Three-dimensional modeling of the human jaw/teeth using optics and statistics.

Aly Saber Abdelrahim
University of Louisville
THREE-DIMENSIONAL MODELING OF THE HUMAN JAW/TEETH USING OPTICS AND STATISTICS

By

Aly Saber Abdelrahim
M.Sc., EE, Assiut University, Egypt, 2007

A Dissertation
Submitted to the Faculty of the
J. B. Speed School of the University of Louisville
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of Louisville
Louisville, Kentucky

May, 2014
THREE-DIMENSIONAL MODELING OF THE HUMAN JAW/TEETH USING OPTICS AND STATISTICS

By

Aly Saber Abdelrahim
M.Sc., EE, Assiut University, Egypt, 2007

A Dissertation Approved on

April 16, 2014

by the Following Reading and Examination Committee:

Aly A. Farag, Ph.D., Dissertation Director

James H. Graham, Ph.D.

Prasanna K. Sahoo, Ph.D.

Tamer Inanc, Ph.D.

Amirali Zandinejad, DDS;M.Sc.
DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this dissertation to my beloved wife Sally for her love and patience.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my advisor Dr. Aly A. Farag for his guidance, support, and encouragement to pursue a Ph.D. degree in the computer vision and medical imaging field.

I would like to acknowledge the other members of my Ph.D. committee for spending time and effort in reading and reviewing my work Dr. James H. Graham, Dr. Prasanna K. Sahoo, Dr. Tamer Inanc, and Dr. Amirali Zandinejad.

I would also like to thank Dr. Hossam Abdelmunim and Dr. Moumen Elmelegy with whom I enjoyed very fruitful and stimulating research collaborations. Our discussions were always a great pleasure, and led to significant improvements in the content and exposition of this work. They gave me important and fruitful guidance during my first steps into 3D reconstruction.

Also, I would like to thank to Mr. Mike Miller, and all CVIP Lab members for their friendship and assistance. I am especially grateful to Shireen Elhabian, Marwa Ismail, Ahmed Shalaby, Ahmed Elbarkouky, Mostafa Abdelrahman, Eslam Mostafa and Ali Helmi.

Finally, words cannot describe how I am indebted to my wife Sally, my sons Yousef and Moaaz and my family for their pain, suffering and sacrifices made during the journey of this study.
Object modeling is a fundamental problem in engineering, involving talents from computer-aided design, computational geometry, computer vision and advanced manufacturing. The process of object modeling takes three stages: sensing, representation, and analysis. Various sensors may be used to capture information about objects; optical cameras and laser scanners are common with rigid objects, while X-ray, CT and MRI are common with biological organs. These sensors may provide a direct or an indirect inference about the object, requiring a geometric representation in the computer that is suitable for subsequent usage. Geometric representations that are compact, i.e., capture the main features of the objects with a minimal number of data points or vertices, fall into the domain of computational geometry. Once a compact object representation is in the computer, various analysis steps can be conducted, including recognition, coding, transmission, etc.

The subject matter of this dissertation is object reconstruction from a sequence of optical images using shape from shading (SFS) and SFS with shape priors. The application domain is dentistry. Most of the SFS approaches focus on the computational part of the SFS problem, i.e. the numerical solution. As a result, the imaging model in most conventional SFS algorithms has been simplified under three simple, but restrictive assumptions: (1) the
camera performs an orthographic projection of the scene, (2) the surface has a Lambertian reflectance and (3) the light source is a single point source at infinity. Unfortunately, such assumptions are no longer held in the case of reconstruction of real objects as intra-oral imaging environment for human teeth. In this work, we introduce a more realistic formulation of the SFS problem by considering the image formation components: the camera, the light source, and the surface reflectance.

This dissertation proposes a non-Lambertian SFS algorithm under perspective projection which benefits from camera calibration parameters. The attenuation of illumination is taken account due to near-field imaging. The surface reflectance is modeled using the Oren-Nayar-Wolff model which accounts for the retro-reflection case. In this context, a new variational formulation is proposed that relates an evolving surface model with image information, taking into consideration that the image is taken by a perspective camera with known parameters. A new energy functional is formulated to incorporate brightness, smoothness and integrability constraints. In addition, to further improve the accuracy and practicality of the results, 3D shape priors are incorporated in the proposed SFS formulation. This strategy is motivated by the fact that humans rely on strong prior information about the 3D world around us in order to perceive 3D shape information. Such information is statistically extracted from training 3D models of the human teeth.

The proposed SFS algorithms have been used in two different frameworks in this dissertation: a) holistic, which stitches a sequence of images in order to cover the entire jaw, and then apply the SFS, and b) piece-wise, which focuses on a specific tooth or a segment of the human jaw, and applies SFS using physical teeth illumination characteristics. To augment the visible portion, and in order to have the entire jaw reconstructed without the use of CT or MRI or even X-rays, prior information were added which gathered from a database of human jaws. This database has been constructed from an adult population with variations in teeth size, degradation and alignments. The database contains both shape and albedo information for the population. Using this database, a novel statistical shape from
shading (SSFS) approach has been created.

Extending the work on human teeth analysis, Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is adapted for analyzing and calculating stresses and strains of dental structures. Previous Finite Element (FE) studies used approximate 2D models. In this dissertation, an accurate three-dimensional CAD model is proposed. 3D stress and displacements of different teeth type are successfully carried out. A newly developed open-source finite element solver, Finite Elements for Biomechanics (FEBio), has been used. The limitations of the experimental and analytical approaches used for stress and displacement analysis are overcome by using FEA tool benefits such as dealing with complex geometry and complex loading conditions.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Shape from shading (SFS) is a problem that has been studied for about four decades in the vision literature. Stated succinctly, the problem is to recover surface orientation from local variations in measured brightness. There is strong psychophysical evidence for its role in surface perception and recognition. Since the problem is an ill-posed one, a number of additional, simplifying model assumptions have been imposed in order to render it tractable.

The investigation of the SFS problem was pioneered by Horn [7]. He formulated the problem by a nonlinear first order partial differential equation (PDE) called the image irradiance equation. This equation models the relationship between the shape of an object and its image brightness under known illumination conditions. His orthographic camera model, distant single point light source, and his Lambertian surface assumption became characteristic for numerous early SFS algorithms; see e.g. [8] for a survey.

Unfortunately, those assumptions are not always valid in reality. As such, the reconstruction results of these classical SFS approaches lack accuracy. That is why there have been more recent methods trying to relax some of these assumptions. For example, Prados et al. [9], Tankus et al. [10], and Yuen et al. [11] replaced the orthographic camera model by a pinhole camera model performing a perspective projection (see Figure 2), and assumed that the light source is located at the optical centre. Moreover, a light attenuation term is considered in [9]. These ideas have been further extended by Ahmed et al. [12] and by Vogel et al. [13, 14]. In these works, the Lambertian reflectance model is replaced by
the more realistic model of Oren and Nayar [15], which is particularly useful for skin surfaces. The Wolff reflectance model was also investigated [16]. On the other hand, various optimization techniques have been employed to solve the SFS problem, see e.g. [17] for a recent survey.

The observation underpinning this dissertation is that although considerable effort has gone into the development of improved SFS methods, there are two areas which leave scope for further development. The first of these is the incorporation of the camera calibration in the more realistic reflectance model. The second is that incorporation of 3D shape priors in the recovery of the unknown surface. This is quite useful specially when the target application deals with a particular category of object surfaces.

To further motivate the contribution of this work, a dental application is considered: 3D jaw/teeth reconstruction from intra-oral images. Dentistry usually requires accurate 3D representation of the teeth and jaw for diagnostic and treatment purposes. Toward this end, photogrammetry seems to offer a more convenient, cost-effective technique compared to traditional techniques while avoiding the need for castings. Computer Vision and Image Processing laboratory (CVIP lab.) research group have been interested in this SFS paradigm for jaw/teeth reconstruction from an intraoral image for several years (see for example [6, 18–21]) due to the significant shading cue in an image of a tooth. In addition, other 3D reconstruction methods, such as Shape from Stereo, will have little success when applied on the textureless tooth surfaces [19].

In this dissertation, a novel strategies to improve the surface recovery results of SFS have been approved. 3D shape priors in the SFS formulation are incorporated. Since the target application is the human teeth reconstruction from intra-oral images, such information is statistically extracted from training 3D tooth models. This can serve in several aspects, e.g., to improve reconstruction accuracy, solve problems caused by occlusion (e.g., because of the tongue), specularity and albedo changes, and/or make up for the lack of sufficient, detailed view of a tooth.
Furthermore, we introduce a more realistic formulation of SFS that better considers all the components of the problem under concern, namely: the camera, the light source, and the surface reflectance. Since image acquisition setup consists of a small wireless intraoral camera with a built-in bright light source, the camera is modelled by perspective projection, which is more practical than the common SFS assumption of orthographic projection as the teeth are typically close to the camera (see Figure 1). The light source is assumed to be located at the optical center of the camera. Under this near-illumination imaging, we take into account the attenuation of illumination due to the distance between the light source and the surface, which helps to deal with the concave/convex ambiguity in SFS [9, 22].

A. Reconstruction from Sequence of Images
Optical Imaging: An optical image nowadays is formed by high-speed CCD sensors capable of capturing light reflections from an object at high spatial resolutions. Yet, at the basic level, an image may be represented as a collection of reflected light rays from an object culminated by a lens Figure 2. A pinhole camera forms inverted images of an object by rays entering a pinhole; lens at the aperture focuses the light reflected from an object such that a ray will pass unabated through the lens center, and if parallel to the lens optical axis will be deflected through the focal point. The intersection of the two rays forms an image at the image plane. Figure 3 illustrates the geometric model. The equations of the camera calibration parameters shown in Figure 4.

1. 3D from Images

An image tells a lot about the observed scene, however there is not enough information to reconstruct the whole 3D scene; this is due to the nature of the image formation.
process which consists of a projection from a three-dimensional scene onto a two-dimensional image, during this process the depth information is lost; i.e. the distance between the 3D object and the capturing (imaging) device. However, the three-dimensional point \( P(X, Y, Z) \) corresponding to a specific image point \( p(u, v) \) is constrained to be on the associated line of sight \( L \), as shown in Figure 5.

Therefore, the two-dimensional point \( p \) might correspond to any three-dimensional point \( P \) on the line of sight \( L \). Hence from a single image it is not possible to determine which point on this line corresponds to the image point. Therefore, if two or more images (views) are available for the same 3D scene (whether they are taken by different cameras or one non-stationary camera), then the 3D point can be obtained as the intersection of two (or more) line of sights, as illustrated in Figure 6. This process is called triangulation.

A number of things needed to accomplish such task; i.e. three-dimensional infor-
FIGURE 4: Camera model equations (Extrinsic \Intrinsic parameters).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{World Frame} & \quad \xrightarrow{w P} \quad \text{Camera Frame} & \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{c} P \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{c} R & \mathbf{c} t \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{w} P \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{Extrinsic} \\
\text{Intrinsic} & \quad \xrightarrow{p'} \quad \text{Normalized Retina} & \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} p' \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{Z_c} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{c} P \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{p} \quad \text{Image Plane} & \quad p = Kp'
\end{align*}
\]

\[
p = \frac{1}{Z_c} K R \mathbf{t} \quad \text{and} \quad t^w P = \frac{1}{Z_c} M^w P
\]

FIGURE 5: The three-dimensional point corresponding to a specific image point is constraint to be on the associated line of sight.
FIGURE 6: 3D point can be obtained as the intersection of two (or more) line of sights. This process is called triangulation.

Information reconstruction:

- A list of corresponding image points between different views, i.e. \( p_i \) in view \( V_1 \) corresponds to \( p_j \) in view \( V_2 \).

- Relative pose of the camera for the different views; i.e. the relationship between viewing cameras with respect to each other.

- The relation between the image points and the corresponding line of sights.

The relation between an image point and its line of sight is given by the so-called camera model, which answers the question of how a three-dimensional point, measured with respect to the 3D world coordinates, be projected on a 2D image plane and ends up as a
pixel in a specific row and column, hence what is the relationship between the row/column number and the 3D point coordinates?

It is important here to note that using different views is not the only approach to recover or reconstruct the scene 3D information, shading, texture and focus cues can also be used to extract 3D information from images.

2. Camera Field of View

The input to the camera is a collection of 3D points which lie in the field of view of the camera. The field of view of the camera is ideally a cone, defined by its angle £ and its near and far plane, where the 3D points which lie between the near and far planes are the only points that can be seen by the camera. This idea was inspired by the field of view of the human eye.

Using a cone-like field of view for the camera will impose computational overhead, hence it can be approximated as a trapezoid or a cuboid (box) shape. The shape to be used depends on the type of projection considered for camera modeling. When a trapezoid is used to approximate the field of view of the camera this is called, perspective projection. While a cuboid for approximation is known as orthogonal projection.

a. Orthogonal Projection: Consider the case when using a box to approximate the camera field of view. Any 3D point that lies in the box (field of view) will be projected on the near plane (to form the 2D image) in a direction parallel to the edges of the field of view, see Figure 7 for illustration. Since the edges of the box are parallel to each other, same-size near and far object will be projected on the near and far plane having the same size. Hence methods which use orthogonal projection are only valid in a limited domain where the distance and position effects can be ignored, i.e. the objects are distant from the camera.
FIGURE 7: Two identical objects in different positions give the same image under orthogonal projection: object $O_2$ is much further away from the camera, than object $O_1$.

b. Perspective Projection: On the other hand, consider the case when using a trapezoid to approximate the field of view. Using a trapezoidal field of view will enable us to feel the distance effect, where far objects project smaller than near objects, keeping in mind that projection always occurs parallel to the edges of the field of view, see Figure 8 for illustration. The idea of approximating the field of view as a trapezoid is inspired by the pinhole camera model, discussed latter, which simulates the human eye.

3. Camera-Externally and Internally

The camera can be characterized (to the external/surrounding world) by its position, known as the optical center, its viewing direction, known as its optical axis (z-axis), its up direction (y-axis) and its right direction (x-axis). The whole idea of the camera model is inspired by the human visual system. A human being has two eyes, each characterized by there position in his/her face, i.e. the optical center, the viewing direction is defined by where the human eye is looking at, the up-direction can be viewed as the orientation of the human head with respect to the viewing direction, while the right direction maps to the humans right-hand when expanded perpendicular to the human body.
FIGURE 8: The distance effect can be felt when using perspective projection: object \(O_2\) is much further away from the camera than object \(O_1\), and its projection is smaller than that of \(O_1\).

The camera can be internally characterized by its field of view, the type of projection used (\textit{i.e.} the approximation of the cone-shape field of view), and the process of digitizing what is projected on the near plane to be converted to a digital image. The camera field of view is defined by the near and far plane, where the distance between the optical center and the near plane is commonly denoted as focal length.

B. The General Form of Perspective Projection Matrix

The camera can be considered as a system that depends on its parameters, which are categorized into two classes, extrinsic and intrinsic parameters.
1. Extrinsic Parameters

They are the parameters related to the transformation of the world coordinate system to coincide on the camera coordinate system. There are six extrinsic parameters, the Euler angles; yaw $\alpha$, pitch $\beta$, and tilt $\gamma$ for rotation, the three components of the translation vector $t = (t_x, t_y, t_z)$.

The extrinsic parameters matrix, $D$, can be expressed in terms of $t$ and $R$ as:

$$
D = \begin{bmatrix}
R_{3 \times 3} & t \\
0_3^T & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $D$ is $4 \times 4$ matrix and $0_3 = [0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$.

2. Intrinsic Parameters

Those parameters are related to converting the 3D point coordinate measured in camera coordinate system to the computer image coordinate. There are five intrinsic parameters:

- The focal length $\alpha_x$ in $x$ direction and focal length $\alpha_y$ in $y$ direction.
- The coordinates $u_o, v_o$ of the principle point in the image plane.
- The skewing factor $s$.

$$
K = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_x & s & x_o & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_y & y_o & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
The Overall Projection Matrix (P):

\[ P = KR[I_3] - \tilde{C} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( P \) is a 3 \times 4 matrix and it has 11 degree of freedom (5 from \( K \), 3 from \( R \) and 3 from \( \tilde{C} \)).

C. Camera Calibration

Camera calibration is the process of determining the internal camera geometric and optical characteristics (intrinsic parameters) and/or the 3D position and orientation of the camera frame relative to a certain world coordinate system (extrinsic parameters).

1. Why is camera calibration necessary?

Camera calibration provides a way of inferring 3D information from computer image coordinates as well as inferring 2D computer image coordinate from 3D information. 3D information from computer image coordinates involves the location of the object (target) and the position and orientation of a moving camera.

2. Calibration Schema

The key idea behind camera calibration is to write the projection equations linking the known coordinates of a set of 3D world points and their projections (image pixels coordinates) and solve for the camera parameters.

In order to get to know the coordinates of some 3D points, camera calibration methods rely on one or more images of a calibration pattern, that is a 3D object of known ge-
FIGURE 9: Typical calibration pattern consists of two orthogonal planes of black and white grid, to facilitate feature points extraction. The world coordinate system is attached to the calibration pattern to facilitate the measurement of 3D points.

ometry, possibly located in a known position in space and generating image features which can be located accurately. Usually a typical calibration pattern consists of two planar grids of black squares on a white background. The world coordinate system is usually attached to the calibration pattern, this will facilitate the measurement of the 3D world points, while the corresponding image points can be located using corner detection techniques, i.e. intersection of image lines, thanks to the high contrast and simple geometry of the calibration pattern, see Figure 9.

3. Linear Approach to Camera Calibration

The calibration process can be decomposed into two stages; first the computation of the perspective projection matrix, \( M \), associated with the camera in this coordinate system,
then the estimation of the intrinsic and extrinsic parameters of the camera from this matrix.

\[ a. \text{Projection Matrix Estimation:} \] Assume that the projection matrix \( M \), defined up to an arbitrary scale factor, is:

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\
m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\
m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (4)

and given \( N \) 3D points and their corresponding 2D points. Since the relation between a 3D point and its corresponding 2D point is:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u_i \\
v_i \\
1
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\
m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\
m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_i \\
y_i \\
z_i \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\] (5)

Equation 5 indicates:

\[
u_i = \frac{m_{11}x_i + m_{12}y_i + m_{13}z_i + m_{14}}{m_{31}x_i + m_{32}y_i + m_{33}z_i + m_{34}}
\]

\[v_i = \frac{m_{21}x_i + m_{22}y_i + m_{23}z_i + m_{24}}{m_{31}x_i + m_{32}y_i + m_{33}z_i + m_{34}}
\]

which can be arranged in \( 2N \) linear equations in \( m' \)'s in the form:

\[
Pm = 0;
\] (6)

where,
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_1 & y_1 & z_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_1x_1 & -u_1y_1 & -u_1z_1 & -u_1 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1 & y_1 & z_1 & 1 & -v_1x_1 & -v_1y_1 & -v_1z_1 & -v_1 \\
  x_2 & y_2 & z_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_2x_2 & -u_2y_2 & -u_2z_2 & -u_2 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & y_2 & z_2 & 1 & -v_2x_2 & -v_2y_2 & -v_2z_2 & -v_2 \\
  \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
  x_N & y_N & z_N & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_Nx_N & -u_Ny_N & -u_Nz_N & -u_N \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_N & y_N & z_N & 1 & -v_Nx_N & -v_Ny_N & -v_Nz_N & -v_N
\end{bmatrix}
\]

And

\[
m = [m_{11}, m_{12}, m_{13}, \ldots, m_{33}, m_{34}]^T.
\]

then the unknown \( ms \) can be recovered by the decomposition of \( P \) using singular value decomposition as:

The solution is the eigenvector \( V \) corresponds to the smallest singular value (related to the smallest eigenvalue) in the main diagonal of \( D \).

\[
P = UDV^T \tag{7}
\]

D. Teeth Anatomy

Humans have two types of teeth in their lifetime. The first type of teeth that appears in the mouth are the primary or deciduous dentition, which begin to form prenatally at about 14 weeks in utero and are completed postnatally at the age of 3. When there are no signs of congenital disorders, dental disease, or trauma, the first teeth begin to appear in the
oral cavity at the age of 6, and the last emerge at the age of 28 ± 4 months. This deciduous dentition remains intact until the child is about 6 years of age. At about that time the first succedaneous (permanent) teeth begin to emerge into the mouth. The emergence of these teeth marks the beginning of the mixed dentition period in which a mixture of deciduous and succedaneous teeth is present. This transition period lasts from about 6 to 12 years of age and ends when all the deciduous teeth have been shed. At that time the permanent dentition period begins. Thus, the transition from the primary dentition to the permanent dentition begins with the emergence of the rst permanent molars, shedding of the deciduous incisors, and emergence of the permanent incisors.

