β signal is lower in gingival crevicular fluid of adult periodontitis smokers vs. nonsmokers [295]. Indeed, a recent review by Buduneli and Scott reported that a general suppression of the gingival innate immune response is a consistent conclusion of multiple clinical studies [26].

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are a group of enzymes that, in concert, are able to degrade most, if not all, extracellular matrix proteins and are considered key mediators of periodontal disease progression [296]. Indeed, MMP inhibitors, in the form of sub-antimicrobial tetracycline antibiotics, represent an important therapeutic tool for use in recalcitrant disease cases [297]. During periodontal disease, MMPs are secreted by multiple types of inflammatory cells, including macrophages and neutrophils [298, 299]. In smokers, higher concentrations of MMPs, in particular MMP-8 and MMP-9, have been reported in gingival crevicular fluid and periodontium connective tissue [145, 146].

In our chronic periodontitis experiments, smoking exposure significantly augments MMP-8 message in the infected group. This increase in the MMP-8 signal is reflective of another key aspect of periodontitis that is seen in human smokers.
CD14 is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored receptor [300] expressed predominantly on monocytes and macrophages and, at 10-times lower levels, on neutrophils [301]. It is known to serve as a co-receptor for several Toll-like Receptors (TLRs) both at the cell surface and in the endosomal compartment. CD14, as a co-receptor with TLR4, facilitates cellular responses to low doses of bacterial lipopolysaccharide and activation of innate immunity [209, 302, 303].

CD45 is a leukocyte-specific protein tyrosine phosphatase, an abundant cell surface protein on lymphocytes [304]. CD45 isoforms are present on all nucleated hematopoietic cells and appear to play an important role in the immune cell response to stimuli [305]. CD45 causes increase in cytokine production and T-cell proliferation [306]. As CD14 and CD45 are present on the surface of particular leukocytes and their expression levels are considered, on this occasion, to act as surrogate markers for monocyte and pan-leukocytes infiltration, respectively, into the periodontal tissues. In the chronic periodontitis model, smoking does not affect the expression of CD14 mRNA or CD45 expression in murine gingiva. This is consistent with previous studies. For instance, Chen et al. has reported that CD14 expression in alveolar macrophages did not differ in smokers versus nonsmokers [307]. In addition, smoking did not increase the level of CD45 in healthy or periodontitis gingiva [308]. This can be explained by the masking effect of smoking on inflammatory cells infiltration in gingiva. Also, as recently reviewed by Buduneli and Scott, it has been found that smoking suppresses angiogenesis and this in turn leads to reduced inflammatory response [26, 309]. Further, a previous clinical study showed that smokers had a decreased blood vessel density and
inflammatory cells compared to nonsmokers although they have had an increased probing depth and overall increased clinical attachment loss [123]. This confirms the paradoxical action of smoking that it leads to reduced inflammation, however, apparent deleterious bone loss.

The equivalent experiments in the acute model have not been completed due to the Covid-19 outbreak.

A critical hallmark of periodontitis is alveolar bone resorption [44]. In both the chronic and acute models of periodontitis, smoke exposure significantly enhanced bacterial and/or ligature-induced alveolar bone loss. In the acute model, not only ligation enhances alveolar bone loss, but also infection and smoke exposure together had a synergistic effect on the alveolar bone. Successive representation of this aspect in-vivo is a reflective of a diagnostic key feature in periodontitis human smokers as confirmed by multiple studies [102, 310-312] and thus, in turn, validate these models as tools for study the association between *P. gingivalis*, smoking and periodontitis.

In summary, reference cigarette smoke-exposure in a chronic model of periodontitis, altered aspects of systemic immune response to infection, augmented MMP-8 local expression and significantly enhanced bacterial-induced alveolar bone loss. While full analysis of the acute disease model was curtailed by the Covid-19 outbreak, smoke exposure clearly augmented alveolar bone loss.
Thus, both models reflect some, but not all, aspects of tobacco-enhanced periodontitis in humans.

In the current study we presented two models of periodontitis. The acute model is less intensive and could be used to more rapidly screening for tobacco-enhanced disease factors: e.g. *P. gingivalis* strains with mutants in tobacco-essential genes; mice genetically manipulated in genes considered key to tobacco-enhanced periodontitis. However, the chronic model, which better reflects the disease in humans, but is long-term and labor intensive, could subsequently be employed to examine relevant phenomena identified in the acute screening.

These models could be improved by the following approaches:

i) Polymicrobial infections: Periodontal diseases are multibacterial. This model is amenable to both the minimalist approach presented herein as well as more complex infection protocols. Further, it has been previously shown that polymicrobial infection enhances colonization by *P. gingivalis*, *T. denticola*, and *T. forsythia* compared to their levels in monomicrobial infections [246].

ii) Wound healing: Incorporation of phase of ligature removal into the time scheme of the acute model can be useful to assess the healing phase or to study the effect of anti-periodontitis medications [235].

iii) Optimize tobacco dosing: While our initial protocol was successful in demonstrating tobacco-enhanced periodontal bone loss, it may be
possible to further exaggerate this phenomenon through optimizing exposure regimens.

In conclusion, these models could be employed for:

i) Study the association between gene mutations either in the pathogen or the host and their relevance to periodontitis. For example, IL-10 knockout mice exhibit hyperinflammation and are highly susceptible to *P. gingivalis*-induced periodontitis [286]. Also, clinical studies revealed that IL-10 gene polymorphism seems to be associated with severe chronic periodontitis [314].

ii) Alternate treatment regimens for smokers based on mechanistic insights into smoke-enhanced bacterial induced periodontal diseases. For example, the Green tea catechin showed a bactericidal effect against Gram-negative rods and improved the periodontal status [315].

iii) Study tobacco-periodontitis-systemic disease exploitation. For instance, utilizing these models to study periodontitis association with increased risk of cardiovascular diseases [316]. Also, the direct correlation between diabetes mellitus and periodontitis [317].

iv) Study tobacco-related microbial dysbiosis in a reproducible system. Smoking showed a potential contribution in the alteration of microbial
equilibrium in subgingival tissues, thus worsening the severity of periodontal disease [226].

v) Adapt model to environmental tobacco smoke or passive smoking related periodontal disease through use of side stream smoking machine [318].

vi) Adapt model to study marijuana-exacerbated disease: increasing evidence suggests that inhalation of cannabis smoke is also negatively associated with periodontal health as recently reported [51].

vii) Evaluate the impact of smoking cessation to clinical and microbial variables such as the bone loss and the bacterial colonization: studies show an early benefit of smoking cessation in terms of less reduction in pocket depth and gain in the level of clinical attachment in former smokers compared to smokers [319].

viii) Delivery of electronic cigarettes aerosol through modified nebulizer to the oral tissues to evaluate the effect of e-cigarettes in the current models [320].

Previous studies have shown the deleterious effects of e-cigarettes. For instance, E-cig containing nicotine affects oral myofibroblast differentiation in e-cig users; and hence may affect their ability to heal wounds by decreasing wound contraction by myofibroblasts[321]. Reactive aldehydes/carbonyls derived from e-cig aerosol can cause protein carbonylation and DNA adducts/damage, and carbonyls are cleaved by aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH). Protein carbonylation leads to autoantibody production, which may lead to destruction of matrix and bone loss during periodontitis [321].
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