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ABSTRACT
SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL-BEHAVIORS IN AFRICAN-AMERICAN TODDLERS: THE ROLE OF RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS

Paulette Flores
August 29, 2011

The role of risk and protective factors in the family system on toddlers’ social-emotional outcomes was examined by exploring the impact of depression and parenting strategies reported by female primary caregivers on toddlers’ social-emotional competence and social-emotional problem behaviors. The goal of the research was to extend knowledge concerning how these risk and protective factors relate to social-emotional outcomes in an urban community sample of African-American families. Participants included 103 African-American women and toddlers. Hierarchical multiple regression analyses examined social-emotional problems and social-emotional competence. Results showed that female primary caregivers’ depression and annual income were found to significantly predict ratings of toddlers’ social-emotional problems. Results from the analysis of social-emotional competence revealed a different pattern. Parenting strategies measured in terms of family routines and gender of the toddlers significantly predicted ratings of social-emotional competence. The current
findings that different profiles predicted different aspects of toddlers’ social-emotional behaviors suggest that different family processes underlie toddlers’ developing social-emotional competence and social-emotional problems. These findings have implications for culturally-sensitive interventions tailored to support social-emotional competence and decrease social-emotional problems in toddlers from African-American families.
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INTRODUCTION

African-American children have been documented to have challenges in several different domains including cognitive development, social-emotional skills and educational achievement (Yeung & Pfeiffer, 2009; Brooks-Gunn, Smith, Duncan & Lee, 2003; Jencks & Phillips, 1998). In particular, young African-American children are two to three times more likely to be suspended and expelled from school (APA, 2008), and 8.5 times more likely to have parents who are incarcerated (Wildeman, 2007). When compared to Caucasian boys and African-American girls, African-American boys have increased behavior problems and poorer outcomes (APA, 2008).

A great deal of research has supported the idea that central to children’s overall success are social-emotional skills. Strong social-emotional skills have been linked to other highly valued outcomes, such as moral development, school adjustment and academic achievement (Dalton, Elias, & Wandersman, 2007; Haggerty, Sherrod, Garmezy, & Rutter, 1994; Zins, Weissberg, Wang, & Walberg, 2004). Deficits in social-emotional skills have been shown to predict early school failure and ongoing school problems, as well as involvement in child welfare, mental health problems and juvenile justice system (Knitzer & Raver (2002). It is estimated that fifty percent of school-age children lack social-emotional skills, such as appropriately interacting, attending, following directions, empathy and communicating with teachers and peers (Langlois,
In addition, children from disadvantaged families are more likely to lag behind in social-emotional skills and also exhibit more social-emotional problems (Achenbach, Howell, Quay, & Conners, 1991). Furthermore, African-American toddlers from low-income families are at highest risk for deficits in social-emotional skills when compared to any other group (Graham, Bellmore, & Mize, 2006).

Despite multiple risk factors and disadvantages (i.e., low-income status, poor neighborhoods, underfunded schools) many African American toddlers have been shown to overcome these adversities (Murry, Bynum, Brody, Willert, & Stephens, 2001). This is an area of considerable interest from a range of perspectives. Research shows that some family characteristics appear to act as protective factors for the acquisition of social-emotional competence and decrease in social-emotional problems in African-American toddlers (Jackson, Brooks-Gunn, Huang, & Glassman, 2000; Keltner, 1990). Caregiver sensitivity and parenting strategies, such as family routine and parenting structure and control, function as protective factors and buffer the effects of the deficiency in social-emotional skills (Bornstein, Hendricks, Haynes, & Painter, 2007)

Alternatively, primary caregivers’ depression characterized by disengagement, hostility, critical and less sensitive caregiver-child interactions has been identified as a risk factor and is associated with deficits in social-emotional skills in both majority (Field, Pickens, Fox, Nawrocki, & et al., 1995) and minority families (Aikens, Coleman, & Barbarin, 2008; Koblinsky, Kuvalanka, & Randolph, 2006b). As noted above, these risk factors can be cumulative. For example, the risk factor of caregivers’ depression may add to the risk factor of poverty and both have significant negative effects on the development of social-
emotional skills as well as other aspects of child development (APA, 2000; Campbell, Cohn, & Meyers, 1995; Cummings & Davies, 1994; Petterson & Albers, 2001). Specifically, poverty has been shown to negatively impact the resources necessary to provide family health (food security, health insurance, affordable housing) (National Center for Children in Poverty, 2009), as well as reduce the structure and routine necessary to provide stability to a family (Child Trend and Data Bank, 2010).

Bronfenbrenner's ecological/risk and resilience framework, which emphasizes the multiple contributions of the individual and the environment (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 1998) has been utilized by many researchers to frame the relationship between risk and protective factors and child outcomes. Child outcomes are predicted on the basis of differential and interactive effects of individual, family, community and larger groups within the society (Bronfenbrenner, 1986). Resilience is viewed as a two-dimensional construct which includes the exposure to risk and protective factors (Luthar & Cicchetti, 2000). Risk factors are adversities involving negative life issues (e.g. caregiver depression and poverty) that are known to be associated with negative child outcomes. Protective factors are individual or environmental characteristics that provide the ability to resist the effects of risk factors (e.g. parenting strategies) and promote adaptation and competence (Garmezy & Rutter, 1983; V. Murray & Brody, 1999).

Bornstein, Hendricks, Haynes, and Painter (2007) expanded on the ecological/risk and resilience model and argued that within the family system which influences the child’s development and maternal sensitivity, there are three domains of influence: maternal personal characteristics, child personal characteristics and maternal social context. These three domains are organized in a nested arrangement of distal-to-proximal
in relation to the child (see Figure 1) with the child’s personal characteristics buffered by
the mother’s personal characteristics and the mother’s social context (Bornstein, et al.,
2007). The mother’s parenting characteristics (i.e., parenting strategies, expectations of
parent-child relationship), behaviors, self-perception, attributes, and social context are the
factors most proximal to the development of the child and each factor can serve as a
buffer to the penetration of other factors. Additional systems (individual, community and
larger agencies within society) can also be influential, however, the mother’s impact is
strongest due to its proximity to day-to-day functioning. Within the ecological/resilience
model, the influence of individual, family, or larger community can be described in terms
of protective and risk factors that affect the developmental outcome of the child
(Garmezy & Rutter, 1983).

Bronfenbrenner and Bornstein’s model of the ecological/risk and resilience
model can be further expanded by assessing the interactive effect of multiple factors from
child characteristics, female primary caregiver’s characteristics and female primary
caregiver’s social context in African-American families. Bornstein argued that the
simultaneous investigation of multiple factors allows for the unique association between
these factors to be identified (see Bornstein, 2007). Few studies have been conducted
with the focus on the interactive effect of multiple risk and resilience factors in African-
American families in regards to toddlers’ social-emotional behaviors. The current study
extended Bornstein’s model to include a sample of biological mothers and female
primary caregivers of African-American toddlers. Current research on African-American
families shows that eight percent of African-American children do not live with either
parent (Child Trends Data Bank, 2010).
The purpose of the current research was to better understand the role of risk and protective factors in the African-American family system on toddlers’ social-emotional outcomes in three novel ways. First, this work examined both risk and protective factors within the same sample in an attempt to examine how specific protective factors related to parenting (aspects of parental structure, support and control) may interact with the risk factor of primary caregiver’s depression in predicting child outcomes. Depression is of paramount importance to study as it affects 35.1 million adults in the United States (Kessler et al., 2003) with higher incidents in low-income women (Kessler, McGonagle, Zhao, Nelson, & et al., 1994; McGrath, Keita, Strickland, & Russo, 1990; Nolen-Hoeksema, 1990). Approximately 7 million women between the ages of 25 and 40 years are diagnosed with depression in the United States annually (Kessler, 1995). There are many types of depression that can affect caregivers: clinical, dysthymia, manic, seasonal, psychotic and postpartum. Postpartum depression affects the new mother and has an onset around 4 weeks after childbirth, with symptoms such as fluctuation in mood, preoccupation with infant or a disinterest in the newborn, and is a danger to herself and the newborn (APA, 2000). Other types of depression also impact children and child development. Children of caregivers who report depressive symptoms have been shown to be at risk for negative social-emotional outcomes (Goodman & Gotlib, 1999, 2002; Murray, Halligan, Adams, Patterson, & Goodyer, 2006; NICHD, 1999).

Second, the study examined child outcomes in terms of both social-emotional competence (i.e. attention, compliance, empathy, pro-social relations) and social-emotional problems (i.e. internalizing, externalizing and dysregulation) (Briggs-Gowan, Carter, Irwin, Wachtel, & Cicchetti, 2004). Thus, this study has the potential to
characterize strengths and challenges in child outcomes. Third, the research focused on a community sample of African-American families. The literature examining child outcomes in African-American families from community samples has been quite limited and narrow in scope. This remains an important problem, however, as there have been repeated reports of more negative social-emotional outcomes in low-income African-American children, even when other factors such as economics and education have been considered (Aikens, et al., 2008; Harden et al., 2000a; Koblinsky, et al., 2006b; Zahn-Waxler, Iannotti, Cummings, & Denham, 1990). The rationale for this research is that few studies have looked at both risk and protective factors within the same sample of low-income African-American families, and even fewer have focused on social-emotional competences and social-emotional problems, despite their significance as key factors in child development.

In sum, the goal of this research was to better understand the multiple impacts of primary caregivers’ depression and parenting strategies and practices on at-risk African-American children’s social-emotional competence and social-emotional problems. In Figure 2 is shown a schematic model that summarizes the design of this work. The findings from this research will have implications for school readiness of children facing multiple risk factors as well as inform future interventions with African-American families. Following is a review of the variables examined in the study and a speculation of how they may influence children’s behavior.

Review of Related Research

Social-Emotional Behaviors
Social-emotional skills represent a domain of early childhood development which describes a range of skills necessary to interact with and maintain relationships (Greenspan & Lewis, 1999). Behavioral components of social-emotional skills have been described in terms of: how the child views himself, others and the world; how the child relates to himself and others; how the child regulates feelings and behaviors and how the child explores and learn from the environment (Kelly, Zuckerman, Sandoval, & Beuhlam, 2003). The acquisition of social-emotional skills begins the first day of an infant’s life and has been shown to be related to attachment, social-emotional competence and social-emotional problems (Greenspan & Lewis, 1999). The acquisition of social-emotional skills begins within the relationship with the caregiver. As the term implies, “social-emotional” has a social and emotional component to it. Social development emphasizes the influence of family and community socialization in which children learn to adopt and internalize in order to function effectively (Maccoby & Martin, 1983). Emotional development refers to the growth of capabilities for emotional expression, adjustment and regulation (Maccoby & Martin, 1983). Both the social and emotional components are integral and are intertwined, as it is the skills of emotional development of the infant that allows for socialization and acculturation to occur (Greenspan, 2002).

*Social Emotional Behaviors in African-American Toddlers*

Social-emotional development is important regardless of ethnic and racial background as it is the way that children adopt and internalize norms, values and morals needed to function and socialize effectively in society (Maccoby & Martin, 1983). However, Elias and Haynes (2008) argued that social-emotional skills and behaviors may be of critical importance for many children from African-American families as they may
be their means of regulating their emotions despite the many interpersonal difficulties they may be experiencing. It is well established that children from disadvantaged families are more likely to lag behind in social-emotional development both at school and at home (Achenbach, et al., 1991). Young children from low income households are more likely to experience behavioral problems which affect their development (Knapp, Ammen, Arstein-Kerslake, Poulsen, & Mastergeorge, 2007). The National Center for Children in Poverty (2009) documented that children of color were more likely to experience factors which put them more at risk for deficits in social, emotional and behavioral development. For instance, in early child care settings and learning environment, African-American young children are three to five times more likely to be expelled than their peers (Gilliam, 2005). In addition, African-American young children are overrepresented in the population of maltreated children between the ages of 0-5, and African-American young children are 8.5 times more likely to have a parent incarcerated than a white child (Wildeman, 2007). Finally, African-American young children have been shown to experience lower levels of secure attachment than Asian-American and White children (Chernoff, McPhee & Park, 2007).