The mixed dentition period is often a difficult time for the young child due to many reasons including habits, missing teeth, teeth of dierent colors and hues, crowding of the teeth, and malposed teeth. After the shedding of the deciduous canines and molars, emergence of the permanent canines and premolars, and emergence of the second permanent molars, the permanent dentition is completed (including the roots) at about 14 to 15 years of age, except for the third molars, which are completed at 18 to 25 years of age. In effect, the duration of the permanent dentition period is 12+ years.

The completed permanent dentition consists of 32 teeth if none are congenitally missing, which may be the case (see Figure 10). Teeth of humans are small, hard, calcied and whitish structures found in the mouth. The visible part of these teeth is called the crown, while the invisible part, which is embedded in the jaw bone is referred to as the tooth root. The molars, and the premolars of the upper jaw, usually have two, three or four dierent roots and are called multi-rooted teeth.
FIGURE 10: Anatomy of the tooth. (a) intraoral left. (b) intraoral right. (c) occlusal upper: schematic overview of the distribution of the different teeth in an adult mouth. (d) intraoral center. (e) occlusal lower.
1. SURFACES AND RIDGES

The crowns of the incisors and canines have four surfaces and a ridge, whereas the crowns of the premolars and molars have five surfaces. The surfaces are named according to their anatomical positions and uses (see Figure 10). In the incisors and canines, the surfaces toward the lips are called labial surfaces; in the premolars and molars, surfaces facing the cheek are the buccal surfaces. Both the labial and buccal surfaces together are referred to as facial surfaces. All surfaces facing toward the tongue are called lingual surfaces. The surfaces of the premolars and molars that come in contact (occlusion) with those in the opposite jaw during the act of closure are called occlusal surfaces. These are called incisal surfaces with respect to incisors and canines.

2. The periodontal ligament

Figure 11 \(^1\) shown the periodontal ligament (PDL) that supporting structure of the tooth, attaching it to the alveolar bone. The PDL in the humans has an average width of 0.25mm [23]. The PDL consists of principal fibres stretching across the width of the ligament, which are embedded as Sharpeys fibres in the bone and the cementum.

E. Reconstruction of the Human Jaw

In 1997, Ahmed \(et\ al.\) [24] introduced the first optical approach to construct the human jaw from a sequence of images taken by intraoral camera. The SFS solution provides an estimate of the shape for a certain light direction (perspective projection); this estimate is non-metric, and real-world surfaces (e.g., the human jaw) are not Lambertian. In 1999, Yamany \(et\ al.\) [25] introduced a modification to the SFS problem by incorporating sparse

\(^1\)http://www.highlands.edu/academics/divisions/scipe/biology/faculty/harnden/2122/images/toothanatomy.jpg
FIGURE 11: Schematic overview of the anatomy of the tooth and its surrounding structure: the crown is covered with enamel, root, cusp, dentin, gum, cementum, periodontal ligament, alveolar bone and apex.

3D points, obtained by a touch probe, into the camera calibration model, and used a filter to reduce the jaw specularity, in order to make the Lambertian assumption adequate. In 2000, Yamany et al. [18] introduced the first computer vision system for 3D jaw reconstruction based on an intraoral camera mounted on a six-segment coordinate measuring unit. Since then, various modifications to the imaging process and software optimization were carried out, which enhanced the capabilities of the Computer Vision and Image Processing (CVIP Lab) Dental Station.

The accuracy obtained by the CVIP Lab Dental Station (as shown in Figure 12) was in the millimeter range, yet it has the following drawbacks: i) The camera required a pre-calibration and needed to be hooked to the coordinate measuring arm, in order to reference the image sequence used in the reconstruction to a common 3D referencing system. ii) The data acquisition was awkward, requiring cooperation of the object during data gathering which may last up to five minutes. iii) The overall accuracy of the resulting 3D
model was not suitable for various envisioned dental practices. Two obvious enhancements were essential to make the Dental Station more practical: Making the dental probe flexible; and improving the 3D model building algorithm from sequence of images. Major efforts have been undertaken to address these two shortcomings. Focused on generalization of the SFS solution to various object characteristics as stated above [12, 26], and deployed newer cameras with various light sources and motion sensors. From algorithmic point of view we introduced a new stitching algorithm [20], which enabled registration of the image segments covering the human jaw, then applying SFS vs. applying SFS on individual segments and then register the results. Equally significant is that we examined the roughness of the jaw material using profiler microscopes available at the University of Louisville MEMS facility, in order to calibrate the albedo parameters in the SFS using realistic measures.

F. Contributions of this Dissertation

This dissertation involves theoretical developments, system design and integration, as well as practical evaluation by dental professionals. In the context of tooth reconstruction from intraoral images, the SFS technique offers several advantages. It provides more detailed and accurate representations about the shape of the tooth crowns [18]. It requires only one camera position within the cramped confines of the mouth. Cost-wise, it is cheaper because it simply requires a single camera and light source. Summarizing the main contributions of this work:

- A robust data acquisition system has been proposed that acquires calibrated images for the jaw by an intraoral camera and controlled lighting mechanism.

- This work designed and developed novel algorithms for 3D surface reconstruction by SFS aspect for human teeth based on a single image. A non-Lambertian SFS algorithm under perspective projection is proposed, which benefits from camera calibration parameters. Take into account the attenuation of illumination due to near-
FIGURE 12: Scientific prototype of the dental probe at the CVIP Lab (2000-2004). A CCD camera attached to a coordinate measuring arm captures the video images used in the jaw reconstruction.
field imaging. The surface reflectance is modeled using the Oren-Nayar-Wolff model which accounts for the retro-reflection case.

- A novel method to incorporate the 3D shape priors in SFS formulation was proposed. This strategy is motivated by the fact that humans rely on strong prior information about the 3D world around us in order to perceive 3D shape information.

- 2D-PCA is used to build the shape priors instead of the conventional PCA. The 2D-PCA offers two important advantages: It is easier to evaluate the covariance matrix accurately since its size is much smaller. In addition, less time is required to determine the corresponding eigenvectors. Second, the modified Oren-Nayar-Wolff reflectance model is presumed in place of the Oren-Nayar model, where teeth surface is rough and wet, giving rise to Fresnel reflection due to different refractive indices of the saliva and the tooth material.

- The tooth surface roughness is physically measured using an optical surface profiler and stylus arm.

- Developing techniques for stitching 3D surface patches reconstructed from different views of the jaw surface were proposed. Calibration parameters have been computed adaptively while the camera is moving using the sensors to generate calibrated images and meaningful surfaces. Solving this problem touches on all aspects for computer vision and image processing.

- A model-based SFS approach is proposed which allows for the construction of plausible human jaw models in vivo, without ionizing radiation, using fewer sample points in order to reduce the cost and intrusiveness of acquiring models of patients teeth/jaws over time.

- Establish a dental database and a procedure to augment it by researchers in the US and worldwide, for enhancing dental research and practice on the human teeth/jaw.
The possibility of calculating the initial stresses and strains in the PDL is calculated analytically and using FEA.

G. Organization of the Dissertation

The dissertation is given in nine chapters. A summary of each one is presented which includes the problem, contribution, and experimental results.

1. Chapter I

This chapter includes the definition of SFS, 3D reconstruction from sequence of images, the basic of the camera calibration, teeth anatomy and the related work of the reconstruction of the human jaw. Also it has in brief, the contributions to solve the problem.

2. Chapter II

This chapter gives a brief introduction to surface reconstruction by geometric computer vision and image irradiance equations.

3. Chapter III

At the University of Louisville Dental school there exists enormous number of molds/teeth and patient records. The first steps to arrange these molds/teeth are taken into subjects categorized with respect to gender, age and ethnicity. This database is very important in 1) a model-based SFS approach which allows for the construction of plausible human jaw models in vivo, without ionizing radiation, using fewer sample points in order
to reduce the cost and intrusiveness of acquiring models of patients jaws/teeth over time. 2) using for FEA. Also, it has an introduction to orthodontic tooth movement using analytical method.

4. Chapter IV

This chapter describes a new method of 3D surface reconstruction aspect for human teeth based on a single image, which provides more realistic formulation of the SFS problem by considering the image formation components: the camera, the light source, and the surface reflectance. A non-Lambertian SFS algorithm is proposed under perspective projection which benefits from camera calibration parameters. The attenuation of illumination due to near-field imaging is taken into account. The surface reflectance is modeled using the Oren-Nayar-Wolff model which accounts for the retro-reflection case.

5. Chapter V

This chapter proposes a new variational formulation that relates an evolving surface model with image information, taking into consideration that the image is taken by a perspective camera with known parameters. A new energy functional is formulated to incorporate brightness, smoothness, and integrability constraints. Furthermore, an automatic approach for 3D surface reconstruction of the human jaw using calibrated images with the help of the ASIFT features matching is presented.

6. Chapter VI

This chapter developers a new approach for realistic 3D reconstruction of the human teeth using shape from shading with statistical shape priors gathered from an ensemble of
scanned human teeth, in order to improve the quality of SFS as applied to jaw surface.

7. Chapter VII

The approach developed in this chapter reconstructs the teeth from single image shading with 2D-PCA shape priors that have a more sophisticated reflectance model. The Oren-Nayar-Wolff model was used for modeling the surface reflectance.

8. Chapter VIII

In this chapter, an accurate three-dimensional CAD model is proposed. 3D stress and displacements of different teeth type are successfully carried out using FEA.

9. Chapter IX

This chapter concludes the dissertation with insights to extensions to be handled during thesis work.
CHAPTER II

SURFACE RECONSTRUCTION BY GEOMETRIC COMPUTER VISION

Simply stated, the surface reconstruction problem is the following: given a sequence of calibrated and referenced 2D images of an object, construct a 3D representation of that object. If the 2D image sequence covers the entire object (i.e., 360 degrees) then the 3D reconstruction is expected to be a full 3D surface representation. This problem has been studied in the past 40 years in what is known in computer vision as the "shape from X" problem. Where X represents the various cues employed in going from 2D to 3D, which includes stereo (e.g., [27–31]), texture (e.g., [32, 33]), motion (e.g., [34–37]), and shading (e.g., [38–46]). Other approaches in geometric computer vision that are more recent are the voxel-based approaches, e.g., voxel coloring [47], space carving [48], and generalized voxel coloring [49]. These methods extract the 3D information of an object by removing (carving) the volume elements (voxels) in the initial 3D volume that are invisible and photoinconsistent in all/subset of the sequence of images. A plethora of algorithms exists in the literature for reconstruction and recognition from sequence of images. Even though the geometry of the reconstruction has been well-developed through the foundational work of a number of investigators (e.g., [38], Grimson [50], Faugeras [51], Hartely and Zisserman [52]), the accuracy of the reconstructions is application oriented and there is no existing geometric reconstruction mechanism that fits all needs. Considerable work still remains in order to achieve automatic 3D surface reconstruction from video.

Difficulties in video reconstruction come, in part, from the fact that the assumptions for typical geometrical reconstruction are hardly valid. For example, assumptions in stereo (e.g., presence of distinct features of the objects in the right and left images), in SFS (e.g.,
the Labmertian assumption that considers the surface in the field of view of the camera to be flat), in space carving (e.g., the photo consistency) are rarely valid in practice. Other difficulties result from surfaces that are glossy, transparent, occluding, and mutually illuminating. In addition, errors result from the data acquisition sensors (e.g., camera calibration errors due to motion, lens distortion, etc.). Despite these problems, video-based technology is extremely powerful and popular in every facet of life. The system uses this technology for creating a 3D surface model of the object from sequence of images.

A. Shape from Shading (SFS)

Among the tools used in shape extraction from single view is the SFS technique. SFS has been primarily studied by Horn [38] and it extracts the depth (hidden information) from the image formation process that relates source irradiance to image gray level intensity. There have been various developments in the SFS algorithm (e.g., [53–59]). The most important information for reconstructing an accurate 3D visible surface, which is missing in SFS, is the metric measurement. SFS also suffers from the discontinuities due to highly textured surfaces and different albedo [38]. In [18], they introduced an algorithm that integrates the dense depth map obtained from SFS with sparse depth measurements obtained from a coordinate measurement machine (CMM) for the reconstruction of 3D surfaces. This algorithm provides two advantages: it removes the ambiguity of the 3D visible surface discontinuities produced by SFS, and it complements for the missing metric information. The integration process includes the following stages. First, calculated the error difference in the available depth measurements between the two sets of sensory data. Then, approximated a surface that fits this error difference. Finally, the approximated surface is used to correct the shape from shading. The reconstruction involved several sequential steps: Camera calibration, imaging and landmark/ground truth collection, SFS extraction, merging with ground truth points using Neural Network (NN), and obtaining the 3D surface. Extensions to the previous algorithm [18] include flexible and more accurate
image data collection, quantification of motion artifacts, reduction in algorithmic time, and studying the robustness of the overall procedure to ensure accuracy and repeatability.

Shape-from-Shading is a classic and challenging problem in computer vision. It uses the brightness variation in a single image to compute the 3D shape of a surface. The goal of SFS is to solve the image irradiance equation, which robustly relates the reflectance map to image intensity. However, the task appears to be nontrivial. Consequently, most work in this relies on assumptions which simplify the irradiance equation. Of particular importance is the common assumption that scene points are projected orthographically during the photographic process.

Many works in the field of SFS have followed the seminal works of Horn [60–62] who initiated the subject in the 1970s, and assumed orthographic projection. Horn’s book [63] reviews the early work on SFS (until 1989). Zhang et al. [8] survey and classify some of the works from the ’1990s and compare the performance of six of them (namely, minimization approaches: [64], [65]; propagation approaches: [66]; local approaches: [67]; linear approaches: [68], [69]). Kimmel and Bruckstein [70] classify image extrema and two kinds of saddle points and use these topological properties of the surface in a global SFS algorithm. Zhao and Chellappa [71] use symmetric SFS to develop a face recognition system which is illumination insensitive. They show that the symmetric SFS algorithm has a unique solution. Kimmel and Sethian [72] proposed the Fast Marching method as an optimal algorithm for surface reconstruction. Their reconstructed surface is a viscosity solution of an Eikonal equation for the vertical light source case. Sethian [73] provides deep insight into Level Set and Fast Marching methods. Prados et al. [74] base their approach on the viscosity solution of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation. They extend existing proofs of existence and uniqueness to the general light source case and prove the convergence of their numerical scheme. Many more orthographic algorithms were suggested in the literature, but only a few can be described herein.

SFS algorithms can be categorized into four main groups [69]: minimization ap-
proaches, propagation approaches, local approaches, and linear approaches. Minimization approaches obtain the solution by minimizing an energy functional. Propagation approaches propagate the shape information from a set of surface points (e.g., singular points) to the whole image. Local approaches extract shape based on the assumption of surface type. Linear approaches compute the solution based on the linearization of the reflectance map. To solve the SFS problem under more comprehensive modeling conditions, we need very powerful mathematical tools. Basically, we can choose between propagation approaches or energy minimization approaches since the applicability of the local approaches is limited, and the reasonability of the linear approximation of the reflectance map is questionable [75].

One of the earlier and most important works in the minimization approaches, which reconstructs the surface gradients, was by Ikeuchi and Horn [76]. Each surface point has two unknowns for the surface gradient and each pixel in the image provides one intensity value, which yields to we having an under-determined system. To overcome this, they introduced two constraints: the brightness constraint and the smoothness constraint. The brightness constraint requires that the reconstructed shape produces the same brightness as the input image at each surface point, while the smoothness constraint ensures a smooth surface reconstruction. The shape was computed by minimizing an energy function which consists of the above two constraints. To ensure a correct convergence, the shape at the occluding boundary was given for the initialization. Since the gradient at the occluding boundary has at least one infinite component, stereographic projection was used to transform the error function to a different space. Also using these two constraints, Brooks and Horn [77] minimized the same energy function in terms of the surface normal. Frankot and Chellappa [78] enforced integrability in Brooks and Horn’s algorithm in order to recover integrable surfaces. Surface slope estimates from the iterative scheme were expressed in terms of a linear combination of a finite set of orthogonal Fourier basis functions. The enforcement of integrability was done by projecting the nonintegrable surface slope estimates onto the nearest integrable surface slopes. This projection was fulfilled by finding the clos-
est set of coefficients which satisfy integrability in the linear combination. Their results showed improvements in both accuracy and efficiency over Brooks and Horn’s algorithm [77]. Later, Horn also [38] replaced the smoothness constraint in his approach with an integrability constraint. The major problem with Horn’s method is its slow convergence.

B. Geometric Stereo

The availability of a sequence of calibrated images enables the application of other reconstruction methods (e.g., stereo and space carving). The stereo approach matches information present in the left and right images (any two images in the referenced sequence that share common information about the scene) in order to extract the depth (disparity or third dimension) from the two images. If the sequence of images cover the entire object, the pairwise reconstructions can be merged together to form a full 3D model. Pairwise images from the sequence (obtained by a single camera) that are related may be used as a “stereo-pair” to extract the depth.

In the general stereo configuration, the image planes are not coplanar which makes the correspondence problem more difficult than the simple stereo configuration. If no constraints are applied to this configuration, then it is necessary to search for the point \(x_r\) in the entire right image to be matched with \(x_l\) (note: the right and left images may be thought of just as two related images from the sequence). The search space can be limited to a general line in the right image if the epipolar constraint [30] is applied. Furthermore, image rectification algorithms (e.g., [79]) can be used to parallelize and align the epipolar lines to the x-axis. This rectification step reduces the problem to a simple stereo configuration problem.

In general, the stereo approaches operate either by the edge-feature matching or the area-based matching. Feature-based stereo approaches are useful because they describe the important geometry of the object. However, the major problem of most of these approaches
is the low output density. A dynamic programming [30] approach matches features to get dense reconstruction, but it can only be applied on a scan line by scan line basis. Boykov, et al. [80] and Roy and Cox [28] solved the inter-scan line problem using graph cuts. In contrast to the feature-based stereo, the area-based stereo provides dense reconstructions. Okutumi and Kanade [29] used a variable size correlation window to generate dense depth maps. However, area-based usually fails when applied to surfaces of large textureless areas. Another challenging problem for stereo approaches is the occlusion problem, where scene elements appear in one image but they are occluded in the other image.

With respect to smooth objects, the major sources of errors are the lack of specific features on the target when viewed in the front or the back; only the upper views of the target can provide some feature for matching. In addition, various sources of inaccuracies in depth measurements may result due to effects of motion, lightning and the occlusion due to the nature of the object.

C. Space Carving

Space carving [48] attempts to produce the maximal 3D shape that is consistent with all the images. Space carving starts with an initial volume V that includes the object to be reconstructed. This 3D space is then discretized into a finite set of voxels \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \). The idea is to successively carve (remove) some voxels until the final 3D shape, \( V^* \), agrees with all the input images. Each voxel on the surface of the volume, i.e., in \( \text{Vis}(V) \), is projected back to the different images using their respective projection matrices. A voxel is carved or not is based on color-consistency. The Lambertian model for the surface of the object is assumed. Under this model, light reflected from a single point on the surface of the object has the same intensity in all directions. Therefore, for a voxel to belong to the surface of the object, it must have the same color intensity, within some tolerance to allow for some light variations and some calibration inaccuracy, for all its projections to
the different images provided. Voxels that are inconsistent with a single color are viewed as free space in which different light rays intersect. By removing all color-inconsistent voxels, we are able to approximate a maximal photo-consistent shape that is defined by all the input images.

D. Integration of Reconstruction Methods

No single approach in geometric computer vision fits all applications. Integration/fusion of several approaches has been attempted in order to improve the reconstruction results. For example, Fua and Leclerc [37] developed an approach for reconstructing both the shape and reflectance properties of surfaces from multiple images. The method begins with an initial estimate of surface shape provided, for example, by triangulating the result of conventional stereo. The surface shape and reflectance properties are then iteratively adjusted to minimize an objective function that combines information from multiple input images. The objective function is a weighted sum of stereo, shading, and smoothness components, where the weight varies over the surface. Lange [38] proposed another approach for integration of SFS and stereo in which he corrects for error propagation from stereo vision to SFS, when only the initial and border conditions are used for the cooperation, by the introduction of simultaneous constraints from both modules on all image points. Samaras et. al [39] presented a multiview method for the computation of object shape and reflectance characteristics based on the integration of SFS and stereo, for non constant albedo and non-uniformly Lambertian surfaces. First stereo fitting on the input stereo pairs or image sequences is performed. Based on the stereo result, the albedo map can be automatically segmented (which is taken to be piece-wise constant) using a minimum description length (MDL) based metric, to identify areas suitable for SFS (typically smooth textureless areas) and to derive illumination information. The shape and the illumination parameter estimates are refined using a deformable model SFS algorithm, which iterates between computing shape and illumination parameters. Fassold et. al [40] have
introduced an algorithmic framework for the refinement of sparse 3D models using shape from shading. Starting from an initial model obtained by shape from stereo, they use a global optimization scheme in order to refine the surface. The constraints used are based on the shading in the image, the initial 3D points obtained by stereo and the smoothness of the surface. In contrast to other approaches that assume that the photometric properties of the scene are known they iteratively update the light source direction and several parameters of the reflectance map.

