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This project investigated the role of miRNA-21 in the macrophage response to peritonitis; with the goal of understanding whether the associated mechanism has potential benefits in the clinical treatment of peritonitis. A novel therapeutic intervention in the treatment of peritonitis could modulate the host immune response to decrease an exaggerated pro-inflammatory innate response and then prevent or ameliorate the development of sepsis and organ failure. We found the following:

1. MiRNA-21 expression increases in peritoneal macrophages after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation.
2. Over expression of miRNA-21 decreases TNF-α secretion from macrophages after LPS stimulation. Suppression of miRNA-21 expression results in increased TNF-α and IL-6, together with decreased IL-10 after LPS stimulation.
3. Protein targets of miRNA-21 after LPS stimulation were not significantly different following suppression of miRNA-21.

4. NF-κB and ERK signaling was unaffected by suppression of miRNA-21.

5. MiRNA-21 is beneficial in survival following induction of peritonitis with LPS.

6. MiRNA-21 does not affect survival following induction of peritonitis with cecal ligation and puncture.

These findings demonstrate that although miRNA-21 is beneficial in modulating the macrophage response to LPS, the effect is buried in the multiple pathways activated after the clinically more relevant model of cecal ligation and puncture. The improved understanding of the anti-inflammatory effects of miRNA-21 in the macrophage response to peritonitis may result in a targeted therapy to intervene in clinical peritonitis.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Peritonitis is regularly seen as part of clinical surgical practice. It can have multiple causes, resulting in bacterial infection within the peritoneal cavity of the abdomen. These include appendicitis, diverticulitis with perforation, and perforated bowel from a variety of other causes. Patients with peritonitis can have a variable disease course, from a mild, self-contained infection, through a whole body septic response potentially leading to multi-organ failure and potential fatality. It is a frequent cause for admission to the hospital and the surgical intensive care unit. Although improvements in critical care have led to more patients surviving, there has been little change in the treatment of peritonitis in the last 50 years. The mainstay remains 1) source control by removal, debridement, and/or drainage of infected and necrotic tissue; 2) antibiotics directed at Gram negative pathogens including anaerobes; and 3) supportive treatment of homeostatic functions in various organs such as the lungs, liver, kidneys, heart and gut.

Mortality is directly associated with an exaggerated pro-inflammatory innate response with development of sepsis and organ failure. Several immune modulators have undergone clinical trials in recent years with the aim of manipulating the immune response to the benefit of the patient, but none have
successfully been able to change patient outcomes. The purpose of this work is
to investigate specific mechanisms by which the response of peritoneal
macrophages to endotoxin and bacterial infection are regulated and identify
potential routes for therapeutic intervention.
CHAPTER II

GENERAL OVERVIEW

A. Clinical Importance of Peritonitis

Peritonitis is inflammation of the lining of the peritoneal cavity that occurs in response to local irritation or infection. It can be categorized into primary, secondary and tertiary. Primary or spontaneous bacterial peritonitis is relatively rare and occurs in ascites and liver failure. Secondary bacterial peritonitis is usually caused by a perforation, when enteric bacteria from the bowel are released into the peritoneal cavity and is our primary focus for these studies. Tertiary peritonitis is the persistence of bacteria despite adequate therapy, consisting of source control, drainage of any abscess and use of appropriate antibiotics. Chemical peritonitis is less common, and occurs when blood, acid, bile, pancreatic juice, or other bodily fluids are released into the peritoneal cavity in the absence of infection. This usually occurs in the setting of surgical complications and trauma.

Secondary bacterial peritonitis is a common cause of surgical sepsis and has multiple etiologies, stemming from ischemia or perforation of the bowel that leads to enteric bacteria within the peritoneal cavity. The most common causes are inflammatory processes such as diverticulitis and appendicitis, but can also
include traumatic injuries and colon cancers eroding through the bowel wall. Peritonitis can be a spectrum of disorders, ranging from a contained local irritation, such as that associated with a benign appendicitis to pancreatic necrosis and intestinal ischemia that are often associated with substantial morbidity and mortality. Several scoring systems such as the Mannheim Peritonitis Index and the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score have evolved to try to predict those with a risk of increased morbidity and more complicated disease course.

The treatment of peritonitis has remained unchanged for the last 50 years; antibiotics directed at the offending gram negative aerobic and anaerobic bacteria and source control of the infection by open or laparoscopic resection, debridement, and drainage or percutaneous drainage. A recent Cochrane review concluded that no specific recommendations could be made about the first line antibiotics, as all included in the studies were equivalent, and included antibiotics active against gram negative aerobes and anaerobes. Despite treatment, peritonitis may progress to sepsis, a systemic inflammatory response to a source of infection, consisting of fever, tachycardia, tachypnea, and leukocytosis and multi-organ dysfunction. The Dutch registry for intensive care shows approximately 2.5% of all intensive care admissions are due to intra-abdominal infection combined with sepsis.
Despite clinically proven treatment and the ability to predict those with a higher risk of morbidity and mortality, it remains unknown why some patients are unable to contain and eliminate such an infection, resulting in a persistence of bacteria in the peritoneum. Some have theorized that this is because of a failure of the host immune response to clear the infection, and that augmentation or modulation of the immune response may serve to enhance the clearance of bacteria in these patients. Some patients go onto a persistent inflammatory state and develop organ failure, despite adequate source control, which is also a source of significant morbidity and mortality. Several immune-modulators have undergone clinical trials following success in a lab environment, however none have been successful in a clinical setting\textsuperscript{16,17}.

B. Immune Response Overview

The immune response occurs in 3 phases: 1) The immediate innate (0-4 hours) response, which is recognition of the invading pathogen or tissue injury by preformed, non-specific and broadly specific effectors. 2) The early innate (4-96 hours) which deals with the recognition of microbial-associated molecular patterns and in the inflammation, recruitment and activation of effector cells; and 3) the adaptive (>96 hours) which consists of recognition by B and T cells and clonal expansion and differentiation into effector cells\textsuperscript{18,19}. All these process act
synergistically to remove the infectious agent. This thesis will deal primarily with the early innate immune response in the first 96 hours of an infection.

The innate immune system has evolved to be the first line of response to pathogens. It consists of the mechanical barriers to infection such as that seen in the skin epithelium and the mucosa of the bowel wall. The activation of complement and coagulation cascades impairs pathogen function, as well as activating the cellular component of the innate immune system $^{19}$. Pathogen associated molecular proteins (PAMPs) and damage associated molecular proteins (DAMPs) are released in response to pathogen invasion and are recognized by Pattern Recognition Receptors (PRRs) on the surface of immune cells $^{20}$. This combination of bacterial proteins and those released by damaged cells binds to PRR receptors such as Toll-like receptors (TLR), NOD-like receptors and Mannose-Binding Lectin that can be either cell associated in the membrane or cytosol or secreted to stimulate the immune system $^{19, 21}$.

The major cells of the innate immune system are the macrophage and neutrophil both of which play an important role in the phagocytosis of bacteria, but the macrophage is the key orchestrator of the local reaction $^{19, 22}$. Macrophages reside in the tissues and release mediators such as, cytokines and chemokines to induce inflammation as well as directly attacking microbes via release of free radicals, proteolytic enzymes and phagocytose invading pathogens $^{23, 24}$. This release stimulates the influx of neutrophils and subsequently monocytes and
macrophages from the peripheral circulation to assist in fighting the infection. The third main function of macrophages is antigen processing after phagocytosis and presentation to other immune cells which initiates the adaptive immune response with eventual specific antibody production \(^1\).

This pro-inflammatory response is followed by a regulated anti-inflammatory response which dampens down any excessive inflammation, to reduce the damage to surrounding normal tissue \(^1\). Often there is a dysfunctional early innate immune response that does not respond appropriately to the infection, which can lead to an imbalance in the pro and anti-inflammatory responses, which is detrimental to the health of the organism \(^2\).

C. **Macrophages**

Resident peritoneal cells include the mesothelial lining, mast cells and leucocytes. The human peritoneal resident leucocyte population comprises 45-90% macrophages, 10-47% lymphocytes and the rest NK cells, dendritic cells and neutrophils \(^2\). The mesothelial lining and macrophages are the main source of chemokines and cytokines that attract neutrophils and circulating monocytes to the peritoneum \(^1\). Cytokines bind to cell surface receptors on target cells, inducing intracellular signaling cascades to induce, or inhibit cytokine-regulated genes in the nucleus, thus manipulating cell activity. IL-10 produced by macrophages inhibits neutrophil recruitment and chemokine generation, which in
turn inhibits pro-inflammatory cytokine release. Diffusion of inflammatory mediators TNF-α and IL-1β from the circulation plays an important role in the pulmonary activation of resident macrophages which results in the associated lung disease and neutrophil infiltration seen in animal models.

**Toll-like Receptor signaling**

Host immune cells recognize foreign microbial pathogens by pattern recognition receptors located on their surface. The most studied of these are the toll-like receptors of which there are 12 in mice, and 10 in humans; most are located within the cell membrane, though TLR-3, -7, -8, -9, -11 and -13 are within the cell cytosol. TLR-4 is found on the cell membrane and responds to bacterial products such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), derived from the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria. Ligand binding to TLR-4 results in the activation of intracellular signaling and release of cytokines (Figure 1). In the unstimulated cell, the transcription factor, nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) is sequestered in the cytoplasm by inhibitory IκB proteins. When LPS binds to TLR-4, myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MyD88) is activated to initiate intracellular signaling pathways. This leads to the phosphorylation of IκB by IκB kinases (IKKs), its subsequent degradation and allows the dissociation of NF-κB from the inhibitory complex and its nuclear translocation to stimulate gene expression. This stimulates the transcription of the pro-inflammatory cytokines notably Tumor Necrosis Factor-α (TNF-α) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6).
Overview of the Toll-Like Receptor 4 (TLR-4) signaling through MyD88 dependent and independent pathways. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS), TRIF-related adapter molecule (TRAM), TIR-domain-containing adaptor-inducing interferon β (TRIF), Myeloid Differentiation Primary Response Gene 88 (MyD88), IL-1 Receptor Associated Kinase (IRA), TNF-Receptor Associated Factor 6 (TRAF6), Mitogen Activated Protein Kinases pathway (MAPK), Extracellular Signal Related Kinase (ERK), c-Jun N-terminal Kinase (JNK), Inhibitory Kappa Kinase (IKK), Inhibitory Kappa Beta (Ikβ), Nuclear Factor Kappa Beta (NF-κB), Interleukin-10 (IL-10) and Tumor Necrosis Factor-α.
also activates a MyD88-independent, TIR-domain-containing adaptor-inducing interferon β (TRIF) dependent pathway. MyD88-mediated signaling involves the activation of IL-1-Receptor associated kinases (IRAKs). IRAKs activate TRAF6 (TNF-Receptor Associated Factor; central signaling protein of TLR-4 pathway), which catalyses lysine 63 (K63) –linked ubiquitin chains. This TRAF6 mediated ubiquitination is important in amplifying TLR-4 signaling by stimulating multiple downstream pathways, through IKK, NF-κB and the MAPKs, extracellular signal-related kinase (ERK), JNK and p38 to induce the genes coding for inflammatory cytokines.