Despite the exposure to multiple risks, some children from African-American families have positive outcomes (Baker, 1999; Kobus & Reyes, 2000). Some children appear highly resilient and are able to acquire social-emotional competence skills (Wright & Masten, 2005). Understanding the acquisition of social-emotional skills and behaviors in low-income African-American families is an important topic with numerous questions that need to be addressed.
Attachment is the emotional tie that bonds the caregiver and the child together (Bowlby, 1969). Attachments, whether secure or insecure, are vital as the child uses his or her attachments to generalize his expectation of himself and others. In a healthy, secure attachment, the caregiver is a role-model, 'secure base' and a 'safe haven' for the child (Bowlby, 1969; Hoffman, Marvin, Cooper, & Powell, 2006). Exploration occurs in a secure attachment, as the infant feels safe to explore the physical environment in situations that may be uncomfortable and stressful (Ainsworth, 1972). Erikson and Vygotsky assert that infants and toddlers need a safe, predictable environment in which to explore for healthy development. Additionally, a secure attachment teaches the infant how to interact, respond, regulate, become aware, participate and emotionally understand in healthy ways (Hoffman, et al., 2006). Secure attachments occur when the caregiver is able to meet the needs of the child in a responsive and appropriate manner. Research has continually demonstrated that insecure attachment does not create the environment for exploration to occur (Ainsworth, 1972). The caregiver is not representative of a "safe-base", she/he exhibits inconsistent, inappropriate responses to the cues of the infant and is not emotionally and physically available (Egeland & Erickson, 1993). The insecure attachment relationship often involves maladaptive behavior patterns towards the child such as neglect, abuse, intrusiveness and unresponsiveness. The infant in an insecure relationship may be anxious, avoidant, disoriented and resistant to the caregiver (Ainsworth et al, 1972). Attachment is an important marker for social-emotional development in that the level of security between the dyad can often be related to competence in other domain such as social, emotional and cognitive domains (Gerhardt,
Secure attachments are mainly related to social-emotional competence while poor attachment can negatively impact children’s social-emotional health and development (Gerhardt, 2004). Research has also demonstrated a relationship between attachment relationships, behavioral problems and achievement in school. A meta-analysis comprising of 80 studies found that insecurely attached infants and toddlers were found to exhibit more behavioral problems in externalizing and internalizing behaviors when compared to securely attached children (van Ijzendoorn, Schuengel, & Bakermans-Kranenburg, 1999). Research supports that the continuous positive, reciprocating and nurturing relationship between the caregiver/mother and the child in a secure relationship serves as building blocks to create social and emotional health and a caregiver/child bond (Kelly, et al., 2003; Landry, Smith, Swank, Assel, & Vellet, 2001). However, weak maternal attachment can negatively affect children’s social-emotional development and health (NCCP, 2009) as the infant does not learn how to respond, engage, and relate in an appropriate and healthy manner (Greenspan, 2002). Recent reports show that up to 40% of two-year olds in early child care and learning setting had insecure attachment with their mothers (Chernoff, 2007).

**Social-Emotional Behaviors and Attachment in African-American Toddlers**

Comparisons of attachment patterns across African-American, White and Asian families show a disproportionate percentage of insecure attachments in African-American mothers and infants (Chernoff, et al., 2007). It has been argued that strong attachments are weakened due to the stressors affecting low-income African-American mothers. The numerous negative life stressors may include unemployment, conflict in relationship, over-crowding, dangerous neighborhoods, and chronic strain (Linver, Brooks-Gunn, &

**Social-Emotional Behaviors and Social-Emotional Competence**

Social-emotional competence can be defined as emotion regulation, initiation and maintenance of peer relationships, aggression and conflict control, prosocial behavior, and development of self-efficacy. As the child develops social and emotional skills within the interaction with the caregiver, the child becomes competent in utilizing these skills (Greenspan & Lewis, 1999). For example the child who is emotionally competent develops a range of behaviors that engages the caregiver. The caregiver responds in a trusting, nurturing and involved manner and the child in turn is able to reciprocate the behavior. The National Research Council and Institutes of Medicine (2000) asserts that children who are socially and emotionally competent are better able to maintain relationships, communicate with others, adjust, do well in school, take on challenging tasks, self-confident and engage better with others. Recent literature agrees on the importance of social-emotional competence (Denham, 2006; Denham et al., 2000) however there is disagreement on the role of social-emotional competence. Some researchers argue that social-emotional competence is the foundation on which other developmental domains, such as cognitive, physical, intellectual and emotional adjustment, are developed (Harniss, Epstein, et al., 1999). Others propose that social-emotional competence cannot be separated from cognitive development and are equally important (Caine & Caine, 1991). Regardless of the role of social-emotional competence it has been shown that when developed early in childhood, it helps to develop a healthy
sense of self leading to strengthened resilience and ability to cope in distressing events and emotional difficulties later in life (Garmezy & Rutter, 1983).

**Social-Emotional Behaviors and Social-Emotional Competence in African-American Toddlers**

For African-American toddlers, social-emotional competence may be of even higher importance as it could be key to achieving success in several areas including at school (Baker, 1999; Luthar, 1995). Elias and Hayes (2008) asserted that children’s abilities to control their emotions when they feel dejected, hopeless, angry or frustrated, can affect school adjustment as well as the receptivity of learning. They argued that in African-American children, higher rates of social and emotional competence supports children’s abilities to focus on academic tasks even in the presence of other personal disturbing issues. In a study of 282 predominantly black third graders, Elias and Haynes (2008) confirmed their hypothesis that social-emotional competence had strong influence on academic outcomes during the critical period of academic skill acquisition. They concluded that interventions used to improve academic achievement for disadvantaged children should address social competences and other factors.

**Social-Emotional Behaviors and Social-Emotional Problems**

Just as secure attachment is associated with positive outcomes, insecure attachment between a caregiver and a child is related to a host of negative outcomes (Bakermans-Kranenburg, Van Ijzendoorn, & Juffer, 2005). Lack of nurturing, continuous negative interaction, and insecure attachment have been associated with not just deficits in social-emotional competence but increases in social-emotional problems (Aikens, et
Social-emotional problems related to insecure attachment include externalizing behavior (impulsivity, defiance, aggression), internalizing behavior (withdrawal, general anxiety, separation distress) and dysregulation (negative emotionality, sensory sensitivity) (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004). Brauner and Stephens (2006) asserted that between 9.5 and 14.2 percent of children between the ages of birth and five years old experience social-emotional problems that negatively affect their functioning development and school readiness (Poverty, 2009). Specific family and environmental factors have been implicated in children’s increased vulnerability to social, emotional and behavioral problems (Poverty, 2009). McDonnell and Gold (2003) asserted that toddlers from families with lower levels of family income are more likely to experience behavioral problems that negatively impact their development. Additionally, family risk factors such as caregivers’ mental health have been shown to impact caregivers’ ability to support children and contribute to toddlers’ behavioral problems (Whitaker, Orzol & Kahn, 2006). Toddlers with environmental and family risk factors are two to three times more likely to experience problems with anxiety, aggression and hyperactivity (Whitker et al., 2006). Race and ethnicity provide additional risk for social-emotional problems in toddlers (Poverty, 2009).

Social-Emotional Behaviors and Social-Emotional Problems in African-American Toddler

As noted above, African-American children have been shown to be at highest risk for social-emotional problems when compared to other groups (Graham, et al., 2006). A large percent of African-American toddlers from low income families do not acquire the
habits, values and knowledge necessary to function successfully in society (Poverty, 2009). Barbarin (1993) argued that familial, social and community contexts which play a crucial role in social-emotional behavior development are in critical danger and do not function adequately in low-income African-American families. He stated that the potential problems in the low-income African-American families which affect the social-emotional development include lack of resources, unavailability of parental figures, lack of supervision outside of school hours, instability of residence, poor child care and overburdened parents. The combination of economic hardship, limited access to support, psychological burden and limited family support place significant obstacles in the way of healthy social-emotional development of low-income African-American children hence causing social-emotional problems (Barbarin & Soler, 1993).

Summary

Social-emotional skills are integral to school readiness, school success, and overall self-control and self-regulation (Caprara, Barbaranelli, Pastorelle, Bandura, & Zambardo, 2000; Haggerty, et al., 1994). Research has shown that social-emotional skills are of critical importance especially for African-American toddlers (Baker, 1999; Elias & Haynes, 2008).

Parenting Strategies

Parenting plays an important role in supporting infants and toddlers’ social-emotional development. Research has shown that family risk factors, especially caregiver factors, can impact the ability of the caregivers to support healthy growth and development (Whitaker, Orzol, Kahn, 2006). A great deal of research has shown a link between increased sensitivity of the mother and improved attachment between the dyad
Increased sensitivity and consistent parenting clearly support the formation of secure attachment providing the child with security and control in the environment (Juffer, et al., 2005).

Parenting strategies that are both sensitive to the needs of the toddler's developmental stages and activities, and are open to the developmental tasks that young children must negotiate, have been shown to have particular significance for healthy child outcomes (Bornstein, 1989). Healthy child outcomes include behavioral independence, social facility, self-regulation, emotion regulation, and emotional security (Bornstein, 1989; Bornstein, Tamis-LeMonda, & Haynes, 1999; Tamis-LeMonda, Bornstein, & Baumwell, 2001). Parenting strategies have been central to many theories of child development and social-emotional competence (Slater & Power, 1987). Two key parenting strategies described in the literature to account for the relationship between parents and children behavior are parental structure, and parental support and control (Maccoby & Martin, 1983; Rollins & Thomas, 1979). Parental structure is described as parental involvement, parental consistency or predictability and organization (Emery, 1982; Manire & Power, 1983; Martin, 1981). Previous research has shown that parental structure is critical for child outcomes in African-American families (Jackson, et al., 2000; McGroder, 2000). The parenting strategies of parental support and parental control have been shown to be related. Parental support has been described to include acceptance, warmth, support, hostility, affection, nurturance, neglect, and rejection (Rollins & Thomas, 1979). Parental control has included nurturance, sensitivity to the
child’s input and a nonrestrictive parenting (Bourg & Power, 1985; Maccoby & Martin, 1983; Rickel & Biasatti, 1982; Rollins & Thomas, 1979).

**Parental Structure: Family Routines**

The existence of family routine is one indicator of parental structure. Family routines ensure predictability, consistency and organization in the home environment (Jensen, James, Boyce, & Hartnett, 1983; Keltner, 1990). Family routines have been defined as repetitive behaviors that involve two or more family members that occur with predictable regularity. These behaviors provide stability and continuity during stressful periods and promote the cohesion of the family unit, and can occur on a daily, weekly or monthly basis (Jensen, et al., 1983). Several researches have sought to explain the significance of the effectiveness of family routines. Wilon and colleagues (1992) argued that family routines provide structure and allow family members to know their responsibilities and roles. Garmezy and Rutter (1983) argued that the harmony between parents and children serve as an effective buffer against stressors and help to negotiate daily hassles. Jensen and colleagues (1983) reported that children from majority families whose home environment is made up of routinized, predictable activities, exhibited more self-control and self-regulated behavior. There is considerable evidence that family routines in parenting promote children’s learning of pro-social relations, compliance, play, and empathy.

**Parental Structure: Family Routines in African-American Families**

Parenting structure has been shown to act as a protective factor in low-income African-American families (Brody & Flor, 1997; Keltner, 1990). In a study of African-American preschoolers, Keltner (1990) found increased cooperation and compliance
associated with reports of increased family routines. In a similar correlational study with children between 6 and 9 years of age, Brody and Flor (1997) found that home environments with more family routines were associated with reduced internalizing behaviors.

**Parenting Support and Control**

Aspects of parental support and control measured in terms of behaviors such as nurturing, positive reinforcement, praise, and warmth (Slater & Power, 1987), have been proposed to set the foundation for the development of several aspects of child growth such as social-emotional competence and self-regulation, as well as language and cognitive skills (Brody & Flor, 1997; Brody, Flor, & Gibson, 1999; Murry, Bynum, Brody, Willert, & Stephens, 2001). Parental support and control has often been measured using the Adult-Adolescence Parenting Index-2 (AAPI-2) (Bavolek & Keene, 1999). This measure yields component scales of expectations, empathy, punishment, family roles and independence. In general, aspects of parental control and support measured with the AAPI-2 have been linked to decreased conduct problems (Gardner, Sonuga-Barke, & Sayal, 1999; Gardner, Ward, Burton, & Wilson, 2003) in children and improved cognitive and academic success (Estrada, Arsenio, Hess, & Holloway, 1987; Supplee, Shaw, Hailstones, & Hartman, 2004). The AAPI-2 was designed to measure the parenting attitudes of adults and adolescents.