E. Image Formation Model

How pixel brightness in the image is related to the physical world is the answer of two questions; (1) where some point in 3D will appear in the 2D image, and (2) how bright this image point will be. The former question is related to the camera/viewer properties, i.e. geometric image formation, while the latter one is governed by the surface physical and reflectance properties as well as the illumination conditions, i.e. photometric image formation [81].

Assuming the camera extrinsic parameters are solved for w.r.t. a predetermined world coordinate frame, consider a viewer/camera-centered coordinate system with the camera lens located at the origin \( O \), i.e. optical center, where the optical axis coincides with the \( z \)-axis. Since the image is formed in the near field, the geometric imaging process can be modeled by the pinhole (perspective) projection where the image/retinal plane is located at a distance governed by the camera’s focal length \( f \). Let \( \mathbf{M} = (X, Y, Z)^T \) denote a surface point perceived in the camera frame, where \( Z = Z(X, Y) \) represents the depth value of the surface point \( \mathbf{M} \) whose projection is denoted by \( \mathbf{m} = (x, y)^T \) on the image plane.

F. Image Irradiance
1. Lambertian Reflection Model

The SFS problem consists of recovering the 3D-shape of a surface through the analysis of the brightness variation in a single image. In general, the brightness of a surface patch depends on its orientation relative to both the light source and the viewer. The image irradiance equation models this relationship as

\[
E(x) = R(\hat{n}(x)),
\]

where \(E(x)\) is the image irradiance at the point \(x\) and \(R(.)\) is the radiance of a surface patch with unit normal \(\hat{n}(x)\).

For simplification purposes, most of the algorithms in SFS literature assumed that the surface has a Lambertian reflectance [17], i.e., the surface reflects the light equally in all directions. In this case the reflectance map is the cosine of the angle between the unit vector \(\hat{s}\) in the light direction and the normal vector \(\hat{n}\):

\[
E(x) = R = \cos \angle(\hat{s}, \hat{n}) = \hat{s} \cdot \hat{n},
\]

which leads to the first PDE studied in the SFS literature:

\[
I(x) \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u(x)|^2} + \hat{s} \cdot (\nabla u(x), -1) = 0,
\]

where \(u(x)\) is the surface height at point \(x = (x, y)\) above some reference plane. The camera is assumed here to perform orthographic projection, which is also a simplification of the real perspective projection done by a camera. Note that the image irradiance \(E\) has been replaced by the measured image gray value \(I\) by assuming a linear relationship between them and dropping the scaling factor. Under real world circumstances the surface materials are not Lambertian, and in many cases the camera and the light are not far away from the object.
2. Oren-Nayar Diffuse Reflection Model

The Oren-Nayar diffuse reflection model has been introduced for rough surfaces [15], which can be seen as a generalization of Lambertian reflectance for rough diffuse surfaces. The roughness of the surface is specified using a Gaussian distribution for the orientations of the approximating surface facets. Using the geometry illustrated in Figure 13, given the radiance of the incoming light $L_i$, the radiance of the reflected light $L_r$, the Oren-Nayar model can be given by:

$$L_r = \frac{\rho}{\pi} L_i \cos \theta_i (A + B \sin \alpha \tan \beta \max\{0, \cos(\phi_r - \phi_i)\})$$

where $A = 1 - 0.5 \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + 0.33}$, $B = 0.45 \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + 0.09}$.

The parameter $\sigma$ denotes the standard deviation of the orientations Gaussian distribution, and it is used as a measure of the surface roughness, $\alpha = \max\{\theta_r, \theta_i\}$, $\beta = \min\{\theta_r, \theta_i\}$ and $\rho$ is the diffuse albedo.

Assume the camera is modelled with a perspective projection. According to the
FIGURE 14: Perspective camera model for SFS.

proposed data acquisition setup, the light source is located at the optical center. Then, $\theta_r = \theta_i = \alpha = \beta \approx \theta$. The surface is represented by $[9, 22]$ (see Figure 14): $S = \{ S(x) \mid x \in \Omega \}$, where $S(x) = \frac{f u(x)}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + f^2}}(x, -f)$, with $f$ being the camera’s focal length. The surface normal at any point is given by $\hat{n}(x) = \left[ f \nabla u(x) - \frac{f u(x)}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + f^2}} x, \nabla u(x) \cdot x + \frac{f u(x)}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + f^2}} f \right]$.

The light source direction equals $\hat{s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + f^2}}(-x, f)$. Furthermore, the attenuation of the illumination is taken into account due to the distance $r$ between the light source and the surface. This helps resolve the convex/concave ambiguity from which traditional SFS algorithms suffer when this attenuation phenomenon is ignored $[9, 22]$. This distance is given by $r = f u(x)$. As a result, (11) eventually becomes

$$L_r(\theta; \sigma) = \frac{\rho_L}{\pi} \frac{A \cos(\theta) + B \sin^2(\theta)}{r^2}.$$ (12)
CHAPTER III

DENTAL DATABASE CONSTRUCTION

A. Introduction

Many dental applications such as endodontic procedures, treatment of malocclusion problems and treatment simulations require an accurate 3D representation of the teeth and the jaw. Using 3D CAD models has great advantages over conventional physical solid models, as solid models require big physical space for storage, adding financial and logistic burdens which is not the case of CAD models that are stored electronically [82]. This chapter proposes to collect resources for the jaw project in a common repository for dissemination among researchers elsewhere. At the University of Louisville Dental school there exists enormous number of molds and patient records. The first steps were arranging these molds into subjects categorized with respect to gender, age and ethnicity (see Table 1). Using the Conebeam CT scanner, these molds were scanned and the image processing tools were used to create a mesh per mold as shown in Figure 17 and Figure 18. The data used to generate the Statistical Shape from Shading (SSFS) results in [83] were obtained from this data. In this dissertation, the data (images and corresponding 3D mesh from the molds) were annotated and are available to colleagues elsewhere.

B. Tooth Database Construction

In [84] serves a step towards complimenting a surface model with root information
obtained from X-rays based on 3D teeth library. Yet the database was limited and validations were mostly done on manufactured jaws. In this dissertation, further investigate the fusion process of the whole human jaw to add a close proximity of the root information to the proposed 3D surface model.

Several real invitro teeth of the same type (maxillary deciduous, maxillary molars, mandibular molars, and mandibular third molars) from adult subjects with different races, gender and ages are fixed over wax. A Cone-beam CT (KODAK 9000 3D Extraoral) scanner at a resolution of 0.2 mm is then used to scan the wax and teeth. Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm for segmentation is used. Afterwards, the 3D surfaces for each tooth type are rigidly aligned to remove any variations in shape due to pose differences. The first surface of the training set is used as the reference to which the remaining surfaces are aligned. The alignment is carried out using an iterative closest point (ICP-based) rigid registration algorithm [85] using the Hausdorff distance between corresponding points. Figure 15 show samples of the acquired CT scans.

Currently, the database having 224 teeth with the following statistics: anterior (20), mandibular 3rd molar (119), maxillary deciduous (27), maxillary Molars (38) and premolars (20). They are automatically preprocessed to generate triangular meshes, see Figure 15.

C. Iterative Closest Point (ICP)

ICP is an algorithm used to minimize the difference between two clouds of points [86–88]. ICP is often used to reconstruct 2D or 3D surfaces from different scans, to localize robots and achieve optimal path planning (especially when wheel odometry is unreliable due to slippery terrain), to co-register bone models, etc. In the algorithm, one point cloud, the reference, or target, is kept fixed, while the other one, the source, is transformed to best match the reference. The algorithm iteratively revises the transformation (combination of translation and rotation) needed to minimize the distance from the source to the reference
FIGURE 15: Sample individual teeth from the proposed 3D teeth library: (first row) maxillary deciduous teeth. (second row) maxillary molars. (third row) mandibular molars. (fourth row) mandibular third molars.
Algorithm 1 summarizing the steps of ICP approach.

D. Jaw Database Construction

Table 1 shows the database constructed from 52 upper jaw molds belonging to 33 males and 19 females with on average age of 20 years old. Whereas lower jaw models are constructed from 58 lower jaw molds belonging to 33 males and 25 females with on
**Algorithm 1 ICP Algorithm**

**INPUT**: reference and source point clouds, initial estimation of the transformation to align the source to the reference (optional), criteria for stopping the iterations.

**OUTPUT**: refined transformation

1: **repeat**

2: For each point in the source point cloud, find the closest point in the reference point cloud.

3: Estimate the combination of rotation and translation using a mean squared error cost function that will best align each source point to its match found in the previous step.

4: Transform the source points using the obtained transformation.

5: **until** (re-associate the points, and so on).

### TABLE 1: Database construction of the human jaw: subjects categorized with respect to gender, age and ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>number of teeth</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Total number of Jaws</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Blacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Initial</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16 - 46 (μ = 22.4, σ = 9.4)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15 - 46(μ = 20.3, σ = 7.4)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Final</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16-21 (μ = 17.2, σ = 1.6)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14-46(μ = 20.2, σ = 9.4)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower Initial</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11-19 (μ = 16.6, σ = 2.1)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15-46 (μ = 23.8, σ = 9.5)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower Final</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14-19 (μ = 16.6, σ = 1.5)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13-46 (μ = 19.5, σ = 8.46)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

average age of 19 years old\(^2\).

The triangular meshes of the training ensemble are obtained from a high resolution computer tomography (CT\(^3\)) scan of human jaw molds where the Expectation-Maximization

\(^2\)A key requirement for successful statistical SFS is the availability of a comprehensive database that describe the teeth/jaw variability per age, gender and ethnic factors. Ongoing efforts aim to undertake such a task make the database available for researchers worldwide.

\(^3\)A Cone-beam CT (KODAK 9000 3D Extraoral) scanner is used.
FIGURE 17: Sample of the human jaw (pre-repair) lower and upper jaws: first column shows the 2D images, 3D scans using cone beam CT machine shows in the second column.
FIGURE 18: Sample of the human jaw (post-repair) lower and upper jaws: first column shows the 2D images, 3D scans using cone beam CT machine shows in the second column.
(EM) algorithm is used for segmentation. Dense correspondence between jaw samples is obtained using the 3D thin-plate spline where the alignment procedure is guided by the sparse set of anatomical jaw landmarks as shown in Figure 19. The 3D thin-plate spline is used to provide a warping function between image pixels (assumed to be on the xy-plane in the 3D space) and surface points using image landmarks and surface landmarks as control points. Orthographic projection is applied to re-represent the triangular meshes in terms of Monge patches which provides a bijective mapping between surface points and image coordinates.

E. Summary

In this chapter, a system for building a database of real human teeth 3D models is presented. Teeth are scanned using Cone-beam CT with a resolution of $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}^3$. 
CHAPTER IV

OCCLUSAL SURFACE RECONSTRUCTION OF HUMAN TEETH FROM A SINGLE IMAGE

Image formation involves understanding sensor characteristics and object reflectance. In dentistry, an accurate 3D representation of the human jaw may be used for diagnostic and treatment purposes. Photogrammetry can offer a flexible, cost-effective solution for accurate 3D representation of the human teeth, which can be used for diagnostic and treatment purposes. Nonetheless, there are several challenges, such as the non-friendly image acquisition environment inside the human mouth and problems with lighting and errors due to the data acquisition sensors. In this chapter, the focus is on the 3D surface reconstruction aspect for human teeth based on a single image. A more realistic formulation of the SFS problem is introduced by considering the image formation components: the camera, the light source, and the surface reflectance. A non-Lambertian SFS algorithm under perspective projection is proposed which benefits from camera calibration parameters. The attenuation of illumination due to near-field imaging is taken into account. The surface reflectance is modeled using the Oren-Nayar-Wolff model which accounts for the retro-reflection case. Experiments provide promising quantitative metric results for the proposed approach.

A. Introduction

Modern dentistry requires the accurate 3D representation of the teeth and jaw for diagnostic and treatment purposes. For instance, orthodontic treatment involves the application, over time, of force systems to teeth for malocclusion correction. Oral and max-
илофациальная рентгенология может предоставить дантиста с обильной 3D информацией о кости. Несколько существующих 3D систем для стоматологических применений, найденных в литературе, полагаются на получение промежуточной модели кости (слепка или оттиска зубов) и затем захватывают 3D информацию от этой модели. Из-за отсутствия текстуры, SFS алгоритмы были использованы для получения таких 3D репродукций зубов из-за значительной освещенности края представленной на зубе изображения, например [6, 20].

Фотограмметрия может показаться гибким, эффективным решением, избегая необходимости склеивания. Тем не менее, внутри-оральный фотограмметрический измерение является трудным из-за неприятных условий получения изображений с проблем освещения, переливаний в результате слюны, неизбежных движений объекта и ошибок при сборе данных [18, 89]. Поэтому общепринятые предположения процесса формирования изображений для типичных алгоритмов восстановления формы не являются применимыми, например, ламбертовская отражательная способность и удаленный источник света.

Начиная от первоочередной работы Хорна [90], восстановление формы из единственного изображения обычно включает в себя два шага: получение уравнения излучения изображения под определенными предположениями относительно процесса формирования изображения и разработку численного решения этой формулы для подложившегося объекта. Большинство SFS подходов (например, смотреть [17]), однако, фокусируются на численной части SFS проблемы, т.е. численном решении. В результате, модель съемки в большинстве общепринятых SFS алгоритмов была упрощена под тремя простыми, но строгими предположениями: (1) камера делает ортографическое преобразование сцены, (2) поверхность имеет ламбертовскую отражательную способность и (3) источник света — одиночный точечный источник в бесконечности. К сожалению, такие предположения больше не применимы в случае внутри-орального изображения для человеческих зубов.

Эта глава представляет более реалистичное формулирование SFS, принимая во внимание все компоненты проблемы, т.е. камера, источник света, и отражательная способность поверхности. Для камеры и источника света использована та же модель, что и в [91]. Камера моделирована как перспективное преобразование (см. рисунок 20) с параметрами камеры.
being known from an off-line calibration process and incorporated in the SFS formulation. The light source is assumed to be located at the optical center of the camera, i.e. retro-
reflection situation (see Figure 21) where the object is illuminated in the viewing direction. Under this near-field imaging, the attenuation of illumination due to the distance between the light source and the surface is taken into account, hence the method can deal with concave/convex ambiguity. Accounting for departures from Lambertian reflectance due to surface roughness, a modified Oren-Nayar-Wolff model is used [92] where surface roughness is physically measured using optical surface profiler (see Figure 22). The Oren-Nayar model [93] modulates the Lamberts cosine law by a term that depends on the squared sine of the incidence angle, resulting in apparent brightening at surface patches which move away from the light source; assumed to be in self shadow. Wolff, on the other hand, has a physically deeper model for diffuse reflectance from shiny but slightly rough surfaces. The model uses an angle dependent Fresnel term to account for the refractive attenuation of incident light at the surface-air boundary [94]. This Fresnel term modifies the Lambertian cosine model in a multiplicative way. The effect is to depress the surface radiance for near-normal incidence.
FIGURE 20: A calibrated wireless intraoral camera equipped by a motion tracker and Perspective projection camera model.
FIGURE 21: Local illumination model where object is illuminated in the viewing direction, i.e. retro-reflection case.
FIGURE 22: Surface reflectance properties where rough surfaces tend to scatter incident light as compared to smooth surfaces. Microscopic surface height variations of a posterior occlusal surface is measured by an optical surface profiler.
The numerical solution, classified as Minimization-based [17], is based on the Taylor series expansion of the image irradiance equation followed by the application of the Jacobi iterative method. On the other hand, the image irradiance equation can be formulated as a partial differential equation (PDE) to solve for surface gradients, where the theory of viscosity solutions for Hamilton-Jacobi type equations provide a good framework of SFS algorithms [17]. However explicit PDE formulation of the SFS problem imposes regularity of the image irradiance function which is assumed to be continuous [17]. Nonetheless, human teeth do not fit such an assumption, due to the geometrical structure of the occlusal surface in particular, which forms attached and cast shadows in the captured image causing image discontinuities.

Carter et al. [6] evaluated three SFS models for artificial tooth surface reconstruction based on the work of Ahmed and Farag [26]. They concluded that, based on the quantitative error analysis, a perspective camera projection with an Oren-Nayar reflectance model has been proved to be the most ideal SFS formulation for extracting tooth crown surface from a single image. Nonetheless, their work did not incorporate the available camera parameters from their acquisition setup nor the object physical characteristics into the SFS-PDE formulation. The proposed SFS approach is compared with a non-Lambertian PDE-based approach [6, 12] via quantitative error metric derived from groundtruth teeth surfaces obtained from a CT-scanner. Vis-à-vis dental applications, the results demonstrate a significant increase in accuracy in favor of the proposed approach. In particular, the proposed approach is able to recover geometric details of the tooth’s occlusal surface as compared to PDE-based approaches.

B. Image Irradiance Equation

According to the microscopic view of occlusal surface height variations, Figure 22, tooth surface reflectance can be modeled by micro-facet reflectance models where the
Oren-Nayar-Wolff model is well-suited for the retro-reflection case [94]. When the object is illuminated in the viewing direction, taking into account the illumination attenuation term \(1/r^2\) as shown in Figure 21, the expression of the image irradiance \(E\) using Oren-Nayar-Wolff model can be simplified to [92]:

\[
E(x) = \frac{A(1 - F(\theta, \eta))^2 \cos \theta + B \sin^2 \theta}{r^2};
\]

s.t. \(A = 1 - 0.5 \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + 0.33}, B = 0.45 \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + 0.09}\). \tag{13}

where \(x = (x, y)^T\) is the 2D point, \(r\) is the distance to the light source [92], the parameter \(\sigma\) denotes the standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution which is used as a measure of the surface roughness, \(\theta\) is the inclination angle of the viewer/source and \(F\) refer to the Fresnel reflection function [92] with refractive index of \(\eta\).

C. Parametric SFS for Non-Lambertian Surfaces

A surface point in the 3D space can be related to its corresponding position in the image plane through the camera intrinsic (solved for once) and extrinsic (updated while the camera is in motion) parameters. The relation between a 3D point \(X = (X, Y, Z)^T\) and the corresponding point in the image coordinates \(x = (x, y)^T\) is written as \(s(x)\vec{x} = PX + b\), where \(s\) is a scalar parameterized by the image pixel coordinates, \(P\) is a \(3 \times 3\) camera matrix, \(b\) is a \(3 \times 1\) translation vector and \(\vec{x} = [x^T \ 1]^T\) is the extended vector defined in homogeneous coordinates. Therefore the point in 3D coordinates can be written as a function of the corresponding point in the image plane as,

\[
X = P^{-1} [s(x)\vec{x} - b] = g(s(x)) \tag{14}
\]

Equation (14) represents the line in 3D passing through the optical center and the
projected point \( x \) in the image plane. Thus solving for the scalar \( s(x) \) \( \forall x \in D \), using the available shading cues in the image domain \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \), accounts for defining a unique 3D point \( X \) on the object’s surface. The inherent relationship between the scale factor \( s(x) \) and the surface normal vector \( \vec{n}(x) \) can be expressed in terms of surface gradients \((p(x), q(x))\) in the gradient space [95], where \( p(x) = \frac{\partial g(s(x))}{\partial x} = p(s) \) and \( q(x) = \frac{\partial g(s(x))}{\partial y} = q(s) \).

The image irradiance \( E(.) \) now becomes a function of the scalar \( s(x) \) defined in (14). In the sequel, the proposed variational formulation for the problem is presented in case of near illumination with Oren-Nayar-Wolff reflectance. A small pattern used in the calibration of the intraoral camera; the size of the pattern is suitable to size of the tooth.

### D. SFS Minimization Functional

The SFS problem can then be formulated as finding the scalar \( s \) which satisfies both the brightness constraint and the smoothness constraint\(^5\). While the former indicates the total brightness error of the reconstructed image, given the imaging process parameters, compared to the input image \( I \), the latter is included to obtain a smooth surface that is free from discontinuities through penalizing the derivative of the surface.