D. MicroRNAs

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short non-coding RNAs, 22-25 nucleotides long, which act to inhibit protein production. MiRNA are formed in the nucleus as pri-miRNA, cleaved into the hairpin shaped pre-miRNA by Drosha and exported from the nucleus into the cytoplasm by Exportin-1. In the cytoplasm the miRNA are further cleaved by Dicer and incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). The RISC then binds to the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of messenger RNA (mRNA) to inhibit translation of proteins. If the sequences are 100% complementary, then the mRNA is degraded, if the sequence is only 80% complementary, the mRNA remains intact, but unable to be translated into protein. MiRNA act as post-transcriptional modulators of protein translation.
There are >1000 miRNAs documented, each with hundreds of potential targets based on mRNA sequences, often experimentally unverified. There are multiple miRNAs known to target the TLR-4 signaling pathway.

There has been a substantial increase in miRNA studies since the early discoveries made by Fire and Mellow. The essential role that miRNAs play in cell function and their possibilities as stable biomarkers mean that there is much still to learn about the influence of miRNAs. For example miRNA and transcription factors both regulate inflammation, and now there are examples of transcription factors regulating miRNA expression directly. As promoter regions of miRNAs are identified, a more focused understanding of their transcriptional regulation will emerge.

**MicroRNA-21**

MiRNA-21 has been the object of multiple studies due to its high abundance and significant role in cardiovascular injury, cancer progression and metastasis. The targets of miRNA-21 have roles in apoptosis, cell proliferation, cell migration, and invasion, and it has been shown to be up-regulated in multiple cancer types including but not limited to breast, lung, colorectal, hepatocellular, pancreatic and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. MiRNA-21 has also been found in the plasma of patients with colorectal cancer. MiRNA-21 is located on chromosome 17 in the 10th intron of the protein coding gene TMEM49 whose function is currently uncharacterized.
unknown. It has its own promoter and expression is not affected by the TMEM49 promoter. Transcription is stimulated by NF-κB and knockdown of NF-κB by siRNA and inhibitors has shown to reduce expression of miRNA-21 in a nicotine-induced cell proliferation model of gastric cancer. LPS induces miRNA-21 via TLR-4, MyD88 and NFκB, which has a binding site in the miRNA-21 promoter region. The role of miRNA-21 in the immune system is still under active investigation, though it is believed to be involved in the transition between the pro and anti-inflammatory phases of the early innate immune response. The miRNA-21 targets that may contribute to this are Programmed cell death protein 4 (PDCD4), Pelino 1 (Peli1), and Sprouty (SPRY) proteins 1, 2 and 4.

**Programmed Cell Death Protein 4**

PDCD4 has been validated as a target of miRNA-21 by independent groups. There is a single highly conserved miRNA-21 target site within the 3’UTR region, and its regulation by miRNA-21 has been reported in both human and murine cancer models. Sheedy et al, show PDCD4 is a key step in the negative regulation of the inflammatory response to LPS. PDCD4−/− mice have been shown to have a lower mortality to LPS than PDCD4+/+ mice, associated with lower IL-6 and higher IL-10 levels.
Pelino 1

There are 3 mammalian homologs of the Pelino (Peli) proteins but only Peli1 has so far been described as a likely target of miRNA-21. The Peli proteins, like TRAF6, function as E3 ubiquitin ligases and interact with the IRAKs, inducing ubiquitination of IRAK1, and thus have been linked to the regulation of TLR-4 MyD88-dependent signaling as well as T cell activation. Peli has also been demonstrated to be critical in TLR-3 activation of IKK and NF-κB, through interactions in the TRIF pathway. Peli interacts with TRIF-signaling adaptor kinase RIP1 and mediates RIP1 ubiquitination, a key step in the TRIF-dependent IKK-signaling pathway, and is critical in TRIF-dependent TLR signaling, though non-essential for MyD88-dependent TLRs. Peli1 has shown to be important in TLR-4-mediated gene induction, though only partially required for LPS stimulated activation of IKK and NF-κB (Figure 1). Peli1 is a proven target of miRNA-21 in liver regeneration, where Peli1 mRNA was inversely correlated with miRNA-21 levels. Peli1 mRNA and protein expression is increased in macrophages that are stimulated by the TLR-4 ligand LPS, via a TRIF-dependent pathway, and independent of the MyD88-dependent pathway. The IKK-related kinases or IRAK1 activate Peli1 on macrophage stimulation through the TLR MyD88 pathway and via TNF-α.
Sprouty

The Sprouty proteins were first described in 1998 as an inhibitor of fibroblast growth factor in *Drosophila*⁶⁴. There are four 4 isoforms in mammals, and all are postulated targets of miRNA-21. Sprouty proteins inhibit signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases affecting the activation of MAPK. Srpy1, 2 & 4 block the activation of ERK (extracellular signal related kinase) in cells stimulated by growth factors, different points in the signaling cascade depending on the biological context ⁶⁵-⁶⁷. In mouse fibroblasts SPRY1 and 2 inhibit ERK/MAPK signaling at the level of Ras activation, in human epithelial cells, SPRY2 acts on RAF1 as does mammalian SPRY4 ⁶⁸-⁷⁰. All sprouty isoforms inhibit the ERK pathway by themselves, but heterodimers and homodimers have enhanced inhibition ⁷¹, ⁷². In cardiac fibroblasts increased miRNA-21 is associated with decreased expression of SPRY1 and up-regulation of the ERK cascade ⁷³.

Signaling molecules NF-κB and ERK

There are five members of the mammalian NF-κB family, RELA, RELB, c-Rel, p50 and p52, which combine to form multiple dimeric complexes and activate target genes by binding to the enhancer element κB ⁷⁴. As mentioned above, these proteins are sequestered in the cytoplasm by IκB proteins until the IκB proteins are phosphorylated and degraded by the proteasome allowing the NF-κB proteins to translocate to the nucleus to effect gene expression ⁷⁵, ⁷⁶. MiRNA-21
overexpression was associated with a ~40% decrease in NF-κB activity in HEK-293 cells

ERK is one arm of the MAPK signaling pathway, triggered in response to TLR signaling. The relationship between ERK activation and IL-10 production is not a simple one. Higher levels of ERK activation have been linked to higher IL-10 production.

**Cytokines**

*Tumor Necrosis Factor –α*

TNF–α is an important pro-inflammatory cytokine, the first one to be discovered as such with a plethora of effects when injected into animals including humans including fever, hypotension, metabolic acidosis and capillary leak. TNF-α plays a regulatory role in promoting local and systemic bacterial clearance.

*IL-6*

Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is released from macrophages in response to pathogens. It acts both locally to activate lymphocytes and increase antibody production, as well as systemically to induce fever, the production of acute phase proteins from the liver and neutrophil mobilization and migration to the focus of infection. IL-6 correlates with mortality and IL-6 levels 6 hours from injury in a CLP model.
predicts mortality and has been shown to be the best biomarker of mortality in clinical sepsis. IL-6 is induced by NFκB and augmented by PDCD4.

IL-10

Interleukin-10 (IL-10), previously named cytokine synthesis inhibitory factor (CSIF), IL-10 suppresses both macrophage and dendritic cell function, including the ability to present antigens and the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-12). IL-10, as an important part of an anti-inflammatory response, limits the immune and inflammatory responses to pathogens, which might otherwise result in collateral damage to the host organism. IL-10 is constitutively transcribed in many cells, though post-transcription regulations make the protein levels tightly regulated. Loh et al. show that PDCD4 inhibits translation by blocking the binding of translation initiation factor eIF4Gc to eIF4A in an inactive conformation. This is thought to be part of the mechanism by which IL-10 is suppressed by PDCD4, as PDCD4 inhibits the cap-dependent translation of mRNAs with complex 5' untranslated regions (UTRs), which include IL-10.

E. Peritonitis Models

The clinical infection of peritonitis that we see in our human surgical patients has been modeled using various several different methods. Each experimental model
has its value, depending on the hypothesis of the experiment. The use of animal models, which while not exactly the same physiologically as human, allows in vivo investigation into the mammalian physiology of normal or pathologic processes, and well as to investigate mechanisms of disease. These models may also serve to test the ability of an intervention to interrupt normal or pathologic processes and aid in the preclinical testing of potential therapeutic agents.

The most common models of experimental peritonitis are cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) and endotoxin (LPS). These are frequently performed in small animals due to cost considerations and the numbers required for experimentation. The defined characteristics of different strains and availability of genetic variants such as gene knockouts also make mice a useful model for peritonitis experiments.

Lipopolysaccharide

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or endotoxin is a common model of inflammation, especially in the mouse and has been a laboratory workhorse for decades. LPS is considered to be an important inducer of the septic response and intraperitoneal LPS is more likely to induce a hyperdynamic cardiovascular response than intravenous (IV) administration and is thus a better model for the human condition. Induction of LPS peritonitis is simple, requiring only an intra-peritoneal injection of the desired concentration of LPS in a standard carrier
volume, and can be performed readily with minimal practice. While this model gives a bolus of bacterial protein, and it has less clinical relevance because patients have a septic focus that slowly seeds bacteria into the body.  

*Cecal Ligation and Puncture*

Cecal Ligation and puncture (CLP) is a well-established murine model of peritonitis that more closely models human peritonitis, as it combines the entry of enteric bacteria into the peritoneum, together with a foreign body reaction and an ischemic focus. A small incision is made in the abdomen, and the cecum externalized. The distal segment is ligated, ~1cm from the cecal pole and a puncture made in the ligated segment. The cecum in the mouse is a blind-ended tube, so no obstruction is caused by ligation of the bowel, when properly performed. The bowel is then returned to the abdomen and the peritoneum and skin closed in layers. The severity of the model can be varied with the length of bowel ligated and the size and number of punctures in the cecum. Cecal Ligation and puncture, in contrast to the LPS model, is a more time consuming cause of peritonitis, requiring superior surgical skills, and a more precise technique in order to consistently perform the same operation multiple times within the inherent variability in mouse anatomy. These animals develop an initial hyperdynamic cardiovascular response, similar to that seen in patients with increased cardiac output and decreased systemic vascular resistance. This develops into systemic bacteraemic infection and sepsis, which progressively
undergoes cardiovascular collapse due to septic shock and death days after the initial insult, similar to the condition seen in peritonitis patients who die from multiple organ failure days after the onset of infection.

We propose to use both established models of peritonitis to examine the effect of miRNA-21 on both mechanistic and clinically relevant patterns of intra-abdominal infection. Existing data show that miRNA-21 acts on the TLR-4 pathway in response to LPS. If we are able to modify the balance of pro- and anti-inflammatory immune response through manipulating the cytokines released in response to infectious stimuli, we may be able to affect survival and initiate the beginning of a new potential therapy for patients with peritonitis.
CHAPTER III

HYPOTHESIS, SPECIFIC AIMS AND EXPERIMENTAL PLAN

A. Key Objective

To investigate the role of miRNA-21 in the macrophage response to peritonitis, and identify whether the associated regulatory mechanisms have potential benefits in the clinical treatment of peritonitis.

B. Hypothesis

We hypothesize that the outcome of peritonitis can be modulated by miRNA-21 through down regulation of NF-κB signaling that will affect macrophage cytokine release, to diminish local inflammation.
C. Specific Aims

1: To establish a time course of miRNA-21 expression in peritoneal macrophages following an infectious insult, either lipopolysaccharide or cecal Ligation and Puncture.