**Parenting Support and Control in African-American Families**

Parental support and control have also been found to act as protective factors for African-American toddlers and preschoolers who have been raised in difficult and economically-deprived environments (Jackson, et al., 2000; McGroder, 2000). Jackson
and colleagues examined how maternal education, instrumental support and financial strain affected the mother's psychological functioning, child development and parenting quality (Jackson et al., 2000). They studied 93 black women and their young children and found that elevated levels of maternal depressive symptoms were directly related to parental support and control strategies. Parenting strategies were also associated with child behavior and preschool ability. McGroder (2000) reported similar findings when investigating African-American mothers with preschoolers from low socioeconomic families. McGroder concluded that there was a positive relationship between maternal nurturance related to parenting support and control and the children's social maturity at the child's entry to school.

Summary

The research literature supports the idea that particular parenting strategies related to structure and support and control are associated with increased social-emotional competence and a reduction in social-emotional problems. These parenting strategies have been shown to be important for both majority and minority families (Brody & Flor, 1997; Jackson, et al., 2000; Keltner, 1990).

Depression

Major depression is a crippling and debilitating disorder affecting 121 million people worldwide and between 32.6 and 35.1 million adults in the United States (Kessler, et al., 2003). This disorder imposes considerable burden on individuals and the country at large. In the year 2000, depression was estimated to cost the United States over $83 billion in medical bills, absenteeism from work and benefits to sick employees. Depression affects all genders, races, ethnicities and may begin at any age with an
average onset age in the mid-20’s. It runs in families and reflects an inherited vulnerability. Among first-degree relatives, the disorder is 1.5 to 3 times more common than in the general population (APA, 2000).

The manifesting symptoms of depression are emotional, psychological, physical, cognitive, behavioral or a combination of these. The symptoms include and are not limited to retardation in movement, lack of interest in previously desired activities, sadness, feelings of loss, change in appetite, feelings of low self-worth, and poor concentration (APA, 2000). If left untreated depression can increase medical costs, increase emergency room visits due to self injuries (Greenberg, Stiglin, Finkelstein, & Berndt, 1993), have potentially negative effects on family relationships due to divorce and separation (Mazure, 2002), increase unemployment, absenteeism, and substance abuse (Weissman, Bruce, Leaf, Florion, & Holzer, 1991). The risk of suicide is greater during depression, linking depression to premature mortality. Recovery from individual episodes of depression is possible with appropriate treatment but relapse is common and care for an extended period of time is necessary (Kupfer, Frank, Perel, Cornes, & et al., 1992). The number of prior episodes predicts the likelihood of subsequent episodes with at least 60% of those with the disorder expected to have a second episode (APA, 2000). Despite the high prevalence of depression, only a small minority of those afflicted are treated. Primary care workers often fail to diagnose the symptoms due to individual differences in patients (Warren, 2002).

**Depression in Women**

Depression is a psychological disorder which interferes with the woman’s functioning and is the second leading cause of disability in women (Kessler, 1995).
Approximately 7 million women between the ages of 25 and 40 years are diagnosed with the disorder in the United States annually (Kessler, 1995). According to the World Health Organization, depression represents the greatest disease threat for women when compared to all other diseases (Murray & Lopez, 1996). In comparison to men, depression in women usually has an earlier onset and the episodes may be of longer duration than in men (APA, 2000). The course of the disorder in women is usually recurrent and is frequently associated with stressful life events. Some risk factors for depression in women include: a family history of mood disorder, loss of a parent by the age of 10, a childhood history of physical or sexual abuse, and persistent psychosocial stressors (APA, 2000).

**Depression and African-American Women**

According to the American Psychological Association report on depression and women, African-American women are reported to be at a greater risk for depression than any other group due to the higher rates of stressors in their lives (McGrath, et al., 1990). These negative life stressors include environmental challenges and lower income, unemployment and chronic strain (Linver, et al., 2002; Mistry, et al., 2004; Mistry, et al., 2002). Little is known about depression in African-American women (Barbee, 1992; Brooks & Penn, 2003; Worthington, 1992). This lack of research knowledge have been attributed to the fact that African American women rarely seek treatment, are at risk for misdiagnosis, and often withdraw from treatment programs due to their ethnic, cultural and gender needs not being met (Warren, 1994). The treatments and protocols used to treat depression in African-American women have been developed to meet the needs of Caucasian American males. These diagnostic protocols and treatments are then
generalized to females and ethnic minorities (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1999). Lack of culturally sensitive diagnostic screening tools may consequently lead to misdiagnoses and under diagnoses in African-American women (Brooks, 2003; Snowden, 2003). These problems may also be due to variability in the manifestation of depressive symptoms between African-American women and Caucasian American men (Snowden, 2005).

*Ethnic Differences in Depressive Symptoms*

Several studies have explored the differences in depressive symptoms between Caucasian American and African-American women (Barbee, 1994; Brown, Schulberg, & Madonia, 1996; Myers et al., 2002; Warren, 1994; Weissman, et al., 1991) and have concluded that racial differences exist in the manifestation of depressive symptoms by African-Americans and Caucasian Americans. Both African Americans and Caucasian Americans share important similarities in their core mood symptoms which include, appetite change, low energy, and social withdrawal (Ayalon & Young, 2003; Reed, McLeod, Randall, & Walker, 1996). However, there are some salient distinctions between the two groups in symptom presentation (Ayalon & Young, 2003; Brown, et al., 1996; Myers, et al., 2002; Reed, et al., 1996). In comparison to Caucasian Americans, African Americans reported more somatic symptoms than mood disorder (Brown, et al., 1996; Myers, et al., 2002). That is, somatization, paranoia (fearfulness), severe sleep disturbance, appetite loss, loss of libido, physical and psychological distress have been shown to be symptoms more commonly reported among African Americans.

*Summary*

Two conclusions can be made after reviewing the literature on depression in African-American women. First, the prevalence of depression is high, and second,
although core symptoms are similar, some salient differences in symptoms exist such that it can be argued that depressed African-American women often exhibit culture-bound symptoms (Ayalon & Young, 2003; Brown, et al., 1996; Myers, et al., 2002; Reed, et al., 1996). Reasons proposed for the difference in depression manifestation and experience between the two groups include cultural experience, and differences in language used to discuss their depression (Barbee, 1994; Waite & Killian, 2007).

**Maternal Depression**

Depression in women has been shown to negatively impact maternal sensitivity and the growth of social-emotional competence in young children (Cummings & Davies, 1994). Maternal depression has been shown to affect the mother's ability to communicate, read children's cues and respond sensitively to her children (Campbell, Mateestic, von Stauffenberg, Mohan, & Kirchner, 2007b; Cummings & Davies, 1994). Maternal depression has been associated with hostile, critical, disengaged play and mother-child interactions that are disorganized, avoidant, less communicative, less responsive and less sensitive (Gelfand & Teti, 1990). Maternal depression has been shown to clearly hamper the mother's ability to nurture and to provide the sensitivity that the child needs (Cummings & Davies, 1994).

Chronicity of the mother's depression has been linked with disengaged mother-child interactions. In addition, it has been shown that the more severe the depressive symptoms the mother exhibits, the less sensitive the mother-child interactions (NICHD, 1992). Women who exhibited low, intermittent or chronic depressive symptom were compared and women who reported fewer depressive symptoms were found to be more sensitive to the needs of their children as compared to those who reported chronic depression.
This study extended the operational definition of “maternal depression” to include female primary caregivers. Thirteen percent of the female primary caregivers in this sample were not biological mothers. Eighty-five percent of these women had been primary caregivers for a minimum of two years (see Whitebook, Phillip, Bellm, Cromwell, Almaraz & Jo, 2004, for a discussion of depression in female primary caregivers and significance for young children.

*Maternal Depression and Child Outcomes*

Maternal depressive symptoms have been shown to impact a range of child outcomes including aspects of attachment and cognition (Lyons-Ruth, 1996; Lyons-Ruth, Zoll, Connell, & Grunebaum, 1986a; Radke-Yarrow, Cummings, Kuczynski, & Chapman, 1985; Spieker & Booth, 1988). Deficits in attachment are important as there is accumulating evidence that the attachment status between the infant and the caregiver has a significant effect on many aspect of the child’s development (Cummings & Davies, 1995; Lyons-Ruth, 1992; L. Murray & P. Cooper, 1997; Petterson & Albers, 2001).

A well-established body of research has demonstrated that maternal depression also impacts aspects of cognitive development (Campbell, Matestic, von Stauffenberg, Mohan, & Kirchner, 2007a; Cummings & Davies, 1994; Field, et al., 1995; Goodman & Tully, 2006; NICHD, 1999; Petterson & Albers, 2001). Campbell and colleagues recently reported a large longitudinal study showing that poor school performance was found in children with mothers reporting chronic depressive symptoms (Campbell, Matestic, von Stauffenberg, Mohan & Kirchner, 2007). First graders whose mothers reported increasing and chronic levels of depressive symptoms performed poorly in cognitive testing (Woodcock Johnson) and had negative teacher reports as compared with
children whose mothers reported low or no depression. The findings also revealed that whenever maternal depression was severe, the children’s academic performance was adversely affected in a significant way. Similarly, Lyons-Ruth and colleagues (Lyons-Ruth, Connell, & Grunebaum, 1990; 1986b) found that there was a significant relationship between higher levels of depression in mothers and poorer performance on cognitive and linguistic measures compared to children whose mothers were never depressed.

Petterson and Albers (2001) examined the cumulative effects of poverty and maternal depression on child outcomes in 7677 mothers and children. The results showed that chronic maternal depression in poor families had a particularly adverse effect on the cognitive and motor development of children. Specifically, children whose mothers had moderate and severe depression scored lower on the developmental screening (Denver Developmental Screening Test) than children whose mothers had low or no depression. Poverty accentuated the finding. Overall, both chronic maternal depression and poverty jeopardize the development of children’s cognitive performance. In another longitudinal study by NICHD researchers (NICHD, 1999) maternal depression and other co-occurring risk factors were examined in relation to mother-child interactions and child cognitive functioning in 1,215 thirty-six month olds. The results revealed that chronically depressive symptoms in mothers were associated with reduced performance in children’s cognitive, expressive language and linguistic abilities.

*Maternal Depression and Social-Emotional Competence in Toddlers*

Social-emotional competency skills have also shown to be impacted by maternal depression. Dietz and colleagues (Dietz, Jennings, & Abrew, 2005) examined the effects
of maternal depression on the skillfulness of toddler’s self-assertive strategies in interacting with their caregiver or another female. Results reveal that toddlers exposed to maternal depression demonstrated significantly lowered social-emotional competence skills in their self-assertive strategies compared to toddlers who were not exposed to maternal depression. Additionally, toddlers exposed to more chronic courses of maternal depression exhibited even lower social-emotional competency skills. The overall conclusion was that exposure to maternal depression may interfere with the development of social-emotional competence skills in regards to self-assertive strategies and may also affect the mother-child relationship.

*Maternal Depression and Social-Emotional Problems in Toddlers*

In addition to negative impacts on social-emotional competence, research has shown that toddlers who are exposed to maternal depressive symptoms are also at risk for increased social-emotional problems (Zahn-Waxler, et al., 1990; Zahn-Waxler, et al., 2000). As toddlers, the effects of having a depressed mother are withdrawal, irritability, negative emotions and lower activity (Field, et al., 1995; L. Murray & P. Cooper, 1997). Preschool and elementary school aged children of depressed mothers have been reported to be more irritable; explore, engage, and vocalize less; and exhibit more externalizing and internalizing problems (Alpern & Lyons-Ruth, 1993; Downey & Coyne, 1990; Harden, et al., 2000a; Lyons-Ruth, Easterbrooks, & Cibelli, 1997; Lynne Murray, Stanley, Hooper, King, & et al., 1996; Zahn-Waxler, et al., 1990).