This can be solved using a Taylor’s series expansion of the estimated brightness \( E(s) \) around \( E(s^{n-1}) \), where \( n \) is the iteration index. This is followed by applying the Jacobi iterative method. After \( n \) iterations, for each point \( x \) in the image, \( s^n(x) \) is given as,

\[
s^n(x) = s^{n-1}(x) + \lambda_1 - \frac{I(x) + E(s^{n-1}(x))}{\frac{d}{ds(x)} E(s^{n-1}(x))} + \lambda_2 \nabla s^{n-1}(x)
\]

where \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are real positive coefficients defining the brightness and smoothness

\(^4\)For notational simplicity \( s \) is used to denote \( s(x) \)

\(^5\)Since we are not solving for surface gradients explicitly, the integrability constraint is not considered in this work.
factors respectively. The derivative of the image brightness w.r.t. \( s \) can be written as,

\[
\frac{d}{ds(x)}E(s^{n-1}(x)) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left\{ A \left( 1 - \cos^{-1}(\vec{n}(x) \cdot \vec{l}) \right)^2 \left( \frac{d\vec{n}(x)}{ds(x)} \cdot \vec{l} \right) \right. \\
+ 2 \left( \vec{n}(x) \cdot \vec{l} \right) \left[ 1 + \cos^{-1}(\vec{n}(x) \cdot \vec{l}) \frac{\frac{d\vec{n}(x)}{ds(x)} \cdot \vec{l}'}{\sqrt{1 - (\vec{n}(x) \cdot \vec{l})^2}} \right] \\
- 2B \left( \vec{n}(x) \cdot \vec{l} \right) \left\{ \frac{d\vec{n}(x)}{ds(x)} \cdot \vec{l} \right\}
\] (16)

where,

\[
\frac{d\vec{n}(x)}{ds(x)} = \frac{d\vec{v}(s)}{ds(x)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\vec{v}(s) \vec{v}(s)}} \\
- \frac{\vec{v}(s)}{\sqrt{\vec{v}(s) \vec{v}(s)}} \left( \frac{\vec{v}(s) d\vec{v}(s)}{ds(x)} \right)
\] (17)

with \( \vec{v}(s) = p(s) \times q(s) \). Once \( s(x) \) is evaluated, the corresponding point location in the 3D space can be determined using (14). These steps are enumerated in Algorithm 2.

E. Experimental Results

1. Testing Images Panel

To evaluate the 3D reconstruction results obtained with the proposed algorithm, several experiments are done on 250 real human teeth. Premolar models are constructed from 30 teeth. Mandibular molar models are constructed from 30 teeth. Mandibular third molar models are constructed from 120 teeth. Maxillary molar models are constructed from
Algorithm 2 Parametric SFS under near imaging conditions

1: **Input**: (a) 2D Image, \( I(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), whose surface to be reconstructed, (b) the perspective projection matrix \( M = [P \ b] \).

2: **Output**: 3D reconstructed surface points, \( X \in \mathbb{R}^3 \).

3: Use the wireless probe to obtain the viewer/light direction \( \vec{l} \).

4: Initialize \( s(x) = s^0 \) over the image domain.

5: **repeat**

6: Compute \( p(s) \) and \( q(s) \).

7: Compute \( \vec{n}(x) \).

8: Update iteration index \( n = n + 1 \).

9: Update a new estimate for \( s^n(x) \) using (15).

10: **until** \( \|s^n(x) - s^{n-1}(x)\|_{L1} \leq \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon > 0 \) or maximum number of iterations

11: Recover the surface 3D points using (14).

40 teeth. Whereas maxillary deciduous are constructed from 30 teeth. The wireless setup camera is used to acquire the images of an ensemble of real human teeth while the camera is held at a distance close to the crown surface to simulate the near-imaging condition inside the human mouth. A stylus arm with a touching probe (See Figure 23), with tolerance 0.001 mm, is used to digitize the occlusal surface of this teeth ensemble to provide groundtruth metric information, even though sparse. Meanwhile CT scanning is performed to provide denser groundtruth information while maintaining the surface geometric details.

TABLE 2 summarizes the key differences between the proposed minimization-based solution, terms as Algorithm A, and state-of-art PDE-based approaches, termed as Algorithms B and C, respectively.

The isosurface of the tooth scan is a metric reference to rigidly align the reconstructed 3D point from SFS to share the same metric coordinate frame. For alignment, the first and second moments are normalized [96] without affecting the scale. This initializes an ICP-based rigid registration algorithm [85]. Point correspondence between CT and the
FIGURE 23: A stylus arm with a touching probe.

TABLE 2: SFS Algorithm Panel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Reflectance Model</th>
<th>Camera Parameters</th>
<th>Numerical Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-new</td>
<td>Oren-Nayar-Wolff</td>
<td>fully calibrated</td>
<td>Minimization-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B [6, 26]</td>
<td>Oren-Nayar</td>
<td>only unit focal length</td>
<td>PDE-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C [12]</td>
<td>Oren-Nayar-Wolff</td>
<td>only unit focal length</td>
<td>PDE-based</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 24: The 32 adult human teeth.
TABLE 3: Overall surface reconstruction accuracy ($RMS$) in $mm$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth type</th>
<th>Algorithm A</th>
<th>Algorithm B</th>
<th>Algorithm C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular 3rd molars</td>
<td>0.47232</td>
<td>1.07022</td>
<td>1.05386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Molars</td>
<td>0.63568</td>
<td>1.13321</td>
<td>1.12548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary Molars</td>
<td>0.58694</td>
<td>0.89672</td>
<td>0.88411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary deciduous</td>
<td>0.65997</td>
<td>1.11995</td>
<td>1.11456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premolars</td>
<td>0.45267</td>
<td>0.83282</td>
<td>0.81752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

reconstructed surface is obtained based on Hausdorff distance [97]. The SFS algorithms are compared in accordance with an error estimator based on the root mean square ($RMS$) error between the 3D points from the CT scan and the corresponding reconstructed surface points. It is worth mentioning that throughout the experimentations, Algorithm A converges after $5-10$ iterations while Algorithms B and C converge after $100$ iterations on average. TABLE 3 shows the overall surface reconstruction accuracy of the three algorithms based on the testing images panel.

Figure 25 (fourth and fives rows) shows sample reconstructions of human teeth with different types using Algorithms B and C, which were not able to recover the geometrical details of the occlusal surface when compared to the proposed minimization-based algorithm (Figure 25 (third row)). This emphasizes the role of incorporating physical surface characteristics (surface roughness in the proposed case$^6$) along with sensor parameters in the process of shape recovery. More results shown in Figure 26 as applied on maxillary deciduous and premolar teeth.

**F. Summary**

This chapter focused on the surface reconstruction aspect of human teeth from a

---

$^6$Throughout the experimentation, the average surface roughness parameter is used that computed from the surface profiler.
FIGURE 25: First row: images for three different types of human teeth (mandibular 3rd molar, mandibular molar and maxillary molar), captured by the intra-oral camera. Second row: groundtruth occlusal surface generated from CT scanning. Third row: surface reconstruction based on algorithm A (proposed solution). Fourth row: surface reconstruction based on algorithm B. Last row: surface reconstruction based on algorithm C. Notice algorithms B and C (PDE-based) did not capture the geometric details of the occlusal surface as compared to the minimization-based algorithm A.
FIGURE 26: First row: images for more two different types of human teeth (maxillary deciduous and premolar), captured by the intraoral camera. Second row: groundtruth occlusal surface generated from CT scanning. Third row: surface reconstruction based on algorithm A (proposed solution). Fourth row: surface reconstruction based on algorithm B. Last row: surface reconstruction based on algorithm C. Notice algorithms B and C (PDE-based) did not capture the geometric details of the occlusal surface as compared to the minimization-based algorithm A.
single image captured by an intraoral camera under near-field imaging. The work has addressed several challenges related to the image formation process including near illumination and perspective projection, while taking into account the deviation from the simplifying Lambertian assumption. Proposed formulation exploits all calibration information provided by the acquisition system setup. In particular, on average, the proposed approach reduces the error metric by 0.4434\textit{mm} compared to un-calibrated PDE-based SFS formulation. While the improvements are fractions of a millimeter, this is considered significant for dental-related applications such as tooth implants and surface analysis.

Ongoing efforts are directed towards reconstructing a complete metric model for the human jaw for various dentistry applications where a handy dental probe for data acquisition can provide ease-of-use for the dentist and is considered comfortable for the patient. This involves (1) handling inherited specular regions in tooth surface due to saliva and enamel reflectance and (2) performing surface registration and mesh zippering under partial overlaps (Chapter V).
CHAPTER V

3D RECONSTRUCTION OF THE HUMAN JAW USING VARIATIONAL SFS AND FEATURE DESCRIPTORS

This chapter proposes a new variational formulation that relates an evolving surface model with image information, taking into consideration that the image is taken by a perspective camera with known parameters. A new energy functional is formulated to incorporate brightness, smoothness, and integrability constraints. All of these terms assume a hyper surface that evolves in time to meet their criteria. Gradient descent optimization with Euler-Lagrange is used for optimization. Furthermore, a novel approach is proposed for 3D surface reconstruction of the human visible whole teeth [20]. Due to the difficulties of setting up a data acquisition system inside the mouth, an intraoral camera is used to capture a sequence of calibrated images. These images are registered together to build a panoramic view of the jaw. A SFS algorithm that benefits from camera calibration parameters is incorporated to build a 3D model from the panoramic image obtained from the previous stage. The proposed approach results in a 3D surface which has finer details compared with those resulting from other literature techniques. Also, different real and artificial visible whole teeth surface reconstructions are demonstrated to show the efficiency of the proposed system.

A. Introduction

Substantial efforts have focused recently on computerized diagnosis in dentistry [98]. Bernard et al. [99] developed an expert system where cephalometric measurements are ac-
quired manually from the analysis of radiographs and plaster models. Laurendeau et al. [98] presented a computer-vision technique for the acquisition of jaw data from inexpensive dental wafers. That system was capable of obtaining imprints of the teeth. Usually, most of the 3D systems for dental applications found in the literature rely on obtaining an intermediate solid model of the jaw (cast or teeth imprints) and then capturing the 3D information from that model. User interaction is needed in such systems to determine the 3D coordinates of fiducial reference points on a dental cast. Other systems that can measure the 3D coordinates have been developed using either mechanical contact [100] or a traveling light principle [101, 102]. Goshtasby et al. [103] designed a range scanner based on white light to reconstruct the cast. The scanner used the subtractive light principle to create very thin shadow profiles on the cast.

An intraoral camera is used (see Figure 1) to capture a sequence of calibrated images which is more comfortable to patients. Also it does not require a long time to scan a jaw. The resulting sequence of images covers the jaw and contains overlapped image regions. These sequential images are taken in pairs to perform image alignment by estimating projective transformations. This process incorporates points correspondences accurately found by the affine and scale invariant transformation approach (known as ASIFT). After estimating the projective transformations, the image pairs are used together in order to build a panoramic image of the whole jaw. The new view is used to build a 3D surface using the SFS algorithm. The used SFS is depending on calibration parameters. A formulation of the SFS that uses intrinsic and extrinsic camera parameters is used such that a better surface [18] is obtained. This technique is compared with former approaches and the difference is significant. Incorporating camera parameters results in surfaces with fine details that can not be handled by the other methods that involve SFS. Different 3D reconstruction results of artificial jaws will be demonstrated to show the efficiency of the proposed technique.
B. Data Acquisition

The human jaw is not a friendly environment for calibrated video measurements. There are problems with lighting (effect of saliva, tooth discolorization, gum texture, and other sources of specularity), motion (even inevitable slight motions of the upper/lower jaw may lead to errors far beyond the desired tolerance of submillimeters accuracy), and data acquisition time (it is not comfortable to open the jaw widely for over a minute or two). There are small cameras available that can provide viewing of the human jaw. The lenses are usually active and allocated on the dental probe to provide viewing not calibrated image capturing. Hence, a major problem to overcome would be the design of a small size CCD camera with passive or active lenses that can be calibrated with respect to a reference frame.

This chapter, an intraoral camera with an attached small light source is used to acquire 2D images of the teeth. Some specifications are given as: LED light source, 1/4” CCD Sensor; 320,000 pixels (PAL\(^7\)), 270,000 pixels (NTSC\(^8\)) and resolution 512 × 582 (PAL); 512 × 942 (NTSC). The objective of this part is to remove global differences between two given images (source and target). Every two sequential images will be aligned together. The output of this process is a panoramic image resulting from putting (stitching) the aligned sequence of images for the whole jaw.

The description of registering two images by mapping points correspondences is given in this section. A point in the source image is related to its corresponding target position by a projective transformation [52]. Note that the overlap between the two images results from imaging the same object by the camera to a different positions. Given a set of \( K \) homogeneous image point correspondences \( C'_o \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) (in the source image) and \( C'_t \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) (in the target) where \( o \in 1, 2, ..., K \): a projective transformation \( H_{3x3} \) is required to get estimated to map a source image point to its corresponding target image position by the relation \( s_o C'_o = H C'_t \) where \( s_o \) is a scaling coefficient for the projective transformation.

---
\(^7\)Phase Alternating Line.
\(^8\)National Television System Committee.
effect. Actually, this equation will lead to two linear equations:
\[ h_{11}x'_{o} + h_{12}y'_{o} + h_{13} - h_{31}x'_{o}x_{o} - h_{32}x'_{o}y_{o} - h_{33}x'_{o} = 0 \]
and
\[ h_{21}x'_{o} + h_{22}y'_{o} + h_{23} - h_{31}y'_{o}x_{o} - h_{32}y'_{o}y_{o} - h_{33}y'_{o} = 0 \]
where \( x \) and \( y \) are the coordinates of point. A linear system of equations \( \Psi \Theta = \mathbf{0} \) can be constructed where \( \Theta = [h_{11}, h_{12}, \ldots, h_{33}] \) is the parameters column of the projective transformation \( \mathbf{H} \) and \( \Psi \) is the coefficients matrix. The projective transformation parameters are estimated by solving this linear system through the singular value decomposition approach. If the points correspondences are accurate, the overall difference between the source and target images vanishes when they are fused together. The success of this process is highly dependent on selecting the source and target points correspondences.

C. Affine Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (ASIFT)

The ASIFT [104] is an affine invariant extension of SIFT. The ASIFT simulates three affine parameters, scale and changes of the camera axis orientation longitude angle and the latitude angle (which is equivalent to tilt) and normalizes the rest three parameters, rotation and translation. More specifically, the ASIFT simulates the two camera axis parameters, and then applies SIFT which simulates the scale and normalizes the rotation and the translation. For two stereo images the ASIFT algorithm can be summarized as follows: Each image is transformed by simulating all possible linear distortions caused by the change of orientation of the camera axis. These rotations and tilts are performed for a finite and small number of latitudes and longitudes. All simulated images are compared by a similarity invariant matching algorithm (SIFT) in the following manner: (1) scale-space peak selection, (2) key-point localization, (3) orientation assignment, (4) key-point descriptor. The scale space \( L(x, y, \sigma_{s}) \) can be constructed by the linear convolution of the image \( I \) with Gaussian kernel \( G(x, y, \sigma_{s}) \). Scale-space extrema detection searches over all scales \( \sigma_{s} \) and image locations to identify potential interest points which are invariant to scale and orientation; this can be efficiently implements using Difference-of-Gaussians \( D(x, y, \sigma_{s}) \) which takes the difference between consecutive scales, \( D(x, y, \sigma_{s}) = L(x, y, \sigma_{s}) - L(x, y, \sigma_{s-1}) \), where
a point \((x, y)\) is selected to be a candidate interest point if it is larger or smaller than its \(3 \times 3 \times 3\) neighborhood system defined on \(\{D(x, y, \sigma_{s-1}), D(x, y, \sigma_s), D(x, y, \sigma_{s+1})\}\) where \(\sigma_s\) is marked to be the scale of the point \((x, y)\). Then removal of points with low contrast and points that are localized along edges is accomplished.

In order to obtain a point descriptor \(d\) which is invariant to orientation, a consistent orientation should be assigned to each detected interest point based on the gradient of its local image patch. The orientation is selected to be the peak of the weighted orientation histogram. Then a \(16 \times 16\) image window surrounding the interest point \((x, y)\) is divided into sixteen \(4 \times 4\) sub-window, an 8-bin weighted orientation histogram is computed for each sub-window, hence ending up with \(16 \times 8 = 128\) descriptors for each interest point. Thus each detected interest point can now be defined at location, specific scale, certain orientation \(\theta\) and a descriptor vector as \(\{x, y, \sigma, \theta, d\}\). Interest point matching is performed to provide correspondences between the given images. Two points are said to be in correspondence if their descriptors match in \(L2\)-norm. The overall performance is twice slower than \(SIFT\), but it gives more matching rate especially with face images.

The resulting matched points from the \(ASIFT\) algorithm may include incorrectly matched points so \(RANSAC\) \([105]\) is used to fit a transformation model to those points. A random sample consists of four points which is the minimal subset of the interest points sufficient to determine a projective transformation is selected. The transformation is estimated by solving a linear system with the chosen random sample, and then the resulting transformation is evaluated using all correspondence points available. These points which deviate from the current transformation model by a specified threshold are considered outliers; hence the support of the model will be measured by the ratio of the inlier to the total number of points. For \(n\) point correspondences, this procedure is repeated \(K\)-times, where \(K \leq \frac{n!}{2!(n-2)!}\) then the best fit transformation model is the one with the maximum support, and the points marked as outliers are excluded from the interest points.
D. Euler-Lagrange Equation

The Euler-Lagrange differential equation [106] is the fundamental equation of calculus of variations. Euler’s equation in calculus of variations is a differential equation whose solutions are the functions for which a given functional is stationary. The Euler-Lagrange equation was developed by Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler and Italian mathematician Joseph-Louis Lagrange in the 1750s.

Due to a differentiable functional is stationary at its local maxima and minima, the Euler-Lagrange equation is useful for solving optimization problems in which, given some functional, one seeks the function minimizing (or maximizing) it. This is analogous to Fermat’s theorem in calculus, stating that at any point where a differentiable function attains a local extremum, its derivative is zero.

It states that if $J$ is defined by an integral of the form:

$$ J = \int f(t, y, \dot{y}) dt, \quad (18) $$

where

$$ \dot{y} \equiv \frac{dy}{dt}, $$

then $J$ has a stationary value if the Euler-Lagrange differential equation:

$$ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}} \right) = 0 \quad (19) $$

is satisfied.

If time-derivative notation $\dot{y}$ is replaced instead by space-derivative notation $y_x$, the equation becomes
\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_x} \right) = 0. \] (20)

In many physical problems, \( f_x \) (the partial derivative of \( f \) with respect to \( x \)) turns out to be 0, in which case a manipulation of the Euler-Lagrange differential equation reduces to the greatly simplified and partially integrated form known as the Beltrami identity,

\[ f - y_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_x} = C. \] (21)

For three independent variables [107], the equation generalizes to

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_z} = 0. \] (22)

E. Shape from shading using calibrated images

The presented acquisition setup allows the knowledge of camera parameters as such the proposed SFS formulation takes this into account. A surface point in the 3D space can be related to its corresponding position in the image plane through the camera parameters. SFS assumes that the surface orientation at a point \( M=[X, Y, Z]^T \) on a surface \( S \) is determined by the unit vector perpendicular to the plane tangent to \( S \) at \( M \). Assumed that the 3D world point is projected into the image domain at the 2D homogenous point \( m=[x, y, 1]^T \). To compute the surface normal, the partial derivatives of the 3D world point \( M \) is computed with respect to the parameters \( x \) and \( y \). The normal to the surface will be the cross product of the gradient vectors: \( n = M_x \times M_y \). The partial derivatives are called surface gradients. Assuming that surface patches are homogeneous and uniformly lit by distant light sources, the brightness \( I(x, y) \) seen at the image plane often depends only on the orientation of the surface. This dependence on brightness of surface orientation can be represented as a func-
tion $R(x,y)$ defined on the Gaussian sphere. Thus, the SFS problem is formulated as finding a solution to the brightness equation:

$$I(x,y) = R(M_x, M_y, L).$$

(23)

where $R$ is the surface reflectance map and $L$ is the illumination direction unit vector.

A number of algorithms were developed to estimate the illuminant direction (e.g., [41]). Some SFS approaches using perspective projection were found in the literature (e.g. [45, 46, 108]). However, most of these approaches ignore the camera extrinsic parameters, hence they cannot provide metric information about depth. In the proposed approach, the camera is calibrated and the camera parameters are used in the SFS algorithm to obtain a metric representation of the surfaces. To calibrate the camera, the relation between the 3D point $M$ and the corresponding image coordinates $m$ is written as the camera equation;

$$sm = BM + b$$

(24)

where $s$ is a scalar quantity, $B$ and $b$ are the intrinsic and extrinsic camera matrices. These calibration matrices form a $3 \times 4$ matrix but with 11 degrees of freedom. Five parameters represent the intrinsic value while the other 6 stand for the extrinsic camera parameters [57]. The standard method of calibration is to use an object with known size and shape and extract the reference points from the object image. It can be shown that given N points (at least 6) in general positions, the camera can be calibrated.

The camera equation represents a line in 3D space corresponding to the visual ray passing through the optical center and the projected point $m$. By finding the scalar $s$, a unique 3D point $M$ is defined on the object by the equation:

$$M = B^{-1}(sm - b) = f(s(x,y))$$

(25)
The surface reflectance \( R(.) \) becomes a function of the scalar \( s \) defined as:

\[
R(x, y, s) = \frac{(M_x \times M_y) \cdot L}{|| (M_x \times M_y) ||}
\] (26)

The formulation of the SFS problem becomes finding the scalar \( s \) that solves the brightness equation \( g(x, y, s) = I(x, y) - R(x, y, s) = 0 \). A new variational formulation for the problem is shown below.