2: To examine the mechanisms by which miRNA-21 regulates the inflammatory response.

3: To investigate the effects of miRNA-21 on macrophage cytokine production

4: To ascertain the effect of miRNA-21 knockout on survival in in vivo models of peritonitis - lipopolysaccharide or cecal ligation and puncture.

D. Experimental Plan

The overall goal of this project is to investigate the role of miRNA-21 in the macrophage response to peritonitis. We will establish the pattern of miRNA-21 expression and cytokine secretion from primary peritoneal macrophages. We will examine how modulation of miRNA-21 expression changes cytokine secretion. If we see a difference, we will investigate which targets of miRNA-21 that act on the TLR-4 signaling pathway are different between bone marrow derived macrophage cell lines from miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− mice. Finally we will investigate the clinical potential for the use of miRNA-21 as a novel agent in peritonitis by comparing the survival of miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− mice in LPS and
cecal ligation and puncture models of peritonitis. An outline is shown in Figure 2.

E. Methods

i. Mice

Approvals for the study protocols were obtained from the Robley Rex Veteran Affairs Medical Center and University of Louisville Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (VA: che37, UofL: 11064).

Wild-type Mice for Peritoneal Macrophage Experiments

Male 6 week old C57BL/6 mice obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) were housed with food and water ad libitum according to IACUC guidelines.

MiRNA-21 Knockout Mice and Wild Type Controls

The gene targeting of miRNA-21 in mice was performed by inGenious Targeting Laboratory (iTL), Inc (Ronkonkoma, NY) as previously described. Briefly a targeting vector was constructed and transfected into hybrid embryonic stem cells by electroporation. Positive recombinant clones were identified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Targeted iTL BA1 hybrid embryonic stem cells were microinjected into C57BL/6 blastocysts. Resulting chimeras were mated to wild-type C57BL/6 mice to generate F1 heterozygous offspring with germline transmission. Mice were bred within our breeding facility. Genotype was confirmed by PCR prior to experimentation.
The study begins with the peritoneal macrophage response, and then utilizes both miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) bone marrow macrophage cell lines to delineate the impact of miRNA-21 on the cellular response to lipopolysaccharide. In vivo models of peritonitis are then used to assess the effect of miRNA-21 in a whole body situation.
**Bone Marrow Macrophage Cell Lines**

Immortalized macrophage cell lines (BMM) were established by infecting the bone marrow of miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) mice with the murine recombinant J2 retrovirus containing the v-myc and v-ref oncogenes as previously described \(^{33, 93, 94}\). Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with 5% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% L-glutamine, 1% HEPES and 0.1% gentamicin (all Sigma Aldrich) at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO\(_2\).

**ii. Harvest of Peritoneal Cells**

Naïve mice were anaesthetized with 2-3% isoflurane (Butler Schein, Dublin, OH) and the abdomen lavaged with 3mL of heparinized phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with no calcium or magnesium (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Lavage fluid was centrifuged to pellet peritoneal exudate cells (PECs) for macrophage isolation.

**iii. Macrophage Isolation**

*Naïve Mice – CD11b*

Magnetic bead isolation products were purchased from Miltenyi Biotec (San Diego, CA). PECs from each mouse were resuspended at 10\(^7\) cells in 80\(\mu\)L autoMACS\(^{TM}\) running buffer, and incubated at room temperature for 15min with CD11b beads. After washing, the cells were resuspended in 500\(\mu\)L Running Buffer and passed through MS columns in a
MiniMACS™ Separator magnet. After isolation the columns were washed three times and eluted with 1mL running buffer and the isolated macrophages counted. This macrophage enriched population was manually quantified by hemocytometer with trypan blue exclusion method to determine total macrophage numbers and cell viability.

CD11b beads were used to isolate the peritoneal macrophages from naive mice, as CD11b forms part of the C3 complement receptor with CD18. In mice it is strongly expressed on monocytes, macrophages and microglia. There are lower levels of expression on granulocytes including neutrophils, NK cells, CD5⁺ B cells and subsets of dendritic cells. F4/80 is present on all mouse macrophages; however there is not a F4/80 bead available. Preliminary experiments confirmed that >82% of CD11b⁺ cells isolated from the peritoneum of naïve wild type mice were F4/80⁺ (Figure 3).

*After Cecal Ligation and Puncture – F4/80*

PECs were resuspended at 10⁷ cells in 80μL PBS and incubated at 4°C for 25min with F4/80 R-Phycoerythrin (PE) antibodies (eBiosciences). After washing, the cells were resuspended in autoMACS™ running buffer and incubated with anti-PE beads (Miltenyi Biotec) for a further 25 min at 4°C. After a further wash, the cells were resuspended in 500μL running buffer and passed through MS columns (Miltenyi Biotec) in a MiniMACS™
Figure 3: CD11b isolation of Peritoneal Macrophages

A) The cell population from the peritoneum of naïve wild type mice was gated according to size (forward scatter – FSC) and granularity (side scatter – SSC). B) Cells were stained to ascertain distinct populations (CD3+ – T cells, CD19+ - B cells, CD 11b+ – myeloid cells, F4/80+ - macrophages). C) CD3-CD19- cells were further gated to calculate the percentage of F4/80+ from all CD11b+ cells.
Separator (Miltenyi Biotec) magnet. After isolation the columns were washed three times and eluted with 1mL running buffer and the macrophages counted. This macrophage enriched population was manually quantified by hemocytometer with trypan blue exclusion method to determine total macrophage numbers and cell viability.

Following CLP there is an influx of neutrophils and circulating monocytes/macrophages into the peritoneum. These neutrophils are CD11b⁺; therefore to prevent a significant population of neutrophils within our macrophage samples, we performed the two-step isolation procedure. The purity of the isolated macrophages was >80% as determined by flow cytometry.

iv. Lipopolysaccharide Stimulation

For most experiments the macrophages were suspended at 0.5x10⁶/mL in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic all from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and plated in a 24 well plate at 0.5x10⁶/1mL per well. Cells were rested overnight at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ prior to stimulation. Samples were stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) 100ng/mL (E.Coli 011:B4; Sigma Aldrich). After stimulation cells were incubated for the indicated time periods. The culture media was removed and stored at -80°C for cytokine quantification. Macrophages were allocated to either RNA
isolation or lysed in 100μL of RIPA buffer (Sigma Aldrich) for protein quantification and western blot.

The macrophages were rested overnight following isolation and prior to stimulation. We have previously observed activation of monocytes/macrophages by centrifugation, changing cytokine profile. In order to see the response to a single LPS challenge, and avoid any tolerance effects we allowed the cells to rest prior to LPS stimulation.

v. RNA Isolation

Total RNA was isolated from the macrophages using mirVana miRNA isolation kits (Ambion, Life technologies) following manufacturer’s protocol. RNA concentrations were determined and RNA purity was assessed using the NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, Hudson, NH). All sample fulfilled the quality criteria (A260/280 ratio between 1.8 and 2.1).

vi. MiRNA and MessengerRNA Expression

MiRNA-21 expression was measured using Taqman® single miRNA assays and Taqman MiRNA Reverse Transcription Kit for miRNA (Applied Biosystems®, Life Technologies). U6 was used as a house keeping gene miRNA for normalization.

For mRNA expression RNA was transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit for mRNA
(Applied Biosystems®). Taqman single gene assays for PDCD4, Peli1, SPRY1, SPRY2, and SPRY4. 18s was used as an internal control.

The StepOne Plus (Applied Biosystems®) was used to run the real time Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) using Fast Advanced Master Mix and the fast protocol (all products Life Technologies). StepOne Plus software v2.1 (Applied Biosystems®) generated Cycle Threshold (CT) values which were used to calculate fold changes using the ΔΔCT-method.

vii. Cytokine Assays

TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-10 levels were measured in lavage media and culture media supernatants using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). Murine Ready-Set-Go kits were purchased for all ELISAs from e-Biosciences (San Diego, CA, USA) and then performed in 96-well plates according to the manufacturer's instructions. All samples were analyzed in duplicate. Where necessary, samples were diluted in assay diluent to achieve cytokine concentrations within the range of the standard curve.

viii. Protein isolation

Protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo Scientific) were added to proteins in RIPA buffer and sonicated using a Sonifier 250 (Branson Ultrasonics Danbury, CT). Protein quantification was determined by BCA Assay (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) against a standard curve on Dynatech MR4000 using BioLinx 2.0 software.
ix. Western Blot

All products for Western Blots were purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA) unless otherwise specified and run according to manufacturer's protocols. 40μg of protein per sample was loaded into wells of a Bolt 4-12% Bis-Tris Plus mini gel with 4x Bolt™ LDS Sample Buffer with 1:100 2-mercaptoethanol, and run in Bolt MES SDS Running Buffer in a Bolt Mini Gel Tank on a Bio-Rad Power Pac 1000 (Hercules, CA) at 165mV for 35-60 min with SeeBlue® Plus2 Prestained Standard. Proteins were transferred onto Nitrocellulose membrane using iBlot® Gel Transfer stacks and an iBlot™ transfer device.

Non-specific binding was blocked with 7% non-fat milk in TBS-T (9.68g Tris base, 32g sodium chloride in 100mL ddH₂O (pH adjusted to 7.6 with concentrated hydrochloric acid), with 100 μL Tween 20) for 1 hour at room temperature. The membranes were incubated with rabbit anti-mouse primary antibody overnight at 4°C.

All antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA) unless otherwise specified. All antibodies were suspended in 5% milk non-fat milk made with TBS-T for 1-2 hours at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Antibody concentrations were as follows: Peli1 1:250 (Thermo Scientific), PDCD4 1:500, SPRY1 1:200 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, Dallas, TX), SPRY2 1:1000, and SPRY4 1:500 (Thermo Scientific).

Following incubation with each antibody, membranes were washed three times with TBS-T for 10 minutes. Subsequently the membranes were
incubated with rabbit anti-mouse primary antibody for housekeeping protein β-actin (1:10,000) or glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH 1:10,000) and then with goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:5,000).

Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Scientific) was applied to the membrane as per the manufacturer's instructions. CL-XPosure™ Film (Thermo Scientific) was used to expose the membrane for 15min. The resultant image was developed, fixed, scanned and quantified using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

x. MiRNA Transfection

To elucidate the anti-inflammatory effect of miRNA-21 on the macrophage expression of cytokines, we transfected primary peritoneal macrophages with mimics and antagomirs. MiRNA mimics are double-stranded RNAs that are processed by Dicer and are incorporated into the RISC, acting in the same way as endogenous miRNAs. The miRNA inhibitors in contrast are single-stranded anti-sense RNAs that bind to the target miRNA which leads to its degradation. The negative control has a hairpin structure like the endogenous pre-miRNA, and is also processed by Dicer, but the sequence is not complementary to any known gene, and therefore has no active function with the cell.

For this experiment, the primary peritoneal macrophages from naïve wild type C57BL/6 mice were suspended at 0.42x10^6 in RPMI-1640 medium
with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic. Cells were immediately transfected. MirVana® mimic, inhibitor and negative control to mmu-miR-21-5p (Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA) were assembled with N-TER peptides (Sigma Aldrich) as per manufacturer’s protocol.