Alpern and Lyon-Ruth (1993) investigated the relationship between past, current and chronic maternal depressive symptoms and children’s social-emotional problems (hostile, anxious, hyperactive, demanding and withdrawn). The results indicated that
children with symptomatic mothers at infancy and preschool had elevated rates of hostile and aggressive behaviors in the classroom and at home. Additionally, these children had more social-emotional problems, such as elevated anxiety and depressive symptoms, than children whose mothers were never depressed. Similarly, Zahn-Waxler and colleagues (1990) found that there was a significant relationship between higher levels of depression in mothers and externalizing behaviors in their preschoolers. Problems were more frequent and continuity patterns were more evident in children of depressed mothers. These effects of maternal depression have been shown to continue across early childhood and adolescence (Angold & Rutter, 1992; Kaplan, Hong, & Weinhold, 1984).

Summary

Maternal depression has been shown to negatively impact a range of child outcomes, including attachment, cognitive development, social-emotional competence, and social-emotional problems (Campbell, et al., 2007a; Lyons-Ruth, et al., 1986a; NICHD, 1999; Petterson & Albers, 2001; Radke-Yarrow, et al., 1985; Spieker & Booth, 1988). Most researchers have suggested that the underlying reasons for these consistent findings are that depressed mothers are unsupportive, less engaging, interact less with their children and are more impatient and intrusive with their children (Cox, Puckering, Pound, & Mills, 1987; Field, et al., 1995; Gelfand & Teti, 1990; Goodman & Emory, 1992; L. Murray & P. Cooper, 1997; L. Murray & P. J. Cooper, 1997; Webster-Stratton, 1998).

Maternal Depression and Child Outcomes in Toddlers from African-American Families

Relatively few studies have examined the effects of maternal depression on child development in African-American toddlers. The studies that do exist have also reported a
link between low-income African-American mothers who report higher levels of depressive symptoms and more social-emotional problems and less social competence skills in their young children.


A small set of studies has focused on maternal depression and social-emotional competence in African-American families. Koblinsky et al. (2006) studied 184 African-American mothers from Head Start Programs and found that maternal depression was a significant risk factor for children's outcomes in this population. Mothers with higher levels of depression had preschoolers who exhibited decreased social competency skills.


Maternal depression has frequently been shown to be associated with increased likelihood of social-emotional problems in African-American children. Harden and colleagues (2000) examined 155 caregiver-child dyads from a suburban sample of African-American families. African-American women with maternal depression and their children were interviewed using a combination of parent-report, teacher-report, independent observation and child assessment methods. A strong correlation was found between increased maternal depressive symptoms and increased social-emotional behavior problems of preschoolers. This study was important in that it is one of the few studies that gather data from several different sources including parents, teachers, direct observation and child assessment to base its findings.

Aikens, Coleman and Barbarin (2008) investigated the relation of maternal depression and child outcomes and examined families of differing ethnicity. Aikens and
colleagues examined the prevalence, correlates, and consequences of maternal depression for Caucasian American, African-American, and Latino mothers and their preschoolers. This longitudinal study consisted of 412 parent-child dyads. Using data from both teachers and parents the authors concluded that the behavioral outcomes of low-income African-American infant and toddlers with depressed mothers were worse than Latino and Caucasian American children with depressed mothers. Parent-child conflict was found to be a significant mediating variable between maternal depression and child outcome in African-American families. African-American parents with elevated symptoms of depression reported greater parent-child conflict than non-depressed African-American parents. Furthermore, disadvantaged African-American toddlers whose mothers reported depressive symptoms, exhibited more externalizing and internalizing problems when compared to Latino and Caucasian American families. The results suggest that depression as well as the greater parent-child conflict were significant risk factors for increased behavioral problems exhibited by African-American children.

More recently, Riley and colleagues (Riley et al., 2009) examined the association of maternal depression with the behavioral and emotional problems and adaptive skills of 4-10 year olds of predominately African-Americans and Latino families. In a sample of 133 depressed and non-depressed women from low-income urban communities, mothers, fathers and teachers reported on children’s emotional and behavioral problems and adaptive functioning. According to the results, children with depressed mothers had significantly more emotional and behavioral problems in comparison to their counterparts with non-depressed mothers. Additionally, children with depressed mothers exhibited lower adaptive skills.
Maternal depression in African-American caregivers has frequently been measured using the Center for Epidemiological Studies – Depression (CES-D) and has been found to be valid and reliable. This measure has been extensively used with researchers in community-based surveys (Aikens, et al., 2008; Brody & Flor, 1997; Jackson, Gyamfi, Brooks-Gunn, & Blake, 1998a; Koblinsky, et al., 2006b; Radloff, 1977a; Taylor, Seaton, & Dominguez, 2008). Additionally, growing bodies of literature support the universality of the factor structure of CES-D (Perriera et al., 2005; Rozario & Menon, 2010). However, as noted earlier, some researchers have questioned the adequacy of this measure for use with different racial groups due to its measurement variance across these different groups (Perriera, Deeeb-Sossa, Harris, & Bokeen, 2005). Despite the controversy, the CES-D measure was chosen with the current sample population, given its ability to assess four dimensions (i.e., depressed affect, positive affect, somatic complaints and interpersonal relations) of depression and reliability and validity in several different populations (Rozario & Menon, 2010).

Summary

Researchers have reported a great deal of evidence that maternal depression negatively affects child outcomes (Luthar & Cicchetti, 2000) of African-American children. The ecological/resilience models (Bronfenbrenner, 1986; Luthar & Cicchetti, 2000) in which the outcome of children has been predicted based on the differential and interactive effects of individual, family, community and larger groups within the society holds great promise for the study of African-American families.
Rationale for Current Study

Toddlers’ social-emotional behaviors have been shown to be related to school readiness and academic success in both majority and African-American children (Estrada, et al., 1987; Supplee, et al., 2004). The current study was designed to address several gaps in the literature related to social-emotional behaviors, both competence and problems, in African-American toddlers. The study was designed such that both risk and protective factors related to the family could be examined within the same study and the focus was directed towards both social-emotional competences and social-emotional problems. African-American caregivers provided both assessments concerning potential risk (depression) and resilience (parenting structure and control) factors in their family, and ratings of their toddlers’ social emotional competencies and problems. Four research hypotheses were tested.

Hypothesis 1: Higher levels of depressive symptoms, as measured by the Center for Epidemiologic Studies- Depression (CES-D) in African-American female primary caregivers will predict higher scores on their toddlers’ social-emotional problems and lower scores on social-emotional competence as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social- Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004).

This hypothesis was based on studies regarding the association of depressive symptoms and behavior problems in majority families (Campbell, et al., 2007a; Koblinsky, et al., 2006b; NICHD, 1999). Previous studies have concluded that toddlers from majority families whose caregivers report more depressive symptoms exhibit both more behavior problems and lower social-emotional competence (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004; Zahn-Waxler, et.al., 1990)
Hypothesis 2: Higher ratings of family routines, as measured by the Family Routines Inventory, by African-American female primary caregivers will predict lower scores on their toddlers' social-emotional problems and higher scores on social-emotional competence as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social-Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004).

This hypothesis was supported by research on parenting strategies related to family structure or family routines which suggests that increased family structure and routines act as a protective factor for children's social-emotional outcomes (Brody & Flor, 1997; Jensen, et al., 1983; Keltner, 1990). Specifically, Wilon and colleagues (1992) revealed that increased family routines were associated with more cooperation, compliance and less externalizing behaviors on the part of toddlers. The current hypothesis extended this investigation to examine the effect of family routines on social-emotional competence.

Hypothesis 3: Higher levels of parent support and control, as measured by the Adult-Adolescent Parenting Inventory – 2 (AAPI-2), reported by African-American female primary caregivers will predict lower scores on their toddlers' social-emotional problems and higher scores on social-emotional competence as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social-Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004).

This hypothesis was supported by research showing an association of specific parenting strategies related to parental support and control and decreased overall behavior problems (Jackson, et al., 2000; McGroder, 2000). Previous studies have found that African-American mothers and fathers who reported scores of higher levels of involved
and parenting strategies had children with fewer behavior problems and higher school achievement (Jackson, et. al., 2000).

_Hypothesis 4: Parenting strategies, as measured in terms of parenting structure (family routines) and parenting support and control (AAPI-2) will moderate the predictive relationship between maternal depression and social-emotional competence and problems in African-American families._

This hypothesis was supported by previous research linking parenting strategies and child outcomes (Bailey, Delaney-Black, Covington, & Sokol, 2006; Ceballo, Ramirez, Hearn, & Maltese, 2003). Previous studies have shown that some parenting strategies moderated the link between child outcomes and distal factors. The current study was designed to extend previous research such that both parental support and control as well as parental structure could be examined in African-American families.

_Exploratory Analyses of Depressive Symptoms in African-American Caregivers._

The exploration of somatic depressive symptoms in African-American caregivers will be conducted using items from the CES-D. The exploration of particular symptoms associated with depression in African-American women was supported by studies which suggest that African-American women exhibit different depressive symptoms from majority women (Barbee, 1992, 1994; Brown, Abe-Kim, & Barrio, 2003; Carrington, 2006). Specifically, these studies have concluded that African-American caregivers exhibit more somatic symptoms of their depression.
METHODS

Participants

A total of 103 African-American female primary caregivers with toddlers between ages of 1-3 years were recruited for the study. The toddlers ranged in the age of 12 to 36 months (M = 25.36, SD = 9.387) comprising of 43 boys and 60 girls. Recruitment sites were centered in urban settings in which the population was predominantly low income. As shown in Table 1, the majority of caregivers reported marital status as mostly single (78.6%), an income of $8,859 and below (53.4%), and the highest grade completed was “some college” (37.9%) or “finishing either high school or 11th grade” (38.8%).

Procedures

Caregivers were recruited by distributing information flyers and brochures at places where African-American women convened or visited on a regular basis. These included community mental health agencies, health centers, preschools, beauty salons, low-income neighborhoods, libraries, and transitional residential shelters. Typically, data were collected from caregivers in a one-time twenty minute interview session by the primary researcher. Caregivers completed five questionnaires which measured level of caregiver’s depressive symptoms, family routine, child’s social-emotional level and parenting strengths and weaknesses. Caregivers received ten dollars and a children’s book to compensate for their time and participation. A small number of caregivers had more than one child in that age range. In those cases, one child was chosen randomly as
the target child. The questionnaires were ordered starting with those dealing with the child assessments followed by parental self report measures of parenting strategies, depression status, and demographics. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board and caregiver informed consent was received prior to data collection.

**Measures**

**Measures of Child Outcomes**

*Socioemotional Behavior.* The Brief Infant Toddler Social and Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004) was used to assess the social-emotional competence and area of difficulties for children between the ages of 12 months and 36 months (Appendix E). The BITSEA has previously been found to be valid and reliable on an ethnically diverse sample population (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004). The 49 items on the Problem Scale measures areas of externalizing problems, internalizing problems, problems of dysregulation, maladaptive behaviors, and atypical behaviors. The 11 items on the Competence Scale addresses areas such as attention, compliance, mastery motivation, prosocial peer relations, empathy, imitation and social relatedness. Responses were rated on a scale of 0 (not true or rarely) to 2 (very true/often). An option of no opportunity was given for behaviors that a parent had not been given an opportunity to observe in the last month.

The BITSEA yields both a problem score and a competence score. Scoring is done by summing the responses within the problem and competence areas. The child’s competence and problem scores are then compared with the cut scores in the appropriate gender and age range.
Measures of Parenting Strategies.

Parenting Support and Control. The Adult Adolescent Parenting Index 2 (AAPI-2) (Bavolek & Keene, 1999) was used to assess parenting skills and attitudes in 5 categories: expectations of children; parental empathy towards children’s needs; use of corporal punishment; parent-child family roles; and children’s power and independence (Appendix C). It is has been widely used with low-income and diverse samples of adults and adolescents, including Hawaiians, Mexican-Americans, prison inmates, adolescents, abuse victims, and has been found to be reliable and valid (Bavolek & Keene, 1999; Cowen, 2001; Palusci, Crum, Bliss & Bavolek, 2007). This 40-item norm-referenced, standardized measure uses a 5-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The raw scores were converted to sten scores (or standard scores) that range from 1 to 10. Previous research has shown that low sten scores (1-3) generally indicate a high risk for abusive parenting, and high sten scores (8 to 10) generally indicate positive and nurturing parenting. Scores falling in the range of 4 to 7 indicate a moderate risk for abusive parenting. The raw scores for all the participants were scored according to AAPI-2 specifications such that five categories and five sten scores for each participant was generated. The mean of the five sten scores was calculated and used for the purpose of this study.