\section*{F. The functional to be minimized}

Minimization approaches compute the solution which minimizes an energy function over the entire image. The function can involve the brightness constraint, and other constraints, such as the smoothness constraint, the integrability constraint, the gradient constraint, and the unit normal constraint. In these subsections, these constraints are briefly described.

1. The Brightness constraint

This is the most important constraint. The main idea is to solve for surface normals, such that when you use these normals with the given surface albedo and illumination direction. It is derived directly from the image irradiance equation. It indicates the total brightness error of the reconstructed image compared with the input image, and is given by:

\[
\epsilon_1 = \int_{\Omega} (I - R)^2 d\Omega
\] (27)

where \( \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) represents the image domain \((x, y)\) and \( I \) is the image intensity.
2. The Smoothness constraint

It is used to obtain a smooth surface that is free from discontinuities, hence the smoothness constraint can be expressed in terms of the second derivatives of surface normals to ensure surface smoothness as follows;

\[
\varepsilon_2 = \int_{\Omega} (M_{xx}^T M_{xx} + M_{yy}^T M_{yy}) d\Omega
\]

The minimization of the above functional aims to penalize the second order gradients of the surface and hence guarantees smoothness.

3. The Integrability constraint

It ensures valid surfaces, that is, \(M_{xy} = M_{yx}\). It can be described by:

\[
\varepsilon_3 = \int_{\Omega} (M_{xy} - M_{yx})^T (M_{xy} - M_{yx}) d\Omega
\]

4. Variational approach

There are other constraints like the unit normal and the intensity gradient constraints. The three functionals above are used in the proposed formulation. Now, the total energy will be:

\[
\varepsilon = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \lambda_2 \varepsilon_2 + \lambda_3 \varepsilon_3.
\]

where \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \text{ and } \lambda_3\) are real positive coefficients.
The goal here is to compute $s(x,y)$ that minimize the above energy function. Note that the above function can be written as a function in terms of the scaling factor $s$ and its derivatives:

$$
\varepsilon = \varepsilon(s, s_x, s_y, s_{xx}, s_{yy}, s_{xy}, s_{yx})
$$

Gradient descent optimization is used with the Euler-Lagrange for computing the scalar factor as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s}
$$

If the energy function is written as

$$
\varepsilon = \int_\Omega f(s, s_x, s_y, s_{xx}, s_{yy}, s_{xy}, s_{yx})d\Omega,
$$

the gradient will be as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_x} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_y} \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_{xx}} \right] \\
+ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_{yy}} \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_{xy}} \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_{yx}} \right]
$$

Detailed derivations are given in the appendix.

These steps are enumerated in Algorithm 3.

G. Experimental Results and Validation
Algorithm 3 New variational SFS

1: **Input:** (a) 2D Image, \( I(\mathbf{m}) \), \( \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), whose surface to be reconstructed, (c) the perspective projection matrix \( P = [B \ b] \).

2: **Output:** 3D reconstructed surface points, \( \mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \).

3: Initialize \( s = s^0 \) over the image domain with any value between 0 and 1.

4: **repeat**

5: compute \( \frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial s} \), \( \frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial s} \), and \( \frac{\partial \varepsilon_3}{\partial s} \) according to Eq.(12, A-24, and A-27) respectively.

6: Estimate the new \( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \) by using Eq.(34).

6: Calculate \( \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \) according to Eq.(32).

6: Update \( s^n = s^{n-1} - \delta \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} \), where \( \delta > 0 \) is small number.

7: **until** \( \|s^n - s^{n-1}\|_{L_1} \leq \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon > 0 \) or maximum number of iterations

8: Recover the surface 3D points using Eq.(25).
FIGURE 27: Different overlapped sub-images, results of features matching and a jaw is imaged as 9 overlapped images: (a) A sequence of overlapped images are illustrated. (b) Point correspondences as a result of the ASIFT algorithm. (c) Point correspondences are demonstrated after removing the outliers matches using RANSAC. (d) The stitching results (panoramic image) where each sub-image is boxed by a green line to show the overlap between the views.
An intraoral camera is used to capture overlapped images of real and artificial jaws. In this stage, the camera is fixed in a certain position. The jaw is moved while the camera is fixed to image its different overlapped regions. This guarantees that all the images are calibrated. Feature points are extracted and matched for each pair of images in sequence using the ASIFT approach. Figure 27(b) demonstrates the matching process between overlapped images. The ASIFT results in a set of point matches which are almost correct except few outliers. The RANSAC as described above remove the outliers. Figure 27(c) shows the overlapped images after removing the outliers using RANSAC. This is very important in order to obtain successful registration process. The sequence of images is numbered from according to the order of taking the images. The teeth regions themselves do not have much texture information to extract features. However the edges and the contrast between the teeth and the gum plays a great role in this process. Also, for the registration approach previously described, only four points are required to estimate the transformation. This makes the resulting points more than enough for the success of registration. Using the resulting point correspondences, a projective transformation is computed and applied to the source image to get an image for stitching. Sequential images are registered together in their order and sequence. They are all put together in a bigger size image to build a panorama for the scanned jaw. Sequences of images are shown in Figure 27(a) with the stitching results illustrated in Figure 27(d). After the stitching process, some differences appear in the panoramic image (see Figure 28).

Some filtering and blending operations are carried out to remove these differences. This process is completely automatic and does not need any manual interaction. A very important note to mention here is that: Actually, if such a system is needed in clinic, the whole patient’s jaw can not be imaged in one shot. The mouth environment and the specifications of the used intraoral camera allows only to image overlapped parts of the jaw. This is considered to be a strong motivation for developing the proposed system. The resulting scenes are used with the SFS technique shown above to reconstruct a 3D surface. The reconstructed surfaces include teeth details which can not be obtained by applying the SFS
FIGURE 28: Three different examples to stitch two sub-images together: Point correspondences are demonstrated in (a) using the ASIFT algorithm. Correspondences after removing the outliers using RANSAC are shown in (b). Registration of the two overlapped images is depicted in (c).
approach in [6] (see Figure 30). It is noticed here that the resulting surface using that approach is over-smoothed and hence upper details of teeth are smeared. Also, the transitions between teeth and gum looks unrealistic which emphasize the advantage of the technique used in this work. The whole processing time starting from taking images and ending with surface reconstruction is less than 10 minutes. The 3D surface reconstruction execution time is less than one minute. This is a big advantage for the proposed system which makes it practical and suitable for dental clinics. This will not make the patient open his mouth for a long time to get his/her jaw model.
FIGURE 29: Two different real jaws sub-images panoramic reconstruction: A sequence of sub-images is given for each case from 1 to 8 while the resulting panoramic image is given at the last row.
FIGURE 30: Two artificial jaws 3D surface reconstructions are demonstrated: the results of using the proposed approach are shown in the middle and the results of the technique in [6] are given in the right column.
H. Summary

An automatic approach for 3D surface reconstruction is proposed using calibrated images with the help of the ASIFT features matching. A calibrated camera is used to capture a sequence of images for artificial jaws. Images are stitched together by estimating projective transformations for minimizing the global differences between the scenes. The registration process requires accurate point correspondences which is computed using the ASIFT approach. Camera calibration parameters are involved in the formulation of a modified version of the SFS technique to construct a 3D surface of the panoramic jaw image resulting for the stitching process. The results are preferred to other approaches in the literature since fine teeth details can be reconstructed and the resulting surface is more realistic. Also the execution time is reasonable and practical in the sense of applying the proposed system in clinic.
CHAPTER VI

REALISTIC 3D RECONSTRUCTION OF THE HUMAN TEETH USING SFS WITH SHAPE PRIORS

This chapter, an approach is developed for realistic 3D reconstruction of the human teeth using shape from shading with statistical shape priors. The proposed work has addressed several challenges including near illumination and camera perspective projection, while taking into account the deviation from the simplifying Lambertian assumption. The Oren-Nayar reflectance model for diffuse rough surfaces is used with the roughness parameter being physically measured by an optical surface profiler. The proposed formulation exploits the shape priors as extracted from a set of training CT scans of real human teeth. Experiments provide quantitative metric results for the proposed approach.

A. Introduction

There may therefore be a demand for intraoral measurement that could be fulfilled by photogrammetry, which has been applied to the measurement of many small objects, even the measurement of dental replicas (e.g. [109]). Photogrammetry seems to offer a reduced cost technique while avoiding the need for castings. Nonetheless, intra-oral photogrammetric measurement is inherently difficult for several reasons [18, 89]. On one end, the human jaw is not a very friendly environment for data acquisition. There are problems with lighting (effect of saliva, gum, and sources of specularity), inevitable subject’s motion, and errors associated with the data acquisition sensors. On the end of image formation, the assumptions for typical shape reconstruction algorithms are hardly valid. For
example, assumptions in previous works based on stereo (e.g. presence of distinct features or texture regions on the object in stereo images), SFS [18] (e.g. the Lambertian assumption which considers the surface in the field of view of the camera to be matte), or in space carving [19] (e.g. the photo consistency) are rarely valid in practice.

In the context of tooth reconstruction from intra-oral images, the SFS technique offers several advantages. It provides rather more detailed and accurate representation about the shape of the tooth crowns [18]. It requires only one camera position within the cramped confines of the mouth. Cost-wise, it is cheaper, because it simply requires a single camera and light source.

This work, aims to address the aforementioned issues related to the acquisition setup and the shape reconstruction approach. A flexible and convenient, both to the dentist and the patient, acquisition setup has been developed that consists of a small wireless intraoral camera with a built-in bright light source to acquire 2D images of the teeth. The camera has 1/4 Sony CCD, and an image resolution of 2.0 mega pixels (see Figure 1).

From the point of view of shape reconstruction, A more realistic formulation of SFS is introduced by considering all the components of the problem, namely: the camera, the light source, and the surface reflectance. The camera is modeled by perspective projection, which is more practical in this case as the teeth are typically close to the camera. The light source is assumed to be located at the optical center of the camera. Under this near-illumination imaging the attenuation of illumination is taken into account due to the distance between the light source and the surface, which helps to deal with the concave/convex ambiguity in SFS [9, 22]. As the Lambertian reflectance is rather oversimplified to model the real nature of the human teeth surface, the Oren-Nayar model is used [15] which carefully accounts for the scattering of light caused by the teeth surface roughness. In the proposed approach, this surface roughness is even physically measured from real human teeth using an optical surface profiler.
In addition, to further improve the accuracy and practicality of results, 3D shape priors in SFS formulation are incorporated. This strategy is motivated by the fact that humans rely on strong prior information about the 3D world around us in order to perceive 3D shape information. It thus becomes natural to think of ways to incorporate this kind of information into the reconstruction process done by computers. Such information is statistically extracted from training 3D models of the human teeth. This can serve in several aspects, e.g., to improve reconstruction accuracy, solve problems caused by occlusion (e.g., because of the tongue), specularity and albedo changes, and/or make up for the lack of sufficient, detailed view of the human tooth.

The proposed method of incorporating 3D shape priors in SFS can be linked to the so-called statistical SFS methods in literature, e.g. [110–112], all of which have been developed in the context of 3D face reconstruction. In particular, the same idea in [110, 111] of constructing a 3D prior model based on a low-dimensional parametrization of a training set is employed. This is achieved by applying principal component analysis (PCA). In addition to the different application domain (tooth reconstruction in the proposed case), this work here conveys other different and novel aspects. While those existing SFS methods [110–112] have assumed Lambertian model and orthographic projection, the more practical Oren-Nayar model with perspective projection is moved further ahead. While some of these methods (e.g., [112]) use harmonic representations of lighting to account for non-known illumination source, and may require a separate model for the face albedo, the proposed approach in that regard is simpler by the design of the acquisition setup, where the light source position is known. Therefore, in summary, the proposed approach utilizing 3D shape priors as well as the more realistic assumptions of the Oren-Nayar reflectance model, perspective projection and near light source with intensity attenuation, to the best of knowledge, has not been done before in literature. Several successful experimental results of the proposed approach are reported on real teeth with specularity and other challenging conditions.
B. Shape Priors

A shape model is constructed from a training set of 3D model of the same human tooth type. To be able to do this, several real invitro teeth of the same type (maxillary deciduous, maxillary molars, mandibular molars, and mandibular third molars) from adult subjects with different races, gender and ages are fixed over wax. A Cone-beam CT (KODAK 9000 3D Extraoral) scanner at a resolution of 0.2 mm is then used to scan the wax and teeth. At least 30 teeth of each type have been collected. The root part of each tooth is manually taken out from the CT scan, and the visible 3D tooth surface is segmented out.

Furthermore, the surface roughness $\sigma$ is estimated of the tooth surfaces which is needed for the Oren-Nayar diffuse reflection model. This is done with the help of a 3D optical surface profiler (NewView 700s from Zygo company), which is based on Scanning White-Light Interferometry technology that offers fast, non-contact, high-accuracy 3D metrology of micro surface features.

Afterwards, the 3D surfaces for each tooth type are rigidly aligned to remove any variations in shape due to pose differences. The first surface of the training set is used as the reference to which the remaining surfaces are aligned. The alignment is carried out using an iterative closest point (ICP-based) rigid registration algorithm [85] using the Hausdorff distance between corresponding points.

However before either case, the input brightness image of a human tooth whose 3D surface to be reconstructed must be aligned first with the constructed shape model. This is successfully achieved by a rigid 2D image registration between the input image and a reference image for the constructed shape model using the maximization of mutual information [113]. Figure 31 shows one sample input image for a tooth, the reference image for a constructed shape model, and the input image after alignment.

To build the shape model, each 3D tooth surface is converted to a height map, then
principal component analysis (PCA) is applied to capture the main variations of the co-aligned height maps:

\[ u(x) = \bar{u} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i u_i(x), \quad (35) \]

where \( \bar{u} \) is the mean height map (mean tooth shape), see Figure 32, \( u_i(x) \) is the \( i-th \) orthogonal mode of variation in the shape (also called eigenteeth), and \( w = \{w_i\} \) is called the vector of eigen coefficients or the shape vector. Only \( k \) principal components are considered in the sum, where \( k \) should be chosen large enough to be able to capture the prominent shape variations present in the human teeth.

Equation (35) is used as explicit representation of teeth shape. Therefore, by varying \( w \), vary \( u \). Note that the shape variability allowed in this representation is restricted to the variability given by the eigenteeth.

C. Shape from Shading with Shape Priors
FIGURE 32: The mean shapes in the constructed database of human teeth of various types: maxillary deciduous teeth, maxillary molars, mandibular molars and mandibular third molars, respectively.

Having built the prior shape model, it is ready now to embed it in the SFS framework to guide the solution. Starting the simple case of Lambertian surface, a distant directional light source and orthographic projection are used to demonstrate the proposed formulation. The concern then shifts to the more realistic SFS formulation considering the Oren-Nayar diffuse reflection model with a near light source and perspective camera.

However before either cases, the input brightness image of a human tooth whose 3D surface to be reconstructed must be aligned first with the constructed shape model. This is successfully achieved by a rigid 2D image registration between the input image and a reference image for the constructed shape model using the maximization of mutual information [113].

1. Simple Lambertian Case

The simplest imaging model is obtained when the camera performs an orthographic projection of a surface that has Lambertian reflectance and illuminated by a point light source located far away from the surface. The idea here is to solve for the height map $u(x)$ that minimizes the energy functional:
\[ \epsilon_L = \int_{\Omega} (I(x) - R(u(x)))^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left( I(x) - \frac{\hat{s} \cdot (\nabla u, -1)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right)^2 \, dx, \]  

(36)

where \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) represents the image spatial domain, and \( I \) is the image intensity.

Solving this last optimization problem is rather difficult and often requires imposing some regularization (e.g., smoothness of height map) [17]. Nevertheless, utilizing the prior shape model (35) in terms of the weighted sum of eigen vectors, the task is reduced to searching for the weights \( w_i \) that minimize the energy function (not functional anymore)

\[ \epsilon_L = \int_{\Omega} \left( I(x) - \frac{\hat{s} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \left[ \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial y} \right], -1 \right)}{\sqrt{1 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial y} \right)^2}} \right)^2 \, dx. \]  

(37)

One can readily see a quick advantage in the above optimization problem (37), where the solution search space is shrunk into a finite number (\( k \)) of weights. To find this solution, one can easily use a gradient descent minimization routine, where the weights \( \{w_i, i = 1, \cdots, k\} \) are evolved according to

\[ \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial t} = -\eta \frac{\partial \epsilon_L}{\partial w_i}, \]  

(38)

where \( \eta \) is a positive learning constant. The required partial derivatives of the energy function \( \epsilon_L \) with respect to the weights \( \{w_i\} \) are readily obtained as

\[ \frac{\partial \epsilon_L}{\partial w_i} = \int_{\Omega} 2 \left( I(x) + \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) \times \left[ (1 + |\nabla u|^2) \left( -s_1 \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial x} - s_2 \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial y} \right) - vp \right] \left( 1 + |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{1.5} \, dx. \]  

(39)
where

\[ v = \left( -s_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial x} - s_2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial x} + s_3 \right) \]

\[ p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial x} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial u_i(x)}{\partial y}. \]

In experimentation, the evolution commences from \( \{w_i = 0.2, i = 1, \cdots, k\} \) at \( t = 0 \), and convergence is typically attained within rather few iterations. From the obtained weights, the height map is recovered via (35) in order to reconstruct the surface of the tooth.

2. Realistic Oren-Nayar case

In this case the Oren-Nayar model is used for the surface reflectance. The camera has a perspective projection and the light source is assumed to be located at the optical center of the camera, with its intensity being attenuated with squared distance.

The energy functional to be minimized in this case is

\[ \varepsilon_{ON} = \int_{\Omega} (I(x) - R(u(x))^2) dx, \tag{40} \]

where

\[ R(u(x)) = \frac{\rho}{\pi f^2 u^2(x)} L_i \left( A(\hat{s} \cdot \hat{n}) + B \left( 1 - (\hat{s} \cdot \hat{n})^2 \right) \right). \tag{41} \]

It is a common practice [17] to drop the constants \( (\rho, L_i, f) \) in (41), and alternatively normalize the input intensity image \( I \). From the definitions of the perspective camera in Section II.F.2 and Figure 14, one can easily obtain

\[ \hat{s} \cdot \hat{n} = \frac{f}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + f^2}} u(x). \tag{42} \]
The goal here is to find the solution of the optimization problem

\[
\hat{w}_i = \arg \min_{w_i} \varepsilon_{ON}, \ i = 1, \cdots, k, \tag{43}
\]

which easily solved using a gradient descent minimization routine, where the weights \( \{w_i, i = 1, \cdots, k\} \) are evolved analogously to (38). The required partial derivatives of the energy function \( \varepsilon_{ON} \) with respect to the weights \( \{w_i\} \) are readily obtained as

\[
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ON}}{\partial w_i} = \int_{\Omega} 2 [I(x) - R(u(x))] \left( -\frac{\partial R(u(x))}{\partial w_i} \right) dx \tag{44}
\]

where

\[
\frac{\partial R(u(x))}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\rho L_i}{\pi} \left[ \frac{-A u_i(x)}{f \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + f^2 u^2(x)}} - 2 \frac{B u_i(x)}{f^2 u^3(x)} \right]. \tag{45}
\]

It is interesting to note here that the update equation of the weights in this case does not depend on the spatial derivatives of the shape eigenvectors \( \partial u_i / \partial x, \partial u_i / \partial y, \) or \( \nabla u \). This is in contrast to the weight update equation for the simpler Lambertian case (see (39)). This leads to more noise-robust evolution of the weights in the more realistic case and faster convergence (about only 60 % of the iterations of the simple Lambertian case is typically needed here in the experiments).

### D. Experimental Results

In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed approach, several experiments are carried out on real human teeth, other than the ones used for constructing the shape prior model. The accuracy of the tooth reconstruction is assessed by comparing it to the 3D ground-truth surface as obtained from CT scan. For the proposed approach, the simple Lambertian case (Section VI.C.1) and the more realistic case (Section VI.C.2) are applied both in order to assess the gain out of the latter formulation.
TABLE 4: Summary of approaches under experimental comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Camera</th>
<th>Light source</th>
<th>Reflectance</th>
<th>Priors</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>orthographic</td>
<td>at infinity</td>
<td>Lambertain</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>perspective</td>
<td>at optical center</td>
<td>Oren-Nayer</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>orthographic</td>
<td>at infinity</td>
<td>Lambertain</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>perspective</td>
<td>at optical center</td>
<td>Oren-Nayer</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed algorithm is compared with two SFS algorithms: The first is a standard SFS algorithm [78, 114] assuming typical assumptions of Lambertian surface, orthographic projection and distant directional light source. The other algorithm is a more recent SFS algorithm [9, 12] based on viscosity solutions for Hamilton-Jacobi type formulation of the image irradiance equation. This algorithm was originally developed in [9] for the Lambertian case assuming a perspective camera projection and a light source at the camera optical center. Later [12] it has been extended to the Oren-Nayar reflectance model. It does not use any prior information in its SFS formulation. Table 4 summarizes the key differences between the proposed approaches (CC and DD) and the others approaches (AA and BB).