0.25 x10^6 macrophages were incubated in a concentration of 120nM of mimic, antagonir, or negative control for 24 hours in at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in cell suspension. After 24 hours, the samples were diluted with a further 600μL of media and stimulated with LPS 100ng/mL. Six or 24 hours after LPS stimulation, the culture media was collected for cytokine measurement and the macrophages processed for RNA isolation and subsequent analysis of miRNA expression. An N of 1 was used for macrophages from a single mouse used for mimic, antagonir, and negative control.

**xi. Phosflow Pathway Analysis**

Immortalized macrophages from miRNA-21^{+/+} and miRNA-21^{-/-} mice were resuspended at 0.5x10^6/mL RPMI-1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, 0.5% L-glutamine and 0.5% antibiotic/antimycotic. Cells in suspension were rested overnight at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ prior to stimulation. After stimulation with LPS (100ng/mL), cells were incubated for the indicated time periods. For baseline pathway
activation determination, similarly cultured unstimulated miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) macrophages were used. Following the indicated time, the cells were fixed immediately with formaldehyde (3.2% pre-warmed), to maintain the phosphorylation status of the pathways. After washing, cells were permeablized with chilled 100% methanol. Cell samples were split for analysis of NF-\(\kappa\)B or ERK activation. Intracellular staining occurred in the dark for 1 hour with phospho-NF-\(\kappa\)B p65 or phospho-ERK AlexaFluor® 488 antibodies (Cell signal, Danvers, MA). After staining, cells were washed and resuspended in 300\(\mu\)L FBS-stain buffer (2% FBS in PBS, both Sigma Aldrich).

A FACS Calibur (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA) was used to acquire the samples. We counted 10,000 events for each sample. CellQuest Pro (BD Bioscience) was used for analysis.

xii. Peritonitis Models

Lipopolysaccharide Peritonitis

Male C57BL/6 miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) mice aged 12-18 weeks were weighed, single housed and fasted for 3 hours prior to intra-peritoneal injection of 25mg/kg of E. coli LPS (E.Coli 055:B5; Sigma Aldrich). Surface temperature, daily weight and survival were recorded for up to 5 days. Mice were given food and water ad libitum. Preliminary experiments found the optimal dose for a 50% survival in the wild type mice was 25mg/kg with 3 hours of fasting prior to induction of peritonitis (Figure 4).
Multiple survival experiments were performed in wild type mice to find the optimal dose for a survival of 40-60%. 25mg/kg with 3 hour fast was selected for final experiments in miRNA-21^{+/+} and miRNA-21^{-/-} mice.
Cecal Ligation and Puncture Peritonitis

Male C57BL/6 miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− mice aged 12-18 weeks were weighed prior to undergoing cecal ligation and puncture. Briefly, under isoflurane anesthesia, the abdomen was incised and, the cecum exteriorized and ligated 1cm from the tip. A through and through puncture was made with a 20G needle, and the cecum returned to the abdomen. The peritoneal layer was closed with 3-0 Ticron and 50μL of 2% lignocaine applied to the suture line. Skin was closed with 3-0 silk. Post-operatively mice were given 600μL subcutaneous 0.9% saline and single housed. Surface temperature, daily weight and survival were recorded for up to 5 days. Mice were given food and water ad libitum.

xiii. Statistical Analysis

Data are shown as the mean ± standard error. Sigma Plot 13.0 (Systat Software Inc, San Jose, CA) was used to perform Student’s t-test, ANOVA and Linear Regression to determine statistical significance. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.
CHAPTER IV

MIRNA-21 EXPRESSION IN PERITONEAL MACROPHAGES

Introduction

Recent studies have shown a role for miRNA-21 in the regulation of the TLR-4 pattern recognition receptor, which is present on macrophages amongst other cells. TLR-4 activation leads to the initiation of the innate immune response and release of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines. The expression of miRNA-21 has been shown to increase in RAW264.7 cells following LPS stimulation. The pattern of expression in primary peritoneal macrophages has yet to be ascertained. We propose that miRNA-21 expression in primary peritoneal macrophages increases after LPS stimulation.

Results

MiRNA-21 Expression

MiRNA-21 expression increased in a linear fashion following LPS stimulation of primary peritoneal macrophages to maximum fold change of 12 in the observation period ($R^2 = 0.82$, Figure 5).
Figure 5: Peritoneal Macrophage MiRNA-21 Expression after Lipopolysaccharide

A) Peritoneal macrophage miRNA-21 expression after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=8. B) Linear Regression $R^2 = 0.82$, $P = <0.001$. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Secreted Cytokine Profile

The pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-6, were increased from 15min after LPS stimulation (Figure 6). The levels of TNF-α peaked at 6 hours, were somewhat decreased at 24 hours, but after that remained elevated. IL-6 peaked at 12 hours and then plateaued. The anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 was also increased from 15min after LPS stimulation, rising to a peak at 12 hours, and then decreasing to 60% of maximum at the end of the observed period (Figure 7).

MiRNA-21 target mRNAs

The mRNA levels of the miRNA-21 target PDCD4 decreased sharply for the first 3 hours following LPS stimulation (Figure 8). This was followed by an increase until 36 hours, and a subsequent decline, but always remained below baseline levels.

Peli1 mRNA levels increased following LPS stimulation to a peak at 3 hours (Figure 9). Levels returned to baseline at 24 hours and remained there for the remainder of the observation period.

SPRY1 and 4 followed a pattern similar to Peli1, with an initial peak at 1 hour, then returning to baseline around 24 and 6 hours respectively (Figure 10). SPRY1 remained at baseline, while SPRY4 became down-regulated from 24 hours. SPRY2 has an initial increase and return to baseline within the first 3 hours, followed by a slower, greater increase in mRNA levels over 36 – 96 hours which then declined.
Figure 6: Peritoneal Macrophage Pro-inflammatory cytokines after Lipopolysaccharide

A.

TNF-α (pg/mL) vs. Time from LPS (Hours)

B.

IL-6 (pg/mL) vs. Time from LPS (Hours)

TNF-α and IL-6 concentrations from media of peritoneal macrophages after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. A) TNF-α and B) IL-6. N=8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Figure 7: Peritoneal Macrophage Anti-inflammatory cytokine after Lipopolysaccharide

IL-10 concentrations from media of peritoneal macrophages after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Figure 8: Peritoneal Macrophage PDCD4 mRNA expression after Lipopolysaccharide

Peritoneal macrophage PDCD4 mRNA fold change after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Figure 9: Peritoneal Macrophage Peli1 mRNA expression after Lipopolysaccharide

Peritoneal macrophage Peli1 mRNA fold change after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation peaked at 4 hours. N=8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Figure 10: Peritoneal Macrophage SPRY mRNA expression after Lipopolysaccharide

Peritoneal macrophage mRNA fold change after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. A) SPRY 1 B) SPRY 2 C) SPRY 4. N=8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Discussion

We found that there is a linear increase in the expression of miRNA-21 following LPS stimulation in primary peritoneal macrophages. This observation is consistent with previous data in a RAW264.7 mouse macrophage immortalized cell line \(^{51}\).

The observed rise in TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-10 following stimulation of our macrophages-enriched population occurs, concurrently. More recent perceptions of the interactions between pro- and anti-inflammatory responses to LPS stimulation have noted that these responses occur simultaneously, though the downstream effects maybe more delayed in the classical anti-inflammatory response \(^{25}\). The initial decrease in the mRNA levels of PDCD4 and the steep increase seen in Peli1, SPRY1 and 4 are due to the initial stimulation with LPS. MiRNA-21 is induced steadily over time, and needs to be incorporated into the RISC before these miRNAs can act to reduce mRNA levels or affect protein translation. A delay in miRNA action is therefore expected. Together these data do not give an obvious picture of miRNA-21 effects on target mRNA and subsequent cytokine production. Confirmation of the regulatory effects of miRNA-21 on TLR-4 signaling, requires modulation of miRNA-21 expression. The macrophages were rested overnight following isolation and resuspension at the required concentration. We have seen previously that centrifugation is able to activate macrophages, changing cytokine profiles, therefore in order to see the response to a single LPS challenge, and avoid any tolerance effects, we allowed the cells to return to a ‘normal’ state prior to LPS stimulation.
Our observation period ended at 120 hours, as attempts at longer periods of observation resulted in unviable primary peritoneal cells. The miRNA-21 expression was still increasing at that time point, and it would be advantageous to understand for how long the miRNA-21 levels increase following LPS stimulation, to ascertain the duration of miRNA-21 effect.
CHAPTER V

MIRNA-21 HAS ANTI-INFLAMMATORY EFFECTS IN PERITONEAL MACROPHAGES

Introduction

We have seen that miRNA-21 expression is increased in peritoneal macrophages after LPS stimulation \textit{in vitro}. The purpose of the next series of experiments was to confirm the proposed anti-inflammatory role of miRNA-21 on the production of TNF-α and IL-10. Based on our search of available literature, we propose that miRNA-21 decreases TNF-α and increases IL-10 production by a dual mechanism. Two proven targets of miRNA-21, PDCD4 and Peli1 are positive regulators of the TLR-4 signaling pathway \textsuperscript{36, 51, 61}. Inhibition of PDCD4 and Peli1 by miRNA-21 decreases the signaling of the TLR-4 pathway and results in a decreased expression of TNF-α and its translation into protein, which is then secreted. In contrast, the SPRY proteins are negative regulators of the TLR-4 signaling pathway. Inhibition of SPRY expression leads to an increase in ERK activation which leads to an increase in IL-10 expression and production. Successful modulation of TNF-α and IL-10 secretion by manipulation of miRNA-21 expression may have potential therapeutic applications. For this purpose we modulated the expression of miRNA-21 in primary peritoneal macrophages with mimics and antagomirs.
Results

Over-expression and Suppression of miRNA-21

Transfection of both mimics and antagomirs into primary peritoneal macrophages was successful (Figure 11). The mimics achieved on average 1000- and 56-fold increase in miRNA-21 levels at 6 and 24 hours respectively (P<0.001 and 0.029). The antagomirs suppressed miRNA by 8-fold at 6 hours (p<0.001) after LPS and 37-fold after 24 hours though this was not statistically significant. Mimic and antagomir miRNA-21 levels were compared to the negative control at that time point.

Effect of miRNA-21 on TNF-α at 6 and 24 hours

Manipulation of miRNA-21 expression led to changes in TNF-α concentration at both 6 and 24 hours after LPS stimulation (Figure 12). Over-expression of miRNA-21 resulted in a significant decrease in TNF-α at 6 hours (p=0.037) but not 24 hours. Suppression of miRNA-21 significantly increased the TNF-α produced at both 6 hours (p=0.037) and 24 hours (p=0.012).