Family Routine Scale (FRI). Routines of the family were measured using seven items from the larger Family Routine Inventory (FRI) (Jensen, et al., 1983). The seven items (Appendix D) chosen, focus directly on activities that occur between a young child and the family. The items were on a scale from 1 (almost never) to 4 (almost always). The FRI has been found to be reliable and valid when used with African-American parent
and child dyads (Baez, 2000; Brody & Flor, 1997; Koblinsky, et al., 2006b; Taylor, et al., 2008). Total scores were calculated by summing the scores for the seven items.

**Measures of Parent Factors**

*Parent Demographic Information Form.* Background information including age, employment, income, etc. was obtained from each primary caregiver (see Appendix A).

*Maternal Depression.* Maternal depression was assessed using The Center for Epidemiologic Studies – Depression - CES-D (Radloff, 1977b) (Appendix B). The CES-D was used with this sample population as it had previously been used extensively in community sample surveys and has been found to be reliable and valid with African-American women (Aikens, et al., 2008; Brody & Flor, 1997; Jackson, et al., 1998a; Koblinsky, et al., 2006b; Radloff, 1977a; Taylor, et al., 2008). The 20-item assessment measured the acute and current level of depressive symptoms with an emphasis on depressed mood in the last week. This measure incorporates the main symptoms of depression and ranks responses on a 4-point scale. The ranges for the scores were measured on a Likert Scale from 0 *(rarely or not at all/ less than 1 day per week)* to 3 *(most or all/ 5 to 7 days per week)* with the total score ranging from 0-60. According to previous studies, scores between 16 and 26 are indicative of mild depression, scores of 27 and higher are indicative of higher levels of depressive symptoms (Zich et al., 1990; Ensel, 1986). The assessment rated the frequency within a week period, within which participants have felt “sad”, “lonely”, “fearful”, “guilty”, “hopeless” and other depressive symptoms.

*Exploratory Analysis of Somatic Dimensions of Depression*
An exploratory analysis was conducted with a subset of the somatic assessment questions on the CES-D. The somatic-related items correspond to questions numbered 1, 2, 5, 7, 13, and 20 on the CES-D (Appendix F). These six items had face validity and had an alpha coefficient in this study of .57. The reliability testing of the six items was conducted to ensure that these items reflected the somatic dimension that they were measuring.
RESULTS

Preliminary Analyses

Means and standard deviations for study variables are shown in Table 2. Preliminary analyses were first conducted to determine the overall pattern of children’s social-emotional skills and relations among variables: gender, child’s age, caregiver’s annual income maternal depression, family routine and parent support and control, social-emotional competence scale, social-emotional problem scale. See Table 3. Preliminary analyses were conducted to examine gender differences in social-emotional problems and social-emotional competence. Independent samples t-test results showed gender differences between female and male toddlers on assessments of social-emotional competence ($t(101) = -2.04$, $p = .04$. Preliminary analyses were also conducted to determine whether annual income was related to social-emotional problems and social-emotional competence. Spearman correlation results revealed that annual income was significantly related to toddlers’ social-emotional problems, $r_s = -.46$, $p < .001$. Decreasing annual income was associated with increasing toddlers’ social-emotional problems. Annual income was not related to social-emotional competence. All the analyses were conducted using two-tailed tests and a significance level set at $p < .05$. In subsequent analyses, gender and the caregiver’s annual income were used as control variables. No significant correlations were found between chronological age and social-emotional competence and social-emotional problems.

Statistical Assumptions
All statistical assumptions were examined prior to conducting the analyses. The Variable Type Assumption was met as all the predictor variables were quantitative and the outcome variables were quantitative, continuous and unbounded (i.e., there were no constraints in the variability of the outcome data). The Independence Assumption was met as each value of the outcome variable was independent of the other. The Durbin-Watson Test showed that the data met the assumption of independence error for both multiple regression (1.532 for the social-emotional competence scale model and 2.257 for the social-emotional problem scale model). Both values were within the acceptable range of greater than 1 and less than 3 (Fields, 2000). Regarding the assessments, each of the predictors had some variation in value with no two variables having variance of zero (i.e., the Non-Zero Variance Assumption was met). The Linearity Assumption was met as the mean values of the outcome variables for each increment of the predictors were linear. Collinearity statistics (i.e., variance inflation factor (VIF) and the tolerance statistics) indicated that the assumption of multicollinearity was not violated as predictor variables were not highly correlated. For the interaction variables (i.e., Maternal Depression x Family Routine and Maternal Depression x Parent Support and Control), multicollinearity was avoided by centering the interaction variables.

Hypotheses Testing

To test the four hypotheses of the current study, two hierarchical multiple regression were conducted. Analyses of social-emotional competence were examined separately from social-emotional problems as assessed by the BITSEA. Hierarchical multiple regressions were used since they were able to demonstrate the effect of each predictor variable on the outcome variables (Cohen & Cohen, 1983). Maternal depression, family routine and parent support and control were used as the predictor variables for children’s social-emotional behaviors (problem scale and competence). Data
analyses were conducted using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 19.

Given that in the preliminary analysis, gender was correlated with ratings on social-emotional competence, gender was used as a control variable in the first block of the hierarchical regression with social-emotional competence. Similarly, given that in the preliminary analysis, household income was correlated with ratings on social-emotional problems, household income was entered in the first block for the hierarchical regression with social-emotional problems. For the second and remaining blocks, both regression analyses had identical variables. Block two of the regression analyses contained the scores on maternal depression. In the third block, scores on the two assessments of parenting strategies were included as measured by the Family Routine Inventory and the AAPI-2. In the final block of the regression analyses the interaction factors of maternal depression × family routines and maternal depression × parent support and control were entered.

Social-Emotional Problem Behaviors In African-American Toddlers

Ratings by female primary caregivers of toddlers’ social-emotional problem behaviors were examined in relation to: 1) annual income of caregivers, 2) maternal depression, 3) assessments of parenting strategies 4) interaction variable (maternal depression × family routine; maternal depression × parent support and control). As shown in Table 4, the control variable of annual income in the first block significantly predicted the social-emotional problem score $F(1, 101) = 20.12, p < .001$, accounting for 17% of the variance. The standardized coefficient for annual income was -.41 which showed that caregivers with lower annual income had toddlers with higher problem
scores, \( t(101) = -4.49, p < .001 \). Even within a sample of generally low-income families, household income was a relevant factor in predicting child outcomes.

**Hypothesis 1:** Higher levels of depressive symptoms, as measured by the Center for Epidemiologic Studies- Depression (CES-D) by African-American female primary caregivers will predict higher scores on their toddlers’ social-emotional problems as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social-Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) \( (\text{Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004}) \).

The first hypothesis of the study was confirmed. Results indicated that maternal depression significantly predicted social emotional problems \( F(1, 100) = 18.89, p < .001 \) and accounted for 13.2\% of the variance. The standardized coefficient for maternal depression was .37, which showed that female primary caregivers with higher depression had toddlers with higher problem scores, \( t(100) = 4.35, p < .001 \).

**Hypothesis 2:** Higher ratings of family routines, as measured by the Family Routines Inventory, by African-American female primary caregivers will predict lower scores on their toddlers’ social-emotional problems as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social-Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) \( (\text{Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004}) \).

The second hypothesis of the study was not supported. There was no evidence that ratings of parenting strategies as measured by assessment of family routines related to ratings of social emotional problem behaviors \( (\beta = -.075, p = .378) \).

**Hypothesis 3:** Higher levels of parent support and control, as measured by the Adult-Adolescent Parenting Inventory – 2 (AAPI-2), reported by African-American female primary caregivers will predict lower scores on their toddlers’ social-emotional problems as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social-Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) \( (\text{Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004}) \).
This hypothesis was not supported. Reports of parent support and control on the AAPI-2 by female primary caregivers did not significantly add to the regression model ($\beta = -0.14, p = .12$).

**Hypothesis 4:** Parenting strategies, as assessed in terms of parenting structure (family routines) and parenting support and control (AAPI-2) will moderate the relationship between maternal depression and social-emotional problems in African-American families.

This fourth hypothesis examined the individual and interactive contribution of specific risk and protective factors to child social-emotional problems. Specifically, it examined whether there was an interaction between maternal depression and social-emotional problem and whether parenting strategies (family routine and parenting support and control) moderated this relationship. The interaction variables created were: maternal depression $\times$ family routine and maternal depression $\times$ parent support and control and were placed in block four of the regression analysis. The interaction variables were centered so as to reduce multicollinearity (Aiken & West, 1991; Judd & McClelland, 1989), and the newly created centered data-point variables were then multiplied by each other (i.e., a maternal depression centered data-point was then multiplied by family routine centered data-point to create Maternal Depression $\times$ Family Routine interaction variable).

After considering the effects of income and depression, the interaction variables were not significant. No evidence was found for the suggestion that family routine and parenting support and control changed the effect that maternal depression had on the child’s social-emotional problems.
Summary: Social-Emotional Problem Behaviors in African American Toddlers

In sum, correlational analyses conducted showed that maternal depression, and annual income were correlated with social-emotional problems score. Further analyses using hierarchical multiple regression demonstrated that annual income and maternal depression, were the significant predictors for social-emotional problem behaviors. Results revealed that caregivers with higher levels of depressive symptoms had toddlers with higher problem scores. In addition, as caregivers’ income decreased, maternal ratings of toddlers’ social-emotional problem scores increased.

A central question of the current study was whether parenting strategies (family routines and parent support and control) moderated the relationship between maternal depression and social-emotional problem scale. The results revealed that after considering the effects of annual income and maternal depression, there was no impact of the interaction variables (maternal depression × family routine; maternal depression × parent support and control). No support was found for the proposal that parenting strategies moderated the impact of depression on toddlers’ social-emotional problem behaviors (See Table 6).

Social-Emotional Competence in African American Toddlers

Ratings by female primary caregivers of toddlers’ social-emotional competence were examined in relation to: 1) gender, 2) maternal depression, 3) assessments of parenting strategies and 4) the interaction variable (maternal depression × family routine; maternal depression × parent support and control). As shown in Table 7, the control variable of gender was statistically significant $F(1, 101) = 4.12, p = .04$, accounting for 4% of the variance. The standardized coefficient for gender was .20 which shows that the gender of the child predicted the child’s social-emotional competence score. The mean ratings of social-emotional competence for girls was 17.98; the mean ratings for boys was 16.79 $t(101) = 2.04, p = .04$. 
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Hypothesis 1: Higher levels of depressive symptoms, as measured by the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression (CES-D) in African-American female primary caregivers will predict lower scores on social-emotional competence as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social-Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004).

Results indicated that maternal depression was not a significant predictor for toddler’s social-emotional competence (see Table 7).

Hypothesis 2: Higher ratings of family routines, as measured by the Family Routines Inventory, by African-American female primary caregivers will predict higher scores on social-emotional competence as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social-Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004).

Results revealed support for the hypothesis that higher levels of family routine predicted higher scores on the social-emotional competence scale. As shown in Table 7, family routine predicted social-emotional competence $F(2, 98) = 4.08, p = .02$, accounting for 8% of the variance. The standardized coefficient for family routine was .25. Families who reported more routines also report that their toddlers had higher social-emotional competence, $t (98) = 2.60, p = 011$.

Hypothesis 3: Higher levels of parent support and control, as measured by the Adult-Adolescent Parenting Inventory – 2 (AAPI-2), reported by African-American female primary caregivers will predict higher scores on social-emotional competence as assessed by the Brief Infant-Toddler Social-Emotional Assessment (BITSEA) (Briggs-Gowan, et al., 2004).
The hypothesis that the assessments of parental support and control would predict higher scores on social-emotional competence was not confirmed (see Table 7).