Sample results from the approaches under evaluation are demonstrated in Figure 33. Figure 33(first row) shows the 2D input images for four different teeth models (maxillary deciduous, maxillary molar, mandibular molar, and mandibular third molar), while Figure 33(second row) shows the corresponding ground-truth tooth surface as obtained from CT scans. The outputs from Algorithm AA, shown in Figure 33(third row), are rather bumpy with too many peaks as the algorithm fails to handle the inevitable specularity due to the tooth surface characteristics and the near-illumination setup. Rather better reconstructions are provided by Algorithm BB, see Figure 33(fourth row). However the algorithm is not able to recover the geometrical details of the occlusal surface when compared to the proposed algorithms CC (Figure 33(fifth row)) and DD (Figure 33(last row)). The shape priors have indeed guided the SFS formulation to recover more details of the tooth crowns.
overcoming the challenges caused by the scattered specular spots throughout the tooth surface. Clearly, the more realistic formulation (algorithm DD) has shown the most success in that regard. Beneath each reconstruction is the root-mean-square (RMS) error between the reconstruction and the ground-truth after performing 3D rigid registration. Consistently, the proposed algorithms (CC and DD) provide smaller RMS errors, with Algorithm DD having the lowest error rate (almost as low as one half of those for Algorithms AA and BB). This emphasizes the role of incorporating prior information in the process of shape recovery.

To further demonstrate the gain out of the shape priors, another experiment is performed on real human teeth with fillings that cover significant parts of the teeth, see Figure 34 (first row). Figure 34 (second row) shows the corresponding surface reconstructions by the proposed approach (Algorithm DD). Note that the teeth are successfully and completely reconstructed, in spite of the tooth filling regions with different colors and albedo characteristics in the input images. This notable outcome of the proposed approach becomes more evident when compared to the tooth reconstruction by Algorithm BB in Figure 34 (third row). The filling regions gave rise to significant valleys and grooves in Algorithm BB results.

E. Summary

This chapter, focused on realistic 3D reconstruction of the human teeth using shape from shading with statistical shape priors. The work has addressed several challenges related to the image formation process including near illumination, perspective projection, while taking into account the deviation from the simplifying Lambertian assumption. The Oren-Nayar reflectance model is used for diffuse rough surfaces with roughness parameter being physically measured by an optical surface profiler. The proposed formulation exploits the shape priors as extracted from a set of training CT scans of real human teeth.
FIGURE 33: Tooth reconstruction from four different algorithms. First row: image acquired by the intraoral camera. Second row: ground-truth occlusal surface generated from a CT scan of the tooth. Third row: reconstruction using Algorithm AA. Fourth row: reconstruction using Algorithm BB. Fifth row: reconstruction using the proposed method (Algorithm CC). Last row: reconstruction using the proposed method (Algorithm DD). Beneath each reconstruction is the root-mean-square ($RMS$) error when compared to the ground-truth surface from CT.
FIGURE 34: Experiment on teeth with significant fillings. First row: input test image. Second row: reconstruction by the proposed algorithm (Algorithm DD). Third row: reconstruction by Algorithm BB.
This allows the reconstruction of a tooth with shape variations consistent with the training model examples. The proposed approach utilizing 3D shape priors as well as those more realistic assumptions of the Oren-Nayar reflectance model, perspective projection and near light source with intensity attenuation, to the best of knowledge, has not been done before in literature.

Experimental results have stressed the importance of invoking the shape priors and realistic object characteristics into surface reconstruction. The proposed approach has been able to recover rich geometric details of tooth occlusal surfaces. Furthermore, shape priors have helped in handling specular regions in tooth surface due to saliva and enamel reflectance. In particular, on the average, the proposed approach reduces the error metric by 0.5 – 0.65 mm compared to well-known existing SFS approaches. It is important to stress here that in this application domain, fractions of a millimeter improvements are considered significant for dental-related applications such as tooth implant and surface analysis. The proposed approach have successfully reconstructed teeth with challenging conditions, such as scattered specular spots and significant changes in color and albedo characteristics.
CHAPTER VII

2D-PRINCIPLE COMPONENTS ANALYSIS SHAPE MODELS

In this chapter, the current algorithms that were presented in the previous Chapter (VI) are improved. The approached developed in this chapter reconstructs the teeth from single image shading with 2D-Principal Components Analysis (PCA) shape priors that have more sophisticated reflectance model. The Oren-Nayar-Wolff model was used for modeling the surface reflectance. This formulation uses shape priors as retrieved from a set of training CT scans of real human teeth. The experiments show promising quantitative results, which builds the infrastructure for having an optical based approach that accounts for inexpensive and radiationless human tooth reconstruction.

A. Introduction

The two systems that showed most promise in the last few years, the iTero (Cadance)(see Figure 35 ⁹) and Lava(see Figure 36 ¹⁰). The Probes in both systems are bulky and requiring multiple scans to get full coverage of the oral cavity. The Lava system requires the use of a visible powder to get good registration, and has problems with depth of field. The iTero has a heavier probe and can only capture one tooth at a time, requiring five views of each tooth. Blood and saliva causes additional inaccuracies with both systems.

In Chapter VI, a 3D reconstruction of the human teeth is presented using SFS with shape priors, this work lacking in the following aspects: (1) They assumed Oren-Nayar

FIGURE 35: iTero commercial dental scanning system.
FIGURE 36: Lava commercial dental scanning system.
model for tooth surface reflectance. Nonetheless, tooth surface is rough and wet. (2) Shape prior information was constructing using 2D-Principal Component Analysis where PCA is time consuming to determine the corresponding eigenvectors.

PCA is one of a family of techniques for taking high-dimensional data, and using the dependencies between the variables to represent it in a more tractable, lower-dimensional form, without losing too much information. PCA is one of the simplest and most robust ways of doing such dimensionality reduction. It is also one of the oldest, and has been rediscovered many times in many elds, so it is also known as the Karhunen-Loe transformation, the Hotelling transformation, the method of empirical orthogonal functions, and singular value decomposition.

In this chapter, the goal is to achieve further improvement in the accuracy of the human tooth reconstruction approach in Chapter VI [21]. The contribution in this chapter are two-fold. First, the 2D-PCA is used to build the shape priors instead of the conventional PCA. The 2D-PCA offers two important advantages [115–117]: It is easier to evaluate the covariance matrix accurately since its size is much smaller. In addition, less time is required to determine the corresponding eigenvectors [115–117]. Second, the modified Oren-Nayar-Wolff reflectance model [92] is presumed in place of the Oren-Nayar model assumed in Chapter VI, where the tooth surface is rough and wet, giving rise to Fresnel reflection due to different refractive indices of the saliva and the tooth material. The tooth surface roughness is physically measured using an optical surface profiler (see Figure 22). The proposed CCD based intraoral camera is a factor of 10 less expensive, allowing it to be affordable for most dental and orthodontist offices. The system is 20 times lighter, which reduces fatigue of the operator enormously. Another major difference in the proposed system, the output file of the proposed system will be readily available as an STL file instead of locked in a proprietary format such as iTero and Lava have done.

B. Image Irradiance Equation
One good model for tooth surface reflectance is the Oren-Nayar-Wolff models which work well for the retro-reflection case [94]. Figure 22 shows microscopic view of the occlusal surface height variations which proves that micro-facet reflectance models are suitable for the tooth surface. Assume the camera is modelled with a perspective projection. According to the presented data acquisition setup as shown in Figure 1, the light source is located at the optical center. The surface is represented by [9, 22]: \( S = \{ S(x) / x \in \Omega \} \), where \( S(x) = \frac{f}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + f^2}} u(x, -f) \), with \( f \) is the camera’s focal length.

### C. Method

1. Data Preprocessing

   The triangular meshes of the training ensemble are obtained from a high resolution computer tomography scan of human invitro teeth where we use the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm for segmentation. 3D surfaces for each tooth type are rigidly aligned using an ICP-based rigid registration algorithm [85] using the Hausdorff distance between corresponding points.

2. Shape Model Construction

   In this chapter, shape reconstruction, using 2D-PCA, is using the height map \(^{11}\) in order to extract the most significant information of training images. Unlike the conventional PCA, 2D-PCA as the name implies will have matrix information rather than vector information which means that there is no need to get image pre-transformed into a vector.

\(^{11}\)a height map is a raster image used to store values, such as surface elevation data (The depth in the proposed case)
let more coefficients will be needed to represent the image [115–117]. This needs incorporation of the conventional PCA in a further step to reduce the dimensionality of principle component matrix of 2D-PCA.

Now, let the training set consist of $M$ training height maps $\{U_1, \ldots, U_M\}$ with size $n \times m$. All images are pre-aligned. As in [115–117], the mean of the training shapes, $\overline{U}$, is obtained as the average of these $M$ height maps. To extract the shape variabilities, $\overline{U}$ is subtracted from each of the training height maps. The obtained mean-offset functions $(\overline{U}_i = U_i - \overline{U}, \ i = 1, \cdots, M)$ can be represented as $\{\overline{U}_1, \ldots, \overline{U}_M\}$. These new functions are used to measure the variabilities of the training images. $M$ training teeth (for each type) images with $100 \times 100$ pixels are used in the experiment. According to [115–117], the $M$ mean-offset height maps are used to construct the covariance matrix $G$, as following:

$$G = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \overline{U}_i^T \overline{U}_i. \quad (46)$$

The goal of 2D-PCA is to find the optimal $K$ eigenvectors of $G$ corresponding to the largest $K$ eigenvalues. The value of $K$ helps to capture the necessary shape variation with minimum information. Experimentally, the minimum suitable value is found to be $K = 10$ that give enough variations. After choosing the eigenvectors corresponding to the 10 largest eigenvalues ($B = b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{10}$), the principle component matrix $Y, (m = 100 \times K = 10)$ are obtained for each height map of the training set ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$), where $Y_i = U_i B^T$. For more dimensional reduction, the conventional PCA is applied on the principle components $\{\widehat{Y}_1, \ldots, \widehat{Y}_M\}$. It should be noted that, $\widehat{Y}$ is the vector representation of $Y$. The reconstructed components (after retransforming to matrix representation) will be:

$$\widetilde{Y}_{(i,h)} = D e_{(i,h)} \quad (47)$$

Where $D$ is the matrix which contains $L$ eigenvectors corresponding to $L$ largest eigenvalues.
\(\lambda_l, (l = 1, 2, \ldots, L)\), and \(e_{(l,h)}\) is the set of model parameters which can be described as:

\[
e_{(l,h)} = h \sqrt{\lambda_l}
\]  

where \(l = \{1, \ldots, L\}\), \(h = \{-\mu, \ldots, \mu\}\), and \(\mu\) is a constant which can be chosen arbitrarily. The new principle components of training height maps are represented as \(\{\tilde{Y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{Y}_N\}\) instead of \(\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_M\}\) where \(N\) is a constant which can be chosen arbitrarily.

Given the set \(\{\tilde{Y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{Y}_N\}\), the new projected training height maps are obtained as:

\[
\tilde{U}_n = \tilde{Y}_n B^T, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
\]  

The shape model is required to capture the variations in the training set. This model is considered to be a weighted sum of the projected height maps (49) as:

\[
u(x) = \bar{U} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n \tilde{U}_n,
\]  

where \(\bar{U}\) is the mean height map (mean tooth shape), \(\tilde{U}_n\) is the \(n^{th}\) orthogonal mode of variation in the shape (also called eigenteeth), and \(w = \{w_n\}\) is called the vector of eigen coefficients or the shape vector. Only \(N\) principal components are considered in the sum, where \(N\) should be chosen large enough to be able to capture the prominent shape variations present in the human teeth.

The function given in Equation 50 is used as explicit representation of teeth shape. Therefore, by varying \(w\), \(u(x)\) is varied.

### D. Integration Tooth Shape Priors into SFS-framework

In this phase, the prior shape model is embedded in SFS framework to guide the
solution. The Oren-Nayar-Wolff diffuse reflection model with a near light source and perspective camera is considered.

As explained before in VI.B, alignment is needed before the construction step between the input brightness image to be reconstructed and the constructed shape model. A 2D rigid image registration technique with maximization of mutual information [113] is conducted to achieve the alignment. The Oren-Nayar-Wolff model is applied for reflectance with a camera that obeys perspective projection and a light source is located at the camera’s optical center. Intensity of the light is attenuated with squared distance. The idea here is to solve for the height map $u(x)$ that minimizes the energy functional

$$
e = \int_{\Omega} (I(x) - R(u(x)))^2 dx,$$

where

$$R(u(x)) = \frac{A(1 - F(\theta, \zeta)^2 \cos \theta + B \sin^2 \theta}{r^2}$$

From the definitions of the perspective camera, $\cos \theta$ can be written as:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{f}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + f^2}} u(x),$$

A great advantage of the above optimization problem (51) is that the solution search space is shrunk into a finite number ($N$) of weights. The goal here is to find the solution:

$$\hat{w}_n = \arg \min_{w_n} e, n = 1, \cdots, N,$$

Gradient descent optimization is used for computing $w_n$ as follows:

$$\frac{\partial w_n}{\partial t} = -\eta \frac{\partial e}{\partial w_n},$$
where $\eta$ is real positive learning constant. The gradient will be as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial w_n} = \int_\Omega 2 [I(x) - R(u(x))] \left( -\frac{\partial R(u(x))}{\partial w_n} \right) dx.
$$

(56)

### E. Experimental Results

1. Reconstruction of the Single Tooth

To evaluate the performance of the proposed 3D reconstruction method, the proposed approach is applied on real human teeth. Premolar model, Mandibular molar, Mandibular third molar, Maxillary molar, and maxillary deciduous models are constructed from 30, 30, 100, 40, and 30 teeth respectively. The shape priors are trained using out-of-training samples with instances using the CT-scan of the respective teeth. The accuracy of the tooth reconstruction is assessed by comparing it to the 3D ground-truth surface as obtained from CT scan. The proposed algorithm (AAA) is evaluated with two algorithms. The first algorithm (DD) [21] is more recent. It is a SFS algorithm that reconstructs 3D shape of the human teeth based on the shape priors that are built using conventional PCA. The other algorithm (B) [6] is a conventional SFS approach based on the work of Ahmed and et al. in [12].

Table 5 summarizes the key differences between the proposed algorithm (AAA) and the others algorithms (DD and B).

Figure 38 illustrated samples results from the three approaches under evaluation. Figure 38(a) demonstrates the 2D input images for different teeth models (e.g. mandibular molar, mandibular third molar, maxillary molar, and maxillary deciduous). Figure 38(b) shows the corresponding ground-truth (GT) as obtained from CT scans. Figure 38(c) shows the results of the proposed method AAA. Figure 38(d) shows the 3D reconstruction of
TABLE 5: Summary of approaches under experimental comparison. All algorithm are a perspective camera projection and the light source at the camera optical center.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reflectance</th>
<th>Priors</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAA-new</td>
<td>Oren-Nayar-Wolff</td>
<td>2D-PCA</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>Oren-Nayar</td>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Oren-Nayar</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 6: Average tooth surface reconstruction accuracy (*RMS*) in *mm*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth Type</th>
<th>Proposed (AAA)</th>
<th>algorithm (DD) [21]</th>
<th>SFS (B) [6]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premolar</td>
<td>0.2872</td>
<td>0.6502</td>
<td>1.3739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular molar</td>
<td>0.3017</td>
<td>0.6825</td>
<td>1.1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular third molar</td>
<td>0.2058</td>
<td>0.5625</td>
<td>1.0702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary molar</td>
<td>0.3288</td>
<td>0.6646</td>
<td>1.2738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary deciduous</td>
<td>0.2591</td>
<td>0.5711</td>
<td>1.4317</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average teeth reconstruction accuracy (*RMS*) in *mm* for algorithms AAA, DD and B is compared for various tooth types in Table 6. It is clear that the proposed algorithm (AAA) outperforms the other algorithms. It is worth-mentioning that throughout the experimentations the proposed method is faster than algorithm (DD). The CPU timing is computed on a PC with Core i7 CPU @ 2.2GHz processor and 4GB RAM. The average time for the proposed method is 40 seconds, while the other algorithm (DD) is 80 seconds.
FIGURE 37: Tooth reconstruction from three different algorithms. (a) 2D-image captured by the intraoral camera. (b) GT occlusal surface generated from a CT scan of the tooth. (c) 3D Reconstruction of the human teeth using the proposed method AAA. (d) Reconstruction using Algorithm DD. (e) Reconstruction using Algorithm B (well-known SFS method). Beneath each reconstruction is the root-mean-square (RMS) error.
2. Reconstruction of the Whole Jaw

The triangular meshes of the training ensemble are obtained from a high resolution computer tomography (CT\textsuperscript{12}) scan of human jaw molds where the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm for segmentation is used. Dense correspondence between jaw samples is obtained using the 3D thin-plate spline where the alignment procedure is guided by the sparse set of anatomical jaw landmarks. 3D thin-plate spline is also used to provide a warping function between image pixels (assumed to be on the $xy$-plane in the 3D space) and surface points using image landmarks and surface landmarks as control points. Orthographic projection is applied to re-represent the triangular meshes in terms of Monge patches which provides a bijective mapping between surface points and image coordinates.

In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed approach, several experiments are carried out on real human jaw, other than the ones used for constructing the shape prior model. Upper jaw models are constructed from 52 upper jaw molds belonging to 33 males and 19 females with on average age of 20 years old. Whereas lower jaw models are constructed from 58 lower jaw molds belonging to 33 males and 25 females with on average age of 19 years old. There are two samples per subject, one pre-repair jaw and another post-repair jaw, referring to the jaw status before and after applying an orthodontic teeth alignment process, respectively. The shape priors are trained using out-of-training samples with pre- and post-repair instances using the CT-scan of the respective molds(lower and upper jaws). The accuracy of the jaw reconstruction is assessed by comparing it to the 3D ground-truth surface as obtained from CT scan.

The proposed algorithm is compared ($A_w$) with two algorithms. The first algorithm ($B_w$) is Lambertian statistical SFS(SSFS) and recent for tooth reconstruction \cite{118}. The other algorithm ($C_w$) \cite{6} is a conventional SFS approach based on the work of Ahmed and et al. \cite{12}. In \cite{12}, their work is based on viscosity solutions for Hamilton-Jacobi type

\textsuperscript{12}A Cone-beam CT (KODAK 9000 3D Extraoral) scanner is used.
formulation of the image irradiance equation. This algorithm was developed in [9] for the Lambertian case assuming a perspective camera projection and a light source at the camera optical center. Later [12] was extended to the Oren-Nayar reflectance model.
FIGURE 38: Sample reconstruction result of a lower jaw (Post-repair) from three different algorithms. (a) Image acquired by the CCD camera. (b) Ground-truth generated from a CT scan of the jaw. (c) Reconstruction using the proposed Algorithm $A_w$ (with shape priors). (d) Reconstruction using Algorithm $B_w$. (e) Reconstruction using method (Algorithm $C_w$). Beneath each reconstruction is the root-mean-square (RMS) error when compared to the ground-truth surface from CT.
TABLE 7: Summary of approaches under experimental comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reflectance</th>
<th>Priors</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_w$</td>
<td>Oren-Nayar-Wolff</td>
<td>2D-PCA</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_w$</td>
<td>Lambertian</td>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_w$</td>
<td>Oren-Nayar</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 summarizes the key differences between the proposed algorithm ($A_w$) and the others algorithms ($B_w$ and $C_w$).

The isosurface of the jaw scan is a metric reference to rigidly align the reconstructed 3D point from SFS to share the same metric coordinate frame. For alignment, the first and second moments are normalized [96] without affecting the scale. This initializes an ICP-based rigid registration algorithm [85]. Point correspondence between CT and the reconstructed surface is obtained based on Hausdorff distance [97]. The SFS algorithms are compared in accordance with an error estimator based on the root mean square (RMS) error between the 3D points from the CT scan and the corresponding reconstructed surface points.

Sample results from the approaches under evaluation are demonstrated in Figure 38. Figure 38(a) shows the 2D input images for lower jaws, while Figure 38(b) shows the corresponding ground-truth tooth surface as obtained from CT scans. The output of the proposed algorithm ($A_w$) is shown in Figure 38(c). Reconstruction by Algorithms ($B_w$ and $C_w$) are shown in Figure 38(d), and Figure 38(e) respectively. Clearly, reconstruction using the formulations with algorithm ($A_w$) (Figure 38(c)) have shown the most success in that regard. Beneath each reconstruction is the root-mean-square (RMS) error between the reconstruction and the ground-truth after performing 3D rigid registration. Consistently, the proposed algorithm ($A_w$) provides the smallest RMS errors.