Effect of miRNA-21 on IL-10 at 6 and 24 hours

Modulation of miRNA-21 levels did not affect the levels of IL-10 at either time point after LPS stimulation (Figure 13). At 24 hours there was a trend towards increased IL-10 in the mimic-transfected macrophages; however the effects were not consistent between mice.
Transfection with MiRNA-21 Mimic led to significant over expression of miRNA-21 at both 6 and 24 hours after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. The use of antagomirs led suppressed miRNA-21 expression at both points, though only statistically at 6 hours. N=9. Data are shown Mean ± SEM. *indicates p < 0.05 vs. Negative Control.
Modulation of miRNA-21 expression showed the expected results. Suppression of miRNA-21 significantly increased TNF-\(\alpha\). Over expression significantly reduced TNF-\(\alpha\) at 6 hours after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation but not after 24 hours. N=9. Data are shown Mean ± SEM. *indicates \(p < 0.05\) vs. Negative Control.
Modulation of miRNA-21 expression was not successful in modulating IL-10 secretion in primary peritoneal macrophages at either time point after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=9. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Discussion

MiRNA-21 has anti-inflammatory effects on the primary peritoneal macrophage response to LPS. MiRNA-21 decreases the activation of the TLR-4 pathway by inhibiting PDCD4 and Peli1. These in turn decrease the activation of NF-κB and the transcription of TNF-α, its translation into protein and subsequent release from the macrophage in response to LPS. We proposed that miRNA-21 acts to ameliorate the pro-inflammatory response by reducing levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, though regulation of the TLR-4 MyD88 signaling cascade, likely through the actions of proved targets PDCD4 and Pelino1. MiRNA-21 also targets the Sprouty proteins which inhibit signaling in the ERK arm of the MAPK signaling cascade, suggesting an increase in miRNA-21 levels would also increase IL-10 production.

We have seen in previous experiments that miRNA-21 is induced in primary peritoneal macrophages by stimulation with LPS (Figure 5). At 6 hours we have a 1000 fold increase in miRNA-21 and only a 64 fold increase at 24 hours. This is due to the normalization of miRNA-21 in the transfection samples. MiRNA-21 levels in the negative control are physiologically lower at 6 hours compared to those seen at 24 hours, and therefore provide a lower baseline for comparison. Modulation of miRNA-21 expression is sufficient to significantly change TNF-α expression in all cases except in the mimic-transfected cells at 24 hours. This may be due to competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs). Recent literature has suggested a new component to miRNA regulation, the miRNA sponge or ceRNAs. These miRNA sponges contain multiple binding sites for
the miRNA of interest, and can act as effectively as artificial antisense oligonucleotides \(^{100}\). Therefore the artificial up-regulation of miRNA-21 in peritoneal macrophages by mimics could be counteracted by a known or unknown mRNA target acting as a ceRNA. Each miRNA has hundreds of potential targets based on gene sequencing, with only a few experimentally verified. A miRNA sponge for miRNA-21 has not yet been identified, however PTEN, a target of miRNA-21 in tumor cells has been implicated in other networks \(^{101}\).

In these experiments, miRNA-21 expression in peritoneal macrophages peaks at a 10 fold up-regulation at 120 hours after LPS stimulation. Contrastingly, we see a thousand fold up-regulation in the mimic-transfected macrophages, but this is still insufficient to elicit a significant change in the IL-10 production. There is no difference in IL-10 concentrations at either time point, though there is a trend towards higher levels in the mimic-transfected macrophages at 24 hours. We proposed that the effects of miRNA-21 on IL-10 production are regulated through the ERK signaling cascade. MiRNA-21 inhibits the Sprouty proteins, which in turn inhibit the ERK cascade. There are 4 Sprouty isoforms, all of which are theoretical targets of miRNA-21. Higher levels of miRNA-21 may be required to sufficiently inhibit the protein levels, in order to see a change in IL-10 production, even at 24 hours. We have shown that successful transfection of peritoneal macrophages results in changes in TNF-α concentrations, which are directly linked to survival in sepsis.
CHAPTER VI

MIRNA-21 HAS ANTI-INFLAMMATORY EFFECTS IN BONE MARROW MACROPHAGES

Introduction

In previous experiments we have seen that successful inhibition of miRNA-21 with antagomir-transfected primary peritoneal macrophages resulted in lower levels of TNF-α production. The purpose of the next experiment was to confirm these results in a macrophage cell line utilizing miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− bone marrow derived macrophage cell lines. Inhibition of endogenous miRNAs with transfection is often incomplete, as transfection efficiency can vary from cell to cell within a treated sample. However, the use of an immortalized cell line from transgenic mice will likely yield novel data as to the importance of the gene in regulation of the TLR-4 signaling pathway.

We propose that using the miRNA-21−/− bone marrow derived macrophage cell line will add important additional data regarding miRNA-21 regulation of the pattern of cytokine production following LPS stimulation.
Results

Effect of miRNA-21 knockdown on TNF-α

MiRNA-21 knockdown was confirmed by PCR. Knockdown of miRNA-21 expression led to changes in TNF-α concentration from 6 hours after LPS stimulation (Figure 14). TNF-α concentration was significantly higher at 6 and 24 hours (p<0.05), and trending towards significance at 48 hours (p=0.059) in the miRNA-21⁻/⁻ macrophages.

Effect of miRNA-21 knockdown on IL-6

IL-6 concentration also changed after LPS stimulation in miRNA-21⁻/⁻ macrophages (Figure 15). IL-6 was significantly higher at 24 and 48 hours (p<0.05) in the miRNA-21⁻/⁻ macrophages.

Effect of miRNA-21 knockdown on IL-10

Knockdown of miRNA-21 expression led to changes in IL-10 concentration at early time points after LPS stimulation (Figure 16). The concentration of IL-10 in the cell culture media was significantly lower from 1 hour after LPS in the miRNA-21⁻/⁻ macrophages and did not reach miRNA-21⁺/+ levels until 24 hours (p<0.05).

Effect of miRNA-21 on target proteins

PDCD4 protein abundance was similar in both miRNA-21⁺/+ and miRNA-21⁻/⁻ macrophages both at baseline before LPS stimulation and 24 hours afterwards (Figure 17). No difference was detected in Peli1 protein abundance at 24 hours after LPS stimulation (Figure 18). SPRY 1 and 2 protein levels were not different
Knockdown of miRNA-21 led to significant increase in TNF-α levels following lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM. *indicates p < 0.05
Knockdown of miRNA-21 led to significant increase in IL-6 levels following lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM. *indicates p < 0.05.
Knockdown of miRNA-21 led to significant decrease in IL-10 levels at early time points following lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM. *indicates $p < 0.05$. 

Figure 16: IL-10 in Bone Marrow derived Macrophages
Figure 17: PDCD4 in Bone Marrow derived Macrophages

Knockdown of miRNA-21 did not lead to a significant change in PDCD4 protein at A) Baseline or B) 24 hours following lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=3

Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Knockdown of miRNA-21 did not lead to a significant change in Peli1 protein at 24 hours following lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=4. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
between miRNA-21\textsuperscript{+/+} and miRNA-21\textsuperscript{-/-} macrophages 24 hours after LPS stimulation (Figure 19). SPRY 4 protein was not detectable in either cell line after 24 hours with LPS.

**Discussion**

Knockdown of miRNA-21 in the bone marrow derived macrophage cell line confirmed the anti-inflammatory effects of miRNA-21 seen on TNF-\(\alpha\) concentrations in the antagonim-transfected primary peritoneal macrophages. Concentrations of another pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6, were also significantly higher after LPS stimulation in miRNA-21\textsuperscript{-/-} compared to miRNA-21\textsuperscript{+/+} macrophages, though at later time points. From our data in primary peritoneal cells, we observed that Peli1 mRNA expression is induced by LPS stimulation, at a faster rate than miRNA-21. The time taken for miRNA-21 to be transcribed, processed, incorporated into the RISC and to act on Peli1 mRNA may be the delay observed between LPS stimulation and the change in TNF-\(\alpha\) production seen between miRNA-21\textsuperscript{+/+} and miRNA-21\textsuperscript{-/-} macrophages.

In the transfected primary peritoneal macrophages we were surprised not to see any changes in IL-10 concentration with either the mimics or antagonimirs. The miRNA-21\textsuperscript{-/-} macrophages had significantly lower levels of IL-10 at early time points after LPS stimulation. MiRNAs act by inhibiting protein translation; therefore if a miRNA is constitutively turned off, as in knockout cells, we propose that there will be higher baseline levels of that protein expressed within the cell.
Knockdown of miRNA-21 did not lead to a detectable change in A) SPRY 1 or B) SPRY 2 levels at 24 hours following lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. N=4
Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Sprouty proteins inhibit the ERK signaling cascade, which in turn leads to a reduced IL-10 expression; this correlates with the lower levels of IL-10 seen in the miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) macrophages, where we would expect to see higher levels of Sprouty.

The observed changes in cytokine production between miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) cells leads to an expectation that we will detect associated differences in the miRNA-21 target proteins, with higher levels present in the miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) cell lines. There was a trend towards higher levels of PDCD4 at baseline (no LPS) in the miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) cell line (Figure 17A). However, at 24 hours no difference was detectable.

PDCD4 protein has been shown to be reduced following LPS stimulation at 24 hours in RAW264.7 cells, mouse bone marrow derived macrophages and human peripheral blood monocytes, with the same dose as used in these experiments\(^ {51} \). Knockdown of PDCD4 protein levels was shown with pro-miRNA-21 in RAW264.7 cells with and without LPS stimulation. This is contrary to what we saw in our results. This may be due to differences in cell culture concentrations, as we increased our cell concentration in order to increase the protein yield for western blot samples. The difference in protein levels may be more subtle than we are able to detect.

We did not discern any significant difference between the levels of Peli1, SPRY 1, 2 or 4 at 24 hours after LPS stimulation. Peli1\(^{-/-}\) mice have been used to ascertain the impact of Peli1 on LPS signaling through TLR-4, however the link
between miRNA-21 and Peli1 has only been studied in liver regeneration, and there was no causal time delay between miRNA-21 up-regulation and the changes in Peli1 mRNA. There are no data with which to compare our Peli1 protein findings in macrophages after LPS.

SPRY proteins have not previously been directly linked to TLR-4 signaling, though they have been shown to inhibit p38 in the MAPK pathway of interferon receptor signaling in mouse embryonic fibroblasts. The experimental verification of SPRY proteins as targets of miRNA-21 have occurred in other non-macrophage cell types. SPRY proteins are induced by ERK activation. The similarities between the abundance in both miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− macrophage cell lines may be because the SPRY proteins do not have an important role to play in TLR-4 signaling. Further experiments using either silencing RNA (siRNA) or SPRY protein knockouts will add data to inform whether SPRY proteins modulate TLR-4 signaling.

We have corroborated our previous data that miRNA-21 changes the macrophage cytokine profile in response to LPS stimulation. We believe that the likely candidate as the most important miRNA-21 target protein in regulating TLR-4 signaling from our data is PDCD4.
CHAPTER VII

MIRNA-21 AFFECTS NF-KB AND ERK SIGNALING IN BONE MARROW MACROPHAGES

Introduction

Intracellular signaling pathways allow cells to respond to external stimuli. Pattern recognition receptors on both the cell surface and within the cell identify pathogen associated molecular proteins to activate an appropriate immune response. Two important pathways in macrophages are the NF-κB and MAPK pathways. Activation of these pathways results in the release of cytokines to orchestrate the immune response to the invading pathogen. Cytokines act both locally and systemically. Pro-inflammatory cytokine release leads to the local recruitment of immune cells from the vasculature, and also the development of the systemic acute phase response and fever. These effects combine to enhance host defense against such pathogens and directly combat the infection.