_Hypothesis 4: Parenting strategies, as assessed in terms of parenting structure (family routines) and parenting support and control (AAPI-2), will moderate the relationship between maternal depression and social-emotional competence in African-American families._

Hypothesis four was not supported. After considering the significant effects of gender and family routine in the regression analysis, the interaction was not significant, suggesting that parenting strategies (family routine and the parent support and control) did not impact the relations between maternal depression and children’s social-emotional competence.

Summary: Social-Emotional Competence in African-American Toddlers

In sum, in the analysis of ratings of social-emotional competence scores, the variables of gender and family routine were found to be statistically significant and predicted social-emotional competence. However, maternal depression and parent support and control were not significant predictors of social-emotional competence. One of the key questions underlying this study was the question as to whether parenting strategies (family routines and parent support and control) moderated the relationship between maternal depression and social-emotional competence. Analyses of the interaction factor, maternal depression × family routine, maternal depression × parent support and control, revealed no significant contribution to children's social-emotional competence scores.
Exploratory Analysis

An exploratory analysis was conducted on the somatic depressive symptoms of the CES-D. The somatic-related items correspond to questions numbered 1, 2, 5, 7 13, and 20 on the CES-D.

The results of the exploratory analysis revealed that the somatic complaint items represented a third of the total CES-D score. In this sample, the six somatic depression items were significantly correlated with the full CES-D assessment $r(101) = .79, p < .001$. Similar to the analysis of the full roster of depressive symptoms, somatic items were significantly correlated with social-emotional problems $r(101) = .35, p < .001$. Meaning that as caregivers' somatic scores increased, the toddlers' social-emotional problems scores also increased.

The somatic complaints items were compared with the depressed affect dimension of the CES-D and the total CES-D score. When compared with the depressed affect ($M = 4.82$), the somatic scores had a higher mean ($M = 5.90$). Further analyses showed that the mean of the somatic scores represented 34% of the total CES-D mean score ($M = 17.32$). These findings reveal that somatic symptoms explained over a third of the depressive symptoms of African-American caregivers in a community sample. Caregivers who reported higher levels of somatic depressive symptoms also reported higher levels of social-emotional problems from their toddlers.

Follow-up Analyses on Depression Variability

Additional analyses revealed wide variability in the depression profiles of this sample. Approximately half of the sample reported symptoms of depression with scores greater than 16 on the CES-D. These follow-up analyses were conducted so as to further investigate the relationship between depressed caregivers and social-emotional problems
and social-emotional competence. Follow up analyses were conducted with social-emotional problems as the dependent variable. The Pearson correlation analysis revealed that ratings on social-emotional problem were significantly correlated with maternal depression $r(47) = .33, p = .02$. Higher levels of depressive symptoms were associated with increased ratings of social-emotional problems in toddlers. The regression analysis revealed that social-emotional problems were predicted only by the control variable income $F(1, 47) = 10.98, p = .002$, accounting for 19% of the variance. The standardized coefficient for income was -.435 which showed that the lower the income the higher the social-emotional problems. (see Table 8).

Follow up analyses examining social-emotional competence as the dependent variable showed that depression was significantly correlated to family routine $r(47) = .36, p = .01$. Increased family routine scores were associated with increased social-emotional competence in toddlers. The regression analysis revealed that family routine was the only predicting factor for social-emotional competence $F(2, 44) = 4.89, p = .01$, accounting for 17% of the variance. The standardized coefficient for family routine was .426. Families who reported more routines also report that their toddlers had higher social-emotional competence. (see Table 9)
DISCUSSION

The current study was designed to examine the significance of several variables related to caregivers for social-emotional problems and competencies in African American toddlers from a community sample within Bronfenbrenner and Bornstein’s ecological/risk and resilience framework. By examining both the risk factor of caregivers’ depression and the protective factors of parenting strategies (i.e., family routine and parental support and control), new information about their individual significance and how these factors interact to impact child outcomes could be obtained. The rationale for looking at child outcomes in terms of both social-emotional competence (i.e. attention, compliance, empathy, pro-social relations) and social-emotional problems (i.e. internalizing, externalizing and dysregulation) centered on the need to characterize both strengths and challenges in child outcomes in relation to these parenting factors. The rationale for the focus on African-American families from an urban community sample was based on the need to expand the investigation of social-emotional behaviors in African-American children from urban community samples to include more than just negative social-emotional outcomes, such as problem behaviors and clinical diagnosis of externalizing symptoms, and study positive social-emotional outcomes, such as competencies.
Three questions were addressed in this work. First, how do family risk and protective factors predict social-emotional problems in African-American toddlers? Second, how do family risk and protective factors predict social-emotional competence in African-American toddlers? Third, how do protective factors moderate the effect of risk factors on both social-emotional problems and social-emotional competence?

Risk and Protective Factors and Social-Emotional Problems

As reviewed earlier, social-emotional problems in toddlers have been researched more extensively than social-emotional competence. Researchers have shown a strong link between social-emotional problems and negative factors such as insecure attachment, externalizing and internalizing behaviors, lack of nurturance, and continuous negative interaction (Aikens, et al., 2008; Harden, et al., 2000a; Zahn-Waxler, et al., 2000).

Additional research by Brauner and Stephens (2006) assert that toddlers experience social-emotional problems that negatively affect their functioning, development and school readiness (Poverty, 2009). Poverty (2009) has concluded that race and ethnicity effectively places toddlers at-risk for social-emotional problems making them two to three times more vulnerable (Poverty, 2009).

Income As A Risk Factor For Social-Emotional Problems

Given the previous findings that economic disadvantage in families has been shown to be a risk factor that impacts toddlers’ social-emotional growth (Duncan, Brooks-Gunn & Klebanov, 1994; Knapp, Ammen, Arstein-Kerslake, Poulsen, & Mastergeorge, 2007), the current study recruited African-American caregivers from an urban community environment. The goal was to examine variations in income even within an economically deprived sample. Previous work has shown that the lower the income, the lower the child’s social-
emotional skills, the less family routines and the greater the reports of emotional and behavioral problems (Thompson, 2002). The current question focused on whether this would also be true in an urban community sample. This was important to study as Duncan and colleagues (1994) found that toddlers in low-income neighborhoods are less likely to engage in family routines, more likely to experience behavioral problems than toddlers from affluent neighborhoods (Knapp et al., 2007) and the level of risk for poor social-emotional outcomes in low-income African-American toddlers can be characterized as alarming (Graham, et al., 2006).

The current study with its focus on an urban community sample allowed an in-depth analysis of risk and protective factors within an African-American sample from a predominantly low income population. The current findings showed that income and depression in female primary caregivers were predictive of social-emotional problems in African-American toddlers. These findings support previous research and add new findings to the literature. Previous studies have found that high symptoms of maternal depression were correlated with behavior problems in a sample of African-American, or predominately African-American caregivers (Harden et al., 2000b; Jackson, Gyamfi, Brooks-Gunn, & Blake, 1998b; Koblinsky, Kuvalanka, & Randolph, 2006a), but they did not assess both social-emotional problems and social-emotional competence. In only one study by Koblinsky and colleagues, was the role of maternal depression and other factors employed to examine their respective roles in predicting social competence as well as problem behaviors in African-American toddlers. Koblinsky found that lower levels of maternal depressive symptoms were predictive of lower levels of externalizing and internalizing behaviors. Koblinsky et al. shared the conclusion that as mothers become
more depressed, they likely became less responsive, hostile, critical, and generally less engaged, resulting in children rearing themselves or adopting those withdrawn, troubling behaviors exhibited by the parent. New questions emerge from the current findings regarding the impact of maternal depression on social emotional development in that it was found here that the impact was clear for social-emotional problems but not for social-emotional competence. This difference is interesting and worthy of further exploration.

Maternal Depression in African-American Women

The current understanding of depression in African-American women has many gaps. In support of the work by Ayalon and Young (2003) the current findings showed that somatic symptoms predicted a reasonable percent of depression in African-American women. However, the current study found no difference in the relations between the full depressive scores and the somatic complaint depressive scores taken from CES-D assessment. The somatic complaint items did correlate with the assessments on social-emotional behaviors. The current study found that the depressive symptoms of African-American caregivers comprise largely of somatic complaint symptoms. Further research is needed to explore the relationship between somatic complaint symptoms of African-American women and the CES-D.

Parenting Strategies in African-American Caregivers of Toddlers

The current study revealed some interesting differences in the two assessments of parenting strategies. The first and most frequently employed assessment of parenting strategies, the AAPI-2, was not a significant predictor of social-emotional problems in African-American toddlers. This finding contrast with previous research linking higher levels of involved parenting strategies with fewer behavior problems in older African-
American children (Jackson et al., 2000; McGroder, 2000). A possible explanation for this finding is that parent responses for the AAPI-2 subscales in this study were found to be in the low to moderate category: parental empathy towards children – 3.53; expectation of children – 4.01; parent-child family roles – 4.16; use of corporal punishment – 3.73; children’s power and independence – 4.47. The scores for this study were mostly in the low to moderate range and that could explain why they were not predictive of either high or low social-emotional problems.

The second aspect of parenting strategies, family routines, was examined as a factor that could predict social-emotional problems in African American toddlers. Self-reports of family routines made by female primary caregivers was also not a significant predictor of social-emotional problems in African American toddlers. This contrasts with previous research linking lack of structure and routine to more social-emotional problems (Keltner, 1990; Baez, 2000). Brody & Floyd (1997) found that in a sample of older, rural African American children, routine in the home was associated with less externalizing and internalizing behavior. The current study differed from previous work in that it was focused on younger African American children. Findings suggested that for these toddlers from African American families, structured family activities was not relevant for aggressive, anxious, and withdrawn behavior. One can speculate as to what kinds of parenting behaviors, perhaps more one-on-one, direct guidance, nurturance and attention, may be more effective to decrease toddlers’ problem behavior. It maybe that some of the supportive qualities from the AAPI-2 (empathy towards children; children’s power and independence) may have been necessary to reduce the toddler’s problem behavior, however, the amount of parenting support and control was low to moderate.
Risk and Protective Factors and Social-Emotional Competence

Few studies have focused on social-emotional competence in children at any age in African American families (Murray & Brody, 1999). As described earlier, social-emotional competence includes a range of behaviors such as: ability to manage and regulate emotion; relate and trust adults and eventually peers; and work attentively and independently (Poverty, 2005). The importance of studying social-emotional competence comes from findings that show that when social-emotional skills are evident early in life, there are increased healthy child outcomes, including positive sense of self leading to resilience, and increased abilities to cope in emotionally difficult situations and distressing events (Garmezy, 1991; Heckman, 2000; Klien, 2002). Increased social-emotional competence has been shown to enable children to be successful in the face of increased adversity (Luthar & Cicchetti, 2000). Elias & Hayes (2008) argued that social-emotional competence may be key to achieving success for low-income African American toddlers as it will allow them to be able to focus and attend to the task at hand while ignoring other stressors in their lives. The literature has identified several factors which are associated with an increase in social-competence: predictable and routine household patterns; parental warmth and family communication; parental efficacy (Brody & Flor, 1998); parenting practices and being female (Poverty, 2011).

Gender As A Protective Factor For Social-Emotional Competence

Findings from the current study support recent research which showed that in African-American families being female predicted increased social-emotional competence. African-American school-age females have been shown to possess more social-emotional competence than African-American school age males (Achenbach,
Poor social-emotional outcomes in African-American school age boys have been related to several other domains, such as rate of school expulsion and overall educational achievement (Brooks-Gunn et al. 2003; Dexter & Simons, 2009; Yeung & Pfeiffer, 2009). A recent study by the National Center for Children in Poverty (2011) examined the racial gap between African-American and Caucasian boys in the areas of cognitive and social-emotional development in early childhood and found that African American boys have significantly lower social-emotional skills when compared to Caucasian boys. Furthermore, the gap started as early as the age of nine months, even when SES and demographic factors were controlled. The National Center for Children in Poverty study attributed these less than desirable findings regarding social-emotional skills in African American boys to caregivers’ lack of education and financial resources, increased mental health problems, and diminished educational resources for the toddlers. Other possible reasons for lower social-emotional skills in African-American boys could be the absence of role-models and different expectations for African-American boys and girls. In this sample population more than 78% of the sample were single women, therefore the male toddlers in these households were lacking of a male role-model. The absence of male role-models in African-American communities is a troubling issue and is continually being addressed in the media and in scientific and non-scientific writings. African-American girls have a higher likelihood of having role-models present in their lives than African-American boys. The presence of these role-models provides the opportunity to teach girls how to interact, engage and take on roles and responsibilities from a young age. African-American boys lack such opportunities.
It can be concluded then that African-American male toddlers from low-income families are faced with several challenges (i.e., high possibility of exhibiting social-emotional problems, underfunded schools, neighborhoods characterized by violence, unemployed parents, and lack of role-models) that they need to overcome in order to conform and operate at a socially appropriate level. African-American male toddlers have to conform to a society and face many adversities. More research is needed to better characterize the effort that is needed to overcome these adversities. Assistance is integral from several areas of society. Interventions from parents, mental health professionals, educators, and researchers are particularly necessary to assist young African-American boys. The findings from this study bring awareness to this issue surrounding the adversities faced by African-American boys from low-income families.