The average jaws reconstruction accuracy (RMS) in mm for algorithms $A_w$, $B_w$ and
Table 8: Average whole jaw surface reconstruction accuracy (RMS) in mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jaw Type</th>
<th>Proposed ($A_w$)</th>
<th>Lambertian SSFS ($B_w$)</th>
<th>SFS ($C_w$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper, Pre-repair</td>
<td>0.6805</td>
<td>2.0899</td>
<td>15.2995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper, Post-repair</td>
<td>0.6015</td>
<td>2.0233</td>
<td>16.3098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower, Pre-repair</td>
<td>0.7281</td>
<td>3.1191</td>
<td>12.1241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower, Post-repair</td>
<td>0.7759</td>
<td>2.5711</td>
<td>13.4959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$C_w$ is compared for various jaw types in Table 8. It is clear that the proposed algorithm ($A_w$) outperforms the other algorithms.

To further demonstrate the gain out of the shape priors, another experiment is performed on real human jaws with fillings that cover significant parts of the teeth, see Figure 39(a). Ground-truth generated from a CT scan of the jaw shown in Figure 39(b). Figure 39(c) shows the corresponding surface reconstructions by the proposed algorithm ($A_w$). Note that the teeth are successfully and completely reconstructed and outperform the work [6] (see Figure 39(d)), in spite of the tooth filling regions with different colors and albedo characteristics in the input images. This notable outcome of the proposed approach becomes more evident when compared to the tooth reconstruction by Algorithm $C_w$ in Figure 39(d). The filling regions gave rise to significant valleys and grooves in Algorithm ($C_w$) results.
FIGURE 39: Experiment on teeth with significant fillings. (a) Input test image. (b) Reconstruction by the proposed algorithm ($A_w$). (c) Reconstruction by algorithm ($C_w$)(with no shape priors).
F. Summary

A 3D reconstruction of human tooth models from optical imagery is proposed using shape from shading with 2D-PCA shape priors. The proposed method improves on the 3D reconstruction of the human teeth by incorporating more sophisticated reflectance models. In dental application, improvements are considered significant even if the improvement is a fraction of millimeter. The Oren-Nayar-Wolff reflectance model is used which is a physically deep model for diffuse reflectance from shiny but slightly rough surfaces. Results are preferred to other approaches in the literature since fine tooth details can be reconstructed and the resulting surface is more realistic. Also the execution time is reasonable and practical in the sense of applying the proposed system in clinic. Another major difference in the proposed approach, the output file of the proposed approach, will be readily available as an STL file instead of locked in a proprietary format such as commercial systems have done.

Moreover, the focus has been on developing and validating a holistic approach for image-based 3D reconstruction of the human jaw. The accuracy of 3D reconstruction of the human teeth/jaw is increased using SFS with 2D-PCA shape priors. The proposed approach has successfully reconstructed teeth with challenging conditions, such as scattered specular spots and significant changes in color and albedo characteristics.
CHAPTER VIII

THREE-DIMENSIONAL MODELING AND STRESS ANALYSIS IN DENTAL
BIOMECHANICS USING FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

Finite Element Analysis (FEA) or Finite Element Methods (FEM) is a powerful analytic technique for calculating stresses and strains of dental structures. Many Finite Element (FE) studies carried out used approximate 2D models. In this chapter, an accurate three-dimensional CAD model is proposed. 3D stress and displacements of different teeth type are successfully carried out. A newly developed open-source finite element solver, Finite Elements for Biomechanics (FEBio), has been used. The limitations of the experimental and analytical approaches used for stress and displacement analysis are overcome by using FEA tool benefits such as dealing with complex geometry and complex loading conditions. The experiments provide qualitative and quantitative metric results for the five models (Anterior tooth, mandibular third molar, mandibular molar, maxillary third molar and two touched teeth) under different loading conditions.

A. Introduction

Teeth which are positioned improperly are corrected by orthodontic dentistry. Crooked teeth and teeth which do not fit together properly affects one’s health since they are harder to clean and are at continuous risk of early tooth decay and periodontal disease while also causing headaches as well as shoulder and back pain due to extra stress on the chewing muscles.

Bone remodeling and orthodontic tooth movement are initiated by the mechanical
FIGURE 40: Overall approach of the proposed method.

Activation of an orthodontic appliance where force systems are produced to displace teeth in a predictable as well as controllable manner. Force systems primarily involve moments and forces where the ratio of moment to force being applied to teeth control the type of tooth movement. After an immediate application of a force, the tooth moves by an elastic deformation of its periodontal ligament (PDL) which is a lining around the root of the tooth. The mechanical stress in the PDL initiates the bone remodeling process which results in orthodontic tooth movement. Such a process is triggered by changes in the stress/strain distribution; it involves bone formation in tension regions and bone resorption (breakage) in stretched regions. In literature, there are several theories that explain the bone remodeling process [119] such as pressure-tension theory, distortion or bending of the alveolar bone and alveolar bone apposition.

Several biomechanical models have been proposed in literature. They are mainly based on the computation of stress and strain distribution in the PDL combined with a
bone remodeling law [120]. For example, Provatis [121] and Schepdael [122] proposed an analytical model to describe the stresses and strains in the periodontal ligament of an axisymmetric tooth of paraboloid shape during translation. The PDL was assumed to be linearly elastic and the method was validated using finite element methods. While this analytical model provides easier and lower-computational cost compared to classical FE methods without sacrificing accuracy, this work lacks two main aspects: (1) it did not address the issue of estimating the geometric parameters of the PDL given the 3D root information and (2) it did not address the effect of applying a force system to the whole jaw where the movement of one tooth will exert force on neighboring teeth.

There has been an enormous increase in use of FEA tools (e.g. [123–129]) due to evolution in computational approaches using commercial tools such as Abaqus, ANSYS, SolidWorks, etc. Most of these studies have been simplified work and used 2D analysis techniques. Unfortunately, such assumptions are no longer held in the actual scenario present in the dental structure in terms of geometry. Furthermore, these commercial softwares are very expensive because they require licences.

In this chapter, computational models are investigated they can describe tooth movement process in order to be a useful dental tool in clinical practice as well as research. Different theories provide means for the calculation of the stress and strain levels in the PDL. While in research, this might enable validating a specific remodeling theory; this can also be used in clinical practice to (1) predict tooth movement given orthodontic appliance (force system), (2) simulate tooth movement for orthodontics, and (3) analyze the stress/strain field in the alveolar bone to quantify treatment reaction. In this study, an accurate three-dimensional CAD model is proposed. 3D stress and displacement of five different teeth using FEA solver are successfully measured. In particular, FEBio [130] is used which is a newly developed open-source finite element solver. To the best of knowledge this has not been used before in orthodontic. FEBio has been developed specifically for biomechanical applications [130]. Nonetheless, the effect of applying a force system to the two teeth is
addressed where the movement of one tooth will exert force on neighboring teeth.

B. Orthodontic Tooth Movement

Teeth which are positioned improperly are corrected by orthodontic dentistry. Crooked teeth and teeth which do not fit together properly affects ones health since they are harder to clean and are at continuous risk of early tooth decay and periodontal disease while causing headaches shoulder and back pain due to extra stress on the chewing muscles.

Bone remodeling and orthodontic tooth movement are initiated by the mechanical activation of an orthodontic appliance where force systems are produced to displace teeth in a predictable as well as controllable manner. Force systems primarily involves moments and forces where the ratio of moment to force being applied teeth control the type of tooth movement. After an immediate application of a force, the tooth moves by an elastic deformation of its periodontal ligament (PDL) which is a lining around the root of the tooth. The mechanical stress in the PDL initiates the bone remodeling process which results in orthodontic tooth movement. Such process is triggered by changes in the stress/strain distribution; it involves bone formation in tension regions and bone resorption (breakage) in stretched regions. In literature, there are several theories explain the bone remodeling process [119] such as pressure-tension theory, distortion or bending of the alveolar bone and alveolar bone apposition.

Although there exist some typical and known orthodontic treatment plans, to-date, such treatment is primarily dependent on the experience of the orthodontist. Further, the treatment reaction is different from one patient to another causing lengthening the treatment time due to plan adjustment while increasing the cost to the patient. Time, cost and patient inconvenience are the main motivations behind having a simulation tool for orthodontic tooth movement where the orthodontist can virtually apply different treatment plans and simulate bone reactions versus time. Such a tool requires: (1) a 3D representation of the
patients visible jaw, hence our 3D reconstructions come into play, (2) augmenting the visible jaw with 3D root information and (3) modeling tooth movement w.r.t. different moment to force ratios, i.e. different movement types (e.g. rotation, translation and tipping).

On the tooth movement side, we are seeking to investigate whether computational models can describe tooth movement process in order to be a useful dental tool in clinical practice as well as research. Different theories provide means for the calculation of the stress and strain levels in the PDL. While in research, this might enable validating a specific remodeling theory, this can also be used in clinical practice to (1) predict tooth movement given orthodontic appliance (force system), (2) simulate tooth movement for orthodontics, and (3) analyze the stress/strain field in the alveolar bone to quantify treatment reaction. Nonetheless, the outcome of these theories is dependent on three main factors: (1) Geometry and morphology where tooth and alveolar bone are typically considered as rigid bodies while differences according to micromorphology have not been yet addressed [119]. (2) Material properties where PDL is non-linear visco-elastic material while most works consider it homogeneous, linear-elastic and isotropic. (3) Boundary conditions where the type of tooth movement is controlled by the moment to force ratio.

In literature, different approaches for modeling orthodontic tooth movement involve designing either a biomechanical or a mechanobiological model. While the former focus on the mechanics of the process while taking into account that bone and tissues are constantly adapting living tissues. The later models the biological processes involved in bone formation and resorption including cell and growth factor concentrations [131]. Nonetheless, such types of models are more complex and computationally expensive for clinical use when compared to biomechanical ones due the complex nature of cells and growth factors [131]. Yet, they are suited as research tools.

Several biomechanical models have been proposed in literature. They are mainly based on the computation of stress and strain distribution in the PDL combined with a bone remodeling law [120]. For example, Provatidis [121] proposed an analytical model
to describe the stresses and strains in the periodontal ligament of an axisymmetric tooth of paraboloid shape, during translation. The PDL was assumed to be linearly elastic and the method was validated using finite element (FE) methods. Gei et al. [132] proposed an interface model of the periodontal ligament. Their key idea was to model the PDL as a non-linear interface in a FE model of the root and the alveolar bone. That way, the non-linearity of the PDL is captured without defining it explicitly as a third material in the FE calculations. The drawback of this approach is that, although results are obtained for the stresses and strains inside the alveolar bone, the stresses and strains inside the PDL are not calculated. Zhurov et al. [133] developed a constitutive model of the PDL, describing it as a compressible transversely isotropic visco-hyperelastic tissue. They took into account the fact that the PDL is a composite material, composed of collagen fibers that can only bear tensile stresses and a viscous matrix component that has resistance to tension and compression. The advantage of such a model is its completeness, but to obtain accurate results, it is essential that all the material parameters are identified correctly, which is not yet the case. Kojima et al. [134–136] assumed that amount of bone remodeling is in proportion to the mean stress being calculated in the PDL. While they were able to simulate tooth movement, the validation of their model in clinical practice is still in question.

Clinical practice would benefit from a low-computational model while being easy-to-use for orthodontists. Recently, Schepdael et al. [137] proposed an analytical approach to design a biomechanical model for tooth movement where they avoided the use of classical FE methods to decrease computational time and increase user friendliness. Their approach did not require setting up a complicated 3D model of the tooth. Their model involves two main stages: (1) analytical determination of stress patterns in the PDL during orthodontic tooth movement and (2) simulating bone remodeling process as a viscous process where tooth movement of single- and multi-rooted teeth can be predicted. They validated their model against FE models showing minimal average error with lower computational complexity. While handling multi-rooted teeth, they improved on Provatidis [121] by predicting all types of tooth movements rather than only root translation and better modeling
the shape of the root using an elliptic paraboloid.

While this analytical model provides easier and lower-computational cost compared to classical FE methods without sacrificing accuracy, this work lacks two main aspects: (1) it did not address the issue of estimating the geometric parameters of the PDL given the 3D root information and (2) it did not address the effect of applying a force system to the whole jaw where the movement of one tooth will exert force on neighboring teeth.

C. The Finite Element Methods

The FEM were first invented by structural engineers, who based themselves on accurately physical basis. Later, mathematicians discovered that FEM methods could be classified as a subset of the Galerkin Methods for the solution of PDEs. By this way the method gained a mathematical foundation which extended its use to many engineering problems. None the less this difference in the engineering and mathematics points of view resulted in two different interpretations which also affects the way the method is used in practice applications.

- Physical Interpretation:
  The continuous physical model is divided into finite pieces called elements and laws of nature are applied on the generic element. The results are then assembled to represent the continuum.

- Mathematical Interpretation:
  The differential equation representing the system is converted into a variational form and solved by the linear combination of a finite set of trial functions.
1. FEM Notation

FEM treat the continuous problem domain as a collection of individual finite elements. The problem parameters are defined on each of the nodes of a typical element. The key definitions of the FEM notation have been described as the follows:

- **Dimensionality**: The elements can be defined depending on the problem context. Dimensionality expresses whether the element has 1, 2 or 3 space dimensions.

- **Nodal Points**: Every element is described by its nodal points. The nodal points are chosen to be the corners of the element. In the case of non-linear geometries nodal points are defined on the edges.

- **Geometry**: The geometry is used to describe the domain on which finite element discretization needs to be applied as shown in Figure 41.

- **Nodal Forces**: A set of nodal forces.

2. Physical Problems, Mathematical Models and Finite Element Solution

The finite element analysis method is used to solve physical problems in engineering analysis and design. The flowchart shown in Figure 42 summarizes the process of finite element analysis. The physical problem involves an structure component to certain loads. To convert the physical model to the mathematical model requires certain assumptions that together lead differential equations governing the mathematical model. This model is solved by using finite element analysis. Since the finite element solution approach is a numerical procedure, it is indispensable to rate the solution accuracy. If the estimated accuracy is not met the actual accuracy, the numerical (i.e., finite element) solution has to be repeated with adjusted the solution parameters (such as finer meshes) until a suitable accuracy is reached.
D. Equations for Three-Dimensional Solids

1. Stress and Strain

Figure 43 shows a continuous three-dimensional (3D) elastic solid tooth with a volume $V$ and a surface $S$. The surface of the solid tooth is divided into two types of surfaces: 1) a surface on which the external forces are prescribed is denoted $S_F$. 2) a surface on which the displacements are prescribed is denoted $S_d$. The solid can also be loaded by body force $f_b$ and surface force $f_s$ in any distributed fashion in the volume of the solid [138].

Figure 44 shows at any point in the solid, the components of stress are indicated on the surface of an 'infinitely' small cubic volume. On each surface, there will be the normal stress component, and two components of shearing stress. The first subscript letter
FIGURE 42: The flowchart of the process of finite element analysis: (a) The physical problem. (b) Finite element solution of mathematical model. (c) postprocessing step: interpretation the results, design improvements and structural optimization.
FIGURE 43: Solid tooth subjected to forces applied within the solid (body force) and on the surface of the solid tooth (surface force).
represents the surface on which the stress is acting, and the second letter represents the
direction of the stress. The directions of the stresses illustrated in the Figure 44 are taken to
be the positive directions. By calculating the moments of the forces about the central axes
of the cube at the state of equilibrium, it is easy to prove that:

\[
\sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yx}; \quad \sigma_{xz} = \sigma_{zx}; \quad \sigma_{yz} = \sigma_{zy}
\]  

(57)

Thus, there are six stress components in total at a point in solids. These stresses are
often called a stress tensor. They are often written in a vector form as follows:

\[
\sigma^T = \{ \sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{yy}, \sigma_{zz}, \sigma_{yz}, \sigma_{xz}, \sigma_{xy} \}
\]  

(58)

At any point in a solid, there are six strain components corresponding to the six stress
tensors. The six strain components can also be written in a similar vector form of:

\[
\varepsilon^T = \{ \varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_{yy}, \varepsilon_{zz}, \varepsilon_{yz}, \varepsilon_{xz}, \varepsilon_{xy} \}
\]  

(59)

Strain is defined as the change of displacement per unit length, and therefore the
components of strain can be obtained from the derivatives of the displacements as follows:

\[
\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}; \quad \varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}; \quad \varepsilon_{zz} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}; \\
\varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}; \quad \varepsilon_{xz} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}; \quad \varepsilon_{yz} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}
\]  

(60)

where \(u, v\) and \(w\) are the displacement components in the \(x, y\) and \(z\) directions, re-
spectively. The six strain-displacement relationships in Equation 60 can be rewritten in the
matrix form as:
\[ \varepsilon = L \mathbf{U} \quad (61) \]

where \( \mathbf{U} \) is the displacement vector, and has the form of

\[ \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \quad (62) \]

and \( L \) is a matrix of partial differential operators obtained simply by inspection on Equation 60:

\[ L = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0
\end{bmatrix} \quad (63) \]

2. Constitutive Equation

The relationship between the stress and strain in the material of a solid called constitutive equation. It is often termed Hooke's law. The generalised Hooke's law for general anisotropic materials can be given in the matrix form as follow:

\[ \sigma = c \varepsilon \quad (64) \]
where \( \mathbf{c} \) is a matrix of material constants, which are normally obtained through experiments. The constitutive equation can be written explicitly as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{xx} \\
\sigma_{xy} \\
\sigma_{xz} \\
\sigma_{yx} \\
\sigma_{yz} \\
\sigma_{zr}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} & c_{14} & c_{15} & c_{16} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_{11} & c_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
(c_{11} - c_{12})/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{sym.} & (c_{11} - c_{12})/2 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_{xx} \\
\varepsilon_{yy} \\
\varepsilon_{zz} \\
\varepsilon_{xy} \\
\varepsilon_{yz} \\
\varepsilon_{xz}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(65)

Note that, since \( c_{ij} = c_{ji} \), there are altogether 21 independent material constants \( c_{ij} \), which is the case for a fully anisotropic material. For isotropic materials, however, \( \mathbf{c} \) can be reduced to:

\[
\mathbf{c} =
\begin{pmatrix}
c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_{11} & c_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
(c_{11} - c_{12})/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{sym.} & (c_{11} - c_{12})/2 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(66)

where,

\[
c_{11} = \frac{E(1-\nu)}{(1-2\nu)(1+\nu)}; \quad c_{12} = \frac{E\nu}{(1-2\nu)(1+\nu)}; \quad \frac{c_{11} - c_{12}}{2}
\]

(67)

in which \( E, \nu \) and \( G \) are Youngs modulus, Poissons ratio, and the shear modulus of the material, respectively. There are only two independent constants among these three
FIGURE 44: Six independent stress components at a point in a solid viewed on the surfaces of an infinitely small cubic block.

constants. The relationship between these three constants is

\[ G = \frac{E}{2(1 + \nu)} \]  

(68)

That is to say, for any isotropic material, given any two of the three constants, the other one can be calculated using the above equation.
FIGURE 45: Stresses on an infinitely small block. Equilibrium equations are derived based on this state of stresses.
3. Dynamic Equilibrium Equation

The formulation of the dynamic equilibrium equations depend on assuming an infinitely small block of solid (see Figure 45). In equilibrium case, the forces should be applied on all directions. Since this is a general, dynamic system, the inertial forces of the block is considered. The equilibrium of forces in the $x$ direction gives as follows:

\[(\sigma_{xx} + d\sigma_{xx})dydz - \sigma_{xx}dydz + (\sigma_{yx} + d\sigma_{yx})dxdz - \sigma_{yx}dxdz\]
\[+ (\sigma_{zx} + d\sigma_{zx})dxdy - \sigma_{zx}dxdy + f_x = \rho\ddot{u}dxdydz \] (69)

where, $\rho \ddot{u}dxdydz$ is the inertial force term, $f_x$ is the external body force applied at the centre of the small block and $d\sigma_{xx}, d\sigma_{yx}$ and $d\sigma_{zx}$ defined as follow:

\[d\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x}dx, \quad d\sigma_{yx} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}}{\partial y}dy, \quad d\sigma_{zx} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{zx}}{\partial z}dz\] (70)

Hence, Equation 69 becomes one of the equilibrium equations, written as:

\[\frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zx}}{\partial z} + f_x = \rho\ddot{u} \] (71)

Similarly, the equilibrium of forces in the $y$ and $z$ directions results as:

\[\frac{\partial \sigma_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yz}}{\partial z} + f_y = \rho\ddot{v} \] (72)

\[\frac{\partial \sigma_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} + f_z = \rho\ddot{w} \] (73)
From Equations 71 to 73, the equilibrium equations can be written in matrix form as:

$$\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{\sigma} + \mathbf{f}_b = \rho \ddot{\mathbf{U}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (74)

where $\mathbf{f}_b$ is the vector of external body forces in the $x$, $y$ and $z$ directions:

$$\mathbf{f}_b = \begin{bmatrix} f_x \\ f_y \\ f_z \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (75)

4. Boundary Conditions

There are two types of boundary conditions: 1) displacement (essential). 2) force (natural) boundary conditions. The displacement boundary condition can be simply written as:

$$u = \bar{u} \quad \text{and/or} \quad v = \bar{v} \quad \text{and/or} \quad w = \bar{w}$$  \hspace{1cm} (76)

on displacement boundaries. For most of the actual simulations, the displacement is used to describe the support or constraints on the solid models, and hence the prescribed displacement values are often zero. In such cases, the boundary condition is characterize as a homogenous boundary condition. Otherwise, they are inhomogeneous boundary conditions.