Manipulation of these pathways therefore has the potential to affect the way macrophages respond to pathogens. MiRNA-21 has been shown to inhibit the production of PDCD4 and Peli1 proteins which both affect the TLR-4 signaling cascade. Knockout of Peli1 affects NF-κB activation following LPS
stimulation. The ERK arm of the MAPK pathway in macrophages has been previously linked to IL-10 production. SPRY proteins have been shown to inhibit the ERK signaling pathway. SPRY proteins are targets of miRNA-21.

We propose that knockout of miRNA-21 will lead to increased NF-κB activation which would explain the higher levels of TNF-α seen after LPS stimulation in these cells. We also hypothesize that decreased ERK activation in the miRNA-21−/− macrophages may account for the lower levels of IL-10 seen at the early time points.

Results

Activation of the NF-κB pathway

There was a maximal increase in the levels of NF-κB 10 minutes after LPS stimulation (Figure 20). This elevation continued throughout the observed 2 hour period. There was no difference in activation between miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− bone marrow derived macrophages. Where comparing relative activation, the cells were more similar.

Activation of the ERK pathway

The pattern of ERK phosphorylation after LPS stimulation is unclear (Figure 21). The relative activation shows a higher peak in the miRNA-21+/+ macrophages than miRNA-21−/− cells.
Activation of NF-κB p65 subunit was not different between miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− bone marrow derived macrophage cell lines after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. A) Mean channel fluorescence B) Relative Activation. N=4. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Activation of ERK was not significantly different between miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− bone marrow derived macrophage cell lines after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation. A) Mean channel fluorescence B) Relative Activation. N=4. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Discussion

Changes in TNF-α production have been shown to be associated with NF-κB activation \(^{77, 78}\). However, we were unable to show an association in this experiment between the production of miRNA-21 and the activation of NF-κB despite changes in TNF-α between the cell lines (Figure 14). We did show the speed at which NF-κB is activated following LPS stimulation, and that it continues for at least 2 hours (Figure 20). There are 5 subunits of NF-κB, and we only studied activation of p65, it may be that another subunit is important for miRNA-21 related effects, as the NF-κB p50 subunit is the key regulator in LPS-tolerant transition to a M2 polarized phenotype in macrophages \(^{104}\).

The pattern of ERK activation seen in our bone marrow macrophage cell lines is unclear. The relative activation shows a higher peak in the miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) macrophages which has been noted to correlate with higher IL-10 levels \(^{79, 88}\).

The absence of a difference seen between these cell lines maybe related to the cell type. These are immortalized bone marrow derived macrophages that are grown in cell culture and split before they become confluent. They are not peritoneal macrophages that have been nurtured in an organic environment, and the long-term culture may have affected the signaling pathways.

There is also a question of how closely bone marrow derived macrophages are related to peritoneal macrophages. Although a recent study shows that peritoneal macrophages have increased expression of proteases and acute phase factors, with down regulation of the production of several chemokines compared to blood
monocytes. There are other studies that show that the monocytes present in blood migrate to the tissues including the peritoneum to become resident macrophages \(^{105, 106}\). Further work has been done by Ghosn who identified 2 subgroups of CD11b+F4/80+ macrophages found within the peritoneal cavity \(^{107}\). These have been termed large and small peritoneal macrophages due to size distinctions. Large peritoneal macrophages make up 90% of the peritoneal macrophage population in the naive abdomen and have higher levels of CD11b and F4/80. Both are able to phagocytose bacteria. Two days after LPS stimulation, the small macrophages become the dominate cell type, and cell marker data suggests that they have differentiated from blood monocytes. Despite the absence of evidence seen in this experiment, we can extrapolate that miRNA-21 affects the TLR-4 signaling pathway, though the changes to NF-κB and ERK activation may be too subtle for us to detect with this technique.
CHAPTER VIII

INFLUENCE OF MIRNA-21 ON SURVIVAL IN LIPOPOLYSACCHARIDE PERITONITIS

Introduction

Despite having a tried and tested treatment for peritonitis and the ability to predict those with a higher risk of morbidity and mortality with various scoring systems, we still do not know why some patients are unable to contain and eliminate an infection, resulting in a persistence of bacteria in the peritoneum. Some investigators have theorized that this is due to a failure of the host immune response to clear the infection, and that augmentation or modulation of the immune response may benefit bacterial clearance in these patients. Several immune-modulators have been tested in clinical trials following success in a lab environment, however without success in a clinical setting $^{16, 17}$.

Recent studies have shown that mice with knockdown of PDCD4 and Pelino 1 genes have a greater survival to LPS peritonitis than their wild type counterparts $^{36, 51}$. These proteins affect downstream signaling of TLR-4 through MyD88 and NF-κB. MiRNA-21 has been shown to target both these proteins and is itself induced after LPS administration in macrophages $^{51}$. Based on these data we predicted that miRNA-21 knockout mice would have a decrease survival
to induced peritonitis. MiRNA-21 production is stimulated through TLR-4 signaling, so we used a model of intra-peritoneal LPS to induce peritonitis. LPS is considered to be an important inducer of the septic response and intra-peritoneal LPS is more likely to induce a hyperdynamic cardiovascular response than intravenous (IV) administration and is thus a better model for the human condition\textsuperscript{16}.

Results

Lipopolysaccharide Peritonitis

Surface temperature and weight decreased in all mice following induction of peritonitis with LPS (Figures 22 and 23). Failure to regain temperature and weight was predictive of subsequent death. The miRNA-21\textsuperscript{+/+} mice began to die after 24 hours, the miRNA-21\textsuperscript{-/-} mice after 40 hours (Figure 24). Significantly, more miRNA-21\textsuperscript{-/-} mice died compared with miRNA-21\textsuperscript{+/+} mice (p=0.002).

Discussion

This study was performed in a constant room temperature at 28°C, and all mice had reduced their body temperature following intra-peritoneal administration of LPS. This is typical of the response seen to induced sepsis in mice; however in patients the induction of fever is seen. Recent data suggest that the surroundings
Surface temperature decreased in all mice following intra-peritoneal administration of lipopolysaccharide (LPS). The mice that died during the observation period remained lower than those that survived. MiRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) mice were included in this figure. Survivors N=5, Deaths N=21. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Figure 23: Mouse Weight after Lipopolysaccharide Peritonitis

Weight decreased in all mice following intra-peritoneal administration of lipopolysaccharide (LPS). The mice that died during the observation period did not recover the weight lost. Mice that survived were regaining weight by the termination of the study. A) MiRNA-21^{+/+} N=17, B) miRNA-21^{-/-} N=9.
Figure 24: Survival after Lipopolysaccharide Peritonitis

MiRNA-21 confers a significant survival benefit in response to 25mg/kg lipopolysaccharide (LPS) peritonitis. MiRNA-21^{+/+} N=17, miRNA-21^{-/-} N=9.
in which mice are kept impacts the temperature response\textsuperscript{108, 109}. Below a room temperature of 30°C, mice are already working to maintain body temperature, and are thus unable to generate enough heat to produce the fever response usually associated with sepsis. This leads to the hypothermic response seen and thus subsequent experiments should ideally be performed in a room at 30°C. All mice lost weight following induction of peritonitis. This is indicative of the increased metabolic demand placed on the body. Only animals that survived the observation period gained weight following peritonitis (Figure 23). We have used weight loss as a predictor of mortality in previous models of peritonitis\textsuperscript{110}.

The knockdown of miRNA-21 confers a survival benefit in LPS peritonitis (Figure 24). Mortality due to LPS is associated with cardiac dysfunction related to intravascular inflammation and thrombosis in microvasculature function\textsuperscript{111}. This impairment in microcirculation leads to multiple organ failure, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and death\textsuperscript{112, 113}. Inhibition of platelet adhesion through GPIb-IX binding decreases the mortality of LPS challenged mice\textsuperscript{114}. TNF-α activates the common pathway of coagulation, leading to thrombotic consequences\textsuperscript{115}. The cardiopulmonary dysfunction seen in animal models of sepsis can be attenuated by monoclonal TNF-α antibody\textsuperscript{116}.

TNF-α is an important cytokine mediator of sepsis, essential to the generation of the innate immune response to infection. It is found in the circulation following administration of LPS, and when given directly mimics many of the physiological and laboratory features following intravenous LPS\textsuperscript{117}. However plasma levels of TNF-α following endotoxin are an order of magnitude higher than circulating
levels in sepsis. If one of the downstream effects of miRNA-21 is to decrease circulating TNF-α levels, this correlates with the fact that the higher levels of TNF-α seen following LPS peritonitis are attenuated and therefore survival is improved in miRNA-21+/+ mice compared to miRNA-21−/− mice. In miRNA-21−/− mice there would be no amelioration of the already high TNF-α levels seen after administration of LPS, and therefore these mice have a higher mortality. TNF-α blockade alone is not sufficient to reduce mortality following LPS peritonitis in animals or when administered in clinical trials, and indeed higher doses of TNF-α blockade increases mortality. IL-10 had been shown to reduce mortality in a lethal model of LPS peritonitis. These data suggests that it is not TNF-α alone, but a combination of factors by which miRNA-21 reduced mortality in our experiment.

Our initial experiment focused on the TLR-4 pathway by inducing peritonitis with a specific ligand. However, LPS is a single protein from the outer membrane of a single organism (E.coli), given at a single point in time, which signals through a single pattern recognition receptor and triggers the innate immune response through the TLR-4 pathway. In reality, secondary peritoneal infection is polymicrobial, with entry of multiple enteric bacteria into the peritoneum, resulting in the continuous migration of bacteria into the vascular space, mainly through the subdiaphragmatic lymphatics. This results in multiple PAMPs and DAMPs being recognized by PRRs and the activation of multiple signaling pathways. Cecal Ligation and Puncture is a more representative model of peritonitis that will
better assess if this promising effect of miRNA-21 on mortality in peritonitis would be beneficial in a patient population\textsuperscript{90}. 
CHAPTER IX

INFLUENCE OF MIRNA-21 ON SURVIVAL IN CECAL LIGATION AND PUNCTURE PERITONITIS

Introduction

Our previous experiments have shown that expression of miRNA-21 confers a survival benefit in a lipopolysaccharide model of peritonitis. Previous studies of immune modulators in sepsis that have used LPS peritonitis as an experimental model, that showed a difference in outcome in animal studies, have not translated into differences in clinical studies\(^\text{122}\). This is because LPS induced inflammation is not an accurate mimic of the human disease state. LPS is an outer membrane protein from a gram negative bacterium (often \textit{E. coli}) that signals through a single pattern recognition receptor (TLR-4) and triggers the innate immune response through a single pathway. It is also given as a single bolus, whereas in most human infections bacteria are released and multiply in the bloodstream of the host more slowly over time. For these reasons we felt it was important to assess the influence of miRNA-21 on survival in a more clinically relevant model of peritonitis, CLP. The CLP model combines the entry of enteric bacteria into the peritoneum together with an ischemic focus and is thus closer to peritonitis as seen in patients. If miRNA-21 is to be a potential
immune modulator in a clinical setting, then it will need to show a difference in more clinically relevant animal models of peritonitis such as CLP. We propose that miRNA-21 will show a survival difference between the miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− mice following CLP.