That gender emerged as a significant factor in predicting ratings of social-emotional competence and not social-emotional problems in African-American toddlers in the current study suggests the urgency of work needed to more fully account for the mechanisms and processes underlying social-emotional competence.

*Parenting Strategies as a Protective Factor for Social-Emotional Competence*

Only one of the parenting strategies assessments, family routines, as measured by the FRI was a significant predictor of social-emotional competence. African-American toddlers whose families engaged in more family routines were rated as having higher social-emotional competence. The current finding that family routine significantly predicted social-emotional competence in African American toddlers supported previous findings linking family routines to increased social-emotional competences in a range of studies. Most of these previous studies focused specifically on cooperation and
compliance on the part of toddlers (Brody & Flor 1997; Garmezy, 1985; Keltner, 1990; Koblinsky et.al., 2006). Most of the more recent work has related family routines to broader assessments of successful child outcomes, such as academic achievement. For example, in the classic study of rural African American children, Brody & Flor (1997) found a positive link between routine in the home environment with higher levels of academic achievement.

The current study adds to the literature as in the current work the focus was on much younger children. Previous work examined preschoolers between 42-67 months and school-aged children between 6 and 9 years of age (Keltner, 1990; Koblinsky et.al., 2006). One can speculate that as African-American families engage in more family routines and predictable activities, such as attending church, eating together, and regular bedtime, the stability of the home increases and these stable interactions supported the opportunity for children to learn social-emotional competence skills such as self-control, negotiation and learning roles and responsibilities (see also, Garmezy, 1983; Jensen, et.al.,1983; Wilson, et.al., 1992). That this relationship was particularly important for female toddlers is an important research topic for further work. Future work should examine whether this vulnerability for low social-emotional competence associated with African American boys may be buffered by interventions promoting increased family activities which are routine, predictable and structure.

It remains surprising that the assessment of parenting strategies in terms of parent support and control was not a significant predictor of social-emotional competence in African American toddlers. The previous research looking at related parenting behaviors
and toddlers’ behavior and adjustment found a significant relationship. (Jackson, et al. 2000; McGroder, 2000).

**Maternal Depression as a Risk Factor for Social-Emotional Competence**

The finding that maternal depression was not significantly associated with social emotional competence in African American toddlers was somewhat surprising. This finding contrasted with previous research focused on toddlers from majority families. In those studies lower levels of maternal depressive symptoms were linked to higher levels of social-emotional competence in toddlers (Campbell, et al., 2007b; Lyons-Ruth, et al., 1986a; Dietz, Jennings, & Abrew, 2005; NICHD, 1999; Petterson & Albers, 2001; Radke-Yarrow, et al., 1985; Spieker & Booth, 1988). Campbell and colleagues (2007) in a study of children between the ages of birth and 7 years of age also examined the trajectories of maternal depression. When mothers were less depressed they exhibited more sensitivity in interactions which led to children becoming more responsive overall and able to engage in more reciprocal play.

Of course, the current study differs from these earlier studies with predominantly Caucasian samples in that the sample population was comprised entirely of African Americans female primary caregivers and toddlers. In addition, in the current assessment the families were recruited from urban community sites. Another key difference is that in the current study the levels of reported overall depression symptoms were not high. Findings from the current research suggest that even mild depression in primary female caregivers can have a powerful effect on toddlers’ development. More research is needed to examine whether the level of caregiver depression has differential effects on different social-emotional skills and behaviors. In the current sample, the level of depression,
although mild, was significant enough to affect social-emotional problems but not social-emotional competence. Research to address questions concerning why exposure to the caregiver’s depression did not have an effect on social-emotional competence is needed. Assessing positive interactions with other adults (i.e., teachers or other family members) which may allow the toddlers to develop social-emotional competence would also be necessary. This issue regarding dosage of maternal depression and its effects on child outcomes opens new questions about the roots of early social-emotional competence in African-American toddlers and the significance of caregiver depression levels.

One limitation in the current study is the fact that the CES-D only measures acute depressive symptoms, that is, depression symptoms in the previous week. It is possible that additional assessments may be needed to better measure the full range of the depression in the caregivers, and length of depressive symptoms. Future studies are needed which incorporate other depression assessment measures which assess depression across longer periods of time. Additionally, further development of depression measures which are customized for African-American families are needed.

**Protective Factors as Moderating Variables between Maternal Depression and Social-Emotional Competence**

Few studies have been conducted with the focus on the interactive effect of multiple risk and resilience factors in African-American families in regards to children’s social-emotional behaviors overall, and especially in regards to social-emotional competence (Brody & Flor, 1997, 1998, 1999; Murray & Brody, 1999). The current study showed that the interaction variables of maternal depression × family routine and maternal depression × parent support and control were not significant predictors of
social-emotional competence. No evidence was found for the hypothesis that family routine and parent support and control moderated the relationship between maternal depression and social-emotional competence. Future work is required to continue this line of investigation.

**Social-Emotional Problems and Social-Emotional Competence: Underlying Influences**

One of the most interesting finding in the current study was the very different profile of findings for the study of toddlers' social-emotional problems and social-emotional competence. These two social-emotional behaviors (problem and competence) were measured using one standardized assessment with two components. The predictive factors for social-emotional problems profile were annual income and maternal depression. In contract, the predictive factors for social-emotional competence were gender and family routine. These different profiles suggest that different family processes underlie toddlers’ developing social-emotional competence and social-emotional problems.

Only one study has shown similar findings in a study focused on African-American toddlers and their fathers. Mitchell and Cabrera (2009), tested the Abidin Parenting Stress Model and found that children with higher ratings of social-emotional competence, as assessed by the BITSEA, had fathers who were more engaged with their children in didactic activities. Again, continued study of the family differences underlying aspects of social-emotional behaviors are warranted.

**Limitations of Current Study**
Whereas the current study enhances our understanding of risk and protective factors in African American toddlers, several limitations should be addressed in future studies. First, the sole informants for the information on toddlers’ behavior were the caregivers which could have called into question some caregiver bias in the reporting. No direct observations were made of parenting or of child behaviors. Second, there is the possibility that depressed mothers distorted or were less sensitive to the actual social-emotional behaviors of their toddlers due to their own depression. The issue of depressed caregiver’s accuracy has been frequently called into question in regards to the child’s behavior (Webster-Stratton & Hammond, 1988). However, Richter (1992) was unable to find evidence of caregiver biases in 17 studies that he reviewed. Richter concluded that while caregiver’s reports have their limitations, such reports represent an important and reliable source of information in the assessment of parenting, family routine, parent support and control, depression and demographic information. Third, in the current study, family routine, parent support and control, maternal depression and social-emotional problems and competence were assessed concurrently. Due to this, no casual inference about the relations between variables can be drawn. The question remains unanswered as to whether maternal depression contributed or caused social-emotional problems in toddlers or whether social-emotional problems contributed or caused depression in the caregiver. Longitudinal studies are needed to determine the long-term effects of the risk and protective factors on the social-emotional behaviors of African American toddlers. Finally, the study was limited in its generalizability as the participants were exclusively African-American female caregivers from an urban community. Findings may not be
applicable to African American families with higher incomes, male caregivers, or majority families.

**Implications for Interventions**

One of the important benefits of basic research on risk and protective factors that underlie healthy early social emotional development is the possible new information that can be used to develop or inspire interventions. Developing successful interventions to support social-emotional competence and reduce social-emotional problem behaviors for African American toddlers is of extreme importance. Findings from the current study suggested that there may be different sets of factors that underlie social-emotional problem behaviors and social-emotional competence. Increasing the knowledge of these factors or predictive profiles may have great utility and assist clinicians and educators to explore and improve the profiles that occur between the African American caregivers and toddlers in the caregivers’ social context, and with others within the family and the society-at-large. Since the profiles underlying social-emotional problem behaviors and social-emotional competence are different, this suggests to clinicians and educators that social-emotional problems and competence cannot be treated in the same manner. Interventions should be tailored differently to increase social-emotional competence and to decrease social-emotional problems. Although there are a number of evidence-based interventions for the growth of social-emotional competence and decrease of social-emotional problems, currently the format utilized for treating social-emotional competence and social-emotional problems typically is quite similar. A majority of interventions seek to decrease social-emotional problems such that there can be increased
The rationale for the current research supported the need for mental health professionals to focus on the mental health problems of caregivers considering that the caregivers' mental health is a part of the personal characteristic which should serve as a buffer for the child (Bornstein, 2007). It has been shown that when caregivers are depressed, children appear to lack a buffer from existing negative effects of neighborhood, gangs and community at-large.

The importance of advancing the research literature on prosocial skills and focusing on vulnerable groups should not be underestimated. The current findings bring attention to the need for the development of programs and policies to strengthen social emotional development in African American toddlers. Culturally sensitive programs which focused on increasing positive parenting skills, caregivers' mental health, and increasing family routine have great promise. More work is needed to characterize the mechanisms and processes underlying why family routines, in particular, enhance social-emotional competence.

Conclusion

The current research focused on understanding the role of risk and protective factors within the family system on social-emotional outcomes in a sample of low-income African American caregivers and their toddlers. Results indicated that higher levels of maternal depression and lower income predicted higher levels of social-emotional problems in African American toddlers. The pattern for social-emotional competence differed from this. It was also found that higher levels of family routines
predicted higher levels of social-emotional competence with girls exhibiting more competence than boys. The factors that predicted social-emotional problems were different from those that predicted social-emotional competence. This finding has both basic science and applied implications and has demonstrated new knowledge on how to conceptualize early social-emotional problems and competence in African-American toddlers. These findings leave open the suggestion that interventions developed to treat social-emotional competence and social-emotional problems in African-American toddlers may need to be tailored quite differently. Given the well-documented deficits in social-emotional behaviors in this population and the importance of social-emotional behaviors for school readiness and academic success, developing more effective interventions to improve social-emotional behaviors in African American toddlers is a worthwhile endeavor
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Table 1: Demographic Data of Sample Population (n = 103)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-23</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-29</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-36</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried Partner</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlisted</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education of Caregiver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade School</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th Grade</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th Grade</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th Grade</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Grad.</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Grad.</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Grad./above</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Time</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not employed due to a disability</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlisted</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8,859 or below</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8,860-11,939</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$11,940-15,019</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,020-18,099</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$18,099-21,179</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$21,180-24,259</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$24,260-27,339</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Range</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$27,340-30,419</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,420-49,999</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000-74,999</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 or above</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation to child</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandmother</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aunt</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. *Means (and Standard Deviations) of Caregiver and Outcome Measures*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression CES-D</td>
<td>17.32 (10.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting Strategies: AAPI-2</td>
<td>3.98 (1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting Strategies: Family Routines (FRI)</td>
<td>20.26 (4.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Outcome – Social Emotional Behavior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BITSEA Problem Scale</td>
<td>14.78 (8.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BITSEA Competence Scale</td>
<td>17.49 (2.97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Center for Epidemiological Studies Depressive (CES-D) is on a scale of 0 and 60 (scores between 16 and 26 are indicative of mild depression and 27 and higher indicative of higher levels of depressive symptoms). Adult Adolescent Parenting Inventory AAPI-2 measures a number of variables related to parent support and control. Scores between 1-3 are considered high risk for abuse, 4-7 moderate risk for abuse, and 8-10 are considered positive and nurturing parents. Family Routine Inventory measures frequency of repeated family activities and is on a scale from 0 to 32. BITSEA Problem Scores are on a scale of 0-49 and BITSEA Competence Scores are on a scale from 1-11.
Table 3. *Intercorrelations among BITSEA Child Assessment* (Social-Emotional Problem Scale & Social-Emotional Competence Scale) and Parental Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CES-D</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>.85**</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-.27**</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CES-D Subscale</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>-.29**</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. FRI</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. BITSEA PS</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-.23*</td>
<td>-.31**</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. BITSEA CS</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. AAPI-2</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-.21*</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Child's Age</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. MD x FRI</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. MD x AAPI-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| M    | 17.32| 4.10 | 20.26| 14.78| 17.49| 3.98| 25.27| -3.81| 3.72|
| SD   | 10.87| 3.33 | 4.17 | 8.9  | 2.97 | 1.27| 9.24 | 43.1 | 13.89|