The force boundary condition is written as:
\[ \mathbf{n} \sigma = \mathbf{i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (77)

on force boundaries, where \( \mathbf{n} \) is given by

\[
\mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix}
 n_x & 0 & 0 & n_z & n_y \\
 0 & n_y & 0 & n_z & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & n_z & n_y & n_x \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & n_z & n_y \\
 n_x & 0 & 0 & n_z & n_y \\
\end{bmatrix} \tag{78}
\]

in which \( n_i (i = x, y, z) \) are cosines of the outwards normal on the boundary. A force boundary condition can also be both homogenous and inhomogeneous. If the condition is homogeneous, it implies that the boundary is a free surface.

### E. Materials and Methods

1. Mesh generation (Pre-processing)

To perform FEA of human tooth, PDL, and bone deformation, preprocessing techniques are needed: image segmentation, mesh generation, and measurement of the mechanical properties. In this section, each one of these preprocessing techniques performed in this Chapter is explained in detail.

1) **Image Segmentation**: The triangular meshes of the training ensemble are obtained from a high resolution computer tomography scan of human invitro teeth. A Cone-beam CT (KODAK 9000 3D Extraoral) scanner at a resolution of 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.2 \text{mm} is then used to models, where the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm is used for segmentation [139].
FIGURE 46: Ensemble of 20 models generated using the proposed method illustrated with different colors. First row: solid volumes generated from the CT scan of the anterior teeth. Second row: solid volumes generated from the CT scan of the mandibular third molars. Third row: solid volumes generated from the CT scan of the mandibular molars. Last row: solid volumes generated from the CT scan of the maxillary third molars
2) Mesh Generation: Volumetric mesh generation depends on decomposing the object volume into a finite union of geometrically simple and bounded elements. In the context of the medical imaging, some mesh generators were created for image registration [140, 141]. In this chapter, the interest is generating high quality tetrahedral meshes that conform to the input surface meshes. To carry out this aim, the images are firstly segmented using EM segmentation algorithm and then convert the segmented images into a stereolithographic file (STL) using VTK [142]. Then, the STLs are used to generate 3D solid meshes of the tooth (see Figure 46), PDL and cortical bone.

2. FEA using FEBio

1) Materials Properties: Equations are developed for each element in the FEM mesh and assembled together into a set of global equations that model the properties of the entire system. Table 9 [1–4] summarizes the mechanical properties of the enamel, dentin, PDL and cortical bone.

2) Loads and Boundary Conditions (LBC’s): In this step, loads and boundary conditions defined on discreized models of different teeth in PreView 13 [130].

3) Processing: In this step, FEA solver FEBio 14 [130] is used to calculate displacement and stress due to loading conditions.

The 3D form of Hooke’s law can be written as [143]:

---

13 PreView is a finite element preprocessing software package. Its primary function is to set up the boundary conditions and material properties for finite element analysis with the software FEBio.

14 FEBio is a nonlinear finite element solver that is specifically designed for biomechanical applications.

---
where \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) are the stress and shear stress respectively and \( \varepsilon \) and \( \gamma \) are the strain and shear strain respectively. \( D \) is called the stress/strain or constitutive matrix and is defined by:

\[
D = \frac{E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\sigma_z \\
\tau_{xy} \\
\tau_{yz} \\
\tau_{zx}
\end{pmatrix}
= D
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x \\
\varepsilon_y \\
\varepsilon_z \\
\gamma_{xy} \\
\gamma_{yz} \\
\gamma_{zx}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(79)

where \( E \) is defined as the modulus of elasticity (Young’s modulus) and \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio.
TABLE 9: Mechanical properties of human teeth (Youngs modulus and poissions ratio of the tooth) [1–4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material property</th>
<th>Young’s modulus(GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentine</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDL</td>
<td>0.0689</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Visualization

Finally, the output of the FEBio is used as the input to PostView \(^{15}\) [130] to show the stress and displacement on the tooth.

Figure 40 summarizes the overall procedure used in the chapter to determine the stress and displacement in different teeth.

F. Experimental Results and Discussions

In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed approach, several experiments are carried out on real human teeth, other than the ones used for constructing the 3D models.

1. Horizontal Forces

Differences were determined for the displacement and stress distribution a five models (Anterior tooth, mandibular third molar, mandibular molar, maxillary third molar and two touched teeth) under different loading conditions.

\(^{15}\)PostView is a finite element (FE) post-processing application that is designed to view FEBio output files.
In this study, simple horizontal orally directed forces, strengths 0.5N to 3N were applied on five different models. This force is simple tipping which was applied on the tooth crown, perpendicular to its longitudinal axis.

Figure 47 shows the stress and displacement distribution in four different models. Figure 47(a) illustrates the 3D solid mesh that we get it from the STL surface mesh and loaded with tipping force near the crown. Stress increases from crown to root as shown in Figure 47(b). Maximum stress is near the root and the maximum value of displacement near to the crown (see Figure 47(c)).

Figure 48 simulates the invivo force on the human teeth and how the movement of the tooth effects the neighboring teeth. Figure 48(a) shows the solid mesh for two neighborhood teeth. Figure 48(b) shows the effective stress due to the force (3N) on the left tooth in the opposite y-direction and the pressure of the right tooth on the left tooth.

2. Vertical Forces

In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed approach, several experiments are done on 250 real human teeth (40 anterior models, 125 mandibular third molars models, 40 mandibular molars models and 45 maxillary molars models). Differences were determined for the stress, displacement and pressure distribution between models (mandibular third molar, mandibular molar and maxillary) under different loading conditions(see Figure 49 to Figure 53).

In this study, simple vertical orally directed forces, strength overall 200N was divided into three vectors (cusps) in some cases and four vectors on the others. This force was applied on the tooth crown, perpendicular to its occlusal surface. The 200N load used in this chapter was selected, as average chewing force, which is supposed to be one third of the maximum biting force [144]. Under the compressive load, the axial test simulations
FIGURE 47: (a) Finite element mesh (solid mesh) with the material property and initial conditions. (b) Effective stress for the tooth due to orthodontic forces were depicted as concentrated force. (c) The correspondence total displacement for the anterior tooth.
FIGURE 48: The mechanism of transferring the load from one tooth to its neighborhood: (a) Finite element meshes with the material property and initial conditions. (b) The effective stress (expressed in MPa) due to the force (3N) on the left tooth in the opposite y-direction and the pressure of the right tooth on the left tooth. (c) The correspondence total displacement.

predicted that tooth fracture might occur between 700N and 800N [144]. In addition, the boundary conditions of each model simulated the contact with neighbouring teeth. In the first model (mandibular third molar with three roots), the stress values ranged from 0.408 to 4.08KPa, the displacement values ranged from $0.165 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1.65 \times 10^{-8}$ and compression values range from $-1.65 \times 10^3$ to $0.0599 \times 10^3$ as shown in Figure 49. In the second model (mandibular molar)(see Figure 50 and Figure 51), the stress values ranged from 0.222 to 4.43KPa, the displacement values ranged from $0.102 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1.48 \times 10^{-8}$ and compression values range from $-11$ to $1.35 \times 10^3$. In the third model (Maxillary third molar with two roots)(see Figure 52 and Figure 53), the stress values ranged from 0.325 to 3.61KPa, the displacement values ranged from $0.187 \times 10^{-8}$ to $4.45 \times 10^{-8}$ and compression values range from $-1.96$ to $0.127 \times 10^3$.

G. Summary
FIGURE 49: 3D model and stress, displacement and compression distributions in the mandibular third molar with cusp 4 points loading. (a) Finite element mesh (solid mesh) with the material property and initial conditions. (b) Effective stress for the tooth due to orthodontic 200N tensile forces were depicted as four concentrated force in –z-direction (as a tensile force). (c) The correspondence total displacement. (d) The correspondence compression.

FIGURE 50: 3D model and stress, displacement and compression distributions in the mandibular molar (three roots) with cusp 3 points loading. (a) Finite element mesh (solid mesh) with the material property and initial conditions. (b) Effective stress for the tooth due to orthodontic 200N tensile forces were depicted as four concentrated force (in z-direction (as a compression force). (c) The correspondence total displacement. (d) The correspondence compression.
FIGURE 51: 3D model and stress, displacement and compression distributions in the mandibular molar (three roots) with cusp 4 points loading. (a) Finite element mesh (solid mesh) with the material property and initial conditions. (b) Effective stress for the tooth due to orthodontic 200N tensile forces were depicted as four concentrated force in z-direction (as a compression force). (c) The correspondence total displacement. (d) The correspondence compression.

FIGURE 52: 3D model and stress, displacement and compression distributions in the maxillary 3rd molar (two roots) with cusp 3 points loading. (a) Finite element mesh (solid mesh) with the material property and initial conditions. (b) Effective stress for the tooth due to orthodontic 200N tensile forces were depicted as four concentrated force in –z-direction (tensile force). (c) The correspondence total displacement. (d) The correspondence compression.
FIGURE 53: 3D model and stress, displacement and compression distributions in the maxillary 3rd molar (two roots) with cusp 4 points loading. (a) Finite element mesh (solid mesh) with the material property and initial conditions. (b) Effective stress for the tooth due to orthodontic 200N tensile forces were depicted as four concentrated force in $-z$-direction. (c) The correspondence total displacement. (d) The correspondence compression.

In this chapter, an accurate three-dimensional CAD model is proposed from DICOM images and converted to STL. Then the STL file converted to volume (solid mesh) to use it further in FEA. 3D stress and displacements of different real teeth type are successfully carried out on Anterior tooth, mandibular third molar, mandibular molar and maxillary third molar models using open-source finite element solver, FEBio. The limitations of the experimental and analytical approaches used for stress and displacement analysis are overcome by using FEA tool benefits such as dealing with complex geometry and complex loading conditions. The effect of applying a force system to the two teeth is addressed where the movement of one tooth will exert force on neighboring teeth. Qualitative and quantitative analysis based on FEBio’s progressive visual color scale, ranging from dark blue to red are illustrated.
CHAPTER IX

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This dissertation has presented 3D reconstruction using new SFS approaches and shape modeling with applications to dentistry. Moreover, it studied the orthodontic tooth movement using analytical and FEA methods.

A. Summary of Contributions

The findings from this dissertation make several contributions to the current literature which can be summarized as follows:

- Chapter III, a 3D library of teeth/jaw is built and is made it available for other’s research.

- Chapter IV focused on the 3D surface reconstruction aspect for human teeth based on a single image. A more realistic formulation of the SFS problem is introduced by considering the image formation components: the camera, the light source, and the surface reflectance. We propose a non-Lambertian SFS algorithm under perspective projection which benefits from camera calibration parameters. The attenuation of illumination due to near-field imaging is taken into account.

- Chapter V proposed a novel approach for 3D surface reconstruction of the human jaw [20]. Due to the difficulties of setting up a data acquisition system inside the mouth, an intraoral camera is used to capture a sequence of calibrated images. These
images are registered together to build a panoramic view of the jaw.

- Chapter VI, an approach for realistic 3D reconstruction of the human teeth is developed using shape from shading with statistical shape priors. The work has addressed several challenges including near illumination and camera perspective projection, while taking into account the deviation from the simplifying Lambertian assumption. The Oren-Nayar reflectance model is used for diffuse rough surfaces with the roughness parameter being physically measured by an optical surface profiler.

- Chapter VII enhanced the current algorithms presented in the previous chapter (VI). The approach developed in this chapter reconstructs the teeth from single image shading with 2D-PCA shape priors that have a more sophisticated reflectance model. The Oren-Nayar-Wolff model was used for modeling the surface reflectance.

- In Chapter VIII, an accurate three-dimensional CAD model is proposed from DICOM images and converted to STL. Then the STL file converted to volume (solid mesh) to use it further in FEA. 3D stress and displacements of different real teeth type are successfully carried out on Anterior tooth, mandibular third molar, mandibular molar and maxillary third molar models using open-source finite element solver, FEBio.

**B. Limitations and Suggested Future Directions**

The analysis also lead to a number of interesting observations, some of which may be considered as lines of future research.

- The next step is to investigate the fusion of SFS and SSFS where SFS provides the object-specific constructions while SSFS is perform shape recovery based on partial information.
• Designing and developing algorithms to construct a complete 3D model of the human jaw that includes crows, roots, and gum information by fusing the 3D surface and teeth databases as well as x-ray images.

• Evaluation/validation of the reconstruction approach with respect to traditional methods for specific dental practices.
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Appendix A

A. Derivatives of the 3D point vector

From Eq.(25)
\[ M = B^{-1}(s \cdot \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{b}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-1)
\[ M_x = B^{-1}(s_x \cdot \mathbf{m} + s_x \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}), \quad M_y = B^{-1}(s_y \cdot \mathbf{m} + s_y \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-2)

where \( M_x \) is the first derivative of the 3D point \( M \) with respect to \( x \), and \( M_y \) is the first derivative of the 3D point \( M \) with respect to \( y \).

\[ M_{xy} = B^{-1}(s_{xy} \cdot \mathbf{m} + s_x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}), \quad M_{yx} = B^{-1}(s_{yx} \cdot \mathbf{m} + s_y \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A-3)

where \( M_{xy} \) is the first derivative of \( M_x \) with respect to \( y \), and \( M_{yx} \) is the first derivative of \( M_y \) with respect to \( x \).
\[
\begin{align*}
M_{xx} &= B^{-1}(s_{xx} m + 2 s_x) \\
M_{yy} &= B^{-1}(s_{yy} m + 2 s_y)
\end{align*}
\]

(A-4) (A-5)

where \( M_{xx} \) is the second derivative of the 3D point \( M \) with respect to \( x \), and \( M_{yy} \) is the second derivative of the 3D point \( M \) with respect to \( y \).

\[
\begin{align*}
M_{xxx} &= B^{-1}(s_{xxx} m + 3 s_{xx}) \\
M_{yyy} &= B^{-1}(s_{yyy} m + 3 s_{yy})
\end{align*}
\]

(A-6) (A-7)

where \( M_{xxx} \) is the third derivative of the 3D point \( M \) with respect to \( x \), and \( M_{yyy} \) is the third derivative of the 3D point \( M \) with respect to \( y \).
\[
\mathbf{M}_{xxx} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}(s_{xxx} \mathbf{m} + 4s_{xx} 1) \tag{A-8}
\]
\[
\mathbf{M}_{yyyy} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}(s_{yyyy} \mathbf{m} + 4s_{yy} 1) \tag{A-9}
\]

where \(\mathbf{M}_{xxx}\) is the fourth derivative of the 3D point \(\mathbf{M}\) with respect to \(x\), and \(\mathbf{M}_{yyyy}\) is the fourth derivative of the 3D point \(\mathbf{M}\) with respect to \(y\).

**B. Brightness Constraint Derivatives**

From Eq.(55) we assume:

\[ f_1 = (I - R)^2 \tag{A-10} \]

and the surface reflectance :

\[ R = \frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{L}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V}}} \tag{A-11} \]

where \(\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{M}_x \times \mathbf{M}_y\)

\[
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s} \right] \tag{A-12}
\]

where \(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial s}\) is the first derivative of \(\varepsilon_1\) with respect to \(s\).

\[
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s} = -2(I - R) \left( \frac{(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V}) (\frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial s})}{\mathbf{V}^T (\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V})^{1.5}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{L} \tag{A-13}
\]
\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s_x} = -2(I - R) \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial s_x} - V \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial s_x} \right)^T \right) \cdot L \]  
(A-14)

\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s_y} = -2(I - R) \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial s_y} - V \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial s_y} \right)^T \right) \cdot L \]  
(A-15)

\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial s_x} = \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial s_x} \times M_y + M_x \times \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial s_x} \]  
(A-16)

\[ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \times M_y + M_x \times \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial s_x} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \]  
(A-17)

\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial s_y} = \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial s_y} \times M_y + M_x \times \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial s_y} \]  
(A-19)

\[ = M_x \times (B^{-1} m) \]  
(A-19)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial s_x} \right) = (B^{-1}) \times M_y + (B^{-1} m) \times M_{xy} \]  
(A-18)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial s_y} \right) = M_{xy} \times (B^{-1} m) + M_x \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \]  
(A-20)
\( V_x = M_{xx} \times M_y + M_x \times M_{yx} \quad (A-21) \)

\( V_y = M_{xy} \times M_y + M_x \times M_{yy} \quad (A-22) \)

1. Smoothness Constraint Derivatives

From Eq.(28) set:

\( f_2 = M_{xx}^T M_{xx} + M_{yy}^T M_{yy} \quad (A-23) \)

Then,

\[
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial s} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial s_x} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial s_y} \right] \\
+ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial s_{xx}} \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left[ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial s_{yy}} \right] \quad (A-24) \]

\[
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial s} = -4M_{xxx}^T B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 4M_{yyy}^T B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
+ 2M_{xxxx}^T B^{-1} \mathbf{m} + 2M_{yyyy}^T B^{-1} \quad (A-25) \]

C. Integrability Constraint Derivatives
From Eq.(29) set:

\[ f_3 = (M_{xy} - M_{yx})^T (M_{xy} - M_{yx}) \]

(A-26)

\[ = (s_{xy} - s_{yx})^2 (B^{-1}m)^T (B^{-1}m) \]

Then,

\[
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_3}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} [\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial s_{xy}}] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y \partial x} [\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial s_{yx}}]
\]

\[ = 2(B^{-1}m)^T (B^{-1}m)(s_{xyyx} - s_{yxxy} - s_{xyxy} + s_{yxxy}) \]  

(A-27)
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**Activities:**

• Lecturing classes and labs.

• Helping students throughout their study (office hours)
• Helping students with their thesis projects

• Grading students’ assignments

• Grading midterm exams

• Participating in the faculty’s projects such as e-learning projects

**Refereed Journal Publications**


**Conference Publications**


• Eslam Mostafa, **Aly Abdelrahim**, Shireen Elhabian and Aly Farag. ”STATISTICAL MORPHABLE MODEL FOR HUMAN TEETH RESTORATION”, ICIP, 2014, under review.


• **Aly Abdelrahim**, Moumen El-Melegy, and Aly Farag, Realistic 3D reconstruction of the human teeth using shape from shading with shape priors, Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW), pp.64-69, June 2012.


**Honors and Awards**

• Outstanding student award, graduate student 2014 .

• Graduate Dean’s Citation award 2014.


• ECE Outstanding Graduate Student Award 2013, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA.

• ECE Theobald Scholarship Award during the 2013 Honors & Awards, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA.

• 2 place, 5th Annual Graduate Student Research Symposium 2013, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, USA.

• Graduate Student Scholarship, University of Louisville, Fall 2011-Fall 2012.
• Top student in class throughout my undergraduate education in Egypt

**Application Areas** Image-based rendering, 3D shape recovery, and medical imaging

**Skills Programming Languages and Toolkits**

- C and C++ Fair and In Progress
- Matlab Highly Competent
- C# Fair and In Progress
- Java Basic
- Visualization Toolkit (VTK) Fair and In Progress

**Software**

Microsoft Office Highly Competent
Latex Highly Competent
Microsoft Visual Studio good
Mathematica Basic

**Areas of Research Focus Mathematics:**

- Real and complex analysis, differential geometry, linear algebra, multilinear algebra, and spherical harmonics

**Signal Processing:**
• Signal and image processing, probability, random variables, stochastic processes, information theory, subspace learning, pattern recognition and machine learning

**Computer Vision:**

• Shape-from-shading, statistical shape-from-shading, stereo reconstruction and image formation

**Medical Imaging:**

• Statistical shape analysis, image segmentation and image processing

**Relevant Coursework:**

• Electronic circuits,

• Control System Principles,

• Autonomous Robots,

• Communications and modulation,

• Measurements,

• Digital Signal Processing,

• Computer Vision,

• Image Processing,

• Database,

• Data structure,

• Computer graphics,
• Networks,
• Digital Design,
• Computer Architecture
• Microprocessor.

Languages

• Arabic                     Mother Tongue
• English                    Very Good
• French                     Basic

Hobbies: sports, fishing, collecting stamps, electricity, mechanics and carpentry