Results

Surface temperature and weight decreased in all mice following induction of peritonitis with CLP (Figure 25). Surface temperature improved in the mice that survived, though never recovered to baseline levels during the observation period. There was no difference in survival following induction of peritonitis with CLP between the miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− mice (Figure 26).

Discussion

MiRNA-21 confers a survival benefit in LPS peritonitis but not after CLP. This is a result that has also been seen with other potential immune modulators. LPS is a ligand for TLR-4, and thus triggers the immune response through this single pathway. As miRNA-21 is induced by and acts on the TLR-4 pathway, it is therefore logical that we see a difference in survival between miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− mice after LPS induced peritonitis.

In contrast CLP is a combination of ischemia, foreign body reaction and polymicrobial infection from the enteric bacteria of the gut. This leads to a live
Surface temperature and weight decreased in all mice following induction of peritonitis with 20G cecal ligation and puncture (CLP). The mice that died during the observation period had lower temperatures than those that survived. MiRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21-/-mice were included in this figure. Survivors N=8, Deaths N=12.
Figure 26: Survival after 20G Cecal Ligation and Puncture

MiRNA-21 does not convey a survival benefit in response to peritonitis by 20G cecal ligation and puncture (CLP). N=10.
bacterial infection with a multitude of exogenous mediators such as microbial DNA, lipoteichoic acid, peptidoglycan and other cell wall components which signal through multiple pathways such as TLR-2, TLR-6, TLR-9, NOD-like receptors as well as TLR-4 to initiate the immune response. LPS peritonitis is induced by an intra-peritoneal bolus, which induces an initial response that does not need to escalate over time. The infection seen after CLP involves cecal necrosis, with multiplying species of bacteria within the peritoneum and eventual bacteremia over time. The infection becomes walled off in an abscess cavity over the first 72 hours, and is eventually extruded through the abdominal wall if the animal survives. This ongoing contamination in CLP requires a more complex continued immune response.

TNF-α is an important cytokine mediator of sepsis, essential to the generation of the innate immune response to infection. It is found in the circulation following administration of LPS, and when given directly mimics many of the physiological and laboratory features following intravenous LPS. The level of circulating TNF-α has a positive correlation with mortality. Reducing the levels of circulating TNF-α using anti-TNF-α monoclonal antibodies in experimental animal models of sepsis using LPS and gram negative bacteria, has had some success in decreasing mortality across a range of species. Animals unable to produce TNF-α in response to infection did worse than endotoxin sensitive mice, demonstrating that a proportional immune response is required. However plasma levels of TNF-α following LPS administration are an order of magnitude higher than circulating levels in polymicrobial sepsis. TNF-α blockade
has been shown to be ineffective or detrimental in mice following induction of peritonitis with CLP\textsuperscript{119, 120}. This is consistent with clinical trials of TNF-α antagonists that did not show an improved survival, and at higher doses increased mortality\textsuperscript{17, 129, 130}.

MiRNA-21 decreases circulating TNF-α, and therefore will have more effect on the higher levels of TNF-α seen following LPS peritonitis. The attenuation of TNF-α that occurs in miRNA-21\textsuperscript{+/+} mice may be related to the subsequent improved survival. MiRNA-21\textsuperscript{-/-} mice do not have the same amelioration of the high TNF-α concentration seen after administration of LPS. In CLP there are lower levels of circulating TNF-α, and therefore the effects of miRNA-21 on TNF-α levels will be reduced, and potentially insufficient to have an effect on mortality. TNF-α is not the only factor important in the pathophysiology of sepsis, as a reduction in TNF-α has no effect on neutrophil infiltration of the lung, as a major cause of mortality following CLP\textsuperscript{120}. These data demonstrate that TNF-α is not the mediator of lethality in polymicrobial peritonitis, yet has a role to play with a multitude of other mediators.

TLR-4 signaling produces multiple cytokines, both pro- and anti-inflammatory in response to stimulation with LPS, both through the NF-κB and ERK pathways. Following CLP, TLR-4 gene expression and protein abundance was increased in liver and lung compared with controls and correlates with mortality\textsuperscript{131}. Inflammation, tissue damage and lethality are diminished in the absence of TLR-4 signaling\textsuperscript{132}.
With these data TLR-4 antagonists have been developed, which competitively bind to TLR-4, preventing LPS interaction, and have been shown to decrease pro-inflammatory cytokine release and inhibit the development of inflammation \textsuperscript{133-135}. However the ACCESS randomized clinical trial of Eritoran\textsuperscript{®}, an antagonist of the MD2-TLR-4 complex, did not show any significant difference when trialed in patients with severe sepsis \textsuperscript{136}. In contrast M62812, a molecule that inhibits TLR-4 signal transduction, has shown an increased survival in both D-galactosamine-sensitized endotoxin shock model and 18G CLP model at 24 hours \textsuperscript{134}. However increased survival over a longer time period was not reported.

One group has looked further into the role of TLR-4 on different cells types. Using a 22G CLP model a global TLR-4 deletion and myeloid (neutrophil and macrophages) TLR-4 deletion had 100% mortality compared to 40% in the wild type mice after 7 days \textsuperscript{137}. Bacterial clearance from the circulation and peritoneum in these mice was significantly impaired compared to wild type. The failure to clear bacteria correlated with an increase in inflammatory markers, even in the absence of TLR-4 on myeloid cells. Efficiency of bacterial clearance in this model determines survival and the magnitude of the immune response, which are in turn determined by LPS recognition by TLR-4 on macrophages. TLR-4 on macrophages is therefore important for the stimulation of bacterial phagocytosis in polymicrobial sepsis.

The cause of mortality related to LPS peritonitis differs from that seen after CLP \textsuperscript{90}. LPS mortality is associated with cardiac dysfunction and related to
intravascular inflammation and thrombosis in the microvasculature \(^{111}\). This impairment in the microcirculation leads to multiple organ failure, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and death \(^{112, 113}\). TNF-\(\alpha\) activates the common pathway of coagulation, leading to thrombotic consequences \(^{115}\). The cardiopulmonary dysfunction seen in animal models of sepsis can be attenuated by monoclonal TNF-\(\alpha\) antibody \(^{116}\). Suppression of LPS-induced up-regulation of inflammatory cytokines, by TLR-4 signal transduction inhibitor M62812, also led to suppression of pro-coagulant activity in peripheral mononuclear cells \(^{134}\). Up-regulation of pro-coagulant activity in leukocytes and down-regulation of anticoagulant activity in endothelial cells leads to microthrombosis in several organs, leading to multiple organ failure. Inhibition of platelet adhesion through GPIb-IX binding also decreases the mortality of LPS challenged mice \(^{114}\).

After CLP animals develop an initial hyper dynamic cardiovascular response, similar to that seen in patients with increased cardiac output and decreased systemic vascular resistance \(^{90}\). This develops into systemic bacteraemic infection and sepsis, which progressively become cardiovascular collapse due to septic shock and death days after the initial insult, similar to the condition seen in peritonitis patients who die from multiple organ failure days after the onset of infection. CLP using a 16G double puncture technique leads to a significant cardiac dysfunction, shown by a decreased ejection fraction by 25.7\% \(^{138}\). There is also a component of lung injury due to neutrophil infiltration \(^{139}\). Innate immunity also participates in polymicrobial sepsis-induced acute kidney injury through the MyD88 pathway, by leading to an increased migration of neutrophils
to the kidney, increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, vascular permeability, hypoxia and apoptosis of tubular cells \(^{140}\). TLR-4\(^{-/-}\) mice had decreased renal injury at 24 hours with a diminished systemic cytokine response following 23G CLP \(^{140}\). CLP and LPS both enhance thrombotic occlusion in wild-type mice \(^{141}\). LPS, but not CLP, increased plasma VWF antigen relative to controls. CLP alternatively enhanced thrombosis in TLR-4 and VWF-deficient strains. As miRNA-21 has not been shown to have a regulatory role in other immune signaling pathways, the redundancy present from the multiple pathways stimulated in CLP may minimize the effects of miRNA-21 in this peritonitis model.

These experiments used whole body knockout mice to model an absence of miRNA-21. As these mice survived to 12 weeks and beyond we know that miRNA-21 is not essential for animal survival. We do not know what adaptations have occurred within the mouse, specifically in the immune system in order to compensate for the missing miRNA-21, though there were no significant changes in hemopoetic cells populations seen in the blood, peritoneum or bone marrow on flow cytometry (data not shown). Creation of a model that has inducible knockdown of miRNA-21 in only the macrophage population would be ideal to truly see the effect of macrophage miRNA-21 in these models of peritonitis. A closer model would be to transplant bone marrow from miRNA-21\(^{-/+}\) mice into miRNA-21\(^{+/-}\) mice, as then the subsequent miRNA-21 knockdown would be confined to only the hematological cells. However, this would still allow for adaptations to occur within the immune system.
Although mortality is a definitive end-point most patients survive an episode of peritonitis with modern therapy. MiRNA-21 may still ameliorate the severity of infection without affecting mortality rate. In patients, decreasing the need for organ support and intensive care would be a significant step forward in improving the patient experience, decreasing length of stay and subsequent health care costs.
CHAPTER X

MIRNA-21 EXPRESSION IN PERITONEAL MACROPHAGES
FOLLOWING CECAL LIGATION AND PUNCTURE PERITONITIS

Introduction

Cecal ligation and puncture is among the most representative models of human peritonitis. Multiple pathways are stimulated by the polymicrobial infection from enteric bacteria. These pathways stimulate cell activation and trigger secondary cascades, resulting in cytokine and chemokine release. MiRNA-21 regulates the TLR-4 signaling cascade, one of many that are activated by polymicrobial intra-abdominal infection. We saw no difference in survival between miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) mice following 20G CLP. We propose, based on these data, that despite the absence of a difference in survival, we will see a difference in the levels of miRNA-21 in the macrophage population and the intra-peritoneal cytokine profile.
Results

MiRNA-21 Expression

MiRNA-21 \(^{-/-}\) was confirmed by RT-qPCR. In miRNA-21 \(^{+/+}\) mice miRNA-21 expression was initially down-regulated in the F4/80+ peritoneal macrophage population at 6 and 24 hours following CLP (Figure 27). At 48 hours the expression was up-regulated by 500 fold but with a wide range of variation.

Cell Populations

MiRNA-21 \(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21 \(^{-/-}\) mice had no difference in the number of peritoneal exudate cells harvested from the peritoneum at 6, 24 or 48 hours after 20G CLP (Figure 28). The number of macrophages isolated was not different between the groups, nor the macrophage population as a percentage of the total number of PEC cells (Figure 29).