*Note: CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies – Depression; FRI = Family Routine Inventory; BITSEA = Brief Infant Toddler Social and Emotional Assessment; BITSEA PS = Brief Infant Toddler Social and Emotional Assessment - Problem Score; BITSEA CS = Brief Infant Toddler Social and Emotional Assessment - Competency Score; AAPI-2 = Adult Adolescent Parenting Index 2; MD = Maternal Depression (as measured by CES-D).*

*p < .05, **p < .01.
Table 4: Summary of Caregivers’ Depressive Symptoms in Sample Population (n = 103). Depression was measured using the Center for Epidemiological Studies – Depression (CES-D) (Radloff, 1977)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of CES-D Scores</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Range of Scores in Sample Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None (0-15)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2 - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (16-26)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16 - 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe (27-60)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27 - 46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Center for Epidemiological Studies- Depression (CES-D) is on a scale of 0 and 60 (scores between 16 and 26 are indicative of mild depression and 27 and higher indicative of higher levels of depressive symptoms). $M = 17.32, SD = 10.87$ for this sample population.
Table 5: Summary of Caregivers' Parent Support and Control Score in Sample Population ($n = 103$). Parent Support and Control was measured using the Adult-Adolescent Parenting Index-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of AAPI-2 sten scores</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Range of Scores in Sample Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Risk for abusive parenting (1 - 3)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Risk for abusive parenting (4 - 7)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4 - 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive and nurturing parenting (8 - 10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adult Adolescent Parenting Inventory – 2 (AAPI-2) measures a number of variables related to parent support and control. The 5 categories are: expectations of children; parental empathy towards children’s needs; use of corporal punishment; parent-child family roles; and children’s power and independence. $M = 3.98$ $SD = 1.27$. 
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Table 6: Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Predicting Social-Emotional Problems (N = 103).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Household Income</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>-.41**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Household Income</td>
<td>-.99</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.37**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Household Income</td>
<td>-.91</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>-.31**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Routine Inventory</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>-.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Support and Control (AAPI-2)</td>
<td>-.98</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Household Income</td>
<td>-.92</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>-.31**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.33**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Routine Inventory</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>-.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Support and Control (AAPI-2)</td>
<td>-.97</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD X FR</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD X AAPI-2</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: R² = 16.6% for Step 1 (p < .001); ΔR² = 13.2% for Step 2 (p < .001); ΔR² = 2.6% for Step 3 (p = .152); ΔR² = 0.2% for Step 4 (p = .877)

*p < .05; **p < .01
Table 7: Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Predicting Social-Emotional Competence Scale (N = 103).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child's Gender</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.20*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child's Gender</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.21*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child's Gender</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.20*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Routine Inventory</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Support and Control (AAPI-2)</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child's Gender</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.21*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Routine Inventory</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.24*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Support and Control (AAPI-2)</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD X FR</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD X AAPI</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. R² = 4.0% for Step 1 (p < .04); ΔR² = 1.4% for Step 2 (p = .23); ΔR² = 7.8% for Step 3 (p < .02); ΔR² = 1.2% for Step 4 (p = .52)

*p < .05; **p < .01
Table 8: Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Predicting Social-Emotional Problems in Depressed Caregivers (N = 49).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Household Income</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>-.44**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Household Income</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>-.37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Household Income</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>-.37**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Routine Inventory</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Support and Control (AAPI-2)</td>
<td>-.34</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Household Income</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>-.37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Routine Inventory</td>
<td>-.47</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>-.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Support and Control (AAPI-2)</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD X FR</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD X AAPI-2</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. $R^2 = 19\%$ for Step 1 ($p = .002$); $\Delta R^2 = 4\%$ for Step 2 ($p = .11$); $\Delta R^2 = 2\%$ for Step 3 ($p = .64$); $\Delta R^2 = 2\%$ for Step 4 ($p = .62$)

*p < .05; **p < .01
Table 9: Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Predicting Social-Emotional Competence in Depressed Caregivers (N = 49).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s Gender</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s Gender</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s Gender</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Routine Inventory</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.43*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Support and Control (AAPI-2)</td>
<td>-.20</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s Gender</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Depression</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Routine Inventory</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Support and Control (AAPI-2)</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>-.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD X FR</td>
<td>-.00</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD X AAPI-2</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. R² = 5% for Step 1 (p = .13); ΔR² = 3% for Step 2 (p = .27); ΔR² = 17% for Step 3 (p = .01); ΔR² = 0.1% for Step 4 (p = .98)

*p < .05; **p < .01
Figure 1. Hypothetical model of relations among maternal social context, maternal sensitivity, and maternal characteristics and child personal characteristics (Bornstein et al., 2007)
Fig. 2 Hypothetical model of relationship between mother and child for current study.
Depression was measured using the CES-D. The scale is: 1-15 no depression; 16-26 mild depression; 27-60 severe depression.
Appendix A

PARENT INFORMATION FORM

Please complete the following information about the household in which the child participating in the study lives most of the time (greater than half the time).

1. How many adults (18 or older) including yourself live in the household? ______

2. How many children (under 18) including the child being studied live in the household? ______

3. Think of all of the income from persons living in the same house as the child being studied. Which category below best describes your household income for the last 12 months?

   a. $8,859 or below
   b. $8,860 - $11,939
   c. $11,940 - $15,019
   d. $15,020 - $18,099
   e. $18,100 - $21,179
   f. $21,180 - $24,259
   g. $24,260 - $27,339
   h. $27,340 - $30,419
   i. $30,420 - $49,999
   j. $50,000 - $74,999
   k. $75,000 - $100,000
   l. $100,000 or above

4. In terms of your “typical” household income, how would you describe your income for the last 12 months?

   a. Much less than usual.
   b. Somewhat less than usual
   c. About the same as usual
   d. Somewhat more than usual
   e. Much more than usual

5. In the last 12 months, did your household receive any of the following kinds of income? Please check all that apply

   ______ Unemployment compensation
   ______ Disability (Workman’s Compensation or Social Security)
6. Please indicate the level of education completed by the child's mother or mother figure living in the household.

Primary grades 6 7 8
High School 9 10 11 12
POST HIGH SCHOOL (Please check all that apply) attended received degree/diploma
Vocational school

2-year college
4-year college
Graduate/professional school (above a 4-year degree)
(specify) ____________________________
Other (specify; be specific)

7. Please indicate the level of education completed by the child's father or father figure living in the household.

Primary grades 6 7 8
High School 9 10 11 12
POST HIGH SCHOOL (Please check all that apply) attended received degree/diploma
Vocational school

2-year college
4-year college

Graduate/professional school (above a 4-year degree)

(specify)
Other (specify; be specific)

8. Please describe the occupation of the child’s mother or mother figure living in the home. (Be specific)

9. Please describe the occupation of the child’s father or father figure living in the home. (Be specific)

10. Which of the following descriptions best describe the work pattern of the primary support person in the household?

a. Not working due to family care responsibilities
b. Not working due to own health problems or disabilities
c. Unemployed because can’t find work
d. Works whenever work is available
e. Works steady or regular part-time job
f. Works full-time job

11. What is the age of the child’s mother?
12. What is the age of the child’s father?
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CES-D

Below is a list of the ways you might have felt or behaved. Please tell us how often you have felt this way during the past week.

Rarely or none of the time = less than 1 day
Some or a little = 1-2 days
Occasionally = 3-4 days
Most or all of the time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rarely or not at all</th>
<th>Some or a little</th>
<th>Occasionally</th>
<th>Most or all of the time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I was bothered by things that usually don't bother me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I did not feel like eating; my appetite was poor.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I felt that I could not shake off the blues even with help from my friends and family.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I felt that I was just as good as other people.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I had trouble keeping my mind on what I was doing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>I felt depressed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I felt that everything I did was an effort.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>I felt hopeful about the future.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I thought my life had been a failure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>I felt fearful.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>My sleep was restless.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>I was happy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>I talked less than usual.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>I felt lonely.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>People were unfriendly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>I enjoyed life.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>I had crying spells.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>I felt sad.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>I felt that people disliked me.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>I could not get “going.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AAPI OnLine
Adult-Adolescent Parenting Inventory (AAPI-2)

Test Form A
This test can only be scored online at www.aapionline.com

Stephen J. Bavolek, Ph.D. and Richard G. Keene, Ph.D.

Before you take the inventory, we need some important information from you.

1. Date: ________________________________
2. First Name: __________________________ 3. Middle Initial (optional): ________
4. Last Name: ____________________________
5. Birthday: __________________ Month ________ Day ________ Year ________
6. Gender: ☐ Male ☐ Female
7. Race: ☐ Asian ☐ Black ☐ Hispanic ☐ Native American ☐ Pacific Islander ☐ White ☐ Other
   (If "Other" please specify: ________________________________)
8. Marital Status: ☐ Divorced ☐ Married ☐ Single ☐ Unmarried Partners ☐ Don't Know
9. How many children do you have: ________
10. What is the highest grade you completed in school: ☐ Grade School ☐ 11th Grade
    ☐ 7th Grade ☐ High School Graduate ☐ 8th Grade ☐ Some College
    ☐ 9th Grade ☐ College Graduate ☐ 10th Grade ☐ Post-Graduate or Above
11. What is your employment status: ☐ Don't Know ☐ Not Employed Because of Disability
    ☐ Employed Full Time ☐ Unemployed ☐ Employed Part Time ☐ Retired
12. What is your annual household income: ☐ Under $15,000
    ☐ $15,001 - $25,000 ☐ $25,001 - $40,000
    ☐ $40,001 - $60,000 ☐ Over $60,000
13. Were you and/or your partner in the military: ☐ No ☐ Yes, both of us
    ☐ Yes, only me ☐ Yes, only my partner ☐ Don't Know
14. As a child, did you experience any type of abuse by a person: Outside your family? ☐ No ☐ Yes
    Within your family? ☐ No ☐ Yes

(800) 688-5822 • (435) 649-5822 (outside the United States) • fcr@nurturingparenting.com
www.aapionline.com

© 2007 Family Development Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Permission is granted by the publisher to reproduce this page.
Appendix D
Family Routine

Work Day Routines

1. Parent(s) have some time each day for just talking with the children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Parent(s) have certain things they do every morning while getting ready to start the day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Working parent(s) has a regular play time with the children after coming home from work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Working parent(s) takes care of the children some time every day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Children do the same things every morning as soon as they wake up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Parent(s) and children play together some time each day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Non-working parent and children do something together outside of the home every day (i.e. shopping, walking).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Almost</td>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Almost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Sample Items from the BITSEA

Please circle the answer that best describes your child in the last month.

0: Not True or Rarely
1: Somewhat true or Sometimes
2: Very True or Often
N: No Opportunity (Circle if you have not had the opportunity to observe)

1. Shows pleasure when he/she succeeds (For example, claps for self) ........0 1 2
9. Has less fun than other children ..........0 1 2
14. Cries or hangs on to you when you try to leave .....0 1 2
17. Does not react when hurt ......0 1 2
21. Runs away in public places ......0 1 2
23. Plays well with other children (not included brother/sister) ........0 1 2
(N = no contact)
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*Items from the CES-D used to measure, somatic depressive symptoms of African American Caregivers.*

1. I do not feel like eating today, my appetite was poor.

2. I was bothered by things that usually do not bother me.

3. I had trouble keeping my mind on what I was doing.

4. I felt that everything was an effort.

5. I could not get “going”.

6. I talked less than usual.
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