Effect of miRNA-21 on Peritoneal Cytokines levels

Following 20G CLP TNF-α was higher in the peritoneal lavage media from miRNA-21 \(^{+/+}\) mice at 6 hours (p=0.009), but no different at 24 and 48 hours (Figure 30). IL-6 concentrations peaked at 6 hours after CLP, but was no different in the peritoneum between miRNA-21 \(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21 \(^{-/-}\) mice (Figure 31). Similarly IL-10 levels were maximally elevated at 6 hours, with the same pattern occurring in both miRNA-21 \(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21 \(^{-/-}\) mice (Figure 32).
Figure 27: Peritoneal Macrophage MiRNA-21 Expression after Cecal Ligation and Puncture

Peritoneal macrophage miRNA-21 expression after 20G cecal ligation and puncture (CLP). N=7. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
There is no difference in the number of peritoneal exudate cells between miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) mice following cecal ligation and puncture (CLP). N=7-8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
A) There is no difference in the number of peritoneal macrophages between miRNA-21^{+/+} and miRNA-21^{-/-} mice, not B) the percentage of the total peritoneal population following cecal ligation and puncture (CLP). N=7-8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Figure 30: Peritoneal TNF-α after Cecal Ligation and Puncture

There is no difference in the peritoneal TNF-α levels between miRNA-21+/+ and miRNA-21−/− mice following cecal ligation and puncture (CLP). N=7-8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
There is no difference in the peritoneal IL-6 between miRNA-21^{+/+} and miRNA-21^{-/-} mice following cecal ligation and puncture (CLP). N=7-8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
There is no difference in the peritoneal IL-10 between miRNA-21^{+/+} and miRNA-21^{-/-} mice following cecal ligation and puncture (CLP). N=7-8. Data are shown Mean ± SEM.
Discussion
We have shown that after CLP there is an initial down-regulation in MiRNA-21 expression followed by a delayed up-regulation at 48 hours. This is in direct contrast to LPS, which shows a linear up-regulation of miRNA-21 after stimulation. This early down-regulation in the macrophages at 6 hours may be due to a delay in the stimulation of TLR-4 by bacteria migrating from the lumen of the bowel into the peritoneum. However this would not explain the data from the 24 hour time point.

Exosomes are 40-10nm membrane vesicles that are secreted by cells as part of cell-cell communication. Recent studies have shown that miRNA-21 is secreted in exosomes into peritoneal fluid at higher levels in ovarian serous carcinoma than normal controls \(^{54}\). Exosomal miRNA-21 expression has also been up-regulated in serum in esophageal cancer and cervicovaginal lavage in cervical cancer \(^{142, 143}\). If intra-cellular macrophage miRNA-21 was secreted into the peritoneum following CLP, this may account for the decreased expression seen within the cells at 6 and 24 hours.

We observed no difference between miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) mice in PEC cell count, total macrophage count or macrophages as a percentage of the total number of PEC cells. TNF-α was higher in the peritoneal lavage media from miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) mice at 6 hours. All other cytokines were similar regardless of the presence or absence of miRNA-21.
These data partially explain why there was no difference in survival observed between miRNA-21\(^{+/+}\) and miRNA-21\(^{-/-}\) mice following induction of peritonitis with 20G CLP. Collectively our data suggest that TLR-4 signaling has a definite role to play in the innate immune response to peritonitis, though the redundancy of multiple pathways stimulated in following induction of peritonitis with CLP may minimize the effects of miRNA-21 in this model.

Although miRNA-21 did not show a survival benefit, we believe that there may still be a therapeutic role for miRNA-21 in a clinical setting. Further experiments are required to ascertain the presence and pattern of exosomal or free miRNA-21 in peritoneal fluid, both in the normal and infected abdomen. Examination of abdominal washings or drain fluid in post-operative surgical patients, will likely yield novel data about the role of miRNA-21 in peritonitis. Although knockout of miRNA-21 was not effective in changing survival, there may be a role for increasing miRNA-21 expression within the peritoneum to affect the severity of infection without affecting mortality rate.
CHAPTER XI

CONCLUSIONS AND OVERVIEW

The early innate immune response to invading microbes during peritonitis is largely orchestrated by the peritoneal macrophage. These cells have three basic functions: phagocytosis, antigen presentation, and protein secretion. Macrophages have been classed into two phenotypes - M1, the classical or pro-inflammatory macrophage that produces TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, nitric oxide and has strong microbial activity, and M2 or alternatively activated macrophages that produce IL-10, Arginase-1 and Mrc1 (CD206) and are involved in preventing excessive injury in the host tissue remodeling \(^{144, 145}\). Macrophages can be converted from one phenotype into another. The key transcription factors involved in macrophage polarization are STATs, NF-κB, and camp-responsive element-binding protein (CREB). Therapeutic targeting to change the polarization of macrophages is still in the primordial phase of development, but the microenvironment and anatomical location of the cells are important \(^{145, 146}\). We have shown that miRNA-21 modulates the cytokine output of peritoneal macrophages, from a pro- to anti-inflammatory profile, suggesting that miRNA-21 is involved in macrophage polarization. The reduction in TNF-α and IL-6 together with the increase observed in IL-10 production in response to LPS with increased miRNA-21 may indicate a role in the transition of macrophages to a M2
alternative activation state. Further characterization of whether there is any
difference in the M2 expressed genes such as Arginase-1, chitinase 3-like 3
(Ym1), and Mrc1 (CD206) between miRNA-21\textsuperscript{-/-} and miRNA-21\textsuperscript{+/+} cells should
yield novel data to clarify the active mechanisms involved in the transition of the
early innate immune response to peritonitis.

Indeed, a study of microbial tolerance has shown that a LPS-tolerant state leads
to a M2 polarized phenotype in macrophages \textsuperscript{104}, and the NF-κB p50 subunit is
the key regulator in this transformation. Our experiments focused on the p65
subunit, and this may contribute to why differences in the activation of NF-κB
were not observed in these experiments.

Recent reports in the literature have postulated an early/acute hyper-
inflammatory and a late/chronic hypo-inflammatory phase in response to sepsis
\textsuperscript{84, 113, 147, 148}. This immunosuppression phase in late sepsis leads to mortality
from persisting primary infection or an opportunistic new infection, otherwise
known as the two hit model. Some data suggest that altered myeloid maturation
or differentiation may be important. Adoptive transfer of CD34\textsuperscript{+} hematopoietic
stem-progenitor cells after CLP improved survival by 65%. Cell transfer resulted
in no change in the early hyper-inflammatory response, but corrected the later
immunosuppression, increasing circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines,
enhancing phagocytic activity and clearing bacterial peritonitis. It is likely that
these new cells differentiated into competent immune cells in the blood and
tissue, reversing or replacing hyporesponsive endotoxin-tolerant cells in the
peritoneum \textsuperscript{149}. We have no current data about the role of miRNA-21 in this late
stage of sepsis, though theoretically if it is still active, then inhibition of miRNA-21 to change to a more pro-inflammatory response maybe potentially beneficial.

There are numerous limitations on this work. The isolation process may have activated macrophages, though we tried to counteract any effects by using a gentle process and resting the cells for 24 hours prior to use to alleviate some of these effects on subsequent stimulation. Bone marrow derived macrophage cell lines were used to evaluate the absence of miRNA-21, however these macrophages are an immortalized cell line, which are not primary peritoneal macrophages. These cells are likely to be phenotypically or genetically different from primary peritoneal macrophages and therefore are only able to approximate what is occurring in the peritoneum. The evaluation of the individual cell type responses in vitro also need to be related to what in occurring in vivo as cells do not respond alone, multiple cells types communicate and respond to infectious stimuli together.

In conclusion, we found that miRNA-21 expression increases in macrophages after LPS stimulation. MiRNA-21 decreases TNF-α and IL-6 production, while increasing IL-10. The mechanism by which the expression of these cytokines was modulated is not clear from these experiments. PDCD4 has emerged as the most likely target protein to be effecting the cytokine modulation, though NF-κB activation was not different.

MiRNA-21 is beneficial in survival from an LPS model of peritonitis but not after CLP, as it does not have sufficient power to change the peritoneal cytokine
profile that is associated with mortality. Although miRNA-21 did not change survival after CLP, there may still be a therapeutic role in peritonitis that warrants further investigation. If miRNA-21 can ameliorate the severity of infectious sequelae, that would be clinically beneficial. The healthcare costs involved with intensive care and multi-organ support are significant. Reducing admission to or length of stay in the intensive care unit would positively impact the care of patients with peritonitis.

MiRNA-21 is present in plasma and peritoneal fluid. There are currently no data on the presence and pattern of exosomal or free miRNA-21 in peritoneal fluid, in either the normal or infected abdomen. Isolation of miRNA-21 from peri-operative abdominal washings undergoing abscess drainage or drain fluid in post-operative surgical patients would improve our understanding of the course of miRNA-21 secretion in response to clinical peritonitis. Future animal experiments could determine if the addition of exogenous miRNA-21 to the peritoneum after CLP is able to modulate the local immune response in vivo.

We hypothesized that the outcome of peritonitis can be modulated by miRNA-21 through down regulation of NF-κB signaling that affects macrophage cytokine release, to diminish local inflammation. These findings demonstrate that although miRNA-21 is beneficial in modulating the macrophage response to LPS, the effect is buried in the multiple pathways activated after the clinically more relevant model of CLP. The improved understanding of the anti-inflammatory effects of miRNA-21 in macrophage response to peritonitis may result in a targeted therapy to intervene in clinical peritonitis.
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APPENDIX: ABBREVIATIONS

General Abbreviations

APACHE  Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation
CLP      Cecal Ligation and Puncture; peritonitis model
DIC      Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation
ELISA    Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay
PEC      Peritoneal Exudate Cells

Cell Biology

CREB     CAMP-responsive Element-Binding Protein
ceRNA    Competing Endogenous RNA
cDNA     Complementary DNA
mRNA     Messenger RNA; longer, single stranded RNA; template for protein translation
miRNA    MicroRNA; short single stranded RNA
Peli1    Pelino1; target of miRNA-21
PDCD4: Programmed Cell Death Protein 4; target of miRNA-21

RAW264.7: Mouse macrophage cell line

RISC: RNA-Induced Silencing Complex

siRNA: Silencing RNA; prevents translation of target mRNA into protein

SPRY: Sprouty; target of miRNA-21

**Immunology**

CD206: Cluster of Differentiation 206; marker of M2 macrophages

DAMP: Damage Associated Molecular Protein

IL-6: Interleukin-6; pro-inflammatory cytokine

IL-10: Interleukin-10; anti-inflammatory cytokine

LPS: Lipopolysaccharide; part of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria; a DAMP

PAMP: Pathogen Associated Molecular Protein; bacterial or viral peptide recognized by the immune system

PRR: Pattern Recognition Receptor; receptors that recognize DAMPs and PAMPs

TLR: Toll-Like Receptor; a PRR
TNF-α  Tumor Necrosis Factor-α; pro-inflammatory cytokine

**Pathway**

ELK1  part of the MAPK pathway

ERK  Extracellular Signal Related Kinase; part of the MAPK pathway and important for IL-10 production

IKK  Inhibitory Kappa Kinase; activator of NF-κB IκB

IκB  Inhibitory kappa protein Beta

IRAK  IL-1 Receptor Associated Kinase; central signaling protein of the TLR-4 pathway

JNK  c-Jun N-terminal Kinase

MAPK  Mitogen Activated Protein Kinases pathway; part of the TLR-4 signaling pathway

MyD88  Myeloid Differentiation Primary Response Gene 88; central signaling protein of TLR-4

NF-κB  Nuclear Factor Kappa Beta; transcription factor of TLR-4 signaling

RIP1  TRIF-signaling adaptor kinase; interacts with Peli1

TRAF6  TNF-Receptor Associated Factor; central signaling protein of TLR-4 pathway
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRAM</td>
<td>TRIF-related Adapter Molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIF</td>
<td>TIR-domain-containing adaptor-inducing interferon β</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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